Response to Reviewer 1#

1. Abstract: Full name of acronym (FY4A, MODIS, and POCD)

Response: Thanks for your suggestion. We have now spelled out the full names of the acronyms (FY4A, MODIS, and POCD) in the abstract upon their first use (Line 13, Line 14, Line 19).

2. Lines 27-29: “When dust weather occurs, … (Mahowald, 2011)”: More references should be added here.

Response: We have expanded the discussion on dust weather impacts by adding key references (Mahowald, 2011; Kok et al., 2023; Zhuang et al., 2001) to better support this point in Line 29.

3. Lines 45-47: “After the 1970s, with the rapid development of various earth observation…": Instead of listing references, I suggest briefly introducing key previous studies closely related to this research and linking them individually to the references. This approach should be applied to many other sections of the manuscript as well.

Response: Thanks. We have expanded the discussion of key studies in the specified section (Lines 45-47) by explicitly linking major advancements to their seminal references. This approach has been consistently applied throughout the manuscript to better contextualize our work within existing literature.

4. Lines 53-77: This paragraph introduces the various sensors (distinguishing between GEO/LEO), algorithms, and the variables provided by each algorithm. I recommend to revise it to discuss their strengths and weaknesses and explain the rationale behind the selection of products in this study. For example, this study uses DT and DB products from three available MODIS aerosol products (DT, DB, and MAIAC). VIIRS continues MODIS observations, but the products are not used here. Although Korean Geostationary Satellites such as GEMS, GOCI, and AMI provide aerosol information, they are not used here. Additionally, references are needed for each aerosol product.

Response: In lines 65-75, the referenced data has been supplemented with relevant citations. Additionally, we discussed the advantages and disadvantages of the product in both the data introduction and the results and discussion sections.

5. Lines 86-87 “Its aerosol classification monitoring and vertical structure are currently the most comprehensive and accurate aerosol product” : On what basis

is this defined?

Response: In Line 92-94, We have revised the statement to remove unsubstantiated absolute claims while maintaining emphasis on the product's utility, with supporting references added for verification.

6. Line 94 “The strongest dust weather”: On what basis is this defined? There are many instances of dust being transported across the Pacific Ocean.

Response: Following your comment, we have conducted a broader analysis of dust events and consequently removed the absolute descriptor "strongest" from the text.

7. Lines 101-104 “However, the accuracy, stability, and reliability of these satellite remote sensing retrieval products are not clear for dust weather monitoring” : That is not true. Here is a quick example: https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024103

Response: Lines 103-104, We have revised the expression to "Research assessing the accuracy, stability, and reliability of these satellite remote sensing retrieval products for dust storm monitoring has been scarce. "

8. Line 116 “Spring (March-May) is the season when dust weather occurs frequently in East Asia”: Need reference.

Response: Thanks. As suggested, we have added supporting references to this statement in Line 114.

9. Lines 116-117 “the frequent activity of cold air in northern East Asia in spring provides a driving force for the formation of dust weather” : What does the ‘cold air activity’ refer to?

Response: The cold air activity here refers to the Mongolian cyclone. We have made relevant modifications in the corresponding sections of the article and added references in Line 116.

10. Figure 1: It is difficult to distinguish the dust scene from the background surface in this image. Why not provide a 'background RGB image' from a pristine day as a reference?

Response: We have updated Figure 1 by adding a reference RGB image from a pristine dust-free day to enhance the contrast and visibility of dust features.

11. 2.2.1 FY-4A: Could you summarize the definitions of the various dust indices in a formula or table to allow for a clearer comparison? Which wavelength is used

for deriving IDDI?

Response: The DST data documentation does not explicitly provide the formula, and some references only offer an introduction to the data. The formula for IDDI has been provided in Lines 165-168.

12. 2.2.2 MODIS: Please revise Modis into MODIS in the section.

Response: Thanks. We have corrected "Modis" to "MODIS" throughout Section 2.2.2 to maintain consistent acronym formatting.

13. Line 177: remove ‘the United State’

Response: We have removed "the United State" as suggested.

14. Line 181: remove ‘for free’

Response: We have removed " for free " as suggested.

15. Line 183: MODIS provides multiple aerosol products (DT, DB, MAIAC…) and each algorithm provides Lv2 and Lv3 products.

Response: In Lines 183-185, we have revised this sentence “MODIS provides aerosol products with varying resolutions (1 km, 3 km, 10 km) for operational use, offering long-term and global coverage. One type includes daily atmospheric aerosol products with spatial resolutions of 10 km and 3 km, while another type features daily, 8-day, and monthly composite products with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1°.”

16. Lines 187-188: “…while the DB algorithm was mainly designed to overcome the poor retrieve results of the DT algorithms in areas with high reflectance.”: The DT algorithm is designed based on its theoretical background to target dark soil and vegetation surface. The Deep Blue algorithm was developed to overcome uncertainties in bright surfaces by utilizing observations from the deep blue channel. As a result, both the DT and DB algorithms perform complementary roles in global aerosol observations.

Response: In Lines 185-192, we have revised this sentence “These aerosol products are based on two famous aerosol retrieval algorithms, including the Dark Target (DT) algorithm on land/ocean and the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm on land (Hsu et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2013). Due to the significant impact of high-reflectivity areas such as deserts and snowfields on the atmospheric top layer reflectance in the red light and shortwave infrared bands, the linear relationship between the surface reflectance of red and blue light (0.65 and 0.47 μm) and the surface

reflectance in the shortwave infrared band (2.11 μm) does not hold. This makes it difficult to distinguish the contributions from aerosols and the ground (Hsu et al., 2013). In contrast, the DB algorithm shows better retrieval results in these areas, as its initial development aimed to overcome the uncertainties in retrieval results in high-reflectance environments."

17. Line 194: Collection 6.1 is the latest version, though it was not released recently. A new DT GEO-LEO combined products is available here: ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/missions-and-measurements/applications/geoleo/

Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have revised the description of Collection 6.1 in Line 195.

18. Lines 207-209 "It can effectively observe trace gas components in the atmosphere around the world…": TROPOMI/S5P instrument provides hyperspectral measurements in UV visibie, NIR and SWIR, which are also advantageous for retrieving aerosol absorptivity (like SSA) and aerosol layer height.

Response: In Lines 199-202, we have revised this sentence "As the world's highest-resolution and most advanced imaging spectrometer for atmospheric environmental monitoring, TROPOMI provides hyperspectral measurements across ultraviolet (UV), visible (VIS), near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands (Veefkind et al, 2012)."

19. Line 221: aerosol optical thickness aerosol optical depth

Response: We modified this word in Line 213.

20. Line 249-251: The sentence here is unclear. What does "the value at 470 nm is higher than the value at 640 nm" means? "…using the spectral dependence of surface reflectance…": Does it means the surface reflectance relationship suggested in Kaufman et al. (1997)?

Response: Based on your feedback, we have reorganized this sentence in Lines 239-243 as follows: Then, the pixels with the second lowest reflectance at 470nm within a month are synthesized. Pixels exhibiting values at 470 nm that are higher than those at 640 nm are suspected of being influenced by residual aerosol contamination. To address this, these pixels will be replaced with reflectance values calculated based on the vegetation index, utilizing the spectral dependence of surface reflectance (Kaufman et al., 1997). These results will be considered as the true surface reflectance.

21. 2.3 Method: I would recommend summarizing the criteria for strategy for

detecting dust pixels in a table or diagram.

Response: Based on your suggestions, we have added Table 1 in Section 2.3 to summarize the criteria for detecting dust pixels.

22. Line 261 "…its size": 'magnitude' might be better than 'size'.

Response: We have modified this.

23. Line 281 "ground environmental monitoring stations": Authors need to provide the characteristics of the PM10 observation (ex. Retrieval frequency, accuracy, sensor calibration…)

Response: The observational characteristics of PM10 have been introduced in Section 2.1.

24. Line 307 "Figure 3": Maybe Figure 2?

Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have carefully checked the details in the text.

25. Figure 2: Please indicate the region mentioned in the text on the figure. This will make it easier to follow the discussion.

Response: The mentioned parts have been labeled in Figure 1. For the areas that could not be marked in Figure 1, we have also included the latitude and longitude range at the first mention.

26. Figure 2 and Figure 3: I recommend aligning the projection areas to facilitate comparison between the dust index and PM_{10} concentration. Why not consider combining Figure 2 and Figure 3?

Response: Following your recommendation, we have merged this and processed similar Figures within the text.

27. Figure 4: It is recommended that each figure be accompanied by a title. It is questionable whether analyzing trends in this figure is appropriate, given that POCD and POFD are unlikely to vary continuously across space and time.

Response: First, we changed the chart from a line graph to a bar chart, and secondly, we will no longer analyze the trends.

28. Lines 355-358 "In order to better prove the application … the atmospheric dust detection capabilities of the FY-4A DSD product.": This appears to be an

unnecessary statement. Removing it will improve conciseness and flow without losing important content.

Response: Okay, this sentence in the text has been deleted.

29. Lines 370-371 “misjudgment and omission of atmospheric dust detection by satellite remote sensing are inevitable”: The current phrasing incorrectly generalizes limitations to all satellite remote sensing. This should be narrowed to specifically address the FY-4A dust products being discussed.

Response: Okay, we have added the qualifier 'of FY-4A/B' at the end.

30. Line 409 “Generally speaking, when large-scale dust weather occurs, the main pollutant in the atmosphere is dust.” I guess it depends on season, time, and location.

Response: Thank you for your reminder. We have modified this sentence in Lines 409-410 as follows: For East Asia, especially in northern China, when large-scale sandstorms occur in the spring, the main pollutant in the atmosphere within the affected area is dust (Filonchyk, 2022; Song et al., 2022)

31. Line 420 “Therefore, compared with the DB algorithm, the DT algorithm is not suitable for AOD retrieval in areas with high surface reflectance.”: The current statement presents an overly simplistic and potentially misleading view of the algorithms' capabilities. While the DB algorithm performs better in areas with bright surface, the DT algorithm provides accurate aerosol products over dark soil, vegetation and ocean surfaces. Each algorithm has its own strengths and limitations, with DT being more limited when performing retrievals over brighter surfaces.

Response: The discussion of the DT and DB algorithms has been removed from the results section of the article, so this paragraph has been deleted

32. Figure 6: Author need to clarify collocation criteria for the satellite aerosol products and ground-based PM10 observation.

Response: The collocation criteria are discussed in the last paragraph of Section 2.3.

33. Line 494 “Therefore, the AAI calculation method is not a classic aerosol retrieval method.”: What is the classic aerosol retrieval? The field of aerosol remote sensing employs numerous diverse approaches and algorithms for retrieving aerosol information, each with specific applications, advantages, and limitations.

Response: Yes, I have deleted this inaccurate expression.

34. Lines 519-520 “However, due to the limitation of the satellite observation range, Himawari-8 cannot effectively monitor dust activities in central and western Xinjiang, China.”: It would like to recommend revising it to “Due to the limited field of view from geostationary orbit, Himawari-8 has reduced observational capability in central and western Xinjiang, China.”

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have revised this sentence in Lines 536-538.

35. Lines 562-563 “However, the performance of the Himawari-8 AOD product was worse than that of several other products.”: It is hard to tell from the results shown here.

Response: In the latest version of the manuscript, this conclusion is no longer drawn.

36. Reference: Suggest reviewing the manuscript to ensure that the references are appropriately used.

Response: Regarding the references, we have made careful modifications and verifications