

Dear reviewer,

Thank you very much for the comments and suggestions, which contribute to improve the quality of our manuscript. We have replied all comments and suggestions in our point-by-point response attached below. In order to highlight the changes what we have done, the color of the revised text will become blue.

In the paper entitled “Measurement Report: Molecular composition, sources, and evolution of atmospheric organic aerosols in a basin city in China”, the authors analyzed the atmospheric PM_{2.5} in Chengdu during winter season, especially using GC/MS determined the molecular composition of OA. Firstly, they conducted a analysis of the chemical composition of PM_{2.5} and OA during the observation period; Secondly, they conducted a detailed introduction of the concentration, chemical composition, and sources of OA species. Finally, based on the chemical composition and source apportionment results, they analyzed the characteristics of pollution evolution and proposed the potential implications of this study. As a whole, the logic of the manuscript is reasonable and clear, and a large number of observational results are reported. These pieces of information have reference value for understanding OA in Chengdu and even the Sichuan Basin. However, I have found that there are still some issues in the current manuscript that need to be addressed or clarified, such as Section 3.2 currently contains too many details but appears to be less important information, which needs to be simplified. Therefore, I think a minor revision is necessary before considering acceptance.

1 Introduction. I think the first two paragraphs need to be rewritten. Especially the effects of PM_{2.5} and OA mentioned by the authors seem to be repetitive.

Response. Thanks for this important suggestion. According to the reviewer’s comment, we have rewritten these two paragraphs (Line 29-47). The revised content has a clearer logic, which can make it easier for readers to understand the impact of OA and its role in air pollution.

2 Section 2.1. Please provide data sources on the population and motor vehicle ownership in Chengdu city.

Response. These data are sourced from the Chengdu Municipal Statistics Bureau and the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China, respectively, and the corresponding website addresses have been added, i.e., “Chengdu, the capital of Sichuan Province, had a permanent population of 21.4 million in 2023 (<https://cdstats.chengdu.gov.cn/>). It is also the city in China with the highest number of motor vehicles, i.e., in excess of 6 million vehicles in 2023 (<https://www.mee.gov.cn/>).” (Line 93-95).

3 Section 2.3. Reference on “Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments thermal evolution (IMPROVE) protocol” is necessary.

Response. The corresponding reference has been added, i.e., “Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L. W., Chang, M. C., Robinson, N. F., Trimble, D., and Kohl, S.: *The IMPROVE-A temperature protocol for thermal/optical carbon analysis: maintaining consistency with a long-term database*, *J. Air Waste Manage.*, 57, 1014-1023, <https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.57.9.1014>, 2007.”

4 Line 137. The authors have provided an explanation regarding the data, namely “mean ± standard deviation”. Therefore, the “average” before the data is unnecessary. Similar modifications are also required for other parts of the manuscript.

Response. Thanks for this important reminder. We have checked and revised the whole text.

5 Line 148. “OC” in the Figure title should be changed to “OM”.

Response. Corrected (Line 148).

6 Line 155. I suggest the author increase the discussion on the contribution of inorganic components, especially in comparison with previous research results.

Response. According to the reviewer’s suggestion, we have added a discussion on the contribution of inorganic components, i.e. *“Among the water-soluble inorganic ions, NO_3^- ranked the highest ($25.1 \pm 12.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), followed by NH_4^+ ($8.4 \pm 4.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and SO_4^{2-} ($7.7 \pm 3.0 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), which accounted for 22.2%, 7.5%, and 7.1% of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass, respectively. Compared with the concentrations and contributions of NO_3^- ($15.5 \pm 5.4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 9.8%), NH_4^+ ($15.3 \pm 5.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 9.7%), and SO_4^{2-} ($31.8 \pm 10.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 20.1%) in winter 2011 in Chengdu (Tao et al., 2014), the concentration and contribution of NO_3^- increased by $9.6 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 12.4%, respectively, while the concentration and contribution of NH_4^+ decreased by $6.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 2.2%, respectively. Meanwhile, the concentration and contribution of SO_4^{2-} experienced the largest decrease, with a decrease of $24.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 13.0%, respectively. This is directly related to the different emission reductions from precursors of these inorganic species (e.g., SO_2 , NO_x , and NH_3) in recent years. For example, the annual average SO_2 and NO_2 concentrations in Chengdu have decreased by 84.2% and 59.3% respectively from 2014 to 2024 (<https://sthj.chengdu.gov.cn>). The same phenomenon has also been observed in other areas in China, such as Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (Li et al., 2021a) and Yangtze River Delta (Shen et al., 2020).”* (Line 154-164).

7 Line 224-225. “...between fatty acids and the biomass burning and fossil fuel combustion...”. This sentence needs to be expressed more clearly.

Response. This sentence has been revised as *“As validation of the discussion, the correlation analysis found that fatty acids showed strong correlation with the tracers of biomass burning or fossil fuel combustion, e.g., levoglucosan ($R^2 = 0.41$), hopanes ($R^2 = 0.64$), and PAHs ($R^2 = 0.50$).”* (Line 222-224).

8 Line 253-255. “Although levoglucosan dominated the total sugars in our study, its contribution (57.5%) is lower than that reported 10 years ago in urban areas of China (up to 90%) (Wang et al., 2006)”. Is this comparison for the same city? As discussed by the authors, differences in the types of biomass burning in different regions may also lead to variations in the chemical composition of anhydrosugars.

Response. Thanks for this important comment. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no targeted studies on sugar compounds in the urban area of Chengdu in the past. Therefore, we compared our results with another megacity in the SCB, i.e., Chongqing. Due to the fact that Chengdu and Chongqing are both located in the SCB, with similar biomass types and combustion characteristics, the obtained results are comparable. In addition, we also compared the observation results between Chengdu and other representative cities in China, such as Beijing and Guangzhou. This helps us better understand the regional differences in pollution characteristics (Line 261-263).

9 The discussion about “primary saccharides” can be simplified.

Response. According to the reviewer’s suggestion, we have simplified this part. Then some unimportant information has been deleted (Line 286-301).

10 Line 308-309. The standard deviation of the average relative humidity should be provided.

Response. The standard deviation of these data has been added, i.e. “*Correspondingly, in this study, the relative humidity at nighttime ($85.4 \pm 12.6\%$) was higher than that observed during daytime ($71.1 \pm 13.1\%$).*” (Line 306-307).

11 The authors attribute the high concentration of phthalate esters during the daytime to high temperature, however, in other sections they stated that the temperature increase in daytime did not cause an increase in the concentration of OA species, which seems contradictory. I think a reasonable explanation is necessary.

Response. This may be due to differences in the mechanism or degree of influence of temperature on different types of OA species. For example, temperature mainly causes changes in the concentration of phthalate esters by promoting or inhibiting their volatilization process, while the effect of temperature on the concentration of BSOA is mainly through enhancing or weakening its photochemical secondary generation process. In addition, the increase in temperature can also cause the decomposition of some OA components.

12 Line 417. Please provide the full names of “4NC and 4NP”.

Response. The full names of “4NC and 4NP” have been added, i.e., “*Despite the differences in concentration levels, similar to previous research (Ren et al., 2024), 4-nitrocatechol (4NC) and 4-nitrophenol (4NP) were found to be the dominant nitrophenol species, contributing 42.4% and 39.2% to the total nitrophenols mass, respectively.*” (Line 406-408). Meanwhile, the full names of all nitrophenols were added in Table S1.

13 Line 534. Is the PMF source analysis result for winter? Generally speaking, the seasonal differences in source composition are significant. Comparing with other seasons can be misleading.

Response. We apologize for the missed season information. Yes, this is a study about winter. Currently, necessary seasonal information has been added, i.e., “*Our previous source apportionment results for PM_{2.5} in winter in Chengdu also revealed that the contribution of secondary formation was much higher than that of other sources, and that it contributed more than 40% to the total PM_{2.5} mass (Zhang et al., 2024a; Zhang et al., 2024e).*” (Line 517-519).

14 Line 595. “relatively stable” is an ambiguous term, and a more accurate expression is necessary.

Response. We have added corresponding data to demonstrate that the changes in the contributions of these species are relatively stable, i.e., “*Further analysis revealed that, except for C_{18:1}, the changes in the contributions of other fatty acids were relatively stable, with a variation of less than 3.5% in the three pollution periods.*” (Line 578-579).

15 Regarding the evolution characteristics of pollution. The authors found that during the process of worsening pollution, the contributions of secondary inorganic and SOC both increased significantly. However, the contributions of two types of SOA, namely SOAs and OPAHs, have decreased. What is

the reason for this contradictory phenomenon? Is it due to differences in formation mechanisms?

Response. The secondary inorganic, SOC, or SOA in our study represent the contributions of all secondary inorganic species, secondary organic carbon species, or secondary organic aerosol species, respectively. SOC or SOA contains over thousands of species, with the total concentrations both exceed $10 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ during our study period. While SOAs and OPAHs are just two types of substances of SOA, with concentrations of only $70.6 \pm 42.2 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ and $43.0 \pm 30.0 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$, respectively. Therefore, in the process of increasing pollution, the overall increase in SOA or SOC contribution and the decrease in the contribution of these two substances are not contradictory, as other SOA species may increase.