

Hi, As per discussion with the editor, no corrections were made. We should not make text changes associated with figure 3, and the 'cutoff' was a pdf artifact caused by using the "make a pdf" feature versus using the printer module.

Thanks

Jeff

Dear Jeff,

thank you for your message.

The comments were given by the reviewer and I simply copied them.

In the pdf manuscript file the y axis labels are indeed cut, so please make sure that in the final figure version everything is correct.

Regarding re-organizing Figure 3: I agree that changing the figure would require changing the text, but rewriting the text is not an option anymore for an accepted version, so please leave the order of Figure 3 unchanged.

Best regards,

Johannes

Am 16.07.2025 um 10:10 schrieb Vitaly Muravyev:

Dear Johannes Schneider,

Please consult Jeff's message below and advise them on how to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vitaly Muravyev

Vitaly Muravyev
Editorial Support

Copernicus GmbH
Bahnhofsallee 1e
37081 Göttingen
Germany

Phone: +49 551 90 03 39 47

Copernicus GmbH
USt-IdNr.: DE216566440
Based in Göttingen, Germany
Registered in HRB 131 298
County Court Göttingen
Managing Director Thies Martin
Rasmussen

Data protection remark: emails sent by us might include the email address editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org as CC. When replying to such emails and keeping this email address in CC, your replies will be archived in the online system CO Editor alongside the manuscript identified through the manuscript number in the subject line. Such archived emails are accessible for the respective handling editors, journal's chief editors, as well as Copernicus Publications' staff members.

From: Reid, Jeffrey S CIV USN NRL DET MONTEREY CA (USA)
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2025 16:28
To: Copernicus Publications Editorial Support
Cc: david.richter.26@nd.edu; editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org
Subject: RE: egosphere-2025-576 (author) - manuscript accepted with corrections

Hi Johannes,

Thank you for the provisional acceptance. Question for the editors. The technical correction requested listed is "The only suggestion I have is about Fig. 3, which might be more intuitive if the higher altitudes were placed above lower altitudes. Also, the y labels in the 300 m/slightly unstable panel are cut off." We went back to the postscript file of the figure, and there is no cutoff y axis-this may be a pdf thing. Second, if you so desire, we can reorganize figure 3, but in doing so we would have to rewrite a page of text. The discussion starts at the bottom of the MABL and then works its way up, which from a forcing physics point of view makes the most sense. But please let us know if you wish a rewrite.

Be well,
Jeff

Jeffrey S. Reid, Ph.D. Code 7543

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory | phone: (831) 262-9052

jeffrey.s.reid20.civ@us.navy.mil

"My logisticians are a humorless lot ... they know if my campaign fails, they are the first ones I will slay." - Alexander the Great