https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

Diurnal sea breeze worsens coastal air quality and complicates monitoring of background North Atlantic air

Mingxi Yang[1]*, Thomas G. Bell[1], Ian Brooks[2], Frances Hopkins[1], Jani Pewter[1], Katie Read[3], Timothy J. Smyth[1]

1. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, Plymouth, PL1 3DH, UK
2. Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
3. NCAS, Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, UK

Correspondence to: Mingxi Yang (miya@pml.ac.uk)

Abstract. In coastal environments, land and sea absorb and release heat at different rates due to their differing thermal properties. The resultant regular fluctuation in winds from onshore during the day to offshore at night, termed the diurnal sea breeze effect, can have a strong but uncertain impact on coastal air quality. In this study, from 10 years of observations from the Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory on the northeast Atlantic coast, we identified 428 diurnal sea breeze events. Such events were most prevalent in spring and summer, when sea temperature is cooler than air temperature over land, wind speeds are relatively low, and the solar irradiance is strong. Observed surface concentrations of trace gases (O_3, NOx, CH_4, CO_2) as well as aerosols (total aerosol number, PM2.5, PM10) were all elevated in the daytime during sea breeze events, increasing air quality regulation exceedance. Sea breeze generally coincided with the highest Ox (O_3+NOx) levels in this environment (mean daytime mixing ratio around 45 ppb), likely due to poor pollutant dispersion at night and inflow of air with high O_3 during the day from the marine atmosphere. The occurrence of diurnal sea breeze also confounds the representativeness of coastal observations for background North Atlantic atmosphere – excluding sea breeze events reduces the diurnal amplitudes in O_3 and CH_4 and also modifies their seasonal variations during southwesterly wind conditions.

1. Introduction

Diurnal sea breeze (DSB) is a well-known circulation pattern that occurs near the coast due to land heating up faster than the sea during the day and cooling off faster at night. Solar heating causes updraft of air over land, which under certain conditions causes a pressure gradient that pulls in cooler air from the sea at the surface. At night, longwave cooling can cause subsidence of air over land which then flows out to the sea, reversing the circulation.

DSB events can significantly affect air quality and atmospheric chemistry in a multitude of ways, depending on the environment. For example, emissions of primary pollutants (e.g. nitrogen oxides NOx = NO + NO_2) tend to be higher over coastal cities than over the sea. Thus, daytime inflow of marine air could dilute pollutants near the coast but worsen air quality over rural areas further inland (e.g. Meng et al. 2024). At night, the formation of a shallow internal boundary layer during DSB events limits vertical dispersion, often resulting in accumulation of surface emitted pollutants (Miller et al. 2003). For regions with nearby heavy shipping emissions, recirculation and poor dispersion may further lead to increased pollution in marine air (e.g. Ma et al. 2022).

The impact of DSB is different for ozone (O_3), a secondary pollutant, greenhouse gas, and strong oxidant that can cause respiratory illnesses (e.g. Geddes et al. 2021; Loughner et al. 2014). Surface O_3 is produced photochemically from NOx and VOCs and usually deposited much faster over land than over the sea (Monks et al. 2015). Lower primary emissions over the sea also mean less O_3 titration due to nitrogen oxide. Thus, marine air can often be more enriched in O_3 compared to terrestrial air. In some coastal environments, the highest O_3 concentrations are observed on days with DSB events (e.g. Martins et al. 2012).

At the sea breeze front, pollutant concentrations can change rapidly with time and space, such that an operational forecast model generally struggles to simulate DSB events well (e.g. Martins et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2023). The timing of DSB events has an

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

especially pronounced effect on O_3, as a later onset of sea breeze allows for greater photochemical build-up of O_3 over the sea during the day (Oh et al. 2006; Martins et al. 2012). The spatial extent of DSB also varies, but penetration of tens of km inland is possible (e.g. Miller et al. 2003), meaning that its impact is not just limited to the near shore.

Since approximately 10% of the global population resides within 5 km of the coast (Cosby et al. 2024), it is important to fully understand the impact of DSB on air quality. Previous studies using high resolution chemical transport modelling tended to be based on fairly short periods, thus not capturing variability caused by seasonal variation. Studies on long-term variability in sea breeze events have focused on the meteorological aspect (e.g. Reddy et al. 2021; KiranKumar et al. 2019; Junnaedhi et al. 2023), rather than on how these events influence air pollution. In this study, we systematically analyze 10 years of observations from the Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory on the Northeast Atlantic coast for DSB events. We evaluate atmosphere-ocean conditions that favour their occurrence (Section 3), and then examine how sea breeze affects coastal air quality (Section 4.1, 4.2) as well as monitoring of background marine air (Section 4.3).

2. Experimental

The Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory (PPAO, 50°19.08' N, 4°11.35' W; https://www.westernchannelobservatory.org.uk/penlee) was established in May 2014 by the Plymouth Marine Laboratory (PML) for long term observations of the marine atmosphere (Yang et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2019a, 2019b). PPAO is in close proximity to marine sampling stations that form the Western Channel Observatory (WCO), which supports detailed understanding of air-sea interaction. Routine observations collected throughout the operation of PPAO include trace gases (CH_4, CO_2, O_3, SO_2) and basic meteorological parameters (winds, temperature, humidity, pressure, rain rate). For instrumental details see Archibald et al. (2025). Other measurements of shorter duration include NOx by a Teledyne T200 monitor (2021 to 2024) and total aerosol number concentration by a TSI 3025A condensation particle counter (2015 to 2017 with some gaps; Yang et al. 2019).

The other datasets used in this paper include:

a) Approximately weekly near surface (~2 m) water temperature from CTD (conductivity, temperature, depth) casts made at station L4 (50° 15.0' N, 4° 13.0' W). The long-term marine station L4, part of the Western Channel Observatory, is situated about 6 km south of PPAO.

b) Air quality measurements (NOx, O_3, PM2.5, PM10) from the DEFRA monitoring station in the Plymouth City Centre, about 7 km north/northeast of PPAO.

c) Solar irradiance measurements (2014-2015) from the rooftop of PML (50° 21.57' N, 4° 08.52' W), about 6 km north/northeast of PPAO

The predominant (nearly half of the time) wind direction at PPAO is from the southwest (SW), which faces the North Atlantic ocean as well as the English Channel with heavy shipping influence (Yang et al. 2016). Winds from the northeast (NE) are also common (about a quarter of the time), which tend to carry more pollution from urban sources. During prevailing SW wind conditions, solar heating of land can enhance SW winds during the day; at night, winds are often weaker but still from the SW direction. In contrast, during calm or weak prevailing NE wind conditions, solar heating of land has the potential to reverse the wind direction during the day. We focus on this latter type of DSB event in this paper, as they can have a significant impact on atmospheric chemistry and air pollution.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

To identify diurnal sea breeze events, hourly wind speed and direction are first converted into zonal and meridional components. We then operationally classify DSB days from daily segments of data (midnight to midnight) using the following criteria:

1. Minimum meridional wind velocity is negative (from south to north), occurring during the daytime between 10:00 and 18:00 UTC, and
2. Maximum meridional wind velocity is positive (from north to south), occurring at night between 00:00 and 08:00 UTC, and
3. Standard deviation in wind direction within 24h period exceeds 30 degrees (so that days when wind direction is fluctuating around due east or due west are not included).

3. Physical characteristics and drivers of diurnal sea breeze events

3.1 Occurrence of sea breeze

In total, out of 10 years of data, 428 days (~12%) were classified as DSB events. Figure 1a shows the number of sea breeze days per month over the 10-year time series, along with air and sea temperatures, while Figure 1b shows the sea-air temperature difference and wind speed. Sea breeze events tend to peak in the early summer and nearly vanish in the winter, with substantial year to year variability. For some spring/summer months, up to 40% of the days were classified as sea breeze events. Interestingly, spring/summer 2023 was well known for an exceptionally strong marine heat wave in the Northeast Atlantic (e.g. Berthou et al. 2024). Our observations show that the air temperature was much warmer than the sea during that period, but the occurrence of DSB was not exceptional, perhaps due to the fairly windy condition for this time of the year. In contrast, many DSB events were observed in spring/summer 2018 and 2022, which coincided with weaker winds. Even with 10 years of observations, it is challenging to ascertain whether there is a significant trend in the frequency of DSB events.



Figure 1. Monthly time series of (a) Sea breeze days identified using PPAO data; (b) sea-air temperature difference and wind speed. Air temperature and wind measurements were from PPAO, while near surface sea temperature was from L4.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

Averaged seasonally, the frequency of DSB occurrence tracks the solar irradiance well and precedes the cycles in air or sea temperatures. DSB occurrence is more closely and negatively correlated with the sea-air temperature difference (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Mean annual cycles (2014 – 2024) in (a) DSB events and solar irradiance; (b) air (PPAO), water (L4) temperatures and their difference. DSB events closely track the annual cycle in solar irradiance and correlate negatively with the temperature difference.

Focusing on periods when DSB events occur most frequently (May to July), we see that these events tend to be associated with fair weather, namely higher air temperature, pressure, and solar irradiance, as well as lower wind speed, relative humidity, and rainfall (Figure A1). Such fair-weather conditions are conducive to pollutant build-up due to both strong photochemistry as well as limited dispersion and removal.

3.2 Drivers of sea breeze

We mainly focus on the impact of sea-air temperature difference, heat fluxes, and atmospheric stability on DSB events in this section, as solar irradiance data were only available for the first two years of this dataset. Figure 3 shows the relationships between the number of sea breeze days/month and the monthly averaged sea-air temperature difference, sensible heat flux, and atmospheric stability parameter (Z/L, where Z is measurement height and L the Obukhov length). The latter two parameters were computed with the COARE3.5 bulk flux algorithm (Edson et al. 2013) from meteorological measurements from PPAO and seawater temperature from L4.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

DSB events were most common when the sea was slightly cooler than air at PPAO and the wind speeds were fairly low, resulting in negative sensible heat fluxes and a statically stable atmosphere (positive Z/L). On ~70% of the identified sea breeze days, the sea was cooler than air by more than 0.5 °C and wind speed was less than 7 m s^{-1}. This pattern seems reasonable because when the sea is much warmer than air or at high wind speeds, the land-sea temperature difference becomes insufficient to reverse the wind direction between day and night.



Figure 3. The number of sea breeze days identified per month vs. monthly means of (a) sea-air temperature difference; (b) sensible heat flux; (c) stability parameter Z/L, all color-coded by wind speed. Panel d is same as a, except that it is color-coded by the month of year. Sea breeze days most prevalent when sea is slightly cooler than air, resulting in negative sensible heat flux and positive Z/L (most prevalent during spring and summer).

3.3 Timing of sea breeze front

Previous studies suggest that the timing of sea breeze can be highly variable (e.g. Banta et al. 2005; Martins et al. 2012), with strong implications for air pollution (e.g. time available for photochemical smog formation). Here we divide the 10 years of data into four seasons and investigate the timing of identified sea breeze events. Figure 4 shows the diurnal cycles in the meridional (north-south) wind velocity, solar irradiance, as well as O_3 mixing ratio.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure 4. Diurnal cycles during DSB days divided by different seasons in (a) N-S wind velocity at PPAO; (b) Solar irradiance at PML; (c) O_3 mixing ratio at PPAO.

The timing when wind velocity switched from offshore (positive) to onshore (negative) in the morning is slightly earlier in the summer (before ~09:00 UTC) than in the autumn and winter (after ~10:00 UTC). These switches took place a few hours after sunrise and the switches were abrupt (within ~2 hours). The delay relative to sunrise reflects the time needed for land to heat up. The earlier onset of sea breeze in the warmer months than in the cooler months is clearly evidenced in the timing of the increase in O_3 mixing ratio, as onshore winds during the daytime brings in O_3 rich air from the sea. This morning time increase in O_3 had

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

an average rate of 2 to 3 ppb hr^{-1} from spring to autumn, which is much too rapid to be caused by photochemical production alone. The diurnal amplitude in O_3 was also largest in the warmer months, in part due to long duration of sea breeze during the day.

In contrast, the timing when wind velocity switches from onshore (negative) to offshore (positive) in the evening was less variable across the seasons (around 20:00 UTC). These switches appear to take place shortly after sunset and the switches are less abrupt than those in the morning. The change in wind direction was most gradual in winter due to weak solar irradiance (note though the winter data are the least certain due to the low number of sea breeze events). The apparent asymmetry between the abrupt onset of sea breeze in the morning and the more gradual demise of sea breeze in the evening is likely because the solar heating of land after sunrise is more rapid than the longwave cooling of land after sunset. This is evidenced in the diurnal cycles in air temperature (Figure A2), with more rapid warming in the morning and more gradual cooling in the early evening.

4. Impact of sea breeze on coastal air quality and monitoring of background marine air

4.1 Air quality at Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory

We start this section by showing an example of DSB from August 2022 (Figure 5). Consistent sea breeze was observed during the first week of this example time series, with very light winds from the NE at night, moderate winds from the SW during the daytime, and generally high air temperature and strong solar irradiance. More consistent SW winds were observed during the latter part of the time series when the air temperature was lower and winds stronger.

Concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHG) CO_2 and CH_4 were elevated by ca. 20 and 0.2 ppm, respectively, during this first week, with large diurnal amplitudes. The second week was characterized by lower and less diurnally variable GHG concentrations of around 415 and 2 ppm. Aerosols (both PM10 and PM2.5) were also several times higher during the first week than the second week, with the larger aerosols showing more consistent diurnal variability.

O_3 and NOx were measured at both PPAO and in Plymouth City Centre. During the first week, O_3 at PPAO reached 100 ppb – the highest level recorded at this site since its establishment in 2014, likely due to both highly active photochemistry and the DSB circulation. O_3 was much lower and NOx was much higher in Plymouth in the nighttime than at PPAO. This was primarily due to the higher NOx emission in the city, which was poorly ventilated during sea breeze events at night due to the low winds, resulting in greater O_3 titration (i.e. O_3+NO forming NO_2). During the second week, NOx and O_3 concentrations were lower, and the difference in O_3 between the two sites was also smaller. We can to an extent account for the effect of O_3 titration by evaluating the total oxidants (O_3 + NOx). In this case, outside of DSB events the Ox levels are very similar between PPAO and the city centre, suggesting that O_3 measurements at PPAO can generally be considered reasonable background conditions for the city centre. However, during DSB events, Ox was lower in Plymouth than at PPAO at night, perhaps due to rapid depositional loss as a result of the shallow boundary layer or differences in atmospheric boundary layer structure between the coast and several km inland.

It is apparent in Fig. 5a that the ECMWF short-range forecast winds (steps 2 to 13 hours from forecast initiated at 0 and 12 UTC) do not capture well the diurnal change in wind direction due to sea breeze during this period. In particular on the 7^{th}-9^{th}, wind direction switched earlier and more abruptly than the model forecast (Figure 5B). Since this modelled wind is used to drive the CAMS air quality forecast, it is implied that the predicted air pollutants will also be inaccurate.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure 5. Example of DSB events at PPAO and Plymouth City Centre, with blue shading indicating DSB events. (a) wind direction; (b) air temperature and wind speed from PPAO; (c) CO_2 and CH_4 from PPAO; (d) PM2.5 and PM10 from Plymouth; (e) O_3 at PPAO and Plymouth; (f) NOx at PPAO and Plymouth; (g) Ox at PPAO and Plymouth. The ECMWF model forecast wind direction is also shown in panel a.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

The mean impact of sea breeze on PPAO observations is shown as diurnal cycles in Figure 6. The frequency of DSB occurrence varies seasonally (Figure 2). To avoid conflating DSB impact with seasonal variability, in this section we limit our analysis to the months of May to July only, when DSB events occur frequently.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

Figure 6. Mean diurnal cycles at PPAO during and outside of sea breeze events for May, June, and July over the entire measurement period for (a) O_3; (b) NOx; (c) Ox; (d) aerosol number concentration; (e) CH_4; (f) CO_2; (g) SO_2. Mean diurnal cycles during offshore wind flow (hourly wind direction from NE, specifically 330 to 60°) and onshore wind flow (hourly wind direction from SW, specifically 210 to 260°) are also shown.

In general, the nighttime concentrations of trace gases and aerosols were fairly similar between DSB events and periods of offshore wind flow. During the day, pollutant concentrations (especially NOx, O_3, and Ox) were on average ca. 20% higher on DSB events than during periods of onshore wind flow, which is partly due to insufficient dispersion of pollutants from the previous night as well as the highly active photochemistry. For SO_2, a gas with substantial contribution from shipping in this environment (Yang et al. 2016), concentrations during DSB events were more than twice as higher as during periods of onshore flow during the daytime. This is likely because 1) the dry, sunny conditions characteristic of DSB events are associated with slow destruction of SO_2, which is otherwise rapidly oxidized in clouds; 2) the ship emitted SO_2 is recirculated due to poor dispersion.
Out of these four cases, periods of onshore flow (i.e. SW winds) generally have the smallest diurnal cycles for the trace gases, as might be expected for the marine atmosphere where sources and sinks are weak or diffuse. The one exception is aerosol number, which shows a fairly large increase during the daytime even during SW winds. The cause of this diurnal cycle in aerosol number is unknown but may be related to new particle formation driven by coastal halogens (e.g. McFiggans et al. 2010) or by ship emissions (e.g. Mao et al. 2021).

4.2 Air quality in Plymouth City Centre

The mean impact of sea breeze on air quality in Plymouth City Centre is shown as diurnal cycles in Figure 7. Again, we focus on the months of May to July.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure 7. Mean diurnals cyles of (a) O_3; (b) NOx; (c) Ox; (d) PM2.5; (e) PM10 with and without sea breeze events in Plymouth City Centre during May, June, and July from 2014 to 2024. Diurnals during offshore wind flow (hourly wind direction from NE) and onshore wind flow (hourly wind direction from SW) are also shown.

Similar to PPAO observations, the nighttime concentrations of pollutants are fairly similar on average between DSB events and periods of offshore wind flow. During the day, all pollutant concentrations during DSB are higher than during periods of onshore and even offshore wind flow. This suggests a lack of dispersion of pollutants from the previous night over the sea, which then comes back over land during the daytime. In the city centre, the increases in NOx and aerosols at around 06:00 UTC and their decreases after 20:00 UTC were partly related to the local traffic.

We can further evaluate the impact of DSB in terms of air quality regulation exceedance. At PPAO, out of the measured pollutants O_3 is the parameter that exceeds regulation the most frequently. The World Health Organization (WHO, https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/551b515e-2a32-4e1a-a58c-cdaecd395b19/content) and European Union (EU, https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/air/air-quality/eu-air-quality-standards_en) limits for O_3 (eight hour mean) are 30 and 60 ppb, respectively. Considering all observations, the PPAO measurements exceed these limits for 78% (WHO) and 0.8% (EU) of the time. When limiting the observations to daytime only during DSB events, the rates of exceedance over the entire calendar year increases to 2.4% (EU) due to episodes of very high O_3. The highest exceedance was found between April and June (8% for EU), when the background O_3 concentration is already elevated in this region. In Plymouth City Centre, PM2.5 is the parameter that most often exceeds the air quality regulations. The daily PM2.5 exceeds the 24-hr WHO limit of 25 μg m^{-3} for 3.1% of the time when considering all data. When limiting the observations to DSB events only, the rate of exceedance increases to 4.6%.

4.3 Monitoring of background North Atlantic air

On the southwest coast of the UK, PPAO is exposed to prevailing SW winds from the North Atlantic and thus can intuitively be considered a background monitoring site. However, the DSB may result in local to regional scale recirculation that complicates this interpretation. In this section, we examine to what extent measurements within the southwest wind sector (210 to 260°) are impacted by DSB events.



Figure 8. (a) Mean diurnal cycles in O_3 and CH_4 when winds were from the southwest sector, including and excluding DSB events; (b) Seasonal cycles of O_3 and CH_4 mixing ratios when winds were from the southwest sector, including and excluding DSB events.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

The diurnal cycle of O_3 and CH_4 over land are widely reported. For O_3, the daytime maximum is greater during DSB events than otherwise (Figures 6 and 7). This is partly due to more photochemical production during the sunnier, warmer days that is characteristic of DSB (Figure 2 and A1), and partly due to higher precursor concentrations accumulated as a result of DSB (Figure 6). At night, O_3 is continuously removed due to deposition to the sea surface. Focusing on observations when the wind is from the SW sector only, excluding DSB events results in a smaller diurnal range in O_3 (Figure 8a), which is likely a better representation of O_3 cycling in the marine atmosphere. As shown in Figure A3, this reduction in the diurnal amplitude in O_3 is largest in the warmer months.

In the case of CH_4, fluxes over the ocean tend to be small and the chemical lifetime in the atmosphere is long, and thus we expect to see little diurnal variability for SW winds. The greater daytime CH_4 increase in the presence of DSB is likely due to recirculation of previously land-influenced air mass. Excluding such events reduces the diurnal variability in CH_4, with the largest effect again seen in the warmer months (Figure A3).

The seasonal cycle of O_3 in the Northeast Atlantic is primarily driven by a combination of precursor emissions, atmospheric transport, and photochemistry (Robson et al. 2020). Similarly, the seasonal cycle of CH_4 is driven by a combination of CH_4 emission, atmospheric transport, and loss to OH radical. Excluding DSB events modifies the seasonal cycles of O_3 and CH_4 when winds were from the southwest sector, namely by reducing their mean mixing ratios during the warmer months (Figure 8b). We expect the long-term trends in these trace gases to be more representative of the background North Atlantic once DSB events are removed.

Conclusions

In this work, we systematically identified 428 diurnal sea breeze events from 10 years of observations from the Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory on the northeast Atlantic. Sea breeze occurred most frequently in spring and summer, when the sea was cool, wind speeds low, and the solar irradiance strong. The timing of the DSB events varied seasonally, with the switch from offshore to onshore winds in the morning relatively abrupt and the reverse from onshore to offshore winds in the evening more gradual. Surface concentrations of gas and particle phase pollutants were all higher during sea breeze events than on days with winds from the North Atlantic, increasing the rate of air quality regulation exceedance. Some of the highest O_3 and NOx levels were observed on days with sea breeze in this environment, likely because pollutants disperse inefficiently at night over the sea and come back over land during the day. As DSB occur mostly during 'fair weather' conditions, the more severe pollution may be of concern for beach goers and for people who spend more time outdoors. If so, this phenomenon may negate some of the proposed health benefits of coastal proximity (Wheeler et al. 2012, White et al. 2013). Finally, the sea breeze effect needs to be accounted for when considering coastal observations to be representative of background marine atmosphere. Excluding sea breeze events reduces the diurnal amplitudes in O_3 and CH_4 at PPAO and also modifies their seasonal variability when winds were from the southwest sector.

Looking forward, numerical modelling will be best suited for more fully separating the effects of transport and accumulation vs. chemistry on the cycling of reactive gases such as O_3. Combining high resolution ground-based measurements with vertical profile observations of meteorological and chemical parameters and satellite snapshots will provide further insight into this coastal, three-dimensional phenomenon.

Data availability

PPAO are archived and publicly available at CEDA (https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/8f1ff8ea77534e08b03983685990a9b0). Defra air quality data can be found at https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/.

Author contributions

MY, TB, FH, JP, and TS led the observations at PPAO. IB and KR supported the aerosol and NOx measurements at PPAO, respectively. All co-authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

Trinity House owns the Penlee site and has kindly agreed to rent the building to PML so that instrumentation can be protected from the elements. We are able to access the site thanks to the cooperation of Mount Edgcumbe Estate. Thanks to J. Bidlot (ECMWF) for helpful discussions about the ECMWF wind data. MY, TB, FH, and TS were supported by the NERC project Agzero+ (grant number NE/W005050/1) and AtlantiS (grant number NE/Y005589/1). We acknowledge Defra and uk-air.defra.gov.uk for the AURN surface aerosol measurements. © Crown 2019 copyright Defra via uk-air.defra.gov.uk, licenced under the Open Government Licence (OGL). This is contribution 14 from the Penlee Point Atmospheric Observatory.

References

Archibald, A. T., Sinha, B., Russo, M. R., Matthews, E., Squires, F. A., Abraham, N. L., Bauguitte, S. J. B., Bannan, T. J., Bell, T. G., Berry, D., and Carpenter, L. J.: Data supporting the North Atlantic Climate System Integrated Study (ACSIS) programme, including atmospheric composition; oceanographic and sea-ice observations (2016–2022); and output from ocean, atmosphere, land, and sea-ice models (1950–2050), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, **17**, 135–164, 2025.

Banta, R. M., Senff, C. J., Nielsen-Gammon, J., Darby, L. S., Ryerson, T. B., Alvarez, R. J., Sandberg, S. P., Williams, E. J., and Trainer, M.: A bad air day in Houston, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **86**, 657–670, 2005.

Berthou, S., Renshaw, R., Smyth, T., Tinker, J., Grist, J. P., Wihsgott, J. U., Jones, S., Inall, M., Nolan, G., Berx, B., and Arnold, A.: Exceptional atmospheric conditions in June 2023 generated a northwest European marine heatwave which contributed to breaking land temperature records, *Commun. Earth Environ.*, **5**, 287, 2024.

Cosby, A. G., Lebakula, V., Smith, C. N., Wanik, D. W., Bergene, K., Rose, A. N., Swanson, D., and Bloom, D. E.: Accelerating growth of human coastal populations at the global and continent levels: 2000–2018, *Sci. Rep.*, **14**, 22489, 2024.

Edson, J. B., Jampana, V., Weller, R. A., Bigorre, S. P., Plueddemann, A. J., Fairall, C. W., Miller, S. D., Mahrt, L., Vickers, D., and Hersbach, H.: On the exchange of momentum over the open ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **43**, 1589–1610, 2013.

Geddes, J. A., Wang, B., and Li, D.: Ozone and nitrogen dioxide pollution in a coastal urban environment: The role of sea breezes, and implications of their representation for remote sensing of local air quality, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **126**, e2021JD035314, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035314, 2021.

Junnaedhi, I. D. G. A., Inagaki, A., Ferdiansyah, M. R., and Kanda, M.: Seasonal sea breeze variation analysis based on multi-year near-surface observations in Jakarta, Indonesia, *Int. J. Climatol.*, **43**, 5177–5195, 2023.

KiranKumar, N. V. P., Jagadeesh, K., Niranjan, K., and Rajeev, K.: Seasonal variations of sea breeze and its effect on the spectral behaviour of surface layer winds in the coastal zone near Visakhapatnam, India, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.*, **186**, 1–7, 2019.

Loughner, C. P., Tzortziou, M., Follette-Cook, M., Pickering, K. E., Goldberg, D., and Satam, C.: Impact of bay-breeze circulations on surface air quality and boundary layer export, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, **53**, 1697–1713, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-0323.1, 2014.

Ma, Y., Chen, D., Fu, X., Shang, F., Guo, X., Lang, J., and Zhou, Y.: Impact of sea breeze on the transport of ship emissions: A comprehensive study in the Bohai Rim Region, China, *Atmosphere*, **13**, 1094, 2022.

Mao, J., Zhang, Y., Yu, F., Nair, A. A., Yu, Q., Wang, L., and co-authors: On the ship particle number emission index: Size-resolved microphysics and key controlling parameters, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **126**, e2020JD034427, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034427, 2021.

Martins, D. K., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Knepp, T. N., and Pippin, M.: Surface ozone at a coastal suburban site in 2009 and 2010: Relationships to chemical and meteorological processes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D05306, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016828, 2012.

McFiggans, G., Bale, C. S. E., Ball, S. M., Beames, J. M., Bloss, W. J., Carpenter, L. J., Dorsey, J., Dunk, R., Flynn, M. J., Furneaux, K. L., and Gallagher, M. W.: Iodine-mediated coastal particle formation: an overview of the Reactive Halogens in the Marine Boundary Layer (RHaMBLe) Roscoff coastal study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 2975–2999, 2010.

Meng, L., Yang, E., Liu, L., Wang, W., Yang, J., and Qu, P.: Impacts of sea land breeze on the fog and PM2.5 concentration in Tianjin, China, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, **24**, 230279, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.230279, 2024.

Miller, S. T. K., Keim, B. D., Talbot, R. W., and Mao, H.: Sea breeze: Structure, forecasting, and impacts, *Rev. Geophys.*, **41**, 1011, 2003.

Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., and Stevenson, D. S.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **15**, 8889–8973, 2015.

Oh, I.-B., Kim, Y.-K., Lee, H.-W., and Kim, C.-H.: An observational and numerical study of the effects of the late sea breeze on ozone distributions in the Busan metropolitan area, Korea, *Atmos. Environ.*, **40**, 1284–1298, 2006.

Reddy, T. R., Mehta, S. K., Ananthavel, A., Ali, S., Annamalai, V., and Rao, D. N.: Seasonal characteristics of sea breeze and thermal internal boundary layer over Indian east coast region, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, **133**, 217–232, 2021.

Robson, J., Aksenov, Y., Bracegirdle, T. J., Dimdore-Miles, O., Griffiths, P. T., Grosvenor, D. P., and co-authors: The evaluation of the North Atlantic climate system in UKESM1 historical simulations for CMIP6, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, **12**, e2020MS002126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002126, 2020.

Wang, B., Geddes, J. A., Adams, T. J., Lind, E. S., McDonald, B. C., He, J., and co-authors: Implications of sea breezes on air quality monitoring in a coastal urban environment: Evidence from high-resolution modeling of NO_2 and O_3, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **128**, e2022JD037860, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037860, 2023.

Wheeler, B. W., White, M., Stahl-Timmins, W., and Depledge, M. H.: Does living by the coast improve health and wellbeing?, *Health Place*, **18**, 1198–1201, 2012.

White, M. P., Alcock, I., Wheeler, B. W., and Depledge, M. H.: Coastal proximity, health and well-being: Results from a longitudinal panel survey, *Health Place*, **23**, 97–103, 2013.

Yang, M., Bell, T. G., Hopkins, F. E., and Smyth, T. J.: Attribution of atmospheric sulfur dioxide over the English Channel to dimethyl sulfide and changing ship emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **16**, 4771–4783, 2016.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.

Yang, M., Norris, S. J., Bell, T. G., and Brooks, I. M.: Sea spray fluxes from the southwest coast of the United Kingdom – dependence on wind speed and wave height, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **19**, 15271–15284, 2019.

Appendix



https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure A1. Mean meteorological conditions during sea breeze events vs. non-sea breeze events during the months of May to July. All data were from PPAO except solar irradiance, which was measured from the PML rooftop. Mean diurnal cycles during offshore (northeasterly) wind flow and onshore (southwesterly) wind flow are also shown.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-780
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 February 2026
© Author(s) 2026. CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure A2. Diurnal cycles of air temperature during DSB days divided by different seasons.



Figure A3. Daily range in O_3 and CH_4 averaged over different months of the year, including and excluding DSB events. Removal of sea breeze events reduces the diurnal range in O_3 and CH_4, with the effecting most pronounced in the warmer months.