

Authors response to editor and associate editor

Editor:

Comments to the author:

Dear Peter

your paper is now accepted for publication in GEOCHRONOLOGY, pending some minor technical corrections.

Please check the suggestions provided by the handling Editor.

I have a few additional ones.

Consider using "Modeling" rather than the archaic word "Modellization".

Figure 2 and all following. instead of e+06 use 10^6 . with the 6 as exponent. Check all such occurrences.

Fig 7d. legend covers text, adjust

Fig 9. instead of deg C use. °C, user proper physical units (SI or SI-derived)

Author:

Thanks! All these technical corrections have been made.

Associate editor:

Public justification (visible to the public if the article is accepted and published):

Two reviewers have confirmed that all review comments have been properly addressed, and both recommend "Publish as is." Therefore, I suggest accepting the manuscript once the following minor technical corrections have been addressed.

Technical corrections:

L62: Cumulation --> Accumulation

L63-64: the deconvolution technique use --> the deconvolution technique uses

L99: A synthetic normalized histograms --> A synthetic normalized histogram

L104: histogram E and F --> histograms E and F

L164: chosen so large that that --> chosen so large that

L276: peeks --> peaks

L289: c- axis --> c-axis

Author:

All these technical corrections have been implemented. In addition, a printing error in Eq. (9) is corrected.