

Response to Community Comment: Manuscript
"Frequent occurrence of newly formed aerosol
particles over wide geographical areas in the
Arctic free troposphere and atmospheric
boundary layer"

David J. Simon , Jonas Schaefer, Jörg Hartmann, Benjamin
Kirbus, Joshua Müller, Markus Hartmann, Bruno Wetzela, Laura
Köhler, Anna-Marie Jörss, Kay Weinhold, Andreas Herber, Zsófia
Jurányi, Silvia Henning, Gregory C. Roberts, Manfred Wendisch,
Mira L. Pöhlker, and Frank Stratmann

September 9, 2026

Caroline Leck: Although the manuscript cites many highly relevant articles from the field, the literature survey is incomplete; substantial prior observational evidence appears to have an essential bearing on the results and conclusions and thus merits discussion. In this respect, it seems beneficial to
5 mention or learn from the previous work by Tjernström, Heintzenberg, Leck, and their colleagues over the past more than three decades over the Arctic pack ice area (including the marginal ice zone) and at the Mt. Zeppelin Observatory (Spitsbergen).

Their work has shown that it is not only necessary to specify particle concentrations in the atmosphere and their possible sources, but we must also
10 understand the thermodynamic structure of the lower atmosphere (typically a well-mixed, shallow boundary layer at the surface, only a couple hundred meters deep, capped by a temperature inversion below the free troposphere), the dynamics of the boundary layer, and processes important for exchange between
15 the air and the ocean's top layers.

Author reply: Thank you very much for your comment and for providing a detailed summary on prior work by Tjernström, Heintzenberg, Leck, and colleagues. We appreciate your feedback which has helped us to improve our
20 manuscript. Please find a detailed response below. Your comments are marked in black, our replies in green, and corresponding changes in the manuscript in blue.

25 **1.** Over the pack ice, the structure of the lower atmosphere generally limits
mixing of aerosol particles in the free troposphere into the boundary layer.
For this to happen, aerosol sources in the free troposphere (either locally sourced
or advected from lower latitudes) must be brought down to the top of the
boundary layer, where entrainment can occur. The only mechanism that can
bring elevated plumes down to the inversion is large-scale subsidence, which is
30 a very slow process. Entrainment is thus unlikely to be a major factor in CCN
(Aitken/accumulation modes) number concentrations within the lower atmo-
sphere and will not be a main contributor to the formation of low-level clouds
(e.g., Bigg et al., 2001; Tjernström et al., 2012).

35 We thank you very much for this comment. It is of course correct that the
stratification of the atmosphere will significantly influence the mixing between
the free troposphere and the ABL and thus if, e.g., low-level clouds can be af-
fected by free tropospheric particle sources. We are grateful for your remark and
have included the following discussion in the section on newly formed particles
40 in the free troposphere.

Section 3.2 (lines 296 to 303 in the revised manuscript)

Whether the formation of clouds and thus cloud radiative forcing could be af-
fected by the presence of newly formed particles in the free troposphere following
their potential growth to CCN sizes, remains to be assessed and is both beyond
45 the scope of this article and not feasible with the present dataset. In this re-
gard, e.g. Leck and Bigg (1999) and Bigg and Leck (2001) described that during
their summertime campaign over the central Arctic Ocean, highly stable con-
ditions prevented mixing between the free troposphere and the ABL. Pointing
toward the importance of free tropospheric particles for cloud formation, how-
50 ever, Pohorsky et al. (2026) reported that free tropospheric sources of CCN were
required to explain measured cloud droplet number concentrations. This is in
line with a large-eddy simulation study by Igel et al. (2017) which demonstrated
that elevated aerosol particle layers in the free troposphere could be entrained
into the cloud-topped ABL by cloud-induced mixing.

55 **2.** Also not accounted for is the possibility that aerosols and their precur-
sors, lofted in the deeper atmospheric upstream well mixed boundary layer over
the open water, could be advected in over the pack ice on top of the shallow
local boundary layer (Tjernström et al., 2012) and later be entrained into the
60 local boundary layer through the cloud top by cloud induced mixing (Igel et
al., 2017; Brooks et al., 2017; Shup et al., 2013). On this note, Wiedensohler et
al. (1996) concluded that the ultrafine mode was produced by nucleation in the
upper layers of the marine boundary layer and mixed down to the measuring
site, ca. 25 m asl.

65 Thank you for pointing us to these studies! Please find the main changes
made to the manuscript in the response to your comment #2 above. Here,
Shupe et al. (2013) was already mentioned in the discussion on newly formed
particles in the vicinity of clouds. Following your comment, we additionally

70 included a reference to Wiedensohler et al. (1996) in the introduction (lines 40 to 43):

NPF has been observed in several locations Arctic-wide (Willis et al. 2018; Schmale and Baccarini 2021). Most studies were based on measurements conducted during shipborne campaigns (e.g., Wiedensohler et al. 1996; Allan et al. 2015; Baccarini et al. 2020) or at ground-based stations including Alert, Villum, 75 Zeppelin, Tiksi, and Utqiagvik (e.g., Leaitch et al. 2013; Asmi et al. 2016; Croft et al. 2016; Freud et al. 2017; Beck et al. 2021).

3. Another past observation over the pack ice that merits discussion is the 80 demonstration that organics found in Aitken- and accumulation-mode aerosols and in cloud water behaved like marine polymer gels originating from the surface microlayer on leads (open water between ice floes), due to the activity of ice microalgae, phytoplankton, and perhaps bacteria (e.g., Leck and Bigg, 2005; Leck et al., 2025; Bigg and Leck, 2008; Orellana et al., 2011; Leck et al., 2013; 85 Hamacher-Barth et al., 2016).

Thank you for pointing us to these interesting studies. Indeed, the breakdown of marine polymer gels offers a possible explanation for the occurrence of particles in the nucleation mode size range, in particular for the case of the 90 occurrence of such particles inside the ABL over ocean with sea ice floes on 11 April, with the sea surface containing both open water as well as sea ice. We have included this hypothesis into our manuscript into Sect. S5 (lines 202 to 210):

Due to the absence of gas phase measurements, we cannot ultimately resolve 95 if the potential release of precursors such as DMS from the sea surface and its possible participation in NPF might have contributed to the occurrence of newly formed particles on 11 April. Hence, we can only speculate that the presence of newly formed particles over ocean with sea ice floes on this day might be related to emissions of precursors from the open water or the sea ice, or both. Another 100 potential explanation has been proposed initially by Leck and Bigg (1999, 2010) who suggested that the fragmentation of marine organic polymer gels led to the appearance of particles in the nucleation mode size range. Such events often involved the dissipation of cloud or fog droplets, emitting particles with sizes of a few nanometers to the atmosphere (Leck and Bigg 1999, 2010; Karl et al. 105 2013; Leck et al. 2025).

4. Another essential factor affecting the conclusions is that elevated particle number concentrations have been observed despite markedly different regional meteorological conditions and have persisted for several hours to a day. These 110 consist of particles approximately 10 nm across, those in the 30–50 nm range, and smaller particles (2.5–5 nm in diameter) that can reach concentrations of up to about 1000 particles per cm^3 (Karl et al., 2013; Lawler et al., 2021; Leck and Bigg, 1999; 2010; Leck et al., 2025). Their presence does not rely on a source from the free troposphere.

115 Such episodes often involve rapid droplet dissipation from the evaporation of

intermittent fogs or low cloud bases/tops, coinciding with the peak periods of sub-5 nm particle enhancements. The formation of aerosols at the condensation-evaporation interface, especially within the sub-Aitken mode size range, is thus well established.

Thank you for this comment and for elaborating on these interesting publications! We have included a reference regarding the formation of aerosol particles linked to dissipation of cloud or fog droplets in our manuscript. Please find the changes made to the manuscript in our response to the previous comment #3 above.

However, we respectfully disagree that these observations affect our conclusions. For example, we did not state that the free troposphere was the only important source of particles and that elevated particle number concentrations could not be present without free tropospheric sources. On the contrary, our observations highlight both the importance of free tropospheric as well as sources within the ABL. No further changes expect for those outlined above were made to the manuscript which relate to this comment.

References

- Allan, J. D., P. I. Williams, J. Najera, J. D. Whitehead, M. J. Flynn, J. W. Taylor, D. Liu, E. Darbyshire, L. J. Carpenter, R. Chance, S. J. Andrews, S. C. Hackenberg, and G. McFiggans (2015). “Iodine observed in new particle formation events in the Arctic atmosphere during ACCACIA”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 15.10, pp. 5599–5609. DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-5599-2015.
- Asmi, E., V. Kondratyev, D. Brus, T. Laurila, H. Lihavainen, J. Backman, V. Vakkari, M. Aurela, J. Hatakka, Y. Viisanen, T. Uttal, V. Ivakhov, and A. Makshtas (2016). “Aerosol size distribution seasonal characteristics measured in Tiksi, Russian Arctic”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 16.3, pp. 1271–1287. DOI: 10.5194/acp-16-1271-2016.
- Baccarini, A., L. Karlsson, J. Dommen, P. Duplessis, J. Vüllers, I. M. Brooks, A. Saiz-Lopez, M. Salter, M. Tjernström, U. Baltensperger, et al. (2020). “Frequent new particle formation over the high Arctic pack ice by enhanced iodine emissions”. In: *Nature Communications* 11.1, p. 4924. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-18551-0.
- Beck, L. J., N. Sarnela, H. Junninen, C. J. Hoppe, O. Garmash, F. Bianchi, M. Riva, C. Rose, O. Peräkylä, D. Wimmer, et al. (2021). “Differing mechanisms of new particle formation at two Arctic sites”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 48.4, e2020GL091334. DOI: 10.1029/2020GL091334.
- Bigg, K. and C. Leck (2001). “Cloud-active particles over the central Arctic Ocean”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 106.D23, pp. 32155–32166. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901152>.
- Croft, B., G. R. Wentworth, R. V. Martin, W. R. Leaitch, J. G. Murphy, B. N. Murphy, J. K. Kodros, J. P. Abbatt, and J. R. Pierce (2016). “Contribution

- of Arctic seabird-colony ammonia to atmospheric particles and cloud-albedo radiative effect”. In: *Nature Communications* 7.1, p. 13444. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms13444.
- Freud, E., R. Krejci, P. Tunved, R. Leaitch, Q. T. Nguyen, A. Massling, H. Skov, and L. Barrie (2017). “Pan-Arctic aerosol number size distributions: seasonality and transport patterns”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 17.13, pp. 8101–8128. DOI: 10.5194/acp-17-8101-2017. URL: <https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/17/8101/2017/>.
- Igel, A. L., A. M. Ekman, C. Leck, M. Tjernström, J. Savre, and J. Sedlar (2017). “The free troposphere as a potential source of arctic boundary layer aerosol particles”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 44.13, pp. 7053–7060. DOI: 10.1002/2017GL073808.
- Karl, M., C. Leck, E. Coz, and J. Heintzenberg (2013). “Marine nanogels as a source of atmospheric nanoparticles in the high Arctic”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 40.14, pp. 3738–3743. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50661>.
- Leaitch, W. R., S. Sharma, L. Huang, D. Toom-Sauntry, A. Chivulescu, A. M. Macdonald, K. von Salzen, J. R. Pierce, A. K. Bertram, J. C. Schroder, et al. (2013). “Dimethyl sulfide control of the clean summertime Arctic aerosol and cloud”. In: *Elementa* 1, p. 000017. DOI: doi.org/10.12952/journal.elementa.000017.
- Leck, C. and K. Bigg (1999). “Aerosol production over remote marine areas—A new route”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 26.23, pp. 3577–3580. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL010807>.
- (2010). “New Particle Formation of Marine Biological Origin”. In: *Aerosol Science and Technology* 44.7, pp. 570–577. DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2010.481222.
- Leck, C., J. Heintzenberg, T. Nygård, and T. Naakka (2025). “Is the summer aerosol over the Arctic controlled by regional atmospheric circulation or ice conditions? Trends and future implications”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 25.22, pp. 16775–16795. DOI: 10.5194/acp-25-16775-2025.
- Pohorsky, R., H. Guy, I. M. Brooks, L. Haberstock, N. Fauré, P. Zieger, J. Kojo, S. Murto, R. Calmer, B. Heutte, M. Lonardi, E. S. Thomson, M. Tjernström, J. Creamean, A. Nenes, and J. Schmale (2026). “Contribution of free tropospheric aerosols to Arctic low-level cloud droplets formation and longwave radiative forcing”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 26.14, pp. 10331–10353. DOI: 10.5194/acp-26-10331-2026.
- Schmale, J. and A. Baccarini (2021). “Progress in unraveling atmospheric new particle formation and growth across the Arctic”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 48.14, e2021GL094198. DOI: 10.1029/2021GL094198.
- Shupe, M., P. Persson, I. Brooks, M. Tjernström, J. Sedlar, T. Mauritsen, S. Sjogren, and C. Leck (2013). “Cloud and boundary layer interactions over the Arctic sea ice in late summer”. In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 13.18, pp. 9379–9399. DOI: 10.5194/acp-13-9379-2013.
- Wiedensohler, A., D. S. Covert, E. Swietlicki, P. Aalto, J. Heintzenberg, and C. Leck (1996). “Occurrence of an ultrafine particle mode less than 20 nm in

- 205 diameter in the marine boundary layer during Arctic summer and autumn”.
In: *Tellus B* 48.2, pp. 213–222. DOI: 10.3402/tellusb.v48i2.15887.
- Willis, M. D., W. R. Leitch, and J. P. Abbatt (2018). “Processes controlling
the composition and abundance of Arctic aerosol”. In: *Reviews of Geophysics*
56.4, pp. 621–671. DOI: 10.1029/2018RG000602.