
1 **Response to Reviewer 1#**

2 1. Abstract: Full name of acronym (FY4A, MODIS, and POCD)

3 **Response:** Thanks for your suggestion. We have now spelled out the full names of the
4 acronyms (FY4A, MODIS, and POCD) in the abstract upon their first use (Line
5 13, Line 14, Line 19).

6 2. Lines 27-29: “When dust weather occurs, ... (Mahowald, 2011)”: More references
7 should be added here.

8 **Response:** We have expanded the discussion on dust weather impacts by adding key
9 references (Mahowald, 2011; Kok et al., 2023; Zhuang et al., 2001) to better
10 support this point in Line 29.

11 3. Lines 45-47: “After the 1970s, with the rapid development of various earth
12 observation...”: Instead of listing references, I suggest briefly introducing key
13 previous studies closely related to this research and linking them individually to
14 the references. This approach should be applied to many other sections of the
15 manuscript as well.

16 **Response:** Thanks. We have expanded the discussion of key studies in the specified
17 section (Lines 45-47) by explicitly linking major advancements to their seminal
18 references. This approach has been consistently applied throughout the manuscript
19 to better contextualize our work within existing literature.

20 4. Lines 53-77: This paragraph introduces the various sensors (distinguishing between
21 GEO/LEO), algorithms, and the variables provided by each algorithm. I
22 recommend to revise it to discuss their strengths and weaknesses and explain the
23 rationale behind the selection of products in this study. For example, this study
24 uses DT and DB products from three available MODIS aerosol products (DT, DB,
25 and MAIAC). VIIRS continues MODIS observations, but the products are not
26 used here. Although Korean Geostationary Satellites such as GEMS, GOCI, and
27 AMI provide aerosol information, they are not used here. Additionally, references
28 are needed for each aerosol product.

29 **Response:** In lines 65-75, the referenced data has been supplemented with relevant
30 citations. Additionally, we discussed the advantages and disadvantages of the
31 product in both the data introduction and the results and discussion sections.

32 5. Lines 86-87 “Its aerosol classification monitoring and vertical structure are currently
33 the most comprehensive and accurate aerosol product” : On what basis is this

34 defined?

35 Response: In Line 92-94, We have revised the statement to remove unsubstantiated
36 absolute claims while maintaining emphasis on the product's utility, with
37 supporting references added for verification.

38 6. Line 94 “The strongest dust weather”: On what basis is this defined? There are many
39 instances of dust being transported across the Pacific Ocean.

40 Response: Following your comment, we have conducted a broader analysis of dust
41 events and consequently removed the absolute descriptor "strongest" from the text.

42 7. Lines 101-104 “However, the accuracy, stability, and reliability of these satellite
43 remote sensing retrieval products are not clear for dust weather monitoring” : That
44 is not true. Here is a quick example: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024103>

45 Response: Lines 103-104, We have revised the expression to "Research assessing the
46 accuracy, stability, and reliability of these satellite remote sensing retrieval
47 products for dust storm monitoring has been scarce. "

48 8. Line 116 “Spring (March-May) is the season when dust weather occurs frequently in
49 East Asia”: Need reference.

50 Response: Thanks. As suggested, we have added supporting references to this statement
51 in Line 114.

52 9. Lines 116-117 “the frequent activity of cold air in northern East Asia in spring
53 provides a driving force for the formation of dust weather” : What does the ‘cold
54 air activity’ refer to?

55 Response: The cold air activity here refers to the Mongolian cyclone. We have made
56 relevant modifications in the corresponding sections of the article and added
57 references in Line 116.

58 10. Figure 1: It is difficult to distinguish the dust scene from the background surface in
59 this image. Why not provide a 'background RGB image' from a pristine day as a
60 reference?

61 Response: We have updated Figure 1 by adding a reference RGB image from a pristine
62 dust-free day to enhance the contrast and visibility of dust features.

63 11. 2.2.1 FY-4A: Could you summarize the definitions of the various dust indices in a
64 formula or table to allow for a clearer comparison? Which wavelength is used for
65 deriving IDDI?

66 Response: The DST data documentation does not explicitly provide the formula, and
67 some references only offer an introduction to the data. The formula for IDDI has
68 been provided in Lines 165-168.

69 12. 2.2.2 MODIS: Please revise Modis into MODIS in the section.

70 Response: Thanks. We have corrected "Modis" to "MODIS" throughout Section 2.2.2
71 to maintain consistent acronym formatting.

72 13. Line 177: remove 'the United State'

73 Response: We have removed "the United State" as suggested.

74 14. Line 181: remove 'for free'

75 Response: We have removed " for free " as suggested.

76 15. Line 183: MODIS provides multiple aerosol products (DT, DB, MAIAC...) and
77 each algorithm provides Lv2 and Lv3 products.

78 Response: In Lines 183-185, we have revised this sentence "MODIS provides aerosol
79 products with varying resolutions (1 km, 3 km, 10 km) for operational use, offering
80 long-term and global coverage. One type includes daily atmospheric aerosol
81 products with spatial resolutions of 10 km and 3 km, while another type features
82 daily, 8-day, and monthly composite products with a spatial resolution of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$."

83 16. Lines 187-188: "...while the DB algorithm was mainly designed to overcome the
84 poor retrieve results of the DT algorithms in areas with high reflectance.": The DT
85 algorithm is designed based on its theoretical background to target dark soil and
86 vegetation surface. The Deep Blue algorithm was developed to overcome
87 uncertainties in bright surfaces by utilizing observations from the deep blue
88 channel. As a result, both the DT and DB algorithms perform complementary roles
89 in global aerosol observations.

90 Response: In Lines 185-192, we have revised this sentence "These aerosol products are
91 based on two famous aerosol retrieval algorithms, including the Dark Target (DT)
92 algorithm on land/ocean and the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm on land (Hsu et al.,
93 2013; Levy et al., 2013). Due to the significant impact of high-reflectivity areas
94 such as deserts and snowfields on the atmospheric top layer reflectance in the red
95 light and shortwave infrared bands, the linear relationship between the surface
96 reflectance of red and blue light (0.65 and $0.47 \mu\text{m}$) and the surface reflectance in
97 the shortwave infrared band ($2.11 \mu\text{m}$) does not hold. This makes it difficult to
98 distinguish the contributions from aerosols and the ground (Hsu et al., 2013). In
99 contrast, the DB algorithm shows better retrieval results in these areas, as its initial

development aimed to overcome the uncertainties in retrieval results in high-reflectance environments.”

17. Line 194: Collection 6.1 is the latest version, though it was not released recently. A new DT GEO-LEO combined products is available here: ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/missions-and-measurements/applications/geoleo/

Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have revised the description of Collection 6.1 in Line 195.

18. Lines 207-209 “It can effectively observe trace gas components in the atmosphere around the world...”: TROPOMI/S5P instrument provides hyperspectral measurements in UV visible, NIR and SWIR, which are also advantageous for retrieving aerosol absorptivity (like SSA) and aerosol layer height.

Response: In Lines 199-202, we have revised this sentence “As the world's highest-resolution and most advanced imaging spectrometer for atmospheric environmental monitoring, TROPOMI provides hyperspectral measurements across ultraviolet (UV), visible (VIS), near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands (Veefkind et al, 2012).”

19. Line 221: aerosol optical thickness aerosol optical depth

Response: We modified this word in Line 213.

20. Line 249-251: The sentence here is unclear. What does “the value at 470 nm is higher than the value at 640 nm” means? “...using the spectral dependence of surface reflectance...”: Does it mean the surface reflectance relationship suggested in Kaufman et al. (1997)?

Response: Based on your feedback, we have reorganized this sentence in Lines 239-243 as follows: Then, the pixels with the second lowest reflectance at 470nm within a month are synthesized. Pixels exhibiting values at 470 nm that are higher than those at 640 nm are suspected of being influenced by residual aerosol contamination. To address this, these pixels will be replaced with reflectance values calculated based on the vegetation index, utilizing the spectral dependence of surface reflectance (Kaufman et al., 1997). These results will be considered as the true surface reflectance.

21. 2.3 Method: I would recommend summarizing the criteria for strategy for detecting dust pixels in a table or diagram.

Response: Based on your suggestions, we have added Table 1 in Section 2.3 to

134 summarize the criteria for detecting dust pixels.

135 22. Line 261 "...its size": 'magnitude' might be better than 'size'.

136 Response: We have modified this.

137 23. Line 281 "ground environmental monitoring stations": Authors need to provide the
138 characteristics of the PM10 observation (ex. Retrieval frequency, accuracy, sensor
139 calibration...)

140 Response: The observational characteristics of PM10 have been introduced in Section
141 2.1.

142 24. Line 307 "Figure 3": Maybe Figure 2?

143 Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have carefully checked the details in the
144 text.

145 25. Figure 2: Please indicate the region mentioned in the text on the figure. This will
146 make it easier to follow the discussion.

147 Response: The mentioned parts have been labeled in Figure 1. For the areas that could
148 not be marked in Figure 1, we have also included the latitude and longitude range
149 at the first mention.

150 26. Figure 2 and Figure 3: I recommend aligning the projection areas to facilitate
151 comparison between the dust index and PM₁₀ concentration. Why not consider
152 combining Figure 2 and Figure 3?

153 Response: Following your recommendation, we have merged this and processed similar
154 Figures within the text.

155 27. Figure 4: It is recommended that each figure be accompanied by a title. It is
156 questionable whether analyzing trends in this figure is appropriate, given that
157 POCD and POFD are unlikely to vary continuously across space and time.

158 Response: First, we changed the chart from a line graph to a bar chart, and secondly,
159 we will no longer analyze the trends.

160 28. Lines 355-358 "In order to better prove the application ... the atmospheric dust
161 detection capabilities of the FY-4A DSD product.": This appears to be an
162 unnecessary statement. Removing it will improve conciseness and flow without
163 losing important content.

164 Response: Okay, this sentence in the text has been deleted.

165 29. Lines 370-371 “misjudgment and omission of atmospheric dust detection by
166 satellite remote sensing are inevitable”: The current phrasing incorrectly
167 generalizes limitations to all satellite remote sensing. This should be narrowed to
168 specifically address the FY-4A dust products being discussed.

169 Response: Okay, we have added the qualifier 'of FY-4A/B' at the end.

170 30. Line 409 “Generally speaking, when large-scale dust weather occurs, the main
171 pollutant in the atmosphere is dust.” I guess it depends on season, time, and
172 location.

173 Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have modified this sentence in Lines 409-
174 410 as follows: For East Asia, especially in northern China, when large-scale
175 sandstorms occur in the spring, the main pollutant in the atmosphere within the
176 affected area is dust (Filonchyk, 2022; Song et al., 2022)

177 31. Line 420 “Therefore, compared with the DB algorithm, the DT algorithm is not
178 suitable for AOD retrieval in areas with high surface reflectance.”: The current
179 statement presents an overly simplistic and potentially misleading view of the
180 algorithms' capabilities. While the DB algorithm performs better in areas with
181 bright surface, the DT algorithm provides accurate aerosol products over dark soil,
182 vegetation and ocean surfaces. Each algorithm has its own strengths and
183 limitations, with DT being more limited when performing retrievals over brighter
184 surfaces.

185 Response: The discussion of the DT and DB algorithms has been removed from the
186 results section of the article, so this paragraph has been deleted

187 32. Figure 6: Author need to clarify collocation criteria for the satellite aerosol products
188 and ground-based PM10 observation.

189 Response: The collocation criteria are discussed in the last paragraph of Section 2.3.

190 33. Line 494 “Therefore, the AAI calculation method is not a classic aerosol retrieval
191 method.”: What is the classic aerosol retrieval? The field of aerosol remote sensing
192 employs numerous diverse approaches and algorithms for retrieving aerosol
193 information, each with specific applications, advantages, and limitations.

194 Response: Yes, I have deleted this inaccurate expression.

195 34. Lines 519-520 “However, due to the limitation of the satellite observation range,
196 Himawari-8 cannot effectively monitor dust activities in central and western

197 Xinjiang, China.”: It would like to recommend revising it to “Due to the limited
198 field of view from geostationary orbit, Himawari-8 has reduced observational
199 capability in central and western Xinjiang, China.”

200 Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have revised this sentence in Lines 536-
201 538.

202 35. Lines 562-563 “However, the performance of the Himawari-8 AOD product was
203 worse than that of several other products.”: It is hard to tell from the results shown
204 here.

205 Response: In the latest version of the manuscript, this conclusion is no longer drawn.

206 36. Reference: Suggest reviewing the manuscript to ensure that the references are
207 appropriately used.

208 Response: Regarding the references, we have made careful modifications and
209 verifications

210

Response to Reviewer 2#

1. A single dust weather event is insufficient to characterize the accuracy and stability of different products in identifying dust storms. It is recommended that the authors expand the study's temporal scope to include dust event cases across multiple seasons, analyzing the impact of seasonal surface changes, such as vegetation cover, soil moisture, and surface temperature, on the accuracy and stability of dust identification by different remote sensing products, (e.g., the high-dust storm period in spring season versus the low-dust storm period in other seasons), thereby evaluating their cross-seasonal stability and adaptability.

Response: In East Asia, dust storm weather mainly occurs in the spring. Based on your suggestion to increase research on multiple dust storm events, we compiled data on dust storm incidents that occurred from April 2015 to 2019 from the National Climate Center of the China Meteorological Administration: <http://ncc-cma.net/cn/>. We evaluated the performance of various satellite products for these 64 events.

2. In the manuscript, there is an issue with the citation format, for example, on the line 86 of page 3, “which can distinguish dust from complex atmospheric environments. (Liu et al., 2008).”, and line 88 of page 3, aerosol-cloud interaction and climate effects, etc. (Gui et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2023).”, and etc.

Response: Thank you for pointing out the issues. We have carefully revised the references in the text.

3. It is recommended that the authors overlay these satellite remote sensing product data with PM10 concentration data from ground observation stations spatially (e.g., displaying satellite dust distribution and PM10 concentration points simultaneously on an image) to more intuitively demonstrate the consistency between product detection results and ground observations, thereby enhancing the readability of figures and the clarity of result interpretation, specifically between Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

Response: We adjusted the drawing of the Figures and overlaid the PM10 values with the satellite products (Figure 3/5/7/9/11).

4. It mentioned that “when the DST judgment result was dust, the IDDI result may not be dust, which was more obvious over desert areas” on line 377-378, how to explain this situation, and the results in Fig. 2 on March 16, IDDI exhibited this difference compared to DST over the North China Plain. How can this phenomenon be explained?

246 **Response:** The imprecise statement has been amended in the article.

247 5. Lines 421-423 state, "it was found that DB is significantly better than DTB in
248 describing the details of dust weather." How can this statement be explained, and
249 it is recommended to provide a detailed explanation?

250 **Response:** In the latest manuscript, we no longer make a comparison between DT and
251 DTB; we have only selected the DT product.

252 6. How was the POCD for all products in Figure 12(a) calculated from March 13 to 20?
253 Was it an average of daily results or another method? Referring to Figure 6, the
254 average POCD for MODIS over the whole dust weather process cannot reach 91%.

255 **Response:** In the latest manuscript, for the 64 DEs, each POCD value represents the
256 total value during each DE process. In the original manuscript, the POCD in Figure
257 6 was the daily average, while Figure 12 represented the total value for the entire
258 dust storm event. Since the daily values of DD, DN, and ND vary, this caused the
259 daily average in Figure 6 to appear inconsistent with the total POCD.