

Dear Maria, Raffaella, and Paolo

Thank you for the timely revision to the manuscript. These revisions have strengthened the paper, so that is much appreciated. However, there are a number of technical corrections which are still required.

Supporting Graphics

Your Response letter indicated that you have prepared supporting diagrams and screenshots from the game graphics, but these are not presented in the manuscript and there is no indication where they will appear.

For this reason, can you submit a Version 4 where the above mentioned errors are corrected, and the final versions of the diagrams / screenshots are included, with appropriate explanatory captions. This will obviously also require a renumbering of the Figures.

References

(1) There remain errors in the citations in the text and in the Reference list. In particular, the following references are cited in the text but do not appear to be mentioned in the body of the paper:

- Barnanowski et al 2008
- Schweitzer et al. 2012
- Landers et al 2015 (on Google Scholar this appears as 2014)
- Sailer et al. (2017a) – this should just be 2017

Also, the World Climate Simulation is listed as a Reference and is mentioned in the text (line 90) but not given as a reference. Either remove from the final list or add it as a reference in line 90.

There are also a number of references that are cited in the paper but are not listed in the final References, name:

- Line 37 - Pelling 2012
- Line 40 - Gerald 2018
- Line 86 – Lillig & Guha 2017

Corrections / Revisions in the Main Text

Student's t-test: As was highlighted by Reviewer 1, in several places you refer to the 't-Student test' but this ought to be referred to as the 'Student's t-test', so this should be corrected in the multiple locations where it is used (e.g. line 170, line 212).

Line 174 – '*Additionally, Cronbach's alpha...*' is not grammatically correct, and should be expressed instead as '*Additionally, a determination of Cronbach's alpha ...*'

Background context of the initiative

3. Results – again as noted by Reviewer, the opening sentences of this section presents the background context of the initiative but this is not appropriate for Results. This background information should instead be included in the opening paragraph of the Methods section (lines 113-117). Alternatively, given that the same information is repeated in the Acknowledgements, this information could be deleted here.

Similarly, the follow-up sentence (line 194) also would be better placed in the introductory section of the Methods.

The introduction to the Results should focus on the findings of the work, not the set up of the activity.

Appendix 1

The paper refers to an Appendix 1, but no Appendix is included. Please add that.