Supplementary Information

Sodium Thiosulfate-Coated Ceramic Denuders for Ozone Removal in Ultrafine Particle Sampling: Performance from Laboratory to Field

Elisabeth Eckenberger[1], Andreas Mittereder[2], Nadine Gawlitta[3,4], Martin Sklorz[3,4], Dieter Brüggemann[2], Ralf Zimmermann[3,4], Anke C Nölscher[1*]

[1] Bayreuth Center of Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER), University of Bayreuth, Germany

[2] Department of Engineering Thermodynamics and Transport Processes, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany

[3] Joint Mass Spectrometry Centre (JMSC) at Comprehensive Molecular Analytics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

[4] JMSC at Chair of Analytical Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

* now at: Institute of Climate and Energy Systems, ICE-3: Troposphere, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany, and Institute of Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Correspondence to: Elisabeth Eckenberger (Elisabeth.eckenberger@uni-bayreuth.de), Anke C. Nölscher (a.noelscher@fz-juelich.de)

Table S1: Specifications regarding the different marker compounds that were analyzed and chosen for this study.

Parameter	HPLC-MS neg	HPLC-MS pos	HPLC-FLD
Analytical column	Gemini 5u C18 110A (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm)	Gemini 5u C18 110A (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm)	EC 125/4 Nucleosil 100-5 C18 HD (125 mm x 4 mm, 5 µm)
Column temperature	40 °C	30 °C	30 °C
Injection volume	20 µL	20 µL	25 µL
Autosampler temperature	–	–	-5 °C
Flow rate	0.5 mL/min	0.3 - 0.5 mL/min	1 mL/min
Gradient	**A) 80% ACN, B) 4 mM HCOOH**	**A) 80%MeOH, B) 4 mM HCOOH**	**A) ACN, B) H_2O (Milli-pore)**
	0 min 5% A	0 min 50% A	0 min 60% A
	1 min 5% A	3 min 80% A	5 min 70% A
	18 min 50% A	12 min 100% A	8 min 70% A
	21 min 100% A	18 min 90% A	12 min 80% A
	29 min 100% A	20 min 50% A	15 min 80% A
	31 min 5% A	25 min 75% A	19 min 90% A
			22 min 60% A
Detector	MSD	MSD	FLD
	Time ESI(-)-m/z-ions	**Time ESI(+)-m/z-ions**	**Time λ_{ex} / λ_{em} [nm]**
	0 min 207	0 min 212, 227, 269	0 min 259 / 386
	8 min 111, 157, 171, 185	12 min 257, 261, 299	3.3 min 242 / 388
	18 min 121, 135, 183		5.8 min 250 / 370
	25 min 193, 217		7.5 min 270 / 390
			13 min 290 / 430

695

700 **Table S2: Specifications regarding the different marker compounds that were analyzed and chosen for this study.**

Marker	Method	Recovery	LOD_{Air} [pg/m³] 21.6 m³	External standard calculation	
				Response factor [AU/µg/L]	R^2
POA	HPLC-MS neg	101±6%	268.06	1833	0.98
PA	HPLC-MS neg	84±6%	373.14	4415	0.99
TA	HPLC-MS neg	85±6%,	268.06	3352	0.99
TPA	HPLC-MS neg	96±6%,	343.52	2781	0.99
6PPD	HPLC-MS pos	75±7%	20.09	26766	0.98
6PPDq	HPLC-MS pos	81±7%	24.54	21767	0.99
BaP	HPLC-FLD/UV	78±5%	3.245	10.12	1.00
BbF	HPLC-FLD/UV	74±4%	4.025	12.15	1.00
IcdP	HPLC-FLD/UV	70±4%	3.052	3.29	1.00
Sum Chry BaA	HPLC-FLD/UV	97±5%	2.855	9.68	1.00
BkF	HPLC-FLD/UV	89±6%	2.679	11.80	1.00



Figure S1 Comparison of PAH concentrations between Channel A and Channel B without the deployment of the TSOD. Regression slopes (s) and coefficients of determination (R^2) indicate high inter-channel agreement in the absence of O_3 removal.