



Comparison of particle number concentrations measured with AQ Urban sensors in two different environments in Helsinki, Finland

Kimmo Teinilä¹, Teemu Lepistö², Jarkko V. Niemi³, Harri Portin³, Anssi Julkunen³, Anu Kousa³, Joel Kuula¹, Hanna E. Manninen³, Pasi Aalto⁴, Tuukka Petäjä⁴, Topi Rönkkö², Erkka Saukko⁵, and Hilikka Timonen¹

¹Atmospheric composition research, Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 503, FI-00101, Finland.

²Aerosol Physics Laboratory, Physics Unit, Tampere University, P.O. Box 692, FI-33014, Finland.

³Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority HSY, P.O. Box 100, FI-00066, Finland.

³Aerosol Physics Laboratory, Physics Unit, Tampere University, P.O. Box 692, FI-33014, Finland.

⁴Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR) / Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014, Finland.

⁵Pegasor Oy, Hatanpään valtatie, 34, 33100 Tampere, Finland.

Correspondence to: Kimmo Teinilä (kimmo.teinila@fmi.fi)

Abstract. The use of a diffusion charger based AQ Urban sensors to monitor particle number concentrations was investigated in Helsinki metropolitan area. The comparisons between the AQ Urban sensors and traditional butanol CPCs were made at a heavily trafficked street canyon (Traffic Supersite) and at an urban background site (UB Supersite) in 2022. The agreement with the measured particle number concentrations within different AQ Urban units was good. Comparison of the AQ Urban sensor with the two CPCs showed that AQ Urban sensors should be suitable to measure concentration of particles approx. larger than 10 nm in highly trafficked areas. The long-term agreement between AQ Urban sensors and CPCs was also investigated in the two different environments between January 1st and August 15th, 2022. Overall, the correlation between AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs was good at both sites (r being 0.93 and 0.89, respectively). The increased concentration of particles smaller than 10 nm and long-range transported pollution affected the accuracy of AQ Urban sensors. Despite this downside of the method, the correlation between the AQ Urban sensor and the CPCs was good during the whole measurement period, indicating that the sensor is well suitable for long-term particle number concentration monitoring in urban environments in Finland. However, the observed effect of bi-modal particle size distribution suggests that the performance of diffusion charger-based sensors may vary in different geographic regions depending on the regional background concentrations of accumulation mode particles which should be considered when applying the method in different locations.

1 Introduction

Exposures to particulate pollutants can cause serious health problems (Atkinson et al., 2014), and exposures to increased levels of particulate pollutants have been estimated to cause 3.3 million premature deaths per year on the global scale (Lelieveld et al., 2015). Fine particles ($< 2.5 \mu\text{m}$) can be transported deep into the human respiratory tract (Zanobetti et al., 2014) and especially ultrafine particles ($D_p < 0.1 \mu\text{m}$) can enter even deeper into the respiratory tract (Schraufnagel, 2020). Especially in heavily trafficked environments, like street canyons, the concentration of ultrafine particles can increase significantly causing adverse health effects (Pirjola et al., 2017; Rönkkö and Timonen, 2019; Trechera et al., 2023). For example, Hänninen et al., (2025) suggested that ultrafine particles would be the most significant air pollutant regarding premature deaths in Europe in 2023. In general, however, the health effects of ultrafine particles are not completely understood yet (Vallabani et al., 2023).



41 The main anthropogenic sources of fine particle pollution in Helsinki metropolitan area are direct vehicular emissions,
 42 road dust and residential wood burning (Aurela et al., 2015; Carbone et al., 2014; Järvi et al., 2008; Saarikoski et al.,
 43 2008; Savadkoobi et al., 2023). In addition, long-range and regional transport increase the concentration of particulate
 44 matter in Helsinki metropolitan area (Niemi et al., 2009, 2005, 2004). Secondary aerosol formation during transportation
 45 increases the size of these particles e.g. (Harni et al., 2023). Particle number concentration (PNC) typically increases in
 46 heavily trafficked areas in Helsinki metropolitan area e.g. morning and afternoon rush hours. Trapping of pollutants in
 47 the boundary layer during cold days also increases PNC. In contrast to regional or long-range transported particles, the
 48 increased PNC in heavily trafficked areas is connected to small particle size (Pirjola et al., 2017; Rönkkö et al., 2017).
 49 The highest PNC in Helsinki Metropolitan area are typically measured near highways, heavily trafficked streets or at
 50 airports (Lepistö et al., 2023).

51 Due to the harmful health effects of ultrafine particles, WHO has recommended the monitoring of PNC (WHO, 2021).
 52 WHO also recommended that the minimum lower limit of particle size should be at least 10 nm for monitoring
 53 measurements (WHO, 2021). According to EU directive 2024 PNC was regulated to be monitored at rural and urban
 54 background supersites and at “hotspot” sites with high (EU) 2024/2881 (2024). This same directive states that the lower
 55 limit of the PNC measurements should be 10 nm, which corresponds to the lower particle size of the CEN standard for
 56 outdoor butanol CPC measurements (EN 16976:2024).

57 Outdoor PNC measurements are typically performed using butanol CPC instruments which are widely used also in
 58 laboratories. As PNC has typically high spatial and temporal variation, continuous measurements of PNC by utilizing a
 59 wide measurement network could be beneficial especially in big cities. The use of the traditional CPCs has some
 60 drawbacks if continuous PNC measurements at many sites are intended to be conducted. The price of the CPC
 61 measurements is high (instrument purchase price and service), and the maintenance of the measurements is time
 62 consuming (maintenance and frequent butanol addition). Due to the above reasons, the PNC monitoring networks based
 63 on CPCs are still quite rare. Measuring devices based on diffusion charging could be useful if the coverage of indicative
 64 PNC measurements is wanted to be increased. In earlier studies the PNC measured with diffusion-based instruments has
 65 been found to be in the range $\pm 50\%$ (Todea et al., 2017) and in the range ± 30 (Asbach et al., 2024) when comparing to
 66 traditional butanol CPCs.

67 In Helsinki Metropolitan area, diffusion charger-based instruments, (AQ™ Urban sensors, Pegasor Oy, Finland) are used
 68 at eight measurement stations to continuously monitor PNC concentration. In addition, these sensors measure the lung-
 69 deposited surface area (LDSA) concentration of particles e.g. (Kuula et al., 2020). Since the AQ Urban sensor
 70 measurement technique differs from the traditionally used CPCs, we investigate the suitability of AQ Urban for PNC
 71 measurements in different urban environments. In this paper we compare the PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensors
 72 and CPCs at two sites in Helsinki metropolitan area during 7.5-month measurement period. In addition to this a
 73 comparison measurement with seven AQ Urban sensors and two CPCs were made during 6-week measurement period.
 74 The study aims to gain better understanding of the potential and challenges of AQ Urban, and diffusion charger-based
 75 sensors in general, in long-term PNC monitoring.



76 2 Experimental

77 The 7.5-month measurement period was conducted at two sites in Helsinki Metropolitan area between January 1st and
 78 August 15th, 2022. The measurement sites were Traffic Supersite and Urban Background Supersite (UB Supersite) in
 79 Helsinki. PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensors were compared to those measured with the CPCs during the
 80 measurement period at the two sites. In addition, a 6-week comparison measurement with 7 different AQ Urban sensors
 81 were made at the Traffic Supersite between August 30th and September 19th, 2022.

82 The Traffic Supersite station is an urban measurement station operated by the Helsinki Region Environmental Services
 83 Authority (HSY), located in a street canyon on the street Mäkeläkatu (60.19654° N, 24.95172° E) in Helsinki. The
 84 Traffic Supersite station monitors continuously urban air quality with measurements of particulate and gaseous
 85 components. The measurement station is markedly affected by motor vehicle emissions since it is a street canyon,
 86 consisting of six lanes (Hietikko et al., 2018). More detailed descriptions of the site and its air flow patterns are found in
 87 (Barreira et al., 2021; Hietikko et al., 2018; Kuuluvainen et al., 2018).

88 The Urban Background Supersite (UB Supersite, 60.20306° N, 24.96103° E) is the SMEAR III station located in Kumpula
 89 campus area (Järvi et al., 2009). The effect of local traffic is quite low at the UB Supersite compared to the Traffic
 90 Supersite because of the markedly longer distance to the main road (approximately 150 m from the station with a daily
 91 traffic load of approximately 50 000 vehicles). The UB Supersite is affected by residential wood combustion during the
 92 winter months (Järvi et al., 2008). At the UB Supersite particle physical and chemical properties and trace gases are
 93 continuously measured.

94 PNC was measured at the Traffic Supersite and at the UB Supersite with diffusion charger-based AQ Urban (Pegasor,
 95 Finland) sensors. At both sites the lower limit of particle size was adjusted to be 10 nm, while the larger particle detection
 96 size of the instrument was ~600 nm. (Kuula et al., 2019; Rostedt et al., 2014). The AQ Urban sensor measures the escaping
 97 current of charged particles. The measured escape current of the AQ Urban sensor closely matches the lung deposited
 98 surface area of particles and is reported in addition to particle number. The instrument can estimate the count mean
 99 diameter (CMD) by continuously stepping between a low and variable, high voltage settings; the median particle size is
 100 determined by the cutoff voltage of the half-maximum signal compared to the low cutoff signal. Using this mean particle
 101 diameter and assuming a lognormal particle size distribution with fixed standard deviation the instrument calculates the
 102 PNC (Janka and Saukko, 2017). The temperature of the AQ Urban sensors was set to be 40 °C above the ambient
 103 temperature.

104 PNC was measured also with CPC instruments at both sites. At the Traffic Supersite the used CPC was an A20 (Airmodus
 105 Ltd.) with a cut-size (D_{p50}) 5.4 nm and at the UB Supersite the used instrument was a CPC model 3756 (TSI) with a cut-
 106 size (D_{p50}) 7 nm. A dilution was used before the CPC at the Traffic Supersite during the measurements to get reliable
 107 results also during periods of high PNC at the site.

108 During two three-week periods between August 30th and October 10th, 2022, a total of seven AQ Urban sensors were
 109 installed at the Traffic Supersite. One AQ Urban sensor was chosen as a reference instrument (Ref) since it was located
 110 at the Traffic Supersite during the whole six-week period. In addition to the reference instrument six other AQ Urban
 111 sensors were used (1–6). Three sensors during the first three weeks (1–3, August 30th – September 19th) and another three



112 during the last three weeks (4–6, September 19th – October 10th). During these periods PNC was measured also by using
113 two CPCs with different cut-sizes. The CPCs were an Airmodus A20 CPC with a cut-size 5.4 nm and an Airmodus A20
114 CPC with a cut-size 10 nm. A dilution was used for both CPCs at the Traffic Supersite during the six-week comparison
115 period.


116 Particle number size distribution was measured at both stations with a Differential Mobility Particle sizer (DMPS) using
117 a Vienna type Differential Mobility Analyzers. At the Traffic Supersite an Airmodus A20 model CPC was used in the
118 DMPS system. At the UB Supersite the Twin DMPS had TSI model 3772 and 3756 CPCs. At the Traffic Supersite the
119 measured particle size range was between ~10 and ~800 nm and at the UB Supersite it was between 3 and ~800 nm.

120 The effect of regional and long-range transport of particulate matter to Helsinki metropolitan area can be seen by the
121 elevated PM_{2.5} and BC concentrations measured at an urban rural site located in Luukki (60.3143 °N, 24.6846° E). Luukki
122 air quality measurement station is operated by the HSY and is a Helsinki metropolitan area rural background station
123 situated in clean background area 20 km from the Traffic Supersite. At the urban rural site, no major local pollution
124 sources are nearby and the increased concentrations of PM_{2.5} and BC are mainly due to long range or regional transport
125 of particulate matter. The concentrations of PM_{2.5} and BC at Luukki measurement station were measured using the Fidas
126 200 (Palas GmbH) and Multi-Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP, Thermo Electron Corporation) instruments. The
127 further discussion is based on hourly-averaged data if not otherwise mentioned.

128 3 Results and Discussion

129 3.1 Comparison of particle number concentrations between AQ Urban sensors and CPCs

130 The boxplots of hourly-averaged PNC (particles cm⁻³) measured with the different AQ sensors during the two instrument
131 comparison periods are shown in Fig. 1. The linear regression between the PNC measured with different AQ Urban
132 sensors (1-6) to the reference AQ Urban sensors are shown in Fig. S1. The Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*) is 0.99 for
133 all other AQ Urban sensors except for AQ Urban sensor 5 which had slightly lower correlation coefficient (*r*=0.97). This
134 is probably due to few outliers in the data set. The slope of the linear regression of the measured PNC between AQ Urban
135 sensors against the reference AQ Urban sensor varied between 1.0 and 1.06. The offset of the linear regression was
136 negative or positive depending on the AQ Urban sensor, but it was low compared to the measured PNC. The agreement
137 of PNC measured with different AQ Urban sensors can be concluded to be very good, which can be seen also from the
138 time series in Fig. S2.




139



140 **Figure 1.** Comparison of hourly-averaged PNC measured with seven different AQ Urban sensors at the Traffic Supersite during the
 141 first (a) and second (b) 3-week comparison period. The median is the horizontal line within the box, and the green triangle is the mean
 142 value. The box spans from the first to the third quartile, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. The outliers are
 143 not shown in the figure.

144 During the instrument comparison period PNC were measured at the Traffic Supersite also with two Airmodus A20 CPCs
 145 having different cut-sizes, being 5.4 nm and 10 nm (Fig. 2). The measured hourly-averaged PNC with the reference AQ
 146 Urban sensor agreed more closely to that measured with the CPC having a cut-off size of 10 nm compared to that having
 147 a cut-off size of 5.4 nm. This is expected since 10 nm is the lower estimated detection limit of the AQ Urban sensor. The
 148 correlation coefficients (r) between the measured PNC with the reference AQ Urban sensor and the CPCs having a cut
 149 off diameter of 5.4 and 10 nm were 0.98 and 0.97 respectively (Fig. S3a and S3b). The slope of CPCs having cut-off
 150 diameters 5.4 and 10 nm respect to AQ Urban sensors were 1.36 and 0.73 respectively (Fig. S3a and S3b). The lower
 151 particle number concentrations measured with the AQ Urban compared to the CPC with the cut-off size 5.4 nm is due to
 152 the different lower detection limits of these two instruments. Especially in the vicinity of heavily trafficked streets the
 153 concentration of particles below 10 nm can expect to be high (e.g. Belkacem et al., 2020; Choi et al., 2014; Rönkkö and
 154 Timonen, 2019) and has been measured to be significant also at the Traffic Supersite (Hietikko et al., 2018; Teinilä et al.,
 155 2024). The lower slope of the linear regression between the CPC with cut-off size of 10 nm and to AQ Urban sensor
 156 indicates that the AQ Urban sensor measures also particles slightly below 10 nm.




157
 158 **Figure 2.** Comparison of hourly-averaged PNC measured with the reference AQ Urban sensor and two CPCs with different cut sizes
 159 at the Traffic Supersite during the 6-week period. The median is the horizontal line within the box, and the green triangle is the mean
 160 value. The box spans from the first to the third quartile, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. The outliers are
 161 not shown in the figure.

162 The hourly diurnal variations of PNC measured with two CPCs with different cut sizes and the reference AQ Urban sensor
 163 during the comparison period are shown in Fig. 3a and the differences in the measured PNC in Fig. 3b. The diurnal



patterns of the measured PNC with the different instruments are identical although the measured PNC are different showing that they all observe the contribution of traffic on the PNC Especially, during the morning rush hours, the PNC increased at the Traffic Supersite (Fig. 3a). The higher PNC during the morning rush hour was likely related to the more efficient dilution and mixing of pollutants during afternoon. Also, the lanes of traffic towards the city center were closer to the measurement station, which may emphasize the effects of morning rush hour when people are heading towards city centre. The evidence of the existence of particles below 10 nm can be seen when comparing the two CPCs during the rush hours. Also, the slope of the measured PNC between the CPC with cut-off size 5.4 nm with respect to the CPC with cut-off size 10 nm was 1.80 (Fig. S3c). The AQ Urban sensor measured higher PNC compared to the CPC with the cut-off size 10 nm during the rush hours. This result further supports the idea that the lower detection limit of AQ Urban sensor was less than 10 nm. However, it is also possible that the AQ Urban sensor estimated the count median diameter (CMD) erroneously (see the discussion in the next chapter).

175




176

Figure 3. Hourly diurnal variation of the measured PNC (a) and the PNC difference (b) measured with two CPCs having different cut-size and the reference AQ Urban sensor during the 6-week comparison period at the Traffic Supersite.

3.2 Particle number concentrations measured in two different environments in Helsinki

The PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensor and the CPCs in two different urban environments (Traffic Supersite and UB Supersite) were compared between January 1st and August 15th, 2022. At the UB Supersite the average PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensor was like that measured with the CPC having a cut-of size 7 nm (Fig. 4). At the Traffic Supersite the measured PNC with the AQ Urban sensor were lower compared to those measured with the CPC having a cut-off size 5.4 nm which was observed also during the six-week comparison period.


184



185

186 **Figure 4.** Comparison of hourly-averaged PNC measured with the AQ Urban and CPCs at the Traffic Supersite and at the UB Supersite
 187 during the 7.5-month measurement period. The cut-off size of the CPC at the Urban traffic site was 5.4 nm and at the Urban background
 188 site 7 nm. The median is the horizontal line within the box, and the green triangle is the mean value. The box spans from the first to
 189 the third quartile, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. The outliers are not shown in the figure.

190 The hourly diurnal variation of the PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs at both sites are shown in
 191 Fig. 5a and the difference of the measured PNC between the AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs in Fig. 5b.



192

193 **Figure 5.** Hourly diurnal variation of measured PNC at the Traffic Supersite and at the UB Supersite with the AQ Urban sensors and
 194 the CPCs (a) and the difference of the measured PNC (b) during the 7.5-month measurement period. Notice the different cut-sizes of
 195 the CPCs.

196 At the UB Supersite the difference in the measured PNC with the AQ Urban sensor and CPC is close to zero throughout
 197 the day. At the Traffic Supersite this difference is negative throughout the day, and the difference starts to increase when
 198 the morning rush hour starts as was observed at the Traffic Supersite also during the comparison period. The difference
 199 in the cut-off size of the CPCs at these two sites was only 1.6 nm so it probably cannot explain the markedly higher
 200 differences of the two instruments. The observed difference is likely connected to the different concentrations and particle
 201 size distributions between these sites. This idea is supported by the hourly-averaged particle number size distributions in




202 Fig. S4 which show that at the Traffic Supersite the PNC for particles < 30 nm increase during the morning rush hour.
 203 The DMPS data below 10 nm is not available from the Traffic Supersite, but the shape of the size distributions indicates
 204 an increasing trend of PNC also below 10 nm size (Fig. S4a). On the other hand, the PNC shows decreasing trend during
 205 all hours at the UB Supersite for particles < 10 nm (Fig. S4b). The size distribution results suggest that particles < 10 nm
 206 do not considerably contribute at the UB Supersite. Hence, the much lower concentration of particles below 10 nm at the
 207 UB Supersite is probably the main reason for the better agreement between AQ Urban and the CPC in terms of average
 208 concentration.

209 The linear correlations of the measured PNC with the AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs at the Traffic Supersite and at the
 210 UB Supersite are shown in Fig. 6, where the data set was divided based on the median of the Urban remote site $PM_{2.5}$
 211 concentration into two data sets; $PM_{2.5} < 2.5 \mu g m^{-3}$ and $PM_{2.5} > 2.5 \mu g m^{-3}$. The slope of the linear regression was
 212 slightly higher (1.24, $r = 0.94$) compared to the whole data set (1.17, $r = 0.93$, Fig. S5) at the Traffic Supersite when $PM_{2.5}$
 213 concentration at the Urban remote site was elevated and lower (1.09, $r = 0.93$) when its concentration was low. At the UB
 214 Supersite the slope of the linear regression was same for the whole data set (0.83, $r = 0.89$, Fig. S5) and for the data set
 215 where the low Urban remote $PM_{2.5}$ points were discarded ($r = 0.86$). However, when discarding the data points with high
 216 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration at the Urban remote site, the slope increased from 0.83 to 0.93 together with increasing correlation
 217 coefficient (r) which increased from 0.86 to 0.96. These results suggest that the PNC measurement of the AQ Urban was
 218 affected by the regional background $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. This idea is supported also by the colored scatter plots in Fig.
 219 6 (and Fig. S5a and S5c), where the slope of this linear correlation seemed to be dependent on the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration
 220 measured at the Urban remote site. The daily-averaged time series of $PM_{2.5}$ in Fig. S6 shows that the periods of elevated
 221 $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are typically seen at all sites, indicating either regional or long-range transportation. During the
 222 winter BC concentration at the UB remote site increases simultaneously with the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration.

223 In Figs. S5b and 5d, the scatter plots, colored by the measured concentrations of NO_x at the same sites where the PNC
 224 were measured, are shown. At the Traffic Supersite higher PNC were measured together with high NO_x concentrations
 225 due to their common source (motor vehicle emissions, Fig. S5). However, the linear correlation of PNC measured with
 226 the AQ Urban sensor and CPC was similar despite the varying NO_x concentrations at least at the Traffic Supersite (Fig.
 227 S5a).



228



229

230 **Figure 6.** Linear correlation of hourly-averaged particle number concentrations measured with the AQ Urban sensor and CPC at the
 231 Traffic Supersite and at the UB supersite during high (a and c) and low (b and d) PM_{2.5} concentrations at the Urban remote site during
 232 the 7.5-month measurement period. The color of the markers indicates PM_{2.5} concentration at the Urban remote site.

233 The results in Fig 6 and Fig. S5 show the agreement with the measured PNC between AQ Urban sensors and CPCs seem
 234 to be near unity when discarding the periods with regional or long-range transport (high PM_{2.5} at the Urban remote site).
 235 The transported particles are aged and have larger sizes, and they mix externally with the traffic related ultrafine particles
 236 forming bimodal particle size distribution, potentially affecting the performance of the AQ Urban. Interestingly, however,
 237 the effect of increased regional PM_{2.5} seems to be different at the Traffic Supersite (increased PM_{2.5} increased the slope)
 238 compared to the UB Supersite (increased PM_{2.5} decreased the slope).

239 The monthly-averaged particle size distributions in Figs. 7a and 7b show that the size distributions shifted towards larger
 240 particle size during the measurement period and the bimodal structure of the particle size distribution became clearer. The
 241 mean particle size increased towards the summer months, which can be seen also when looking the daily-averaged time
 242 series in Fig. S7, where the count median diameter from the AQ Urban sensor and the mean particle diameter calculated
 243 from the DMPS data increased toward the summer months. Like the effect of PM_{2.5} in Fig 6 and Fig S5, the increased
 244 bimodality and mean particle diameter affected the ratio of the PNC measured with the CPCs and the AQ Urban sensors
 245 (Fig. S7). However, the ratio between CPCs and AQ Urbans increased at the Traffic Supersite and decreased at the UB



Supersite. In general, it is not clear why the particle size increases during summer months. The possible reason may be the growth of particles due to more favorable secondary aerosol formation via oxidation of organic matter from motor vehicle exhaust during summer months (e.g. Ahlm et al., 2012; Gentner et al., 2017, 2012). During the summer months the increased solar radiation, increased water content (Fig. S8) and increased concentrations of biogenic organic matter may be another explanation for this growth (e.g. Srivastava et al., 2022).




Figure 7. Monthly-averaged particle number size distributions measured with DMPS at the Traffic Supersite (a) and at the UB Supersite (b) during the 7.5-month measurement period.

Results on the effects of regional $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (Fig. 6 and Fig. S5) and the seasonality (Figs. 7 and S7) both suggest that the effect of bimodal particle size distribution on the performance of AQ Urban is different. This finding could be explained by the varying particle characteristics at these microenvironments. At the Traffic Supersite the PNC was constantly high



257 due to the road traffic. During the regional and long-range transport periods the observed particle size distribution was
 258 not anymore unimodal due to the external mixing of traffic-related and regional and long-range transported particles. The
 259 AQ Urban sensor estimates the count median diameter using the assumption that the particle size distribution is unimodal.
 260 Therefore, the increased regional background concentration causes an increase in the estimated count median diameter,
 261 which reduces the conversion factor used to convert the electric current to PNC. The increased accumulation mode,
 262 however, does not considerably affect the total PNC, which is still dominated by particles smaller than 20 nm (Fig. 7a).
 263 Hence, even though the accumulation mode particles also affect the electric current measured by the AQ Urban, the
 264 decreased conversion factor due to the increased estimated CMD, causes the sensor to underestimate the PNC at the
 265 highly trafficked site.

266 At the UB Supersite the effect of traffic was low compared to traffic supersite, and the particle size distribution was
 267 constantly concentrated on larger particle sizes (Fig. 7b). When particle size distribution was shifted to even larger particle
 268 sizes (during summer or pollution transportation event) the AQ Urban sensor measures higher electric current. The
 269 maximum limit of the estimated count median diameter of the AQ Urban sensor is ~100 nm but during the regional or
 270 long-range transport periods, the size of the particles contributing the most to the measured electric current may be above
 271 this limit, and so the AQ Urban sensor overestimates PNC.

272 Overall, the effect of bimodal size distribution on the AQ Urban measurement is important to consider when conducting
 273 measurements in varying urban environments and geographic regions. As seen in the results, the performance of AQ
 274 Urban in the PNC measurement was mainly very good in our measurements. However, the regional particle concentration,
 275 thus, the accumulation mode of particles, was typically very low, which seemed to be especially suitable for the
 276 performance of AQ Urban. It should be noted that the uncertainty caused by the bimodal size distribution could be much
 277 more significant in locations where regional background concentrations are higher, like Central/Eastern Europe or India
 278 (Sebastian et al., 2022; Trechera et al., 2023). Also, some particle sources, like residential wood combustion, can
 279 considerably contribute to concentrations of particles larger than 100 nm (Harni et al., 2023; Kalkavouras et al., 2024)
 280 potentially causing similar challenges as the increased regional background concentration. In general, it's, however, worth
 281 noting that the measurement principle of AQ Urban is rather like other diffusion charger-based PNC sensors, like the
 282 Partector 2 (Asbach et al., 2024). The challenge of bimodal size distribution has also been observed earlier when
 283 considering the LDSA measurement of the diffusion charger-based sensors (Lepistö et al., 2024). Hence, it is justifiable
 284 to think that the challenge related to bimodal size distribution could be relevant for other diffusion-charger based PNC
 285 sensors as well.

286 [Data Availability](#)

287 Data available on request.

288 [4 Conclusions](#)

289 We investigated the possibility of using AQ Urban sensors in urban air quality monitoring to obtain PNC. The comparisons
 290 were made at two different sites, at a heavily trafficked street canyon (Traffic Supersite) and at an urban background site
 291 (UB Supersite) in 2022. First, the agreement between different AQ Urban units were investigated in two three-week
 292 lasting campaigns (August 30th and October 10th, 2022) in the Traffic Supersite: the agreement with the measured particle



number concentrations within total of seven different AQ Urban sensors was good (Pearson r : 0.97–0.99, linear fit slopes: 1.0–1.06), showing that results with different AQ Urban units are well comparable in general. During this comparison period, the PNC measured with a reference AQ Urban sensor were also compared to those measured with two CPCs having cut-off sizes 5.4 and 10 nm. On average, the PNC measured with the AQ Urban sensor were slightly higher than those measured with the CPC having a cut-off size 10 nm. The relative difference was, however, low compared to the measured PNC. Also, the correlation between the reference AQ Urban sensor and the 5.4 nm and 10 nm CPCs were 0.98 and 0.97, respectively. These findings show that AQ Urban sensors should be well-suitable to measure the concentration of particles approx. larger than 10 nm in highly trafficked areas.

The long-term agreement between AQ Urban sensors and CPCs was also investigated at the Traffic Supersite and UB Supersite (January 1st and August 15th, 2022). Overall, the correlation between AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs was good at both sites (r being 0.93 and 0.89, respectively), even though the cut-off sizes of the CPC at these two sites were different (5.4 and 7 nm) compared to the lower limit of AQ Urban sensors (approx. 10 nm). The difference between AQ Urban sensors and the CPCs increased especially during traffic rush hours at the Traffic Supersite. This result can, however, be explained because of the increased emissions of particles smaller than 10 nm from traffic which are not detected with the AQ Urban sensor. On the other hand, it was noted that especially long-range transported (LRT) pollution episodes as well as time of the year can affect the accuracy of AQ Urban sensors. This result can be explained by the bi-modal particle size number distributions observed especially during the LRT-episodes and summer, because AQ Urban sensor estimates the count median diameter of particles assuming that the particle number size distribution is unimodal. Hence, the conversion from the detected electric current into PNC cannot accurately estimate the size of the detected particles, causing uncertainty in the measurement. Despite this downside of the method, it should be noted that the correlation between the AQ Urban sensor and the CPCs was good during the whole measurement period, indicating that the sensor is well-suitable for long-term particle number concentration monitoring in Helsinki.

Overall, the results show that AQ Urban sensor was well suitable to measure the number concentration of particles approximately larger than 10 nm in two different urban environments in Helsinki, Finland. The result is interesting regarding the EU's new air quality directive (2024) which requires particle number (> 10 nm) concentration monitoring at pollution hotspots. The results show that diffusion charger-based measurement of PNC should be well suitable for urban air quality monitoring, enabling more-dense sensor monitoring network than CPC methodology. For example, the sensors could be utilized to estimate potential hotspots for the measurements required by the directive. Still, it should be noted that further validation of diffusion charger-based particle number measurements is needed. Even though the challenges caused by bi-modal particle number size distributions in this study were rather minimal, it needs to be considered that Finland has very clean air in terms of regional pollution (e.g., $PM_{2.5}$). Hence, the challenges caused by bi-modal particle number size distribution could be much more significant in locations with higher regional pollution, i.e., higher accumulation mode of particles. Thus, further studies of the performance of diffusion charger-based particle number sensors from different locations would be valuable for further conclusions.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.



329 Acknowledgements

330 This work was supported by the Technology Industries of Finland Centennial Foundation to Urban Air Quality 2.0 project,
 331 the EU Horizon 2020 Framework Programme via the Research Infrastructures Services Reinforcing Air Quality
 332 Monitoring Capacities in European Urban & Industrial Areas (RI-URBANS) project (GA-101036245), European Union's
 333 Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101096133 (PAREMPI: Particle emission
 334 prevention and impact: from real-world emissions of traffic to secondary PM of urban air), GIANT (Global trends in IAQ:
 335 Novel technologies, Competence and Business, nr 4736/31/2023)) funded by Business Finland and participating
 336 companies, and the Academy of Finland ACCC Flagship (grant no. 337552, 337551, 337549).

337 References

- 338 Ahlm, L., Liu, S., Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Weber, R., Gentner, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B.,
 339 Keutsch, F. N., VandenBoer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Murphy, J. G., Ren, X., and Scheller, S.: Formation and growth of
 340 ultrafine particles from secondary sources in Bakersfield, California, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres*, 117,
 341 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017144>, 2012.
- 342 Anon: Directive (EU) 2024/2881 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2024 on ambient air
 343 quality and cleaner air for Europe (recast), 2024.
- 344 Asbach, C., Todea, A. M., and Kaminski, H.: Evaluation of a Partector Pro for atmospheric particle number size
 345 distribution and number concentration measurements at an urban background site, *Aerosol Res.*, 2, 1–12,
 346 <https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2-1-2024>, 2024.
- 347 Atkinson, R. W., Kang, S., Anderson, H. R., Mills, I. C., and Walton, H. A.: Epidemiological time series studies of PM
 348 _{2.5} and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Thorax*, 69, 660–665,
 349 <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204492>, 2014.
- 350 Aurela, M., Saarikoski, S., Niemi, J. V., Canonaco, F., Prevot, A. S. H., Frey, A., Carbone, S., Kousa, A., and Hillamo,
 351 R.: Chemical and Source Characterization of Submicron Particles at Residential and Traffic Sites in the Helsinki
 352 Metropolitan Area, Finland, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 15, 1213–1226, <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2014.11.0279>, 2015.
- 353 Barreira, L. M. F., Helin, A., Aurela, M., Teinilä, K., Friman, M., Kangas, L., Niemi, J. V., Portin, H., Kousa, A., Pirjola,
 354 L., Rönkkö, T., Saarikoski, S., and Timonen, H.: In-depth characterization of submicron particulate matter inter-annual
 355 variations at a street canyon site in northern Europe, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 21, 6297–6314,
 356 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-6297-2021>, 2021.
- 357 Belkacem, I., Khardi, S., Helali, A., Slimi, K., and Serindat, S.: The influence of urban road traffic on nanoparticles:
 358 Roadside measurements, *Atmos. Environ.*, 242, 117786, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117786>, 2020.
- 359 Carbone, S., Aurela, M., Saarnio, K., Saarikoski, S., Timonen, H., Frey, A., Sueper, D., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L.,
 360 Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Hillamo, R. E.: Wintertime Aerosol Chemistry in Sub-Arctic Urban Air, *Aerosol Sci.*
 361 *Technol.*, 48, 313–323, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2013.875115>, 2014.



- 362 CEN. (2024) *EN 16976:3035 Ambient air – Determination of the particle number concentration of atmospheric*
 363 *aerosol*. European Committee for Standardization. [https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/cen/ab8b1143-a1d3-481b-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/cen/ab8b1143-a1d3-481b-b268-38a3b1da18b7/en-169976-2024)
 364 [b268-38a3b1da18b7/en-169976-2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/cen/ab8b1143-a1d3-481b-b268-38a3b1da18b7/en-169976-2024).
- 365 Choi, W., Winer, A. M., and Paulson, S. E.: Factors controlling pollutant plume length downwind of major roadways in
 366 nocturnal surface inversions, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6925–6940, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6925-2014>,
 367 2014.
- 368 Gentner, D. R., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Dallmann, T. R., Davis, L., Liu, S., Day, D. A., Russell, L.
 369 M., Wilson, K. R., Weber, R., Guha, A., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from
 370 diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 109,
 371 18318–18323, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212272109>, 2012.
- 372 Gentner, D. R., Jathar, S. H., Gordon, T. D., Bahreini, R., Day, D. A., El Haddad, I., Hayes, P. L., Pieber, S. M., Platt, S.
 373 M., de Gouw, J., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Jimenez, J. L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Robinson, A. L.: Review of Urban
 374 Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Gasoline and Diesel Motor Vehicle Emissions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51,
 375 1074–1093, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04509>, 2017.
- 376 Hänninen, O., Lehtomäki, H., Korhonen, A., Kokkola, T., Hartikainen, A., Sippula, O., Haverinen-Shaughnessy, U.,
 377 Leviäkangas, P., and Rumrich, I. K.: Health risks related to air pollution by transport categories and vehicle types:
 378 Comparison by mortality indicators, *Environ. Int.*, 202, 109657, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2025.109657>, 2025.
- 379 Harni, S. D., Saarikoski, S., Kuula, J., Helin, A., Aurela, M., Niemi, J. V., Kousa, A., Rönkkö, T., and Timonen, H.:
 380 Effects of emission sources on the particle number size distribution of ambient air in the residential area, *Atmos.*
 381 *Environ.*, 293, 119419, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2022.119419>, 2023.
- 382 Hietikko, R., Kuuluvainen, H., Harrison, R. M., Portin, H., Timonen, H., Niemi, J. V., and Rönkkö, T.: Diurnal variation
 383 of nanocluster aerosol concentrations and emission factors in a street canyon, *Atmos. Environ.*, 189, 98–106,
 384 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.031>, 2018.
- 385 Janka, K. and Saukko, E.: Apparatus and process for measuring characteristics of particle flow, 2017.
- 386 Järvi, L., Junninen, H., Karppinen, A., Hillamo, R., Virkkula, A., Mäkelä, T., Pakkanen, T., and Kulmala, M.: Temporal
 387 variations in black carbon concentrations with different time scales in Helsinki during 1996–2005, *Atmospheric Chem.*
 388 *Phys.*, 8, 1017–1027, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-1017-2008>, 2008.
- 389 Järvi, L., Hannuniemi, H., Hussein, T., Junninen, H., Aalto, P., Hillamo, R., Mäkelä, T., Keronen, P., Siivola, E., Vesala,
 390 T., and Kulmala, M.: The urban measurement station SMEAR III: Continuous monitoring of air pollution and surface–
 391 atmosphere interactions in Helsinki, Finland, 14, 2009.
- 392 Kalkavouras, P., Grivas, G., Stavroulas, I., Petrinoli, K., Bougiatioti, A., Liakakou, E., Gerasopoulos, E., and
 393 Mihalopoulos, N.: Source apportionment of fine and ultrafine particle number concentrations in a major city of the
 394 Eastern Mediterranean, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 915, 170042, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170042>, 2024.



- 395 Kuula, J., Kuuluvainen, H., Rönkkö, T., Niemi, J. V., Saukko, E., Portin, H., Aurela, M., Saarikoski, S., Rostedt, A.,
 396 Hillamo, R., and Timonen, H.: Applicability of Optical and Diffusion Charging-Based Particulate Matter Sensors to
 397 Urban Air Quality Measurements, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 19, 1024–1039, <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2018.04.0143>,
 398 2019.
- 399 Kuula, J., Kuuluvainen, H., Niemi, J. V., Saukko, E., Portin, H., Kousa, A., Aurela, M., Rönkkö, T., and Timonen, H.:
 400 Long-term sensor measurements of lung deposited surface area of particulate matter emitted from local vehicular and
 401 residential wood combustion sources, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 54, 190–202,
 402 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2019.1668909>, 2020.
- 403 Kuuluvainen, H., Poikkimäki, M., Järvinen, A., Kuula, J., Irjala, M., Dal Maso, M., Keskinen, J., Timonen, H., Niemi, J.
 404 V., and Rönkkö, T.: Vertical profiles of lung deposited surface area concentration of particulate matter measured with a
 405 drone in a street canyon, *Environ. Pollut.*, 241, 96–105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.100>, 2018.
- 406 Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources
 407 to premature mortality on a global scale, *Nature*, 525, 367–371, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371>, 2015.
- 408 Lepistö, T., Barreira, L. M. F., Helin, A., Niemi, J. V., Kuittinen, N., Lintusaari, H., Silvonen, V., Markkula, L.,
 409 Manninen, H. E., Timonen, H., Jalava, P., Saarikoski, S., and Rönkkö, T.: Snapshots of wintertime urban aerosol
 410 characteristics: Local sources emphasized in ultrafine particle number and lung deposited surface area, *Environ. Res.*,
 411 231, 116068, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116068>, 2023.
- 412 Lepistö, T., Lintusaari, H., Salo, L., Silvonen, V., Barreira, L. M. F., Hoivala, J., Markkula, L., Niemi, J. V., Ondracek,
 413 J., Teinilä, K., Manninen, H. E., Saarikoski, S., Timonen, H., Dal Maso, M., and Rönkkö, T.: Comparison of size
 414 distribution and electrical particle sensor measurement methods for particle lung deposited surface area (LDSA^{al}) in
 415 ambient measurements with varying conditions, <https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2024-13>, 17 May 2024.
- 416 Niemi, J. V., Tervahattu, H., Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Koskentalo, T., Sillanpää, M., and Rantamäki, M.:
 417 Characterization and source identification of a fine particle episode in Finland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5003–5012,
 418 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.06.023>, 2004.
- 419 Niemi, J. V., Tervahattu, H., Vehkamäki, H., Martikainen, J., Laakso, L., Kulmala, M., Aarnio, P., Koskentalo, T.,
 420 Sillanpää, M., and Makkonen, U.: Characterization of aerosol particle episodes in Finland caused by wildfires in
 421 Eastern Europe, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2299–2310, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2299-2005>, 2005.
- 422 Niemi, J. V., Saarikoski, S., Aurela, M., Tervahattu, H., Hillamo, R., Westphal, D. L., Aarnio, P., Koskentalo, T.,
 423 Makkonen, U., Vehkamäki, H., and Kulmala, M.: Long-range transport episodes of fine particles in southern Finland
 424 during 1999–2007, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 1255–1264, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.022>, 2009.
- 425 Pirjola, L., Niemi, J. V., Saarikoski, S., Aurela, M., Enroth, J., Carbone, S., Saarnio, K., Kuuluvainen, H., Kousa, A.,
 426 Rönkkö, T., and Hillamo, R.: Physical and chemical characterization of urban winter-time aerosols by mobile
 427 measurements in Helsinki, Finland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 158, 60–75, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.028>,
 428 2017.



- 429 Rönkkö, T. and Timonen, H.: Overview of Sources and Characteristics of Nanoparticles in Urban Traffic-Influenced
- 430 Areas, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 72, 15–28, <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190170>, 2019.
- 431 Rönkkö, T., Kuuluvainen, H., Karjalainen, P., Keskinen, J., Hillamo, R., Niemi, J. V., Pirjola, L., Timonen, H. J.,
- 432 Saarikoski, S., Saukko, E., Järvinen, A., Silvennoinen, H., Rostedt, A., Olin, M., Yli-Ojanperä, J., Nousiainen, P.,
- 433 Kousa, A., and Dal Maso, M.: Traffic is a major source of atmospheric nanocluster aerosol, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 114,
- 434 7549–7554, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700830114>, 2017.
- 435 Rostedt, A., Arffman, A., Janka, K., Yli-Ojanperä, J., and Keskinen, J.: Characterization and Response Model of the
- 436 PPS-M Aerosol Sensor, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 48, 1022–1030, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2014.951023>, 2014.
- 437 Saarikoski, S., Timonen, H., Saarnio, K., Aurela, M., Järvi, L., Keronen, P., Kerminen, V.-M., and Hillamo, R.: Sources
- 438 of organic carbon in fine particulate matter in northern European urban air, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6281–6295,
- 439 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-6281-2008>, 2008.
- 440 Savadkoobi, M., Pandolfi, M., Reche, C., Niemi, J. V., Mooibroek, D., Titos, G., Green, D. C., Tremper, A. H., Hueglin,
- 441 C., Liakakou, E., Mihalopoulos, N., Stavroulas, I., Artiñano, B., Coz, E., Alados-Arboledas, L., Beddows, D., Riffault,
- 442 V., De Brito, J. F., Bastian, S., Baudic, A., Colombi, C., Costabile, F., Chazeau, B., Marchand, N., Gómez-Amo, J. L.,
- 443 Estellés, V., Matos, V., van der Gaag, E., Gille, G., Luoma, K., Manninen, H. E., Norman, M., Silvergren, S., Petit, J.-E.,
- 444 Putaud, J.-P., Rattigan, O. V., Timonen, H., Tuch, T., Merkel, M., Weinhold, K., Vratolis, S., Vasilescu, J., Favez, O.,
- 445 Harrison, R. M., Laj, P., Wiedensohler, A., Hopke, P. K., Petäjä, T., Alastuey, A., and Querol, X.: The variability of mass
- 446 concentrations and source apportionment analysis of equivalent black carbon across urban Europe, *Environ. Int.*, 178,
- 447 108081, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108081>, 2023.
- 448 Schraufnagel, D. E.: The health effects of ultrafine particles, *Exp. Mol. Med.*, 52, 311–317,
- 449 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-020-0403-3>, 2020.
- 450 Sebastian, M., Kompalli, S. K., Kumar, V. A., Jose, S., Babu, S. S., Pandithurai, G., Singh, S., Hooda, R. K., Soni, V. K.,
- 451 Pierce, J. R., Vakkari, V., Asmi, E., Westervelt, D. M., Hyvärinen, A.-P., and Kanawade, V. P.: Observations of particle
- 452 number size distributions and new particle formation in six Indian locations, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 22, 4491–4508,
- 453 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-4491-2022>, 2022.
- 454 Srivastava, D., Vu, T. V., Tong, S., Shi, Z., and Harrison, R. M.: Formation of secondary organic aerosols from
- 455 anthropogenic precursors in laboratory studies, *Npj Clim. Atmospheric Sci.*, 5, 22, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-00238-6)
- 456 00238-6, 2022.
- 457 Teinilä, K., Saarikoski, S., Lintusaari, H., Lepistö, T., Marjanen, P., Aurela, M., Hellén, H., Tykkä, T., Lampimäki, M.,
- 458 Lampilahti, J., Barreira, L., Mäkelä, T., Kangas, L., Hatakka, J., Harni, S., Kuula, J., Niemi, J. V., Portin, H., Yli-
- 459 Ojanperä, J., Niemelä, V., Jäppi, M., Lehtipalo, K., Vanhanen, J., Pirjola, L., Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Rönkkö, T.,
- 460 and Timonen, H.: Measurement report: Wintertime aerosol characterization at an urban traffic site in Helsinki Finland,
- 461 <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-2235>, 7 October 2024.



- 462 Todea, A. M., Beckmann, S., Kaminski, H., Bard, D., Bau, S., Clavaguera, S., Dahmann, D., Dozol, H., Dziurawitz, N.,
463 Elihn, K., Fierz, M., Lidén, G., Meyer-Plath, A., Monz, C., Neumann, V., Pelzer, J., Simonow, B. K., Thali, P., Tuinman,
464 I., van der Vleuten, A., Vroomen, H., and Asbach, C.: Inter-comparison of personal monitors for nanoparticles exposure
465 at workplaces and in the environment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 605–606, 929–945,
466 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.041>, 2017.
- 467 Trechera, P., Garcia-Marlès, M., Liu, X., Reche, C., Pérez, N., Savadkoobi, M., Beddows, D., Salma, I., Vörösmarty,
468 M., Casans, A., Casquero-Vera, J. A., Hueglin, C., Marchand, N., Chazeau, B., Gille, G., Kalkavouras, P., Mihalopoulos,
469 N., Ondracek, J., Zikova, N., Niemi, J. V., Manninen, H. E., Green, D. C., Tremper, A. H., Norman, M., Vratolis, S.,
470 Eleftheriadis, K., Gómez-Moreno, F. J., Alonso-Blanco, E., Gerwig, H., Wiedensohler, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M.,
471 Bastian, S., Petit, J.-E., Favez, O., Crumeyrolle, S., Ferlay, N., Martins Dos Santos, S., Putaud, J.-P., Timonen, H.,
472 Lampilahti, J., Asbach, C., Wolf, C., Kaminski, H., Altug, H., Hoffmann, B., Rich, D. Q., Pandolfi, M., Harrison, R. M.,
473 Hopke, P. K., Petäjä, T., Alastuey, A., and Querol, X.: Phenomenology of ultrafine particle concentrations and size
474 distribution across urban Europe, *Environ. Int.*, 172, 107744, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107744>, 2023.
- 475 Vallabani, N. V. S., Gruzjeva, O., Elihn, K., Juárez-Facio, A. T., Steimer, S. S., Kuhn, J., Silvergren, S., Portugal, J.,
476 Piña, B., Olofsson, U., Johansson, C., and Karlsson, H. L.: Toxicity and health effects of ultrafine particles: Towards an
477 understanding of the relative impacts of different transport modes, *Environ. Res.*, 231, 116186,
478 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116186>, 2023.
- 479 World Health Organization. (2021). *WHO global air quality guidelines: Particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), ozone,*
480 *nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide*. World Health Organization.
481 <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240034228>
- 482 Zanobetti, A., Austin, E., Coull, B. A., Schwartz, J., and Koutrakis, P.: Health effects of multi-pollutant profiles,
483 *Environ. Int.*, 71, 13–19, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.05.023>, 2014.
- 484