

1 Title Page

2 **Biochar addition enhances soil aggregate stability by**  
3 **modulating soil internal forces: The critical role of**  
4 **readily oxidized organic carbon and particulate**  
5 **organic carbon**

6 Xiaoshuai Song<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Chenyang Xu<sup>d,e</sup>, Huanhuan Zhao<sup>d</sup>, Jiangwen Li<sup>a,b,f</sup>,  
7 Wei Du<sup>d,e</sup>, Wuquan Ding<sup>g</sup>, Zhenghong Yu<sup>h</sup>, Fengbao Zhang<sup>a,b,f</sup>, Feinan  
8 Hu<sup>a,b,f,\*</sup>

9 <sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil and Water Conservation and Desertification Control,  
10 the Research Center of Soil and Water Conservation and Ecological Environment,  
11 Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Education, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100,  
12 China;

13 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences and  
14 Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

15 <sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;

16 <sup>d</sup> College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University,  
17 Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

18 <sup>e</sup> Key Laboratory of Plant Nutrition and Agri-environment in Northwest China,  
19 Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

20 <sup>f</sup> College of Soil and Water Conservation Science and Engineering, Northwest A&F  
21 University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

22 <sup>g</sup> Chongqing Key Laboratory of Environmental Materials & Remediation  
23 Technologies, Chongqing University of Arts and Science, Chongqing 402168, China;

24 <sup>h</sup> College of Desert Management, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot  
25 010000, China.

26 **\*Corresponding author:** Feinan Hu, address: No. 26, Xi'nong Road, State Key

27 Laboratory of Soil and Water Conservation and Desertification Control, Northwest  
28 A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China; email: hufn@nwafu.edu.cn;  
29 telephone: +86-029-87011863; fax: +86-029-87010623.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

**Abstract:** Degradation of soil structure and function is a significant obstacle to sustainable agriculture, yet using biochar is known to be an effective way of increasing soil organic carbon and boosting structure. Aggregate stability is greatly influenced by soil internal forces (SIFs), such as van der Waals, hydration, and electrostatic forces. However, it is still not clear which specific organic carbon components play a significant role in the stability of aggregates and the action of soil internal forces. In this study, a field simulation experiment was conducted using maize straw and wheat straw biochars to investigate how biochar addition affects soil aggregate stability. The findings showed that as the rate of biochar application increased from 0% to 10% over 180 days and 365 days, the contents of soil light fraction organic carbon (LFOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), readily oxidized organic carbon (ROC), as well as soil specific surface area and surface charge number, increased. The content of different carbon fractions decreased with prolonged incubation time, while soil electrochemical parameters continued to increase throughout the incubation period. Pearson correlation and redundancy analyses showed that soil active organic carbon fractions were strongly correlated with soil surface electrochemical properties, with ROC and POC being the primary factors. Soil aggregate stability increased with higher biochar application rates, and the beneficial effect of biochar on aggregate stability became increasingly pronounced over time. Based on the quantitative estimates of SIFs, the addition of biochar facilitated soil aggregate stability by increasing van der Waals attractive pressure and decreasing electrostatic repulsive pressure, and finally lowering net

repulsive pressure between soil particles. The soil aggregate breaking strength increased quickly at initially and then leveled out as the electrolyte content decreased;  $10^{-2}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> marked the tipping point. The study identified the active organic carbon (ROC and POC) fractions which could mediate the soil surface electrochemical properties and soil internal forces, finally enhance soil aggregate stability. Our research provides a theoretical basis for the targeted use and modifying of biochar to efficiently improve soil structure.

**Keywords:** Biochar; Active organic carbon fraction; Soil internal forces; Aggregate stability

## 1. Introduction

~~Soil structural stability is a key indicator for assessing soil erodibility, directly influencing the occurrence and evolution of soil erosion processes (An et al., 2010; Siedt et al., 2021).~~ Soil aggregate formation and stabilization play a fundamental role in shaping soil architecture and underpin a wide range of soil functions (Batista et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2025)(~~Batista et al., 2023; Li et al., 2016; Rabot et al., 2018~~). Physical characteristics like porosity, aeration, compaction, and water retention, as well as biochemical characteristics like organic carbon fixation, conversion, and microbial activity, are all impacted by aggregate stability (Hashimi et al., 2026; Liu et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2022)(~~Liu et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2022~~). Furthermore, both intrinsic soil characteristics—such as particle composition, organic matter content, and clay mineralogy—and external factors—such as weathering, tillage methods, and

erosion—have an impact on aggregate stability ([Li et al., 2025](#); [Siedt et al., 2021](#); [Zhang et al., 2021](#))([Cao et al., 2016](#); [Das et al., 2014](#); [Zhang et al., 2021](#)). Therefore, improving soil aggregate stability is essential for reducing soil erodibility, improving soil health and sustaining agricultural productivity.

The application of biochar as a soil amendment is primarily attributed to its ability to boost organic carbon content and contribute to better soil functionality ([Ji et al., 2024](#); [Zhang et al., 2026a](#))([Cooper et al., 2020](#); [Ji et al., 2024](#); [Lu et al., 2021](#); [Okebalama and Marschner, 2023](#); [Uchenna et al., 2023](#); [Zhang et al., 2020](#)).

Numerous studies have demonstrated that biochar have successfully raised soil organic carbon levels and improved soil aggregate stability ([Jia et al., 2024](#); [Sheng et al., 2023](#); [Sun et al., 2021](#))([Du et al., 2016](#); [Huang et al., 2018](#); [Ma et al., 2016](#); [Sheng et al., 2023](#); [Šimanský et al., 2018](#); [Sun et al., 2021](#); [Wang et al., 2017](#)). However, Blair et al. (1995) concluded that anthropogenic management practices in agricultural systems primarily affect the reactive organic carbon fraction of soil organic carbon. Soil organic matter has been found to degrade slowly, making short-term changes in its content of limited practical significance. As an early and quick sign of ecosystem management techniques, soil active organic carbon, on the other hand, is less plentiful but more sensitive to environmental changes than soil organic matter ([Stevenson, 1994](#); [Wander et al., 1994](#))([Coleman et al., 1983](#); [Stevenson, 1994](#); [Wander et al., 1994](#)).

According to [Haynes \(2005\)](#) and Wei et al. (2014), soil active organic carbon represents the most dynamic fraction of soil organic carbon that has the greatest

direct impact on plant nutrient supply; it is highly reactive, has a certain solubility in the soil, and is readily oxidized and mineralized by soil microorganisms. Light fraction organic carbon (LFOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), and readily oxidized organic carbon (ROC) are the primary forms of soil active organic carbon [\(Yang et al., 2026; Zhao et al., 2018\)](#)~~(Stewart et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018)~~. Despite making up a small fraction of soil organic carbon, soil active carbon has a direct role in soil biochemical transformation processes and can indicate slight variations in soil organic carbon before changes in total soil carbon [\(Coleman et al., 1983\)](#)~~(Coleman et al., 1983; Wander et al., 1994)~~. Accordingly, variations in the amount of organic carbon's active fraction in agricultural soils significantly impact on the soil's nutrient cycling and overall quality [\(Liang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2026b\)](#)~~(Liang et al., 2011; Magill and Aber, 2000)~~.

Overall, increased soil active organic carbon enhances soil aggregate stability [\(Bronick and Lal, 2005; Chen et al., 2026\)](#)~~(Bronick and Lal, 2005)~~. It has been demonstrated that soil active organic carbon fractions can affect the nutrient content and bioavailability of the soil and have a significant and positive correlation with the formation and stability of soil macroaggregates (Wang et al., 2018). Aggregate stability was shown to increase positively with organic carbon content, mainly in the light organic matter component (Yagüe et al., 2016). In comparison to soils treated with nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) in combination with green manure or NPK alone, Li et al. (2012) found that soils

treated with NPK and animal manure had significantly higher levels of biologically active soil organic carbon and aggregate stability. Microaggregates and silt + clay fractions showed a significant negative association with the mean weight diameter and geometric mean diameter of soil aggregates, while DOC, macroaggregates, macroaggregates-C, and microaggregates-C showed a significant positive correlation (Cao et al., 2024). In trials with simulated rainfall, soil aggregate stability rose when soil organic carbon and LFOC levels increased (Li et al., 2021). Although the role of active organic carbon in enhancing soil aggregate stability has been widely studied, the composition and characteristics of its various forms differ substantially, potentially leading to divergent effects on aggregate stability. Therefore, further research is needed to elucidate how different fractions of soil active organic carbon influence the formation and stabilization of soil aggregates.

The stability of soil aggregates in water is mostly due to soil internal forces (SIFs), which include electrostatic, hydration, and van der Waals attraction (Li et al., 2026; Ma et al., 2021b)(~~Hu et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2021b~~). Theoretically, internal forces may reach hundreds of thousands of atmospheric pressures between two adjacent soil particles (~~Ding et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2022~~)(~~Ding et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2015~~). The classical double layer theory states that the characteristics of the soil, including its specific surface area (SSA), surface charge density, and cation exchange capacity, as well as external environmental factors (moisture content, solution concentration, etc.), primarily determine the function of SIFs in mesoscale soils (Li et al., 2013; Quirk, 1994). The addition of organic matter modifies SIFs by

altering the interfacial properties of soil particles. In a four-year field experiment, the use of weathered coal and biochar had a significant impact on the SSA and surface charge density of soil particles, as well as improving van der Waals attraction, decreasing net soil pressure, and decreasing aggregate fragmentation strength and splash erosion rate (Wang et al., 2024). Changes in the electrochemical characteristics of the soil surface were mostly caused by an increase in the amount of soil organic matter after vegetation restoration (Liu et al., 2020). Nevertheless, few research have examined how various active organic carbon fractions affect SIFs and aggregate stability by altering the surface properties of the soil particles when biochar is applied.

In this study, maize straw and wheat straw biochars were applied at different rates in a field simulation experiment to systematically evaluate their effects on soil internal forces. Specifically, we aimed to (i) quantify the changes in SIFs under different biochar types and application rates, (ii) identify the active organic carbon fractions that most strongly regulate soil interfacial electrochemical properties and thereby influence SIFs, and (iii) elucidate the mechanisms and time effects by which biochar-mediated modifications of SIFs contribute to soil aggregate formation and stabilization. This work provides new mechanistic insights into biochar–soil interactions from the perspective of soil internal forces and offers a scientific basis for targeted soil structural improvement and sustainable agricultural management.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **2.1 Study area**

This study was conducted in the Yangling Demonstration Zone, Shaanxi

Province, China (108°08'47"E, 34°27'21"N). The region has a temperate continental monsoon semi-humid climate, with an average of 2167 hours of sunlight per year, temperatures between 11 and 13 °C, and 500 to 700 mm of rainfall. According to the FAO soil classification, the soil under study was Lou soil, which is categorized as calcareous Cambisol. The basic physicochemical properties of the soil are shown in Table 1.

## 2.2 Experimental design

The biochar made from wheat and maize straw that was utilized in this investigation was acquired from Zhengzhou Jinbang Environmental Protection Technology Co. An elemental analyzer (Elementar Vario EL III, Germany) was used to determine the elemental composition of the biochar samples. A fully automated specific surface and porosity analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP 2460, USA) was used to measure the SSA of biochar. A Mettler pH meter with a solid-liquid ratio of 1: 20 was used to measure the pH. The amount of ash was measured by placing the biochar in a crucible and burning it for two hours at 800 °C. Table 2 displays the fundamental physical and chemical characteristics of biochar.

In mid-December 2021, biochar was added to the top layer of soil (0–20 cm) and tilled lightly until it was incorporated into the soil. In a control treatment (CK), no biochar was used. Two varieties of biochar, manufactured from maize and wheat straw, were treated at five different dose levels: 1%, 3%, 5%, and 10% by mass (designated M1, M3, M5, and M10 for maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, and W10 for wheat straw biochar). There were 27 plots in all, each measuring 1.5 m by 1.5 m, and a

completely randomized design with three replications was employed (Figure 1). At the end of the 180-day and 365-day experimental periods, five soil auger samples were collected from the 0–20 cm layer of each plot and combined to form a composite soil sample. The samples were then allowed to dry naturally and were ready for use after being cleared of stones, plant roots, and other contaminants. Before determining the soil properties, the unbound biochar particles in the soil samples were floated off in distilled water.

### **2.3 Determination of soil active organic carbon and electrochemical properties**

A carbon and nitrogen analyzer (Multi N/C 3000, Jena, Germany) was used to measure DOC (Qiu et al., 2023). By using potassium permanganate for oxidation, ROC was determined (Zhang et al., 2022). Sulfuric acid-potassium dichromate oxidation with external heating and sodium iodide heavy liquid separation were used to calculate LFOC (Janzen et al., 1992). Sulfuric acid-potassium dichromate oxidation using an external heating technique and sodium hexametaphosphate dispersion were used to determine POC (Ma et al., 2021a).

The combined method of surface property determination provided by Li et al. (2011) was used to measure the soil surface charge number (SCN), surface charge density ( $\sigma_0$ ), and SSA. In short, soil samples were subjected to exchange equilibrium testing using a combination of NaOH and Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> after being pre-saturated with H<sup>+</sup>. After measuring the amounts of Na<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the supernatant, the double-layer theory was used to compute the cation exchange capacity, SSA, and  $\sigma_0$ ; the detailed procedures can be found in our previous work ([Li et al., 2026](#))([Hu et al., 2021](#)).

## 2.4 Quantification of soil internal forces

At the mesoscopic level, the primary SIF interactions among soil particles are van der Waals attractive pressure ( $P_{\text{vdw}}$ ), surface hydration repulsive pressure ( $P_{\text{h}}$ ), and electrostatic repulsive pressure ( $P_{\text{E}}$ ). The net inter-particle force ( $P_{\text{net}}$ ) is the combined force of the three, which can be calculated from the soil surface property parameter to obtain the SIFs (Li and Xu, 2008; Liu et al., 2021).

## 2.5 Soil aggregate stability evaluation

In this experiment, soils were prepared as  $\text{Na}^+$ -saturated samples to quantitatively characterize the intrinsic link between SIFs and aggregate stability. Soil aggregate breaking strength (SABS) was used to evaluate the aggregate stability (Xu et al., 2015). It was defined as the proportion of mass released as small particles (less than 5 and less than 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) when macroaggregates broke down. As the percentage mass release increases, soil aggregate stability decreases. The following was the preparation procedure: A 5 L beaker containing 2 kg of air-dried soil was filled with 5 L of NaCl electrolyte solution at a concentration of  $0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . The sample was centrifuged and the supernatant was disposed of after 24 hours of stirring and equilibration. Following three repetitions of the aforementioned procedure, the extra  $\text{Na}^+$  was removed using distilled water and dried through a 1–5 mm sieve. Different concentrations of NaCl solutions ( $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-1}$ ,  $1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ) were used in the experiment to simulate soil electrolyte solutions. The time required for  $< 10 \mu\text{m}$  and  $< 5 \mu\text{m}$  soil particles to fall at a specific distance was first calculated according to Stokes formula, respectively. About 450 ml of a NaCl solution with

concentrations of 1,  $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ , and  $10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> was put into a 500 ml measuring cylinder. Weigh 15 g of Na<sup>+</sup>-saturated aggregates and transfer them into a measuring cylinder. Add the same solution to bring the total volume to 500 mL, then seal the cylinder. Allow it to stand for 1 minute. Afterward, hold the cylinder horizontally in your hand and rotate it slowly, inverting it once and allowing it to stand for approximately 30 seconds. Repeat this process four times. Finally, place the cylinder on the tabletop, record the start time, and calculate the end time. A U-shaped tube was used to aspirate the suspension at the conclusion of the allotted period, and it was then moved into an aluminum box. The sample was then weighed after drying at 105 °C, following the method of Hu et al. (2015).

## **2.6 Statistical analysis**

Microsoft Excel was used to arrange and compute the data, while SPSS Statistics 26 was used to conduct the statistical analysis. Statistical significance was determined using Duncan's test ( $P < 0.05$ ). The relationship between soil surface electrochemical characteristics and soil active carbon fractions was assessed using the Pearson's coefficient test. Soil carbon fractions and electrochemical properties were analyzed redundantly using Canoco 5.0. Origin 2023 software was applied for data plotting.

## **3. Results**

### **3.1 Effect of biochar addition on soil active organic carbon fractions**

After applying maize straw biochar and wheat straw biochar after 180 days, the overall amount of soil active organic carbon rose as the amount of biochar increased (Figure 2). Both biochar treatments significantly increased soil LFOC concentrations

( $P < 0.05$ ), although slight, non-significant decreases were observed in the M5 and W5 treatments compared to M3 and W3. The M10 and W10 treatments showed the highest increase, 147.9 and 127.0 times compared to CK, respectively. The LFOC under each treatment for the two kinds of straw biochar was only substantially different at the 10% addition rate; at the 3% and 5% biochar application rates, the difference was not significant (Figure 2a). Both biochar treatments significantly enhanced soil POC content ( $P < 0.05$ ). In terms of magnitude, M10 and W10 exhibited the largest increases, with POC contents 84.2 and 78.4 times higher than that of the CK, respectively. With the exception of the 5% application rate (Figure 2b), no appreciable variations in the soil POC concentration were noted in relation to the impacts of the two straw biochar treatments at the same application rate. The M10 and W10 treatments increased DOC by 18.6 and 18.1 times, respectively, compared to CK. At the same application rate, there were no discernible variations in DOC between the two biochars (Figure 2c). The M10 and W10 treatments increased ROC by 5.1 and 5.3 times, respectively, compared to CK. At the same application rate, there were no discernible variations in ROC between the two biochars (Figure 2d). The contents of different carbon fractions under each treatment decreased with the extension of incubation time, and the data for soil active carbon fractions at 365 days are provided in Supplementary Figure S1.

### **3.2 Effect of biochar addition on soil surface electrochemical properties**

Table 3 shows that as the amount of biochar rose from 0% to 10%, SCN increased considerably ( $P < 0.05$ ). Compared with CK, SCN increased by 23.3%,

33.3%, 39.8%, and 42.7% under each treatment of maize straw biochar, and 27.1%, 33.3%, 39.4%, and 46.4% under the wheat straw biochar treatment, respectively. The difference between the two biochars at the same addition level was not significant. The soil SSA increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with increasing biochar application rates; the M1 treatment and CK did not differ significantly, and at 1%, 3%, and 5% application rates, the differences between the treatments were not significant, and M10 and W10 increased by 91.06% and 91.53%, respectively, in comparison to the CK treatment. Surface charge density  $\sigma_0$  showed no significant change at lower application rates (1%, 3%, 5%) compared to CK. However,  $\sigma_0$  tended to decline as biochar application rate increased. After 365 days of incubation with biochar, the trends of soil electrochemical parameters were consistent with those observed at 180 days, and the values of these parameters were slightly higher than those at 180 days. The data for soil surface electrochemical properties at 365 days are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

### **3.3 Relationship between soil electrochemical properties and active carbon fractions**

After 180 days of biochar application, the correlation between soil electrochemical properties and active organic carbon fractions is presented in Figure 3a. SCN exhibited a strong positive association with LFOC and POC ( $P < 0.05$ ) and a highly significant positive correlation with DOC and ROC ( $P < 0.01$ ). SSA and DOC ( $P < 0.01$ ), LFOC, POC, and ROC ( $P < 0.001$ ) all showed a substantial positive connection. In contrast,  $\sigma_0$  was strongly negatively correlated with LFOC, POC, and

ROC ( $P < 0.001$ ), and with DOC ( $P < 0.01$ ). The results at 365 days (Fig. 3b) showed that SCN and SSA were significantly and positively correlated with LFOC, POC, DOC, and ROC ( $P < 0.001$ ). In contrast,  $\sigma_0$  was negatively correlated with LFOC, POC, and ROC ( $P < 0.05$ ), and showed a highly significant negative correlation with DOC ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Figure 4 displays the results of redundancy analysis. The results showed that after 180 days of biochar addition, ROC explained 63.6% of the variation in soil electrochemical properties, whereas after 365 days, POC accounted for 66.1% of the variation (Table 4). Therefore, ROC and POC are the primary factors influencing the electrochemical properties of the soil surface. The acute angle formed between the SCN, SSA, and soil active carbon component arrows indicates a strong positive correlation between them (the smaller the acute angle, the stronger the relationship), consistent with the results in Figure 3.

### **3.4 Effect of biochar addition on soil internal forces**

#### ***3.4.1 Electrostatic repulsive pressure between soil particles***

The electrostatic repulsive pressure at various electrolyte concentrations at a distance of 2 nm between soil particles is depicted in Figure 5. The findings demonstrated that as the amount of maize straw and wheat straw biochar applied rose from 1% to 10%, the electrostatic repulsive pressure gradually reduced at the same electrolyte concentration. At a constant biochar application rate, electrostatic repulsive pressure increased sharply under all treatments as the electrolyte concentration decreased progressively from  $1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  to  $10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . However, when the

electrolyte concentration is further decreased below  $10^{-2}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, the rate of growth of electrostatic repulsive pressure slows down and stabilizes. After 365 days of incubation, the trends in electrostatic repulsive pressure for each treatment were consistent with those at 180 days, and increased compared to the soil after 180 days of incubation.

#### **3.4.2 Van der Waals attractive pressure and surface hydration repulsive pressure**

Table 5 shows that all of the Hamaker constant values were higher than CK and rose as the amount of biochar applied increased. The strength of the van der Waals attractive pressure between soil particles is directly determined by the Hamaker constant, a crucial element in the computation of this pressure. The amount and distribution of the van der Waals attractive pressure and surface hydration repulsive pressure between particles with biochar addition after 180 and 365 days (as seen in Figure 6) may be determined using the formula, in conjunction with the acquired Hamaker constant. The van der Waals attractive pressure (absolute value) and the surface hydration repulsive pressure both sharply decline with increasing soil particle distance. When the spacing between soil particles was smaller than 1.3 nm, the surface hydration repulsive pressure was substantially larger in all treatments than the van der Waals attractive pressure. For instance, under various treatments, the interparticle van der Waals attractive pressure (in absolute value) is less than 100 atm and the interparticle surface hydration repulsive pressure is around 591 atm when the interparticle distance is 0.7 nm. The van der Waals attractive pressure (absolute values) is all below 200 atm, but the surface hydration repulsive pressure is around 1051 atm

at an interparticle distance of 0.6 nm. This suggests that the difference between the two rises quickly as the distance decreases. Van der Waals attractive pressure (absolute value) rose with increasing application rate in both biochar treatments. After 365 days of incubation, the trends in the Hamaker constant and van der Waals attraction (in absolute value) for each treatment were consistent with those at 180 days, and increased compared to the soil after 180 days of incubation.

### **3.4.3 Net pressure between soil particles**

Figure 7 shows the net pressure of soil particles at 2 nm for different electrolyte concentrations under biochar addition after 180 and 365 days. Under both biochar types, net pressure increased as electrolyte concentration decreased. From 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-2</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, net pressure rose markedly; below 10<sup>-2</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, the rate of increase plateaued. At the same electrolyte concentration, net pressure decreased as biochar application increased. After 365 days of incubation, the trends in the net interparticle force were consistent with those at 180 days, and decreased compared to the soil after 180 days of incubation.

### **3.5 Effect of biochar addition on soil aggregate stability**

Figure 8 shows SABS (< 5 µm, < 10 µm) at different electrolyte concentrations under biochar addition after 180 days. As the electrolyte concentration increased, the SABS decreased. The change in SABS was relatively smooth when the electrolyte concentration was less than 10<sup>-2</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, but it significantly decreased for all treatments when the electrolyte concentration went from 10<sup>-2</sup> to 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>. A concentration of 10<sup>-2</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> represents the critical threshold for aggregate

disintegration. SABS dropped as biochar application increased under the same electrolyte concentration, suggesting that biochar application improved aggregate stability. After 365 days of incubation, the trends in aggregate breaking strength for each treatment were consistent with those at 180 days, and the values decreased compared to the soil after 180 days of incubation. The data for soil aggregate breaking strength at 365 days are provided in Supplementary Figure S2.

### **3.6 Relationship between soil internal forces and aggregate stability**

Figure 9 depicts the relationship between the net pressure at a 2 nm distance between soil particles and SABS under biochar addition after 180 and 365 days. The figure reveals a significant positive correlation between SABS and net pressure across all treatments, with SABS exhibiting an exponential increase in response to rising net pressure.

## **4. Discussion**

### **4.1 Response of soil active carbon fraction and electrochemical properties to biochar application**

The application of biochar enhanced soil active carbon fractions and had a considerable impact on soil organic carbon ([Han et al., 2020; Li et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2021](#))(~~Han et al., 2020; Li et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018~~). According to our findings, the soil active carbon percentage rose when both types of biochar were added (Figure 2 and Figure S1). The reason can be explained: the biochar itself contains more carbon, especially more resistant humic acid and condensed aromatic carbon structures. Secondly, biochar possesses a large surface

area, which may facilitate the accumulation of specific organic carbon fractions in the soil by adsorbing them onto its surface. Biochar has a highly porous structure that also protects some organic carbon components from microbial degradation (Feng et al., 2021; He et al., 2023). In a field experiment, Qiu et al. (2023) applied biochar to 0%, 1%, 2%, and 4% of the dry weight of topsoil. After 8 and 12 months, they observed a positive correlation between the amount of particulate organic carbon and the amount of biochar applied, as well as significant increases in organic carbon, particulate organic carbon, and DOC content. In comparison to the control, soil organic carbon, ROC, and POC levels rose by 7.09–38.08%, 1.62–39.80%, and 9.52–62.30%, respectively, when Jiang et al. (2022) applied 0, 5, 15, 20, and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of biochar to the soil. As a result, soil active carbon fractions were significantly impacted by biochar additions.

With the extension of incubation time from 180 days to 365 days, the content of soil active carbon fractions decreased, which may be attributed to several factors (Stewart et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018). Firstly, as the incubation period increased, organic carbon in the soil was gradually degraded and mineralized by microorganisms, leading to a decrease in the content of ROC and DOC. Secondly, the long-term application of biochar may have transformed some organic carbon into more stable forms, which are less susceptible to microbial decomposition, resulting in a decline in the active organic carbon fractions. Additionally, changes in the soil environment, such as fluctuations in moisture, temperature, and pH, may have affected the availability and turnover rate of organic carbon, further contributing to

the reduction in active organic carbon content.

Both biochar applications considerably raised the SSA and SCN of soil particles in this investigation (Table 2 and Table S1). According to Liang et al. (2006), this phenomenon is caused by the numerous negative charges that are carried on the surface of biochar. These charges come from the oxygen-containing functional groups, like -COOH, -OH, etc., that are widely distributed on the surface of biochar and which effectively improve the cation exchange of the soil. The high SSA of biochar itself directly contributes to an increase in soil SSA, improves cation adsorption, and thus enhances exchange capacity (Lone et al., 2015). Consistent with Hu et al. (2021), our findings show that increasing the biochar application rate enhances soil SSA and SCN (Table 2 and Table S1). However, the increase in soil organic carbon (particularly the active carbon component) brought about by the addition of biochar may have contributed to the rise in SSA and SCN. The study's findings demonstrated that adding two different kinds of biochar raised the amount of active carbon in the soil and that there was a significant relationship between soil active carbon and soil electrochemical characteristics, with ROC and POC being the primary determinant of the soil surface's electrochemical characteristics (Table 4; Figures 3–4). According to Meyer et al. (1994) and Pennell et al. (1995), soil organic matter, particularly humic acid, has a cation exchange capacity of up to 100–700 cmol(c) kg<sup>-1</sup> and an SSA of up to 500–800 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Vertisol soil SSA (about 35.3%) and SCN (approximately 29.3%) were shown to decrease with the removal of soil organic matter (Yu et al., 2020). Compared with more stable organic carbon pools, ROC represents the relatively

amorphous, chemically reactive fraction that is enriched in oxygen-containing functional groups (e.g.  $-\text{COOH}$ ,  $-\text{OH}$ ,  $-\text{C=O}$ ) and is preferentially adsorbed onto or co-precipitated with mineral surfaces. Such functional groups provide abundant negatively charged sites and enhance the development of the diffuse double layer, thereby increasing soil SSA, SCN and surface charge density and modifying the balance between electrostatic repulsion and van der Waals attraction at the particle–water interface (He et al., 2021). In contrast, POC is typically found in smaller soil particles and influences the electrochemical properties of the soil surface through physical adsorption. The role of POC primarily involves its interaction and stability with minerals, which increases the charge and physical surface characteristics of the soil, further regulating the soil's electrochemical behavior. ROC and POC jointly influence the electrochemical properties of the soil, with ROC being more sensitive to changes in soil electrochemical properties in the short term, while POC affects the soil's electrochemical reactions over a longer period through stable mineral-organic carbon complexes. These organic carbon fractions have been repeatedly identified as a sensitive indicator of short-term management effects and is closely linked to key fertility parameters, including effective CEC and other electrochemical properties (Culman et al., 2012). Therefore, soil active organic carbon (especially ROC and POC) is closely related to the electrochemical properties of the soil surface.

## **4.2 Response of soil internal forces to biochar application**

Soil particles in close proximity experience mutual repulsion due to like charges, generating electrostatic repulsive pressure. Because soil texture, organic matter

content, and mineral composition all affect the particles' surface charge, variations in these characteristics will result in variations in electrostatic repulsive pressure (Hu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2021). At the same electrolyte concentration, soil electrostatic repulsive pressure decreased under both biochar treatments as biochar application increased from 1% to 10% (Figure 5). This phenomenon is caused by the substantial alterations in the electrochemical characteristics of the soil surface, which are shown by the increase in SCN and SSA, the decrease in the intensity of the surface electric field and charge density, and the effective control of electrostatic repulsive pressure. Both forms of biochar reduced electrostatic repulsive pressure similarly, which was essentially in line with the trend of soil surface electrochemical parameters under varying rates of biochar application, which is in line with Wang et al. (2024) findings.

Biochar application also significantly increased van der Waals attractive pressure between soil particles, particularly at higher application rates (Figure 6). Van der Waals pressure is directly related to the Hamaker constant, which itself depends on the properties of the materials and the intervening medium (Bergström, 1997; Hu et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2020). The observed increases in Hamaker constants (Table 4) suggest that biochar incorporation introduced more organic complexes into the soil (Resurreccion et al., 2011). Additionally, our results revealed that net interparticle pressure decreased as biochar application increased (Figure 7), consistent with the findings of Yu et al. (2017). These results suggest that the influence of biochar on net pressure is primarily through increased van der Waals attraction and reduced electrostatic repulsion.

### 4.3 Response of soil aggregate stability to biochar application

In this study, SABS values decreased with increasing biochar application (Figure 8 and Figure S2), indicating improved aggregate stability. This aligns with previous findings that biochar enhances soil aggregation ([Fu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022](#))~~(Fu et al., 2021; He et al., 2020; Luo et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022)~~. The observed decline in net pressure with increasing biochar (Figure 7) supports this conclusion, as lower internal forces correspond to greater structural stability. This is consistent with prior reports by Yu et al. (2020) and Hu et al. (2021), who also found that biochar reduces internal particle forces and enhances aggregate stability.

We also observed that SABS increased with decreasing electrolyte concentration and then plateaued, with a threshold near  $10^{-2}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, indicating a critical transition in aggregate disintegration. This turning point corresponded to a similar trend in net interparticle pressure, reinforcing the connection between soil internal forces and aggregate stability. Regression analysis confirmed a significant positive correlation between SABS and net pressure (Figure 9), highlighting the central role of interparticle forces in determining aggregate stability.

The effectiveness of biochar in improving soil aggregation depends on environmental conditions, soil type, and feedstock characteristics ([Pituello et al., 2018; Yang and Lu, 2021](#))~~(Du et al., 2016; Fungo et al., 2017; Jien and Wang, 2013; Pituello et al., 2018; Yang and Lu, 2021; Zhang et al., 2015)~~. Overall, there were no significant differences in SIF distribution and aggregate stability between the two biochar treatments in this study. This may be attributed to the fact that the basic properties of

the two types of straw biochar are relatively similar (Table 2). Additionally, there were no significant changes in the active carbon components and surface electrochemical properties of the soil after the two types of biochar were added. In addition, our study found that the improvement in soil aggregate stability was greater at 365 days compared to 180 days following the application of both types of biochar. This is because, compared to 180 days, biochar addition at 365 days increased both soil charge density and the Hamaker constant, which in turn enhanced both the electrostatic repulsive force and molecular attraction at the mesoscale between soil particles. However, the increase in molecular attraction was much greater than that of electrostatic repulsion, resulting in a lower net force. Therefore, soil aggregate stability was stronger after 365 days of biochar addition.

Although this study focused on short-term (365-day) effects, biochar is known for its long-term stability in soil environments. Previous research has shown that biochar can enhance soil aggregation, nutrient retention, and carbon sequestration for years to decades (Jiang et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021). However, these long-term benefits may be affected by aging processes, environmental variability, and management practices. Future long-term field experiments are needed to better understand the persistence and dynamics of biochar effects in diverse agroecosystems.

It should be noted that the Na<sup>+</sup>-saturation treatment used in this study represents a simplified condition compared with natural soil environments, where multiple exchangeable cations and complex electrolyte compositions coexist. Such differences may influence ionic strength, electrical double-layer characteristics, and particle

interaction forces, thereby affecting soil aggregate stability. Therefore, the SIFs quantified in this study should be interpreted as a mechanistic assessment of biochar-induced changes in particle interactions rather than a direct representation of field conditions. Nevertheless, this controlled approach enables the quantitative evaluation of intrinsic changes in soil interparticle forces and provides insights into the mechanisms underlying biochar-mediated aggregate stabilization. Future research integrating mixed electrolyte systems, contrasting soil types, and long-term field experiments is required to further validate and extend these findings.

## **5. Conclusions**

After 180 and 365 days of maize straw biochar and wheat straw biochar addition, the contents of soil active carbon fractions increased with higher biochar application rates. Soil active carbon fractions were closely related to soil electrochemical properties, with ROC and POC being the primary influencing factors. The application of biochar markedly modified the SSA and SCN of soil particles, thereby increasing van der Waals attractive forces, reducing net repulsive pressure, and ultimately enhancing aggregate stability. These beneficial effects were further amplified as the application rate increased. As the electrolyte concentration decreased, the SABS first increased and then tended to level off, and  $10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  was the turning point for the change. Although this study utilized  $\text{Na}^+$ -saturated soil samples, which do not fully reflect natural soil conditions, the findings quantitatively assessed the intensity and distribution of soil internal forces (SIFs) and elucidated the mechanistic pathway by which biochar-induced increases in ROC and POC, along with associated changes in

soil surface electrochemistry, enhance aggregate stability. Collectively, these findings offer a theoretical basis for the targeted use of biochar to manipulate soil internal forces, strengthen aggregate structure, and mitigate soil erosion in agricultural systems.

### **Author contributions**

The idea for this paper was conceptualized by XSS, HHZ, and FNH. XSS wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors were involved in the review and editing the manuscript.

### **Competing interests.**

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

### **Code and data availability**

No custom code was used in this study. The experimental data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42277311 and 42361144707), the Open Found from the State Key Laboratory of Soil

and Water Conservation and Desertification Control (F2010121002-202419), Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2023-JC-YB-263) and the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing, China (CSTB2024NSCQ-LZX0020).

## References

- Batista, A.M., Nunes, M.R., Pessoa, T.N., Libardi, P.L., 2023. Seasonal variation of the rhizosphere soil aggregation in an Oxisol. *Soil Tillage Res.* 231. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2023.105741>.
- Bergström, L., 1997. Hamaker constants of inorganic materials. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 70, 125-169. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8686\(97\)00003-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8686(97)00003-1).
- Blair, G.J., Lefroy, R.D.B., Lisle, L., 1995. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation, and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 46. <http://doi.org/10.1071/ar9951459>.
- Bronick, C.J., Lal, R., 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma* 124, 3-22. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.03.005>.
- Cao, X., Xu, Y., Wang, F., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., 2024. Changes of soil organic carbon and aggregate stability along elevation gradient in *Cunninghamia lanceolata* plantations. *Sci Rep* 14, 31778. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-81847-4>.
- Chen, Y., Huang, L., Huang, S., Guo, T., Zhang, S., Guo, D., Song, X., Ding, S., Mehran, M., Yang, Y., Yue, K., Su, S., Geng, M., Zhang, H., 2026. Long-term green manure incorporation increases soil carbon sequestration and improves aggregate stability by changing organic carbon components. *Soil Tillage Res.*

599 [258. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2025.107024](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2025.107024).

600 [Chen, Y., Kuzyakov, Y., Ma, Q., Du, Z., Sun, K., Xiao, K., Liang, X., Li, Y., Zhang, Y.,](#)

601 [Lai, X., Fu, W., Gao, B., Wang, F., Zhu, S., Gao, Q., Rillig, M.C., 2025.](#)

602 [Aggregate size mediates the stability and temperature sensitivity of soil organic](#)

603 [carbon in response to decadal biochar and straw amendments. Soil Biology and](#)

604 [Biochemistry 211. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2025.109969.](#)

605 [Coleman, D.C., Reid, C.P.P., Cole, C.V., 1983. Biological Strategies of Nutrient](#)

606 [Cycling in Soil Systems, Adv. Ecol. Res., pp. 1-55.](#)

607 [Culman, S.W., Snapp, S.S., Freeman, M.A., Schipanski, M.E., Beniston, J., Lal, R.,](#)

608 [Drinkwater, L.E., Franzluebbers, A.J., Glover, J.D., Grandy, A.S., Lee, J., Six, J.,](#)

609 [Maul, J.E., Mirksy, S.B., Spargo, J.T., Wander, M.M., 2012. Permanganate](#)

610 [Oxidizable Carbon Reflects a Processed Soil Fraction that is Sensitive to](#)

611 [Management. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 76, 494-504.](#)

612 <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2011.0286>.

613 [Ding, W., Liu, X., Hu, F., Zhu, H., Luo, Y., Li, S., Li, H., 2019. How the particle](#)

614 [interaction forces determine soil water infiltration: Specific ion effects. J. Hydrol.](#)

615 [568, 492-500. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.11.017.](#)

616 [Feng, Z., Fan, Z., Song, H., Li, K., Lu, H., Liu, Y., Cheng, F., 2021. Biochar induced](#)

617 [changes of soil dissolved organic matter: The release and adsorption of dissolved](#)

618 [organic matter by biochar and soil. Sci. Total Environ. 783, 147091.](#)

619 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147091>.

620 [Fu, G.Q., Qiu, X.N., Xu, X.Y., Zhang, W., Zang, F., Zhao, C.Y., 2021. The role of](#)

biochar particle size and application rate in promoting the hydraulic and physical  
properties of sandy desert soil. Catena 207.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105607>.

Han, L., Sun, K., Yang, Y., Xia, X., Li, F., Yang, Z., Xing, B., 2020. Biochar's stability  
and effect on the content, composition and turnover of soil organic carbon.  
Geoderma 364. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114184>.

Hashimi, R., Ganjegunte, G.K., Suthar, J.D., Kumar, S., Palmate, S.S., 2026. Soil  
carbon fractions and aggregate stability across management zones under  
flood-irrigated pecan orchards in an arid region. J. Clean Prod. 549.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2026.147871>.

He, M.R., Jiang, Y., Han, Y.H., Zhu, W.H., Meng, D., Li, C.L., Cai, H.G., Zhang, J.J.,  
2023. Rice Straw Biochar is More Beneficial to Soil Organic Carbon  
Accumulation and Stabilization than Rice Straw and Rice Straw Ash. J. Soil Sci.  
Plant Nutr. 23, 3023-3033. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-023-01256-w>.

He, Y., Yang, M., Huang, R., Wang, Y., Ali, W., 2021. Soil organic matter and clay  
zeta potential influence aggregation of a clayey red soil (Ultisol) under long-term  
fertilization. Sci Rep 11, 20498. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99769-w>.

Hu, F., Xu, C., Li, H., Li, S., Yu, Z., Li, Y., He, X., 2015. Particles interaction forces  
and their effects on soil aggregates breakdown. Soil Tillage Res. 147, 1-9.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2014.11.006>.

Hu, F., Xu, C., Ma, R., Tu, K., Yang, J., Zhao, S., Yang, M., Zhang, F., 2021. Biochar  
application driven change in soil internal forces improves aggregate stability:

643 Based on a two-year field study. Geoderma 403.  
644 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115276>.

645 Janzen, H.H., Campbell, C.A., Brandt, S.A., Lafond, G.P., Townley - Smith, L., 1992.  
646 Light - Fraction Organic Matter in Soils from Long - Term Crop Rotations. Soil  
647 Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56, 1799-1806.  
648 <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600060025x>.

649 Ji, D.C., Ge, L.W., Van Zwieten, L., An, T.T., Li, S.Y., Kuzyakov, Y., Ding, F., Wang,  
650 J.K., 2024. Contrasting effects of maize straw and its biochar on aggregation and  
651 soil organic matter stabilization. Plant Soil 495, 221-233.  
652 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-06313-y>.

653 Jia, A., Song, X., Li, S., Liu, Z., Liu, X., Han, Z., Gao, H., Gao, Q., Zha, Y., Liu, Y.,  
654 Wu, X., Wang, G., 2024. Biochar enhances soil hydrological function by  
655 improving the pore structure of saline soil. Agric. Water Manage. 306.  
656 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2024.109170>.

657 Jiang, M.H., Li, C.B., Gao, W.C., Cai, K., Tang, Y., Cheng, J.Z., 2022. Comparison of  
658 long-term effects of biochar application on soil organic carbon and its fractions  
659 in two ecological sites in karst regions. Geoderma Reg. 28. [http://doi.org/](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00477)  
660 [10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00477](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00477).

661 Li, C.L., Xu, J.B., He, Y.Q., Liu, Y.L., Fan, J.B., 2012. Dynamic Relationship  
662 Between Biologically Active Soil Organic Carbon and Aggregate Stability in  
663 Long-Term Organically Fertilized Soils. Pedosphere 22, 616-622. [http://doi.org/](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(12)60046-0)  
664 [10.1016/S1002-0160\(12\)60046-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(12)60046-0).

Li, H., Hou, J., Liu, X.M., Li, R., Zhu, H.L., Wu, L.S., 2011. Combined  
Determination of Specific Surface Area and Surface Charge Properties of  
Charged Particles from a Single Experiment. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 75, 2128-2135.  
<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0301>.

Li, H., Liu, G., Dan, C., Zhou, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, C., Shen, E., Zhang, Q., Xia, X., Liu,  
D., Guo, Z., Zhao, X., 2025. Effects of soil porosity on water stability of  
aggregates. Soil Tillage Res. 254. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2025.106741>.

Li, H.R., Liu, G., Gu, J., Chen, H., Shi, H.Q., Abd Elbasit, M.A.M., Hu, F.N., 2021.  
Response of soil aggregate disintegration to the different content of organic  
carbon and its fractions during splash erosion. Hydrol. Process. 35.  
<http://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14060>.

Li, J., Song, S., Xu, C., Du, W., Liu, X., Ding, W., Hu, F., 2026. Combined effects of  
soil internal and external forces on aggregate fragments detachment and  
displacement during splash erosion. Catena 266.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2026.109961>.

Li, Q., Zhang, J., Ye, J., Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Yi, Z., Wang, Y., 2024. Biochar affects  
organic carbon composition and stability in highly acidic tea plantation soil. J.  
Environ. Manage. 370, 122803. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122803>.

Li, S.-z., Xu, R.-k., 2008. Electrical double layers' interaction between oppositely  
charged particles as related to surface charge density and ionic strength. Colloid  
Surf. A-Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 326, 157-161.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.05.023>.

Li, S., Li, H., Xu, C.Y., Huang, X.R., Xie, D.T., Ni, J.P., 2013. Particle Interaction  
Forces Induce Soil Particle Transport during Rainfall. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 77,  
1563-1571. <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.01.0009>.

Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B.,  
Skjemstad, J.O., Thies, J., Luizao, F.J., Petersen, J., Neves, E.G., 2006. Black  
Carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70,  
1719-1730. <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0383>.

Liang, Q., Chen, H., Gong, Y., Fan, M., Yang, H., Lal, R., Kuzyakov, Y., 2011. Effects  
of 15 years of manure and inorganic fertilizers on soil organic carbon fractions in  
a wheat-maize system in the North China Plain. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 92,  
21-33. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-011-9469-6>.

Liu, J., Hu, F., Xu, C., Wang, Z., Ma, R., Zhao, S., Liu, G., 2021. Comparison of  
different methods for assessing effects of soil interparticle forces on aggregate  
stability. Geoderma 385. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114834>.

Liu, J., Wang, Z., Hu, F., Xu, C., Ma, R., Zhao, S., 2020. Soil organic matter and silt  
contents determine soil particle surface electrochemical properties across a  
long-term natural restoration grassland. Catena 190.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104526>.

Liu, J.Y., Yang, Y.A., Zheng, Q.W., Su, X.M., Zhou, Z.C., 2022. Response of soil  
aggregate stability and rill erodibility to soil electric field. Catena 215.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106338>.

Lone, A.H., Najar, G.R., Ganie, M.A., Sofi, J.A., Ali, T., 2015. Biochar for

Sustainable Soil Health: A Review of Prospects and Concerns. *Pedosphere* 25,  
639-653. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160\(15\)30045-x](http://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160(15)30045-x).

Lu, T., Wang, X., Du, Z., Wu, L., 2021. Impacts of continuous biochar application on  
major carbon fractions in soil profile of North China Plain's cropland: In  
comparison with straw incorporation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 315.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107445>.

Ma, L.J., Lv, X.B., Cao, N., Wang, Z., Zhou, Z.G., Meng, Y.L., 2021a. Alterations of  
soil labile organic carbon fractions and biological properties under different  
residue-management methods with equivalent carbon input. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 161.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103821>.

Ma, R., Hu, F., Liu, J., Zhao, S., 2021b. Evaluating the effect of soil internal forces on  
the stability of natural soil aggregates during vegetation restoration. *J. Soils*  
*Sediments* 21, 3034-3043. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-021-03011-z>.

Ma, R.t., Guo, W., Hu, F.N., Xu, C.Y., Liu, G., Zhao, S.w., Zheng, F.l., 2022. Effects  
of soil internal forces on splash detachment and transport of aggregate fragments  
in Mollisols of Northeast China. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 73.  
<http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13273>.

Meyer, W.L., Arp, P.A., Marsh, M., 1994. Cation exchange capacities of upland soils  
in eastern Canada. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 74, 393-408.  
<http://doi.org/10.4141/cjss94-053>.

Pennell, K.D., Abriola, L.M., Boyd, S.A., 1995. Surface Area of Soil Organic Matter  
Reexamined. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 59, 1012-1018.

<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1995.03615995005900040008x>.  
 Pituello, C., Dal Ferro, N., Francioso, O., Simonetti, G., Berti, A., Piccoli, I., Pisi, A.,  
 Morari, F., 2018. Effects of biochar on the dynamics of aggregate stability in clay  
 and sandy loam soils. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 69, 827-842.  
<http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12676>.  
 Qiu, H., Liu, J., Boorboori, M.R., Li, D., Chen, S., Ma, X., Cheng, P., Zhang, H., 2023.  
 Effect of biochar application rate on changes in soil labile organic carbon  
 fractions and the association between bacterial community assembly and carbon  
 metabolism with time. Sci. Total Environ. 855, 158876.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158876>.  
 Quirk, J.P., 1994. Interparticle Forces: A Basis for the Interpretation of Soil Physical  
 Behavior, Adv. Agron., pp. 121-183.  
 Resurreccion, A.C., Moldrup, P., Tuller, M., Ferré T.P.A., Kawamoto, K., Komatsu, T.,  
 De Jonge, L.W., 2011. Relationship between specific surface area and the dry  
 end of the water retention curve for soils with varying clay and organic carbon  
 contents. Water Resour. Res. 47. <http://doi.org/10.1029/2010wr010229>.  
 Sheng, M.H., Ai, X.Y., Huang, B.C., Zhu, M.K., Liu, Z.Y., Ai, Y.W., 2023. Effects of  
 biochar additions on the mechanical stability of soil aggregates and their role in  
 the dynamic renewal of aggregates in slope ecological restoration. Sci. Total  
 Environ. 898, 165478. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165478>.  
 Siedt, M., Schaffer, A., Smith, K.E.C., Nabel, M., Ross-Nickoll, M., van Dongen, J.T.,  
 2021. Comparing straw, compost, and biochar regarding their suitability as

[agricultural soil amendments to affect soil structure, nutrient leaching, microbial communities, and the fate of pesticides. Sci. Total Environ. 751, 141607.   
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141607>.](#)

[Stevenson, F.J., 1994. Humus chemistry: Genesis, composition, reactions. John Wiley, New York.](#)

[Stewart, C.E., Paustian, K., Conant, R.T., Plante, A.F., Six, J., 2009. Soil carbon saturation: Implications for measurable carbon pool dynamics in long-term incubations. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 357-366.   
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.11.011>.](#)

[Sun, Q., Meng, J., Lan, Y., Shi, G.H., Yang, X., Cao, D.A.Y., Chen, W.F., Han, X.R., 2021. Long-term effects of biochar amendment on soil aggregate stability and biological binding agents in brown earth. Catena 205.   
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105460>.](#)

[Tian, S., Zhu, B., Yin, R., Wang, M., Jiang, Y., Zhang, C., Li, D., Chen, X., Kardol, P., Liu, M., 2022. Organic fertilization promotes crop productivity through changes in soil aggregation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 165.   
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108533>.](#)

[Wander, M.M., Traina, S.J., Stinner, B.R., Peters, S.E., 1994. Organic and Conventional Management Effects on Biologically Active Soil Organic Matter Pools. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 58, 1130-1139.   
<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1994.03615995005800040018x>.](#)

[Wang, J., Fu, X., Sainju, U.M., Zhao, F.Z., 2018. Soil carbon fractions in response to](#)

775 [straw mulching in the Loess Plateau of China. Biol. Fertil. Soils 54, 423-436.](#)  
776 [http://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1271-z.](#)

777 [Wang, K., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, W., Yang, Z., Zhang, Q., Cai, J., Wang, X.,](#)  
778 [2024. Amended soils with weathered coal exhibited greater resistance to](#)  
779 [aggregate breakdown than those with biochar: From the viewpoint of soil](#)  
780 [internal forces. Soil Tillage Res. 244. \[http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2024.106244.\]\(#\)](#)

781 [Wang, L.W., Ok, Y.S., Tsang, D.C.W., Alessi, D.S., Rinklebe, J., Masek, O., Bolan,](#)  
782 [N.S., Hou, D.Y., 2022. Biochar composites: Emerging trends, field successes and](#)  
783 [sustainability implications. Soil Use Manage. 38, 14-38.](#)  
784 [http://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12731.](#)

785 [Wei, Z., Zhao, X., Zhu, C., Xi, B., Zhao, Y., Yu, X., 2014. Assessment of humification](#)  
786 [degree of dissolved organic matter from different composts using fluorescence](#)  
787 [spectroscopy technology. Chemosphere 95, 261-267.](#)  
788 [http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.08.087.](#)

789 [Xu, C.Y., Li, H., Hu, F.N., Li, S., Liu, X.M., Li, Y., 2015. Non - classical polarization](#)  
790 [of cations increases the stability of clay aggregates: specific ion effects on the](#)  
791 [stability of aggregates. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 66, 615-623.](#)  
792 [http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12252.](#)

793 [Yagüe, M.R., Domingo - Olivé, F., Bosch - Serra, À.D., Poch, R.M., Boixadera, J.,](#)  
794 [2016. Dairy Cattle Manure Effects on Soil Quality: Porosity, Earthworms,](#)  
795 [Aggregates and Soil Organic Carbon Fractions. Land Degrad. Dev. 27,](#)  
796 [1753-1762. \[http://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2477.\]\(#\)](#)

Yang, C.D., Lu, S.G., 2021. Effects of five different biochars on aggregation, water retention and mechanical properties of paddy soil: A field experiment of three-season crops. *Soil Tillage Res.* 205. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104798>.

Yang, P., Liu, K., Wang, J., Yang, H., Gao, D., Chen, G., Liu, W., Tong, C., Sun, D., Xu, Y., Tang, K.W., 2026. Shifts in organic carbon fractions, chemical functional groups, and mineralization enhance soil carbon storage after restoring earthen aquaculture ponds to wetlands. *Catena* 272. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2026.110370>.

Yang, X., Meng, J., Lan, Y., Chen, W., Yang, T., Yuan, J., Liu, S., Han, J., 2017. Effects of maize stover and its biochar on soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and labile organic carbon fractions in Northeast China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 240, 24-31. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.001>.

Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Zhang, C., Xin, X., Li, H., 2017. The coupling effects of soil organic matter and particle interaction forces on soil aggregate stability. *Soil Tillage Res.* 174, 251-260. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.08.004>.

Yu, Z., Zheng, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, C., Ma, D., Chen, L., Cai, T., 2020. Importance of soil interparticle forces and organic matter for aggregate stability in a temperate soil and a subtropical soil. *Geoderma* 362. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114088>.

Zhang, H., Zhang, R., Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Gao, Y., Dong, B., Ma, J., 2026a. Biochar amendment coupled with deficit irrigation enhance silage maize yield by

modulating soil physico-chemical properties and enzyme activities. *Industrial Crops and Products* 246. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2026.123354>.

Zhang, L., Chen, X., Liang, A., Huang, D., Huang, W., Liu, Y., Jiao, S., Ye, W., Wang, Y., Wei, B., 2026b. Straw return depth and tillage intensity shape organic carbon fractions and their stability in black soil. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 408. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2026.110478>.

Zhang, Y., Shengzhe, E., Wang, Y.A., Su, S.M., Bai, L.Y., Wu, C.X., Zeng, X.B., 2021. Long-term manure application enhances the stability of aggregates and aggregate-associated carbon by regulating soil physicochemical characteristics. *Catena* 203. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105342>.

Zhang, Y.F., Dou, S., Ndzelu, B.S., Ma, R., Zhang, D.D., Zhang, X.W., Ye, S.F., Wang, H.R., 2022. Effects of returning corn straw and fermented corn straw to fields on the soil organic carbon pools and humus composition. *Soil* 8, 605-619. <http://doi.org/10.5194/soil-8-605-2022>.

Zhao, S.X., Ta, N., Li, Z.H., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, D., Zhang, A., Wang, X.D., 2018. Varying pyrolysis temperature impacts application effects of biochar on soil labile organic carbon and humic fractions. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 123, 484-493. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.007>.

~~An, S.S., Mentler, A., Mayer, H., Blum, W.E.H., 2010. Soil aggregation, aggregate stability, organic carbon and nitrogen in different soil aggregate fractions under forest and shrub vegetation on the Loess Plateau, China. *Catena* 81, 226-233. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2010.04.002>.~~

~~Batista, A.M., Nunes, M.R., Pessoa, T.N., Libardi, P.L., 2023. Seasonal variation of~~  
~~the rhizosphere soil aggregation in an Oxisol. Soil Tillage Res. 231.~~  
~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2023.105741>.~~  
~~Bergström, L., 1997. Hamaker constants of inorganic materials. Adv. Colloid~~  
~~Interface Sci. 70, 125–169. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8686\(97\)00003-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8686(97)00003-1).~~  
~~Blair, G.J., Lefroy, R.D.B., Lisle, L., 1995. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree~~  
~~of oxidation, and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural~~  
~~systems. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 46. <http://doi.org/10.1071/ar9951459>.~~  
~~Bronick, C.J., Lal, R., 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. Geoderma 124,~~  
~~3–22. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.03.005>.~~  
~~Cao, X., Xu, Y., Wang, F., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., 2024. Changes of soil organic carbon~~  
~~and aggregate stability along elevation gradient in Cunninghamia lanceolata~~  
~~plantations. Sci Rep 14, 31778. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-81847-4>.~~  
~~Cao, Z.Y., Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhang, J.J., He, N.P., 2016. Soil organic carbon contents,~~  
~~aggregate stability, and humic acid composition in different alpine grasslands in~~  
~~Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. J Mt. Sci. 13, 2015–2027.~~  
~~<http://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-015-3744-y>.~~  
~~Coleman, D.C., Reid, C.P.P., Cole, C.V., 1983. Biological Strategies of Nutrient~~  
~~Cycling in Soil Systems, Adv. Ecol. Res., pp. 1–55.~~  
~~Cooper, J., Greenberg, I., Ludwig, B., Hippich, L., Fischer, D., Glaser, B., Kaiser, M.,~~  
~~2020. Effect of biochar and compost on soil properties and organic matter in~~  
~~aggregate size fractions under field conditions. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 295.~~

863 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106882>.

864 ~~Culman, S.W., Snapp, S.S., Freeman, M.A., Schipanski, M.E., Beniston, J., Lal, R.,~~  
865 ~~Drinkwater, L.E., Franzluebbers, A.J., Glover, J.D., Grandy, A.S., Lee, J., Six, J.,~~  
866 ~~Maul, J.E., Mirksy, S.B., Spargo, J.T., Wander, M.M., 2012. Permanganate~~  
867 ~~Oxidizable Carbon Reflects a Processed Soil Fraction that is Sensitive to~~  
868 ~~Management. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 76, 494–504.~~  
869 ~~<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2011.0286>.~~

870 ~~Das, B., Chakraborty, D., Singh, V.K., Aggarwal, P., Singh, R., Dwivedi, B.S., Mishra,~~  
871 ~~R.P., 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management practice on soil aggregate~~  
872 ~~properties, its stability and aggregate associated carbon content in an intensive~~  
873 ~~rice wheat system. Soil Tillage Res. 136, 9–18.~~  
874 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2013.09.009>.~~

875 ~~Ding, W., Liu, X., Hu, F., Zhu, H., Luo, Y., Li, S., Li, H., 2019. How the particle~~  
876 ~~interaction forces determine soil water infiltration: Specific ion effects. J. Hydrol.~~  
877 ~~568, 492–500. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.11.017>.~~

878 ~~Du, Z. L., Zhao, J. K., Wang, Y. D., Zhang, Q. Z., 2016. Biochar addition drives soil~~  
879 ~~aggregation and carbon sequestration in aggregate fractions from an intensive~~  
880 ~~agricultural system. J. Soils Sediments 17, 581–589.~~  
881 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-015-1349-2>.~~

882 ~~Feng, Z., Fan, Z., Song, H., Li, K., Lu, H., Liu, Y., Cheng, F., 2021. Biochar induced~~  
883 ~~changes of soil dissolved organic matter: The release and adsorption of dissolved~~  
884 ~~organic matter by biochar and soil. Sci. Total Environ. 783, 147091.~~

885 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147091>.

886 Fu, G.Q., Qiu, X.N., Xu, X.Y., Zhang, W., Zang, F., Zhao, C.Y., 2021. The role of  
887 biochar particle size and application rate in promoting the hydraulic and physical  
888 properties of sandy desert soil. *Catena* 207.  
889 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105607>.

890 Fungo, B., Lehmann, J., Kalbitz, K., Thiongo, M., Okeyo, I., Tenywa, M., Neufeldt,  
891 H., 2017. Aggregate size distribution in a biochar-amended tropical Ultisol under  
892 conventional hand-hoe tillage. *Soil Tillage Res.* 165, 190–197.  
893 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.08.012>.

894 Han, L., Sun, K., Yang, Y., Xia, X., Li, F., Yang, Z., Xing, B., 2020. Biochar's stability  
895 and effect on the content, composition and turnover of soil organic carbon.  
896 *Geoderma* 364. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114184>.

897 Haynes, R.J., 2005. Labile organic matter fractions as central components of the  
898 quality of agricultural soils: An overview, *Adv. Agron.*, pp. 221–268.

899 He, L.L., Zhao, J., Yang, S.M., Zhou, H., Wang, S.Q., Zhao, X., Xing, G.X., 2020.  
900 Successive biochar amendment improves soil productivity and aggregate  
901 microstructure of a red soil in a five-year wheat-millet rotation pot trial.  
902 *Geoderma* 376. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114570>.

903 He, M.R., Jiang, Y., Han, Y.H., Zhu, W.H., Meng, D., Li, C.L., Cai, H.G., Zhang, J.J.,  
904 2023. Rice Straw Biochar is More Beneficial to Soil Organic Carbon  
905 Accumulation and Stabilization than Rice Straw and Rice Straw Ash. *J. Soil Sci.*  
906 *Plant Nutr.* 23, 3023–3033. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-023-01256-w>.

~~He, Y., Yang, M., Huang, R., Wang, Y., Ali, W., 2021. Soil organic matter and clay  
 zeta potential influence aggregation of a clayey red soil (Ultisol) under long term  
 fertilization. Sci Rep 11, 20498. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99769-w>.~~  
~~Hu, F., Xu, C., Li, H., Li, S., Yu, Z., Li, Y., He, X., 2015. Particles interaction forces  
 and their effects on soil aggregates breakdown. Soil Tillage Res. 147, 1-9.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2014.11.006>.~~  
~~Hu, F., Xu, C., Ma, R., Tu, K., Yang, J., Zhao, S., Yang, M., Zhang, F., 2021. Biochar  
 application driven change in soil internal forces improves aggregate stability:  
 Based on a two-year field study. Geoderma 403.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115276>.~~  
~~Huang, R., Tian, D., Liu, J., Lu, S., He, X.H., Gao, M., 2018. Responses of soil  
 carbon pool and soil aggregates associated organic carbon to straw and  
 straw derived biochar addition in a dryland cropping mesocosm system. Agric.  
 Ecosyst. Environ. 265, 576-586. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.07.013>.~~  
~~Janzen, H.H., Campbell, C.A., Brandt, S.A., Lafond, G.P., Townley-Smith, L., 1992.  
 Light-Fraction Organic Matter in Soils from Long-Term Crop Rotations. Soil Sci.  
 Soc. Am. J. 56, 1799-1806.  
<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600060025x>.~~  
~~Ji, D.C., Ge, L.W., Van Zwieten, L., An, T.T., Li, S.Y., Kuzyakov, Y., Ding, F., Wang,  
 J.K., 2024. Contrasting effects of maize straw and its biochar on aggregation and  
 soil organic matter stabilization. Plant Soil 495, 221-233.  
<http://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-06313-y>.~~

929 Jiang, M.H., Li, C.B., Gao, W.C., Cai, K., Tang, Y., Cheng, J.Z., 2022. Comparison of  
 930 long-term effects of biochar application on soil organic carbon and its fractions  
 931 in two ecological sites in karst regions. *Geoderma Reg.* 28.  
 932 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00477>.  
 933 Jien, S.H., Wang, C.S., 2013. Effects of biochar on soil properties and erosion  
 934 potential in a highly weathered soil. *Catena* 110, 225–233.  
 935 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2013.06.021>.  
 936 Li, C.L., Xu, J.B., He, Y.Q., Liu, Y.L., Fan, J.B., 2012. Dynamic Relationship  
 937 Between Biologically Active Soil Organic Carbon and Aggregate Stability in  
 938 Long-Term Organically Fertilized Soils. *Pedosphere* 22, 616–622.  
 939 [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(12\)60046-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(12)60046-0).  
 940 Li, H., Hou, J., Liu, X.M., Li, R., Zhu, H.L., Wu, L.S., 2011. Combined  
 941 Determination of Specific Surface Area and Surface Charge Properties of  
 942 Charged Particles from a Single Experiment. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75, 2128–2135.  
 943 <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0301>.  
 944 Li, H.R., Liu, G., Gu, J., Chen, H., Shi, H.Q., Abd Elbasit, M.A.M., Hu, F.N., 2021.  
 945 Response of soil aggregate disintegration to the different content of organic  
 946 carbon and its fractions during splash erosion. *Hydrol. Process.* 35.  
 947 <http://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14060>.  
 948 Li, Q., Zhang, J., Ye, J., Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Yi, Z., Wang, Y., 2024. Biochar affects  
 949 organic carbon composition and stability in highly acidic tea plantation soil. *J.*  
 950 *Environ. Manage.* 370, 122803. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122803>.

951 ~~Li, S. z., Xu, R. k., 2008. Electrical double layers' interaction between oppositely~~  
 952 ~~charged particles as related to surface charge density and ionic strength. Colloid~~  
 953 ~~Surf. — A Physicochem. — Eng. — Asp. — 326, — 157-161.~~  
 954 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.05.023>.~~  
 955 ~~Li, S., Li, H., Xu, C.Y., Huang, X.R., Xie, D.T., Ni, J.P., 2013. Particle Interaction~~  
 956 ~~Forces Induce Soil Particle Transport during Rainfall. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 77,~~  
 957 ~~1563-1571. <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.01.0009>.~~  
 958 ~~Li, S.Y., Gu, X., Zhuang, J., An, T.T., Pei, J.B., Xie, H.T., Li, H., Fu, S.F., Wang, J.K.,~~  
 959 ~~2016. Distribution and storage of crop residue carbon in aggregates and its~~  
 960 ~~contribution to organic carbon of soil with low fertility. Soil Tillage Res. 155,~~  
 961 ~~199-206. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.08.009>.~~  
 962 ~~Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B.,~~  
 963 ~~Skjemstad, J.O., Thies, J., Luizao, F.J., Petersen, J., Neves, E.G., 2006. Black~~  
 964 ~~Carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70,~~  
 965 ~~1719-1730. <http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0383>.~~  
 966 ~~Liang, Q., Chen, H., Gong, Y., Fan, M., Yang, H., Lal, R., Kuzyakov, Y., 2011. Effects~~  
 967 ~~of 15 years of manure and inorganic fertilizers on soil organic carbon fractions in~~  
 968 ~~a wheat-maize system in the North China Plain. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 92,~~  
 969 ~~21-33. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-011-9469-6>.~~  
 970 ~~Liu, J., Hu, F., Xu, C., Wang, Z., Ma, R., Zhao, S., Liu, G., 2021. Comparison of~~  
 971 ~~different methods for assessing effects of soil interparticle forces on aggregate~~  
 972 ~~stability. Geoderma 385. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114834>.~~

973 ~~Liu, J., Wang, Z., Hu, F., Xu, C., Ma, R., Zhao, S., 2020. Soil organic matter and silt~~  
 974 ~~contents determine soil particle surface electrochemical properties across a~~  
 975 ~~long term natural restoration grassland. Catena 190.~~  
 976 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104526>.~~  
 977 ~~Liu, J.Y., Yang, Y.A., Zheng, Q.W., Su, X.M., Zhou, Z.C., 2022. Response of soil~~  
 978 ~~aggregate stability and rill erodibility to soil electric field. Catena 215.~~  
 979 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106338>.~~  
 980 ~~Lone, A.H., Najar, G.R., Ganie, M.A., Sofi, J.A., Ali, T., 2015. Biochar for~~  
 981 ~~Sustainable Soil Health: A Review of Prospects and Concerns. Pedosphere 25,~~  
 982 ~~639-653. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160\(15\)30045-x](http://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160(15)30045-x).~~  
 983 ~~Lu, T., Wang, X., Du, Z., Wu, L., 2021. Impacts of continuous biochar application on~~  
 984 ~~major carbon fractions in soil profile of North China Plain's cropland: In~~  
 985 ~~comparison with straw incorporation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 315.~~  
 986 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107445>.~~  
 987 ~~Luo, C., Yang, J., Chen, W., Han, F., 2020. Effect of biochar on soil properties on the~~  
 988 ~~Loess Plateau: Results from field experiments. Geoderma 369.~~  
 989 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114323>.~~  
 990 ~~Ma, L.J., Lv, X.B., Cao, N., Wang, Z., Zhou, Z.G., Meng, Y.L., 2021a. Alterations of~~  
 991 ~~soil labile organic carbon fractions and biological properties under different~~  
 992 ~~residue management methods with equivalent carbon input. Appl. Soil Ecol. 161.~~  
 993 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103821>.~~  
 994 ~~Ma, N., Zhang, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., Yu, C., Yin, G., Doane, T.A., Wu, Z., Zhu, P.,~~

Ma, X., 2016. Biochar Improves Soil Aggregate Stability and Water Availability in a Mollisol after Three Years of Field Application. *PLoS One* 11, e0154091. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154091>.

Ma, R., Hu, F., Liu, J., Zhao, S., 2021b. Evaluating the effect of soil internal forces on the stability of natural soil aggregates during vegetation restoration. *J. Soils Sediments* 21, 3034–3043. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-021-03011-z>.

Ma, R.t., Guo, W., Hu, F.N., Xu, C.Y., Liu, G., Zhao, S.w., Zheng, F.l., 2022. Effects of soil internal forces on splash detachment and transport of aggregate fragments in Mollisols of Northeast China. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 73. <http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13273>.

Magill, A.H., Aber, J.D., 2000. Variation in soil net mineralization rates with dissolved organic carbon additions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 597–601. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717\(99\)00186-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(99)00186-8).

Meyer, W.L., Arp, P.A., Marsh, M., 1994. Cation exchange capacities of upland soils in eastern Canada. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 74, 393–408. <http://doi.org/10.4141/cjss94-053>.

Okebalama, C.B., Marschner, B., 2023. Reapplication of biochar, sewage waste water, and NPK fertilizers affects soil fertility, aggregate stability, and carbon and nitrogen in dry stable aggregates of semi arid soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 866, 161203. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161203>.

Pennell, K.D., Abriola, L.M., Boyd, S.A., 1995. Surface Area of Soil Organic Matter Reexamined. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 59, 1012–1018.

<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1995.03615995005900040008x>.  
 Pituello, C., Dal Ferro, N., Francioso, O., Simonetti, G., Berti, A., Piccoli, I., Pisi, A.,  
 Morari, F., 2018. Effects of biochar on the dynamics of aggregate stability in clay  
 and sandy loam soils. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 69, 827-842.  
<http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12676>.  
 Qiu, H., Liu, J., Boorboori, M.R., Li, D., Chen, S., Ma, X., Cheng, P., Zhang, H., 2023.  
 Effect of biochar application rate on changes in soil labile organic carbon  
 fractions and the association between bacterial community assembly and carbon  
 metabolism with time. *Sci. Total Environ.* 855, 158876.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158876>.  
 Quirk, J.P., 1994. Interparticle Forces: A Basis for the Interpretation of Soil Physical  
 Behavior, *Adv. Agron.*, pp. 121-183.  
 Rabot, E., Wiesmeier, M., Schlüter, S., Vogel, H.J., 2018. Soil structure as an indicator  
 of soil functions: A review. *Geoderma* 314, 122-137.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.009>.  
 Resurreccion, A.C., Moldrup, P., Tuller, M., Ferré T.P.A., Kawamoto, K., Komatsu, T.,  
 De Jonge, L.W., 2011. Relationship between specific surface area and the dry  
 end of the water retention curve for soils with varying clay and organic carbon  
 contents. *Water Resour. Res.* 47. <http://doi.org/10.1029/2010wr010229>.  
 Sheng, M.H., Ai, X.Y., Huang, B.C., Zhu, M.K., Liu, Z.Y., Ai, Y.W., 2023. Effects of  
 biochar additions on the mechanical stability of soil aggregates and their role in  
 the dynamic renewal of aggregates in slope ecological restoration. *Sci. Total*

1039 ~~Environ. 898, 165478. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165478>.~~  
 1040 ~~Siedt, M., Schaffer, A., Smith, K.E.C., Nabel, M., Ross Nickoll, M., van Dongen, J.T.,~~  
 1041 ~~2021. Comparing straw, compost, and biochar regarding their suitability as~~  
 1042 ~~agricultural soil amendments to affect soil structure, nutrient leaching, microbial~~  
 1043 ~~communities, and the fate of pesticides. Sci. Total Environ. 751, 141607.~~  
 1044 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141607>.~~  
 1045 ~~Šimanský, V., Igaz, D., Horák, J., Šurda, P., Kolenčík, M., Buchkina, N.P., Uzarowicz,~~  
 1046 ~~Ł., Juriga, M., Šrank, D., Pauková, Ž., 2018. Response of soil organic carbon and~~  
 1047 ~~water stable aggregates to different biochar treatments including nitrogen~~  
 1048 ~~fertilization. J. Hydrol. Hydromech. 66, 429–436.~~  
 1049 ~~<http://doi.org/10.2478/johh-2018-0033>.~~  
 1050 ~~Stevenson, F.J., 1994. Humus chemistry: Genesis, composition, reactions. John Wiley,~~  
 1051 ~~New York.~~  
 1052 ~~Stewart, C.E., Paustian, K., Conant, R.T., Plante, A.F., Six, J., 2009. Soil carbon~~  
 1053 ~~saturation: Implications for measurable carbon pool dynamics in long-term~~  
 1054 ~~incubations. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 357–366.~~  
 1055 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.11.011>.~~  
 1056 ~~Sun, Q., Meng, J., Lan, Y., Shi, G.H., Yang, X., Cao, D.A.Y., Chen, W.F., Han, X.R.,~~  
 1057 ~~2021. Long-term effects of biochar amendment on soil aggregate stability and~~  
 1058 ~~biological binding agents in brown earth. Catena 205.~~  
 1059 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105460>.~~  
 1060 ~~Tian, S., Zhu, B., Yin, R., Wang, M., Jiang, Y., Zhang, C., Li, D., Chen, X., Kardol, P.,~~

1061 ~~Liu, M., 2022. Organic fertilization promotes crop productivity through changes~~  
 1062 ~~in soil aggregation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 165.~~  
 1063 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108533>.~~  
 1064 ~~Fuller, M., Or, D., 2005. Water films and scaling of soil characteristic curves at low~~  
 1065 ~~water contents. Water Resour. Res. 41. <http://doi.org/10.1029/2005wr004142>.~~  
 1066 ~~Uchenna, O.S., Igbozurike, C.I., Nwachukwu, P.S., 2023. Biochar Application: An~~  
 1067 ~~effective Measure in Improving the Fertility Status, Carbon Stock and Aggregate~~  
 1068 ~~Stability of Eroded Soil. Turk. J. Agric. 11, 1276-1284.~~  
 1069 ~~<http://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v11i8.1276-1284.5655>.~~  
 1070 ~~Wander, M.M., Traina, S.J., Stinner, B.R., Peters, S.E., 1994. Organic and~~  
 1071 ~~Conventional Management Effects on Biologically Active Soil Organic Matter~~  
 1072 ~~Pools. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 58, 1130-1139.~~  
 1073 ~~<http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1994.03615995005800040018x>.~~  
 1074 ~~Wang, D., Fonte, S.J., Parikh, S.J., Six, J., Scow, K.M., 2017. Biochar additions can~~  
 1075 ~~enhance soil structure and the physical stabilization of C in aggregates.~~  
 1076 ~~Geoderma 303, 110-117. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.05.027>.~~  
 1077 ~~Wang, J., Fu, X., Sainju, U.M., Zhao, F.Z., 2018. Soil carbon fractions in response to~~  
 1078 ~~straw mulching in the Loess Plateau of China. Biol. Fertil. Soils 54, 423-436.~~  
 1079 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1271-z>.~~  
 1080 ~~Wang, K., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, W., Yang, Z., Zhang, Q., Cai, J., Wang, X.,~~  
 1081 ~~2024. Amended soils with weathered coal exhibited greater resistance to~~  
 1082 ~~aggregate breakdown than those with biochar: From the viewpoint of soil~~

1083 ~~internal forces. Soil Tillage Res. 244. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2024.106244>.~~

1084 ~~Wang, L.W., Ok, Y.S., Tsang, D.C.W., Alessi, D.S., Rinklebe, J., Masek, O., Bolan,~~

1085 ~~N.S., Hou, D.Y., 2022. Biochar composites: Emerging trends, field successes and~~

1086 ~~sustainability—implications. Soil Use Manage. 38, 14–38.~~

1087 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12731>.~~

1088 ~~Wei, Z., Zhao, X., Zhu, C., Xi, B., Zhao, Y., Yu, X., 2014. Assessment of humification~~

1089 ~~degree of dissolved organic matter from different composts using fluorescence~~

1090 ~~spectroscopy—technology. Chemosphere 95, 261–267.~~

1091 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.08.087>.~~

1092 ~~Xu, C.Y., Li, H., Hu, F.N., Li, S., Liu, X.M., Li, Y., 2015. Non-classical polarization~~

1093 ~~of cations increases the stability of clay aggregates: specific ion effects on the~~

1094 ~~stability of aggregates. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 66, 615–623.~~

1095 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12252>.~~

1096 ~~Yagüe, M.R., Domingo-Olivé, F., Bosch-Serra, À.D., Poch, R.M., Boixadera, J., 2016.~~

1097 ~~Dairy Cattle Manure Effects on Soil Quality: Porosity, Earthworms, Aggregates~~

1098 ~~and Soil Organic Carbon Fractions. Land Degrad. Dev. 27, 1753–1762.~~

1099 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2477>.~~

1100 ~~Yang, C.D., Lu, S.G., 2021. Effects of five different biochars on aggregation, water~~

1101 ~~retention and mechanical properties of paddy soil: A field experiment of~~

1102 ~~three-season crops. Soil Tillage Res. 205.~~

1103 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104798>.~~

1104 ~~Yang, X., Meng, J., Lan, Y., Chen, W., Yang, T., Yuan, J., Liu, S., Han, J., 2017.~~

1105 ~~Effects of maize stover and its biochar on soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and labile organic~~  
 1106 ~~carbon fractions in Northeast China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 240, 24–31.~~  
 1107 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.001>.~~  
 1108 ~~Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Zhang, C., Xin, X., Li, H., 2017. The coupling effects of soil~~  
 1109 ~~organic matter and particle interaction forces on soil aggregate stability. Soil~~  
 1110 ~~Tillage Res. 174, 251–260. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.08.004>.~~  
 1111 ~~Yu, Z., Zheng, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, C., Ma, D., Chen, L., Cai, T., 2020. Importance of~~  
 1112 ~~soil interparticle forces and organic matter for aggregate stability in a temperate~~  
 1113 ~~soil and a subtropical soil. Geoderma 362.~~  
 1114 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114088>.~~  
 1115 ~~Zhang, Q.Q., Song, Y.F., Wu, Z., Yan, X.Y., Gunina, A., Kuzyakov, Y., Xiong, Z.Q.,~~  
 1116 ~~2020. Effects of six year biochar amendment on soil aggregation, crop growth,~~  
 1117 ~~and nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies in a rice-wheat rotation. J. Clean~~  
 1118 ~~Prod. 242. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118435>.~~  
 1119 ~~Zhang, Q.Z., Du, Z.L., Lou, Y.L., He, X.H., 2015. A one year short term biochar~~  
 1120 ~~application improved carbon accumulation in large macroaggregate fractions.~~  
 1121 ~~Catena 127, 26–31. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2014.12.009>.~~  
 1122 ~~Zhang, Y., Shengzhe, E., Wang, Y.A., Su, S.M., Bai, L.Y., Wu, C.X., Zeng, X.B., 2021.~~  
 1123 ~~Long term manure application enhances the stability of aggregates and~~  
 1124 ~~aggregate-associated carbon by regulating soil physicochemical characteristics.~~  
 1125 ~~Catena 203. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105342>.~~  
 1126 ~~Zhang, Y.F., Dou, S., Ndzelu, B.S., Ma, R., Zhang, D.D., Zhang, X.W., Ye, S.F., Wang,~~

1127 ~~H.R., 2022. Effects of returning corn straw and fermented corn straw to fields on~~  
1128 ~~the soil organic carbon pools and humus composition. Soil 8, 605-619.~~  
1129 ~~<http://doi.org/10.5194/soil-8-605-2022>.~~  
1130 ~~Zhao, S.X., Ta, N., Li, Z.H., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, D., Zhang, A., Wang, X.D.,~~  
1131 ~~2018. Varying pyrolysis temperature impacts application effects of biochar on~~  
1132 ~~soil labile organic carbon and humic fractions. Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 484-493.~~  
1133 ~~<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.007>.~~  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148

1149

**Table 1** Basic physicochemical properties of the soil

| pH   | Bulk<br>density<br>(g cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Organic<br>carbon<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total<br>nitrogen<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Available<br>phosphorus<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Available<br>potassium<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 7.53 | 1.33                                    | 9.02                                       | 0.82                                       | 20.79                                             | 165.52                                           |

1150

1151

1152

**Table 2** Basic properties of biochar

| Biochar | pH   | C (%) | H (%) | O (%) | N (%) | H/C  | O/C  | SSA (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ash (%) |
|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Maize   | 6.30 | 32.67 | 0.92  | 11.72 | 0.48  | 0.03 | 0.36 | 14.48                                 | 7.51    |
| Wheat   | 6.08 | 76.10 | 2.18  | 24.21 | 0.67  | 0.03 | 0.32 | 15.17                                 | 3.52    |

1153

1154

**Table 3** Soil electrochemical properties under biochar treatment for 180 days

| Treatment | SCN (cmol(c) kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | SSA (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | σ <sub>0</sub> (c m <sup>-2</sup> ) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CK        | 13.12±0.36e                     | 32.23±2.42c                           | 0.39±0.04a                          |
| M1        | 16.18±0.72d                     | 40.63±7.67bc                          | 0.39±0.08a                          |
| M3        | 17.50±0.14c                     | 45.69±3.56b                           | 0.37±0.03abc                        |
| M5        | 18.35±0.08b                     | 49.94±0.57b                           | 0.35±0.01abc                        |
| M10       | 18.72±0.03ab                    | 61.58±7.24a                           | 0.30±0.03c                          |
| W1        | 16.67±0.36d                     | 42.26±7.04b                           | 0.39±0.07ab                         |
| W3        | 17.50±0.09c                     | 45.70±3.39b                           | 0.37±0.03abc                        |
| W5        | 18.29±0.40b                     | 47.85±5.43b                           | 0.37±0.05abc                        |
| W10       | 19.21±0.16a                     | 61.73±7.40a                           | 0.30±0.04bc                         |

Note: M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar; CEC: cation exchange capacity, SSA: specific surface area, σ<sub>0</sub>: surface charge density; Values are means ± stand deviation (n = 3).

**Table 4** Redundancy analysis of soil surface electrochemical properties and active carbon fractions after 180 and 365 days.


|       | Soil active carbon fractions | Explanation rate (%) | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> |
|-------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| 180 d | ROC                          | 63.6                 | 43.7     | 0.002    |
|       | DOC                          | 1.7                  | 1.2      | 0.322    |
|       | LFOC                         | 1.5                  | 1        | 0.37     |
|       | POC                          | 1.7                  | 1.2      | 0.348    |
| 365 d | POC                          | 66.1                 | 48.7     | 0.002    |
|       | DOC                          | 0.5                  | 0.4      | 0.614    |
|       | LFOC                         | 0.4                  | 0.3      | 0.762    |
|       | ROC                          | 0.1                  | 0.1      | 0.878    |

Note: ROC: readily oxidized organic carbon; DOC: dissolved organic carbon; LFOC: Light fraction organic carbon; POC: particulate organic carbon.


**Table 5** Changes in soil Hamaker constant under different treatments after 180 and 365 days.

| Treatment | Hamaker (J) 180 d                               | $R^2$ | Hamaker (J) 365 d                                         | $R^2$ |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| CK        | $4.78 \times 10^{-20} \pm 5.91 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.82  | $4.79 \times 10^{-20} \pm 4.63 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.90  |
| M1        | $6.01 \times 10^{-20} \pm 6.05 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.82  | $8.89 \times 10^{-20} \pm 7.27 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.85  |
| M3        | $6.08 \times 10^{-20} \pm 7.99 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.73  | $9.47 \times 10^{-20} \pm 8.29 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.83  |
| M5        | $6.06 \times 10^{-20} \pm 7.71 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.78  | $9.78 \times 10^{-20} \pm 6.28 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.91  |
| M10       | $6.15 \times 10^{-20} \pm 6.90 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.78  | $10.60 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-20} \pm 3.44 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.98  |
| W1        | $4.96 \times 10^{-20} \pm 5.95 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.81  | $7.38 \times 10^{-20} \pm 7.57 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.75  |
| W3        | $5.02 \times 10^{-20} \pm 6.67 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.75  | $8.39 \times 10^{-20} \pm 7.80 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.79  |
| W5        | $5.19 \times 10^{-20} \pm 6.08 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.82  | $8.73 \times 10^{-20} \pm 3.78 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.95  |
| W10       | $5.22 \times 10^{-20} \pm 5.55 \times 10^{-21}$ | 0.84  | $8.85 \times 10^{-20} \pm 2.69 \times 10^{-21}$           | 0.98  |


Note: M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar; Values are means  $\pm$  stand deviation (n = 3).




**Fig. 1.** Experimental plot design. Note: W is wheat straw biochar and M is maize straw biochar; 0, 1, 3, 5, and 10 represent 0%, 1%, 3%, 5%, and 10% biochar additions, respectively; A, B, and C represent three replicates, respectively.




**Fig. 2.** Effect of biochar addition on soil active organic carbon fractions after 180 days. Note: M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar.



**Fig. 3.** Pearson correlation analysis between soil active carbon fractions and soil electrochemical properties after 180 and 365 days. Note: \* indicates significant correlation at the 0.05 level; \*\* indicates significant correlation at the 0.01 level; and \*\*\* indicates significant correlation at the 0.001 level.




**Fig. 4.** Redundancy analysis of soil active carbon fractions and soil electrochemical properties after 180 and 365 days.




**Fig. 5.** Electrostatic repulsive pressure between soil particles at an interparticle distance of 2 nm under different electrolyte concentrations after 180 and 365 days.


**Note:** M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar.




**Fig. 6.** Distributions of van der Waals attractive pressure and surface hydration repulsive pressure under biochar addition after 180 and 365 days. Note: M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar.



**Fig. 7.** Net pressure of soil particles at 2 nm for different electrolyte concentrations under biochar addition after 180 and 365 days. Note: M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar.



**Fig. 8.** Soil aggregate breaking strength (< 5 μm, < 10 μm) at different electrolyte concentrations under biochar addition after 180 days. **Note:** M1, M3, M5, M10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% maize straw biochar; W1, W3, W5, W10, add 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% wheat straw biochar.



**Fig. 9.** Relationships between net interparticle pressure at a separation distance of 2 nm and soil aggregate breaking strength (SABS) for the < 5 μm and < 10 μm particle fractions under biochar addition after 180 and 365 days. Note: Panels (a) and (b) represent maize straw biochar and wheat straw biochar treatments after 180 days, respectively, while panels (c) and (d) represent the corresponding treatments after 365 days. M1, M3, M5, and M10 indicate the addition of 1%, 3%, 5%, and 10% maize straw biochar, respectively; W1, W3, W5, and W10 indicate the addition of 1%, 3%, 5%, and 10% wheat straw biochar, respectively. Blue and black lines indicate the fitting curves for the < 5 μm and < 10 μm particle fractions, respectively.