

Dear Dr Arabas

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a revision of our paper. We have carefully considered the comments from both reviewers and revised our manuscript accordingly. We have already submitted a point-by-point response in the author comments, which we have also included below. With this submission, please find the revised manuscript as well as a latexdiff version.

Please let us know if any issues remained.

Yours sincerely

On behalf of the authors

Sebastian Schubert

## Answers to Reviewer 1

### 1. Treatment of Vegetation

Is it possible to test the accuracy of LAI and LAD estimation for the vegetation patch case (shown in 2.3.2)? In my understanding, there are some assumptions or parameterizations (e.g.,  $\lambda_{LAI}$  in equation 2) that have been made to obtain profiles of LAI and LAD values. To present the validation result can be useful to show the robustness of the process that the authors proposed. Additionally, the estimation of vertical profiles of LAD is a little unclear. Both equations (3) and (4) estimate LAD(z), but I cannot understand which value was used. Can users select these two equations for estimation?

Thank you for pointing out this important issue. A systematic and detailed study of the LAI and LAD of different tree species is very involved. This includes the scanning of trees with with an airborne or terrestrial Lidar device, the processing of the resulting point cloud, and the derivation of LAI and LAD. The latter step needs to include corrections for areas that were not (fully) reached by the laser scan (occlusion). Thus, such work is out of the scope of this paper and we have to build on the few existing papers with general statements, in particular Markkanen et al. (2003) and Lalic and Mihailovic (2004). Yes, the user can choose which LAD profile to use in `palm_csd`. We adjusted the text to be more explicit:

“Depending on the user’s choice, one of the following continuous vertical LAD profiles is assumed for each identified vegetation patch pixel:...”

The linear relationship between LAI and vegetation height in (2) was used in Vogel et al. (2025) and is supported by a study of Zhang et al. (2019). We added the latter in the discussion:

“Zhang et al. (2019) showed for a forest in northeast China that LAI tends to linearly increase with tree height, among other variables, which justifies our approximation in (2) to a certain extent.”

We plan to extend the species-dependent treatment of trees by using allometric functions, as mentioned in the discussion. We added a potential source of these functions to further elaborate on this aspect:

“In addition, we also plan to extend the tree database with species-dependent allometric functions (e.g. Moser-Reischl et al., 2025) to more realistically represent trees of different ages.”

## 2. 2D and 3D building treatment

The authors mentioned the plan to support the 3D building input. I think that it should be helpful to add some explanation of the limitations that users encounter when using 2D data. For example, authors have said that the current version already supports a bridge-like structure, but can the 3D data further support more complicated building shapes? Also, authors mentioned that building parameters can be given for individual buildings and based on a 2D raster, but can the 3D data further support more complicated parameter distribution? Please consider adding an explanation of the current parameter settings provided via 2D building data, especially its limitations, and the future parameter setting plans via 3D building data would be helpful to users.

Thank you for pointing out our shortcoming. Yes, with a full 3D building data input, more complicated structures would be possible, which is supported by the recently extended urban canopy radiation scheme RTM. The assignment of single building surface parameters will depend on the capabilities of the 3D building data format. We added this information to the discussion:

“Currently, as of version 25.10, `palm_csd` creates building data from 2D input data only. For standard buildings, this means that only 2.5D structures can be generated, i.e. buildings with horizontally varying height but without vertical gaps or holes. The only exceptions are bridge structures. Here, however, the structural depth is constant for each domain and input data is usually not readily available. For both building and bridges, building surface parameters can only be assigned for ground floor, above ground floor and roof levels (see Table 2a and 2c). Support for fully 3D structures including downward-facing surfaces was recently added to PALM’s default radiation scheme for the urban canopy, RTM (Radović et al., 2026). Thus, for future versions of `palm_csd`, we plan to add support for the input of 3D building data, e.g. in the CityGML format (Open Geospatial Consortium, 2023). In particular, parameters for the newly developed cut-cell method will be implemented once it is fully evaluated and documented. Currently, only PALM-GEM can generate the required input parameters but also requires the set-up of a PostgreSQL database on the system. For our extension, the per-surface definition of building surface parameters will depend on the capabilities of the input format and the availability of tools to define these parameters in a 3D way. Note that it is not planned to extend `palm_csd` with a graphical editor for input data.”

## 3. Please consider adding some explanation on *residential\_1951\_2000* at L.115.

Thank you for your advice. We added some information:

“If the building type is not given, it is set to `residential_1951_2000` with building surface parameters representing residential buildings built between 1951 and 2000.”

**4. Please consider adding some explanation on the meaning of `_2` adding to the parameter name at L.129. Does it mean the second roof layer?**

Thank you for carefully checking the parameter names. Yes, `_2` means the second roof layer as explained in this sentence:

“For example, setting the `building_heat_conductivity_wall_roof_2` attribute or supplying the respective raster data will set the heat conductivity of the second roof layer with the first layer being the outermost layer.”

## Answers to Reviewer 2

### Major comments

#### 1. Data availability

I understand that the authors have provided several links to the data used in this paper in the main text. Can the authors provide a summary of data availability for better accessibility and reproducibility? This will help users replicate and prepare their simulations. The Zenodo link also contains the data used in the code, but I failed to identify which data is used for each case. Please clarify this and point readers to the exact documentation (e.g., README files), and update the documentation, as this is not clear.

Thank you for your advice. Indeed, the data is hard to find on the web page of Berlin, mainly because Berlin has been restructuring the page for several months. Furthermore, the files included in the `palm_csd` Zenodo file mirror the source code repository and, thus, the data files are mostly meant for automatic testing only. In order to make our example easier to reproduce, we collected all required data in another Zenodo archive (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026) with a detailed README.md file. Thus, we added in section 3.1:

“All required data is available for download as a single archive (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026).”

In the code and data availability section, we added

“The required exemplary input data for the building-resolving set-up for Berlin, Germany, is available under the Data licence Germany - Zero - Version 2.0 and archived on Zenodo under <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20342892> (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026).”

We also explicitly refer to the documentation, which includes the technical details required for this example:

“For more technical details of this example, please refer to `example.md` in the `palm_csd` package or the corresponding section in the online documentation.”

## 2. Workflow and folder structure

While I understand `palm_csd` has been publicly available for many years, the workflow and folder structure are still not clear (especially to new users) by reading the papers and documentation. Can the authors provide a flowchart showing code and folder structures and the recommended workflow? This will help users to learn and pick up the tool quickly. It is also not clear which parameters are available in the configuration file.

Thank you for pointing out this shortcoming. As all technical details are described in the documentation in the Zenodo file and online, we propose to refer the reader to this documentation instead of adding more details to the paper. Thus, we added the following paragraph at the beginning of section 2:

“This tool is extensively documented in the `docs` folder of the Zenodo code package (Schubert, 2026), with its markdown files referenced throughout this paper, or under [https://docs.palm-model.org/25.10/Tools/palm\\_csd/](https://docs.palm-model.org/25.10/Tools/palm_csd/). For the latest release, replace “25.10” by “latest” in this URL. For all technical details, please refer to this documentation. Besides its different sections, it also features a complete reference of all options in the YAML file (`yaml.md`).”

The basic workflow is quite straightforward. All processed input belongs in one input folder, `palm_csd` is run and the result is written to the output folder. We added this to section 3.1:

“Once all input data have been processed as required, the files need to be placed into the input folder that is referenced in the YAML configuration of `palm_csd`. After all options are set in the YAML file, `palm_csd` is run with this file as an argument, which creates the static driver file in the output folder. For more technical details of this example, please refer to `example.md` in the `palm_csd` package or the corresponding section in the online documentation.”

We believe that a flowchart is not required for this description. The details of the actual work, the preprocessing of the data, highly depend on the availability and format of the input data, so it is hard to propose general guidelines for that. The example for Berlin can only be used as a starting point.

## 3. New features

I appreciate the list of new features in Lines 70-85, but could the authors please specify in the manuscript or point to the documentation on how to do these in the updated version? For example, which parameter should the users use for domain rotation? The authors may also want to highlight how the LCZ-based configuration can help set up simulations, particularly in regions without high-quality building data.

Thank you for pointing out this shortcoming. As discussed above, we would prefer to keep most of the technical details for the documentation of `palm_csd`. We thus added in each major section a reference to the corresponding part of the documentation, which is also included in the `palm_csd` Zenodo archive (Schubert, 2026).

Thank you also for your advice. We added this information to the description of LCZ-Wizard in section 2.8:

“We therefore recommend combining the LCZ-Wizard with `palm_csd`. Besides supporting the setup of typical urban scenario simulations, this approach is particularly suitable for systematic adaptation scenario studies. It also enables simulations of urban areas with scarce or missing input data, requiring only an LCZ classification map, which is globally available (Demuzere et al., 2022b, 2023).”

## Specific comments

### 1. Line 120-125

for bridges configuration, can the authors please point to vector or raster examples in the Zenodo folder for users to replicate? And from the configuration file I can see the raster and vector files are used directly. Please highlight that with the new features in Line 71 to give readers/users direct information on how to use `palm_csd`.

Thank you for your advice. The support for bridge structures was already present in the `palm_csd` version described in Heldens et al. (2020). It was based on input data generated by project partners specifically for one project. Unfortunately, these partners have not released their data processing tools. We have not reproduced their approaches, as we aim to fully support general 3D building data. Still, an example file is given in `tests/99_full_application/input/Berlin_building_height_3m_DLR.nc` in the Zenodo package, mostly meant for automatic tests of `palm_csd` in the soon to be deprecated netCDF input format. Thus, we propose to not highlight this feature further in our paper but tried to make the description clearer in the building section:

“In addition to 2D buildings, `palm_csd` supports bridge-like structures. These are defined by a 2D map of their upper height above the surface and a constant structural depth.”

We also added the emphasis on the “direct” processing of raster and vector data in the list of new features:

“added direct processing of georeferenced raster and vector data, including the calculation of coordinates with support for arbitrary rotation angles”

We propose to keep all technical details of the input handling for the documentation. Thus, we added the following to the Domain set-up, input files and their geographic processing section 2.1:

“Please refer to `domains_input.md` in the `palm_csd` package or the corresponding section in the online documentation for all technical details.”

### 2. Line 135

“buffer zone” – please clarify how this is done; which parameter controls this in the configuration? Or point readers to the documentation as I struggle to find this information and I would imagine other users have the same problem.

Thank you for pointing out our omission. The replacement of buildings is controlled by `building_free_border_width` and `building_free_border_pavement_type`. Similar to the other YAML keywords, we propose to refer the user to the documentation. We, however,

clarified the mechanism by adding:

“To this end, all buildings within that border are replaced by a user-selectable pavement type.”

### 3. Line 166

“The input quantities can be specified as a single file or as several vector point files, with the columns representing the respective input data or as separate corresponding raster files.” Similar to my previous comments, please give examples and point to which files users can access to replicate the case discussed here.

Thank you for your advice. We propose to keep all the technical details for the documentation of `palm_csd`. Thus, we added references to the documentation in the sections 2.1 and 3.1 for that.

### 4. Line 212

“Furthermore, a gradual overlay of the terrain height is applied to avoid sharp gradients at the nest’s boundaries.” Can the authors clarify exactly how this is done?

Thank you for pointing out our omission. We extended our description:

“Furthermore, a gradual overlay of the terrain height is applied to avoid sharp gradients at the nest’s boundaries. This is done by replacing the nest’s terrain height by a weighted average of the parent’s and the nest’s terrain height within a 50 grid cell wide border of the nest. The parent’s weight linearly decreases from 1 to 0 from the outside to the inside at the border of the nest.”

### 5. Line 245

“In contrast to Demuzere et al. (2022a), the user can choose in (6) between the arithmetic and the geometric mean of building heights.” Which parameter can the users choose? Please clarify.

Thank you for pointing out this unclear paragraph. There two different interpretations of the average building height: It could represent the arithmetic or the geometric mean of all buildings in the area. Note that in the original publication, the geometric mean is used. We thus adjusted the paragraph:

“In contrast to Demuzere et al. (2022a), the user can choose between  $H$  representing the *arithmetic* or the *geometric* mean of building heights. The calculations in (6) are adjusted accordingly. In the original tool, only the arithmetic average is used, while the LCZ definition in Stewart and Oke (2012) is based on the geometric mean.”

### 6. Line 258-259

“The input data are freely available from ...”. Please also add this to data availability.

Thank you for your advice. As described above, we added a data archive on Zenodo (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026) and added in section 3.1:

“All required data is available for download as a single archive (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026).”

## 7. Lin 265

Follow-up on the previous comment: I understand that the authors have included two data sources, but can the authors specify which website the nDSM and DTM were obtained from? This will help users search their data.

Thank you for your advice. As described above, we added a data archive on Zenodo (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026) and added in section 3.1:

“All required data is available for download as a single archive (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026).”

## 8. Line 270-275

I appreciate the detailed pre-processing steps here, but the authors may want to add something like “Similarly, other building parameters can be assigned for the building polygon in QGIS” just so readers without a GIS background could pick up the context easily.

Thank you for the proposal. We adjusted the sentences as follows:

“Similarly, other building parameters can be assigned to the building polygons, such as the albedo type, heat conductivity, heat capacity and the surface fractions on a building polygon basis or for the whole domain. In QGIS, this is done by adding a new column in the Attribute table with a user-chosen name. In palm\_csd, this column name is then mapped to the respective input data. For example, mapping the column hcon\_wa to building\_heat\_conductivity\_wall assigns the heat conductivity of all layers of all wall elements and mapping hcap\_wag\_1 to building\_heat\_capacity\_wall\_gfl\_1 assigns the heat capacity of the outermost wall layer of the ground floor.”

## 9. Line 278

“the land-use data set from ALKIS® (Amtliche Liegenschaftskatasterinformationssystem) is employed”. Please clarify what is ALKIS and is it freely available? If so, please add to the data availability section.

Thank you for pointing out our omission. We added the following description:

“ALKIS is Germany’s official, integrated information system for managing the national real estate cadastre. It combines both textual registers and graphical maps into a single, unified database to describe all land parcels and buildings across the country.”

The data is freely available and now also included in the Zenodo data archive (Schubert and State of Berlin, Germany, 2026) mentioned in section 3.1 and the data availability section.

## 10. Figure 6

Please use a colour-blind-friendly palette as the current colours contain red and green in the same figure.

Thank you for your advice. We updated the default colour palette in palm\_csd, which will be available with the next release, and used this to create the updated figures. Since this paper is about version 25.10, we slightly adjusted the reference to the figure to

“The `palm_csd` package comes with the `static_driver_stats` tool that calculates statistics and can visualize any static driver (with results, for example, similar to Fig. 6).”

### 11. Figure 7a

Same as figure 6. A colour-blind-friendly colormap would be preferred, although I understand it could be hard for this particular case.

Thank you for your advice. We carefully considered an adjustment of the colour palette but were not able to produce convincing results. In addition, the current colours represent the community-wide defaults (<https://www.wudapt.org/lcz-resources/>). We thus propose to keep the colours as is.

## References

- Demuzere, M., Argüeso, D., Zonato, A., and Kittner, J.: W2W: A Python Package That Injects WUDAPT’s Local Climate Zone Information in WRF, *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7, 4432, <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.04432>, 2022a.
- Demuzere, M., Kittner, J., Martilli, A., Mills, G., Moede, C., Stewart, I. D., van Vliet, J., and Bechtel, B.: A Global Map of Local Climate Zones to Support Earth System Modelling and Urban-Scale Environmental Science, *Earth System Science Data*, 14, 3835–3873, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-3835-2022>, 2022b.
- Demuzere, M., Kittner, J., Martilli, A., Mills, G., Moede, C., Stewart, I. D., van Vliet, J., and Bechtel, B.: Global Map of Local Climate Zones, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8419340>, 2023.
- Heldens, W., Burmeister, C., Kanani-Sühring, F., Maronga, B., Pavlik, D., Sühring, M., Zeidler, J., and Esch, T.: Geospatial input data for the PALM model system 6.0: Model requirements, data sources, and processing, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 2020, 1–62, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5833-2020>, 2020.
- Lalic, B. and Mihailovic, D. T.: An Empirical Relation Describing Leaf-Area Density inside the Forest for Environmental Modeling, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 43, 641–645, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(2004\)043<0641:AERDLLD>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<0641:AERDLLD>2.0.CO;2), 2004.
- Markkanen, T., Rannik, Ü., Marcolla, B., Cescatti, A., and Vesala, T.: Footprints and Fetches for Fluxes over Forest Canopies with Varying Structure and Density, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 106, 437–459, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021261606719>, 2003.
- Moser-Reischl, A., Franceschi, E., Rahman, M. A., Rodrigues-Leite, J., Pretzsch, H., Pauleit, S., and Rötzer, T.: Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of the Leaf Area Index (LAI) of Selected Tree Species in Urban Environments, *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 107, 128795, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2025.128795>, 2025.

- Open Geospatial Consortium: OGC City Geography Markup Language (CityGML) Part 2: GML Encoding Standard, Version 3.0, <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/CityGML-2/3.0>, 2023.
- Radović, J., Belda, M., Bureš, M., Eben, K., Geletič, J., Jura, J., Krč, P., Řezníček, H., and Resler, J.: Evaluating the Radiative Fidelity of PALM (V25.04) in High-Resolution: Impact of Diverse Urban Morphology and Vegetation on Short-Wave Radiation, *EGU-sphere*, pp. 1–33, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-1516>, 2026.
- Schubert, S.: palm\_csd 25.10, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18267017>, 2026.
- Schubert, S. and State of Berlin, Germany: palm\_csd example input data Berlin (Germany), <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20342892>, 2026.
- Stewart, I. D. and Oke, T. R.: Local Climate Zones for Urban Temperature Studies, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93, 1879–1900, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00019.1>, 2012.
- Vogel, J., Stadler, S., Chockalingam, G., Afshari, A., Henning, J., and Winkler, M.: SanDyPALM v1. 0: static and dynamic drivers for the PALM model to facilitate urban microclimate simulations, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 18, 6063–6094, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-18-6063-2025>, 2025.
- Zhang, D., Liu, J., Ni, W., Sun, G., Zhang, Z., Liu, Q., and Wang, Q.: Estimation of Forest Leaf Area Index Using Height and Canopy Cover Information Extracted From Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Stereo Imagery, *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 12, 471–481, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2019.2891519>, 2019.