Response to Editor

Thank you very much for your editorial guidance of our submitted manuscript. Below I have included further details of changes made in response to your comments. Review comments are in blue, our responses are noted in black.

Sincerely,
Kyle Hinson

Comments to the Editor

RC: ***I may be reading it incorrectly, but the repository appears to contain a github link to your analysis and plotting scripts rather than the scripts themselves. For both the benefit of your readers and for your own future clarity, can you please add the versions of the scripts used in your manuscript to the repository?***

RC: ***The description of your Zenodo repository is rather terse and, while mentioning some zipfiles, overlooks most others. A slightly more expansive README that takes some of the guesswork out of understanding the repository would be appreciated.***

AR: Thanks for your comments on this, and my apologies for the confusion. The zenodo repository actually previously had both a link to the github meta-repository containing analysis notebooks and model code, as well as a zipped folder with the contents of the github repository (previously named "Ocean-Model-Comparison-main.zip"). I have updated the zenodo repository to a new version (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23370806) that has an expanded README description specifying in more detail the locations of the output files, model code, and analysis scripts within the zenodo repository. Creating a new version of this repository has also modified the DOI, which I have updated within the manuscript document in the data availability section and references. I have also updated the bibliographic reference to Schlichting et al. (2026) now that it has been published.

References