https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Ground-truthing the application of compound-specific stable isotopes of amino acids to planktic foraminifera tests from Santa Barbara Basin

Shannon C. Doherty[1,2], Emily Havard[2], Stephanie Christensen[3], Genevieve M. Pugsley[3,4], Matthew D. McCarthy[3], Catherine V. Davis[2]

[1]College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK
[2]Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC
[3]Department of Ocean Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA
[4]School of Aquatic and Fisheries Science, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Correspondence to: Shannon C. Doherty (scdoherty@alaska.edu)

Abstract. Planktic foraminifera form shells that are preserved in ocean sediments and are used in a variety of paleoproxy and biostratigraphic applications. However, species-specific ecology can complicate the interpretation of planktic foraminifera-based proxies, and limited options exist for examining the ecology of extinct species. Here we apply test-bound compound-specific stable isotopes of amino acids (CSI-AA) to examine the trophic ecology of extant planktic foraminifera. We measure CSI-AA in planktic foraminifera shells collected in sediment traps from the Santa Barbara Basin, CA, specifically the three most abundant species in this region: *Globigerina bulloides*, *Neogloboquadrina incompta*, and *Turborotalita quinqueloba*. The nitrogen CSI-AA of all three species suggest that planktic foraminifera have metazoan-like metabolisms, and that trophic position estimates using CSI-AA are appropriate for planktic foraminifera. All three species had trophic positions near 2 (primary consumer), with no evidence for mixotrophy or photosymbionts. Carbon CSI-AA, in combination with a Bayesian stable isotope mixing model, indicates that the three species occupied separate niches based on diet. *Globigerina bulloides* fed opportunistically on all groups of phytoplankton available in Santa Barbara Basin, adjusting its diet with seasonal changes in phytoplankton assemblage. *Turborotalita quinqueloba* specialized in diatoms and heterotrophic bacteria. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* consumed heterotrophic bacteria and some phytoplankton. Our results align with the current understanding of each species' ecology while further defining their niches. Our findings suggest that CSI-AA is a promising tool for understanding the trophic ecology of planktic foraminifera, and we make recommendations for future applications of CSI-AA to fossil specimens.

1 Introduction

Despite their fundamental importance to paleoceanography, many questions remain about the ecology of planktic foraminifera. Planktic foraminifera are single-celled amoeboid protists that precipitate calcium carbonate shells. These shells, or tests, are preserved in marine sediments and constitute a rich historical record of the oceans. A variety of paleoproxies for physical and biogeochemical ocean conditions have been developed using elemental and stable isotope analyses of planktic foraminifera (Katz et al., 2010; Kucera, 2007). Planktic foraminifera are essential to biostratigraphy in

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

carbonate-rich ocean sediments and the relative abundance of planktic foraminifera species has been used as a paleotemperature indicator (Imbrie and Kipp, 1971; Petrizzo et al., 2024).

Planktic foraminifera species have varying and wide-ranging trophic ecology: they can be primary consumers and secondary consumers (Spindler et al., 1984), detritivores (Bird et al., 2018; Fehrenbacher et al., 2018), and mixotrophs (Uhle et al., 1997, 1999). Mixotrophic species host photosynthetic symbionts (Takagi et al., 2019), which can assimilate inorganic nitrogen as a nutrient source (Lekieffre et al., 2020). The foraminifera themselves can also assimilate inorganic nitrogen (Bird et al., 2020). The ecology of individual species can influence the interpretation of foraminifera-based paleoproxies. For example, the presence and abundance of photosynthetic symbionts can alter the inorganic carbon stable isotope ratios in foraminifera tests (Spero et al., 1991) and therefore have a confounding influence on records of past changes in the marine carbon cycle. If a species adopts symbionts over time and their carbon stable isotope composition changes, this could be mistakenly interpreted as a change in the global carbon cycle (Gaskell and Hull, 2019). Foraminifera-bound $\delta^{15}N$ has proven to be an extremely valuable proxy for nitrogen cycling and ocean circulation (e.g.Ren et al., 2009, 2015; Auderset et al., 2022; Farmer et al., 2023), but like $\delta^{13}C$, the interpretation of this proxy can be complicated by trophic ecology, specifically the presence/absence of symbionts (Auderset et al., 2025). Examinations of cytoplasm contents, observations from culture, and metagenomic methods have been employed to understand the ecology of extant species (e.g. Bé et al., 1977; Bird et al., 2017, 2018; Takagi et al., 2019; Reynolds et al., 2025), yet much remains unknown. These methods, however, are not feasible for extinct species or fossil specimens.

Compound-specific stable isotopes of amino acids (CSI-AA) have been applied extensively to studies of trophic ecology, and CSI-AA should be particularly useful for studies of planktic foraminifera. Nitrogen isotopes of amino acids can be used to investigate the trophic position, or level in the food web, of organisms as well as nitrogen sources to the food web (Ohkouchi et al., 2017). Carbon isotopes of essential amino acids, or those amino acids that are not synthesized by heterotrophs, can be used to estimate the primary carbon sources in a consumer's diet (Larsen et al., 2009). CSI-AA has been used to examine the ecology of live-caught benthic and planktic foraminifera with intact cytoplasm (Nomaki et al., 2025; Toue et al., 2022; Tsuchiya et al., 2018), but amino acids also constitute a major fraction of the organic lining of the foraminifera test (Paoloni et al., 2023) and thus are preserved in fossil specimens (King and Hare, 1972). Our study focuses on test-bound amino acids from planktic foraminifera tests collected in a moored sediment trap. These tests should be analogous to sediment core-top fossils in nitrogen content (Smart et al., 2018) but would not have been subject to diagenesis.

The Santa Barbara Basin (SBB) provides an ideal study site for studies of planktic foraminifera ecology. The three most abundant extant planktic foraminifera species in the Santa Barbara Basin (Black et al., 2001; Havard et al., 2025; Kincaid et al., 2000): *Globigerina bulloides* (d'Orbigny, 1826), *Neogloboquadrina incompta* (Cifelli, 1961), and *Turborotalita quinqueloba* (Natland, 1938) have been extensively studied in the wild and in culture. SBB is also the location of multiple

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

planktic foraminifera-based climate archives (e.g. (Hendy et al., 2004; Hendy and Kennett, 2000; Kennett and Ingram, 1995; White et al., 2013), thus planktic foraminifera ecology also has direct relevance to paleoceanographic studies.

The Santa Barbara Channel, which contains the SBB, is a highly dynamic system driven by seasonal changes in wind forcing (Harms and Winant, 1998). In the spring, equatorward winds create conditions favorable for upwelling off Point Conception and flow is equatorward (Fig.1; Harms and Winant, 1998), which delivers nutrients to surface waters in SBB that support new production and plankton blooms (Brzezinski and Washburn, 2011). In late spring the flow reverses to poleward, and cyclonic circulation occurs in the channel through fall (Harms and Winant, 1998). During this time, cyclonic eddies can bring nutrients to the surface and entrain nutrients and phytoplankton from upwelled waters north of Point Conception (Brzezinski and Washburn, 2011). Chlorophyte and prymnesiophyte phytoplankton bloom first in response to upwelling, followed by diatoms (Catlett et al., 2021). Diatoms dominate pigment concentrations throughout the year, and diatom blooms peak in spring, after which smaller blooms occur sporadically through the summer (Anderson et al., 2008). The remainder of the year, stratified conditions favor a cyanobacteria- and nanoeukaryote-dominated mixed assemblage and winter-time precipitation and freshwater runoff favor dinoflagellate blooms (Catlett et al., 2021).

The planktic foraminifera community in SBB responds to this seasonality. In SBB sediment trap collections from 2014-2021 (the same sediment traps used in this study), *G. bulloides* are consistently abundant and dominate the species assemblage in spring and winter (Havard et al., 2025). *Globigerina bulloides* fluxes peak in spring and summer, as do those of *T. quinqueloba* (Havard et al., 2025). *Turborotalita quinqueloba* has high relative abundances in spring and summer, and much lower abundances in fall and winter (Havard et al., 2025). *Neogloboquadrina incompta* is present year-round, but its relative abundance is highest in the fall when fluxes of *G. bulloides* and *T. quinqueloba* are low (Havard et al., 2025). This seasonal variability in the three most abundant planktic foraminifera species suggests that these species occupy different ecological niches in SBB. All three species occupy similar depth habitats at or just below the chlorophyll maximum in SBB (Field, 2004). Toue et al. (2021) suggest that planktic foraminifera living in the same depth habitats may occupy different trophic niches to avoid competition.

We apply CSI-AA to planktic foraminifera from SBB to ground-truth the application of this method to planktic foraminifera tests and investigate the ecology of the three most abundant species. We compare our results to previous studies of the ecology and behavior of *G. bulloides, T. quinqueloba,* and *N. incompta*. Our findings confirm the utility of CSI-AA for examining the ecology of planktic foraminifera species as well as define the ecological niches of each of these three species in SBB.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

2 Methods

2.1 Sediment trap

Samples were collected using a McLane Parflux 78H sediment trap deployed at depths >400 m (water column depth ~589m; Eichhubl et al., 2002) in the central SBB (34.2450389, -120.0592917; Fig. 1). The sampling interval was 10 to 14 days and samples were preserved in borate-buffered formalin solution. This study consists of samples from deployments from 2018 to 2021, excluding May through November 2020.



Figure 1. Map of Santa Barbara Basin with bathymetry, relative directions of the California Current and California Undercurrent, and location of sediment trap (yellow triangle). In the spring, the California Current dominates the circulation through the basin, and upwelling forms off Point Conception (green symbols). In the fall and winter, the undercurrent dominates circulation (blue arrow). Bathymetry data are from NOAA.

2.2 Sample processing

A 1/16th split of the sediment trap sample was used for foraminiferal flux and species counts, as described in Havard et al. (2025). Briefly, samples were rinsed with tap water over a 125-micron sieve and foraminifera tests were removed from other trap material using a fine paintbrush. Foraminifera tests were dried on a micropaleontology slide, then species were identified by test morphology and sorted (Fig. 2).

Tests of the three most abundant species were removed to separate micropaleontology slides. Tests were inspected under a dissecting microscope to ensure that they were free of cytoplasm and that no organic particles were adhered to the exterior or interior of tests. Any organic particles were gently removed with a wet brush. The removed tests were counted and weighed on a microbalance. Tests from multiple sediment trap samples were combined to achieve a sample of 5-10 mg (Table 1). The

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

weights of individual sediment trap collections were used to estimate the proportion of each sample from each season (Table 1). This combined sample was gently rinsed with methanol three times, then dried.



Figure 2. Pictures of three most abundant planktic foraminifera in SBB on a micropaleontology slide with mechanical pencil for scale (A) and magnified to show differences in test morphology (B).

Table 1. Details for each species analysis.

	G. bulloides **(fall/winter)**	***G. bulloides*** **(spring/summer)**	***T. quinqueloba***	***N. incompta***
Dates represented	Fall/winter (Oct-Jan) 2018/2019, 2019/2020, 2020/2021	Spring/summer (Feb-July) 2019	December 2018 to October 2021	May 2019 to October 2021
Number of individuals (n)	3132	5081	4964	4082
Total dry weight (mg)	9.6	19.8	5.6	13.3
Weight spring/summer (mg)		19.8 (100%)	5.0 (89%)	7.6 (56%)
Weight fall/winter (mg)	9.6 (100%)		0.6 (11%)	5.8 (44%)

2.3 Compound-specific stable isotope analysis of amino acids

CSI-AA were measured at the UC Santa Cruz Stable Isotope Lab. The preparation of foraminifera tests for CSI-AA follows the methods of Vokhshoori et al. (2022) for preparing bivalve shell organic matter. Tests were first demineralized by adding ~1 mL 1N HCl to dissolve carbonate, then stored at 4°C overnight to complete the demineralization reaction. The HCl was then evaporated under N_2 instead of filtration or centrifugation. The remaining organic matter was then hydrolyzed with ~

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

1mL 6N HCl at 110°C for 20 hrs after the vial was purged with N_2 to remove oxygen. Samples were purified by cation-exchange chromatography with DOWEX 50WX8–400 resin (Metges et al., 1996). Amino acids were measured as trifluoroacetyl isopropyl ester derivatives following Silfer et al. (1991). After drying under N_2, samples were esterified with a 1:5 mixture of acetyl chloride:isopropanol at 110 °C for 60 min. Samples were dried again under N_2, then trifluoroacetylation was completed using a 1:3 mixture of trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) and dichloromethane (DCM) at 100 °C for 15 min. Inorganic salts were removed from samples by liquid-liquid extraction of derivatized amino acids in chloroform and an aqueous 1 M phosphate buffer (Ueda et al., 1989). Trifluoroacetylation was completed again after liquid-liquid extraction. Samples were dried and dissolved in ethyl acetate for gas chromatography-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS).

Amino acid stable isotopes were measured on a Thermo 1310 Trace gas chromatograph coupled to an Isolink II (Thermo combustion reactor 1000°C), Conflo IV, and Thermo Delta V Plus IRMS at the UCSC-SIL. Amino acids were separated for $\delta^{15}N$ analyses using a BPX5 column (60 m×0.32 mm, 1 µm film thickness; SGE Analytical Science, Trajan, Austin, TX, USA) and for $\delta^{13}C$ analyses using a DB-5 column (50 m× 0.32 mm 0.52 µm film thickness; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples were then analyzed, alternating every three injections with an authentic amino acid standard mixture of known $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values. The injector temperature was 250°C with He column flow rate of 2 mL/min. The GC temperature program for nitrogen isotope analysis: initial temp = 70°C hold for 1 min; ramp 1=10°C/min to 185°C, hold for 2 min; ramp 2 = 2°C/min to 200°C, hold for 10 min; ramp 3 = 30°C/min to 300°C, hold for 6 min. The GC temperature program for carbon isotope analysis was: initial temp = 75°C hold for 2 min; ramp 1 = 4°C/min to 90°C, hold for 4 min; ramp 2 = 4°C/min to 185°C, hold for 5 min; ramp 3 = 10°C/min to 250°C, hold for 2 min; ramp 4 = 20°C/min to 300°C, hold for 5 min. Amino acids included in analysis were: alanine (Ala), glycine (Gly), threonine (Thr), serine (Ser), valine (Val), leucine (Leu), isoleucine (Ile), proline (Pro), aspartic acid/asparagine (Asx), glutamic acid/glutamine (Glx), phenylalanine (Phe), and lysine (Lys). The $\delta^{13}C$ of amino acids was corrected for carbon added during derivatization using standard values from the same derivative batch, following the methods of Silfer et al. (1991). A small $\delta^{15}N$ correction was made for samples in each run based on the offset from known standard values for each amino acid in that same run. Reproducibility was estimated by the standard deviation of triplicate injections of each sample. Accuracy was checked by analyzing an in-house long-term lab reference material (cyanobacteria) with every sample set.

2.4 Amino acid-based statistical analyses

2.4.1 Trophic Positions

Trophic and source amino acids were designated following Chikaraishi et al., (2007). Trophic position was estimated in three ways, due to a lack of information about the fractionation of trophic amino acids in foraminifera. The first, denoted here as

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

TP-Glx, was developed for metazoans and uses the $\delta^{15}N$ values of Glx and Phe according to the formulation of Chikaraishi et al. (2009):

$$\text{TP-Glx} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Glx} - \delta^{15}N_{Phe} - \beta_{Glx\text{-}Phe}}{\Delta_{Glx}} + 1 \quad (1)$$

where $\beta_{Glx\text{-}Phe}$ is 3.4‰ and Δ_{Glx} is 7.6‰ (Chikaraishi et al., 2009).

The second method, using alanine as the trophic amino acid, denoted here as TP-Ala, is employed to capture protistan steps in the food web, which are not captured by the TP-Glx method (Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al., 2014; Décima et al., 2017).

$$\text{TP-Ala} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Ala} - \delta^{15}N_{Phe} - \beta_{Ala\text{-}Phe}}{\Delta_{Ala}} + 1 \quad (2)$$

Where $\beta_{Ala\text{-}Phe}$ is 3.2‰ and Δ_{Ala} is 6.1‰ (Décima et al., 2017; Chikaraishi et al., 2009).

Feeding studies suggest different alanine trophic discrimination factors for protists and metazoans (Décima et al., 2017; Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al., 2014), thus we also implement the multi-TDF model (McMahon and McCarthy, 2016) assuming a single protistan step for an alanine-based trophic position, TP-Ala-multi:

$$\text{TP-Ala-multi} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Ala} - \delta^{15}N_{Phe} - \beta_{Ala\text{-}Phe} - \Delta_{Ala1}}{\Delta_{Ala2}} + 2 \quad (3)$$

Where $\beta_{Ala\text{-}Phe}$ is 3.2‰, Δ_{Ala1} is 4.5‰, and Δ_{Ala2} is 6.1‰ (Décima et al., 2017; Chikaraishi et al., 2009). This third calculation is most appropriate in the case that 1) foraminifera fractionate Glx and Ala like metazoans, and 2) other protists may be in the foraminifera food web.

Calculation of propagated uncertainty for trophic position followed Jarman et al. (2017) and was determined using the standard deviation of β_{Glx}, Δ_{Glx}, β_{Ala}, and Δ_{Ala1} and Δ_{Ala2} from Chikaraishi et al. (2009; 0.9‰, 1.1‰, 1.2‰, 0.2‰ and 2.1‰, respectively), and the analytical uncertainty for the amino acid $\delta^{15}N$ of each sample. Propagated errors in the TP calculations are driven primarily by the standard deviations of Δ_{Ala} and Δ_{Glx}, which are empirically derived from feeding experiments.

2.4.2 Bayesian stable isotope mixing model dietary analysis

The $\delta^{13}C$ of essential amino acids normalized to average essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ was used to apportion end-members to the diet of each foraminifera sample following McMahon et al., (2015). A Bayesian mixing model (Markov chain Monte Carlo

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

for stable isotope data with only single target organisms; MixSIAR R-package; Stock et al., 2018) was run for foraminifera using five end-members (cyanobacteria, diatoms, dinoflagellates, heterotrophic bacteria, and nanoeukaryotes) and their standard deviations. End members were chosen based upon the known phytoplankton composition of SBB (Catlett et al., 2021). These end-members were generated using published values from the literature (Larsen et al., 2013; Pugsley, 2025; Stahl et al., 2023); data in Table A1. The essential amino acids used were: Thr, Val, Leu, Ile, Lys. Phe was excluded because Phe $\delta^{13}C$ values of foraminifera were outside the range of end-member values (Fig. 3). The model was run with the default weakly informative prior, 500,000 iterations, 50,000 burn-in, reporting every 10,000 iterations, and thinned by 15 iterations.



Figure 3. Essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ values normalized to the average of essential amino acid δ13C for foraminifera samples and end-members.

Model posterior distributions were often skewed, owing to the upper and lower limits of 0 and 1 for modelled proportions (Fig. A1). Following (Doherty et al., 2025a), the modes of posterior distributions were used to estimate the proportion of each end-member to the diet (Fig. A1). If the mode was less than 5%, it was assumed that the end-member had a negligible contribution to the diet and it was excluded. Due to the qualitative nature of this approach, single values are not reported for end-member contributions to diet and instead represented in pie charts.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

3 Results

See data availability for complete CSI-AA data.

3.1 Nitrogen stable isotope ratios of amino acids

In all samples, trophic amino acids had a higher $\delta^{15}N$ value than source amino acids; the average $\delta^{15}N$ value of trophic amino acids ranged from 14.9 ± 0.4‰ to 16.2 ± 0.5‰ and the average $\delta^{15}N$ value of source amino acids ranged from 6.6 ± 0.4‰ to 8.4± 0.5‰ (Fig. 4). Both Ala and Glx were enriched relative to source amino acids in all samples. *Globigerina bulloides* in the fall/winter and *T. quinqueloba* had the highest average source AA $\delta^{15}N$ (8.4 ± 0.5‰ and 8.2 ± 0.7‰, respectively). *Globigerina bulloides* in the spring/summer and *N. incompta* had lower average source amino acid $\delta^{15}N$ (7.0 ± 0.3‰ and 6.6 ± 0.4‰, respectively). In all samples, Thr $\delta^{15}N$ was lower than the $\delta^{15}N$ of source amino acids.



Figure 4. Amino acid $\delta^{15}N$ values for planktic foraminifera. Analytical uncertainty is included in symbol size. The average $\delta^{15}N$ of trophic amino acids (Tr Avg) and source amino acids (Src Avg) are included. Analytical uncertainty is smaller than symbol size.

3.2 Trophic position

Trophic positions were very similar between *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta* (Fig. 5). *G. bulloides* in fall/winter had a TP-Glx of 2.0 ± 0.3 and a TP-Ala of 2.3 ± 0.9. In the spring and summer, *G. bulloides* trophic positions were nearly identical: TP-Glx was 2.1 ± 0.3 and TP-Ala was 2.4 ± 0.9. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* had a TP-Glx of 2.0 ± 0.3 and a TP-Ala 2.4 ± 0.9,

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

almost identical to *G. bulloides* in the fall/winter. In these two species TP-Ala was higher than TP-Glx by 0.3-0.4. *Turborotalita quinqueloba* had a TP-Glx of 2.3 ± 0.4 and a TP-Ala 2.0 ± 0.7.

Trophic position estimates using the multi-TDF model were higher than TP-Ala and TP-Glx for *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta*. *Globigerina bulloides* in fall/winter had a TP-Ala-multi of 2.7 ± 0.9. In the spring and summer, *G. bulloides* TP-Ala-multi was 2.5 ± 0.9. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* had a TP-Ala-multi of 2.7 ± 0.9, again identical to *G. bulloides* in the fall/winter. *Turborotalita quinqueloba* had a TP-Ala-multi of 2.2 ± 0.9, slightly higher than its TP-Ala and slightly lower than its TP-Glx.



Figure 5. Trophic positions of foraminifera using the TP-Ala and TP-Glx estimates. Corresponding trophic level is noted on the right side of the plot. Error bars represent propagated error for TP calculations.

3.3 Carbon stable isotope ratios of amino acids

Amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ values ranged from -28.0 ± 0.2‰ to -0.5 ± 0.3‰ (Fig. 6). The weighted average $\delta^{13}C$ of total hydrolysable amino acids (THAA) was -13.2 ± 0.2‰ for *N. incompta*, -14.9 ± 0.4‰ for *T. quinqueloba*, -14.2 ± 0.4‰ for *G. bulloides* in the fall/winter, and -16.3 ± 0.3‰ for *G. bulloides* in the spring/summer (Fig. 6).

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026



Figure 6. Amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ values for planktic foraminifera including the weighted average of total hydrolysable amino acids (THAA). Analytical uncertainty is smaller than symbol size.

3.4 Bayesian stable isotope mixing model diet apportioning

We present the results of the Bayesian stable isotope mixing model as qualitative pie charts (Fig. 7). As discussed in the methods (Sect. 2.4.2), posterior distributions were often skewed (Fig. A1) and therefore representing the results with a single value was not ideal. These charts are intended to represent what was present in the diet and relative contributions of each end-member, but not absolute percentages of the end-members in the diet.



Figure 7. Bayesian mixing model-derived diet proportions of cyanobacteria (cy, blue), diatoms (dia, brown), dinoflagellates (dino, green), heterotrophic bacteria (hb, pink), and nanoeukaryotic phytoplankton (ne, yellow) for each foraminifera sample.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Heterotrophic bacteria were present in all diets. In the fall and winter, *G. bulloides* had a mixed diet which included all end-members but primarily consisted of diatoms and dinoflagellates. In the spring and summer, *G. bulloides* consumed primarily nanoeukaryotes, with some heterotrophic bacteria and cyanobacteria. *Turborotalita quinqueloba* consumed diatoms and heterotrophic bacteria in almost equal proportion. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* consumed primarily heterotrophic bacteria and dinoflagellates with some diatoms.

4 Discussion

4.1 Planktic foraminifera have metazoan-like metabolisms

Our results indicate that CSI-AA represents an important new tool for understanding the ecology of planktic foraminifera, and that test-bound amino acids can be used for CSI-AA. The relative $\delta^{15}N$ values of amino acids match expectations for marine consumers (Fig. 4); trophic amino acids had higher $\delta^{15}N$ values than source amino acids (Fig. 4). In these foraminifera Glx and Ala were both enriched in ^{15}N relative to source amino acids, unlike other heterotrophic marine protists (Décima et al., 2017; Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al., 2014). TP-Ala and TP-Glx were also similar in all species (Fig. 5); if foraminifera had protist-like metabolisms there should be a large and consistent offset in TP-Ala and TP-Glx, with higher TP-Ala values relative to TP-Glx. TP-Glx was actually higher than TP-Ala for *T. quinqueloba*, eliminating the possibility of protist-like fractionation of Ala and Glx in planktic foraminifera. The small offset between TP-Ala and TP-Glx values of *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta* instead suggests that other protists may have been in the diet or food web of these foraminifera (see Sect. 4.2).

The ^{15}N depletion of Thr relative to all other amino acids in these foraminifera also suggests that planktic foraminifera have metazoan-like metabolisms. In marine plankton this fractionation has been observed primarily in metazoans (Doherty et al., 2021) and has not previously been observed in heterotrophic protists (Décima et al., 2017; Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al., 2014). The distinct fractionation of Thr is thought to be derived from protein uptake and/or gluconeogenesis in metazoan metabolisms (Fuller and Petzke, 2017). The relative $\delta^{13}C$ values of nonessential amino acids (Fig. 6) resembled those of fish muscle and other animal tissues, also suggesting similar isotopic routing and biosynthesis pathways to metazoans (McMahon et al., 2010).

Our finding that planktic foraminifera have metazoan-like metabolisms (as reflected in trophic AA fractionation) suggests more diversity among protist amino acid metabolisms than previously considered. Marine protists include an extremely diverse group of organisms (de Vargas et al., 2015), but studies on amino acid nitrogen isotope fractionation in protists has been previously limited to *Oxyrrhis marina*, a heterotrophic dinoflagellate, and *Favella* spp., a genus of ciliate (Décima et

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

al., 2017; Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al., 2014). Ciliates and dinoflagellates are grouped in the same clade (Alveolata), distinct from the Rhizaria clade, which includes foraminifera and radiolaria (Faktorová et al., 2020). Our findings suggest that the difference in Glx and Ala fractionation in "heterotrophic protists" may not be broadly applicable to all marine protists, as it has been interpreted in previous studies (Bode et al., 2021; Décima et al., 2017; Fernández-Urruzola et al., 2023; Shea et al., 2023; Viana et al., 2023), but could be used as an indicator more specifically dinoflagellate and/or ciliate presence in the marine food web. At a minimum, our results suggest that foraminifera in a marine food web would not result in a difference between TP-Glx and TP-Ala or TP-Ala-multi. Further studies examining the amino acid metabolisms of more species and clades of marine protists would improve CSI-AA-based estimates of microbial loop contributions to the marine food web.

4.2 Trophic niches of planktic foraminifera in SBB

Our results match expectations for possible trophic positions of planktic foraminifera, which range from ~1 to ~3 based on observations of cytoplasm contents and feeding in culture (Lekieffre et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2025; Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017; Spindler et al., 1984). Heterotrophic feeding on phytoplankton, heterotrophic bacteria, detritus, and zooplankton could result in trophic positions of 2 or greater, and assimilation of inorganic nitrogen by the foraminifer or algal symbionts would result in a trophic position ~1 (Fig. 8). In corals, mixotrophy results in trophic positions between 1 and 2 (Wall et al., 2021). Heterotrophic bacteria are capable of both *de novo* synthesis of amino acids and trophic fractionation of amino acids, and thus a diet of heterotrophic bacteria could result in a trophic position of ~2 or ~3 (Yamaguchi et al., 2017). However, *de novo* synthesis of amino acids by heterotrophic bacteria will result in a diagnostic essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ fingerprint (Larsen et al., 2009), and heterotrophic bacteria would appear in the Bayesian stable isotope mixing model diet apportioning results.

Trophic positions were estimated for the live-caught planktic foraminifera *Neogloboquadrina dutertrei* and *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* in Suruga Bay, Japan by Toue et al. (2022). They found that *N. dutertrei* was omnivorous (TP-Glx of 2.4) and *P. obliquiloculata* was a primary consumer (TP-Glx of 2.1). The authors suggested that the species maintain separate trophic niches to avoid competition with one another. In contrast, the three most abundant planktic foraminifera species in SBB were all primary consumers with TP-Glx of ~2. None of the three species in this study were expected to host symbionts (Takagi et al., 2019), although cyanobacterial endobionts have been observed within *G. bulloides* specimens (Bird et al., 2017; further discussed in Sect. 4.3.1). The results of the Bayesian mixing model suggest that each species had a distinct diet (Fig. 7), despite similar trophic positions among the species (Fig. 5). This finding may indicate that the three most abundant planktic foraminifera species in SBB separate into niches based on their diet preferences, and not by trophic level as in Suruga Bay (Toue et al., 2022). We also find that *G. bulloides* varied its diet with season. Heterotrophic bacteria featured in the diets of all three species, which is not surprising given their ubiquity in marine ecosystems (Azam and Malfatti, 2007; Whitman et al., 1998).

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026



Figure 8. Summary of dietary strategies of planktic foraminifera and potential resulting trophic positions (TP).

Because our results indicate that foraminifera metabolisms fractionate amino acids like metazoans, we interpret the results from the TP-Ala-multi trophic position estimate to estimate the contributions of other protists to foraminifera diets. Given the above discussion of Glx and Ala fractionation in marine protists, the differences in TP-Ala-multi and TP-Glx in *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta* likely indicate of the presence of heterotrophic dinoflagellates or ciliates and/or mixotrophic dinoflagellates in their food webs (see Sect. 4.1; Viana et al., 2023; Décima et al., 2017). Fall/winter *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta* both had dinoflagellates in their diet (Fig. 7), and these two samples also had the greatest differences in TP-Ala-multi and TP-Glx (0.7; Fig. 9). Dinoflagellates can engage in mixotrophy (Jeong et al., 2010), and mixotrophic dinoflagellates would be observed in both the TP-Ala-multi calculation (not in TP-Glx) and the essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ fingerprints (Décima et al., 2017; Larsen et al., 2013). Thus the differences in TP-Ala-multi and TP-Glx for fall/winter *G. bulloides* and *N. incompta* may be derived from their consumption of mixotrophic dinoflagellates. There is a smaller offset in TP-Ala-multi and TP-Glx for *G. bulloides* in spring/summer (0.4, Fig. 9) and dinoflagellates do not appear in the essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ fingerprints for this sample (Fig. 7). This may suggest that heterotrophic dinoflagellates or ciliates were present in the *G. bulloides* food web in spring/summer, but mixotrophic or autotrophic dinoflagellates were not major contributors to their diet in this season. TP-Glx was slightly higher (0.1, Fig. 9) than TP-Ala-multi for *T. quinqueloba*, and no dinoflagellates appear in its diet (Fig. 7). This suggests that ciliates and dinoflagellates were not present in the food web of *T. quinqueloba.*

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026



Figure 9. Trophic positions of foraminifera using the TP-Ala-multi and TP-Glx estimates. Corresponding trophic level is noted on the right side of the plot. Error bars represent propagated error for TP calculations, and differences between the two positions are labeled.

4.3 Comparison of results to known ecology of species

4.3.1 *Globigerina bulloides*

Globigerina bulloides is one of the most widely distributed species of planktic foraminifera, and it is found in subtropic to subpolar waters in the North Pacific (Darling et al., 2025; Taylor et al., 2018). Understanding the ecology of *G. bulloides* is complicated by its genetic diversity: this species is in fact a morphospecies consisting of ten cryptic species (Morard et al., 2024). Two genotypes have been identified in Santa Barbara Basin, but one genotype was only found in a single specimen (Darling et al., 2003). We therefore anticipate that our samples of *G. bulloides* only contain one genotype (IId), which has also been identified in other parts of the California Current Ecosystem (Bird et al., 2017).

Globigerina bulloides is known as a generalist, feeding mostly on primary producers in cooler waters (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971; Grigoratou et al., 2021; Schiebel et al., 1997; Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017). In culture, *G. bulloides* will feed on *Artemia*, although there is evidence that they prefer diatoms, chlorophytes, and some bacteria (Lee et al., 1966), and respond well to feeding with microalgae (Sykes et al., 2024). *Globigerina bulloides* can also assimilate inorganic nitrogen (ammonium) in the absence of other nitrogen sources and incorporate this nitrogen into organelles (Bird et al., 2020). We found that *G. bulloides* from both seasons in SBB had a TP-Glx ~2, confirming that *G. bulloides* is herbivorous (Fig. 5). It is possible that a combination of inorganic nitrogen assimilation, herbivory, and carnivory resulted in TP-Glx ~2, but this is

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

unlikely considering the above observations from culture. As discussed in Sect. 4.1, some heterotrophic protists and/or mixotrophic dinoflagellates are likely incorporated into the *G. bulloides* diet, due to the offset in TP-Glx and TP-Ala-multi values.

While *G. bulloides* does not have photosymbionts (Takagi et al., 2019), *G. bulloides* in the California Current were found with intact cyanobacteria cells in their cytoplasm (Bird et al., 2017). These endobionts may be regional, seasonal, genotype-dependent, or serve a function other than photosymbiosis (Takagi et al., 2019). Our trophic position results suggest that *G. bulloides* was not relying on symbionts as a significant source of nutrition (trophic position >2; Fig. 5 and 7). However, cyanobacteria appear in the diet of *G. bulloides* in both spring and winter (Fig. 7). The incorporation of intact cyanobacteria cells may therefore be a feeding strategy for *G. bulloides*, which could incorporate the cells to ultimately digest them. Heterotrophic bacteria were also found in both diets, matching results from 16S rRNA metabarcoding of *G. bulloides* in the California Current, which found heterotrophic bacteria in their cytoplasm (Bird et al., 2017). As discussed in Sect. 4.1, the inclusion of heterotrophic bacteria in the diet of *G. bulloides* likely reflects the ubiquity of heterotrophic bacteria in plankton ecosystems.

The phytoplankton available to *G. bulloides* can be assessed using publicly available HPLC-determined pigment concentration data from the Plumes and Blooms program, which monitors phytoplankton community structure in Santa Barbara Channel (SCB Marine Biodiversity Observation Network et al., 2025). We used the pigment data from the station closest to the sediment trap (Station 4) and at 20 m, where we anticipate the highest abundance of *G. bulloides* during fall and winter (Field, 2004). We assigned taxonomic significance to pigments following Catlett et al. (2021) and corresponding to our diet end-members: peridinin for dinoflagellates, zeaxanthin for cyanobacteria/picophytoplankton, fucoxanthin for diatoms, and total chlorophyll *b* and 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin for nanoeukaryotic phytoplankton. *G. bulloides* had a mixed diet in the fall and winter (Fig. 7), corresponding to the mixed assemblage and freshwater-runoff driven dinoflagellate blooms that are common in these seasons (Catlett et al., 2021). Pigments confirm that, during the three seasons that comprise the fall/winter *G. bulloides* sample, all four phytoplankton diet end-members were available (Fig.10). This finding indicates that *G. bulloides* consumes whichever phytoplankton are available in the environment.

The *G. bulloides* sample from spring and summer only had nanoeukaryotes, heterotrophic bacteria, and cyanobacteria in its diet. This is a surprising finding, considering diatom blooms are expected in the spring in SBB. This sample consists of specimens from a single year (Feb-July 2019; Table 1), and in March 2019 the highest planktic foraminifera flux occurred for the entire 2014-2021 record (3,895 foraminifera m^{-2} d^{-1}, almost 5 times the average for the record; Harvard et al., 2025). This event was driven by *G. bulloides* (Havard et al., 2025), and 51% by weight of the spring/summer *G. bulloides* sample in this study was derived from this event. No Plumes and Blumes pigment data was collected during this month, but it was followed by diatom and nanoeukaryote blooms in April 2019 (Fig. 10). It is possible that *G. bulloides* became more selective

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

during this season, or a different genotype was present than in the fall and winter, but it is most likely that nanoeukaryotes were the most abundant source of food available during this time. Nanoeukaryote blooms often precede diatom blooms in SBB (Catlett et al., 2021), and nanoeukaryotes were abundant in the months following March 2019 (Fig. 10).



Figure 10. Pigment concentration data from Plumes and Blumes station 4 at 20 m. Taxonomic assignments following Catlett et al. (2021). Dotted boxes highlight the three seasons that constitute the fall/winter *G. bulloides* sample.

Our CSI-AA-resolved ecology for *G. bulloides* matches previous observations of *G. bulloides* as herbivorous and a generalist. Havard et al. (2025) found that *G. bulloides* from these same sediment traps were not correlated with any sediment trap flux, phytoplankton pigment, or other environmental variables from 2014-2021, suggesting that our findings are true more broadly for *G. bulloides* in SBB. *Globigerina bulloides* has been used as an upwelling indicator, but this use is not appropriate in SBB due to *G. bulloides'* generalist nature, lack of correlation with other upwelling indicators, and year-round presence (Kincaid et al., 2000; Havard et al., 2025).

4.3.2 *Turborotalita quinquleloba*

In contrast to *G. bulloides*, *T. quinqueloba* flux is closely linked to upwelling in SBB (Havard et al., 2025), and this is likely due to its dietary preference for diatoms. Havard et al. (2025) found correlations between the flux of *T. quinqueloba*

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

individuals and the coastal upwelling transport index, thermocline structure in SBB, and biogenic opal. The results of the Bayesian stable isotope mixing model indicate the *T. quinqueloba* fed primarily on diatoms and heterotrophic bacteria (Fig. 7). Additionally, 89% of the *T. quinqueloba* sample by weight came from the spring and summer (Table 1), when both diatom blooms occur in SBB in response to upwelling (Catlett et al. 2021) and *T. quiqueloba* abundance is greatest (Havard et al., 2025). The similarity between the TP-Glx and TP-Ala-multi values for *T. quinqueloba* suggest that there were likely no dinoflagellates or ciliates in the *T. quinqueloba* food web, and thus the diet of *T. quinqueloba* likely consisted of fresh diatoms and not phytodetritus.

Interestingly, heterotrophic bacteria were modeled as approximately half of the *T. quinqueloba* diet (Fig. 7). Heterotrophic bacteria are ubiquitous in marine microbial communities, but are especially enriched in phytoplankton blooms, where they recycle phytoplankton-derived organic matter and form associations with specific phytoplankton species (Buchan et al., 2014). Their contribution to the diet of *T. quinqueloba* may be caused by their relationship with blooming diatoms. Diatoms produce transparent exopolymer particles (TEP), which are colonized by bacteria (Passow, 2002). The presence of bacteria may also stimulate TEP production and allow for aggregation of specific diatom species (Gärdes et al., 2011). These diatom-associated heterotrophic bacteria may have been incorporated in the diet of *T. quinqueloba* by chance through the consumption of TEP, or *T. quinqueloba* may specifically target heterotrophic bacteria. The TP-Glx of *T. quinqueloba* was >2, indicating that at least some of the heterotrophic bacteria in the diet were likely feeding on diatoms or diatom-derived organic matter.

4.3.3 *Neogloboquadrina incompta*

Growing evidence suggests that nonspinose planktic foraminifera, including *N. incompta*, inhabit particle microenvironments or feeding cysts of marine snow. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* is often, but not always, found within an aggregation of particulate organic matter when collected (Bird et al., 2018). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the particle microenvironment of the nonspinose planktic foraminifer *Globigneriníta glutinata* indicated that these habitats are likely structured feeding cysts (Spindler et al., 1984). Microhabitats as a feeding environment are further supported by the observation that some nonspinose planktic foraminifera prefer to feed on marine snow over *Artemia* in culture (Reynolds et al., 2025). Trace element to calcium ratios, particularly those of Ba, Zn, and Mn, indicate that most nonspinose species are not calcifying in ambient seawater (Doherty et al., 2025b; Fehrenbacher et al., 2018; Richey et al., 2022). *N. incompta* from the northern California Current also had these trace element signatures (Hupp et al., 2024).

We therefore anticipate that *N. incompta* from SBB were living inside particle microhabitats and likely feeding on marine snow. As discussed in Sect. 4.1, the trophic positions estimated for *N. incompta* indicate that it is a primary consumer, and the difference between the TP-Glx and TP-Ala-multi calculations also suggest that heterotrophic protists were present in its

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

diet. Heterotrophic protists quickly colonize marine snow (Artolozaga et al., 1997) and dinoflagellates made up a significant proportion of *N. incompta*'s diet (Fig. 7). Dinoflagellates are abundant in marine snow in the California Current, and some of these dinoflagellates may be mixotrophic or heterotrophic (Durkin et al., 2022).

The majority of *N. incompta*'s diet consisted of heterotrophic bacteria (Fig. 7). This finding is consistent with 16s rRNA gene metabarcoding completed by Bird et al. (2018) of *N. incompta* from the northern California Current, which indicated that bacteria were the primary food source for this species. Bird et al. (2018) found no phytoplankton in the metagenome of these specimens, although their TEM observations suggested that *N. incompta* did sometimes feed on phytoplankton. The *N. incompta* sample in this study was evenly split by weight between seasons (Table 1), and thus the diatoms and some dinoflagellates in the diet may have derived from seasonal changes in marine snow composition. Gammaproteobacteria, the most abundant in the 16s rRNA gene metabarcoding (Bird et al. 2018), are also abundant in marine snow in SBB (DeLong et al., 1993). While Bird et al. (2018) found that the chitinoclastic orders Altermonaldes and Vibrionales were the most abundant in the *N. incompta* diet, *N. incompta* most likely targets available heterotrophic bacteria and does not prefer these specific orders. However, these orders recycle carbon and nitrogen from insoluble chitin, which suggests that *N. incompta* may be a part of the microbial loop (Bird et al., 2018).

Our results support the developing hypothesis that *N. incompta* is a bacteriovore, and that it may use marine snow feeding cysts to access its preferred Gammaproteobacteria prey. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* is present year-round in SBB (Havard et al., 2025), and thus its dietary niche may be a strategy to support populations when phytoplankton prey are scarce. This dietary strategy may also be common among other nonspinose planktic foraminifera, and future CSI-AA trophic analyses on both particles and foraminifera could further describe the relationship between the particle microhabitat and the diet of nonspinose planktic foraminifera.

4.4 Prospect of applying CSI-AA to fossil foraminifera tests

We have shown here that CSI-AA data from modern planktic foraminifera tests not only preserve isotopic information but can provide important new ecological insights. Given that these tests constitute a major paleoarchive in marine sediments, an obvious subsequent question is if CSI-AA analyses can be extended to the fossil record. Here, we discuss several important considerations for expanding the application of CSI-AA to fossil foraminifera tests.

4.4.1 Method considerations

First, application of CSI-AA to planktic foraminifera tests can be methodologically challenging. GC-C-IRMS methods require large quantities of amino acid relative to other mass-spectrometry methods, despite the fact that GC-C-IRMS is one

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

of the most sensitive methods for compound-specific stable isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen (Close, 2019; Silverman et al., 2022). Measurements are also ideally made in triplicate for degraded or fossil samples, and limitations on the amount of solvent injected into the GC inlet will ultimately constrain the final solvent volume of a sample. For example, a typical maximum solvent injection volume may be ~2 uL, and thus a sample containing 4 injections worth of amino acids must be dissolved in 8 uL or less solvent. These small volumes necessitate manual injections and can be challenging to work with, as solvent will quickly evaporate. We found that 5-10 mg of dry test material from our samples was required to make triplicate injections for both carbon and nitrogen CSI-AA. Quantifying amino acid abundance first in tests via GC-MS is therefore recommended for estimating the dry weight of tests required for CSI-AA. Estimates should consider the sensitivity of the IRMS to be used, as this varies between instruments. See Fig. B1 for a framework for calculating required sample volumes based on instrument sensitivity, adapted from Close (2019). Amino acids are also lost over time from fossil tests (King and Hare, 1972), and thus more material would be required for analysis of fossil specimens. In fossil specimens, additional care must also be taken to remove amino acids derived from sedimentary organic matter, which may fill or adhere to the test.

4.4.2 Potential value of CSI-AA for paleoproxy interpretation

If CSI-AA measurements can be made in fossil tests, this approach has significant potential as a paleoproxy. First, ^{15}N CSI-AA could be deployed as an additional method for producing foraminifera-bound δ^{15}N for use as a nitrogen cycle paleoproxy. Amino acids likely constitute the majority of foraminifera-bound nitrogen (Paolini et al., 2023), and thus amino acid δ^{15}N values should be proportional to bulk δ^{15}N. Source amino acid δ^{15}N is expected to record the δ^{15}N of the nitrogen sources to planktic foraminifera without the confounding influence of trophic fractionation (Auderset et al., 2025), as these values are preserved from diet to consumer (Chikaraishi et al., 2007). Given the large differences in sample material required for CSI-AA (5-10 mg) vs. foraminifera-bound bulk nitrogen (1-3 mg; Smart et al., 2018), CSI-AA is unlikely to provide the high-resolution reconstructions necessary for many paleoproxy applications. However, it could be invaluable in constraining possible symbioses, or relative diets from a given interval or horizon, which could then be used to contextualize finer bulk isotope records.

In addition to trophic level, diet can also play a role in bulk δ^{15}N. For example, cyanobacteria and other prokaryotes are more likely to assimilate recycled nitrogen as ammonium, which has a lower δ^{15}N value, and eukaryotes are more likely to assimilate new nitrogen as nitrate, which has a higher δ^{15}N value (Fawcett et al., 2011). Our essential amino acid ^{13}C CSI-AA fingerprinting results suggest that these patterns may also be observed in planktic foraminifera. *Neogloboquadrina incompta*, which had a diet of approximately half heterotrophic bacteria, had the lowest source amino acid δ^{15}N values.

Diet likely plays a role in δ^{15}N variability among species, even when species occupy the same trophic level (Auderset et al., 2025). We therefore suggest that CSI-AA is best used to answer questions about the ecology of planktic foraminifera at the

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

species level by providing information about both trophic position and diet, which may contribute to the interpretation of the foraminifera-bound $\delta^{15}N$ paleoproxy.

5 Conclusion

Our findings suggest that CSI-AA are a promising tool for understanding the trophic ecology of planktic foraminifera, and that CSI-AA could be extended from modern foraminifera into the fossil record. We have shown that nitrogen stable isotope ratios of amino acids can be used to reliably reproduce trophic position in planktic foraminifera, and carbon stable isotope ratios of amino acids can be used to reconstruct dietary carbon sources. Our results from modern tests indicate that amino acid stable isotope ratios should be preserved in fossil specimens, although work must be done to understand sample size limitations, preservation limitations, and sediment contamination.

Our findings also illuminate the ecology of the most abundant planktic foraminifera in SBB. While the three most abundant species in SBB occupy the same trophic level, each has their own niche determined by their dietary preferences. Despite conflicting findings in the literature, our results suggest that *G. bulloides* in SBB are not engaging in symbiosis with cyanobacteria, but cyanobacteria are instead important to their diet. The trophic ecology of *G. bulloides* and *T. quinqueloba* also support the closer association of *T. quinqueloba* with upwelling in SBB; *T. quinqueloba* specializes in diatoms, while *G. bulloides* is a generalist. *Neogloboquadrina incompta* are likely living in particle microenvironments and specialize in heterotrophic bacteria. This niche may be common among nonspinose planktic foraminifera, providing a consistent source of nutrition when phytoplankton prey are scarce. While these results broadly agree with diet expectations for these species from the literature, they also demonstrate the unique potential of CSI-AA to improve the specificity of diet estimates for planktic foraminifera from a particular region or period. These estimates are not limited to live-caught foraminifera with intact cytoplasm, but may be based on sediment trap and, likely, core-top samples. As we have shown, diet information gained from CSI-AA may provide surprises, differing from diet expectations based on the literature. This information can also provide invaluable context for the interpretation of bulk isotope ratios from test carbonate or foraminifera-bound organic matter. Further studies using CSI-AA could determine ecological niches of other planktic foraminifera species in other environments, providing a much more detailed understanding of planktic foraminifera ecology.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

6 Appendix A

Table A1. Sources of essential amino acid $\delta^{13}C$ values for end-members in Bayesian mixing model

End-member group	Source	Sample ID from publication	Taxonomic description or origin	n
Diatoms	Pugsley (2025)	H11 TP WC	*Thalassiosira pseudonana*	1
		H3 PN WC	*Pseudo-nitzschia*	1
		H9 SK WC	*Skeletonema marinoi*	2
		H10 SK WC	*Skeletonema marinoi*	1
	Stahl et al. (2023)	X46	*Chaetoceros debilis*	3
		X24	*Skeletonema marinoi*	3
		X27	*Skeletonema marinoi*	3
		X39	*Skeletonema marinoi*	3
		X23	*Thalassiosira rotula*	3
Dinoflagellates	Pugsley (2025)	H1 AC	*Amphidinium cartarae*	1
		H2 AC	*Amphidinium cartarae*	1
		MB RT	Monterey Bay red tide	1
		H1 AC WC	*Amphidinium cartarae*	2
	Stahl et al. (2023)	X28	*Akashiwo sanguinea*	3
		X36	*Heterocapsa triquetra*	3
		X29	*Prorocentrum micans*	3
		X37	*Prorocentrum micans*	3
		X40	*Prorocentrum micans*	3
Cyanobacteria	Pugsley (2025)	H8 SC WC	*Synechococcus*	1
		MH SC WC	*Synechococcus*	1
	Larsen et al. (2013)	C2	*Merismopedia punctata*	1

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Table A1. (continued)

End-member group	Source	Sample ID from publication	Taxonomic description or origin	n
Nanoeukaryote	Larsen et al. (2013)	H1	*Emiliana huxleyi*	1
		H2	*Emiliana huxleyi*	1
		H3	*Isochrysis galbana*	1
		H4	*Corcontochrysis noctivaga*	1
	Stahl et al. (2023)	X26	*Micromonas commoda*	3
		X44	*Micromonas commoda*	3
		X47	*Micromonas commoda*	3
		X33	*Micromonas pusilla*	3
		X48	*Ostreococcus lucimarinus*	3
Heterotrophic bacteria	Larsen et al. (2013)		Actinobacteria	1
			Rhodococcus spp.	1
			Bradyrhizobium sp. 1	1
			Bradyrhizobium sp. 2	1
			Methylobacterium sp.	1
			Burkholderia xenovora	1
			Escherichia coli	1
			Gamma Proteobacteria	1
			Klebsiella sp.	1
			Pedobacter sp.	1

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026



Figure A1. Posterior distributions generated from MixSIAR for each end-member and sample in the model. Dotted line marks the mode of the distribution, which was used to apportion each end-member in the diet.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

7 Appendix B



Figure B1. Schematic representation for calculating the amount of foraminifera test required for a single GC-C-IRMS injection.

Data availability

All CSI-AA data from this study are available through BCO-DMO:
Doherty, S. C., Christensen, S., Davis, C. V., McCarthy, M. D. (2025). Compound-specific carbon stable isotopes of amino acids in planktic foraminifera from Santa Barbara Basin sediment traps from 2018 to 2021. Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO). (Version 1) Version Date 2025-11-26 doi:10.26008/1912/bco-dmo.986825.1

Author contributions

SCD, CVD, and MDM conceptualized the research. MDM and CVD provided resources and were responsible for funding acquisition, project administration, and supervision. SC, SCD, GMP, and EH contributed to the development of methodology, data collection and data curation. SCD was responsible for formal analysis and visualization. SCD prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer

Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Acknowledgements

We'd like to thank Eric Tappa and the captain and crew of the R/V *Shearwater* for deploying and recovering the SBB sediment traps. Katherine Cherry assisted with processing sediment trap samples.

Financial support

Support for this work was provided by NSF OCE 2303608 and 2223074 to CVD and NSF OCE 2303609 to MDM.

References

Anderson, C. R., Siegel, D. A., Brzezinski, M. A., and Guillocheau, N.: Controls on temporal patterns in phytoplankton community structure in the Santa Barbara Channel, California, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004321, 2008.

Artolozaga, I., Santamaría, E., López, A., Ayo, B., and Iriberri, J.: Succession of bacterivorous protists on laboratory-made marine snow, J Plankton Res, 19, 1429–1440, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/19.10.1429, 1997.

Auderset, A., Moretti, S., Taphorn, B., Ebner, P.-R., Kast, E., Wang, X. T., Schiebel, R., Sigman, D. M., Haug, G. H., and Martínez-García, A.: Enhanced ocean oxygenation during Cenozoic warm periods, Nature, 609, 77–82, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05017-0, 2022.

Auderset, A., Smart, S. M., Ryu, Y., Marconi, D., Ren, H. A., Heins, L., Vonhof, H., Schiebel, R., Repschläger, J., Sigman, D. M., Haug, G. H., and Martínez-García, A.: Effects of photosymbiosis and related processes on planktic foraminifera-bound nitrogen isotopes in South Atlantic sediments, Biogeosciences, 22, 1887–1905, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-22-1887-2025, 2025.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Azam, F. and Malfatti, F.: Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems, Nature Reviews Microbiology, 5, 782–791, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1747, 2007.

Bé, A. W. H. and Tolderlund, D.: Distribution and ecology of living planktonic foraminifera in surface waters of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, The micropaleontology of oceans, 105–149, 1971.

Bé, A. W. H., Hemleben, C., Anderson, O. R., Spindler, M., Hacunda, J., and Tuntivate-Choy, S.: Laboratory and Field Observations of Living Planktonic Foraminifera, Micropaleontology, 23, 155–179, https://doi.org/10.2307/1485330, 1977.

Bird, C., Darling, K. F., Russell, A. D., Davis, C. V., Fehrenbacher, J., Free, A., Wyman, M., and Ngwenya, B. T.: Cyanobacterial endobionts within a major marine planktonic calcifier (*Globigerina bulloides*, Foraminifera) revealed by 16S rRNA metabarcoding, Biogeosciences, 14, 901–920, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-901-2017, 2017.

Bird, C., Darling, K. F., Russell, A. D., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Davis, C. V., Free, A., and Ngwenya, B. T.: 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding and TEM reveals different ecological strategies within the genus Neogloboquadrina (planktonic foraminifer), PLOS ONE, 13, 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191653, 2018.

Bird, C., LeKieffre, C., Jauffrais, T., Meibom, A., Geslin, E., Filipsson, H. L., Maire, O., Russell, A. D., and Fehrenbacher, J. S.: Heterotrophic Foraminifera Capable of Inorganic Nitrogen Assimilation, Frontiers in Microbiology, 11, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.604979, 2020.

Black, D. E., Thunell, R. C., and Tappa, E. J.: Planktonic foraminiferal response to the 1997–1998 El Niño: A sediment-trap record from the Santa Barbara Basin, Geology, 29, 1075–1078, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029%253C1075:PFRTTE%253E2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Bode, A., Olivar, M. P., and Hernández-León, S.: Trophic indices for micronektonic fishes reveal their dependence on the microbial system in the North Atlantic, Sci Rep, 11, 8488, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87767-x, 2021.

Brzezinski, M. A. and Washburn, L.: Phytoplankton primary productivity in the Santa Barbara Channel: Effects of wind-driven upwelling and mesoscale eddies, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007397, 2011.

Buchan, A., LeCleir, G. R., Gulvik, C. A., and González, J. M.: Master recyclers: features and functions of bacteria associated with phytoplankton blooms, Nat Rev Microbiol, 12, 686–698, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3326, 2014.

Catlett, D., Siegel, D. A., Simons, R. D., Guillocheau, N., Henderikx-Freitas, F., and Thomas, C. S.: Diagnosing seasonal to multi-decadal phytoplankton group dynamics in a highly productive coastal

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

ecosystem, Progress in Oceanography, 197, 102637, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102637, 2021.

Chikaraishi, Y., Kashiyama, Y., Ogawa, N. O., Kitazato, H., and Ohkouchi, N.: Metabolic control of nitrogen isotope composition of amino acids in macroalgae and gastropods: Implications for aquatic food web studies, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 342, 85–90, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps342085, 2007.

Chikaraishi, Y., Ogawa, N. O., Kashiyama, Y., Takano, Y., Suga, H., Tomitani, A., Miyashita, H., Kitazato, H., and Ohkouchi, N.: Determination of aquaticfood-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 7, 740–750, 2009.

Close, H. G.: Compound-Specific Isotope Geochemistry in the Ocean, Annual Review of Marine Science, 11, 27–56, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063634, 2019.

Darling, K. F., Kucera, M., Wade, C. M., von Langen, P., and Pak, D.: Seasonal distribution of genetic types of planktonic foraminifer morphospecies in the Santa Barbara Channel and its paleoceanographic implications, Paleoceanography, 18, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001PA000723, 2003.

Darling, K. F., Weiner, A. K. M., Kurasawa, A., Toyofuku, T., Nishi, H., and Kitazato, H.: The genetic isolation of planktonic foraminifera in the North Pacific gyre and adjacent marginal seas, Marine Micropaleontology, 199, 102473, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2025.102473, 2025.

Décima, M., Landry, M. R., Bradley, C. J., and Fogel, M. L.: Alanine δ15N trophic fractionation in heterotrophic protists, Limnology and Oceanography, 62, 2308–2322, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10567, 2017.

DeLong, E. F., Franks, D. G., and Alldredge, A. L.: Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached vs. free-living marine bacterial assemblages, Limnology and Oceanography, 38, 924–934, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1993.38.5.0924, 1993.

Doherty, S. C., Maas, A. E., Steinberg, D. K., Popp, B. N., and Close, H. G.: Distinguishing zooplankton fecal pellets as a component of the biological pump using compound-specific isotope analysis of amino acids, Limnology and Oceanography, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11793, 2021.

Doherty, S. C., Choy, C. A., Paul, N. L., and Close, H. G.: Microbial and Metazoan Activity in Monterey Bay, CA Recorded in Nitrogen Isotope Ratios of Sinking and Suspended Particles, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 130, e2025JC022372, https://doi.org/10.1029/2025JC022372, 2025a.

Doherty, S. C., Davis, C. V., and Fehrenbacher, J. S.: Planktic foraminifera record the succession of anaerobic metabolisms in particle microenvironments across a pelagic oxygen gradient, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2025.03.008, 2025b.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Durkin, C. A., Cetinić, I., Estapa, M., Ljubešić, Z., Mucko, M., Neeley, A., and Omand, M.: Tracing the path of carbon export in the ocean though DNA sequencing of individual sinking particles, ISME J, 16, 1896–1906, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01239-2, 2022.

Eichhubl, P., Greene, H. G., and Maher, N.: Physiography of an active transpressive margin basin: high-resolution bathymetry of the Santa Barbara basin, Southern California continental borderland, Marine Geology, 184, 95–120, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(01)00280-8, 2002.

Faktorová, D., Nisbet, R. E. R., Fernández Robledo, J. A., Casacuberta, E., Sudek, L., Allen, A. E., Ares, M., Aresté, C., Balestreri, C., Barbrook, A. C., Beardslee, P., Bender, S., Booth, D. S., Bouget, F.-Y., Bowler, C., Breglia, S. A., Brownlee, C., Burger, G., Cerutti, H., Cesaroni, R., Chiurillo, M. A., Clemente, T., Coles, D. B., Collier, J. L., Cooney, E. C., Coyne, K., Docampo, R., Dupont, C. L., Edgcomb, V., Einarsson, E., Elustondo, P. A., Federici, F., Freire-Beneitez, V., Freyria, N. J., Fukuda, K., García, P. A., Girguis, P. R., Gomaa, F., Gornik, S. G., Guo, J., Hampl, V., Hanawa, Y., Haro-Contreras, E. R., Hehenberger, E., Highfield, A., Hirakawa, Y., Hopes, A., Howe, C. J., Hu, I., Ibañez, J., Irwin, N. A. T., Ishii, Y., Janowicz, N. E., Jones, A. C., Kachale, A., Fujimura-Kamada, K., Kaur, B., Kaye, J. Z., Kazana, E., Keeling, P. J., King, N., Klobutcher, L. A., Lander, N., Lassadi, I., Li, Z., Lin, S., Lozano, J.-C., Luan, F., Maruyama, S., Matute, T., Miceli, C., Minagawa, J., Moosburner, M., Najle, S. R., Nanjappa, D., Nimmo, I. C., Noble, L., Novák Vanclová, A. M. G., Nowacki, M., Nuñez, I., Pain, A., Piersanti, A., Pucciarelli, S., Pyrih, J., Rest, J. S., Rius, M., Robertson, D., Ruaud, A., Ruiz-Trillo, I., Sigg, M. A., Silver, P. A., Slamovits, C. H., Jason Smith, G., Sprecher, B. N., Stern, R., Swart, E. C., Tsaousis, A. D., Tsypin, L., Turkewitz, A., et al.: Genetic tool development in marine protists: emerging model organisms for experimental cell biology, Nat Methods, 17, 481–494, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0796-x, 2020.

Farmer, J. R., Pico, T., Underwood, O. M., Cleveland Stout, R., Granger, J., Cronin, T. M., Fripiat, F., Martínez-García, A., Haug, G. H., and Sigman, D. M.: The Bering Strait was flooded 10,000 years before the Last Glacial Maximum, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, e2206742119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2206742119, 2023.

Fawcett, S. E., Lomas, M. W., Casey, J. R., Ward, B. B., and Sigman, D. M.: Assimilation of upwelled nitrate by small eukaryotes in the Sargasso Sea, Nature Geoscience, 4, 717–722, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1265, 2011.

Fehrenbacher, J. S., Russell, A. D., Davis, C. V., Spero, H. J., Chu, E., and Hönisch, B.: Ba/Ca ratios in the non-spinose planktic foraminifer Neogloboquadrina dutertrei: Evidence for an organic aggregate microhabitat, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 236, 361–372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.03.008, 2018.

Fernández-Urruzola, I., Bode, A., Loick-Wilde, N., Schneider, W., Lindsay, D., and Escribano, R.: Trophic ecology of midwater zooplankton along a productivity gradient in the Southeast Pacific, Frontiers in Marine Science, 10, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Field, D. B.: Variability in vertical distributions of planktonic foraminifera in the California Current: Relationships to vertical ocean structure, Paleoceanography, 19, 2004.

Fuller, B. T. and Petzke, K. J.: The dietary protein paradox and threonine 15N-depletion: Pyridoxal-5'-phosphate enzyme activity as a mechanism for the δ15N trophic level effect, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 31, 705–718, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7835, 2017.

Gärdes, A., Iversen, M. H., Grossart, H.-P., Passow, U., and Ullrich, M. S.: Diatom-associated bacteria are required for aggregation of Thalassiosira weissflogii, ISME J, 5, 436–445, https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.145, 2011.

Gaskell, D. E. and Hull, P. M.: Symbiont arrangement and metabolism can explain high δ13C in Eocene planktonic foraminifera, Geology, 47, 1156–1160, https://doi.org/10.1130/G46304.1, 2019.

Grigoratou, M., Monteiro, F. M., Ridgwell, A., and Schmidt, D. N.: Investigating the benefits and costs of spines and diet on planktonic foraminifera distribution with a trait-based ecosystem model, Marine Micropaleontology, 166, 102004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2021.102004, 2021.

Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, A., Décima, M., Popp, B. N., and Landry, M. R.: Isotopic invisibility of protozoan trophic steps in marine food webs, Limnology and Oceanography, 59, 1590–1598, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1590, 2014.

Harms, S. and Winant, C. D.: Characteristic patterns of the circulation in the Santa Barbara Channel, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 103, 3041–3065, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC02393, 1998.

Havard, E., Cherry, K., Benitez-Nelson, C., Tappa, E., and Davis, C. V.: Decreasing foraminiferal flux in response to ongoing climate change in the Santa Barbara Basin, California, Biogeosciences, 22, 4035–4060, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-22-4035-2025, 2025.

Hendy, I. L. and Kennett, J. P.: Dansgaard-Oeschger Cycles and the California Current System: Planktonic foraminiferal response to rapid climate change in Santa Barbara Basin, Ocean Drilling Program Hole 893A, Paleoceanography, 15, 30–42, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999PA000413, 2000.

Hendy, I. L., Pedersen, T. F., Kennett, J. P., and Tada, R.: Intermittent existence of a southern Californian upwelling cell during submillennial climate change of the last 60 kyr, Paleoceanography, 19, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003PA000965, 2004.

Imbrie, J. and Kipp, N. G.: A new micropaleontological method for quantitative paleoclimatology: Application to a Late Pleistocene Caribbean core, in: The Late Cenozoic Glacial Ages, edited by: Turekian, K., Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn., 71–181, 1971.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Jeong, H. J., Yoo, Y. D., Kim, J. S., Seong, K. A., Kang, N. S., and Kim, T. H.: Growth, feeding and ecological roles of the mixotrophic and heterotrophic dinoflagellates in marine planktonic food webs, Ocean Sci. J., 45, 65–91, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12601-010-0007-2, 2010.

Katz, M. E., Cramer, B. S., Franzese, A., Hönisch, B., Miller, K. G., Rosenthal, Y., and Wright, J. D.: Traditional and Emerging Geochemical Proxies in Foraminifera, Journal of Foraminiferal Research, 40, 165–192, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.40.2.165, 2010.

Kennett, J. P. and Ingram, B. L.: A 20,000-year record of ocean circulation and climate change from the Santa Barbara basin, Nature, 377, 510–514, https://doi.org/10.1038/377510a0, 1995.

Kincaid, E., Thunell, R. C., Le, J., Lange, C. B., Weinheimer, A. L., and Reid, F. M. H.: Planktonic foraminiferal fluxes in the Santa Barbara Basin: response to seasonal and interannual hydrographic changes, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 47, 1157–1176, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(99)00140-X, 2000.

King, K. and Hare, P. E.: Amino Acid Composition of the Test as a Taxonomic Character for Living and Fossil Planktonic Foraminifera, Micropaleontology, 18, 285, https://doi.org/10.2307/1485009, 1972.

Kucera, M.: Chapter six planktonic foraminifera as tracers of past oceanic environments, Developments in marine geology, 1, 213–262, 2007.

Larsen, T., Lee Taylor, D., Leigh, M. B., and O'Brien, D. M.: Stable isotope fingerprinting: A novel method for identifying plant, fungal, or bacterial origins of amino acids, Ecology, 90, 3526–3535, https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1695.1, 2009.

Larsen, T., Ventura, M., Andersen, N., O'Brien, D. M., Piatkowski, U., and McCarthy, M. D.: Tracing Carbon Sources through Aquatic and Terrestrial Food Webs Using Amino Acid Stable Isotope Fingerprinting, PLoS ONE, 8, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073441, 2013.

Lee, J. J., McEnery, M., Pierce, S., Freudenthal, H. D., and Muller, W. A.: Tracer Experiments in Feeding Littoral Foraminifera, The Journal of Protozoology, 13, 659–670, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1966.tb01978.x, 1966.

Lekieffre, C., Spero, H. J., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Russell, A. D., Ren, H., Geslin, E., and Meibom, A.: Ammonium is the preferred source of nitrogen for planktonic foraminifer and their dinoflagellate symbionts: N recycling in a symbiotic foraminifer, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 287, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0620rspb20200620, 2020.

McMahon, K. W. and McCarthy, M. D.: Embracing variability in amino acid δ15N fractionation: Mechanisms, implications, and applications for trophic ecology, Ecosphere, 7, 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1511, 2016.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

McMahon, K. W., Fogel, M. L., Elsdon, T. S., and Thorrold, S. R.: Carbon isotope fractionation of amino acids in fish muscle reflects biosynthesis and isotopic routing from dietary protein, Journal of Animal Ecology, 79, 1132–1141, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01722.x, 2010.

McMahon, K. W., McCarthy, M. D., Sherwood, O. A., Larsen, T., and Guilderson, T. P.: Millennial-scale plankton regime shifts in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean, Science, 350, 1530–1533, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa9942, 2015.

Metges, C. C., Petzke, K.-J., and Hennig, U.: Gas Chromatography/Combustion/Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometric Comparison of N -Acetyl- and N-Pivaloyl Amino Acid Esters to Measure 15N Isotopic Abundances in Physiological Samples: A Pilot Study on Amino Acid Synthesis in the Upper Gastro-intestinal Tract of Minipigs, Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 31, 367–376, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9888(199604)31:4%253C367::AID-JMS310%253E3.0.CO;2-V, 1996.

Morard, R., Darling, K. F., Weiner, A. K. M., Hassenrück, C., Vanni, C., Cordier, T., Henry, N., Greco, M., Vollmar, N. M., Milivojevic, T., Rahman, S. N., Siccha, M., Meilland, J., Jonkers, L., Quillévéré, F., Escarguel, G., Douady, C. J., de Garidel-Thoron, T., de Vargas, C., and Kucera, M.: The global genetic diversity of planktonic foraminifera reveals the structure of cryptic speciation in plankton, Biological Reviews, 99, 1218–1241, https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.13065, 2024.

Nomaki, H., Ishitani, Y., Okada, S., Isobe, N., and Ishikawa, N. F.: A Scavenging Behavior of Cyclammina cancellata (Foraminifera, Rhizaria) on a Crustacean Carcass: Amino Acid Nitrogen Isotope and Microbiome Analyses, Marine Ecology, 46, e70023, https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.70023, 2025.

Ohkouchi, N., Chikaraishi, Y., Close, H. G., Fry, B., Larsen, T., Madigan, D. J., McCarthy, M. D., McMahon, K. W., Nagata, T., Naito, Y. I., Ogawa, N. O., Popp, B. N., Steffan, S., Takano, Y., Tayasu, I., Wyatt, A. S. J., Yamaguchi, Y. T., and Yokoyama, Y.: Advances in the application of amino acid nitrogen isotopic analysis in ecological and biogeochemical studies, Organic Geochemistry, 113, 150–174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.07.009, 2017.

Paoloni, T., Hoogakker, B., Navarro Rodriguez, A., Pereira, R., McClymont, E. L., Jovane, L., and Magill, C.: Composition of planktonic foraminifera test-bound organic material and implications for carbon cycle reconstructions, Frontiers in Marine Science, 10, 2023.

Passow, U.: Production of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) by phyto- and bacterioplankton, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 236, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps236001, 2002.

Petrizzo, M. R., King, D. J., Pearson, P. N., Huber, B. T., and Wade, B. S.: Planktonic foraminifera in biostratigraphy and biochronology, nos, 106050, https://doi.org/10.1127/nos/2024/0840, 2024.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Pugsley, G.: Evaluation and Application of Amino Acid Carbon and Nitrogen Isotope Proxies for Reconstructing Past Plankton Ecosystem Change, PhD diss., University of California, Santa Cruz, 2025.

Ren, H., Sigman, D. M., Meckler, A. N., Plessen, B., Robinson, R. S., Rosenthal, Y., and Haug, G. H.: Foraminiferal isotope evidence of reduced nitrogen fixation in the ice age Atlantic ocean, Science, 323, 244–248, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165787, 2009.

Ren, H., Studer, A. S., Serno, S., Sigman, D. M., Winckler, G., Anderson, R. F., Oleynik, S., Gersonde, R., and Haug, G. H.: Glacial-to-interglacial changes in nitrate supply and consumption in the subarctic North Pacific from microfossil-bound N isotopes at two trophic levels, Paleoceanography, 30, 1217–1232, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002765, 2015.

Reynolds, C. E., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Thirumalai, K., Tappa, E. J., and Richey, J. N.: INSIGHTS FROM GROWING GLOBOROTALIA TRUNCATULINOIDES AND GLOBOROTALIA MENARDII IN THE LABORATORY, Journal of Foraminiferal Research, 55, 131–143, https://doi.org/10.61551/gsjfr.55.2.131, 2025.

Richey, J. N., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Reynolds, C. E., Davis, C. V., and Spero, H. J.: Barium enrichment in the non-spinose planktic foraminifer, Globorotalia truncatulinoides, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 333, 184–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2022.07.006, 2022.

SCB Marine Biodiversity Observation Network, Catlett, D., Siegel, D. A., Guillocheau, N., and Kui, L.: Plumes and Blooms: phytoplankton pigment concentration (3), https://doi.org/doi.org/10.6073/pasta/83c8f5f67906b129abbc66c13d45b809, 2025.

Schiebel, R. and Hemleben, C.: Planktic foraminifers in the modern ocean, Springer, Berlin, 1–358 pp., 2017.

Schiebel, R., Bijma, J., and Hemleben, C.: Population dynamics of the planktic foraminifer *Globigerina bulloides* from the eastern North Atlantic, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 44, 1701–1713, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(97)00036-8, 1997.

Shea, C. H., Wojtal, P. K., Close, H. G., Maas, A. E., Stamieszkin, K., Cope, J. S., Steinberg, D. K., Wallsgrove, N., and Popp, B. N.: Small particles and heterotrophic protists support the mesopelagic zooplankton food web in the subarctic northeast Pacific Ocean, Limnology and Oceanography, 68, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12397, 2023.

Silfer, J. A., Engel, M. H., Macko, S. A., and Jumeau, E. J.: Stable carbon isotope analysis of amino acid enantiomers by conventional isotope ratio mass spectrometry and combined gas chromatography/isotope ratio mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 63, 370–374, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00004a014, 1991.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Silverman, S. N., Phillips, A. A., Weiss, G. M., Wilkes, E. B., Eiler, J. M., and Sessions, A. L.: Practical considerations for amino acid isotope analysis, Organic Geochemistry, 164, 104345, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2021.104345, 2022.

Smart, S. M., Ren, H., Fawcett, S. E., Schiebel, R., Conte, M., Rafter, P. A., Ellis, K. K., Weigand, M. A., Oleynik, S., Haug, G. H., and Sigman, D. M.: Ground-truthing the planktic foraminifer-bound nitrogen isotope paleo-proxy in the Sargasso Sea, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 235, 463–482, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.05.023, 2018.

Spero, H. J., Lerche, I., and Williams, D. F.: Opening the carbon isotope "vital effect" black box, 2, Quantitative model for interpreting foraminiferal carbon isotope data, Paleoceanography, 6, 639–655, https://doi.org/10.1029/91PA02022, 1991.

Spindler, M., Hemleben, C., Salomons, J. B., and Smit, L. P.: Feeding behavior of some planktonic foraminifers in laboratory cultures, The Journal of Foraminiferal Research, 14, 237–249, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.14.4.237, 1984.

Stahl, A. R., Rynearson, T. A., and McMahon, K. W.: Amino acid carbon isotope fingerprints are unique among eukaryotic microalgal taxonomic groups, Limnology and Oceanography, 68, 1331–1345, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12350, 2023.

Stock, B. C., Jackson, A. L., Ward, E. J., Parnell, A. C., Phillips, D. L., and Semmens, B. X.: Analyzing mixing systems using a new generation of Bayesian tracer mixing models, PeerJ, 6, e5096, https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5096, 2018.

Sykes, F. E., Meilland, J., Westgård, A., Chalk, T. B., Chierici, M., Foster, G. L., and Ezat, M. M.: Large-scale culturing of the subpolar foraminifera Globigerina bulloides reveals tolerance to a large range of environmental parameters associated to different life-strategies and an extended lifespan, Journal of Plankton Research, fbae029, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbae029, 2024.

Takagi, H., Kimoto, K., Fujiki, T., Saito, H., Schmidt, C., Kucera, M., and Moriya, K.: Characterizing photosymbiosis in modern planktonic foraminifera, Biogeosciences, 16, 3377–3396, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3377-2019, 2019.

Taylor, B. J., Rae, J. W. B., Gray, W. R., Darling, K. F., Burke, A., Gersonde, R., Abelmann, A., Maier, E., Esper, O., and Ziveri, P.: Distribution and ecology of planktic foraminifera in the North Pacific: Implications for paleo-reconstructions, Quaternary Science Reviews, 191, 256–274, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.05.006, 2018.

Toue, R., Fujita, K., Tsuchiya, M., Chikaraishi, Y., Sasaki, Y., and Ohkouchi, N.: Trophic niche separation of two non-spinose planktonic foraminifers Neogloboquadrina dutertrei and Pulleniatina obliquiloculata, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 9, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-022-00478-3, 2022.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

Tsuchiya, M., Chikaraishi, Y., Nomaki, H., Sasaki, Y., Tame, A., Uematsu, K., and Ohkouchi, N.: Compound-specific isotope analysis of benthic foraminifer amino acids suggests microhabitat variability in rocky shoreenvironments, Ecology and Evolution, 8, 8380–8395, https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4358, 2018.

Ueda, Kimio., Morgan, S. L., Fox, Alvin., Gilbart, James., Sonesson, Anders., Larsson, Lennart., and Odham, Goran.: D-Alanine as a chemical marker for the determination of streptococcal cell wall levels in mammalian tissues by gas chromatography/negative ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 61, 265–270, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00178a015, 1989.

Uhle, M. E., Macko, S. A., Spero, H. J., Engel, M. H., and Lea, D. W.: Sources of carbon and nitrogen in modern planktonic foraminifera: The role of algal symbionts as determined by bulk and compound specific stable isotopic analyses, Organic Geochemistry, 27, 103–113, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(97)00075-2, 1997.

Uhle, M. E., Macko, S. A., Spero, H. J., David W, L., Ruddiman, W. F., and Engel, M. H.: The fate of nitrogen in the Orbulina universa foraminifera-symbiont system determined by nitrogen isotope analyses of shell-bound organic matter, Limnology and Oceanography, 44, 1968–1977, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.8.1968, 1999.

Viana, I. G., García-Seoane, R., and Bode, A.: A missing trophic link: Contribution of the microbial loop to the estimation of the trophic position of pelagic consumers, Limnology and Oceanography, 68, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12445, 2023.

Vokhshoori, N. L., Tipple, B. J., Teague, L., Bailess, A., and McCarthy, M. D.: Calibrating bulk and amino acid δ13C and δ15N isotope ratios between bivalve soft tissue and shell for paleoecological reconstructions, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 595, 110979, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110979, 2022.

Wall, C. B., Wallsgrove, N. J., Gates, R. D., and Popp, B. N.: Amino acid δ13C and δ15N analyses reveal distinct species-specific patterns of trophic plasticity in a marine symbiosis, Limnology and Oceanography, 66, 2033–2050, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11742, 2021.

White, S. M., Hill, T. M., Kennett, J. P., Behl, R. J., and Nicholson, C.: Millennial-scale variability to 735 ka: High-resolution climate records from Santa Barbara Basin, CA, Paleoceanography, 28, 213–226, https://doi.org/10.1002/palo.20022, 2013.

Whitman, W. B., Coleman, D. C., and Wiebe, W. J.: Prokaryotes: The unseen majority, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 95, 6578–6583, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.6578, 1998.

Yamaguchi, Y. T., Chikaraishi, Y., Takano, Y., Ogawa, N. O., Imachi, H., Yokoyama, Y., and Ohkouchi, N.: Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes during amino acid metabolism in heterotrophic and chemolithoautotrophic microbes across Eukarya, Bacteria, and Archaea: Effects of nitrogen sources and

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2026-2228
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 April 2026

metabolic pathways, Organic Geochemistry, 111, 101–112, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.04.004, 2017.