

Dear authors,

I appreciate you taking my comments very seriously and responding to every one in detail. I think the paper is pretty much ready for publication. I have only one minor comment related to the 'fog precipitation' term.

I really think that the word 'fog precipitation' seems to be more related to a natural process of fog being (naturally) precipitated, as drizzle or fog deposition. Because the word "precipitation" reminds us of rainfall, a natural process. The fog collectors, whatever their design is (raschel mesh standard, cylindrical, harps, etc), artificially precipitate fog into a measurement system. In that case, the most accurate term should be "artificial precipitated fog" or, like in most papers, "passive fog collection". My suggestion is to change the term "fog precipitation" to "passive fog collection" or simply "fog collection" to be clear that you are not describing a natural process but an artificial (passive) one.