

Performance and evaluation of remote sensing satellites for monitoring dust weather in East Asia

Yuanyuan Zhang¹, Ning Wang², Shuanggen Jin^{1,3}

¹School of Surveying and Land Information Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, 454003, China

²Nanjing Xinda Institute of Meteorological Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210000, China

³Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200030, China

Correspondence to: Shuanggen Jin (sgjin@shao.com)

Abstract. Satellite remote sensing provides a rich data source for the real-time and accurate acquisition of dust weather information in East Asia. However, there are few studies to evaluate whether these data can effectively and accurately reflect the dynamic process of dust weather. This study evaluates and compares the continuity, accuracy, and stability of five commonly used remote sensing products for monitoring dust weather in East Asia, based on recorded dust events (DEs) from 2019 to April 2025. The products evaluated are the Fengyun-4A/B (FY4A/B) dust score (DST), infrared difference dust index (IDDI), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD), Sentinel-5P absorbing aerosol index (AAI), and Himawari-8/9 AOD, using ground station PM10 concentration data. The results show that the daily spatial distribution of atmospheric dust presented by the five remote sensing products has good consistency. Notably, the AAI not only offers better continuity in depicting the spatial distribution of atmospheric dust compared to other aerosol products but also compensates for the shortcomings of other products that cannot detect UV-absorbing aerosols mixed with clouds. Based on the evaluation using ground station PM10 data, the mean Probability of Correct Detection (POCD) for atmospheric dust during multiple DEs for Sentinel-5P AAI, MODIS AOD, Himawari-8/9 AOD, FY4A/B DST, and IDDI products were 57.16%, 45.18%, 31.25%, 22.78%, and 12.41%, respectively. The mean Probability of False Detection (POFD) values were 88.66%, 88.61%, 92.04%, 69.9%, and 67.69%, respectively. Overall, the Sentinel-5P AAI has the highest POCD in DEs but is unstable. At the same time, it also has a high POFD. The FY4A/B IDDI has the lowest POCD, but it is relatively stable, and its POFD is low.

1 Introduction

Dust weather refers to a general term for a weather phenomenon in which wind blows dust and sand from the ground into the air, making the air turbid (Yang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018). When dust weather occurs, the dust particles dispersed into the air by the wind not only have an impact on the ecological environment and human life and health but also have an important impact on global climate change (Zhuang et al., 2001; Mahowald, 2011; Kok et al., 2023). On the one hand, the long-distance transport and dry and wet deposition of dust particles in the atmosphere provide critical mineral

31 supplements for terrestrial and marine organisms, playing an irreplaceable role in global biochemical processes and carbon
32 cycle systems (Mahowald et al., 2005; Shao et al., 2011; Richon et al., 2018). On the other hand, the diffusion of dust from
33 the surface into the air not only reduces visibility and air quality but also carries some harmful microorganisms and heavy
34 metals that are extremely harmful to human life and health (Middleton et al., 2017; Rao et al., 2020; Mu et al., 2023). In
35 addition, the dust particles staying in the atmosphere have significant radiative forcing and climate effects, which can affect
36 the radiation balance of the earth-atmosphere system through direct effects, indirect effects and semi-direct effects and are a
37 key factor causing deviations in global climate change predictions and sensitivity assessments (Huang et al., 2006; Huang et
38 al., 2014; Kok et al., 2023). Therefore, obtaining effective information on dust weather in real time and accurately is a key
39 issue for effective early warning and forecasting of dust weather and studying its environmental and climate effects.

40 In the past, the dust weather processes were mainly monitored by conventional ground weather stations (Shao and Dong,
41 2006; Akhlaq et al., 2012). However, large-scale dust weather usually originates in remote desert areas with harsh natural
42 environments. The ground weather monitoring stations are extremely susceptible to the influence of complex natural
43 environments, which makes it difficult to achieve long-term monitoring of dust weather. In addition, limited by various
44 factors, it is difficult to build large-scale, high-density ground stations to monitor the formation, development and
45 disappearance of dust weather in real-time and long-term (Bao et al., 2023). Following the 1970s, the evolution of earth
46 observation technologies has progressively transformed dust weather monitoring methodologies. Akhlaq et al. (2012)
47 critically evaluated different monitoring techniques, definitively establishing satellite imagery's superiority in dust detection
48 and characterization. Building upon this foundation, Li et al. (2021) conducted a comparative analysis between ground-based
49 radar and satellite remote sensing technologies, conclusively demonstrating satellite remote sensing's enhanced capabilities
50 in tracking dust trajectories and identifying source regions. The comparative assessments by these researchers underscored
51 satellite remote sensing's comprehensive advantages, including wider spatial coverage, higher temporal resolution, and more
52 precise environmental data acquisition. First of all, satellite remote sensing technology has the advantages of wide
53 observation range, strong timeliness and high economic benefits, which make up for the shortcomings of ground-based site
54 monitoring methods. Secondly, different satellite sensors have different temporal resolutions, spatial resolutions, spectral
55 resolutions and radiation resolutions. The dust weather information obtained by integrating multiple satellite observation
56 data is more comprehensive. Finally, the complementary advantages of different imaging modalities can achieve all-weather
57 dust weather information acquisition.

58 The methods of monitoring atmospheric dust aerosol by satellite remote sensing have been continuously improved in the
59 development, forming two different monitoring methods of dust weather: passive remote sensing and active remote sensing.
60 Passive remote sensing uses the earth-atmosphere system itself to emit or reflect electromagnetic wave information from
61 natural radiation sources to realize quantitative retrieval of atmospheric dust optical parameters, which is mainly divided into
62 the ultraviolet absorption method (Torres et al., 2002; De et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2014; Torres et al., 2020; Ahn et al., 2021),
63 the visible near-infrared method (Kaufman et al., 2001), the thermal infrared method (Zhang et al., 2006), and the microwave
64 polarization index method (Huang et al., 2007). Currently, passive remote sensing products that are widely used in dust

65 weather monitoring and research originate from the aerosol products of the Terra\Aqua Moderate Resolution Imaging
66 Spectroradiometer (MODIS)(Levy et al.,2007) and Himawari-8 Advanced Himawari Imager (AHI)(She et al., 2018), the
67 absorbing aerosol index (AAI) products of the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) and the Sentinel-5P Tropospheric
68 Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) (Torres et al., 2020; Apituley et al.,2022), the infrared difference dust index (IDDI)
69 products of Fengyun-2 (FY-2) series of satellites Visible Infrared Spin Scan Radiometer(VISSR)(Xian, et al.,2021), the dust
70 score (DST), dust strong index (DSI) and aerosol index (AI) products of the FY-3 series of satellites Visible Infrared
71 Radiometer (VIRR)(Lu et al.,2015) and the dust detection dataset (DSD) product of FY-4A Advanced Geostationary
72 Radiation Imager (AGRI)(Wu and Ma,2020). For example, Filonchyk et al. (2020) integrated MODIS AOD and OMI AAI
73 products to study two severe dust weather processes that occurred in the South Gobi Desert of China. The results showed
74 that the AOD value in the area affected by dust weather exceeded 1, and the AAI value was in the range of 0.7-3.9. Sun et al.
75 (2022) integrated Himawari-8 AOD and FY-4A DSD products to analyse the spatiotemporal distribution and transmission
76 characteristics of two dust events (DEs) in North China in March 2021. Prospero et al (2002) used TOMS sensor on the
77 Nimbus 7 satellite to map the distribution of major atmospheric dust sources around the world and identify their common
78 environmental characteristics. Gao and Washington (2010) used TOMS AI products to characterise the frequency and
79 intensity of dust weather in the Tarim Basin, and explored the teleconnection between dust activities and the Arctic
80 Oscillation. Ye et al. (2023) used the TROPOMI AAI product to study and analyse the impact range of daily DEs from a
81 strong dust weather process that occurred in northern China from March 14 to 18, 2021. Fang et al. (2016) analysed the
82 outbreak, development, transmission and impact range of the Taklimakan desert sandstorm in April 2014 based on the IDDI
83 product of FY-2E and the AI product of FY-3B, and used the MODIS AOD product to explore the atmospheric dust aerosol
84 load in the areas affected by the sandstorm process. Jiang et al. (2021) used the DSI product of FY-3A to analyse the
85 intensity and seasonal changes of dust weather activities in the Tibetan Plateau from 2010 to 2013.

86 Active remote sensing relies on artificial radiation sources on the remote sensing platform to emit electromagnetic waves to
87 targets and detect atmospheric dust information by receiving backscattered signals (Liu et al., 2008). So far, CALIOP carried
88 on the CALIPSO satellite is the most stable, longest-running, most mature and most widely used spaceborne lidar in orbit
89 (Wang et al., 2023). The satellite stopped operating in August 2023 because its fuel reserves had been exhausted and, in its
90 decaying, orbit the satellite can no longer generate sufficient power to operate the science instruments. During its 17 years in
91 orbit, CALIPSO has provided unprecedented measurement data of the vertical structure of the Earth's atmosphere, which has
92 been verified by a large number of ground-based and passive satellite remote sensing observation data (Kim et al., 2018;
93 Winker et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2018). CALIOP has the advantages of active remote sensing detection and polarization
94 monitoring, which can distinguish dust from complex atmospheric environments. (Liu et al., 2008). Its aerosol classification
95 products and vertical distribution information are widely used in research on atmospheric dust transport, aerosol-cloud
96 interactions, optical effects, and climate effects (Gui et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2023). For example, Bao et al.
97 (2023) used CALIPSO data to study the vertical structure of the atmospheric dust layer during three severe dust weather
98 processes in East Asia, and found that the vertical structure of the dust layer depends on the sources and intensity of the dust

99 weather. Sun et al. (2022) used CALIPSO aerosol products to study and analyse the three-dimensional structure and
100 transmission path of atmospheric dust during the dust weather in North China from March 26 to 30, 2021.
101 In the past, most studies directly utilized these satellite data or products to investigate and analyze the characteristics of
102 intensity changes, transport directions, and impact ranges of one or more dust events. Research assessing the accuracy,
103 stability, and reliability of these satellite remote sensing retrieval products for dust monitoring has been scarce. Furthermore,
104 it is still challenging whether these data can effectively and accurately capture the dynamic process of dust weather.
105 Therefore, this study evaluated the accuracy, stability, and reliability of four main satellite remote sensing products (MODIS,
106 Himawari-8/9 and Sentinel-5P aerosol products and FY-4A DSD products) that were widely used in dust weather monitoring
107 studies in East Asia. By evaluating the accuracy, stability and reliability of satellite remote sensing in monitoring dust
108 weather in East Asia, it will help us to monitor and study disaster weather more effectively by using satellite remote sensing
109 technology, and provide reliable scientific basis for environmental management and danger warning, so as to better maintain
110 human health and ecological balance. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the study
111 area, observation data and methods. Section 3 evaluates the continuity, accuracy, and stability of different satellite remote
112 sensing products for dust weather monitoring in East Asia, and discusses the possible reasons for the discrepancy between
113 satellite remote sensing results and observed values. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 4.

114 **2 Materials and methods**

115 **2.1 Study area and observation data from ground stations**

116 Spring (March-May) is the season when dust weather occurs frequently in East Asia (Hang et al.,2023). On the one hand, the
117 northern regions of East Asia experience frequent cold air activities dominated by Mongolian cyclones in spring, which
118 provide the main driving force for the formation of dust weather(Mu and Fiedler, 2025). On the other hand, western East
119 Asia is located inside the Eurasian continent, which is far away from the ocean and has little precipitation. It is mainly arid
120 and semi-arid areas, with desert areas widely distributed, which provides sufficient material basis for the formation of dust
121 weather. When large-scale dust weather occurs, the dust content in the air will increase significantly, exacerbating air
122 pollution. The PM10 concentration data from ground observation stations can effectively represent the dust content of the air.
123 It is an important data source to reflect the intensity of dust weather. Therefore, this study is based on 64 recorded DEs that
124 occurred in East Asia between 2019 and April 2025 (Source from the National Climate Center of the China Meteorological
125 Administration: <http://ncc-cma.net/cn/>), using hourly PM10 concentration data from 925 national environmental monitoring
126 stations in China to evaluate the continuity, accuracy and stability of different satellite products for East Asian dust
127 monitoring(Hourly PM10 concentration data were obtained from China National Environmental Monitoring Station:
128 <http://www.cnemc.cn/>). The location of the study area and the distribution of PM10 monitoring stations are shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Location of the study area and distribution of environmental monitoring stations in China.

2.2 Observation data from satellites

2.2.1 AGRI/FY-4A and 4B

FY-4A and 4B represent China's new-generation geostationary orbit meteorological observation system, having been successfully launched in December 2016 and June 2021, respectively. It is equipped with an advanced geostationary radiation imager (AGRI), geostationary interferometric infrared sounder (GIIRS), lightning mapping imager (LMI) and space environment monitoring instrument package (SEP) can provide continuous monitoring data for land surface, water body, lightning and space weather. As the primary payload of FY-4A/B, AGRI acquires high spatiotemporal resolution imagery across 14 spectral bands (15 bands for FY-4B) from visible to infrared wavelengths, with spatial resolution ranging from 0.5 km (visible band) to 4 km. As FY-4A ceased transmitting data to the ground on March 4, 2024, subsequent identical data will be provided by FY-4B.

In addition to providing Level-1 (L1) raw observation data, FY-4A/4B satellites also generate various Level-2 (L2) quantitative satellite products, including cloud and atmospheric products, surface products, weather products and radiation products. The data are publicly available from the National Satellite Meteorological Centre (NSMC) of China (<https://www.nsmc.org.cn>). The product of DSD observed by AGRI was used in the study, with a spatial resolution of 4km and a temporal resolution of 15 minutes. The DSD product contains DST data and the Infrared Difference Dust Index (IDDI_DST). Among them, DST is based on the radiation characteristics of dust and uses the characteristics of the AGRI spectral channel characteristics to summarize and generalize the bands and indicators that can be applied to satellite remote sensing dust monitoring, and designs 12 sets of dust identification indicators. Based on dust weather occurrence areas, cloud areas, vegetation areas and desert areas, different reliability indicators are set for the threshold ranges of different identification indicators by using the probability density function (PDF) and cumulative probability density function (CDF) (Zhang et al., 2019). The final dust detection product is synthesized by the PDF of the reliability of 12 identification indicators and their threshold, which effectively avoids misjudgements and omissions caused by a single or a few

153 identification indicators of FY-4A/B. The higher the DST, the closer it is to the standard dust spectrum statistical value, that
154 is, the greater the possibility of dust. The recommended DST is above 16 for dust pixels, between 14 and 16 for possible dust
155 pixels, and below 14 for non-dust pixels. Therefore, the study considered that DST greater than 16 is dust.

156 IDDI describes the difference between the real-time target brightness temperature observed by satellites and the surface
157 brightness temperature of the clear sky atmosphere at the same moment to obtain the attenuation of the brightness
158 temperature of the earth-atmosphere system caused by atmospheric dust aerosols, which can semi-quantitatively characterize
159 the dust intensity (Legrand et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2007). The first step in the generation process of IDDI is to establish a
160 clear-sky surface background brightness temperature image, which consists of the daily maximum thermal infrared
161 brightness temperature value of the surface collected in the latest period. In order to make each image pixel clear-sky
162 observation data and avoid the influence of seasonal changes, it is appropriate to use 10 consecutive days as the synthesis
163 period of the background brightness and temperature image. Secondly, the background brightness temperature image is used
164 to subtract the daily real-time observed brightness temperature image to obtain the brightness temperature difference image,
165 which represents the brightness temperature attenuation caused by atmospheric components (aerosol, water vapour or
166 clouds). Finally, the cloud coverage area is filtered out to eliminate the influence of background aerosols and water vapour.

$$167 \text{ IDDI}_{10.8} = \text{RBT}_{10.8} - \text{BT}_{10.8} \quad (1)$$

168 where $\text{RBT}_{10.8}$ is the clear-sky background reference brightness temperature, which is the maximum $10.8\mu\text{m}$ brightness
169 temperature at the same time for 9 or 10 consecutive days. $\text{BT}_{10.8}$ is the actual brightness temperature measured by the
170 $10.8\mu\text{m}$ satellite sensor.

171 The IDDI value of the remaining area is the IDDI value of atmospheric dust aerosol. Generally speaking, the amount of
172 surface brightness temperature attenuation caused by atmospheric background aerosols and clear-air atmospheric water
173 vapour in sandstorm weather is a small amount compared to the brightness temperature attenuation of dust aerosols, and can
174 be ignored for the identification of dust. Therefore, the image obtained after removing the cloud area can be used as an IDDI
175 image. Generally, the higher IDDI value indicates that the dust content of the air is higher, otherwise it is lower. In
176 accordance with Yang et al. (2023) methodology, pixels with IDDI values ranging from 2 to 40 were classified as dust-
177 containing to minimize both omission errors and commission errors in dust detection.

178 2.2.2 MODIS/Terra and Aqua

179 MODIS carried on the Terra and Aqua satellites is a key instrument in earth observation system program for observing
180 global biological and physical processes. It has 36 medium-resolution spectral bands ($0.4\text{-}14.4\mu\text{m}$). The double satellites
181 combine to observe the earth's surface every 1-2 days to achieve long-term observation of changes in land, oceans,
182 atmosphere and other targets. Its observational data and products are widely used in regional/global ecological environment
183 and natural disaster monitoring and climate change research. The data can be downloaded from MODIS Web
184 (<https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/>).

MODIS provides aerosol products with varying resolutions (1 km, 3 km, 10 km) for operational use, offering long-term and global coverage. One type includes daily atmospheric aerosol products with spatial resolutions of 10 km and 3 km, while another type features daily, 8-day, and monthly composite products with a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. These aerosol products are based on two famous aerosol retrieval algorithms, including the Dark Target (DT) algorithm on land/ocean and the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm on land (Hsu et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2013). Due to the significant impact of high-reflectivity areas such as deserts and snowfields on the atmospheric top layer reflectance in the red light and shortwave infrared bands, the linear relationship between the surface reflectance of red and blue light (0.65 and 0.47 μm) and the surface reflectance in the shortwave infrared band (2.11 μm) does not hold. This makes it difficult to distinguish the contributions from aerosols and the ground (Hsu et al., 2013). In contrast, the DB algorithm shows better retrieval results in these areas, as its initial development aimed to overcome the uncertainties in retrieval results in high-reflectance environments. Considering the characteristics of different algorithms, the characteristics of the study area, and the temporal and spatial resolution of the data, this study selects the aerosol parameters retrieved by the DB algorithm from MODIS Collection 6.1 MOD04_L2 and MYD04_L2 data, with a spatial resolution of 10 km.

2.2.3 TROPOMI/Sentinel-5P

Sentinel-5P is a sun-synchronous orbit satellite launched by the European Space Agency (ESA) in October 2017. It observes Earth with consistent solar illumination angles, enabling daily global coverage. The payload's TROPOMI instrument features a scanning swath of about 2,600 km, achieving a nadir ground resolution of 7 km along-track by 3.5 km across-track. As the world's highest-resolution and most advanced imaging spectrometer for atmospheric environmental monitoring, TROPOMI provides hyperspectral measurements across ultraviolet (UV), visible (VIS), near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands (Veefkind et al., 2012). This allows for more detailed atmospheric aerosol parameter retrieval, significantly outperforming existing instruments such as the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) (Fioletov et al., 2020). The TROPOMI L2_AER_AI dataset provides global high-resolution images of the ultraviolet aerosol index (UVAI), also known as the absorption aerosol index (AAI). UVAI is based on the wavelength-dependent variation of Rayleigh scattering within the UV spectral range for a given wavelength pair, calculating a ratio from the measured top of the atmosphere (TOA) reflectance and the pre-calculated theoretical reflectance of atmospheric Rayleigh scattering (Apituley et al., 2022). However, the difference between the observed and simulated reflectance produces residual values. When this residual value is positive, it indicates the presence of UV-absorbing aerosols such as dust and smoke (Michailidis et al., 2023). Therefore, AAI can effectively track the evolution of intermittent aerosol plumes caused by dust weather, volcanic eruptions and biomass burning. Clouds produce residual values close to 0, and strongly negative residual values can indicate the presence of non-absorbing aerosols and clouds. AAI is a reliable calculation method that depends on aerosol layer characteristics, including aerosol optical depth, aerosol single scattering albedo, aerosol layer height and underlying surface albedo (Torres et al., 2020). It is well-documented based on years of data, and its key advantages include fast computing speed, wide global coverage, ease of use, and the potential to build long-term climate data records (Apituley et al., 2022). Additionally, unlike

218 satellite-based AOD measurements, UVAI can qualitatively characterize the presence of absorbing aerosols and their spatial
219 distribution characteristics even under cloudy conditions, thereby achieving daily global coverage. In the study, the AAI
220 index used was measured at wavelengths of 354nm and 388nm, which are wavelengths with very low ozone absorption.
221 According to the study results of Rezaei et al. (2019) and Filonchyk et al. (2020), the study considers that when the AAI>0.7,
222 it is a dust pixel. In order to improve data quality and eliminate the impact of sunlight flicker, only TROPOMI pixels with
223 QA greater than 0.8 are used according to official recommendations. Data are freely and publicly available from:
224 <https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/>.

225 **2.2.4 AHI/Himawari-8 and 9**

226 Himawari-8/9 is developed under the leadership of the Japan Meteorological Agency (JAM) and enables high-frequency
227 observations within the region (80 °E-160 °W, 60 °S-60 °N), with a maximum spatial resolution of 500 meters and a temporal
228 resolution of 10 minutes (providing six full-disk images per hour). Compared to the previous Himawari-7 satellite, the
229 Himawari-8/9 satellites have significantly improved in terms of operational lifespan and meteorological observation
230 capabilities. It carries an AHI with 16 bands, including three visible channels and three near-infrared and ten infrared
231 channels. Due to its advantages of high-frequency imaging, high spatial resolution and wide spectral band, it can observe
232 targets such as land, ocean and atmosphere more accurately and detailed (Bessho et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2019a). Therefore,
233 it is widely used in monitoring research on clouds, aerosols, sea surface temperatures and natural disasters. In addition, since
234 its spectral band contains aerosol-sensitive blue light channels, it has great potential in aerosol retrieval (Ge et al., 2018).
235 Currently, the Earth Observation Research Centre of Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) provides level 2 and
236 level 3 aerosol datasets, including full-disk AOD and Ångström index (AE) at 500nm wavelength. The AHI aerosol products
237 are generated by an aerosol retrieval algorithm developed by Fukuda et al. (2013). The algorithm is based on the Lambertian
238 assumption on land and sea, using 3 visible bands (470nm, 510nm and 640nm) and 2 near-infrared bands (860nm and
239 1600nm), introducing weights into the objective function of each channel (Fukuda et al., 2013; Yoshida et al., 2018). Then,
240 the best channel for aerosol retrieval is automatically selected. The specific process is as follows:
241 Firstly, the radiation correction of clear sky pixels is carried out (filtering out cloud pixels), based on the assumption that the
242 atmospheric scattering is entirely due to Rayleigh scattering. Then, the pixels with the second lowest reflectance at 470nm
243 within a month are synthesized. Pixels exhibiting values at 470 nm that are higher than those at 640 nm are suspected of
244 being influenced by residual aerosol contamination. To address this, these pixels will be replaced with reflectance values
245 calculated based on the vegetation index, utilizing the spectral dependence of surface reflectance (Kaufman et al., 1997).
246 These results will be considered as the true surface reflectance. Next, the atmospheric radiation transmission system is used
247 to simulate the reflectance of the top of the atmosphere, and the calculation speed is accelerated by building a lookup table
248 (Wei et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019b). Simultaneously, cluster analysis was performed using AERONET measurement data,
249 and the aerosol model was hypothesized to consist of fine-mode aerosols (including rural, sea spray, and yellow dust) and
250 coarse-mode aerosols (pure marine and dust) with unimodal lognormal volume size distributions (Wei et al., 2019a).

Furthermore, a general cloud detection algorithm previously developed by Yoshida et al. (2018) and an empirical approximation method based on MODIS were adopted to minimize the impact of clouds, water vapor, and ozone on the retrieval result (Wei et al., 2019b). Finally, the objective function is established using the simulated and observed TOA reflectance, and those parameters that minimize the objective function are the retrieval results (Zhang et al., 2019). The study used AOD and AE datasets from AHI level 2 hourly (UTC 0:00 to 12:00) and level 3 daily synthetic aerosol products to evaluate the continuity, accuracy and stability of AHI aerosol products in monitoring dust activities in East Asia. Among them, level 3 data is based on the hourly combination algorithm developed by Kikuchi et al. (2018), which is more accurate. The data are publicly available from: <https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ptree/index.html>.

2.3 Methods

In this study, the method of AOD and AE (Ångström exponent) relationship was used for aerosol type identification. This method has been used in several studies and its principle is based on the sensitivity of two wavelength parameters to various microphysical properties of aerosols (Boiyo et al.,2018). AOD is a key physical quantity that characterizes the degree of atmospheric turbidity. It describes the light attenuation caused by aerosol absorption and scattering and its magnitude mainly depends on the aerosol column density. AE is the main indicator to characterize the size of atmospheric aerosol particles, which describes the dependence between AOD and wavelength. When the AE value is less than 1, it indicates that coarse particle aerosols dominate. Otherwise, fine-particle aerosols dominate. Therefore, the dominant aerosol types in the atmosphere of this region can be identified based on the interaction between AOD and AE. In the study, MODIS AOD pixels with AOD > 0.6 and AE < 0.7 are considered to be dust based on the study results of Filonchyk et al. (2020). Similarly, according to the study results of Sun et al. (2022), AHI AOD pixels with AOD > 1.2 and AE < 0.8 are considered to be dust. The specific detection thresholds for different remote sensing data are shown in Table 1.

Table1. Strategy for detecting dust pixels

Sensor	Satellite	Produce	Threshold	Reference
AGRI	FY-4A/B	IDDI	2 < IDDI < 40	Yang et al., 2023
		DST	DST > 16	Li, 2018
MODIS	Terra/Aqua	AOD&AE	AOD > 1.2 &AE <0.8	Filonchyk et al., 2020
TROPOMI	Sentinel-5P	AAI	AAI>0.7	Rezaei et al., 2019
AHI	Himawari-8/9	AOD&AE	AOD > 0.6 &AE <0.7	Sun et al., 2022

The continuity, accuracy and stability of satellite remote sensing detection of dust in the atmosphere require verification of ground data. At present, most studies use aerosol parameters measured by AERONET to verify the results of satellite remote sensing detection (Wei et al., 2020). However, the number of AERONET stations in East Asian dust source areas and transmission paths is small and unevenly distributed, making it difficult to provide effective observation data. However, because ground environmental monitoring stations have the characteristics of high observation frequency, large number of

stations, and wide distribution, they are often used to verify the authenticity of satellite remote sensing products. In addition, the PM10 concentration at ground environmental monitoring stations is very sensitive to changes in the concentration of coarse particles, especially changes in dust concentration (Capraz and Deniz, 2021). Therefore, PM10 concentration data from ground monitoring stations provides a reliable and stable data source for verifying the continuity, accuracy and stability of satellite remote sensing detection of atmospheric dust. According to the "Technical Regulations on Classification of Dust Weather" issued by the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China, an hourly PM10 concentration greater than or equal to $600 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ is considered to be dust weather (Yang et al., 2023). Therefore, when the PM10 concentration at any environmental monitoring station was greater than $600 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ during dust weather, which is classified as dust observation. In the study, the probability of correct detection (POCD) and the probability of false detection (POFD) were used to evaluate the accuracy of satellite remote sensing in detecting atmospheric dust. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$POCD = \frac{DD}{DD+DN}, \quad (2)$$

$$POFD = \frac{ND}{DD+ND}, \quad (3)$$

where, DD represents the number of matching points with PM10 concentration greater than $600\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, and the satellite remote sensing detection result is dust. DN represents the number of matching points with the PM10 concentration greater than $600\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, while the satellite remote sensing monitoring results indicate no dust. ND represents the number of matching points with the PM10 concentration lower than $600\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, while the satellite remote sensing monitoring results are dust.

In addition, due to the differences in observation frequencies and observation ranges of different types of satellites. In order to better compare the continuity, accuracy and stability of different satellite remote sensing products in monitoring the evolution of dust weather in East Asia. In this study, we used the temporal and spatial data from Sentinel-5P as a benchmark to select MODIS, Himawari-8/9, and FY-4A/B data that have the smallest time difference from Sentinel-5P within a 30-minute window and share overlapping observation areas. These data are considered to reflect atmospheric dust information in the same spatiotemporal context as that observed by Sentinel-5P.


3 Results and discussions

3.1 Performance of FY-4A/B in monitoring dust weather

Compared to ground-based observation stations monitoring dust weather, satellite remote sensing observations not only provide a broader perspective but also offer a more intuitive dynamic evolution process of dust storm events. To comprehensively assess the performance of different satellite remote sensing products during dust weather events in East


307 Asia, this study selected all recorded DEs from 2019 to April 2025, which include 41 blowing sand (BS) events, 16 dust
308 storm (DS) events, and 7 strong dust storm (SDS) events.

309 Figure 2 illustrates the POCD and POFD of the FY4A/B DST product during dust storm events of varying intensities. The
310 horizontal axis represents different dust events (DE-1 to DE-64), while the vertical axis indicates the percentage of accuracy.
311 Overall, the POFD significantly exceeds the POCD, indicating a high misjudgement rate in dust detection by DST products
312 during DEs. For example, during events DE-5, DE-15, DE-35, and DE-62, the POCD approaches 0 while the POFD is close
313 to or equal to 1. In addition, the overall fluctuations of POCD in multiple events are greater than those of POFD. The dust
314 detection performance of DST products in DE-12, DE-30, DE-52, DE-54, and DE-56 was relatively good, with an overall
315 POCD exceeding 50%. Notably, DE-30 exhibited a POCD as high as 75%, making it the most effective dust detection
316 among all DEs. It is worth highlighting that for the DE-54 event, DST's dust detection not only achieved a high POCD but
317 also registered the lowest POFD among all events. From the perspective of different types of sandstorm weather processes,
318 the POCD of the DST product shows little variation across the different intensity levels of sandstorm weather events, with
319 average POCD values of 23%(BS), 20%(DS), and 25%(SDS), respectively. This indicates that the DST product exhibits
320 relatively stable detection capability for DEs of varying intensities. However, the POFD shows significant discrepancies. The
321 POFD is lowest during SDS events, with an average of 54%, whereas it is higher during DS and BS events, both having an
322 average of 74%. These values are significantly higher than that of the SDS events, indicating that the DST product has a
323 higher false detection rate during BS and DS events, with only a few DEs, such as DE-11 and DE-56, showing better
324 performance. However, in SDS events, the dust detection effectiveness of the DST products is significantly improved,
325 indicating that the atmospheric dust detection capability of the DST products works better in more intense dust weather
326 processes. Although the POCD of the DST products increases during these intense dust processes, the POFD still displays a
327 high value.




328
329 **Figure 2: The POCD and POFD of FY-4A/B DST products for each dust event.**

330 This study takes the strong dust event that occurred from March 15 to 20, 2021, in northern China as a case to investigate the
 331 monitoring of dynamic changes in sandstorm weather through satellite remote sensing. By associating satellite remote
 332 sensing products with ground station PM10 concentrations spatially, the study analyses the variations of both throughout the
 333 entire dust weather process. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the daily average DST from FY4A and the daily average
 334 PM10 concentration at environmental monitoring stations during the dust event from March 15 to 20, 2021. The data
 335 indicate that on March 15, 2021, a dust storm formed a dust band stretching from west to east across northern China,
 336 affecting an area that extended from eastern Xinjiang to the northeast region of China (40-53°N, 118-135°E). The daily
 337 average PM10 concentrations at most monitoring stations within the dust band exceeded 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. As the dusty weather
 338 continued to develop, the affected areas expanded southward in China on the 16th, with PM10 concentrations continuously
 339 rising in multiple regions. From March 17 to 19, the coverage of this sand and dust weather began to decrease from east to
 340 west, especially as PM10 concentrations gradually fell in China's North (32-42°N, 110-120°E) and Northeast regions. By
 341 the 19th, the daily average PM10 concentration at most monitoring stations affected by the dust was between 0 to 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$,
 342 indicating that the dust weather event is generally coming to an end. However, there are still stations in the southwest part of
 343 Inner Mongolia where the PM10 concentration exceeds 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. However, on the 20th, the PM10 concentration in China's
 344 northern region showed an increase compared to the 19th, with the DST values in that area distributed between 21 and 23.



345
 346 **Figure 3: Spatial distribution of daily FY-4A DST during the dust weather process from March 15 to 20, 2021.**

347 Figure 4 illustrates the performance of FY4A/B IDDI_DST across multiple dust events. From the graph, it can be observed
348 that in the vast majority of DEs, the POCD values are low, predominantly ranging between 1% and 15%. Only a few events
349 (such as DE-10, DE-30, DE-52, and DE-54) exhibit POCD values exceeding 15%, indicating that the IDDI_DST product
350 demonstrates poor atmospheric dust detection capabilities during dust weather processes. From a statistical distribution
351 perspective, the POFD for most events is concentrated between 50% and 90%, which implies that approximately 50% to 90%
352 of the results in each detection could be false alarms. Notably, events such as DE-15, DE-17, and DE-41 have POFD values
353 approaching 1, which nearly signifies complete misjudgment. Only a few events, such as DE-10, DE-42, and DE-50, have
354 POFD values below 40%, indicating relatively better detection accuracy. From the perspective of different types of
355 sandstorm weather, the POCD of the IDDI shows little variation across different intensity levels of sandstorm weather
356 processes, but the differences in POFD are more pronounced. The POFD is relatively low during SDS events, while it is
357 relatively higher during DS and BS events. The detection capability of BS events is the poorest, with POCD values generally
358 below 10%, while POFD values range from 60% to 90%, with an average of 72%. In comparison, DS and SDS events show
359 slightly improved detection performance, but still exhibit high POFD values. This indicates that although there has been
360 some improvement in detection capabilities, the false alarm rates remain substantially high during dust detection.



361
362 **Figure 4: The POCD and POFD of FY-4A/B IDDI products for each dust event.**

363 Figure 5 shows the distribution of daily average IDDI_DST and PM10 concentrations from March 15 to March 20, 2021.
364 From Figure 5, it can be observed that the spatial distribution of FY4A IDDI and DST is relatively consistent throughout the
365 dust weather event, and the areas of high values are also associated with higher PM10 concentrations. Additionally, from the
366 daily spatial distributions of DST and IDDI, when the DST indicates the presence of dust, the IDDI results do not necessarily
367 reflect dust conditions. For example, on March 15, 2021, the DST values in the central-western region of the Taklimakan
368 Desert ranged from 16 to 20, while the IDDI did not detect any dust. At the same time, there are areas where the PM10
369 concentrations at ground monitoring stations indicate high dust intensity, but the IDDI values are relatively low. For example,

on March 16, the IDDI values in the North China Plain ranged from 2 to 8, while the PM10 concentration values at most monitoring stations exceeded 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.




Figure5: Spatial distribution of daily FY-4A IDDI during the dust weather process from March 15 to 20, 2021.

Although IDDI can be used as a semi-quantitative parameter of dust intensity, it cannot be ignored due to the effect by surface background brightness and meteorological factors (Hu et al., 2007). First of all, the premise of IDDI calculation is that the surface temperature does not change within a certain period of time. However, the surface background brightness temperature changes significantly throughout the day. For East Asia, spring is not only the season with the most frequent dust weather, but also the season with the most frequent cold air activities. Since the sudden arrival of cold air causes a substantial drop in surface temperature, the synthetic background brightness temperature used in the reference period may be higher than the actual surface brightness temperature on that day, which will cause an overestimation of IDDI. Secondly, during the calculation process, the atmosphere was considered to be clean on the day with the maximum brightness temperature, but the actual situation was not that ideal. In the process of surface brightness temperature image generation, if there is residual dust in the clearest weather, the final IDDI value will be underestimated. Finally, the maximum brightness temperature in the cloud coverage area that lasts for a long time is still not the actual surface brightness temperature, or the inversion fog appears when the brightness temperature is synthesized in the reference period, and the inversion fog is

387 regarded as the surface brightness temperature. Therefore, there will inevitably be systematic errors when calculating IDDI,
388 resulting in the final IDDI value being too high or too low. In addition, Hu et al. (2007) found that it is difficult to distinguish
389 a small amount of clouds and dust, and the usual cloud detection algorithms often misjudge dust as clouds. Some thin cirrus
390 clouds and heavy precipitation clouds will appear bright temperature difference signals similar to, which is also an important
391 reason for missed and misjudgement of atmospheric dust detected by satellite remote sensing. Duan et al. (2014) compared
392 the forecast results of the FY-2D IDDI product with the GRAPES-SDM dust model and found that the FY-2D IDDI product
393 often misjudged the deep dust in the southern Tarim Basin as a cloud area, which ultimately Leading to missed judgments of
394 dust. Zhang et al. (2019a) used ground dust observation data to verify the IDDI product of FY-4A. The results showed that
395 FY-4A IDDI can detect 88% of ground dust observations. Especially under cloud-free conditions, IDDI can effectively
396 detect dust areas. However, when the dust layer is under clouds or mixed with clouds, the false detection rate is higher.
397 Although DST is synthesized using the reliability of 12 kinds of dust discriminant indicators, it can avoid misjudgements and
398 omissions caused by one or a small number of concentrated discriminant indicators to a certain extent. However, these
399 judgment indicators include the $11\mu\text{m}$ background brightness temperature and actual brightness temperature difference.
400 Therefore, the same error sources as IDDI inevitably occur (affected by surface background brightness temperature and
401 meteorological factors), resulting in misjudgements and missed judgments in the final identification results. Zhang et al.
402 (2019a) conducted a preliminary verification of the FY-4A DST product using surface weather phenomena and visibility
403 observation data. The results showed that the FY-4A dust detection algorithm can effectively identify dust weather processes,
404 especially for cloudless dust weather detection rates are high. However, there was a certain missed detection in the case of
405 dust mixed with clouds or under the cloud. In addition, an index is usually calculated using the brightness temperatures of
406 two or more thermal infrared bands in these methods, and a fixed threshold is also used to identify dust. However, the
407 brightness temperature observed by satellites is directly related to surface temperature and emissivity, and is also affected by
408 dust characteristics (particle size and vertical distribution, etc.) (Li et al., 2021). Therefore, there are large differences in dust
409 identification results based on a single fixed threshold, and there are significant differences in DEs in different regions and
410 different intensities.

411 3.2 Performance of MODIS in monitoring dust weather

412 For East Asia, especially in northern China, when large-scale sandstorms occur in the spring, the main pollutant in the
413 atmosphere within the affected area is dust (Filonchyk, 2022; Song et al., 2022). Figure 6 shows the POCD and POFD of
414 atmospheric dust for each of the 64 DEs recorded by the MODIS AOD product from 2019 to 2025. As seen in Figure 6, the
415 MODIS AOD demonstrates relatively high POCD in some DEs. In approximately 40% of the events, the POCD is greater
416 than or equal to 50%, indicating that the MODIS AOD product has good capability in detecting dust suspended in the
417 atmosphere. In DEs such as DE-21, DE-30, and DE-44, POCD reaches 80% or higher, with DE-30 and DE-44 showing
418 POCD close to 100%, demonstrating their advantage in monitoring and research applications for sandstorm weather.
419 Although the MODIS AOD product shows good detection capability for atmospheric dust in most DEs, the POFD is notably

high in some events (e.g., DE-4, DE-5, and DE-15). It is worth noting that during BS events, the POFD of AOD is generally higher than in other events, with an average value of 92% significantly higher than the 85% and 74% for DS and SDS, indicating that MODIS has limited detection capability in regions with low dust concentrations in the atmosphere. In contrast, the comparison between POCD and POFD is more significant in some DS and SDS events (e.g., DE-18, DE-21), reflecting an improvement in the reliability of the MODIS AOD product during higher intensity DEs. Furthermore, although the MODIS AOD shows high POCD in some events (such as DE-44 and DE-56), the POFD does not significantly decrease.




Figure 6: The POCD and POFD of MODIS AOD products for each dust event.

Figure 7 presents the daily average spatial distribution of AOD and PM10 concentration during the DEs from March 15 to 20, 2021. As shown in Figure 7, the spatial distribution of daily AOD during the DEs is relatively consistent with the FY-4A DST (Figure 3). However, the MODIS AOD demonstrates better detection performance during the DEs, revealing additional areas that the FY-4A dust product could not detect. For instance, on March 15, 17, and 18, high AOD value regions are observed at the border between south-western Mongolia and western Inner Mongolia, where there is a significant discrepancy in the results detected by the FY-4A product. Furthermore, the areas of high AOD values correspond with the locations of high PM10 concentration monitoring stations.





Figure 7: Spatial distribution of daily MODIS AOD during the dust weather process from March 15 to 20, 2021.

The MODIS aerosol retrieval algorithm is a radiation-based physical method that mainly relies on the physical properties and spectral characteristics of atmospheric aerosol particles in the visible and near-infrared bands, which is currently the most mature and widely used quantitative remote sensing method for dust aerosols (Hsu et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). However, physically empirical methods are limited by their reliance on thresholds that may be a function of land cover type, aerosol properties, lighting conditions, scattering angles, etc. (Li et al., 2021). In order to quantitatively evaluate the impact of surface albedo, Zhang et al. (2018) used the 6S radiation transfer model to simulate the relationship between the difference between the satellite observation apparent reflectance and the surface reflectance at the $0.47\mu\text{m}$ wavelength under different AOD concentration conditions as the surface emissivity changes. The results showed that visible light can be used to effectively monitor dust aerosols over the ocean. However, for areas with complex surface types, the contribution of surface reflected radiation needs to be considered. When the surface reflectance is higher than a certain value, the apparent reflectance changes little as the aerosol optical thickness increases, and the radiation observed by the satellite mainly comes from the contribution of reflected radiation from the underlying surface. Therefore, how to eliminate the influence of the underlying surface from satellite observations is the key and difficulty in improving quantitative remote sensing of aerosols. In addition, visible and near-infrared remote sensing cannot penetrate the clouds to detect dust under the clouds. However, dust weather is often mixed with clouds when they occur, and cloud pollution in dust pixels can lead to an

453 increase in AOD (Li et al., 2021). At the same time, in actual situations, the AOD of atmospheric dust aerosols changes
454 continuously in space and the boundaries are blurred during dust weather processes. Therefore, it is unrealistic to
455 unambiguously classify pixels into dust, cloud, and clear sky.

456 **3.3 Performance of Sentinel-5P in monitoring of dust weather**

457 The positive values in the Sentinel-5P AAI product indicate the presence of absorbing aerosols in the atmosphere, such as
458 dust, smoke, and volcanic ash, while negative values indicate the presence of non-absorbing aerosols (such as sulfates and
459 sea salt) and clouds (Filonchyk et al., 2020; Penning de Vries and Wagner, 2011). In general, large-scale straw burning and
460 forest fires rarely occur in northern China during the spring, let alone volcanic eruptions. Therefore, when large-scale dust
461 weather occurs in the spring and the AAI is positive, it can generally be attributed to dust aerosols.



462
463 **Figure 8: The POCD and POFD of Sentinel-5P AAI products for each dust event.**

464 Figure 8 shows the POCD and POFD of atmospheric dust from the Sentinel-5P AAI product across 64 DEs. The AAI
465 product demonstrates relatively good POCD in multiple DEs, especially during the later DEs of 2019–2025, where the
466 average POCD exceeds 70%. However, the average POCD in the earlier period was only 30%. Although the AAI product
467 exhibits high POCD in most events, the POFD for these events is also generally high. In a very few specific events (such as
468 DE-10), the AAI product managed to maintain both high POCD and low POFD simultaneously. The detection performance
469 of the AAI product shows significant differences across DEs of varying intensities, with an average POCD of 59% in BS
470 events accompanied by a very high POFD. The average POCD in DS events is 51%, while the average POFD is 90%,
471 indicating that the AAI has weak detection capability for moderate-intensity dust. In SDS events, the performance is
472 relatively balanced, with POCD and POFD at 62% and 75%, respectively. It is worth noting that the detection performance
473 does not change monotonically with the intensity of dust; overall, the POCD in DS events is lower than that in BS events,
474 while the average POFD for both is similar. Specifically, BS events exhibit significant polarization: some events are almost

completely detected (such as DE-20 and DE-44, which have POCD values of 100%), while other events have very low POFD (such as DE-1 and DE-25). The detection stability of DS events is the poorest, with a significant discrepancy between the best (POCD of 0.94 for DE-54) and the worst (POCD of 0.09 for DE-6) performance. Although SDS events are generally stable, there are still some events where POFD approaches 1 (such as DE-48).




Figure 9: Spatial distribution of daily Sentinel AAI during the dust weather process from March 15 to 20, 2021.

Figure 9 shows the daily average spatial distribution of AAI and PM10 during the DEs from March 15 to 20, 2021, clearly and continuously illustrating the transport process, intensity, and air quality conditions in the areas affected by this dust event. The daily AAI spatial distribution derived from TROPOMI is consistent with the atmospheric dust spatial distribution obtained from MODIS AOD and FY-4A DSD products, with the majority of areas having AAI values ranging from 1 to 4. Moreover, the PM10 concentration is also relatively high in most areas with high AAI values. However, compared to MODIS AOD and FY-4A DSD, AAI exhibits better spatial continuity. In addition, on March 15 and 20, AAI captured a continuous dust plume in north-eastern China, whereas MODIS AOD and FY-4A DSD products are not sensitive to the atmospheric dust in these regions, likely due to the influence of cloud cover and the concentration of dust in the air. Therefore, the Sentinel-5P AAI product has advantages over other passive remote sensing aerosol products in detecting dust under cloudy conditions, allowing it to compensate for the dust information that is missed by other passive remote sensing products due to sub-pixel cloud contamination.

Overall, due to its high spatial resolution and high signal-to-noise ratio, TROPOMI is able to capture aerosol plumes from desert dust in great detail. As described in section 2.2.3, the AAI is derived by calculating the residual value obtained from the ratio of the observed to the modeled reflectance. Therefore, the calculation of AAI relies on measured reflectance, the theoretical atmospheric reflectance with Rayleigh scattering stored in a pre-calculated look-up table, and the assumption that the scene surface behaves as a Lambert equivalent reflector (Dave and Mateer, 1967). As early as 1997, Herman et al. (1997) used the radiation difference between 340 and 380 nm measured by Nimbus-7/TOMS to obtain the global distribution of UV-absorbing aerosols and the interannual variation of aerosols in major desert areas from 1979 to 1993. It was found that atmospheric dust aerosol contributed the most to the absorption of ultraviolet band. However, due to the small amount of Rayleigh scattering in the bottom layer, TOMS was insensitive to the bottom signal. Therefore, it was difficult to obtain information on absorbing aerosols below the atmospheric boundary layer. Apituley et al. (2022) compared the aerosol index data of TROPOMI AAI with OMI and OMPS (Ozone Mapping & Profiler Suite) and found that the values observed by TROPOMI were lower than those of OMI and OMPS. It is known that the accuracy of aerosol products is sensitive to small changes in calibration radiation, which may lead to deviations (Torres et al., 2020; Go et al., 2020). In addition, the deviation of AAI is also dependent on the knowledge of surface albedo and wavelength-dependent variability of surface albedo (Chimot et al., 2017). Some studies have also found that the detection of absorbing aerosols in the UV band and the calculation of optical thickness are affected by the presence of large-scale and sub-pixel clouds in the sensor field of view (Herman et al., 1997; Penning de Vries and Wagner, 2011). Moreover, Zweers (2022) used determining instrument specifications and analyzing methods for atmospheric retrieval to test the influence of terrain height variation on AAI. The results showed that when the terrain height is less than 250 m, the AAI deviation is about 0.3 depending on the layer height and layer thickness.

3.4 Performance of Himawari-8/9 in monitoring of dust weather

Figure 10 shows the POCD and POFD of atmospheric dust from the Himawari-8/9 AOD product across 64 DEs. From Figure 10, it can be seen that the Himawari-8/9 AOD product exhibits significant fluctuations in POCD during multiple DEs from 2019 to 2025, with an average value of 34%. In contrast, the changes in POFD are relatively stable, with an average value of approximately 92%. When the POCD exceeds 70%, the corresponding POFD remains at a relatively high level, as seen in DE-9, DE-42, and DE-60. Notably, the detection effectiveness of the Himawari-8/9 AOD product is least satisfactory in BS events, with an average POCD of only 32%. Although its POCD value is similar to that of DS events, the average POFD for BS events is as high as 95%. Especially in events such as DE-17, DE-29, and DE-55, the POFD values approach 1.00, reflecting severe false alarm issues. In contrast, the detection performance of DS events is relatively stable. In the case of DE-6, the POCD reaches 69%, indicating sensitivity to moderate-intensity dust. However, there are still significant missed detections in DS events, with events such as DE-5, DE-14, and DE-32 having a POCD of 0. In terms of false detection, the average POFD for DS events is 89%, which is an improvement compared to BS events, but the POFD for events like DE-54 remains as high as 0.95. The performance of the Himawari-8/9 AOD product in detecting SDS events is the most complex,

with an average POCD of 26%, which is lower than that for BS and DS events. At the same time, the POFD for SDS events is also lower than that for BS and DS. Event DE-18 exhibits relatively good detection performance (POCD = 49%, POFD = 58%), whereas events such as DE-10 completely missed detection (POCD = 0%, POFD = 100%). This variability indicates a clear insufficiency in the adaptability of detection thresholds for high-concentration dust.




Figure 10: The POCD and POFD of Himawari-8/9 AOD products for each dust event.

Figure 11 shows the spatial distribution of daily average AOD from Himawari-8 and station PM10 concentrations during the dust event in East Asia from March 15 to 20, 2021. From Figure 11, it can be seen that the temporal and spatial evolution of the dust weather captured by the daily average AOD from Himawari-8 generally shows good consistency with FY-4A/B DSD, MODIS AOD, and Sentinel AAI. In particular, the distribution of high AOD regions corresponds well with the distribution of high MODIS AOD regions. At the same time, it can effectively capture the dust transmission over the ocean. In this regard, it performs better than the AOD products retrieved using the FY4A/B DSD and MODIS DB algorithms for monitoring long-distance transport of dust in East Asia. Furthermore, due to its higher temporal and spatial resolution, Himawari-8 has a stronger dynamic characterization capability for the evolution of dust weather compared to other satellite aerosol products. However, due to the limitations of the geostationary satellite's observational field of view, the Himawari series geostationary meteorological satellites cannot effectively monitor dust activities in the north-western region of Xinjiang, China. Additionally, the distribution of AOD values from the Himawari-8 satellite shows a good correspondence with changes in PM10 concentrations measured at ground stations. Specifically, environmental monitoring stations in areas with relatively high AOD values also detected relatively high pollutant concentrations.




Figure 11: Spatial distribution of daily Himawari-8 AOD during the dust weather process from March 15 to 20, 2021.

The extremely high observation frequency of Himawari-8/9 can generate time-continuous aerosol products, thereby effectively obtaining time-continuous daily changes in aerosols. However, small uncertainties in surface reflectance may affect the accuracy of AOD (Hashimoto and Nakajima, 2017). Tang et al. (2023) studied and analyzed the impact of surface conditions, observation angles and aerosol types on the accuracy of Himawari-8 AOD retrieval. It was found that Himawari-8 AOD has obvious underestimation in areas with surface reflectance close to 0.1 and NDVI close to 0.5, and there is no linear relationship between surface conditions and retrieval accuracy, indicating that Himawari-8 AOD retrieval accuracy does not entirely depend on the surface reflectance. In addition, the study also found that significant underestimation occurs when the aerosol load is high, coarse particles dominate, and the observation zenith angle is less than 50° . Similarly, Wei et al. (2019a) tested the accuracy of Himawari-8 aerosol products using AERONET and Sun-Sky Radiometer observation data from 98 ground stations in the main observation area of Himawari-8, and found that there are large uncertainties in both AOD and AE. AOD captured daily changes well, but performed worst in spring. AE generally showed significant underestimation, especially in China. At the same time, the AOD retrieval accuracy increased with the increase of NDVI and AE, indicating that the current Himawari-8 aerosol retrieval algorithm was not suitable for the retrieval of atmospheric aerosol optical parameters under bright surfaces and high load conditions of coarse particles. Jiang et al. (2019) used the AOD measurement results of the AERONET sites to evaluate the AOD accuracy of Himawari-8 and MODIS Deep Blue

algorithm retrieval in China, and compared them. It was found that the AOD accuracy retrieved by Himawari-8 greatly depends on the atmospheric aerosol load, AE and NDVI. However, the MODIS AOD retrieval bias does not appear to be related to these variables. Due to the above factors, there are errors in the retrieved AOD, which eventually spread to the actual application of the aerosol product.

3.5 Evaluation of different satellites in monitoring dust weather

Figure 12 presents the box plots of POCD and POFD for the FY-4A/B DSD, MODIS AOD, Sentinel-5P AAI, and Himawari-8/9 AOD products across all DEs from 2019 to April 2025. As shown in Figure 12, regarding the POCD, the median and mean values of the Sentinel-5P AAI product are 62.26% and 57.16%, respectively, placing it at a high level compared to all other data, indicating that its detection performance for atmospheric dust during DEs is superior to that of other products. However, its interquartile range of 48.13% is larger than that of DST (20.77%), IDDI (11.06%), Himawari-8/9 AOD (39.58%), and MODIS AOD (41.67%), suggesting that the POCD of the AAI product exhibits significant variability across multiple DEs. The median POCD for the MODIS AOD product is 45.18%, making its detection performance in DEs second only to that of the AAI product. Following this are the Himawari-8/9 AOD, DST, and IDDI products, with corresponding POCD medians of 31.25%, 22.78%, and 12.41%, respectively. Notably, both FY-4A/B products have lower POCD values in terms of both median and mean compared to other products. However, their interquartile ranges are smaller than those of the other products. In particular, the interquartile range for IDDI (11.06%) is significantly smaller than its mean, indicating that its overall detection performance is poorer but relatively stable. In terms of the POFD, the Himawari-8/9 AOD product has the highest false detection rate with a median of 92.04%. Additionally, its lower quartile ranges of 11.46% indicates that the variability of the high false detection rate is low and is similar to the interquartile range of the AAI product. The median false detection rates for the MODIS AOD and Sentinel-5P AAI products are similar (88.6%), but their interquartile range (16.11%) is larger than that of the Himawari-8/9 AOD and AAI, suggesting greater overall variability in false detection rates. The medians for the POFD of the DST and IDDI products are 73.3% and 65.6%, respectively, with an interquartile range of approximately 33%. Although the false detection rates remain high, they are lower compared to those of other products. It is particularly noteworthy that, despite having higher POCD values than other products, AAI and MODIS AOD maintain relatively high POFD rates.

One reason for the high POFD is that most PM10 monitoring stations are distributed in eastern and central China, while relatively few are located in the northwestern regions where dust originate. This uneven distribution of stations leads to a low DD, which in turn results in a high POFD during the early stages of dust. Secondly, PM10 concentrations exceeding 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ are considered an indicator of dust occurrence, which may lead to an excessively high number of ND, subsequently causing a high POFD. Furthermore, as dust settles during its atmospheric diffusion, its concentration significantly decreases when transported to downstream areas compared to upstream regions. Consequently, the PM10 concentrations monitored by environmental stations in downstream areas will not be excessively high, leading to an excessive number of NDs, which, to some extent, contributes to an increase in POFD.

Figure 13 shows the dust detection results, including the POCD and POFD, of FY4A/B DST, FY4A/B IDDI, MODIS AOD, and Himawari-8/9 AOD products compared to the Sentinel-5P AAI product under the same spatiotemporal conditions. It can be observed from the figure that the POCD of the AAI product is significantly higher than that of the other four remote sensing products, with the POCD of the MODIS AOD product being closest to that of the AAI product. Furthermore, the POFD of the MODIS AOD product is lower than that of the AAI product, indicating better detection performance. The POCD of the Himawari-8/9 AOD product is 35%, which is 30% lower than the AAI's 65%, and its POFD is also lower than that of the AAI. In contrast, both the FY4A/B DST and FY4A/B IDDI have a POCD of 40%, showing a significant difference compared to the AAI and MODIS and AHI AOD products. Additionally, the IDDI product exhibits a lower false detection rate compared to the AAI product, further highlighting its superior detection performance. In addition, compared to the other four remote sensing products in the same space-time context, the AAI product consistently has the highest POFD, exceeding 90%.




Figure 12. The POCD and POFD of different satellite products for atmospheric dust for every dust events.




Figure 13. The FY-4A/B DST, FY-4A/B IDDI, MODIS AOD, and Himawari-8/9 AOD products were respectively compared with the Sentinel-5P AAI product for atmospheric dust POCD and POFD at the same spatiotemporal.

It can be seen from Fig. 12b that the POCD of MODIS AOD was still the largest (85.7%) at roughly the same observation time and the same observation area. This was followed by the AOD of Himawari-8/9 at 43.8%. Next was FY4A DST and IDDI products, both at 37.3%. The smallest POCD was the Sentinel-5P AAI product, which was 5.6%. However, the POFD of the Sentinel-5P AAI product was the largest among all aerosol products at 90.3%. The POFD of the other four products in descending order was MODIS AOD (61%), Himawari-8/9 AOD (50%), FY4A DST (24%), and FY4A IDDI (20.8%). In addition, the POCD of MODIS AOD and FY4A DSD products was higher than POFD at the same time and space (Fig. 12b). However, the POCD of Himawari-8/9 AOD and Sentinel-5P AAI products was lower than POFD. In particular, the POFD of Sentinel-5P AAI was about 85% higher than POCD.

4 Conclusions

This study assesses and validates the continuity, accuracy, and stability of FY4A DSD, MODIS AOD, Sentinel-5P AAI, and Himawari-8 AOD products in monitoring dust weather using ground station PM10 concentration data in East Asia. The main conclusions are summarized as follows:

- (1) In terms of the dust detection performance from different satellite remote sensing products during multiple DEs, the Sentinel-5P AAI demonstrates the best detection performance, with an average POCD of 57.16% and a median of 62.26%. Following this, they are the MODIS AOD, Himawari-8/9 AOD, FY4A/B DST, and FY4A/B IDDI products, which have average POCD values of 45.18%, 31.25%, 22.78%, and 12.41%, respectively. Additionally, although the average POCD for the FYY4A/B IDDI product is the lowest, the variation in POCD during multiple DEs is relatively stable.

(2) All products exhibit a common issue with high false alarm rates in dust detection during DEs. Even the best-performing product (AAI) has an average POFD as high as 88.66%; the average POFD values for other products are as follows: Himawari-8 AOD (92.04%), MODIS AOD (88.61%), FY4A/B DST (69.9%), and FY4A/B IDDI (67.69%).

(3) There are significant differences in the stability of dust detection among the different products. For instance, the Himawari-8 AOD has a POFD interquartile range of only 11.46%, exhibiting stable high false alarm characteristics, while the Sentinel-5P AAI shows a POCD interquartile range of 48.13%, indicating greater variability.

(4) Regarding the spatial continuity of dust weather observed from March 15 to 20, 2021, different satellite remote sensing dust aerosol products demonstrate good spatial consistency in their daily atmospheric dust level distributions. In particular, the spatial distribution of atmospheric dust aerosols captured by the Sentinel-5P AAI product is not only more detailed than that of other products but also compensates for the shortcomings of these satellite remote sensing products in detecting dust under clouds. Furthermore, the distribution of atmospheric dust concentrations captured by these satellites is well aligned with the PM10 concentration distributions from ground stations.

Data availability

The data mentioned in the article can be obtained by contacting the corresponding author.

Author contributions

Y.Z.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing –original draft, Visualization. N.W.: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. S.J.: Conceptualization, Writing—original draft preparation, Supervision, Project administration. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank the editor and all reviewers for their valuable comments.

651 **References**

- 652 Ahn, C., Torres, O., Jethva, H., Tiruchirapalli, R., Huang, L. K.: Evaluation of aerosol properties observed by
653 DSCOVR/EPIC instrument from the Earth-Sun Lagrange 1 Orbit, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126(12) , e2020JD033651,
654 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033651>,2021.
- 655 Akhlaq, M., Sheltami. T. R., and Mouftah, H. T.: A review of techniques and technologies for sand and dust storm detection,
656 *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol.*, 11, 305-322, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-012-9282-y>, 2012.
- 657 Apituley, A., Pedernana, M., Sneep, M., Veefkind, J. P., Loyola, D., and Zweers, D. S.: Sentinel-5 precursor/TROPOMI
658 level 2 product user manual UV aerosol index, 2022.
- 659 Bao, T., Xi, G., Hao, Y., Chang, I. S., Wu, J., Xue, Z., Jin, E., Zhang, W.,and Bao, Y.: The Transport Path and Vertical
660 Structure of Dust Storms in East Asia and the Impacts on Cities in Northern China, *Remote Sens.*, 15, 3183,
661 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15123183>, 2023.
- 662 Bessho, K., Date, K., Hayashi, M., Ikeda, A., Imai, T., Inoue, H., Kumagai, Y., Miyakawa, T., Murata, H., Ohno, T.,
663 Okuyama, A., Oyama, R., Sasaki, Y., Shimazu, Y., Shimoji, K., Sumida, Y., Suzuki, M., Taniguchi, H., Tsuchiyama, H.,
664 Uesawa, D., Yokota, H., and Yoshida, R.: An introduction to Himawari-8/9—Japan’s new-generation geostationary
665 meteorological satellites, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 94, 151-183, <https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2016-009>, 2016.
- 666 Boiyo, R., Kumar, K.R., and Zhao, T.: Optical, microphysical and radiative properties of aerosols over a tropical rural site in
667 Kenya, East Africa: Source identification, modification and aerosol type discrimination, *Atmos. Environ.*, 177, 234-252,
668 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.018>, 2018.
- 669 Çapraz, Ö., and Deniz, A.: Particulate matter (PM 10 and PM 2.5) concentrations during a Saharan dust episode in Istanbul,
670 *Air Qual. Atmos. Health.*, 14, 109-116, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-020-00917-4>, 2021.
- 671 Chen, B., Zhang, P., Zhang, B., Jia, R., Zhang, Z., Wang, T., and Zhou, T.: An overview of passive and active dust detection
672 methods using satellite measurements, *J. Meteorol. Res.*, 28, 1029-1040, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-014-4032-4>, 2014.
- 673 Chimot, J., Veefkind, J. P., Vlemmix, T., de Haan, J. F., Amiridis, V., Proestakis, E., Marinou, E., and Levelt, P. F.: An
674 exploratory study on the aerosol height retrieval from OMI measurements of the 477 nm O₂ – O₂ spectral band using a
675 neural network approach, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 783–809, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-783-2017>, 2017.
- 676 Dave, J. V., and Mateer, C. L.: A preliminary study on the possibility of estimating total atmospheric ozone from satellite
677 measurements, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 24, 414-427, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1967\)024<0414:APSOTP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1967)024<0414:APSOTP>2.0.CO;2), 1967.
- 678 De G.M., Stammes, P., Torres, O., and Koelemeijer, R. B. A.: Absorbing Aerosol Index: Sensitivity analysis, application to
679 GOME and comparison with TOMS, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 110, D10201, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005178>, 2005.
- 680 Duan, H., Guo, N., Huo, W., Qin, H., and Ma, Y.: The Comparison of the prediction efficiency of GRAPES-SDM dust-
681 storm model and the satellite remote sensing monitoring, *J. Desert Res.*, 34, 1617-1623,
682 <https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.7522/j.issn.1000-694X.2014.00012>, 2014.

683 Fang, L., Wang, S., Yu, T., Gu, X., Zhang, X., Wang, W., Ren, S.: Changes in aerosol optical and micro-physical properties
684 over Northeast Asia from a severe dust storm in April 2014, *Remote Sens.*, 8(5): 394. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050394>,
685 2016.

686 Filonchyk, M., Hurynovich, V., Yan, H., and Yang, S.: Atmospheric pollution assessment near potential source of natural
687 aerosols in the South Gobi Desert region, China, *Gisci. Rmote Sens.*, 57, 227-244,
688 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2020.1715591>, 2020.

689 Filonchyk, M.: Characteristics of the severe March 2021 Gobi Desert dust storm and its impact on air pollution in China,
690 *Chemosphere*, 287, 132219, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132219>, 2022.

691 Fioletov, V., McLinden, C. A., Griffin, D., Theys, N., Loyola, D. G., Hedelt, P., Krotkov, N. A., and Li, C.: Anthropogenic
692 and volcanic point source SO₂ emissions derived from TROPOMI on board Sentinel-5 Precursor: first results, *Atmos. Chem.*
693 *Phys.*, 20, 5591–5607, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5591-2020>, 2020.

694 Fukuda, S., Nakajima, T., Takenaka, H., Higurashi, A., Kikuchi, N., Nakajima, T. Y., and Ishida, H.: New approaches to
695 removing cloud shadows and evaluating the 380 nm surface reflectance for improved aerosol optical thickness retrievals
696 from the GOSAT/TANSO-Cloud and Aerosol Imager, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 520-531,
697 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020090>, 2013.

698 Gao, H., and Washington, R.: Arctic oscillation and the interannual variability of dust emissions from the Tarim Basin: a
699 TOMS AI based study, *Clim. Dynam.*, 35, 511-522, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0687-4>, 2010.

700 Ge, B., Yang, L., Chen, X., Li, Z., Mei, X., and Liu, L.: Study on aerosol optical depth retrieval over land from Himawari-8
701 data based on dark target method, *Natl. Remote Sens. Bull.*, 22, 38-50, <https://doi.org/10.11834/jrs.20187033>, 2018.

702 Go, S., Kim, J., Park, S. S., Kim, M., Lim, H., Kim, J., Lee, D., and Im, J.: Synergistic use of hyperspectral UV-visible OMI
703 and broadband meteorological imager MODIS data for a merged aerosol product, *Remote Sens.*, 12, 3987,
704 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12233987>, 2020.

705 Gui, L., Tao, M., Wang, Y., Wang, L., Chen, L., Lin, C., Tao, J., Wang, J., and Yu, C.: Climatology of aerosol types and
706 their vertical distribution over East Asia based on CALIPSO lidar measurements, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 42, 6042-6054,
707 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7599>, 2022.

708 Hang, Y., Jiang, X., Yun, J., et al.: Comparison and Analysis of Sand-Dust Weather Frequency Changes between Sand
709 Sources and Downstream Areas in East Asia, *Meteorol. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 499-509,
710 <https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.19517/j.1671-6345.20220173>, 2023.

711 Hashimoto, M., and Nakajima, T.: Development of a remote sensing algorithm to retrieve atmospheric aerosol properties
712 using multiwavelength and multipixel information, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122, 6347-6378,
713 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025698>, 2017.

714 Herman, J. R., Bhartia, P. K., Torres, O., Hsu, C., Seftor, C., and Celarier, E.: Global distribution of UV-absorbing aerosols
715 from Nimbus 7/TOMS data, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 102, 16911-16922, <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03680>, 1997.

716 Hsu, N. C., Jeong, M. J., Bettenhausen, C., Sayer, A. M., Hansell, R., Seftor, C. S., Huang, J., and Tsay, S. C. Enhanced
 717 Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: The second generation, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 9296-9315,
 718 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50712>, 2013.

719 Hu, X., Lu, N., and Zhang, P.: Remote sensing and detection of dust storm in China using the thermal bands of geostationary
 720 meteorological satellite, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Sci.*, 18, 266-275, <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-7313.2007.03.002>, 2007.

721 Huang, J., Ge, J., and Weng, F.: Detection of Asia dust storms using multisensor satellite measurements, *Remote Sens.*
 722 *Environ.*, 110, 186-191, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.02.022>, 2007.

723 Huang, J., Lin, B., Minnis, P., Wang, T., Wang, X., Hu, Y., Yi, Y., and Ayers, J. K.: Satellite-based assessment of possible
 724 dust aerosols semi-direct effect on cloud water path over East Asia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33,
 725 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026561>, 2006.

726 Huang, J., Wang, T., Wang, W., Li, Z., and Yan, H.: Climate effects of dust aerosols over East Asian arid and semiarid
 727 regions, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 398-416, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021796>, 2014.

728 Jasim, S. A., Mohammadi, M. J., Patra, I., Jail, A. T., Taherian, M., Abdullaeva, U. Y., Sharma, S., Ekrami, H. A.,
 729 Mousavion, K., and Alborzi, M.: The effect of microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) in dust storm on human health, *Rev.*
 730 *Environ. Health.*, 39(1): 65-75. <https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2022-0162>, 2024.

731 Jia, R., Liu, Y., Hua, S., Zhu, Q., and Shao, T.: Estimation of the aerosol radiative effect over the Tibetan Plateau based on
 732 the latest CALIPSO product, *J. Meteorol. Res.*, 32, 707-722, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-018-8060-3>, 2018.

733 Jiang, T., Chen, B., Chan, K. K. Y., and Xu B.: Himawari-8/AHI and MODIS aerosol optical depths in China: evaluation
 734 and comparison, *Remote Sens.*, 11, 1011, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11091011>, 2019.

735 Jiang, Y., Gao, Y., He, C., Liu, B., Pan, Y., and Li, X.: Spatiotemporal distribution and variation of wind erosion over the
 736 Tibetan Plateau based on a coupled land-surface wind-erosion model, *Aeolian. Res.*, 50, 100699,
 737 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2021.100699>, 2021.

738 Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré D., Dubovik, O., Karnieli, A., and Remer, L. A.: Absorption of sunlight by dust as inferred from
 739 satellite and ground-based remote sensing, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1479-1482, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012647>,
 740 2001.

741 Kaufman, Y.J., Tanre, D., Remer, L.A., Vermote, E.F., Chu, A., Holben, B.N.: Operational remote sensing of tropospheric
 742 aerosol over land from EOS moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 102, 17051–17067,
 743 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012647>, 1997.

744 Kikuchi, M., Murakami, H., Suzuki, K., Nagao, T.M., and Higurashi, A.: Improved hourly estimates of aerosol optical
 745 thickness using spatiotemporal variability derived from Himawari-8 geostationary satellite, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote.*, 56,
 746 3442-3455, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2018.2800060>, 2018.

747 Kim, M. H., Omar, A. H., Tackett, J. L., Vaughan, M. A., Winker, D. M., Trepte, C. R., Hu, Y., Liu, Z., Poole, L. R., Pitts,
 748 M. C., Kar, J., and Magill, B. E.: The CALIPSO version 4 automated aerosol classification and lidar ratio selection algorithm,
 749 *Atmos. Meas. Tech*, 11, 6107-6135, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-6107-2018>, 2018.

750 Kok, J. F., Storelvmo, T., Karydis, V. A., Adebisi, A. A., Mahowald, N. M., Evan, A. T., Evan, A. T., He, C., and Leung, D.
 751 M.: Mineral dust aerosol impacts on global climate and climate change, *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ*, 4, 71-86.
 752 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00379-5>, 2023.

753 Legrand, M., Plana-Fattori, A., and N'doumé C.: Satellite detection of dust using the IR imagery of Meteosat: 1. Infrared
 754 difference dust index, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 106, 18251-18274, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900749>, 2001.

755 Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Patadia, F., and Hsu, N. C.: The Collection 6 MODIS aerosol
 756 products over land and ocean, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 2989-3034, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013>, 2013.

757 Levy, R.C., L.A. Remer, O. Dubovik.: Global aerosol optical properties and application to moderate resolution imaging
 758 Spectroradiometer aerosol retrieval over land, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 112, doi: 10.1029/2006jd007815, 2007.

759 Li, J., Wong, M., Lee, K. H., Nichol, J., and Chan, P. W.: Review of dust storm detection algorithms for multispectral
 760 satellite sensors, *Atmos. Res.*, 250, 105398, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105398>, 2021.

761 Li, Y.: Operational application of Fengyun satellite in dust weather monitoring, *Satell. Appl*, 11: 24-28,, 2018.

762 Li, Y.: Operational application of Fengyun satellite in dust weather monitoring, *Satell. Appl*, 11: 24-28,
 763 <https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.7522/CNKI: SUN:WXYG.0.2018-11-009>, 2018.

764 Liu, C., Shen, X., and Gao, W.: Intercomparison of CALIOP, MODIS, and AERONET aerosol optical depth over China
 765 during the past decade, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 39, 7251-7275, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1466070>, 2018.

766 Liu, D, Wang, Z., Liu, Z., Winker, D., and Trepte, C.: A height resolved global view of dust aerosols from the first year
 767 CALIPSO lidar measurements, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 113, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009776>, 2008.

768 Lu, J., Xu, Z., and Qi, Y.: Global Dust Remote Sensing with the FengYun-3 Satellite. *Desert Res.*, 35, 690–698, 2015.

769 Mahowald, N. M., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Duce, R. A., Jickells, T. D., Kubilay, N., Prospero, J. M., and
 770 Tegen, I.: Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to the ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 19,
 771 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002402>, 2005.

772 Mahowald, N.: Aerosol indirect effect on biogeochemical cycles and climate *Science*, 334, 794-796,
 773 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207374>, 2011.

774 Michailidis, K., Koukouli, M., Balis, D., Veefkind, J.P., Graaf, M.D., Mona, L., Papagianopoulos, N., Pappalardo, G.,
 775 Tsikoudi, I., Amiridis, V., Marinou, E., Gialitaki, A., Mamouri, M. E., Nisantzi, A., Bortoli, D., Costa, M. J., Salgueiro, V.,
 776 Papayannis, A., Mylonaki, M., Alados-Arboledas, L., Romano, S., Perrone, M. R., and Baars, H.: Validation of the
 777 TROPOMI/S5P aerosol layer height using EARLINET lidars, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 1919-1940,
 778 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-1919-2023>, 2023.

779 Middleton, N. J.: Desert dust hazards: A global review, *Aeolian. Res.*, 24, 53-63,
 780 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2016.12.001>, 2017.

781 Mu F, Fiedler S.: How much do atmospheric depressions and Mongolian cyclones contribute to spring dust activities in East
 782 Asia? *Npj. Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 8(1): 51, doi: 10.1038/s41612-025-00929-w, 2025.

783 Mu, F., Luiz, E. W., and Fiedler, S.: On the dynamics and air-quality impact of the exceptional East Asian dust outbreak in
784 mid-March 2021, *Atmos. Res.*, 292, 106846, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2023.106846>, 2023.

785 Penning de Vries, M., and Wagner, T.: Modelled and measured effects of clouds on UV Aerosol Indices on a local, regional,
786 and global scale, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12715-12735, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12715-2011>, 2011.

787 Prospero, J. M., Ginoux, P., Torres, O., Nicholson, S. E., Gill, T. E.: Environmental characterization of global sources of
788 atmospheric soil dust identified with the Nimbus 7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) absorbing aerosol product,
789 *Rev. Geophys.*, 40(1), 2-1, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000095>, 2002.

790 Rao, Y., Li, H., Chen, M., Fu, Q., Zhuang, G., and Huang, K.: Characterization of airborne microbial aerosols during a long-
791 range transported dust event in Eastern China: bacterial community, influencing factors, and potential health effects, *Aerosol*
792 *Air Qual. Res.*, 20, 2834-2845, <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2020.01.0030>, 2020.

793 Rezaei, M., Farajzadeh, M., Mielonen, T., and Ghavidel, Y. Analysis of spatio-temporal dust aerosol frequency over Iran
794 based on satellite data, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, 10, 508-519, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2018.10.002>, 2019.

795 Richon, C., Dutay, J. C., Dulac, F., Wang, R., Balkanski.: Modeling the biogeochemical impact of atmospheric phosphate
796 deposition from desert dust and combustion sources to the Mediterranean Sea, *Biogeosciences*, 15, 2499-2524,
797 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2499-2018>, 2018.

798 Shao, Y., and Dong, C.H.: A review on East Asian dust storm climate, modelling and monitoring, *Global. Planet. Change.*,
799 52, 1-22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.011>, 2006.

800 Shao, Y., Wyrwoll, K. H., Chappell, A., Huang, J., Lin, Z., McTainsh, G. H., Mikami, M., Tanaka, T. Y., Wang, X., and
801 Yoon, S.: Dust cycle: An emerging core theme in Earth system science, *Aeolian. Res.*, 2, 181-204,
802 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2011.02.001>, 2011.

803 She, L., Xue, Y., Yang, X., Guang, J., Li, Y., Che, Y., Fan, C.: Dust detection and intensity estimation using Himawari-
804 8/AHI observation, *Remote Sens.*, 10(4): 490, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040490>, 2018.

805 Song, L., Bi, X., Zhang, Z., Li, L., Dai, Q., Zhang, W., Hu, L., Wang, X., Liang, D., Feng, Y.: Impact of sand and dust
806 storms on the atmospheric environment and its source in Tianjin-China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 825: 153980,
807 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153980>, 2022.

808 Sun, X., Fan, X., Zhang, T., Wang, Y., Lyu, D., and Zheng, M.: Tempo-Spatial Distributions and Transport Characteristics
809 of Two Dust Events over Northern China in March 2021, *Remote Sens.*, 14, 5967, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040490>, 2022.

810 Tang, C., Shi, C., Letu, H., Ma, R., Yoshida, M., Kikuchi, M., Xu, J., Li, N., Zhao, M., Chen, L., and Shi, G. Evaluation and
811 uncertainty analysis of Himawari-8 hourly aerosol product version 3.1 and its influence on surface solar radiation before and
812 during the COVID-19 outbreak, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 892, 164456, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040490>, 2023.

813 Torres, O., Bhartia, P. K., Herman, J. R., Sinyuk, A., Ginoux, P., & Holben, B.: A long-term record of aerosol optical depth
814 from TOMS observations and comparison to AERONET measurements, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 398– 413,
815 [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2002\)059<0398:ALTROA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<0398:ALTROA>2.0.CO;2), 2002.

816 Torres, O., Jethva, H., Ahn, C., Jaross, G., and Loyola, D. G.: TROPOMI aerosol products: evaluation and observations of
817 synoptic-scale carbonaceous aerosol plumes during 2018–2020, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 6789–6806,
818 <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6789-2020>, 2020.

819 Veefkind, J. P., Aben, I., McMullan, K., Förster, H., de Vries, J., Otter, G., Claas, J., Eskes, H. J., de Haan, J. F., Kleipool,
820 Q., Van Weele, M., Hasekamp, O., Hoogeveen, R., Landgraf, J., Snel, R., Tol, P., Ingmann, P., Voors, R., Kruizinga, B.,
821 Vink, R., Visser, H., and Levelt, P. F.: TROPOMI on the ESA Sentinel-5 Precursor: A GMES mission for global
822 observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer applications, *Remote Sens. Environ.*,
823 120, 70–83, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.09.027>, 2012.

824 Wang, D., Zhang, F., Yang, S., Xia, N., and Ariken, M.: Exploring the spatial-temporal characteristics of the aerosol optical
825 depth (AOD) in Central Asia based on the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS), *Environ. Monit.*
826 *Assess.*, 192, 1–15, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08299-x>, 2020.

827 Wang, M., Wei, W., Ruan, Z., He, Q., and Ge, R.: Application of wind-profiling radar data to the analysis of dust weather in
828 the Taklimakan Desert, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 185, 4819–4834, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2906-4>, 2013.

829 Wang, N., Chen, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, Y., Yu, W.: The Spatiotemporal Characteristics and Driving Factors of Dust Emissions
830 in East Asia (2000–2021), *Remote Sens.*, 15, 410, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15020410>, 2023.

831 Wei, J., Li, Z., Sun, L., Peng, Y., Zhang, Z., Li, Z., Su, T., Feng, L., Cai, Z., and Wu, H.: Evaluation and uncertainty estimate
832 of next-generation geostationary meteorological Himawari-8/AHI aerosol products, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 692, 879–891,
833 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.016>, 2019a.

834 Wei, J., Li, Z., Sun, L., Peng, Y., and Wang, L.: Improved merge schemes for MODIS Collection 6.1 Dark Target and Deep
835 Blue combined aerosol products, *Atmos. Environ.*, 202, 315–327, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.326>, 2019b.

836 Wei, X., Chang, N.B., Bai, K., and Gao, W.: Satellite remote sensing of aerosol optical depth: Advances, challenges, and
837 perspectives, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 1640–1725, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2019.1665944>, 2020.

838 Winker, D. M., Hunt, W. H., and McGill, M. J.: Initial performance assessment of CALIOP, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34,
839 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030135>, 2007.

840 Wu, S., Ma, X.: Analysis of dust vertical and horizontal distribution during dust events in northwest China based on FY-4A,
841 MODIS and CALIPSO satellite data, *Acta Sci. Circumstantiae*, 40: 2892–2901,
842 <https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2020.0093>, 2020.

843 Xian, D., Zhang, P., Gao, L., Sun, R., Zhang, H., Jia, X.: Fengyun meteorological satellite products for earth system science
844 applications, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 38(8): 1267–1284, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-021-0425-3>, 2021.

845 Yan, X., Luo, N., Liang, C., Zang, Z., Zhao, W., and Shi, W.: Simplified and Fast Atmospheric Radiative Transfer model for
846 satellite-based aerosol optical depth retrieval, *Atmos. Environ.*, 224, 117362,
847 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117362>, 2020.

848 Yang, L., She, L., Che, Y., He, X., Yang, C., and Feng, Z.: Analysis of Dust Detection Algorithms Based on FY-4A Satellite
849 Data, *Appl. Sci.*, 13, 1365, <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13031365>, 2023.

850 Yang, Y. Q., Hou, Q., Zhou, C. H., Liu, H. L., Wang, Y. Q., and Niu, T.: Sand/dust storm processes in Northeast Asia and
 851 associated large-scale circulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 25-33, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-25-2008>, 2008.

852 Ye, Q., Zheng, X. S., Zhao, S. Y.: Monitoring and transport path analysis of an intense dust weather process in 2021.
 853 *National Remote Sensing Bulletin*, 27(08):1821-1833, <https://doi.org/10.11834/jrs.20232153>, 2023.

854 Yoshida, M., Kikuchi, M., Nagao, T.M., Murakami, H., Nomaki, T., and Higurashi, A.: Common retrieval of aerosol
 855 properties for imaging satellite sensors, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 96B, 193-209, <https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2018-039>, 2018.

856 Zhang, P., Lu, N., Hu, X., and Dong, C.: Identification and physical retrieval of dust storm using three MODIS thermal IR
 857 channels, *Global. Planet. Change.*, 52, 197-206, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.014>, 2006.

858 Zhang, P., Wang, C., Chen, L., Bai, W., Qi, C., and Qi, J.: Current Status of Satellite-Based Dust Aerosol Remote Sensing
 859 and Some Issues to Be Concerned, *Meteor. Mon.*, 44, 725-736, <https://doi.org/10.7519/j.issnF.1000-0526.2018.06.001>, 2018.

860 Zhang, P., Zhu, L., Tang, S., Gao, L., Chen, L., Zheng, W., Han, X., Chen, J., and Shao, J.: General comparison of FY-
 861 4A/AGRI with other GEO/LEO instruments and its potential and challenges in non-meteorological applications, *Front. Earth.*
 862 *Sci.*, 6, 224, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00224>, 2019a.

863 Zhang, W., Xu, H., and Zhang, L.: Assessment of Himawari-8 AHI aerosol optical depth over land, *Remote Sens.*, 11, 1108,
 864 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11091108>, 2019b.

865 Zhao, Y., Xin, Z., and Ding, G.: Spatiotemporal variation in the occurrence of sand-dust events and its influencing factors in
 866 the Beijing-Tianjin Sand Source Region, China, 1982–2013, *Reg. Environ. Change.*, 18, 2433-2444,
 867 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1365-z>, 2018.

868 Zhuang, G., Guo, J., Yuan, H., Zhao, C.: The compositions, sources, and size distribution of the dust storm from China in
 869 spring of 2000 and its impact on the global environment, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, 46: 895-900, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02900460>,
 870 2001,

871 Zweers, D.S.: TROPOMI ATBD of the UV Aerosol Index, Available online from
 872 <https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/documents/247904/2476257/Sentinel-5P-TROPOMI-ATBD-UV-Aerosol-Index.pdf>, 2022.