

1 **Root turnover and soil indicators capture belowground**
2 **recovery following saltmarsh restoration**

3 Sabrina K.B. Olsson^{1,2*}, Anirban Akhand^{1,2}, Peter I. Macreadie^{1,2}, Joeri Kaal³, Siegmund
4 Nuyts², Paul E. Carnell^{1,2}, Stacey M. Trevathan-Tackett^{1,2*}

5

6 ¹Centre for Nature Positive Solutions, Biology Discipline, School of Science, RMIT University, Victoria,
7 Australia

8 ²School of Life and Environmental Science, Deakin Marine Research and Innovation Centre, Deakin University,
9 Victoria, Australia

10 ³Pyrolyscience, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

11

12 *Correspondence to:* Sabrina K. B. Olsson (sabrina.olsson@rmit.edu.au) and Stacey M. Trevathan-Tackett
13 (stacey-trevathan-tackett@rmit.edu.au)

14

15

Abstract

Coastal wetlands, including saltmarsh, are highly productive ecosystems, with carbon- and nutrient-rich soils supporting biodiversity. Beyond carbon stocks and sequestration, the responses to restoration of these nutrient-rich and structurally complex soils remain poorly defined for coastal wetlands, especially in saltmarsh ecosystems restored by exclusion fencing. This study used a space-for-time approach to evaluate belowground responses in *Salicornia quinqueflora*-dominated saltmarsh 25 years after ~~ungulate~~livestock exclusion in Swan Bay, Victoria, Australia. We monitored surficial soil physicochemical characteristics, root and standardised litter decomposition, and root molecular composition across Grazed, Restored, and Natural Reference sites. Restored and Reference sites had $\geq 20\%$ higher vegetation cover and 2-3-fold higher percent soil carbon and nitrogen content, with 2.5-fold lower shear vane soil strength compared to Grazed sites. However, carbon and nitrogen stocks in the top 10 cm were not significantly different across sites (means ranging 30-36 Mg C ha⁻¹) due to elevated bulk density at Grazed sites caused by compaction from ungulates. *Salicornia quinqueflora* root litter decomposition was slowest in Natural Reference sites, with molecular composition showing preservation of recalcitrant lignin in the Reference and Restored sites, indicating greater soil carbon preservation capacity. In contrast, roots decomposing in Grazed sites showed increased nitrogen and phenolic compounds indicating greater microbial-driven turnover. This study demonstrates that exclusion fencing can restore saltmarsh soil function and promote long-term resilience, particularly through improved preservation of recalcitrant organic matter material decades after intervention. By highlighting shifts in surface soil structure and organic matter preservation, this study shows why soil quality metrics beyond carbon stocks are essential for accurately evaluating restoration outcomes.

1. Introduction

Coastal wetlands comprise supratidal forests, saltmarshes, mangrove forests and seagrass meadows. These ecosystems protect our coastlines and provide habitat for a large range of plants and animals, making these ecosystems biodiversity hotspots (He et al., 2025). However, since the early 1900s, nearly half of coastal wetlands have been lost globally due to climate change and human activity (Davidson, 2016). Coastal wetland loss can occur through complete vegetation removal or more gradually through habitat fragmentation (Laegdsgaard, 2006). Such coastal wetland degradation can compromise key ecosystem functions, including food chain supply, biodiversity conservation, resilience to sea level rise, water filtration, and carbon accumulation and storage (Prahalad, 2014). Therefore, there is an increasing demand for large-scale wetland restoration and protection (Macreadie et al., 2021) understanding the extent and impact of wetland degradation and restoration is critical for the planning and implementation of future management strategies.

Restoration of coastal wetlands ~~has the potential to~~ can reestablish a range of essential ecosystem functions, including vegetation production and carbon and nutrient cycling, which in turn supports food web complexity, biodiversity and carbon sequestration (Meli et al., 2014). ~~There is an increasing demand for large-scale wetland restoration and protection (Macreadie et al., 2021).~~ The exclusion of ungulates by fencing is one such restoration approach that is attractive for the restoration of marginal saltmarsh ecosystems due to its relatively low costs and minimal intervention (Rowland & Lovelock, 2024). Exclusion fencing as a coastal wetland restoration method, is especially relevant in an Australian context, as there is a disproportionate impact of introduced livestock and wild ungulates on marginal lands (Rowland and Lovelock, 2024). Over the last few decades, studies have quantified a range of benefits of ungulate exclusion or abandonment to aboveground vegetation (e.g. survival, growth, diversity, successional shifts, and litter production;

Laegdsgaard, 2006; Rupprecht et al., 2015; Wasson et al., 2021) and soil structure (e.g. increased elevation, moisture, and aeration; Chang et al., 2016; Veenklaas et al., 2015).

Recovery of aboveground vegetation biomass and diversity are the most commonly quantified metrics for ecosystem recovery after ungulate exclusion (Rowland & Lovelock, 2024), and such responses are used to suggest or estimate belowground indicators of soil recovery and function (e.g. carbon accumulation and stocks) (Legesse et al., 2024; Xiao et al., 2025). For example, the Australian Blue Carbon Accounting Model estimates the soil carbon benefits of coastal wetland restoration through tidal reinstatement using aboveground vegetation metrics (Lovelock et al., 2022). However, such correlative approaches do not account for the complex belowground processes influencing wetland recovery and health, and remain poorly understood in the coastal wetland restoration context (Bayraktarov et al., 2020). As such, there is a need to improve the breadth and depth of data on indicators of belowground ecological functions under current and emerging restoration methods to enhance accounting model accuracy (Lovelock et al., 2022), as well as improving the reliability of restoration assessments that inform model predictions and policy.

For saltmarsh ecosystems, static belowground ecological functions like soil carbon stocks are most often reported for restoration monitoring (Bayraktarov et al., 2020; Cadier et al., 2020; Rowland & Lovelock, 2024). In contrast, process-based functions like the decomposition of root or soil organic matter (OM) are less commonly quantified despite being essential for nutrient regeneration, soil formation and responses to changes in inundation (i.e. subsidence vs. accumulation) (Kirwan et al., 2013; Meier & Bowman, 2008). Understanding the influence of root litter quality on decomposition, and *vice versa*, is required to comprehend the impact of management on belowground OM cycling. Analytical pyrolysis techniques such as pyrolysis-GC-MS (Py-GC-MS) and thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation (THM-GC-MS) can be used to characterise a wide range of plant biomolecular constituents that indicate the quality

90 of OM, as well as their response to management practices, by showing changes like microbial
91 necromass accumulation, loss of labile constituents, and the selective preservation of relatively
92 recalcitrant plant constituents like root-specific suberin (Ferreira et al., 2009; Kolattukudy,
93 2001; Pineiro-Juncal et al., 2021). In addition, belowground OM availability and cycling can
94 be influenced by localised, site-specific characteristics like soil compaction, as measured by
95 bulk density and soil strength, that can change in response to restoration interventions (Brooks
96 et al., 2022). Therefore, standardised OM like rooibos and green tea that have uniform chemical
97 properties can be used in decomposition studies to help distinguish the effects of site-specific
98 environmental factors from indicators of soil processes and land management practices
99 (Keuskamp et al., 2013).

100 While it can take years or decades after restoration to detect belowground functional
101 responses comparable to levels of natural reference ecosystems, space-for-time experimental
102 designs allow us to compare sites that represent different restoration ages to natural reference
103 sites and degraded baseline sites and therefore provide a practical approach to assess
104 restoration success over time (Carnell et al., 2022; Gulliver et al., 2020). Accordingly, we
105 used a space-for-time approach to evaluate the belowground responses across actively grazed
106 saltmarshes, sites excluded from grazing for approximately 25 years, and natural reference
107 saltmarshes. We hypothesise that belowground decomposition and OM quality will be
108 sensitive and detectable indicators of soil responses to exclusion fencing restoration. We
109 focused on the changes in soil properties within surficial soils (top 10 cm), where active root
110 inputs are more likely to affect soil carbon turnover and formation. We measured indicators,
111 including root and standardised OM decomposition and molecular shifts for short-term OM
112 turnover and preservation, soil strength and dry bulk density for soil structure, and soil carbon
113 and nitrogen content for longer-term OM preservation. By taking a multi-indicator approach,

114 this study will advance our understanding and application of robust soil indicators for
115 saltmarsh restoration.

116

117 2. Materials and methods


118 2.1. Site description and experimental design

119 All sites are located within Swan Bay, a tidally influenced embayment in Port Phillip Bay,
120 Victoria, Australia (Figure 1a). The coastline, which featured saltmarsh ecosystems, was
121 heavily modified during European settlement for livestock grazing until Swan Bay became a
122 marine reserve in 1979. In 1996, marginal land within Crown Boundaries on the western
123 shore was fenced by Parks Victoria to restrict access and allow saltmarsh to return (i.e. >25
124 year Restored sites; Figure 1b). Private lands adjacent to Crown Land are still being grazed
125 by livestock, but are at elevations suitable for saltmarsh to re-establish, indicated by access to
126 tidal flow encroachment of pioneer saltmarsh species such as *Salicornia quinqueflora* (*S.*
127 *quinqueflora*) (i.e. Grazed sites; Figure 1b). In contrast, some areas of Swan Bay on the
128 northern and southern ends have had little to no modification in the last 50-60 years
129 (landholder and Parks Victoria pers. comm.), and for the purposes of this study will act as a
130 Natural Reference site (i.e. Natural Reference sites; Figure 1c). No other sites in the area
131 could be used as Natural Reference sites due to previous or current disturbances.

132 We chose at least two sites from each rehabilitation condition category to assess belowground
133 responses to grazing and exclusion fencing activities: Grazed (G), Restored (>25y; R), and
134 Natural Reference (NR). All Grazed sites had encroaching saltmarsh growth at least ≥ 0.5 m
135 into the property from nearby marsh. One of the historically Grazed sites (G2) was fenced 3
136 months prior to the start of the experiment, so we also monitored this site as a historically
137 grazed area that may provide information on early responses to exclusion fencing restoration.

138 Restored and Reference sites are classified at Environmental Vegetation Class 9 (Coastal
139 Saltmarsh Community) and comprised of *Salicornia* and *Tecticornia* (Table 1). While we
140 tried to reduce variability in elevation across sites and treatments, the Grazed sites are
141 landward of the Restored and Natural Reference sites, and typically of higher elevation. To
142 reduce variability in belowground responses due to tidal inundation, we chose areas within
143 the site that were seaward and showed evidence of saltmarsh encroachment by pioneer
144 species *S. quinqueflora*.

145 In February 2022, we installed five 40 cm x 40 cm experimental plots at each site,
146 approximately 1 m apart, in a transect running parallel to the shoreline. We sampled soil at
147 each plot to characterise surficial carbon and nitrogen stocks, soil strength and dry bulk
148 density. While site-level vegetation varied across the rehabilitation categories (Table 1), to
149 help standardise the conditions and be relevant to in situ saltmarsh root decomposition, we
150 chose transects where *S. quinqueflora* were the dominant taxa. Within each plot at 3, 6 and 12
151 months after deployment, we monitored aboveground vegetation cover and diversity, as well
152 as decomposition and litter chemistry of root and standardised green and rooibos tea litters
153 over the course of 1 year.



154

155 Figure 1. Site map. (a) Swan Bay is an embayment in Port Phillip Bay, Victoria, Australia. Points represent site rehabilitation category and
 156 location of logger stations (b) A site in Swan Bay, showing a currently grazed area on the left, and a >25-year restored area on the right,
 157 following the installation of a fence by Parks Victoria in 1996 to restrict access and allow saltmarsh to return. (c) A natural reference site has had
 158 little to no modification in the last 50-60 years.

Table 1: Site descriptions. Elevation was measured at each plot. Grazed sites have cattle on the land with some saltmarsh encroachment from the neighbouring restored sites. The exception is G2 which was <1 year fenced at the time of the study. Grazers had been excluded from the Restored sites for approximately 25 years, while natural reference sites were approximately ≥ 60 years old.

Rehabilitation	Site	Year of	Elevation	
Treatment	Name	establishment	Range (m)	Vegetation
Grazed	G1	0	0.581 - 0.594	<i>Salicornia, Suaeda</i>
	G2	2021	0.792 - 0.81	<i>Salicornia, Distichlis</i>
	G3	0	0.706 - 0.79	<i>Salicornia</i>
Restored	R1	1996	0.544 - 0.587	<i>Salicornia</i>
	R2	1996	0.455 - 0.485	<i>Salicornia, Suaeda</i>
				<i>Salicornia, Tecticornia, Frankenia,</i>
	R3	1996	0.574 - 0.606	<i>Suaeda</i>
	R4	1996	0.668 - 0.729	<i>Salicornia, Suaeda, Frankenia</i>
Natural reference	NR1	n/a	0.499 - 0.551	<i>Salicornia, Tecticornia, Frankenia</i>
	NR2	n/a	0.44 - 0.507	<i>Salicornia, Suaeda, Frankenia</i>

165 2.2. Site characteristics

166 2.2.1. Elevation, water level and physicochemical measurements

167 The elevation at each plot was measured using an EMLID Reach RS+ RTK-GNSS unit.
168 Elevation ranges of the plots were 0.58 – 0.81 m for Grazed, 0.46 – 0.73 m for Restored and
169 0.44 – 0.55 m for Natural Reference sites (Table 1). Soil temperature loggers (HOBO
170 Pendant – Temperature/Light data logger UA-002-64, Onset) were buried at a 5 cm depth at
171 each site. Temperature was recorded every 10 minutes for the duration of the experiment.

172 Logger stations were set up along the length of Swan Bay at NR1, R1 and R2 to understand
173 the water level and soil redox (ORP) along the coastline of Swan Bay (Figure 1a). The data
174 were logged every 10 minutes for at least a full tidal cycle during the initial, 6-month and 12-
175 month samplings. First, REED data loggers coupled with Paleoterra redox and reference
176 probes were deployed at 10 cm. HOBO U20L water level data loggers were placed in
177 perforated PVC casings, and the sensor location was 15 cm below the surface. The elevation
178 of the water present at the deployment site was measured using an EMLID Reach RS+ RTK-
179 GNSS unit. One dedicated water level logger was installed 50 cm above the surface to record
180 barometric pressure variability of Swan Bay. The elevation of the water body and barometric
181 pressure data were used in post-processing to correct and calculate water level measurements.

182 The water level loggers and water elevation data were used to calculate the cross-shore
183 elevation profile at the nine different sites: Mean Higher High Water (MHHW), High Water
184 (HW), Mean Sea Level (MSL), Low Water (LW), Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) (Figure
185 S1, Table S1). Plots located above MHHW, ranging from + 0.0079 to + 0.0621 m (G1, R1,
186 R2, R3, NR1, and NR2), experience less frequent and shorter periods of tidal flooding
187 compared to those below MHHW ranging from -0.112 to -0.262 m (G2, G3, and R4) (Table
188 S1). The profiles also reveal variations in elevation along the cross-shore transects. G1, G2,

189 and G3 profiles show relatively flat topography, with elevations close to or slightly above
190 MHHW level. R1, R2, and R3 profiles exhibit more pronounced changes in elevation, with
191 the landward portions of the transects rising above the MHHW level and the seaward portions
192 below MSL and MLLW. R4 profile is similar to R1 – R3 profiles but with a more gradual
193 slope. NR1 and NR2 profiles display the most significant changes in elevation along the
194 cross-shore transects. Both profiles start at elevation near the MHHW level on the landward
195 side and then steeply drop below the MLLW level towards the seaward end of the transects.
196 The NR2 profile, in particular, shows a more pronounced drop in elevation compared to NR1.

2.2.2. Soil cores and strength

Soils at each site were analysed for elemental organic carbon (%C) and total nitrogen (%N) as well as soil strength. Soil strength, measured with a shear vane tester (19 mm vane; CMT equipment, Australia), provides information on the mechanical strength in kPA needed to move the vane. Soil strength was measured at 10 and 20 cm depths (8-10 cm and 18-20 cm, respectively, accounting for vane height).

Surficial soil cores were taken directly adjacent to each plot using a 10 cm length syringe core, to capture the depth range of root production and decomposition (Benjamin et al., 2026). Cores were sliced at 0-2, 2-5 and 5-10 cm intervals and dried at 60 °C to calculate dry bulk density (DBD, g cm⁻³). Samples were ground to a fine powder (Retch RM200) and tested for carbonates using diluted hydrochloric acid. Effervescence after contact with acid indicated inorganic carbon as carbonates were present. Percent organic carbon and total nitrogen analyses were performed on a LECO elemental analyser at the CSIRO Land and Water Facilities (Adelaide). Briefly, total carbon and nitrogen were determined by high temperature combustion in an atmosphere of oxygen using a Leco TruMAC. For samples that did not indicate presence of inorganic carbon, total carbon was assumed to be organic carbon. For carbonate-positive samples, inorganic carbon as carbonates was determined by reacting the sample with acid in a sealed container and measuring the pressure increase (Rayment & Lyons, 2011; Sherrod et al., 2002). The organic carbon is calculated as (Total carbon – Carbonate*0.12) (as per communication by CSIRO). Organic carbon and nitrogen density were calculated using DBD (g C or N cm⁻³) and converted to mean stock in the top 10 cm at each site (e.g. Mg C ha⁻¹) (Howard et al., 2014).

221 2.3. Vegetation monitoring

222 2.3.1. Aboveground vegetation

223 Vegetation diversity and cover at each site were determined visually using a 100 x 100 cm
224 quadrat thrown at random 10 times per site during site assessment. A quadrat (40 x 40 cm),
225 matching the plot size, was used to take plot photos of each plot at the 3, 6, and 12 months
226 sampling times. The photos were then used to determine changes in vegetation diversity and
227 cover within each plot over time.

228 2.3.2. Root and tea litter decomposition

229 *Salicornia quinqueflora* roots and standardised green and rooibos tea litters were incubated in
230 the soils to investigate organic matter turnover via decomposition. The standardised tea litter
231 approach has been used extensively to investigate cross-ecosystem and regional/global
232 within-ecosystem drivers of coastal wetland decomposition (Mueller et al., 2018; Trevathan-
233 Tackett et al., 2024). Lipton green and rooibos teas from the original Tea Bag Index product
234 numbers (~0.25 mm mesh, 0.6 mm²; (Keuskamp et al., 2013)) had changed from a nylon
235 mesh to a biodegradable mesh material and was repackaged in nylon mesh tea bags (~0.17 x
236 0.24 mm mesh, 0.4 mm²) to persist in a longer-term incubation. *Salicornia quinqueflora* roots
237 were collected, cleaned of attached soil and dried before adding ~1.7 g dry weight to nylon
238 mesh tea bags.

239 Litter bags were buried in the soil at ~5 cm depth. Each of the five plots per site contained
240 one root litter, green tea and rooibos tea bag per sampling time. Bags were collected at 3, 6
241 and 12 months, cleaned of attached soil and dried at 60 °C. Litter samples were further
242 cleaned of living root contamination before obtaining dry mass. Proportion mass remaining at
243 each time point was calculated and used to calculate single exponential decay rates, using
244 $W_0/W_t = e^{-kt}$, whereby W_0 was the initial mass, W_t was the mass at time t and k is the decay

rate in proportion per day (d^{-1}), with the model inclusive of 100% mass at day 0 (Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2021). k was calculated separately for each litter type for each site and rehabilitation category. Root litter carbon and nitrogen were quantified using the elemental analysis described above for soils. Molar C:N ratios were calculated. Percent carbon and nitrogen data were normalised to sample mass, and proportion carbon and nitrogen remaining were used to calculate single exponential decay rates.

2.3.3. Py-GC-MS and THM-GC-MS of *S. quinqueflora* roots

In order to understand the impact of management on the decomposition processes in the incubated root tissues, a subset of *S. quinqueflora* root samples (2 sites from each rehabilitation category and from 3 and 12 month samplings) were analysed for molecular composition via pyrolysis-GC-MS (Py-GC-MS) and thermally-assisted hydrolysis and methylation (THM-GC-MS). Briefly, 1 mg of sample was introduced into quartz wool-containing fire-polished quartz tubes, placed into a Pyroprobe 5000 (CDS Analytical) interface (at 325 °C), and pyrolyzed at 650 °C set-point temperature for 20 s. The pyrolysis products were swept into an Agilent 5977 GC-MS instrument by 1 ml/min helium flow. The pyrolysis and THM products were separated on a HP-5MS GC column and identified using EI at 70 eV, scanning in the m/z 50-500 range. For THM-GC-MS, the analysis was preceded by the addition of an aliquot of aqueous tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide (TMAH, 25% from Sigma-Aldrich).

The 109 pyrolysis (Table S2) and 105 THM (Table S3) GC-MS products were semi-quantified based on the peak areas of their dominant m/z fragments. Relative proportions were calculated as the % of total quantified peak area, for Py- and THM-GC-MS separately. These datasets are “closed datasets” that allow the study of organic matter composition and variation therein. The products were grouped into several main classes (Tables S2 and S3).

269 Using (conventional) Py-GC-MS, the macromolecules in a sample are fragmented thermally
270 in absence of reagents (Voorhees, 2013; Wampler, 2006), whereas in THM-GC-MS, the
271 presence of the strongly alkaline TMAH causes hydrolysis and derivatization (methylation)
272 of hydrolysable functional groups, such as hydroxyl to ether and carboxyl to ester (Challinor,
273 2001; He et al., 2020) The set of compounds generated, and their chromatographic behavior,
274 are very different for the two techniques, which therefore have different sensitivities to
275 different OM types (Kaal et al., 2020).

276

277 **2.5. Data analysis**

278 Statistical analyses and PCA were performed in R (v. 4.4.2) using car, lme4, glmmTMB,
279 emmeans and FactoMineR packages. Generalised linear mixed effect models were used to
280 test for the main and interaction effects of rehabilitation category (Grazed, Restored, and
281 Natural Reference) and variable-specific main effects for soil parameters (depth and
282 rehabilitation category), final litter mass remaining and k-rate (litter type and rehabilitation
283 category), and elemental carbon and nitrogen for *S. quinqueflora* roots (sampling time
284 excluding initial data and rehabilitation category). Site was a random factor in all models. For
285 the molecular analyses of *S. quinqueflora* root material that had reduced replication, one-way
286 linear models were used separate for time and rehabilitation category condition test. A Tukey
287 adjustment was made for all pairwise analyses.

288 We performed principal component analysis (PCA) to examine the important variables
289 contributing to the variability in belowground soil characteristics and the relationships
290 between those variables with rehabilitation categories, simultaneously. The eleven variables
291 included ten belowground variables (organic carbon stock (g OC cm⁻³), nitrogen stock (g N
292 cm⁻³), percent organic carbon, percent total nitrogen, soil C:N ratio, soil strength (kPA), DBD

(g cm⁻³), soil elevation (m), soil temperature (°C), and the proportion of mass remaining of *S. quinqueflora* root) and one aboveground variable (vegetation cover percent). The organic carbon stock, nitrogen stock, percent organic carbon, percent total nitrogen, soil C:N ratio, and DBD were averaged across the 10 cm core sections for the PCA. Vegetation cover percent was averaged across the three time points, 3, 6 and 9 months.

298

3. Results

3.1. Site characteristics

3.1.1. Soil redox and temperature (abiotic indicators)

There was minimal fluctuation in redox potential across the tidal cycles measured at the three logger stations. Redox potential measurements were positive at all sites and time points. At 6 months in winter August 2022, the redox potential measurements were lowest for the Natural Reference (NR1; 103 ± 0.71 mV mean and SEM) and Grazed (G2; 121 ± 1.4 mV) sites, while the restored site (R1) had approximately 2-fold higher redox potentials (234 ± 4.9 mV), suggesting more oxidized soil conditions. In summer February 2023, the redox potential measurements were highest for the Grazed site (267 ± 3.9 mV), in contrast to the relatively more reduced Restored (106 ± 0.5 mV) and Reference sites (54 ± 0.9 mV).

Soil temperature across all sites and rehabilitations categories followed a consistent seasonal trend. Summer temperatures maximums were around 25-30 °C, with peak monthly mean temperatures in January 2023 (~21 – 24 °C; Figure S2). Extreme winter temperature lows were approximately 0-5 °C, with minimum monthly mean temperatures in July 2022 (~10-12 °C; Figure S2). The Grazed plots (G1, G2, and G3) exhibited slightly higher monthly mean temperatures, particularly for sites G1 and G2. The Restored plots (R1, R2, R3, and R4) also display similar seasonal patterns, with R2 and R3 having slightly higher summer

temperatures than R1 and R4. The similarity in soil temperature patterns among the different rehabilitation categories (Grazed, Restored and Reference) suggests that factors such as climate and seasonal weather variations have a dominant influence on soil temperature, regardless of the plot's specific characteristics (e.g. elevation) or management status.

3.1.2 Soil carbon, nitrogen, bulk density and strength (physiochemical indicators)


In the top 10 cm, percent soil OC (%OC) and N (%N), as well as DBD, were significantly different across rehabilitation category and depths (main effects $p \leq 0.002$; Figure 2). %OC and %N significantly decreased with depth, while DBD increased (Figure 2a, b, e). Grazed sites had significantly lower %OC and %N but higher DBD compared to both Restored and Natural Reference sites (Figure 2a, b, e), with Natural Reference soil visibly appearing peatier and rich in root material (Figure S43). There were no significant differences between Restored and Natural Reference sites for these soil parameters. When normalised with DBD, carbon and nitrogen densities (g cm^{-3}) were not significantly different across rehabilitation categories, but significantly decreased with depth (Chisq = 58.7, $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ and Chisq = 71.8, $p = 2.6 \times 10^{-16}$, respectively; Figure 2c,d). Total carbon stocks in the top 10 cm were not statistically different among Grazed ($36.1 \pm 3.5 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$), Restored ($30.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$) and Natural Reference ($31.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$) categories (Chisq = 1.28, $p = 0.52$).

Soil strength was significantly different across rehabilitation categories for both 10 and 20 cm depths (Chisq = 18.0, $p = 0.00012$ and Chisq = 17.5, $p = 0.00016$, respectively; Table S4). Grazed sites had the highest soil strength at 10 and 20 cm depths (124 ± 8.0 and 157 ± 8.4 kPa, respectively), while soil strength means at Restored and Natural Reference sites were 1.7-3.6-fold lower (Table S4). We found a significant and strong positive correlation between DBD and soil strength at 10 cm (Pearson's $t = 8.7$, $p = 7.2 \times 10^{-11}$, $R^2 = 0.806$; Figure 2f).

341


342 **3.2. Aboveground vegetation (biodiversity indicator)**

343 *Salicornia quinqueflora* dominated our experimental plots with 50% or more of cover
344 throughout the year (Figure 3). The Natural Reference sites had the highest *S. quinqueflora*
345 cover ($\geq 90\%$) leading to the lowest alpha diversity (i.e. species richness and abundance) of
346 the three rehabilitation categories (Figure 3, Figure S43). Restored sites had the highest alpha
347 diversity that changed slightly in composition over the course of a year (e.g. *Frankenia*
348 *pauciflora* and *Suaeda* spp). Grazed sites had the highest cover of bare, unvegetated areas of
349 the plots (means ranged from 30-42%) with ephemeral *Suaeda* cover in addition to *Salicornia*
350 patches in our plots (Figure 3).



351

352 Figure 2: Top 10 cm saltmarsh soil characteristics at Grazed, Restored and Natural Reference
 353 sites. (a) Elemental percent organic carbon. (b) Elemental percent nitrogen. (c) Organic
 354 carbon density. (d) Nitrogen density. (e) Dry bulk density. Data represent means and standard
 355 error. (f) Relationship between dry bulk density and soil strength at ~10 cm depth. Note the
 356 log scale of soil strength. The line represents Pearson's correlation.



357

358 Figure 3: Saltmarsh vegetation cover over one year at (a) Grazed, (b) Restored and (c) Natural Reference sites. Vegetation surveys were taken of
 359 the experimental plots at initial, 3 month, 6 month and 12 month sampling periods. Data represent mean and standard error.

3.3. Litter decomposition

3.3.1. *Salicornia quinqueflora* root and tea litter decomposition (biological turnover)

The proportion of mass remaining at the end of the study was significantly different among litter types ($p < 0.001$; Figure 4a), with rooibos tea the highest (0.611 ± 0.012), followed by *S. quinqueflora* roots (0.310 ± 0.008) and green tea (0.108 ± 0.012). An interaction effect for litter type and rehabilitation category ($\text{Chisq} = 27.923$, $p < 0.001$) was driven by a significantly higher mass remaining for green tea litter at the Grazed sites compared to Restored sites ($z\text{-ratio} = 4.636$, $p < 0.0001$) and Natural Reference sites ($z\text{-ratio} = 3.791$, $p = 0.0004$). There was no significant effect of rehabilitation category on decay rates, but a highly significant effect of litter type ($\text{Chisq} = 303.9692$, $p < 2e^{-16}$), with the decay rates of green tea nearly 8-fold and 2-fold higher than rooibos and *S. quinqueflora* roots, respectively (Figure 4b, Table S5).

Organic carbon, nitrogen and C:N ratios of *S. quinqueflora* roots had a significant time*rehabilitation category interaction (Figure 5). For rehabilitation category, Grazed sites were significantly higher for nitrogen than Restored sites at the final 12-month sampling ($p = 0.0222$), while significantly lower than Restored sites for C:N ratios ($p = 0.0239$; Figure 5b,c). Over time, root carbon significantly increased as decomposition progressed for each rehabilitation category (Figure 5a), but nitrogen changed differently according to rehabilitation category (Figure 5b,c). Specifically, the Natural Reference sites did not significantly change over time, while nitrogen increased in Grazed sites but decreased at Restored sites (Figure 5b). These relative changes in root elemental content led to a significant increase in C:N ratios at the Restored sites but not Grazed or Natural Reference sites (Figure 5c). While both carbon and nitrogen decay rates were lowest for the Natural

Reference sites, they were not significantly different to the decay rates at the Grazed and Restored sites (Table S5).

3.3.2. *Salicornia quinqueflora* root molecular shifts during decomposition (molecular preservation indicator)

The molecular analysis of the decaying *S. quinqueflora* roots indicated significant shifts over time, and limited changes related to rehabilitation category (Figure 6, Figure 7 & Figure S5). The multivariate analyses on the Py-GC-MS data showed that 31% of the variance (PC1) was driven by changes in time, while PC3 (11% of variance) was indicative of nitrogen-rich microbial compounds and phenol accumulation at the Grazed sites, in contrast to the enriched syringyl lignin in restored/reference sites (Figure 7, see Figure S5i,j for principal component loadings). PC2 (19 %) did not depend statistically on incubation time or treatment and had high positive loadings for lignin products. Specifically, we found that only nitrogen compounds (i.e. pyrroles, pyridines, acetamide, indoles) and phenols formed upon Py-GC-MS were significantly different among rehabilitation categories, both of which became enriched at the Grazed sites (Figure 7, Figure S5d, e). We noted marginally insignificant effects for N-compounds between Grazed and Restored ($p = 0.051$) and Reference ($p = 0.72$) treatments in pairwise analysis (Figure S5d).


Throughout the decomposition incubation of the root litter across all rehabilitation categories, relative concentration of carbohydrate products from Py-GC-MS (including acetic acid, furans, pyrans, anhydrosugars; Figure S5a) and THM-GC-MS (methylated metasaccharinic acids; Figure S5k) significantly decreased, indicating loss of polysaccharides at the 3- and 12-month decay periods. Alkyl-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and unsaturated fatty acids were preferentially eliminated (Figure S5f,o), with the former probably indicating

leaching and/or decomposition of resin or resin-like organic matter. Other methylene chain compounds (MCCs; alkanes, alkenes, fatty acids, methylketones) from Py-GC-MS significantly increased throughout the year of decomposition (Figure S5c). These results aligned with relative increases in THM-GC-MS-detected MCCs specific of the relatively recalcitrant root-derived macromolecule suberin (Figure S5m), in particular long-chain (C_{16} , C_{18} , $C_{18:1}$, C_{20} , C_{22}) fatty diacids (Kolattukudy, 2001) (Figure S5n). In contrast, lignin and the Py-GC-MS ratios of lignin oxidation (4-acetylguaiacol/guaiacols and 4-acetylsyringol/syringols significantly increased only in the first 3-months (Figure S5b,g,h), as corroborated by the analogous methoxybenzenes detected by THM-GC-MS (Figure S5l); both indicating an increase in guaiacyl- and syringyl-type lignin products.

3.4. Belowground responses to land-use and restoration (indicator integration)


A total of 70.8% of the belowground variability was explained by the first two principal components. PC1 explained 48.5% of variation and was driven by positive correlations for percent organic carbon and percent nitrogen and by negative correlations with DBD, soil strength and soil elevation (Figure 7). PC2 (22.3%) was dominated by carbon stock and nitrogen stock which were correlated along the positive axis, as well as vegetation cover percent with negative correlation (Figure 7). The squared cosine (Cos^2) shows the importance of a component for a given observation in PCA, and hence, the value of Cos^2 can help to find the components that are important in driving differences in rehabilitation categories. Cos^2 analyses suggest carbon stock, followed by DBD and nitrogen stock, are the most significant in contributing to the variability of the soil characteristics (Figure 7). The Restored and Natural Reference sites overlapped with each other, whereas the Grazed sites plotted with the least overlap with the other two. Carbon stock, nitrogen stock, soil strength and DBD are the

431 significant variables that make the Grazed sites different from the Restored and Natural
432 Reference sites. Conversely, percent organic carbon, percent total nitrogen, and vegetation
433 cover percent are the significant variables mostly influenced by Restored and Natural
434 Reference sites.



435

436 Figure 4: Decomposition parameters over 1 year of green tea, rooibos tea and *S. quinqueflora* root litters. (a) Proportion of mass remaining of
 437 litters after 12 months of decay. Coloured circles are raw values with central black circles representing mean and standard error for the litters at
 438 each rehabilitation category. (b) Decay rate constant (k , proportion mass lost per day) from single exponential decay fit. Data represent means
 439 and standard error.




440

441 Figure 5: Elemental content of *S. quinqueflora* roots throughout 1-year of decomposition. (a) Percent organic carbon. (b) Percent nitrogen. (c)

442 Molar Carbon:Nitrogen ratios. Letters indicate post-hoc differences over time for each rehabilitation category individually, not across categories

443 for each time point. Asterisks indicate a significant difference at 12 months between Grazed sites and both Restored and Natural Reference sites.

444 Data represent mean and standard error.



445

446 Figure 6: PC1-PC3 plots from principal components analysis of the molecular composition using pyrolysis-GC-MS of *S. quinqueflora* roots

447 during one year of decomposition. a) PC scores of the samples; b) PC loadings of the pyrolysis products. MCC refers to methylene chain

448 compounds (aliphatic organic matter).

449




Figure 7: Biplots of principal component analysis (PCA) of eleven belowground characteristic variables. Scores of the first two principal components for eleven variables in different saltmarsh rehabilitation categories (Grazed, Restored and Reference) are shown. Cos² analysis results of all the eleven variables are shown with the gradient colour bar. Variable include C_stock: carbon stock; N_stock: nitrogen stock;

454 C_percent: percent organic carbon; N_percent: percent total nitrogen; Soil_CN: soil C:N ratio; Soil_Strength: soil strength; DBD: dry bulk
455 density; Elevation: soil elevation; Soil_Temp: soil temperature; Veg_per: vegetation cover percent; Salicornia_Root: proportion of mass
456 remaining of *S. quinqueflora* root).

457 4. Discussion

458 4.1. Site characteristics after exclusion fencing

459 Restored and Natural Reference saltmarsh had lower soil strength and DBD, likely due to the
460 relief of pugging-related compaction and the development of extensive root biomass and
461 peat-rich soils with increased vegetation cover and diversity (Fig. 3). Grazing restrictions
462 have similarly shown to lower DBD and increase %OC and %N over time in both coastal and
463 terrestrial ecosystems (Sloane et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2025). Low-laying, herbaceous or
464 succulent saltmarsh plant diversity was higher at Restored compared to Natural Reference
465 sites, likely in part due to our experimental focus on *S. quinqueflora*-dominant areas, which
466 could indicate a high diversity of ground-dwelling species and be linked to successional and
467 trophic shifts at the newly Restored sites (Nordström et al., 2015). Vegetation cover was
468 similar between Restored and Natural Reference sites, and the well-developed root layers in
469 the soil reflects the similar %OC and %N content of the Restored and Natural Reference soils
470 in the top 5 cm depths (Burger et al., 2024). Despite higher %OC and %N, Restored and
471 Natural Reference sites had similar carbon and nitrogen densities due to the high DBD at the
472 Grazed sites (Gifford & Roderick, 2003), highlighting how surficial carbon stocks can
473 misrepresent ecological condition without structural and biological metrics. Together,
474 vegetation cover, diversity and surface %OC and %N similarities between the Restored and
475 Natural Reference suggest decadal timescales of vegetation and soil recovery, similar to those
476 found for other saltmarsh (Ledford et al., 2021; Santini et al., 2019) and mangrove (Adame et
477 al., 2018), and seagrass ecosystems (Greiner et al., 2013).

478 A strong positive correlation between DBD and soil strength showed significant reductions
479 after ~~exclusion fencing~~ livestock exclusion, likely improving erosion resilience and root
480 development (Cahoon et al., 2021; Daniel et al., 2002). Although moderate compaction has

481 been shown to reduce erosion and indirectly promote vegetation growth (Pages et al., 2019),
482 the soil strength at the Grazed sites (mean 120 kPA) greatly exceeded that of vegetated
483 saltmarsh areas (9-47 kPA) (Chirol et al., 2021; Pages et al., 2019; Pennings et al., 2021;
484 Turner, 2011), which, along with the lack of vegetation cover and visibly low presence of
485 roots, indicates the degraded condition of the sites. Our findings support the literature in
486 showing how restoration by exclusion fencing is a simple restoration method, that not only
487 reduces compaction but also helps re-establish soil conditions that support vegetation
488 recovery and ecosystem functions. These findings suggest that soil strength as a metric could
489 be a good, simple indicator for future monitoring of a range of belowground recovery
490 responses.

491

492 **4.2. Belowground decomposition dynamics after exclusion fencing**

493 The significant differences in *S. quinqueflora* root chemistry among the rehabilitation
494 categories after 1 year of decomposition point to different processes influencing belowground
495 OM turnover. In the Restored and Natural Reference sites, the higher root C:N ratios and
496 relatively higher lignin compounds indicate selective ~~the~~ preservation of recalcitrant plant-
497 derived carbon (Arnaud et al., 2024). In contrast, the roots decomposing in the Grazed soils
498 had higher nitrogenous compounds and phenolic content and lower C:N ratios, pointing to
499 increased microbial metabolism and N-rich biomass accumulation (Meier & Bowman, 2008;
500 Olsen et al., 2011). Given the Grazed soils also have low %CN content, together these
501 findings point to the mechanisms that underpin enhanced microbial turnover in nutrient-
502 limited, disturbed soils, while in restored and natural saltmarsh soils recalcitrant root carbon
503 is able to contribute to surficial carbon stocks. These differences in the molecular
504 composition of the decomposed root litter indicates some recovery of belowground

ecosystem functions at Restored sites through the putative re-establishment of microbial processes and preservation of recalcitrant carbon (Meier & Bowman, 2008).

In contrast to shifts in *S. quinqueflora* root chemistry during decay, we only found that mass loss of the saltmarsh roots indicated a trend towards slower decomposition at the Natural Reference sites. The root decay rate constant (k) did not differ significantly across rehabilitation categories, and were overall higher (mean range 0.0052-0.0064 d⁻¹) than a congener (*S. fruticosa* ~0.0008 d⁻¹) and the global saltmarsh root average (0.0012 d⁻¹) (Curc  et al., 2002; Ouyang et al., 2017; Scarton et al., 2002). We hypothesise that the differences in our study are due to the relatively higher carbohydrate content in our mixed root materials (~0.5 lignin:carbohydrate ratio), compared to more lignin-rich content of specific root types (1.1-1.6 lignin:polysaccharide ratio) (Arnaud et al., 2024).

Interestingly, the standardised tea litter decay rates were less sensitive to rehabilitation category than the native roots. Additionally, the mass remaining of the green tea litter was higher in the Grazed soils, the opposite trend to root litter. These results emphasise how the standardised litters, particularly green tea in short-term incubations, are highly influenced by soil moisture and temperature, which enhances leaching (Petraglia et al., 2019; Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2020). As such, short-term studies (≤ 1 y) using standardised litters are likely more influenced by abiotic drivers than biotic (Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2021), and thus not sensitive to ~~geographically constrained~~geographically constrained space-for-time restoration studies (Ibanez-Alvarez et al., 2022).

525

4.3. Multi-indicator approach to assess saltmarsh soil recovery after restoration

By combining multi-indicator and space-for-time approaches, we showed that exclusion fencing improves physical, chemical and biological soil properties over 25 years, with the

529 restored temperate saltmarshes closely resembling natural saltmarsh ecosystems (Figure 8).
530 Removal of ungulates enhances surficial soil quality through preservation of recalcitrant
531 carbon, which is likely correlated to both enhanced vegetation cover that promotes root
532 production and allochthonous OM capture (Figure 8) (Mueller et al., 2019). In contrast, the
533 highly compacted, historically grazed soils in this study have higher saltmarsh root turnover,
534 potentially due to a combination of low ~~nutrients~~availability of organic matter, less frequent
535 inundation and higher temperatures (Figure 8). ~~Moreover, disturbance of coastal soils releases~~
536 ~~reactive organic substances, leading to disturbance in the microbial community composition~~
537 ~~that stimulates CO₂ emission by using complex organic compounds that remain unreactive in~~
538 ~~the absence of such disturbance~~Disturbing coastal soils releases reactive organic matter. This
539 changes the microbial community and causes microbes to start breaking down complex
540 organic compounds that normally stay stable, which in turn increases CO₂ emissions
541 (Macreadie et al., 2025; Ward et al., 2019). These conditions promoted microbial
542 decomposition of the fresh root material and indicate that the elevated carbon and nitrogen
543 stocks at the Grazed sites are reflective of changes in soil physical structure rather than
544 improvements in soil quality or ecosystem function. Any form of disturbance, including
545 changes in temperature, nutrient levels or oxygen availability, can alter microbial community
546 composition, structure and metabolism, potentially triggering the mineralisation of otherwise
547 stable carbon. Thus, the present study attests to the capacity of restoration practices, such as
548 exclusion fencing of livestock, for the stabilisation of organic carbon.




Figure 8: Conceptual design of the physical, chemical and biological surficial belowground responses to saltmarsh exclusion fencing. Ungulate removal leads to reduced soil compaction evidenced through reduced dry bulk density (DBD) and soil strength (kPA), concomitant with enhanced vegetation, root biomass and soil carbon (%C) and nitrogen (%N). Restored and natural saltmarsh conditions were also characterised by improved carbon stability through reduced root decomposition and selective preservation of recalcitrant lignin. Root decomposition in Grazed soils was characterised by increased microbial nitrogen and phenolic compounds.

Some surficial soil metrics, such as soil strength, soil/root percent carbon and nitrogen content and chemical shifts during root decay, are more sensitive soil indicators of exclusion fencing restoration than others, particularly standardised litter decay and surficial carbon

562 stocks. For the latter, carbon stocks integrate bulk density and carbon content, and each varies
563 in their response to grazing and restoration. In our study, grazed soils had higher bulk density
564 but lower carbon content, whereas restored and reference soils showed the opposite pattern
565 (Figure 8). The combined effect on calculated carbon stocks may then show no difference (as
566 in Harvey et al., 2019), despite clear differences in each component. While it is worth noting
567 that the depths we investigated (0-10 cm) were shallower than standard for blue carbon stock
568 assessments (30 – 100 cm), the surficial soils were expected to be the most responsive to
569 changes to land management, including vegetation establishment and growth resulting in root
570 turnover and soil capture (Arnaud et al., 2024; Burden et al., 2013; Gulliver et al., 2020), as
571 well as compaction impacts of ungulates. Analyses of saltmarsh and mudflat sediments
572 indicate that organic matter degradation is largely confined to the upper 5-10 cm, showing
573 minimal change below this depth (Benjamin et al. 2026). Therefore, we focused on the top 10
574 cm in this study to quantify the organic matter cycling, which we expect to proportionally
575 influence carbon stocks and molecular composition in the deeper soil layers. By taking a
576 targeted and multi-parameter approach to measuring surficial soil condition, our study reveals
577 novel, quantifiable changes in microbial processes and carbon preservation 25 years after
578 restoration intervention that underpin soil function and carbon sequestration (Figure 8).

579 Importantly, this study provides a snapshot of recovery 25 years after restoration intervention,
580 showing Restored sites approaching Natural Reference conditions. While encouraging, it
581 does not clarify if recovery occurs gradually or follows a nonlinear trajectory. By linking
582 low-cost monitoring methods (e.g. soil strength) with more advanced metrics of recovery
583 (e.g. decay metrics and molecular indicators of OM composition) and understanding the
584 interaction between these, more accessible approaches are realised for continuous monitoring
585 of responses to restoration beyond that of carbon stocks and vegetation assessments. This
586 integrated approach better captures recovery dynamics, informing restoration benefits and the

587 condition of ecosystem functions, focusing on carbon quality over quantity (Strobl et al.,
588 2019). As such, our study provides novel molecular indicators, linked to more commonly
589 used soil and decomposition metrics, to produce insight into the mechanisms that contribute
590 to soil formation and function in restored saltmarsh ecosystems.

591

592 **Data availability**

593 Data will be made available upon request to the corresponding author(s).

594

595 **Supplementary material**

596 [Link inserted by Copernicus publications]

597

598 **Author Contribution statement**

599 S.K.B.O., P.I.M., P.E.C. & S.T-T. conceptualised and designed the study. S.K.B.O. and S.T-T.
600 collected the data. S.T-T., A.A., J.K. & S.N. analysed the data. S.K.B.O., A.A. & S.T-T. wrote
601 the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafting and revising of the
602 manuscript.

603

604 **Disclaimer**

605 Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text,
606 published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this
607 paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names,

608 the final responsibility lies with the authors. Views expressed in the text are those of the
609 authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

610

611 **Acknowledgements**

612 We thank Dr Anne Yusuf for her insightful suggestions during the revision and Randy Tackett
613 for the conceptual design development. We thank Katie Allen, Dr Noyan Yilmaz, Rory Crofts
614 and the volunteers for their assistance. Thank you Dr Anthony Rendall for statistical analysis
615 advice. We acknowledge the Wadawurrung and Wurundjeri people as the traditional owners,
616 caretakers and scientists of land and sea where this work took place. Abode Illustrator AI
617 features were used to help create the conceptual design.

618

619 **Financial support**

620 This study was funded by and S.T.T. was supported by an Australian Research Council
621 DECRA Fellowship (DE210101029).

622

623

624 References

- 625 Adame, M., Zakaria, R., Fry, B., Chong, V., Then, Y., Brown, C., & Lee, S. (2018). Loss and
626 recovery of carbon and nitrogen after mangrove clearing. *Ocean & Coastal*
627 *Management*, 161, 117–126.
628 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.04.019>
- 629 Arnaud, M., Bakhos, M., Rumpel, C., Dignac, M.-F., Bottinelli, N., Norby, R. J., Geairon, P.,
630 Deborde, J., Kostyrka, P., & Gernigon, J. (2024). Salt marsh litter decomposition
631 varies more by litter type than by extent of sea-level inundation. *Communications*
632 *Earth & Environment*, 5(1), 686. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01855-0)
633 [01855-0](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01855-0)
- 634 Bayraktarov, E., Brisbane, S., Hagger, V., Smith, C. S., Wilson, K. A., Lovelock, C. E.,
635 Gillies, C., Steven, A. D., & Saunders, M. I. (2020). Priorities and motivations of
636 marine coastal restoration research. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 484.
637 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00484>
- 638 Benjamin, A., Benedicte, D., Chaumillon, E., Rumpel, C., Dignac, M. F., Felbacq, A.,
639 Schmidt, S., Destampes, M., Arnaud, M., Metzger, E., Lacoue-Labarthe, T., & Dupuy,
640 C. (2026). Organic carbon composition and preservation in macrotidal coastal wetland
641 sediment: insights from biomarkers and isotopic signatures. *Sci Total Environ*, 1020,
642 181542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2026.181542>
- 643 Brooks, H., Moeller, I., Spencer, T., Royse, K., Price, S., & Kirkham, M. (2022). How strong
644 are salt marshes? Geotechnical properties of coastal wetland soils. *Earth Surface*
645 *Processes and Landforms*, 47(6), 1390–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5322>
- 646 Burden, A., Garbutt, R., Evans, C., Jones, D., & Cooper, D. (2013). Carbon sequestration and
647 biogeochemical cycling in a saltmarsh subject to coastal managed realignment.
648 *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 120, 12–20.
- 649 Burger, D., Bauke, S., Schneider, F., Kappenberg, A., & Gocke, M. (2024). Root-derived
650 carbon stocks in formerly deep-ploughed soils—A biomarker-based approach. *Organic*
651 *Geochemistry*, 190, 104756.
652 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2024.104756>
- 653 Cadier, C., Bayraktarov, E., Piccolo, R., & Adame, M. F. (2020). Indicators of coastal
654 wetlands restoration success: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7,
655 600220. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.600220>
- 656 Cahoon, D. R., McKee, K. L., & Morris, J. T. (2021). How plants influence resilience of salt
657 marsh and mangrove wetlands to sea-level rise. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 44(4), 883–
658 898. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00834-w>
- 659 Carnell, P. E., Palacios, M. M., Waryszak, P., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Masqué, P., &
660 Macreadie, P. I. (2022). Blue carbon drawdown by restored mangrove forests
661 improves with age. *Journal of environmental management*, 306, 114301.
662 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114301>
- 663 Challinor, J. M. (2001). The development and applications of thermally assisted hydrolysis
664 and methylation reactions. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 61(1-2), 3–34.
665 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370\(01\)00146-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370(01)00146-2)
- 666 Chang, E. R., Veeneklaas, R. M., Bakker, J. P., Daniels, P., & Esselink, P. (2016). What
667 factors determined restoration success of a salt marsh ten years after de-embankment?
668 *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19(1), 66–77.
669 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12195>
- 670 Chirol, C., Spencer, K. L., Carr, S. J., Möller, I., Evans, B., Lynch, J., Brooks, H., & Royse,
671 K. R. (2021). Effect of vegetation cover and sediment type on 3D subsurface structure

- and shear strength in saltmarshes. *Earth surface processes and landforms*, 46(11), 2279–2297. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5174>
- Curcó, A., Ibáñez, C., Day, J. W., & Prat, N. (2002). Net primary production and decomposition of salt marshes of the Ebre Delta (Catalonia, Spain). *Estuaries*, 25(3), 309–324. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02695976>
- Daniel, J., Potter, K., Altom, W., Aljoe, H., & Stevens, R. (2002). Long-term grazing density impacts on soil compaction. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 45(6), 1911–1915. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.11442>
- Davidson, N. C. (2016). Wetland losses and the status of wetland-dependent species. In C. Finlayson, G. Milton, R. Prentice, & N. C. Davidson (Eds.), *The wetland book* (pp. 1–14). Springer. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6173-5_197-1
- Ferreira, F. P., Vidal-Torrado, P., Buurman, P., Macias, F., Otero, X. L., & Boluda, R. (2009). Pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry of soil organic matter extracted from a Brazilian mangrove and Spanish salt marshes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73(3), 841–851.
- Gifford, R. M., & Roderick, M. L. (2003). Soil carbon stocks and bulk density: spatial or cumulative mass coordinates as a basis of expression? *Global Change Biology*, 9(11), 1507–1514. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00677.x>
- Greiner, J. T., McGlathery, K. J., Gunnell, J., & McKee, B. A. (2013). Seagrass restoration enhances “blue carbon” sequestration in coastal waters. *PloS one*, 8(8), e72469. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072469>
- Gulliver, A., Carnell, P. E., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Duarte de Paula Costa, M., Masqué, P., & Macreadie, P. I. (2020). Estimating the potential blue carbon gains from tidal marsh rehabilitation: A case study from south eastern Australia. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 403. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00403>
- Harvey, R. J., Garbutt, A., Hawkins, S. J., & Skov, M. W. (2019). No detectable broad-scale effect of livestock grazing on soil blue-carbon stock in salt marshes. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 151.
- He, Q., Li, Z. a., Daleo, P., Lefcheck, J. S., Thomsen, M. S., Adams, J. B., & Bouma, T. J. (2025). Coastal wetland resilience through local, regional and global conservation. *Nature Reviews Biodiversity*, 1(1), 50–67. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s44358-024-00004-x>
- He, Y., Buch, A., Szopa, C., Williams, A. J., Millan, M., Guzman, M., Freissinet, C., Malespin, C., Glavin, D. P., Eigenbrode, J. L., Coscia, D., Teinturier, S., Cabane, M., & Mahaffy, P. (2020). The search for organic compounds with TMAH thermochemolysis: from Earth analyses to space exploration experiments. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 127, 115896. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.115896>
- Howard, J., Hoyt, S., Isensee, K., Pidgeon, E., & Telszewski, M. (2014). *Coastal Blue Carbon: Methods for assessing carbon stocks and emissions factors in mangroves, tidal salt marshes, and seagrass meadows*. UNESCO.
- Ibanez-Alvarez, M., Baraza, E., Serrano, E., Romero-Munar, A., Cardona, C., Bartolome, J., & Krumins, J. A. (2022). Ungulates alter plant cover without consistent effect on soil ecosystem functioning. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 326, 107796.
- Kaal, J., Martinez Cortizas, A., Mateo, M.-A., & Serrano, O. (2020). Deciphering organic matter sources and ecological shifts in blue carbon ecosystems based on molecular fingerprinting. *Science of the Total Environment*, 742, 140554, Article 140554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140554>
- Keuskamp, J. A., Dingemans, B. J., Lehtinen, T., Sarneel, J. M., & Hefting, M. M. (2013). Tea Bag Index: a novel approach to collect uniform decomposition data across

ecosystems. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(11), 1070–1075.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12097>

Kirwan, M., Langley, J., Guntenspergen, G. R., & Megonigal, J. (2013). The impact of sea-level rise on organic matter decay rates in Chesapeake Bay brackish tidal marshes. *Biogeosciences*, 10(3), 1869–1876.

Kolattukudy, P. E. (2001). Polyesters in higher plants. In W. Babel & A. Steinbüchel (Eds.), *Biopolyesters* (pp. 1–49). Springer. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-40021-4_1

Laegdsgaard, P. (2006). Ecology, disturbance and restoration of coastal saltmarsh in Australia: a review. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 14, 379–399.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-005-8827-z>

Ledford, T. C., Mortazavi, B., Tatarw, C., Starr, S. F., Smyth, E., Wood, A. G., Simpson, L. T., & Cherry, J. A. (2021). Ecosystem carbon exchange and nitrogen removal rates in two 33-year-old constructed salt marshes are similar to those in a nearby natural marsh. *Restoration Ecology*, 29(7), e13439.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13439>

Legesse, F., Degefa, S., & Soromessa, T. (2024). Estimating carbon stock using vegetation indices and empirical data in the upper awash river basin. *Discover Environment*, 2(1), 137. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s44274-024-00165-8>

Lovelock, C. E., Adame, M. F., Bradley, J., Dittmann, S., Hagger, V., Hickey, S. M., Hutley, L. B., Jones, A., Kelleway, J. J., & Lavery, P. S. (2022). An Australian blue carbon method to estimate climate change mitigation benefits of coastal wetland restoration. *Restoration Ecology*, 31, e13739. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13739>

Macreadie, P. I., Akhand, A., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Duarte, C. M., Baldock, J., Bowen, J. L., & Connolly, R. M. (2025). Stabilisation and destabilisation of coastal blue carbon: The key factors. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 105133.

Macreadie, P. I., Costa, M. D., Atwood, T. B., Friess, D. A., Kelleway, J. J., Kennedy, H., Lovelock, C. E., Serrano, O., & Duarte, C. M. (2021). Blue carbon as a natural climate solution. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2, 826–839.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00224-1>

Meier, C. L., & Bowman, W. D. (2008). Links between plant litter chemistry, species diversity, and below-ground ecosystem function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(50), 19780–19785.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805600105>

Meli, P., Rey Benayas, J. M., Balvanera, P., & Martínez Ramos, M. (2014). Restoration enhances wetland biodiversity and ecosystem service supply, but results are context-dependent: a meta-analysis. *PloS one*, 9(4), e93507.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093507>

Mueller, P., Hai Thi, D., Jensen, K., & Nolte, S. (2019). Origin of organic carbon in the topsoil of Wadden Sea salt marshes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 624, 39–50.
<https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13009>

Mueller, P., Schile-Beers, L. M., Mozdzer, T. J., Chmura, G. L., Dinter, T., Kuzyakov, Y., Groot, A. V. d., Esselink, P., Smit, C., D'Alpaos, A., Ibanez, C., Lazarus, M., Neumeier, U., Johnson, B. J., Baldwin, A. H., Yarwood, S. A., Montemayor, D. I., Yang, Z., Wu, J., ... Nolte, S. (2018). Global-change effects on early-stage decomposition processes in tidal wetlands—implications from a global survey using standardized litter. *Biogeosciences*, 15(10), 3189–3202.

Nordström, M. C., Demopoulos, A. W., Whitcraft, C. R., Rismondo, A., McMillan, P., Gonzalez, J. P., & Levin, L. A. (2015). Food web heterogeneity and succession in

Formatted: Danish

- created saltmarshes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(5), 1343–1354.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12473>
- Olsen, Y. S., Dausse, A., Garbutt, A., Ford, H., Thomas, D. N., & Jones, D. L. (2011). Cattle grazing drives nitrogen and carbon cycling in a temperate salt marsh. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(3), 531–541.
- Ouyang, X., Lee, S. Y., & Connolly, R. M. (2017). The role of root decomposition in global mangrove and saltmarsh carbon budgets. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 166, 53–63.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.01.004>
- Pages, J. F., Jenkins, S. R., Bouma, T. J., Sharps, E., & Skov, M. W. (2019). Opposing indirect effects of domestic herbivores on saltmarsh erosion. *Ecosystems*, 22(5), 1055–1068. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-018-0322-5>
- Pennings, S. C., Glazner, R. M., Hughes, Z. J., Kominoski, J. S., & Armitage, A. R. (2021). Effects of mangrove cover on coastal erosion during a hurricane in Texas, USA. *Ecology*, 102, e03309. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3309>
- Petraglia, A., Cacciatori, C., Chelli, S., Fenu, G., Calderisi, G., Gargano, D., Abeli, T., Orsenigo, S., & Carbognani, M. (2019). Litter decomposition: effects of temperature driven by soil moisture and vegetation type. *Plant and Soil*, 435(1-2), 187–200.
- Pineiro-Juncal, N., Diaz-Almela, E., Leiva-Duenas, C., Deulofeu, O., Frigola, J., Soler, M., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Giralt, S., Garcia-Orellana, J., & Angel Mateo, M. (2021). Processes driving seagrass soils composition along the western Mediterranean: The case of the southeast Iberian Peninsula. *Science of the Total Environment*, 768, 144352, Article 144352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144352>
- Prahalad, V. N. (2014). Human impacts and saltmarsh loss in the Circular Head coast, north-west Tasmania, 1952–2006: implications for management. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 20(3), 272–285. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1071/PC140272>
- Rayment, G., & Lyons, D. (2011). Carbonates by pressure change-transducer (Method 19B2). In G. Rayment & D. Lyons (Eds.), *Australian Laboratory Handbook of Soil and Water Chemical Methods*. Inkata Press, Melbourne, Australia (pp. 420–422).
- Rowland, P. I., & Lovelock, C. E. (2024). Global impacts of introduced ungulates on wetland carbon and biodiversity: A review. *Biological Conservation*, 290, 110432.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2023.110432>
- Rupprecht, F., Wanner, A., Stock, M., & Jensen, K. (2015). Succession in salt marshes—large-scale and long-term patterns after abandonment of grazing and drainage. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 18(1), 86–98.
- Santini, N. S., Lovelock, C. E., Hua, Q., Zawadzki, A., Mazumder, D., Mercer, T. R., Muñoz-Rojas, M., Hardwick, S. A., Madala, B. S., Cornwell, W., Thomas, T., Marzinelli, E. M., Adam, P., Paul, S., & Vergés, A. (2019). Natural and Regenerated Saltmarshes Exhibit Similar Soil and Belowground Organic Carbon Stocks, Root Production and Soil Respiration. *Ecosystems*, 22(8), 1803–1822. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00373-x>
- Scarton, F., Day, J. W., & Rismondo, A. (2002). Primary production and decomposition of *Sarcocornia fruticosa* (L.) Scott and *Phragmites australis* Trin. ex Steudel in the Po Delta, Italy. *Estuaries*, 25(3), 325–336.
<https://doi.org/https://www.jstor.org/stable/1352958>
- Sherrod, L., Dunn, G., Peterson, G., & Kolberg, R. (2002). Inorganic carbon analysis by modified pressure-calciometer method. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 66(1), 299–305. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2002.2990>
- Sloane, D. R., Ens, E., Wunungmurra, Y., Gumana, Y., Wunungmurra, B., Wirrpanda, M., Towler, G., Preece, D., & Rangers, Y. (2021). Lessons from old fenced plots: Ecocultural Impacts of feral ungulates and potential decline in sea-level rise resilience of

- coastal floodplains in northern Australia. *Ecological management & restoration*, 22(2), 191–203. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12464>
- Strobl, K., Kollmann, J., & Teixeira, L. H. (2019). Integrated assessment of ecosystem recovery using a multifunctionality approach. *Ecosphere*, 10(11), e02930. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2930>
- Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Brodersen, K. E., & Macreadie, P. I. (2020). Effects of elevated temperature on microbial breakdown of seagrass leaf and tea litter biomass. *Biogeochemistry*, 151, 171–185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00715-1>
- Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Kepfer-Rojas, S., Engelen, A. H., York, P. H., Ola, A., Li, J., Kelleway, J. J., Jinks, K. I., Jackson, E. L., Adame, M. F., Pendall, E., Lovelock, C. E., Connolly, R. M., Watson, A., Visby, L., Trethowan, A., Taylor, B., Roberts, T. N. B., Petch, J., ... Macreadie, P. I. (2021). Ecosystem type drives tea litter decomposition and associated prokaryotic microbiome communities in freshwater and coastal wetlands at a continental scale. *Science of the Total Environment*, 782, 146819.
- Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Kepfer-Rojas, S., Malerba, M., Macreadie, P. I., Djukic, I., Zhao, J., Young, E. B., York, P. H., Yeh, S.-C., & Xiong, Y. (2024). Climate effects on belowground tea litter decomposition depend on ecosystem and organic matter types in global wetlands. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 58(49), 21589–21603.
- Turner, R. E. (2011). Beneath the salt marsh canopy: loss of soil strength with increasing nutrient loads. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 34(5), 1084–1093. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-010-9341-y>
- Veenklaas, R. M., Koppelaar, E. C., Bakker, J. P., & Esselink, P. (2015). Salinization during salt-marsh restoration after managed realignment. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 19, 405–415. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-015-0390-z>
- Voorhees, K. J. (2013). *Analytical pyrolysis: Techniques and applications*. Elsevier.
- Wampler, T. P. (2006). *Applied pyrolysis handbook* (2 ed.). CRC press.
- Ward, N. D., Morrison, E. S., Liu, Y., Rivas-Ubach, A., Osborne, T. Z., Ogram, A. V., & Bianchi, T. S. (2019). Marine microbial community responses related to wetland carbon mobilization in the coastal zone. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters*, 4(1), 25–33.
- Wasson, K., Tanner, K. E., Woolfolk, A., McCain, S., & Suraci, J. P. (2021). Top-down and sideways: Herbivory and cross-ecosystem connectivity shape restoration success at the salt marsh-upland ecotone. *PloS one*, 16(2), e0247374. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247374>
- Xiao, Y., Wang, J., Wang, B., Fan, B., & Zhou, G. (2025). Soil microbial network complexity predicts soil multifunctionality better than soil microbial diversity during grassland-farmland-shrubland conversion on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 379, 109356. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGEE.2024.109356>