

We thank the reviewers for their careful reading and positive assessment of the revised manuscript. We appreciate the remaining suggestions, which helped us improve the placement and accessibility of the summary tables, correct the Todesco et al. (2022) references, and address minor typographical and editorial issues. Below, we respond to each comment individually.

Response to Reviewer 1:

1. I suggest reconsidering the position of the three tables. Each table should preferably be positioned at the end of the paragraph in which it is first introduced, with an explicit reference to the table added where appropriate, or immediately after the opening sentence of the relevant paragraph.

1.1. Thank you for this suggestion. We repositioned each table as recommended: Table 1 now appears after the opening discussion of evaluation in the Formal Education section, Table 2 appears after the opening paragraph of the Approaches section, and Table 3 appears after the opening sentence of the Tools section. We also added explicit in-text references to Tables 1–3 at these locations.

2. In the References, Todesco et al. (2022a) and Todesco et al. (2022b) are the same paper. Please replace the latter with the paper listed in Table 2 and check this reference in the text.

2.1. We corrected the duplicate reference by replacing it with the appropriate Todesco et al. (2022) article from *Frontiers in Earth Science*. We also reviewed the associated in-text citations throughout the manuscript and updated the 2022a and 2022b designations to ensure that each citation corresponds to the correct paper.

3. Finally, I recommend a careful reading of the manuscript, as a few minor typographical and editorial errors still appear to be present.

3.1. We carefully proofread the manuscript and corrected the remaining typographical, grammatical, citation-formatting, and editorial inconsistencies throughout. These are reflected in the tracked changes document.