

## **Supplement of**

# **Measurement Report: Unraveling PM<sub>10</sub> Sources and Oxidative Potential Across Chinese Regions Insights Analysis Based on CNN-LSTM and Receptor Model**

Qinghe Cai<sup>1</sup>, Dongqing Fang<sup>2,3</sup>, Junli Jin<sup>3</sup>, Xiaoyu Hu<sup>4</sup>, Yuxuan Cao<sup>1</sup>, Tianyi Zhao<sup>1,5</sup>, Yang Bai<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhang<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Urban Meteorology, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100089, China

<sup>3</sup>Meteorological Observation Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, 100081, China

<sup>4</sup>City University of Hong Kong, Hongkong, 999077, China

<sup>5</sup>Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, 510640, China

<sup>6</sup>Beijing Yanshan Earth Critical Zone National Research Station, Beijing, 101408, China

*Correspondence to:* Dongqing Fang (fangdongqing@163.com) and Yang Zhang (zhangyang@ucas.ac.cn)

## S1 Comparison between Northern and Southern sites of $OP_v$ ( $\text{nmol H}_2\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ )

Due to the non-normal distribution of the data, a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was conducted to examine regional differences in ROS concentrations. The analysis revealed that  $OP_v$  levels were significantly higher in the Northern sites compared to the Southern sites ( $U = 168517.0$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ), with the difference exceeding the threshold for statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Table S1. The geographical division corresponding to the station.

Geographic region	Station name
Northern sties	LFS, DL, GC, DH, XA, ZZ
Southern sites	LA, CD, JS, NN, CHD

## S2 Source profiles from the PMF

Table S2. Specific tracers used in  $PM_{10}$  source appointment in this study.

Identified factor	Specific tracers
Sea Salt	$\text{Na}^+$ 、 $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ 、 $\text{Cl}^-$
Dust	$\text{Na}^+$ 、 $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ 、 $\text{Ca}^{2+}$
Traffic	OC, EC
Biomass burning	$\text{K}^+$ 、 $\text{Cl}^-$
Secondary aerosol	$\text{NH}_4^+$ 、 $\text{NO}_3^-$ 、 $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$
Agricultural activities	$\text{K}^+$ 、 $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ 、 $\text{Ca}^{2+}$
Coal combustion	$\text{Mg}^{2+}$ 、 $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ 、OC

Table S3. Summary of error estimation diagnostic with  $PM_{10}$ -PMF at NN, LFS, ZZ and GC station.

Diagnostics	NN	LFS	ZZ	GC
Number of base run	20	20	20	20
$Q_{\text{robust}}$	2338	2658.86	2043.86	2066.75
$Q_{\text{true}}$	2521.1	3160.89	2341.26	2249.16
$Q_{\text{true}}/Q_{\text{robust}}$	1.08	1.18	1.14	1.09

DISP % dQ	< 0.1 %	< 0.1 %	< 0.1 %	< 0.1 %
DISP swaps	0	0	0	0
Number of BS run	100	100	100	100
Min. Correlation				
R-Value:	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6

---