

HyperGas 1.0: A Python package for analyzing hyperspectral data for greenhouse gases from retrieval to emission rate quantification

Xin Zhang¹, Joannes D. Maasakkers¹, Tobias A. de Jong¹, Paul Tol¹, Frances Reuland², Adam R. Brandt², Eric A. Kort³, Taylor J. Adams³, and Ilse Aben^{1,4}

¹SRON Space Research Organisation Netherlands, Leiden, 2333 CA, The Netherlands

²Department of Energy Science & Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United States

³Department of Climate & Space Sciences & Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

⁴Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1081 HV, The Netherlands

Correspondence: Xin Zhang (xin.zhang@sron.nl)

We thank the editor for careful reading of our article. The individual corrections suggested are addressed below. The editor's comments will be shown in ***black italic***, our response in **normal font**, and changes made to the paper are shown in **blue** block quotes. Unless otherwise indicated, page and line numbers correspond to the original manuscript, while reference numbers follow the new order.

Contents

1	Editor Comments	2
	References	5

1 Editor Comments

(1) Please place the in text URLs (e.g., Line 41) with in text citations (see <https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission.html>

Thank you for the suggestion. We have replaced all text URLs by references.

Existing open-source tools, such as `maglc` (Foote et al., 2020) and `emit-ghg` (Brodrick et al., 2026), are restricted to a single HSI or data format, while frameworks such as `ddeq` (Kuhlmann et al., 2024) focus mainly on source quantification rather than a complete end-to-end workflow.

For PRISMA, the L1 data are obtained from the PRISMA Portal (Italian Space Agency, 2026); for EnMAP, these come from the EOWEB GeoPortal (German Aerospace Center (DLR), 2026); and for EMIT, users can download L1 data from NASA Earthdata (Green, 2022).

HyperGas also supports two other datasets available through the cartopy feature interface (Elson et al., 2024): the Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography (GSHHG) database and the 10-m Natural Earth dataset.

The algorithm is applied through the *Spectral Python (SPy)* package (Boggs et al., 2022), which supports the matched filter method.

(2) Line 83: Please explain what is meant by "'`xarray.DataArray(bands, y, x)`' format" as it may not be clear to readers.

We have added the dimension definitions.

Because HSI file formats vary across different products, we have integrated multiple HSI readers into another Python package named *Satpy* (Raspaud et al., 2025), ensuring a standardized data loading interface that returns a **three-dimensional data array (`xarray.DataArray`) organized as (bands, y, x), where the first dimension represents spectral bands and the remaining two represent spatial coordinates (Hoyer and Hamman, 2017).**

(3) Please correctly punctuate the equations (see e.g., <https://www2.math.uconn.edu/khlee/math2784s10/writingtips.pdf>).

Thank you for the link of writing tips. We have corrected several expressions and added "period" or "comma" at the end of lines.

The modeled spectrum affected by the gas absorption (x_m) is defined according to the Beer-Lambert law:

$$x_m = x_r e^{-k \Delta X}, \tag{1}$$

where x_r is the reference spectrum and k is a unit absorption spectrum.

....

The radiance spectrum (L) considers two scenarios: a null hypothesis H_0 representing background conditions, and an alternative hypothesis H_1 indicating the presence of enhanced gas concentrations (Thompson et al., 2015).

$$H_0 : L \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma); \quad H_1 : L \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \Delta X t, \Sigma). \quad (2)$$

....

The optimal estimate of the enhancement factor ΔX is obtained through maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\Delta X = \frac{(t - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (L - \mu)}{(t - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (t - \mu)}. \quad (3)$$

...

Therefore, *HyperGas* provides the lognormal matched filter method which applies logarithms to both sides of Eq. (1):

$$\ln(x_m) = \ln(x_r) - k \Delta X. \quad (4)$$

...

Then the optimal estimate of ΔX is derived as below:

$$\Delta X = \frac{(k - \tilde{\mu})^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} (\tilde{L} - \tilde{\mu})}{(k - \tilde{\mu})^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} (k - \tilde{\mu})}, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the mean log background radiance, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is the covariance matrix of the log background radiance, and $\tilde{L}$ is the log radiance spectrum.

...

We apply the IME method as the default method to estimate gas emission rates (Q in kg h^{-1}):

$$Q = \frac{\text{IME} \cdot U_{\text{eff,IME}}}{L}, \quad (6)$$

where IME is the total gas mass enhancement (kg) within the plume mask, L (m) is the square root of the plume area, and $U_{\text{eff,IME}}$ is the effective wind speed (m/s).

...

The column mass enhancement $\Delta\Omega$ is derived from ΔX :

$$\Delta\Omega = \frac{M_X}{M_a} \Omega_a \Delta X, \quad (7)$$

where M_X and M_a are the molar masses (kg mol^{-1}) of gas X and dry air ($28.96 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$), and Ω_a is the column of dry air (kg m^{-2}).

...

Here, the source rate is estimated as the product of the cross-plume gas enhancement integral and a different effective wind speed ($U_{\text{eff,CSF}}$):

$$Q = U_{\text{eff,CSF}} \int_a^b \Delta\Omega(x, y) dy. \quad (8)$$

...

References

- Boggs, T., March, D., kormang, McGibbney, L. J., Magimel, F., Mason, G., Banman, K., Uzumaki, M. J. L., Kumar, R., Badger, T. G., Aarnio, T., Wang, W., and kidpixo: Spectralpython/Spectral: Spectral Python (Spy) 0.23.1, Zenodo, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7135091>, 2022.
- Brodrick, P., winstonolson, jfahlen, Chlus, A., and Thompson, D. R.: Emit-Sds/Emit-Ghg: V0.3.8, Zenodo, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18379923>, 2026.
- Elson, P., de Andrade, E. S., Lucas, G., May, R., Hattersley, R., Campbell, E., Comer, R., Dawson, A., Little, B., Raynaud, S., scmc72, Snow, A. D., Igolston, Blay, B., Killick, P., Ildreyer, Peglar, P., Wilson, N., Andrew, Szymaniak, J., Berchet, A., Bosley, C., Davis, L., Filipe, Krasting, J., Bradbury, M., stephenworsley, and Kirkham, D.: SciTools/Cartopy: REL: V0.24.1, Zenodo, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13905945>, 2024.
- Foote, M. D., Dennison, P. E., Thorpe, A. K., Thompson, D. R., Jongaramrungruang, S., Frankenberg, C., and Joshi, S. C.: Fast and Accurate Retrieval of Methane Concentration From Imaging Spectrometer Data Using Sparsity Prior, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 58, 6480–6492, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2020.2976888>, 2020.
- German Aerospace Center (DLR): EOWEB GeoPortal, <https://eoweb.dlr.de/>, 2026.
- Green, R.: EMIT L1B At-Sensor Calibrated Radiance and Geolocation Data 60 m V001, <https://doi.org/10.5067/EMIT/EMITL1BRAD.001>, 2022.
- Hoyer, S. and Hamman, J. J.: Xarray: N-D Labeled Arrays and Datasets in Python, *J. Open Res. Softw.*, 5, 10, <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>, 2017.
- Italian Space Agency: PRISMA Portal, <https://prisma.asi.it/>, 2026.
- Kuhlmann, G., Koene, E., Meier, S., Santaren, D., Broquet, G., Chevallier, F., Hakkarainen, J., Nurmela, J., Amorós, L., Tamminen, J., and Brunner, D.: The *Ddeg* Python Library for Point Source Quantification from Remote Sensing Images (Version 1.0), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 17, 4773–4789, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-4773-2024>, 2024.
- Raspaud, M., Hoese, D., Lahtinen, P., Holl, G., Proud, S., Finkensieper, S., Meraner, A., Dybbroe, A., Strandgren, J., yukaribbba, Lahtinen, P., Feltz, J., BENR0, Joro, S., Zhang, X., de Buyl, P., Youva, Ghiggi, G., Roberts, W., Rasmussen, L. Ø., ClementLaplace, Samain, O., mherbertson, Zhu, Y., Méndez, J. H. B., Isotr0py, seenno, rdaruwala, and bkremmli: Pytroll/Satpy: Version 0.59.0 (2025/11/07), Zenodo, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17552484>, 2025.
- Thompson, D. R., Leifer, I., Bovensmann, H., Eastwood, M., Fladeland, M., Frankenberg, C., Gerilowski, K., Green, R. O., Kratwurst, S., Krings, T., Luna, B., and Thorpe, A. K.: Real-Time Remote Detection and Measurement for Airborne Imaging Spectroscopy: A Case Study with Methane, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 4383–4397, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-4383-2015>, 2015.