The authors have adequately addressed my comments. However, I believe that some concerns remain, particularly regarding the spatial maps in Figures 3a, 3b, and 6b. In Figure 3a, the color differences in the ranges of 0–0.6 and above 2–3 appear difficult to distinguish. Similarly, in Figure 3b, the color representation in the range of 6–10 appears nearly black, obscuring potential variations within this interval. A similar concern applies to Figure 6b.

Although the authors thoughtfully reviewed these figures with a colorblind co-author and a digital filter, color vision deficiencies are highly variable. To ensure universal accessibility, I recommend updating these figures using a colorblind-friendly palette or adding distinct visual cues to improve the differentiation of values.

These are minor, presentation-related concerns and do not affect my overall assessment of the scientific content. I recommend acceptance of the manuscript after this minor revision.