

Current Dynamics, Drivers, and Vertical Thermal Structure around Sir Bu Nair Island (Arabian/Persian Gulf)

Geórgenes H. Cavalcante^{1,2*}, Filipe Vieira³, Rita Bento¹, Aaron Bartholomew⁴, and John A. Burt¹

¹Mubadala Arabian Center for Climate and Environmental Sciences (Mubadala ACCESS), NYUAD Research Institute, New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, UAE

²Institute of Atmospheric Science, Federal University of Alagoas, Brazil.

³Applied Technology and Management, Inc. – ATM a Geosyntec Company, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

⁴Biology, Chemistry and Environmental Science Department, American University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

*Corresponding author: ghc2441@nyu.edu

Abstract

Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN) in the central Arabian Gulf is one of the last locations in the UAE's Gulf waters to retain extensive and well-developed coral reefs, whereas many nearshore environments have experienced severe degradation following recurrent marine heatwaves. Although tides, winds, and subtidal circulation are thought to influence reef-level thermal conditions at SBN, the local physical processes governing circulation and temperature variability have not previously been resolved from in situ observations. Here, we analysed a 17-month record (May 2021–October 2022) of currents, sea level, and temperature from bottom-mounted ADCPs and multi-depth temperature moorings, complemented by wind reanalysis and long-term regional satellite and model products. Currents at SBN were strongly anisotropic and aligned along a dominant principal axis, reflecting topographic steering around the island. Currents were substantially stronger and more directionally organized at the northwest site than at the southern site, demonstrating pronounced spatial variability around the island. Tidal forcing dominated current variability, explaining up to ~80% of the along-axis variance at the northwest site but substantially less in the cross-axis direction and at the southern site. Wind–current coupling

was strongest at subtidal timescales, with rapid (0–2 h) responses consistent with local barotropic adjustment to wind forcing. Summer temperatures exceeded 35 °C, and vertical temperature differences of 2–4 °C developed during late spring and summer before undergoing rapid transitions toward thermal homogeneity. The diurnal band accounted for much of the variability in vertical temperature structure, with additional variability at synoptic (2–4-day) timescales associated with wind, sea level, and currents. Long-term regional analyses showed that the exceptionally warm conditions observed in 2021 extended across the southeastern Gulf, whereas regional current speeds remained within historical variability. Together, the observations indicated that changes in vertical thermal structure were associated with interacting tidal, atmospheric, and lower-frequency circulation processes rather than a single mechanism. These findings provide the first integrated observational description of circulation and thermal structure at SBN and highlight how regional forcing and local topographic modification combine to produce spatially and temporally heterogeneous conditions around an isolated reef system in the central Gulf.

Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN) in the central Arabian Gulf is one of the last locations in the UAE's Gulf waters to retain extensive and well-developed coral reefs, whereas many nearshore environments have experienced severe degradation following recurrent marine heatwaves. Although tides, winds and subtidal circulation are thought to influence reef-level thermal conditions at SBN, the local physical processes governing circulation and temperature variability have not previously been resolved from in situ observations. Here, we analyse a 17-month record (May 2021–October 2022) of currents, sea level, and temperature from bottom-mounted ADCPs and multi-depth temperature moorings, complemented by reanalysis of winds. Currents at SBN are strongly anisotropic and aligned along a dominant principal axis, reflecting topographic steering around the island. At the more exposed northwest site, upper-layer along-axis velocities commonly reach -0.6 m s^{-1} , with episodic peaks approaching $0.8\text{--}1.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, while at the southern site, flows are weaker, with typical upper-layer velocities of -0.3 m s^{-1} and peaks of $-0.5\text{--}0.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Tidal forcing dominates the instantaneous flow, explaining up to ~80% of the along-axis current variance at the northwest site but substantially less in the cross-axis direction and at the southern site, leaving significant subtidal variability. Wind-current coupling is

~~strongest at subtidal timescales, with rapid (0–2 h) responses consistent with local barotropic adjustment to wind forcing. Temperature observations reveal pronounced seasonal variability, with summer surface temperatures exceeding 35 °C and annual excursions of more than 15 °C across the water column. A persistent seasonal thermocline develops during late spring and summer, with vertical temperature differences of 2–4 °C. This stratification is repeatedly disrupted by rapid mixing events lasting 2–3 days, during which the water column becomes nearly homogeneous. Spectral and variance analyses show that stratification variability is dominated by diurnal processes, with additional modulation at synoptic (2–4 day) timescales. The results indicate that tidal mixing alone is insufficient to suppress stratification and that episodic destratification arises from the combined influence of wind forcing and subtidal variability acting on a preconditioned water column. These findings provide the first integrated observational description of circulation and thermal structure at SBN, demonstrating how topographic control, tides, winds, and subtidal variability interact to regulate stratification, vertical exchange and transport processes around an isolated reef system in the central Gulf.~~

1 Introduction

The Arabian/Persian Gulf (hereafter “the Gulf”) is a shallow, semi-enclosed marginal sea characterized by strong evaporation, limited freshwater input, and intense atmospheric forcing (Campos et al., 2020; Ibrahim and Eltahir, 2019; Ranjbar et al., 2020). Circulation results from the interaction of density-driven flow, wind forcing, and tides, producing a basin-scale cyclonic gyre currents of typically 0.05–0.20 m s⁻¹, and strong synoptic variability associated with north-westerly (*Shamal*) winds (Langodan et al., 2023; Cavalcante et al., 2016; Al Senafi and Anis, 2015). While tides dominate instantaneous currents, winds and density gradients play a key role in subtidal variability and vertical exchange (Al Azhar et al., 2016; Akbari et al., 2016; Alosairi et al., 2011).

The Gulf also exhibits a highly variable stratification regime driven by seasonal heating. During summer, surface heating promotes the formation of a shallow thermocline, particularly under weak wind conditions, with subsurface waters remaining several degrees cooler than the surface (Hassanzadeh et al., 2011; Alosairi et al., 2011; Yao and Johns, 2010b). At the same time, energetic tidal currents and synoptic wind systems, including

94 *Shamal* events, can intermittently disrupt stratification, leading to rapid vertical mixing and
95 water-column homogenization (Yao and Johns, 2010a; Thoppil and Hogan, 2010; Chao et
96 al., 1992). Although these processes are relatively well described at basin scales, their
97 interaction with local bathymetry and their role in controlling vertical exchange at smaller
98 spatial scales remain poorly understood.

99 Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN), located in the central southern Gulf, provides a natural
100 setting to investigate these processes. The island lies within the pathway of basin-scale
101 circulation and is characterized by steep surrounding bathymetry, with depths reaching ~30
102 m within 3–5 km of the shoreline (Cavalcante et al., 2024; Bento et al., 2021). The
103 interaction between incoming flow and this topography is expected to promote flow
104 steering, current intensification, and localized mixing, consistent with topographically
105 constrained environments, where tidal propagation is modified and shear-driven processes
106 enhance vertical exchange (Lowe and Falter, 2015; Simpson et al., 1990; Wolanski and
107 Hamner, 1988).

108 Earlier research on SBN has focused mainly on the continued resilience of
109 extensive, well-developed coral reefs communities at the island compared to other parts of
110 the Gulf, where recurrent marine heatwaves have resulted in the near collapse of coral
111 reefs, particularly within the southern Gulf basin (Hadj-Hammou et al., 2025; Bento et al.,
112 2021). Studies based on numerical models have highlighted the potential role of mesoscale
113 and sub-mesoscale features in transporting larvae from SBN to coastal reef systems along
114 the southern Gulf margin (Cavalcante et al., 2020; Riegl et al., 2017; Cavalcante et al.,
115 2016), suggesting that physical circulation processes at SBN may have broader regional
116 implications for reef connectivity and recovery. Moreover, recent studies have suggested
117 that heat-sensitive corals (*Acropora* spp.) may have continued to persist at SBN due to the
118 buffering effect of deeper, cooler waters surrounding the island, which mitigate extreme
119 summer temperatures (Hadj-Hammou et al., 2025; Cavalcante et al., 2024; Bejarano et al.,
120 2022).

121 To our knowledge, the only study providing direct observational insights into the
122 oceanographic conditions at SBN is based on a short (~6-week) study in 2021, which
123 reported near-surface current speeds of up to ~0.8 m s⁻¹ and abrupt temperature variations
124 with daily vertical ranges of ~4 °C (Cavalcante et al., 2024). Despite this, direct

125 observational data on circulation and stratification dynamics at SBN ~~remain~~remains
126 limited.

127 Here, we use a multi-instrument observational dataset to investigate the physical
128 processes controlling circulation and thermal structure at SBN. We (i) characterize the
129 dominant circulation regime, (ii) quantify the relative roles of tidal and wind forcing across
130 multiple timescales, and (iii) examine the mechanisms responsible for episodic
131 stratification and rapid mixing. We hypothesize that circulation is strongly modulated by
132 local bathymetry, that tides dominate instantaneous flow but not stratification variability,
133 and that stratification collapse results from the interaction between synoptic and higher-
134 frequency forcing.

136 2. Material and Methods

137 2.1 Study area

138 Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN) located ~70 km offshore from the southeast coast of the
139 UAE lies within a well-enforced protected area with limited human disturbances (Hadj-
140 Hammou et al., 2025), which extends over 49.6 km², including ~36.3 km² of marine habitat
141 surrounding the island (Fig. 1) (Bento et al., 2021). The island is surrounded by a relatively
142 steep bathymetry gradient reaching up to ~30 m depth just ~3–5 km from shore (Fig. 1)
143 (Cavalcante et al., 2024). Geologically, SBN Island's near-circular shape and the
144 surrounding steep bathymetric gradient result from the surface expression of a Hormuz salt
145 dome rising from the stable shelf of the Arabian basement, influencing local seafloor
146 topography (Ellison et al., 2021; Thomas et al., 2015).

147 The dominant astronomical constituents responsible for the water level fluctuations
148 in the Gulf are the principal semi-diurnal (M₂, S₂) and diurnal (K₁, O₁) tides (Fig. 2 – shows
149 M₂ and K₁) (Akbari et al., 2016). While the Gulf as a whole is often described as mixed,
150 mainly semi-diurnal, the location of SBN is near the central area where the relationship
151 between the semi-diurnal and diurnal tides is crucial (Burt and Paparella, 2024). Previous
152 studies of current meter data near SBN have shown that the local hydrodynamic conditions
153 are influenced by both the diurnal (~24 h) and semi-diurnal (12.5 h) tidal periods (Fig. 2),
154 leading to a complex daily pattern of water flow (Cavalcante et al., 2024).




Figure 1. The top panel presents the deployment sites around Sir Bu Nair (SBN) Island, while the bottom panel shows the location of the oceanographic buoy. Depth contours in the top panel were adjusted to enhance visualization of the pronounced bathymetric gradient surrounding the island. ADCP moorings are designated as SBN-NW (northwest ADCP) and SBN-S (south ADCP). Temperature loggers deployed in the northwest, northeast, and southern sectors are referred to as SBN-NW, SBN-NE, and SBN-S, respectively. Buoy location is referred to as (+).




Figure 2. Amplitudes in m (shaded in colors) overlaid with phase contours of the major tidal constituents (M2 and K1) generated from TPXO10-atlas models (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002).

2.1 In situ observations ~~Oceanographic Measurements~~

Time-series measurements of vertical currents, temperature, and absolute water depth were collected and analyzed around Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN). Two ADCP moorings were deployed (Fig. 1). On the northwest flank (SBN-NW; 25.251°N, 54.194°E), an upward-looking Nortek Signature 1000 kHz ADCP was bottom-mounted at ~17 m water depth. The instrument sampled with 0.5 m vertical bins, averaging 120 pings at 1 Hz into 2-min ensembles, saved every 20 min, from 18 May 2021 to 31 Oct 2022. On the south side (SBN-S; 25.208°N, 54.221°E), a bottom-mounted Teledyne RDI Workhorse Sentinel 600 kHz ADCP at ~18 m depth used a comparable setup, but due to an equipment malfunction produced two shorter records: 18 May 2021-21 Jul 2021 and 21 Aug 2021-19 Sep 2021.

To characterize the vertical thermal structure at SBN, six moorings equipped with HOBO (TidbiT) temperature loggers were deployed across near-surface to near-bottom depths (refer to Table 1). However, only two arrays were successfully recovered. The SBN-S temperature mooring was co-located with the ADCP frame at ~18 m depth, while the SBN-NE mooring was located ~750 m offshore at ~16 m depth (Fig. 1). Both arrays recorded hourly temperature data from 1 August 2021 to 30 October 2022. Although the SBN-NW temperature array was not recovered, thermal conditions on the northwest flank were partially reconstructed using an earlier deployment of array of temperature loggers attached to the ADCP frame at nominal depths of 4 and 9 m, which provided hourly data from 19 May to 19 September 2021. Earlier temperature records at SBN-S were also incorporated to extend temporal coverage. All records were quality-controlled and harmonized to a common temporal resolution prior to analysis. Details of data availability and integration are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Temperature data availability by site and depth .

Site	Depth m	StartTime	EndTime	N obs
SBN-NW	4	19/05/2021 00:00	02/09/2021 06:00	2551
SBN-NW	9	19/05/2021 00:00	19/09/2021 00:00	2953
SBN-NW	18	19/05/2021 00:00	30/10/2022 17:00	12714
SBN-NE	3	01/08/2021 17:00	17/03/2022 21:00	5477
SBN-NE	6	01/08/2021 17:00	01/08/2022 00:00	8744

SBN-NE	9	01/08/2021 17:00	30/10/2022 17:00	10921
SBN-NE	12	01/08/2021 17:00	30/10/2022 17:00	10921
SBN-S	4	19/05/2021 00:00	19/09/2021 00:00	2953
SBN-S	6	01/08/2021 17:00	30/10/2022 17:00	10921
SBN-S	9	19/05/2021 00:00	07/10/2022 12:00	12157
SBN-S	12	19/05/2021 00:00	17/03/2022 21:00	7270
SBN-S	15	01/08/2021 17:00	30/10/2022 17:00	10921
SBN-S	18	19/05/2021 00:00	19/09/2021 00:00	2305

2.2 Environmental Atmospheric data

In the absence of in-situ wind observations at Sir Bu Nair (SBN), we used 10-m winds (u_{10} , v_{10}) from the ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis for the grid cell enclosing SBN (<https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/datasets/reanalysis-era5-single-levels?tab=download>) (Hersbach et al., 2020). The hourly ERA5 wind fields were extracted for the full mooring period (18 May 2021 - 31 Oct 2022), using the nearest 0.25° grid cell without spatial interpolation. All time stamps were converted to a common time base and expressed in local time. Positive (u_{10}) points east and positive (v_{10}) north.

To evaluate ERA5 skill for local winds, we compared against the closest available in-situ record from an oceanographic buoy located ~24 km southeast of SBN (24.992° N, 54.350° E) (Fig. 1) over 24 Apr 2025 - 01 Sep 2025. Despite the validation period falling outside the mooring window, the analysis indicates strong agreement in vector components ($r_u = 0.84$ (along-axis) and $r_v = 0.92$ (cross-axis)), supporting the use of ERA5 as a proxy for local wind forcing at SBN. We therefore use ERA5 winds (and derived wind stress where specified) as the atmospheric driver in the analysis.

To assess the spatial and temporal representativeness of the observed temperature conditions, we used daily NOAA Coral Reef Watch (CRW) CoralTemp v3.1 SST for 1985–2025 at 5 km spatial resolution (Skirving et al., 2020). CRW SST was first compared with daily mean temperatures from the shallowest in situ sensors at the three SBN sites (Fig. 1). Agreement was strong across the available records ($r = 0.964$ – 0.996 ; RMSE = 0.44 – 0.57 °C), supporting its use to provide longer-term and regional thermal context.

We used an analogous approach to assess the spatial and temporal context of the observed circulation using Copernicus Marine Service (CMEMS) current fields for 1993–2025 (Jean-Michel et al., 2021). CMEMS currents have daily temporal and horizontal resolution of 1/12° (~8 km around SBN). The uppermost model layer (0.494 m depth) was used to characterize regional surface circulation.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

2.3 Wind stress calculation

Hourly ERA5 10 m winds (u_{10} , v_{10}) were converted to eastward and northward wind-stress components (τ_x , τ_y) components using the Eqs.(1 and 2):

$$\tau_x = \rho_a C_D U u_{10} \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_y = \rho_a C_D U v_{10} \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_a = 1.225 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is air density, $U = \sqrt{u_{10}^2 + v_{10}^2}$ is wind speed, and C_D is the neutral drag coefficient following Large-Pond style (Large and Pond, 1981): 1.3×10^{-3} for $U \leq 11 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $C_D = 0.49 \times 10^{-3} + 0.065 \times 10^{-3} U$. We then projected (τ_x , τ_y) onto the principal axis obtained from principal component analysis (PCA) to obtain along (τ_a) and across (τ_c) components and finally applied the same low-pass filter as for currents. To assess sensitivity to drag-coefficient parameterization and to separate dynamic forcing from simple wind-speed covariance, all analyses were repeated using rotated and filtered wind velocity components (u_{10}, v_{10}) in place of wind stress.

2.4 Time series analysis

Raw 20 min Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) ensembles were averaged to hourly eastward (u) and northward (v) velocity components (m s^{-1}) prior to analysis. Water level, η (m), was derived from the ADCP absolute depth record and averaged to the same resolution. ERA5 winds (u_{10} , v_{10}) and temperature observations were interpolated onto a common hourly time base. Short gaps ($\leq 3 \text{ h}$) were linearly interpolated, whereas longer gaps were retained as missing values and excluded pairwise from subsequent calculations. For characterization of the wind regime, wind-speed percentiles were calculated using a 3 d sliding window, and the median was smoothed using a 9 d moving mean. Linear trends were removed prior to filtering to minimize low-frequency leakage (Thomson and Emery, 2024; Bendat and Piersol, 2011).

Subtidal variability was isolated using a 48-h Lanczos digital filter. To account for topographic steering around the island, currents were rotated into along-axis and cross-axis coordinates using the principal axis of variance derived from the low-pass currents. This orientation therefore reflects the dominant subtidal flow relevant to wind-current coupling (Kanarik et al., 2025; Van Der Molen et al., 2022).

The barotropic velocity was defined as the depth-mean flow, and the baroclinic component as the deviation from that mean. Kinetic energy was calculated for each component and expressed relative to total kinetic energy (Simpson et al., 1990). Tidal constituents in currents and sea level were estimated using the MATLAB package `t_tide` (Pawlowicz et al., 2002). In addition, along-axis and cross-axis currents were regressed against sea level (η) and its Hilbert transform to estimate amplitude and phase relationships with sea level variability (Piecuch et al., 2022).

2.5 Correlation and lag analysis

Linear relationships between wind forcing and currents were quantified using Pearson correlation at zero lag and cross-correlation over lags of ± 72 h. Analyses were performed between along-axis forcing (τ_a or $u_{10,a}$) and along-axis currents (u_a), and likewise between cross-axis forcing (τ_c or $u_{10,c}$) and cross-axis currents (v_c). Lags are reported in hours, with positive values indicating current variations lagging the wind forcing. Pairwise deletion was used where missing values were present. As a sensitivity test, analyses were repeated using three current metrics: the uppermost ADCP bin, the mean of the upper three bins, and the depth-averaged current, to identify the layer exhibiting the strongest wind-driven response.

2.6 Frequency domain coupling

Frequency-domain relationships were examined with Welch spectra and cross-spectra using a 50 % overlap and applied a Hanning filter (Welch, 1967). Magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) was used to quantify frequency-dependent coupling between forcing and response series (Bendat and Piersol, 2011). The complex transfer function between wind stress (τ_a , τ_c) and current response (u_a , v_c) was used to estimate gain H and

phase ϕ . Gain and phase were interpreted only where MSC exceeded the approximate 95% confidence level based on the number of independent Welch segments (Elipot and Gille, 2009). Spectral filtering and frequency-domain procedures followed standard methods described by Emery and Thomson (2024).

2.7 Band-limited variance attribution of thermal structure

To assess the relative importance of dynamical drivers, band-pass filtered ΔT (temperature difference between 4 m and 18 m depth) was regressed against similarly filtered τ_a , τ_c , u_a , u_c , and sea level η . Frequency-band decomposition was performed using fourth-order Butterworth filters applied with zero-phase filtering. Predictor importance was quantified as the reduction in full-model R^2 when each predictor was removed in turn (semi-partial variance contribution). These values therefore represent the unique fraction of ΔT variance associated with each predictor after accounting for covariance among forcings. Because predictors are partially correlated, contributions are interpreted as variance attribution rather than strict causality (Grömping, 2007; Peres-Neto et al., 2006).

3. Results

The results of this study cover various aspects of oceanographic and atmospheric dynamics in the Gulf during the study period, including the analysis of local winds (Sect. 3.1), vertical temperature regimes (Sect. 3.2), absolute water level and current variability (Sect. 3.3), as well as the interactions between currents and wind forcings (Sect. 3.4). The analysis between intense thermal variability events and potential drivers (Sect. 3.5) closes this section.

3.1 Local winds

The wind regime at Sir Bu Nair (SBN) ~~is~~was quantified ~~from through~~ the distribution of 10-m wind speed magnitudes and directional frequencies. Fig. 3 ~~illustrates~~ shows the annual cycle based on ERA5 data, while Fig. 4 provides the corresponding directional ~~distribution~~context.

~~Given that synoptic wind systems in the Gulf typically operate on 2–5 day timescales, the application of a 3-day sliding window for percentile computation~~

effectively reduces high-frequency visual noise while preserving the integrity of event timing. In that sense, while the 9-day moving mean of the median (red curve, Figure 3) showed sustained wind speeds fluctuating between 4 m s⁻¹ and 7 m s⁻¹ throughout the observation period, while the 95th percentile curve identifies identified discrete peaks in wind magnitude. During the winter season, these values consistently exceed 10 m s⁻¹. A maximum 95th percentile speed of approximately 15 m s⁻¹ was recorded on 21 January 2022, followed by a secondary peak of near 13 m s⁻¹ on 14 May 2022. The shaded area (5th–95th percentile range) narrowed notably from June through August, indicating a period of reduced variability in the daily wind magnitude.

The directional distributions (Figure 4) highlight showed a bimodal wind field at SBN. The primary mode is oriented originated from the Northwest (NW) sector, while a secondary, less frequent mode originates originated from the Southeast (SE). Analysis of the speed-binned rose plots shows that the highest velocity classes (>10 m s⁻¹) are were localized concentrated within the NW quadrant and associated with, known locally as Shamal winds (‘‘shamal’’ means north in Arabic). Winds from the SE sector are were predominantly weaker characterized by lower velocity magnitudes, with most the majority of observations falling within the 3–6 m s⁻¹ range. The NW mode displays showed the highest greatest directional stability, particularly during the months when the 95th percentile wind speeds magnitudes are were highest at their peak.




Figure 3. Temporal evolution of ERA5 10-m wind speed at Sir Bu Nair, expressed as 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles computed over the observation period (May 2021–October 2022). Shading denotes the 5–95% range; the black line shows the median for 3-day window; the red curve shows a 9-day moving mean of the median.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Check spelling and grammar

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Check spelling and grammar




Figure 4. Wind rose plots at Sir Bu Nair Island for the study period (May 2021 to October 2022) grouped by month from January to December. Color scales indicate wind speed magnitude (m/s), and the radial axis represents frequency of occurrence (%).

3.2 Water temperature structure

The separation of seasonal contrast, within depth daily variability, and instantaneous vertical gradients provides a consistent characterization of both the background thermal regime and short-timescale variability across the three SBN sites. Over the study period, the annual temperature range exceeded 15 °C (Figure 5Fig. 5), with summer temperatures reaching ~35.5 °C and winter temperatures declining to ~21.2 °C. Percentile-based seasonal envelopes (JAS 95th – JFM 5th) reached upper summer percentiles of 35.2–35.5 °C, with winter lower percentiles near 21.2–21.5 °C, confirming the magnitude and vertical coherence of the annual thermal excursion. Vertical temperature differences were most pronounced in late spring and summer, reaching maxima of 4.8 °C at SBN-NW, 4.5 °C at SBN-S, and 3.8 °C at SBN-NE. These events were transient and occurred across multiple depth sensors, indicating short-lived periods of pronounced thermal stratification superimposed on the seasonal cycle.

Formatted: Normal, Left

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Check spelling and grammar

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Depth-dependent structure was evident in the seasonal oscillation. Shallow layers at SBN-NE and SBN-S exhibited the largest median seasonal differences (-12.2 – 12.4 °C), while deeper layers showed reduced contrasts (-10.5 – 11 °C) (Fig. 5), consistent with partial attenuation of surface-driven warming. The short timescale vertical variability shows the median daily amplitude at individual depths was generally <1 °C, yet episodic events exceeded 4 °C.


The instantaneous vertical thermal range, defined as the temperature difference across all concurrently active depths at each timestamp, revealed episodic stratification events. The largest vertical gradient occurred at SBN-NW, reaching 4.8 °C on 5 June 2021. At SBN-S, the maximum vertical range was 4.5 °C (7 June 2021), and at SBN-NE it reached 3.8 °C (30 July 2022). These events were transient, clustered primarily in late spring and summer, and were resolved across multiple depth sensors, indicating short-lived but pronounced vertical thermal structures, reflecting transient stratification events superimposed on the seasonal cycle.

Across all sites, the highest daily mean temperatures occurred in mid-August 2021, when temperatures simultaneously exceeded 35.0 °C across multiple depths. At SBN-NW, temperatures ≥ 35.0 °C persisted for up to 19 and 20 consecutive days, compared with 18 days at SBN-NE and 24 days at SBN-S, at 9 and 4 m depths, (12/13–31 Aug 2021), respectively. At SBN-NE, exceedance persisted for 18 consecutive days at 3 m depth (14–31 Aug 2021) and 8 days between 6 and 12 m depth (23–30 Aug 2021). At SBN-S, temperatures ≥ 35.0 °C persisted for 24 consecutive days at 4 m depth (8–31 Aug 2021), 18 days (6 and 15 m depth) (14–31 Aug 2021), and 7 days at 18 m depth (23–29 Aug 2021). Exceedance of 35.5 °C was restricted to shallow depths and short intervals lasted up to 3 of three consecutive days at SBN-S (4 m depth; 16–18 Aug 2021) and at SBN-NW (4 and 9 m depths; 17–19 Aug 2021).

The regional analysis showed that the warm conditions observed in 2021 were not restricted to SBN. The 1985–2025 record showed that JAS 2021 was anomalously warm at SBN (mean SST = 34.02 °C; $+0.79$ °C relative to the long-term JAS mean; 95th percentile), whereas JAS 2022 was close to climatological conditions (33.14 °C; -0.09 °C; 32nd percentile) (Fig. S1 in Supporting Information S1). Spatial SST anomalies showed

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

that the 2021 warming extended across much of the southeastern Gulf, whereas anomalies in 2022 were substantially weaker (Fig. S1).






Figure 5. Hourly temperature time series at the three SBN sites (SBN-NE, SBN-NW, SBN-S) from May 2021 to October 2022. Colored lines represent ~~temperature raw~~-measurements at each nominal depth. ~~A site-pooled rolling 5th–95th percentile envelope (1-day window) is superimposed (gray line). The envelope reflects the short-term distribution of temperatures across all available depths at each site.~~

3.3 Water level and current variability

The harmonic analysis results for SBN-NW and SBN-S are summarized in Table

2. ~~Water level amplitudes are reported in centimeters to retain resolution of low-amplitude constituents and are converted to meters in the text when discussing tidal ranges.~~ The tidal

form number, $F = (K_1 + O_1) / (M_2 + S_2)$ ~~is was~~ ~ 0.95 at both sites, indicating mixed tides with semidiurnal dominance.

~~The principal semidiurnal constituent M_2 washas the largest constituent (amplitudes of 36–37 cm) at both sites, followed by K_1 and O_2 while S_2 contributes 14–15 cm. The~~with mean semidiurnal range ($2M_2$) ~~is of~~ ~ 0.72 – 0.74 m, ~~with spring and neap ranges of 1.02 m and 0.42–0.44 m, respectively. The combined amplitude ($K_1 + O_1$) equals 48 cm at SBN-NW and 49 cm at SBN-S. The mean diurnal range ($1.5[K_1 + O_1]$) is 0.72 m and 0.74 m, respectively, and the maximum tropic range ($2[K_1 + O_1]$) reaches 0.96–0.98 m at both sites.~~ Under constructive interference, the astronomical tidal range ~~may could~~ exceed ~ 1.5 m.

Phase differences for the dominant constituents ~~(M_2 , S_2 , K_1 , O_1) are were~~ small within a few tens of degrees between stations, indicating a coherent regional tidal signal. Tidal current ellipses ~~are were~~ predominantly rectilinear, with ~~very small strong~~ currents at SBN-NW than SBN-S ~~minor axes relative to the major axes~~. Maximum semimajor axes occurred ~~red~~ for M_2 and K_1 at SBN-NW (15 – 16 cm s^{-1}), ~~while currents at SBN-S are weaker (e.g., compared with ~ 12 cm s^{-1} for M_2 and ≤ 3 cm s^{-1} for K_1 at SBN-S).~~ Diurnal constituents ~~are were~~ oriented approximately north–south at SBN-NW, ~~NW but were more variable whereas at SBN-S shows greater variability in ellipse inclination, suggesting localized modulation of flow direction.~~ Shallow-water constituents ~~(M_4 , MS_4 , MN_4) are were~~ small (~ 1 – 2 cm), indicating weak tidal distortion at both sites.

Table 2. Results of the tidal analysis with associated confidence intervals for the two ADCP locations.

SBN-NW						
	WL (cm) / Phase	Major axis (cm/s)	Minor axis (cm/s)	Inclination	Phase	SNR
M_2	36 / 2	15 ± 0.5	2 ± 0.0	3	164	910
S_2	15 / 46	8 ± 0.5	1 ± 0.0	1	202	230
K_1	30 / 146	16 ± 0.6	0 ± 0.0	178	70	860
O_1	18 / 96	4 ± 0.6	-0 ± 0.0	177	66	55
N_2	8 / 341	3 ± 0.6	0 ± 0.0	9	147	30
P_1	8 / 138	4 ± 0.6	-0 ± 0.0	180	72	47
MN_4	1 / 320	1 ± 0.2	0 ± 0.0	8	132	16
M_4	2 / 358	2 ± 0.2	0 ± 0.0	6	167	83
MS_4	1 / 64	1 ± 0.3	0 ± 0.0	6	206	19
SBN-S						
M_2	37 / 2	12 ± 0.6	-1 ± 0.0	4	157	330

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

S ₂	14 / 49	3 ± 0.6	0 ± 0.0	4	203	25
K ₁	31 / 151	2 ± 0.4	0 ± 0.0	142	60	26
O ₁	18 / 95	3 ± 0.5	0 ± 0.0	2	311	39
N ₂	8 / 336	2 ± 0.6	-1 ± 0.0	4	141	14
P ₁	1 / 308	1 ± 0.3	0 ± 0.0	177	198	20
MN ₄	2 / 354	2 ± 0.3	1 ± 0.0	178	229	81
M ₄	1 / 59	2 ± 0.2	0 ± 0.0	0	65	36

Currents at SBN-NW and SBN-S exhibited distinct directional structures (Fig. 6). At SBN-NW, flow ~~is was~~ strongly aligned along a principal axis oriented ~29°, accounting for >94% of the variance ~~at both near bottom and near surface levels. This produces highly elongated covariance ellipses, and~~ indicating ~~ve of~~ weak cross-axis motion and strong vertical coherence (Figs. 6a, b). ~~In contrast, currents at~~ At SBN-S, ~~currents are were~~ oriented predominantly east-west (~91°). ~~While near bottom flow remains strongly with directional dominance decreasing from (major axis ~85% near the bottom to of variance), the upper layer shows reduced directional dominance (~67% in the upper layer) and greater increased cross-axis variability (Figs. 6c, d). Total variance and ellipse area are greater at SBN-S, particularly in the upper layer, indicating enhanced variability and a less constrained flow structure compared to SBN-NW.~~

~~The At SBN-NW, tidal harmonics explained 80% most of the along-axis current variance at SBN-NW-(80%), but only 35% whereas of the cross-axis variance. Tidal influence was weaker at SBN-S, explaining 39% and 12% of the along and cross-axis variance, respectively. component is much less strongly tidal (35%). Consistent with this, only 20% of the along-axis variance remains after tidal removal, compared with 65% in the cross-axis component. Sea level relationships showed a similar spatial pattern, with stronger coupling at SBN-NW. explains a substantial fraction of the along-axis variance (60%), but much less of the cross-axis variance (23%), indicating that the dominant flow response is primarily aligned with the principal axis. At SBN-S, tidal forcing explains 39% of the variance along the principal axis and 12% cross-axis. Sea level variability accounts for a smaller fraction (20% along-axis; 3% cross-axis), and a large residual remains, particularly cross-axis (60% and 89%, respectively).~~

~~Time series of velocity components and sea level (Figs. 7–8) Upper-layer along-axis currents at SBN-NW reached ~0.6 m s⁻¹ (95th percentile), compared with ~0.3 m s⁻¹~~

at SBN-S (Figs. 7–8), while cross-axis velocities generally remained within ± 0.1 – 0.2 m s^{-1} at both sites, further highlight the dominance of the along-axis (u) component, while the cross-axis (v) component remains comparatively weak. The figures present the upper layer ($\sim 14 \text{ m}$ above the bed) as representative of temporal variability, whereas depth-mean and bottom-layer characteristics are described below.

At SBN-NW, currents are strongly aligned with the major axis, with a net u -velocity of $-0.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ in the upper layer and negligible mean v -velocity (Fig. 7). Positive along-axis flows reach $\sim 0.6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (95th percentile), whereas negative flows are weaker, extending to $\sim -0.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (5th percentile). This asymmetry is consistent throughout the water column, with similar net velocities at depth-mean and bottom-layers (-0.08 – 0.1 m s^{-1}), indicating a vertically coherent structure. The v -component remains small at all depths, generally within ± 0.1 – 0.2 m s^{-1} .

At SBN-S, the same directional structure is observed but with lower magnitude (Fig. 8). The upper-layer net u -velocity is $-0.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, with positive flows reaching $\sim 0.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (95th percentile) and negative flows to $\sim -0.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (5th percentile). Depth-mean and bottom-layers show consistent but weaker velocities (-0.07 – 0.09 m s^{-1}), again indicating limited vertical shear. The v -component remains minor, with small fluctuations around zero across all depths (typically within ± 0.1 – 0.2 m s^{-1}).

At the regional scale, the 1993–2025 CMEMS record showed that surface-current speeds during the 2021 observational period were moderately below the long-term mean but remained within historical variability (0.096 versus 0.102 m s^{-1} ; 24th percentile) (Fig. S2a in Supporting Information S1). The mean current at SBN-S (0.089 m s^{-1} , 78.2°) was broadly consistent with the regional CMEMS flow (0.081 m s^{-1} , 98.7°), whereas the mean current at SBN-NW (0.1 m s^{-1} , 26.6°) was strongly rotated relative to the regional flow (0.083 m s^{-1} , 103.6°) (Fig. S2b–e in Supporting Information S1).

Low-pass filtered velocities reveal a persistent residual flow of ~ 0.08 – 0.1 m s^{-1} in the along the principal axis at both sites (Figs. 7–8). u -axis direction at both sites (-0.08 – 0.1 m s^{-1}), with negligible cross-axis contribution (Figs. 7–8). Sea level variability is dominated by tidal oscillations, with modest subtidal variability, more pronounced at SBN-NW. In addition, the subtidal flow, derived from the low-pass filter, is was predominantly strongly barotropic, with at both sites. At SBN-NW, 94% and 89% of the

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

kinetic energy associated with the depth-mean component at SBN-NW and SBN-S, respectively, and resides in the depth-mean component, with only 6% associated with vertical shear. At SBN-S, the barotropic contribution remains dominant (89%), although the baroclinic component is slightly higher.

~~strongly anisotropic~~ Flow variability is highly anisotropic, with 97% and 92% of the subtidal kinetic energy aligned with the principal axis at SBN-NW and SBN-S, respectively. Subtidal kinetic energy was substantially higher at SBN-NW ($0.09 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$) than at SBN-S ($0.01 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$), confirming predominantly rectilinear flow consistent with the covariance ellipses (Fig. 6). Vertical shear is present but moderate, as indicated by the root mean square (RMS) differences between depth-mean and near-bottom velocities of -0.05 m s^{-1} at SBN-NW (Fig. 7) and -0.03 m s^{-1} at SBN-S (Fig. 8) along the major axis.

Subtidal kinetic energy is substantially higher at SBN-NW (mean $0.09 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$) than at SBN-S (mean $0.01 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$), with SBN-NW also exhibiting stronger episodic events (maximum $-0.20 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$). This indicates that subtidal variability is both more energetic and more intermittent at SBN-NW.




Figure 6. Velocity covariance ellipses and principal-axis orientation for SBN-NW and SBN-S. SBN-NW near-bottom (A) and near-surface (B). SBN-S near-bottom (C) and near-surface (D). Red dots show instantaneous east-north velocity components; blue curves denote the 1- σ PCA covariance ellipse; dashed black lines indicate the centroid linear fit.

Formatted: Justified




Figure 7. SBN-NW time series of the u-velocity component (towards 29°T), v-velocity component (towards 119°T), and sea level with the 48-h low-pass filtered data superimposed on the hourly measurements.




Figure 8. SBN-S time series of the u-velocity component (towards 91°T), v-velocity component (towards 1.5°T), and sea level with the 48-h low-pass filtered data superimposed on the hourly measurements.

3.4 Currents and wind dynamics

Prior to evaluating the influence of wind forcing on horizontal currents, the relative contributions of tidal and sea level variability were quantified through a partitioning analysis of the along- and cross-axis components using harmonic analysis, after which the wind-driven processes were assessed. Wind-current relationships were evaluated for along- and cross-axis currents using both wind stress and wind velocity across all sites and for both along- and cross-axis components, considering both depth-averaged currents and the average of the top three ADCP bins, with representative cases shown in Fig. 9. For clarity, only representative cases exhibiting the strongest and most coherent relationships are shown in the figures, while the remaining results, including weaker or non-significant relationships, are described in the text. These results are were insensitive to 40–48 h filter cutoffs and consistent hold across seasonal windows (not shown).

Wind forcing shows showed a moderate but clear relationship with the residual currents. In At SBN-NW, the strongest relationship occurred in the along-cross-axis component, with currents exhibit a weaker correlation reaching $r = 0.62$ for wind velocity and $r = 0.50$ for wind stress at ~ 1 h lag (Fig. 9a). At SBN-S, coupling was weaker and occurred primarily along-axis ($r = 0.35$ for wind velocity; Fig. 9b), while cross-axis correlations were negligible, with wind stress ($r = 0.34$) and wind velocity ($r = 0.39$), with near zero to slightly negative lag (0 to -2 h), suggesting a rapid or near instantaneous response. In contrast, the cross-axis component shows stronger correlations, particularly with wind velocity ($r = 0.62$) (Fig. 9a) and wind stress ($r = 0.5$), both peaking at a lag of approximately $+1$ h, indicating a delayed response of the transverse flow to wind forcing. In SBN-S the wind-current coupling is weak to moderate along axis ($r = 0.35$ at $+1$ h lag) (Fig. 9b), while wind stress yields a similar magnitude ($r = 0.33$) at a lag of -1 h, indicating a near-synchronous response. In contrast, across-axis correlations are negligible for both wind stress ($r = 0.04$ at -5 h) and wind velocity ($r = 0.04$ at -8 h), indicating little to no wind-driven variability in the transverse direction.

Wind-current coherence increased at subtidal periods, particularly between 3 and 8 days. At SBN-NW, cross-axis coherence reached 0.4–0.5 (Fig. 9c), whereas at SBN-S, along-axis coherence reached ~ 0.4 (Fig. 9d). Transfer functions showed a corresponding increase in gain at 2–8-day periods for these components, with phase estimates

corresponding to hour-scale lags (Figs. 9e, f). Overall, wind coupling was strongest in the cross-axis currents at SBN-NW, with a weaker but detectable along-axis response at SBN-S.

Magnitude squared coherence between wind forcing and currents is low at high frequencies but increases in the subtidal band (> 48 h). At SBN-NW, along-axis coherence remains weak to moderate (0.1–0.3), while cross-axis coherence reaches 0.3–0.5 (stress) and 0.4–0.5 (wind) (Fig. 9e) at longer periods (3–8 days), indicating a consistent transverse wind-driven response. At SBN-S, along-axis coherence also increases at subtidal periods, reaching 0.3 (stress) and 0.4 (wind) (Fig. 9d), indicating a moderate wind influence, whereas cross-axis coherence remains low (< 0.2) with no consistent peak.

Transfer function estimates show that at SBN-NW (along-axis) and SBN-S (across-axis), gain remains low and shows no clear frequency dependence, while phase is variable, indicating a weak and inconsistent relationship with wind forcing. In contrast, stronger responses are observed in the cross-axis at SBN-NW (Fig. 9e) and along-axis at SBN-S (Fig. 9f), where gain increases in the subtidal band (2–8 days) and phase approaches 0° at longer periods, indicating an approximately in-phase or weakly lagged response. Phase estimates over this band imply hour-scale lags, consistent with rapid surface layer adjustment (set up/set down), particularly at SBN-NW. Together, these results indicate a fast, band-limited wind control of cross-axis surface currents at SBN-NW and a weaker but detectable along-axis response at SBN-S.




Figure 9. Wind–current relationships at SBN-NW and SBN-S based on the average of the top three ADCP bins. Lagged correlation between wind and currents for the across-axis at SBN-NW (a) and along-axis at SBN-S (b). Positive lag indicates currents near-synchronous responding after wind forcing. Magnitude-squared coherence as a function of period at SBN-NW (c) and SBN-S (d). The dashed vertical line indicating the low-pass (LP) cutoff at 48 h. Transfer function estimates showing gain (blue) and phase (orange) at SBN-NW (e) and SBN-S (f).

3.5 Drivers of strong vertical thermal variability and associated physical forcing oscillations

Several episodes of rapid transitions between stratified and mixed states are evident throughout the full record (Fig. 3; see Section 3.2). At SBN-S, the surface-to-bottom temperature difference (ΔT) increased during spring and summer and repeatedly decreased towards zero, whereas autumn and winter were characterized by small and occasionally negative differences (Fig. 10). These transitions occurred during both warm seasons (2021 and 2022), indicating recurrent thermal variability. A period of pronounced vertical thermal variability from 20 May to 25 June 2021 was selected for detailed analysis because concurrent observations were available at both SBN-NW and SBN-S (Fig. 11), indicating that these events constitute a recurrent mode of variability governing episodic changes of the vertical structure across the study area. To examine the dynamics of these transitions

in detail, a representative period of pronounced vertical thermal variability (20 May–25 June 2021) was selected. This interval was chosen because it provides complete and concurrent observations at both sites (SBN-NW and SBN-S), allowing a consistent spatial comparison.




Figure 10. Vertical temperature difference (ΔT) at SBN-S from May 2021 to October 2022. Hourly values are shown together with the 24 h running mean. The shaded area indicates the 20 May–25 June 2021 case-study interval used for the detailed analysis of vertical thermal variability.

Both sites were strongly locations exhibit pronounced stratification thermally stratified before prior to ~10 June, interrupted by a brief weakening of stratification around 28–29 May followed by rapid re-establishment of vertical temperature differences, after which vertical temperature gradients rapidly reestablish. Temperatures then converged across depths over This is followed by a rapid (~2–3 days) collapse of stratification around 10–12 June, producing near-thermal homogeneity at both sites (Fig. 11), although higher-frequency variability was more pronounced at SBN-NW. Before this transition, vertical temperature differences ($\Delta T = 4 \text{ m} - 18 \text{ m}$) were generally ~2–4 °C and occasionally exceeded 4 °C, (dashed lines) and convergence of temperatures across all depths, indicating a transition to a vertically homogeneous water column that persists thereafter (Fig. 10). The event is coherent between sites, although higher frequency variability is more pronounced at SBN-NW. Prior to the collapse, vertical temperature differences ($\Delta T = 4 \text{ m} - 18 \text{ m}$) are consistently ~2–4 °C, with peaks exceeding 4 °C, reflecting strong stratification with surface temperatures of ~32–33 °C overlying cooler bottom temperatures of waters (~28–30 °C), also represented by the contour plots used to illustrate the first order vertical structure and its temporal evolution (upper and lower right panels).

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Keep with next

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm




Figure 11.10. Representative episode of strong vertical thermal variability at SBN-NW and SBN-S. Left column: (top) near-surface to near-bottom temperature difference ($\Delta T = 4\text{m} - 18\text{m}$); (middle and bottom) temperature time series at instrumented depths (6 h moving mean) for SBN-NW and SBN-S, respectively. Right column: corresponding depth-time temperature fields derived from the discrete sensors at each site. Contour plots are used to synthesize the vertical structure from discrete depth measurements.

During this period, along-axis currents e-strong vertical thermal variability event, the current velocities component aligned with the principal axis at SBN-NW; frequently exceeded exhibits higher magnitudes above -0.3 m/s^1 and were vertically coherence, with episodic intensification throughout the water column clear vertical coherence, with episodic intensifications throughout the water column (Fig. 11.12. a, c), coinciding with the strong stratification periods and homogenous vertical states afterwards. In contrast, At SBN-S, shows weaker currents along axis velocities wrcith weaker (magnitude of $\sim 0.2 \text{ m/s}^1$) and less vertically coherence reduced vertical coherence (Fig. 11.12. b, d). Progressive vector diagrams showed stronger, more directional northeastward displacement at SBN-NW, whereas trajectories at SBN-S were weaker, more dispersed, and predominantly eastward (Fig. 13b), indicating a less energetic and more variable flow regime relative to the dominant direction. As indicated in the previous section, currents in SBN are often the

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

result of the combined effect of wind and differences in sea level. These forcings can work in the same direction, supporting each other, or at distinct levels, depending on the location.




Figure 12.44. Low-pass filtered currents correspond to SBN-NW (a, c), and to SBN-S (b, d). The upper panels (a, b) show depth-time distributions of along-axis velocity, obtained by rotating the velocity field onto the principal axis of variability (PCA), which defines the dominant flow direction. The panels (c, d) present time series at selected depths from the bottom (3, 7, and 14 m).

The complex bathymetry gradient of the SBN region causes the growth of currents driven by local winds to be highly sensitive to the axis of maximum variance of currents (Fig. 6) which is affected by the bottom topography. Even in such small area, the slight shift in the bathymetry can play a role in the growth and direction of the currents. This pronounced sensitivity to local bathymetry for SBN currents is demonstrated in the progressive current diagram (Fig. 12). The SBN NW site exhibits stronger and more directional displacement along the principal axis, flowing northeastward at the three depths

(3, 7, and 14m) (Fig. 12a), whereas SBN-S shows weaker and slightly more dispersed trajectories eastward-like, indicating reduced flow persistence (Fig. 12b).




Figure 13.12. Progressive vector diagrams of the hourly ADCP currents at SBN-NW (a) and SBN-S (b), for selected depths (3, 7, and 14 m), for the period 20 May-26 June 2021.

To identify the forcing mechanisms associated with strong vertical thermal oscillation events, we further analyzed the temperature variability (ΔT), used here as a proxy for stratification variability, using spectral and cross-spectral methods. The spectral analysis of (ΔT) showed similar frequency-dependent variability at both sites (Fig. 14a), with most energy characteristics of ΔT and external forcing reveal a clear scale-dependent structure in the variability of ΔT at SBN (Fig. 13). Temperature spectra at both locations are dominated by energy at lower frequencies (2-4 days), with a pronounced diurnal peak in the diurnal band (~ 0.04 cph), and a smaller semidiurnal peak (Fig. 13a), consistent with the strong daily cycle observed and vertical structure (Fig. 10), followed by a much smaller semidiurnal peak at SBN-S (Fig. 13a). The diurnal and lower-frequency signals were also evident in the full-record SBN-S spectrum (Fig. S3). This diurnal signal is coherent across depths and is reflected in ΔT , indicating that vertical thermal gradients are strongly modulated at daily timescales. Sea level (η) and currents showed pronounced variability at tidal frequencies, with site and component-specific differences, whereas wind stress was dominated by lower-frequency variability (Figs. 14b, c).

The forcing spectra shows distinct frequency dependent contributions (Figs. 13 b, e). Sea level (η) exhibits the strongest energy in both the diurnal and semidiurnal bands, while current component (v_e) and wind stress (τ_{a5} , τ_e) display lower overall energy but retain

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

variability at similar frequencies. Notably, the along-axis component (u_a) at SBN-NW (Figs 13b) exhibits enhanced variance near the diurnal and semidiurnal bands, compared to u_a at SBN-S (Figs. 13c). The cross axis component (v_c) shows slightly stronger peaks in the semidiurnal band at SBN-NW, whereas at SBN-S its strongest peak occurs in the diurnal band, while wind stress (τ_a , τ_c) indicates similar energy peaks throughout the frequencies at both sites.




Figure 14.13. Power spectral density (PSD) of vertical temperature difference ($\Delta T = 4\text{m} - 18\text{m}$) at SBN-NW (black) and SBN-S (blue) (a). PSD of forcing components projected onto the local principal axes at SBN-NW (b) and SBN-S (c), including along- and across-axis wind stress (τ_a , τ_c), current velocity (U_a , U_c), and sea level (η). Vertical dashed lines indicate characteristic bands (2–4day, diurnal, semidiurnal).

At SBN-NW, magnitude squared-coherence with ΔT exceeds the 95% significance threshold for several variables was strongest in the diurnal band, reaching with peaks of ~ 0.55 for cross-axis wind stress (τ_c) and along-axis currents (u_a), with lower coherence for sea level and $\sim 0.35 - 0.45$ (η), and along-axis wind stress for (τ_a) and η , respectively, indicating a robust daily scale linkage between wind forcing, currents, sea level, and vertical temperature structure (Fig. 14a15a). Cross-spectral density magnitude is was also largest in this band, particularly for along-axis currents (u_a) (Fig. 14e15c), showing that current variability provides significant energetic contribution to diurnal ΔT fluctuations. At SBN-S, the same diurnal coherence peak is present but was weaker, with coherence of (~ 0.35), for τ_c and $\sim 0.25 - 0.22$ for τ_a and v_c , respectively (Fig. 14b), and substantially with correspondingly lower cross-spectral density magnitude, indicating a less energetic and less coherent thermal response (Fig. 1415b, d).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Secondary coherence peaks occur near semidiurnal frequencies, but although associated spectral energy ΔT spectral energy is was small, implying a limited thermal imprint despite dynamically active tidal motions. A secondary quarter-diurnal (M4) signal was evident detected in the hydrodynamic field, most clearly particularly between in the coupling between sea level and along-axis currents at SBN-NW (coherence ≈ 0.50), whereas but its coherence with ΔT remained weak at both sites (generally < 0.25). Coherence in at the 2–4 day band periods, coherence is was generally modest at both sites, although η and τ_c . Significant diurnal relationships corresponded to phase-derived lags of a few hours, retain some influence, consistent with low frequency background modulation of stratification.

Phase estimates (not shown) further indicate that significant diurnal relationships correspond to lags of only a few hours, whereas some wind stress terms approach anti-phase behaviour, suggesting that stratification changes arise from a combination of rapid mechanical mixing and oscillatory advection rather than a purely delayed local forcing response.




Figure 15.4. Magnitude-squared coherence and cross-spectral density magnitude between ΔT (18m – 4m) and forcing components at SBN-NW (a, c) and SBN-S (b, d). Wind forcing is represented by along- and across-axis wind stress (τ_a, τ_c), then current velocity (u_a, v_c), and sea level (η). Dashed vertical lines indicate the 2–4 day, diurnal, and semidiurnal bands, and the horizontal dashed line marks the 95% coherence significance threshold.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Band-limited variance partitioning indicates that thermal stratification variability (ΔT) at both sites is dominated by the diurnal band, followed by 2–4 day variability, and a smaller semidiurnal contribution (Fig. 15). However, the relative importance of individual forcing terms differs between SBN NW and SBN S. Individual percentages represent unique variance explained by each predictor and therefore do not sum to the full model variance because predictors share covariance. Band-limited variance partitioning showed that ΔT variability was greatest in the diurnal band, followed by the 2–4 day and semidiurnal bands (Fig. 16). At SBN-NW, the diurnal band explained 67% the largest fraction of ΔT variance (67%; Fig. 15e), with the largest unique. The strongest semipartial contributions are from cross-axis wind stress (τ_c ; 9.8%) and along-axis currents (u_a ; 8.8%). The 2–4-day band explained 39%, with sea level providing the largest unique contribution (12%), whereas the semidiurnal band explained 31% and was primarily associated with currents (Fig. 16a, c), followed by τ_a (2.2%), and η (1.5%) (Fig. 15a), indicating that daily thermal variability is strongly associated with both wind forcing and current variability. This interpretation is supported by moderate diurnal coherence between ΔT and τ_c (0.55), u_a (0.55), η (0.44), and τ_a (0.35) (Fig. 14a). Correlations are strongest at short lags (typically 1–3 h), although phase estimates indicate that some relationships are near anti phase rather than purely lagged responses. The semidiurnal band explains a moderate fraction of variance at SBN NW (31%), with dominant contributions from u_a (4.5%) and v_c (3.5%), while wind stress terms are negligible (Fig. 15a). Strong coupling between sea level and along axis currents (η – U_a ; coherence = 0.91) indicates strong tidal control of the current field. In the 2–4 day band, variance explained remains substantial (39%) and is dominated by η (12%), followed by v_c (6.8%) and u_a (5.4%) (Fig. 15a), indicating that subtidal modulation of stratification is primarily associated with low-frequency sea level variability.

At SBN-S, the same frequency hierarchy is present but with weaker overall explanatory skill. The diurnal band explains 54% of ΔT variance (Fig. 15e), with. Here, the largest unique contribution from along-axis wind stress dominant terms are (τ_a ; 14.5%), sea level (η ; 11.1%), v_c (7.4%), and u_a (5.5%) (Fig. 15b). Coherence values are generally lower than at SBN NW, reaching 0.35 for τ_c , 0.25 for τ_a , 0.22 for v_c , and 0.15

for η (Fig. 14b), indicating a weaker and less organized diurnal coupling. The semidiurnal band explains only 16%, with small contributions from u_a (3.4%) and τ_a (2.4%) (Fig. 14b); however, strong η – u_a coherence (0.83) shows that semidiurnal tidal motions are dynamically present, even if their thermal imprint is limited. In the 2–4 day band, explained variance is 36%, again with the sea level providing the largest unique contribution (12%), while the semidiurnal band explained 16% (Fig. 16b, c), closely matching SBN NW, and is again dominated by η (12%), with smaller contributions from current terms (3.9–4.1%).




Figure 16. Unique variance explained in ΔT by individual forcing components (wind stress: τ_a , τ_c ; along- and across-axis currents: u_a , v_c ; sea level: (η) expressed as percentage of total ΔT variance at SBN-NW (a) and SBN-S (b); (c) Total explained variance of ΔT (%) for the full model in the 2–4 day, diurnal, and semidiurnal bands. Contributions were calculated as the reduction in full-model R^2 when each predictor was omitted.

4. Discussion and conclusions

The analyses presented here are based on a multi-instrument dataset collected at several locations around Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN), providing spatial coverage, extended temporal records, and vertical measurements spanning most of the water column. Despite modest field constraints, including instrument loss and associated data gaps, the multi-instrument observations provided this dataset represents the most comprehensive physical oceanographic description of SBN to date, and probably anywhere else in the southern Gulf. The results enable identification of the primary dominant physical influences on forcings controlling local circulation and characterized the of episodic vertical thermal structure and episodic transitions between stratified and thermally homogeneous conditions stratification and mixing events around the island.

4.1 Principal circulation regime around Sir Bu Nair Island

The ~~present~~ observations provide the first integrated description of circulation around Sir Bu Nair Island (SBN) and show that local hydrodynamics ~~are strongly reflect~~ the interaction between controlled by the interaction of tidal forcings, regional circulation, ~~and with~~ the island's steep surrounding bathymetry. At both mooring sites, currents were highly anisotropic and aligned with a dominant principal axis, indicating rectilinear flow ~~strongly influenced~~ constrained by local bathymetry rather than freely rotating shelf circulation.

At SBN-NW, upper-layer along-axis currents commonly reached $\sim 0.6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ~~(95th percentile)~~, with episodic peaks approaching $0.8\text{--}1.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, ~~while negative excursions reached -0.4 m s^{-1} (5th percentile) and occasionally lower,~~ consistent with values reported from a shorter deployment (Cavalcante et al., 2024). ~~Currents At at SBN-S, corresponding flows were weaker, commonly reaching $\sim 0.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (95th percentile), with episodic peaks of $0.5\text{--}0.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. In contrast, cross-axis velocities generally remained within $\pm 0.1\text{--}0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at both sites.~~ This ~~marked~~ directional asymmetry is typical of island and reef environments where tidal and residual ~~motions—flows can be~~ steered by depth bathymetry gradients and coastline geometry into preferred pathways (Lowe and Falter, 2015; Wolanski and Hamner, 1988). The regional CMEMS comparison further showed that the mean flow at SBN-S was broadly aligned with the regional circulation, whereas the flow at SBN-NW was strongly rotated relative to the regional field. This contrast was consistent with substantial local modification of the regional flow around the island. The stronger directional coherence at SBN NW suggests a more direct circulation connection with the surrounding shelf, whereas the weaker and more variable structure at SBN S indicates a more sheltered or locally recirculating flow regime. These results show that Circulation around SBN therefore cannot be represented by a single island-scale state, but varies substantially between adjacent flanks.

Subtidal currents were also predominantly barotropic ~~(see Sect. 4.2)~~, with most kinetic energy contained in the depth-mean flow and ~~variance~~ concentrated along the principal axis, indicating that the rectilinear circulation pattern ~~persists~~ persisted at lower frequencies. ~~This~~ Such vertically coherent flow is consistent with previous Gulf studies

showing that tidal shear, bottom friction, and shallow bathymetry promote strong mixing and depth-uniform currents over broad areas of the basin (Alosairi and Pokavanich, 2017; Kämpf and Sadrinasab, 2006; Salim et al., 2024; Cavalcante et al., 2012). Periods of thermal stratification in late spring and summer, however, were accompanied by increased vertical differentiation in the flow, particularly this state was periodically interrupted during late spring and summer stratification events, most notably during from late May to mid-June 2021 (Fig. 11), and during these periods, corresponding seasonal window in 2022 (Fig. 10). During these periods, temporary surface-bottom decoupling developed, with surface waters were 2–4 °C warmer than bottom waters and enhanced vertical shear increased.

4.2 Relative roles of tides and wind forcing

Tidal forcing ~~is was~~ the primary driver of current variability at SBN, particularly along the principal flow axis. Harmonic analysis ~~shows showed~~ that tidal constituents explained ~80% most of the along-axis variance at SBN-NW (~~~80%~~), but only ~35% a much smaller fraction of the cross-axis variance (~~~35%~~). At SBN-S, tidal control ~~is was~~ weaker, overall, accounting for ~39% of the along-axis ~~variance~~ and only ~12% of the cross-axis variance. Spectral analyses further ~~showed~~ that the principal tidal energy ~~is was~~ concentrated in the diurnal and semidiurnal bands, consistent with previous Gulf-wide studies (Cavalcante et al., 2011; Reynolds, 1993; Akbari et al., 2016), although both peaks ~~are were~~ less pronounced at SBN-S.

This interpretation ~~is was~~ reinforced by the coherence and phase relationships between sea level and currents. At SBN-NW, sea level ~~is was~~ strongly coherent with along-axis currents in both the diurnal and semidiurnal bands, while (0.85) and semidiurnal (0.90) bands, with phase offsets of several hours, indicating a well-organized tidal response modified by propagation around local bathymetry. ~~Cross-axis~~ cross-axis currents also exhibited substantial coherence ~~(0.6 and 0.8)~~, consistent with local modification of the tidal flow around the island, implying that part of the tidal flow is redirected by bathymetric steering. At SBN-S, the strongest coupling ~~occurs occurred~~ in the semidiurnal along-axis band ~~(0.85)~~, whereas other bands ~~are were~~ weaker and more variable. Together these frequency-domain results are consistent with the stronger current amplitudes and directional

organization ~~observed~~ at SBN-NW, ~~these results and~~ indicated ~~that tidal exchange with the surrounding shelf is more energetic and organized~~ tidal exchange there at SBN-NW than at SBN-S, than at the more sheltered and locally modified SBN-S site.

A secondary quarter-diurnal peak (M_4 , ~ 0.161 cph) was also present in the spectra. Previous work interpreted this feature as possible seiche activity around SBN (Cavalcante et al., 2024). However, its coincidence with the expected M_4 overtide of the dominant semidiurnal tide, together with its weak association with ΔT in the present analysis, ~~indicates was more consistent with that~~ nonlinear tidal harmonic generation around the island and adjacent shoals ~~is a more likely explanation~~. By contrast, energy near the 25–26 h period identified from multi-year Gulf sea level records is more consistent with previously proposed Gulf-scale seiche dynamics (Afshar-Kaveh et al., 2020). Thus, ~~A~~ although tides dominated ~~instantaneous~~ currents and sea level ~~fields~~ variability, they ~~did~~ not fully explain the observed current variability, particularly in the cross-axis component and at subtidal timescales.

Wind forcing ~~therefore emerges~~ emerged as an important secondary influence ~~control operating~~ within ~~a~~ this tide-dominated regime. The clearest responses occurred in the cross-axis flow at SBN-NW and the along-axis flow at SBN-S, where correlations peaked ed at short lags (0–2 h) and coherence ~~strengthens~~ increased at subtidal periods of 3–8 days. These responses ~~Although secondary to tides, these wind-driven adjustments likely modulate residual transport pathways (Cavalcante et al., 2020), and are were~~ consistent with synoptic timescales of Shamal variability (Al Senafi and Anis, 2015; Makarem et al., 2026), which ~~is known to influence~~ Gulf circulation and sea level setup (Thoppil and Hogan, 2010; Kämpf and Sadrinasab, 2006; Pous et al., 2004; Reynolds, 1993). Although secondary to tides, wind forcing could therefore have modified residual transport pathways around SBN (Cavalcante et al., 2020). In addition, the short lag-response times found were consistent with in this study suggests rapid local barotropic adjustment through wind-driven setup/set-down and associated ~~shallow water~~ pressure gradients, rather than a classical offshore Ekman response.

4.3 Mechanisms of episodic ~~thermal homogenization~~ stratification collapse

The repeated development and rapid ~~reduction~~ collapse, (typically over 2–3 days,) of vertical ~~temperature differences~~ thermal gradients at SBN characterized a highly dynamic ~~thermal stratification~~ regime. During the May–June 2021 event (Fig. 1011), ΔT exceeded 4 °C before ~~temperatures converged rapidly~~ collapsing nearly simultaneously across ~~the observed depths~~ the water column, indicating an ~~implying abrupt rapid thermal~~ homogenization ~~destratification~~ rather than gradual erosion of the vertical temperature gradient. Importantly, the near 17-month record shows that such events were not localized, as ~~e~~ Comparable episodes occurred across all three mooring locations during 2021–2022 (Fig. 10), with ~~ΔT vertical temperature differences~~ commonly reaching 2–4 °C, indicating that these transitions were not restricted to individual sites. This spatial coherence indicates that the governing processes operate at the scale of the wider SBN platform. Their seasonal timing ~~is was~~ consistent with strong late spring and summer surface heating in the Gulf (Burt and Paparella, 2024; Paparella et al., 2019), which promotes the development formation of a shallow of warm surface layer waters over cooler deeper water layers, as previously observed in around SBN region (Cavalcante et al., 2024).

The persistence of large vertical temperature differences thermal gradients despite energetic tides ~~indicates indicated~~ that tidal stirring alone ~~is was~~ insufficient to suppress summer thermal stratification. Within the diurnal band, ΔT variability ~~reflects was~~ associated with both current tidal and atmospheric variability contributions. However, ~~e~~ Coherence and variance partitioning (Figs. 1415–1516) showed particularly strong associations that ~~ΔT at SBN-NW between ΔT , is strongly associated with~~ cross-axis wind stress (τ_c) and along-axis currents (u_a) ~~at SBN-NW (coherence 0.55 The diurnal), where this band explains explained~~ 67% of ΔT the variance at SBN-NW and compared with 54% at SBN-S. ~~This These relationships indicated suggests~~ that diurnal variability in wind forcing and currents was closely associated with changes in vertical thermal structure, superimposed on the tidally energetic background circulation, ~~likely associated with~~ ~~shamal events~~, plays an important role in modulating thermocline depth and vertical exchange, acting in combination with tidally driven currents.

At lower frequencies, ~~both sites exhibit substantial~~ the 2–4 day band accounted variance in for 36–39% of ΔT variance (36–39%) at both sites, with sea level (η) providing

the ~~largest dominant~~ unique contribution (~12%; Fig. 4516). ~~This timescale overlapped with synoptic wind variability in the Gulf, including Shamal events, suggesting that atmospheric forcing and associated sea-level and current adjustments may have contributed to the development and subsequent reduction of vertical temperature gradients.~~ The rapid, near-synchronous convergence of temperatures across ~~all~~ observed depths during the June 2021 event (Fig. 4011) ~~indicates~~ indicated a rapid transition toward thermal homogeneity, ~~but the absence of concurrent salinity observations prevented assessment of density stratification and limited our ability to distinguish among vertical mixing, horizontal advection, or their combined effects.~~ ~~that destratification occurs rapidly once the water column has been sufficiently weakened.~~ The observed variability therefore suggested an interaction between lower-frequency preconditioning and shorter-timescale tidal and atmospheric forcing, rather than control by a single mechanism. ~~In this context, subtidal variability sets the large-scale state of the system, while shorter timescale forcing governs the timing and intensity of mixing, with the strongest response observed at SBN-NW.~~

4.4 Ecological implications

Over the past decade, coral reefs across much of the southern ~~Arabian~~ Gulf have ~~experienced significant~~ declined following as a result of recurrent marine heatwaves, with losses of more than 80% of live coral documented in some areas (Burt, 2024). SBN stands out ~~as an anomaly~~ in this context, with regard, where only ~~one a single~~ bleaching event recorded over ~~occurred during this the~~ same period, and where comparatively modest coral losses, ~~have been only modest and ; it also remains~~ the only known large stands of heat-sensitive *Acropora* table corals remaining ~~location~~ in the southern ~~Arabian~~ Gulf after their ~~to host large stands of heat sensitive *Acropora* table corals that have been~~ extirpated elsewhere in the region (Hadj-Hammou et al., 2025). The ~~unique~~ hydrodynamic setting identified here may contribute ~~provides a plausible mechanism to explain~~ this relative resilience. thermal exposure across reef depths was strongly modulated by vertical temperature variability

~~While~~ SBN's offshore location (>70 km) reduces its exposure to coastal anthropogenic pressures (Howells et al., 2020; Hadj-Hammou et al., 2025), while our results showed ~~indicate~~ that thermal exposure across reef depths was strongly modulated

by vertical temperature variability its biological persistence is closely linked to dynamic thermal variability. During periods when calm wind conditions persist, such as during summer of 2021, when temperatures exceeding $>35^{\circ}\text{C}$ persisted for up to 24 consecutive days (Fig. 5). Under these conditions, energetic tidal currents were insufficient to prevent persistent vertical temperature gradients and prolonged exposure of shallow reef habitats to high temperatures. This period coincided with the first recorded bleaching event at SBN and, even tidal flushing could not overcome the thermocline barrier, and shallow water temperatures persisted at bleaching and mortality levels for several weeks. As a result, the first recorded bleaching event on record for SBN occurred, resulting in impacts to the coral community, with particular losses of heat-sensitive *Acropora* species (Hadj-Hammou et al., 2025).

These observations suggest an important highlight a paradoxical relationship between physical forcing and reef thermal exposure resilience at SBN. Under typical summer conditions, episodic ~~shamal~~ wind forcing may contribute to the disruption of vertical temperature gradients and exchange between driven mixing can weaken stratification and introduce cooler subsurface waters and into shallow reef habitats, potentially reducing cumulative thermal stress (Paparella et al., 2019; Yao and Johns, 2010b). Conversely, However, during anomalously prolonged weak wind periods conditions may favour the persistence of strong vertical temperature gradients, stratification intensifies and heat retention accumulates within in the shallow reef zone, allowing increasing exposure to temperatures associated with to persist above bleaching thresholds for extended periods. The potential role of wind forcing in modulating reef thermal exposure This dependency on wind driven cooling may become increasingly relevant important under climate change, given the reported as observations indicate a decline in summer ~~shamal~~ Shamal frequency since the mid-1990s (Al Senafi and Anis, 2015), and coinciding with increasingly recurrent bleaching events across Gulf reefs (Riegl et al., 2018). Although future projections remain uncertain, increasing interannual variability in regional wind conditions may result in more frequent low wind summers, potentially increasing the likelihood of prolonged thermal stress events at SBN and other offshore Gulf reefs.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Spatial variability in circulation ~~may also further~~ influences SBN's role in regional connectivity. Previous modelling studies identified SBN as a potential larval source for degraded reefs along the southern Gulf coast (Riegl et al., 2017; Cavalcante et al., 2016) ~~identify SBN as a likely larval source for degraded reefs along the southern Gulf coast~~. The present ~~results observations~~ provide a physical ~~context~~basis for this interpretation, showing substantial differences in current strength and direction between adjacent island flanks and in their relationship with the regional circulation. Such spatial heterogeneity is likely to influence pathways of larval export and retention around the island. SBN's capacity to function as both a relative thermal refuge and a source of larvae therefore likely depends on the interaction between regional and locally modified circulation and episodic thermal stress.

~~indicating that stronger and more persistent flow at SBN NW likely facilitates offshore export and directional dispersal, whereas weaker and more variable flow at SBN S may favour local retention and self-recruitment. In a warming Gulf, the capacity of SBN to function as both a thermal refuge and a larval source likely depends on the interaction between diurnal mixing events and subtidal synoptic forcing. These coupled processes may help mitigate the effects of prolonged thermal stress, although their effectiveness will depend on the frequency and timing of future extreme events.~~

Overall, circulation and thermal variability at SBN ~~reflected are governed by a~~ hierarchy of interacting processes, with tides ~~establishing setting~~ the dominant current variability and flow structure, ~~and~~ wind and lower-frequency sea level and current variability associated with changes in residual circulation and vertical thermal structure, forcing modulating residual circulation, and synoptic variability controlling the timing of stratification collapse. Together with ~~The combination of~~ strong topographic steering, these interacting processes produced spatially and temporally heterogeneous conditions around the island, with implications for physical exchange, thermal exposure, and reef connectivity, and multi-scale forcing produces highly heterogeneous conditions over short spatial scales, with important implications for both physical exchange and ecosystem functioning in the region.

Data availability. The bathymetry data in Fig. 1 was retrieved from the GEBCO website: <https://download.gebco.net/> (last access: 10 March 2026). ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis data were obtained from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (CDS) (Hersbach et al., 2020) and are publicly available at: <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/datasets/reanalysis-era5-single-levels?tab=download>. The in-situ data (ADCP, HOBO and winds) can be provided by the corresponding author upon request. TPXO10-atlas global tidal model data used to generate the tidal constituent maps were obtained from the TPXO global tidal solutions (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002) and are publicly available at: <https://www.tpxo.net/global>. The SST data were obtained from NOAA CRW and are available at: https://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/pub/socd/mecb/crw/data/5km/v3.1_op/nc/v1.0/daily/sst/. The CMEMS data used to evaluate the spatial and temporal circulation is available at: https://data.marine.copernicus.eu/product/GLOBAL_MULTIYEAR_PHY_001_030/files?subdataset=cmems_mod_glo_phy_my_0.083deg_PID-m_202311

Author contributions. GC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Visualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FV: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. RB: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. AB: Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. JB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. All authors took part in the extensive discussion of the results and provided expertise and insight to the conclusions from their areas of expertise.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge the outstanding support of Mubadala Arabian Center for Climate and Environmental Sciences for providing the logistics support for the fieldwork activities. The anonymous reviewers of the first versions of this publication are kindly acknowledged for their comments. The authors also acknowledge the Environment and Protected Areas Authority - Sharjah, for granting research permits to conduct this study. In addition, the author acknowledges the creators of ChatGPT used to improve the English writing in some parts of this manuscript.

Financial support. This work was supported by the American University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates [grant number FRG21-M-S24]. G.H. Cavalcante thanks CNPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) for the Research Fellowship (Grant: 306370/2023-9). GC, RB and JB were supported by funding from the Mubadala Foundation under the NYUAD Research Institute grant CG009 to Mubadala ACCESS, and their support is greatly appreciated.

6. References

- Afshar-Kaveh, N., Nazarali, M., and Pattiaratchi, C.: Relationship between the Persian Gulf Sea-Level Fluctuations and Meteorological Forcing, *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 8, 285, 2020.
- Akbari, P., Sadrinassab, M., Chegini, V., and Siadatmousavi, M.: Tidal constituents in the Persian Gulf, Gulf of Oman and Arabian Sea: a numerical study, *Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences*, 45, 1010-1016, 2016.
- Al Azhar, M., Temimi, M., Zhao, J., and Ghedira, H.: Modeling of circulation in the Arabian Gulf and the Sea of Oman: Skill assessment and seasonal thermohaline structure, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 121, 1700-1720, 10.1002/2015jc011038, 2016.
- Al Senafi, F. and Anis, A.: Shamals and climate variability in the Northern Arabian/Persian Gulf from 1973 to 2012, *International Journal of Climatology*, 35, 4509-4528, 10.1002/joc.4302, 2015.
- Alosairi, Y. and Pokavanich, T.: Seasonal circulation assessments of the Northern Arabian/Persian Gulf, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 116, 270-290, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.12.065>, 2017.
- Alosairi, Y., Imberger, J., and Falconer, R. A.: Mixing and flushing in the Persian Gulf (Arabian Gulf), *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 116, 10.1029/2010jc006769, 2011.
- Bejarano, I., Orenes-Salazar, V., Bento, R., García-Charton, J. A., and Mateos-Molina, D.: Coral reefs at Sir Bu Nair Island: An offshore refuge of Acropora in the southern Arabian Gulf, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 178, 113570, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113570>, 2022.

Bendat, J. S. and Piersol, A. G.: Random Data: Analysis and Measurement Procedures, 4th, Wiley 2011.

Bento, R., Cavalcante, G., Mateos-Molina, D., Riegl, B., and Bejarano, I.: Recruitment and larval connectivity of a remnant *Acropora* community in the Arabian Gulf, United Arab Emirates, Coral Reefs, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-021-02187-7>, 2021.

Burt, J. A.: From Phoenix to Sisyphus: Climate Change Impacts and Intervention Strategies for Arabian Gulf Coral Reefs, *Journal of Coastal Research*, 113, 839-839, 831, 2024.

Burt, J. A. and Paparella, F.: The Marine Environment of the Emirates, in: A Natural History of the Emirates, edited by: Burt, J. A., Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 95-117, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37397-8_4, 2024.

Campos, E. J. D., Vieira, F., Cavalcante, G., Kjerfve, B., Abouleish, M. Y., Shahriar, S., Mohamed, R., and Gordon, A. L.: Impacts of brine disposal from water desalination plants on the physical environment in the Persian/Arabian Gulf, *Environmental Research Communications*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/abd0ed>, 2020.

Cavalcante, G., Vieira, F., Mortensen, J., Ben-Hamadou, R., Range, P., Goergen, E. A., Campos, E., and Riegl, B. M.: Chapter Eight - Biophysical model of coral population connectivity in the Arabian/Persian Gulf, in: *Advances in Marine Biology*, edited by: Riegl, B. M., Academic Press, 193-221, <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.amb.2020.07.001>, 2020.

Cavalcante, G. H., Feary, D. A., and Burt, J. A.: The influence of extreme winds on coastal oceanography and its implications for coral population connectivity in the southern Arabian Gulf, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 105, 489-497, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.10.031>, 2016.

Cavalcante, G. H., Kjerfve, B., and Feary, D. A.: Examination of residence time and its relevance to water quality within a coastal mega-structure: The Palm Jumeirah Lagoon, *Journal of Hydrology*, 468-469, 111-119, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.027>, 2012.

Cavalcante, G. H., Kjerfve, B., Feary, D. A., Bauman, A. G., and Usseglio, P.: Water Currents and Water Budget in a Coastal Megastructure, Palm Jumeirah Lagoon, Dubai, UAE, *Journal of Coastal Research*, 27, 384-393, 310, <https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-09-00177.1>, 2011.

Cavalcante, G. H., Vieira, F., Bento, R., Bartholomew, A., and Burt, J. A.: Oceanographic variability in Sir Bu Nair Island (Arabian/Persian Gulf) based on in situ observations., *Journal of Coastal Research*, 113, 113, 410-414., <https://doi.org/10.2112/JCR-S1113-081.1>, 2024.

Chao, S.-Y., Kao, T. W., and Al-Hajri, K. R.: A numerical investigation of circulation in the Arabian Gulf, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 97, 11219-11236, <https://doi.org/10.1029/92JC00841>, 1992.

Egbert, G. D. and Erofeeva, S. Y.: Efficient Inverse Modeling of Barotropic Ocean Tides, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 19, 183-204, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(2002\)019<0183:EIMOBO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0183:EIMOBO>2.0.CO;2), 2002.

Elipot, S. and Gille, S. T.: Estimates of wind energy input to the Ekman layer in the Southern Ocean from surface drifter data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 114, C06003-C06003, 10.1029/2008JC005170, 2009.

Ellison, R. A., Thomas, R. J., Jacobs, J., and Pharaoh, T. C.: Anatomy and uplift history of the emergent salt domes of the United Arab Emirates, *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 15, 23, 10.1007/s12517-021-09034-7, 2021.

Grömping, U.: Estimators of Relative Importance in Linear Regression Based on Variance Decomposition, *The American Statistician*, 61, 139-147, 10.1198/000313007X188252, 2007.

Hadj-Hammou, J., Bartholomew, A., Bento, R. C., Mohamed, F. A., Cavalcante, G. H., and Burt, J. A.: Bleaching Impacts on the Last Remaining *Acropora*-dominated Reefs in the United Arab Emirates, *Diversity*, 17, 610, 2025.

Hassanzadeh, S., Hosseinibalam, F., and Rezaei-Latifi, A.: Numerical modelling of salinity variations due to wind and thermohaline forcing in the Persian Gulf, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 35, 1512-1537, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2010.09.029>, 2011.

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., and et al.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146, 1999-2049, 10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.

Howells, E. J., Vaughan, G. O., Work, T. M., Burt, J. A., and Abrego, D.: Annual outbreaks of coral disease coincide with extreme seasonal warming, *Coral Reefs*, 39, 771-781, 10.1007/s00338-020-01946-2, 2020.

Ibrahim, H. D. and Eltahir, E. A. B.: Impact of Brine Discharge from Seawater Desalination Plants on Persian/Arabian Gulf Salinity, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 145, 04019084, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001604, 2019.

Jean-Michel, L., Eric, G., Romain, B.-B., Gilles, G., Angélique, M., Marie, D., Clément, B., Mathieu, H., Olivier, L. G., Charly, R., Tony, C., Charles-Emmanuel, T., Florent, G., Giovanni, R., Mounir, B., Yann, D., and Pierre-Yves, L. T.: The Copernicus Global 1/12° Oceanic and Sea Ice GLORYS12 Reanalysis, *Frontiers in Earth Science*, Volume 9 - 2021, 10.3389/feart.2021.698876, 2021.

Kämpf, J. and Sadriinasab, M.: The circulation of the Persian Gulf: a numerical study, *Ocean Sci.*, 2, 27-41, 10.5194/os-2-27-2006, 2006.

Kanarik, H., Tuomi, L., Alenius, P., Miettinen, E., Johansson, M., Roine, T., Westerlund, A., and Kahma, K. K.: Currents and their drivers in the Archipelago Sea: insights from ADCP measurements, *Ocean Sci.*, 21, 2125-2147, 10.5194/os-21-2125-2025, 2025.

Langodan, S., Cavaleri, L., Bertotti, L., Qasem, A. M., Razak, S. P., Pomaro, A., and Hoteit, I.: Winds and waves in the Arabian Gulf: Physics, characteristics and long-term hindcast, *International Journal of Climatology*, 43, 3538-3551, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8043>, 2023.

Large, W. G. and Pond, S.: Open ocean momentum flux measurements in moderate to strong winds, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 11, 324-336, 10.1175/1520-0485(1981)011<0324:OOMFMI>2.0.CO;2, 1981.

Lowe, R. J. and Falter, J. L.: Oceanic Forcing of Coral Reefs, *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 7, 43-66, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015834>, 2015.

Makarem, F., Alzaatreh, A., Atabay, S., Yilmaz, A. G., and Cavalcante, G.: Unraveling storm wave populations in the UAE with multivariate and clustering analysis, *Scientific Reports*, 16, 3412, 10.1038/s41598-025-33409-5, 2026.

Paparella, F., Xu, C., Vaughan, G. O., and Burt, J. A.: Coral Bleaching in the Persian/Arabian Gulf Is Modulated by Summer Winds, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, 10.3389/fmars.2019.00205, 2019.

Pawlowicz, R., Beardsley, B., and Lentz, S.: Classical tidal harmonic analysis including error estimates in MATLAB using T_TIDE, *Computers & Geosciences*, 28, 929-937, 10.1016/S0098-3004(02)00013-4, 2002.

Peres-Neto, P. R., Legendre, P., Dray, S., and Borcard, D.: VARIATION PARTITIONING OF SPECIES DATA MATRICES: ESTIMATION AND COMPARISON OF FRACTIONS, *Ecology*, 87, 2614-2625, [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2006\)87\[2614:VPOSDM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2614:VPOSDM]2.0.CO;2), 2006.

Piecuch, C. G., Coats, S., Dangendorf, S., Landerer, F. W., Reager, J. T., Thompson, P. R., and Wahl, T.: High-Tide Floods and Storm Surges During Atmospheric Rivers on the US West Coast, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2021GL096820, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096820>, 2022.

Pous, S. P., Carton, X., and Lazure, P.: Hydrology and circulation in the Strait of Hormuz and the Gulf of Oman—Results from the GOGP99 Experiment: 2. Gulf of Oman, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 109, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002146>, 2004.

Ranjbar, M. H., Etemad-Shahidi, A., and Kamranzad, B.: Modeling the combined impact of climate change and sea-level rise on general circulation and residence time in a semi-enclosed sea, *Science of The Total Environment*, 740, 140073, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140073>, 2020.

Reynolds, R. M.: Physical oceanography of the Gulf, Strait of Hormuz, and the Gulf of Oman—Results from the Mt Mitchell expedition, *Marine pollution bulletin*, 27, 35-59, 1993.

Riegl, B., Cavalcante, G., Bauman, A. G., Feary, D. A., Steiner, S., and Purkis, S.: Demographic mechanisms of reef coral species winnowing from communities under increased environmental stress, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4, 344, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00344>, 2017.

Riegl, B., Johnston, M., Purkis, S., Howells, E., Burt, J., Steiner, S. C. C., Sheppard, C. R. C., and Bauman, A.: Population collapse dynamics in *Acropora downingi*, an Arabian/Persian Gulf ecosystem-engineering coral, linked to rising temperature, *Global Change Biology*, 24, 2447-2462, 10.1111/gcb.14114, 2018.

Salim, M., M P, S., Scott, J., Song, H., Marshall, J., and Al Shehhi, M. R.: Role of tidal mixing on ocean exchange through the Strait of Hormuz, *Environmental Research Communications*, 6, 071006, 10.1088/2515-7620/ad578c, 2024.

Simpson, J. H., Brown, J., Matthews, J., and Allen, G.: Tidal straining, density currents, and stirring in the control of estuarine stratification, *Estuaries*, 13, 125-132, 1990.

Skirving, W., Marsh, B., De La Cour, J., Liu, G., Harris, A., Maturi, E., Geiger, E., and Eakin, C. M.: CoralTemp and the coral reef watch coral bleaching heat stress product suite version 3.1, *Remote Sensing*, 12, 3856, 2020.

Thomas, R. J., Ellison, R. A., Goodenough, K. M., Roberts, N. M. W., and Allen, P. A.: Salt domes of the UAE and Oman: Probing eastern Arabia, *Precambrian Research*, 256, 1-16, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2014.10.011>, 2015.

Thomson, R. E. and Emery, W. J.: *Data analysis methods in physical oceanography*, Elsevier2024.

Thoppil, P. G. and Hogan, P. J.: A Modeling Study of Circulation and Eddies in the Persian Gulf, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 40, 2122-2134, 10.1175/2010jpo4227.1, 2010.

van der Molen, J., Maas, L. R. M., Groeskamp, S., and et al.: Imminent reversal of the residual flow through the Marsdiep tidal inlet into the Dutch Wadden Sea based on multiyear ferry-borne ADCP observations, *Ocean Science*, 18, 1805-1826, 10.5194/os-18-1805-2022, 2022.

Welch, P. D.: The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms, *IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics*, 15, 70-73, 10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901, 1967.

Wolanski, E. and Hamner, W. M.: Topographically controlled fronts in the ocean and their biological influence, *Science*, 241, 177-181, 1988.

Yao, F. and Johns, W. E.: A HYCOM modeling study of the Persian Gulf: 1. Model configurations and surface circulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005781>, 2010a.

Yao, F. and Johns, W. E.: A HYCOM modeling study of the Persian Gulf: 2. Formation and export of Persian Gulf Water, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005788>, 2010b.