

EGUSPHERE-2026-2779

Comments by editor:

Dear Don,

thank you for resubmitting a revised version of your manuscript. It is accepted for publication pending some technical corrections (see below).

However, a clearer separation of Results and Discussion would have helped to further improve the paper and make it easier accessible to the readers.

I have a few requests that are more of a technical nature.

GEOCHRONOLOGY is a scientific journal and thus prefers scientific notation including proper presentation of isotopes and the use of SI units and their derivatives.

Fig 3, 5, 6 ff: Replace figure with correct notation of isotopes: number is superscript in front of chemical symbol; the unit for time should be s not sec or seconds. Correct in caption as well.

Fig 7, 8 add element to isotope number

sec instead of s is used in many places in the text, please correct.

In the text you mix Pb/U and U/Pb and the reason is not clear.

I hope you can make these minor corrections.

Klaus Mezger

Authors' response:

All the technical corrections requested by the editor, including isotope notation and the use of 's' instead of 'sec', have been carried out. We now use Pb/U uniformly.