

Seasonal and interannual variability of atmospheric ammonia over Guatemala driven by land use, biomass burning, and meteorological circulation

Christian Saravia¹, Pedro Saravia², and Katja Trachte¹

¹Department of Atmospheric Process, Brandenburg University of Technology (BTU) Cottbus-Senftenberg, Burger Chaussee 2, LG 4/3 Campus Nord, 03044, Cottbus, Germany

²Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Escuela Regional de Ingeniería Sanitaria (ERIS), Ciudad Universitaria, 11° avenida Zona 12, Ciudad de Guatemala, Guatemala

Correspondence to: Christian Saravia (saravchr@b-tu.de)

Abstract.

Ammonia (NH₃) is a key atmospheric precursor of fine particulate matter and an indicator of agricultural and biomass burning emissions. In Central America, NH₃ variability remains largely unquantified. This study presents the first integrated spatiotemporal assessment of atmospheric NH₃ over Guatemala (2015–2023) using concatenated IASI A/B/C observations, combined with fire activity, land cover, and meteorology dataset. Annual NH₃ columns remained relatively stable, reflecting a persistent agricultural source dominated by biomass burnings. Significant anomalies occurred in 2016, 2020, and 2023, with 2020 showing the highest annual and monthly NH₃. A clear seasonal is observed with maxima in April–May coincided with the regional fire season, followed by a rapid decline after rainy season. The spatial hotspots were consistently detected in northern (Petén–Quiché) and southern (Escuintla) agricultural regions. The most extreme episode in April 2020 recorded 957 active fires over ~1,486 km², largely within the Maya Biosphere Reserve. This episode occurred under elevated temperatures and short-term dry conditions, despite high annual precipitation, demonstrating the importance of seasonal variability. These results indicate that Guatemala’s variability in NH₃ total columns reflects a stable agricultural condition with superimposed fire-driven peaks, modulated by climatic anomalies.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) utilizes a considerable influence on air quality and ecological integrity through its potential for long-range transport and interaction with prevailing meteorological conditions (Zhou et al., 2019). As a reactive nitrogen (Nr) compound, NH₃ plays a fundamental role in the global nitrogen cycle and a variety of biogeochemical processes, representing a dominant form of nitrogen present in terrestrial and atmospheric systems (Sutton et al., 2007, 2013; Whitburn et al., 2016b). Despite its relatively short atmospheric lifetime ranging from several hours to a few days due to its high deposition efficiency and conversion into particulate ammonium, current surface-based monitoring approaches remain inadequate for providing accurate global emission estimates, often introducing substantial uncertainties (Dammers et al., 2016; Herrera et al., 2022).

Deleted: d

Deleted: a marker

Deleted: multi-satellite observations (

Deleted:)

Deleted: MODIS

Deleted: data

Deleted: Sentinel-2

Deleted: ERA5

Deleted: , and CAMS reanalysis

Deleted: median

Deleted: persistent agricultural sources

Deleted:

Deleted: by fertilizer use and livestock

Deleted: levels

Deleted:

Deleted: S

Deleted: peaks

Deleted: in

Deleted: sharp

Deleted: fall onset

Deleted: H

Deleted: Elevated temperature (+0.3 °C above the 2015–2023 mean) and high precipitation (+17% above average) favored NH₃ accumulation despite reduced anthropogenic activity during the COVID-19 lockdown.

Deleted: NH₃

Deleted: is shaped by

Deleted: stable agricultural

Deleted: baseline

Deleted: Continuous satellite-based monitoring of NH₃ is essential to improve emission inventories and support strategies to reduce biomass burning impacts across Central America.

NH₃ is also a key component in atmospheric chemistry and in the coupling of nitrogen and carbon cycles within ecosystems, with broad consequences regarding climate regulation, agricultural sustainability, air quality and public health. NH₃ emissions originate from both natural and anthropogenic activities, with the latter contributing the majority of the global NH₃ burden. The agricultural sector remains the principal source, accounting for over 81% of total anthropogenic emissions globally (Van Damme et al., 2021; Wyer et al., 2022), while additional anthropogenic contributions stem from residential combustion, vehicular exhaust, industrial production, and wastewater treatment systems (Abeed et al., 2022; Dragosits et al., 2002; Sutton et al., 2007). Although agriculture particularly using fertilizers and manure remains the dominant source of atmospheric NH₃, Nonagricultural sources such as vehicular and industrial emission may be also contribute and are significantly underestimated (Chen et al., 2024; Chen and Wang, 2025; Farren et al., 2020; Gu et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2017).

In recent decades, satellite remote sensing has significantly advanced the detection of atmospheric NH₃, leading to the development of several global NH₃ measurement datasets, notably from the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometers (IASI) instrument. For this study, we also include fire-based emission datasets such as the Global Fire Emissions Database (Giglio et al., 2006, 2013; van der Werf et al., 2010, 2017), the Global Fire Assimilation System (Remy and Kaiser, 2014) developed by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), and two fire products from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), based on Fire Radiative Power (FRP) measurements (Fu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Remy and Kaiser, 2014; Vermote et al., 2009; Wooster et al., 2003).

Central America's climate is significantly shaped by the two oceans it borders, with the vast Pacific Ocean, spanning nearly half the Earth's circumference at the equator, being especially crucial for climate regulation by El Niño brings drier conditions to Guatemala, while La Niña produces more precipitation (Bardales Espinoza et al., 2019). Guatemala's geomorphological attributes and geospatial coordinates inherently facilitate a multitude of microclimatic zones and pronounced climatic heterogeneity, the variability has been amplified in recent years by the nation's persistent susceptibility to extreme meteorological phenomena, including prolonged arid periods and intense tropical cyclones, both of which are intensified by the overarching impacts of anthropogenic climate change (Alfaro Marroquín and Gómez, 2019). Guatemala's climate deviates from the typical four-season delineation observed in the Northern Hemisphere. Instead, it is characterized by two distinct periods: the wet season, spanning from May to October, and the dry season, occurring from November to April (INSIVUMEH, 2018; Orrego León et al., 2021).

Furthermore, these climate condition directly influence and intensify of biomass burning events, particularly during dry season, Guatemala is exposed to a variety of threats, with wildfires being one of the main threats in recent decades (CONRED, 2025). Guatemala has experienced increasing concern from fire-related activities that are strongly tied to agricultural expansion, shifting cultivation, and deforestation. These practices, often used to clear land for crops or grazing, are typically intensified during the dry months, aligning with peak fire activity (Liu et al., 2024b; Monzón-Alvarado et al., 2012). Guatemala

Deleted: wild fires

consistently reports a high number of fire hotspots (CONRED, 2025), especially in years dominated by El Niño-induced drought conditions (Chuvieco et al., 2019; CONRED, 2025; Giglio et al., 2013). The recurrence of such fires in forested and agro-pastoral zones not only transforms land cover but also releases large quantities of reactive trace gases and aerosols, including atmospheric NH₃ into the atmosphere (Andreae, 2019; Pohl et al., 2022; Wyer et al., 2022). Despite this, the role of biomass burning as a driver of NH₃ emissions remains underexamined in Central American air quality studies.

Despite the increasing availability of satellite-based datasets for monitoring atmospheric NH₃, there remains a significant lack of regional-scale studies in Central America, particularly in Guatemala, where land use dynamics and biomass burning are likely to play an important role in shaping substantially to atmospheric NH₃ patterns. Previous research has primarily examined individual drivers, such as meteorological circulation and fire season in Central America (Corona-Núñez and Campo, 2023; Liu et al., 2024a), or continental scale assessment of NH₃ variability (Clarisse et al., 2009; Van Damme et al., 2021; Herrera et al., 2022; Luo et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2015). However, integrated regional analysis that simultaneously consider concatenated NH₃, fire activity, land cover and meteorological variables remain limited.

This study investigates the monthly spatiotemporal dynamics of atmospheric NH₃ total column over Guatemala from 2015 to 2023, using three satellite-based observations from IASI onboard of the Meteorological Operational satellite programme (MetOp), MetOp-A, MetOp-B, and MetOp-C, in combination with land cover classification from the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), fifth-generation global reanalysis (ERA5) meteorological data, fire activity from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), and vertical profiles global reanalysis of NH₃ from Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS). The research aims to identify spatial hotspots and the interannual and seasonal variability in NH₃. In addition, spatial clustering using k-means algorithm is applied to partition NH₃ patterns and explore their heterogeneity, with a particular focus on land cover types and the influence of fire-pixel activity. To ensure the reliability of the fire data, fire-pixels from MODIS with a confidence level $\geq 70\%$ were included in the analysis. A case study in 2020 further examines a period of anomalous NH₃ of the total column variability in relation to extreme fire events linked to agriculture and meteorological conditions.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Study location

The Republic of Guatemala, a Central American country situated between latitudes 13.5°N to 17.8°N and longitudes 88.2°W to 92.2°W. According to the National Institute of Statistics of Guatemala (INE, 2020), the country is administratively divided into 22 departments, which differ in terms of land use, population density, and economic activity. Guatemala encompasses a diverse range of ecological regions, topographical variations, and land use patterns. Its landscape is characterized by a complex zone of agricultural lands, forested highlands, volcanic zones, and rapidly urbanizing areas. Agriculture remains a cornerstone

Deleted: contribute

Deleted: emissions

Deleted: often overlooked the integrated role of multiple environmental drivers such as

Deleted: variability

Deleted: , and land cover change

Deleted:

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: emissions

Deleted: in

Deleted: and

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: emission variability

Deleted: this study employed

Deleted: emission

Deleted: >

Deleted: peak

Deleted: emission

Deleted: conditions

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: anomalies

Deleted: . The result of this study provides critical insight for a region where such integrated analyses remain scarce.

Deleted: M

Deleted: logy

Deleted: Data

Deleted:

Deleted: analysis

of the Guatemalan economy and landscape with extensive cultivation of crops such as maize, beans, coffee, sugarcane, oil palm, and bananas (FAO, 2019; Hervas, 2019; Lopez-Ridaura et al., 2019). Fertilizer application and livestock farming are particularly prevalent in rural regions. Meanwhile, increasing urbanization and industrialization, especially around Guatemala City and its metropolitan zone, have introduced additional anthropogenic activities, such as vehicular exhaust, industrial activity, and waste treatment processes.

Guatemala experiences a pronounced dry season (typically November to April) and rainy season (May to October) (Alfaro Marroquín and Gómez, 2019; Bardales Espinoza et al., 2019; INSIVUMEH, 2018; Orrego León et al., 2021), which strongly modulate fire activity, atmospheric transport conditions, and deposition processes relevant to NH₃ dispersion. Frequent wildfires, especially in the Petén department (northern area of Guatemala) and central highlands during the dry season, contribute further to the atmospheric burden of reactive nitrogen species. Topographically, Guatemala varies from sea level to 3,000 m above sea level (a.s.l) in the central plateau, and with volcanic peaks that reach 4,200 m a.s.l. (IGM, 2002). Figure 1 shows the digital elevation model (DEM) of the topographic surface in meters over Guatemala using the European Space Agency, 2024).




Figure 1: Departments of Guatemala and elevation (m) from Copernicus Global Digital Elevation Model.

2.2 IASI NH₃ satellite-based

This study applies a multi-step analytical framework to investigate the spatiotemporal variability of satellite-based atmospheric NH₃ over Guatemala from 2015 to 2023. The analysis is based on a temporally concatenated monthly NH₃ total column Level 3 (L3) observations, from the IASI instrument onboard the MetOp A/B/C satellites launched in 2006, 2012, and 2018.

- Formatted: Normal
- Deleted: St
- Deleted: udy location
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: section
- Deleted: presents
- Deleted: comprehensive
- Deleted: analysis
- Deleted: ammonia (
- Deleted:)
- Deleted: concentrations total columns
- Deleted: and related environmental factors
- Deleted:
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: utilizing
- Deleted:
- Deleted:
- Deleted: IASI

195 respectively (Clarisse et al., 2009, 2019; Coheur et al., 2009). The concatenation produces the dataset, which serves as the
basis for all spatial distributions, time series, climatological analyses, clustering, and correlation, incorporating both morning
and night observations for enhanced representativeness and discarded negative values. While IASI-B maintains the spatial
resolution of sensors A and C, integrating all three sensors could improve both temporal and spatial coverage, thereby yielding
more accurate and robust results. While the study period spans 108 months, the concatenated analysis incorporates a total of
200 228 sensor months, corresponding of IASI A (January 2015–December 2020), B (January 2015–December 2023), and C
(January 2020–December 2023).

IASI works with a cross-track scanning swath approximately 2200 km wide and provides measurements with a nadir pixel
diameter of about 12 km. Positioned aboard sun-synchronous polar-orbiting satellites, the instrument enables near-global
205 coverage twice daily during both daytime and nighttime corresponding to local solar overpass times of approximately 09:30
and 21:30, respectively (Clarisse et al., 2010; Van Damme et al., 2017; Whitburn et al., 2017). The NH₃ dataset were obtained
from version 4 (v4.0.0R) (L3) of the ANNI-NH₃ retrieval product (Clarisse et al., 2023), and regrided to a 0.25° × 0.25°
spatial resolution. A major enhancement in this version is the incorporation of the vertical column averaging kernel (AK),
which is essential for aligning satellite retrievals with chemistry-transport model simulations by mitigating the influence of the
210 priori NH₃ vertical profiles applied during retrieval. While spatial patterns remain consistent with previous versions, total
column values are approximately 15–20% higher due to improvements in the high-resolution infrared retrieval configuration
(HRI). Version 4 offers improved uncertainty characterization and enhanced temporal uniformity of the dataset from 2007 to
2023 (Clarisse et al., 2023). The retrieval algorithm estimates a hyperspectral range index from IASI spectra and converts it
into NH₃ total column densities using an artificial neural network (Van Damme et al., 2021; Franco et al., 2018; Whitburn et
215 al., 2017). The uncertainty was quantified using the standard error and the relative uncertainty was derived from the distribution
of the observation within of each grid cell (Text S1).

Additionally, NH₃ vertical profiles data were obtained from the ECMWF, Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4 (EAC4),
produced by the CAMS. This global reanalysis provides NH₃ with mass fraction in the air, provided as a mass mixing ratio
220 (kg kg⁻¹), the model is defined by 60 hybrid sigma-pressure levels. It provides a detailed representation of the atmospheric
structure, particularly near the surface (–0–100 m) where most emissions occur (Inness et al., 2019). These reanalysis datasets
incorporate satellite observations and model simulations to provide globally consistent, spatially and temporally resolved
atmospheric composition data (Flemming et al., 2017; Inness et al., 2019). In our study, the CAMS analysis of vertical structure
and latitudinal distribution of NH₃ during a specific period of interest, rather than for a quantitative comparison with satellite
225 of total column densities.

Deleted: A/B/C sensor data

Deleted: ,

Deleted:

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Monthly

Deleted: total columns data

Deleted: This study employed v

Deleted:

Deleted: for the period 2015–2023,

Deleted: Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (

Deleted:)

Deleted: in

Deleted: ,

Deleted: which

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: this

Deleted: We first detail the spatiotemporal variability of NH₃ emissions, examining annual trends that reveal generally the baseline with notable outliers and a potential recent increase. Seasonal patterns are also explored, highlighting a distinct annual cycle with a pronounced peak during the months of April and May. Spatially, elevated NH₃ emissions are consistently observed in the northern and southern regions where cropland is dominated, contrasting with lower rates in the central midlands, a pattern further elucidated by k-means cluster analysis which categorizes regions by emission intensity. Utilizing these IASI observations, specific NH₃ source regions within Guatemala have been identified and characterized, revealing that the majority of these elevated emission zones are primarily associated with agricultural practices (e.g., farming and spreading activities) and, in some northern areas, a combination of rangeland and built-up development. The NH₃ vertical profiles were obtained from the ECMWF, Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4 (EAC4), produced by the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS). The vertical resolution of NH₃ in the model is defined by 60 hybrid sigma-pressure levels, which provide a detailed representation of the atmospheric structure, particularly near the surface where most emissions occur ¶

Subsequently, the interrelationships between NH₃, other air pollutants (nitrogen dioxide [NO₂] and sulfur dioxide [SO₂]), fire activity, and key meteorological variables (temperature, relative humidity, boundary layer height, surface pressure, and total precipitation) are explored through Pearson correlation analysis. This investigation reveals significant associations, such as strong positive correlations between NH₃ and both NO₂ and fire activity, alongside inverse relationships with parameters like relative humidity. Finally, a case study of the April 2020 NH₃ anomaly is presented. This period is particularly noteworthy for recording the highest emission levels during the study, coinciding with specific meteorological conditions, fire activity, and the COVID-19 lockdown period. This detailed examination integrates spatial distribution maps, wind patterns ... [1]

2.3 Cluster analysis of NH₃

An unsupervised clustering approach was applied to classify NH₃ total columns field based on their statistical similarity. Clustering is a type of unsupervised machine learning that groups large datasets based on their similarity, allowing for more manageable and interpretable analyses (Ahmad and Dey, 2007; Pham et al., 2005). This analytical approach is particularly beneficial when there is limited or no prior knowledge about the underlying structure of the data (Gašparović et al., 2019). Among clustering methods, the k-means algorithm is one of the most commonly applied and reliable techniques. It partitions data into a predefined number of k clusters by iteratively determining central points, or centroids, for each group (Pham et al., 2005). The algorithm requires the number of clusters to be specified in advance (Ahmad and Dey, 2007; Mahata et al., 2020). K-means operates using the squared Euclidean distance as its primary metric (Spencer, 2013), which quantifies the separation between two points within a Euclidean space of any dimension (Li et al., 2022). The Euclidean distance between two points, p and q , in a j -dimensional space is mathematically expressed as $d(p, q)$.

$$d(p, q) = \sqrt{(p_1 - q_1)^2 + (p_2 - q_2)^2 + \dots + (p_j - q_j)^2} \quad (1)$$

The k-means algorithm divides a dataset into k clusters by aiming to minimize the variance within each group (Li et al., 2022). It operates on a set of input samples $X = \{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$, where each $x^m = \{x_1^m, x_2^m, \dots, x_j^m\}$ represents an observation composed of j variables, and $m \in X = \{1, \dots, n\}$, with n being the total number of observations. These samples are categorized into k clusters $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k)$ with the goal of minimizing the total intra-cluster variance $(S_{i=1, \dots, k})$, as described in Equation (2):

$$S = \underset{\text{argmin}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{x \in S_i} \|x - \mu_i\|^2} \quad (2)$$

The notation $\|x - \mu_i\|$ represents the squared Euclidean distance between each data point (x) and its assigned cluster centroid μ_i within a multidimensional Euclidean space (Li et al., 2022). In this study, k-means clustering was utilized to examine the spatiotemporal patterns of NH₃ throughout the specified timeframe (Anne Fouilloux, 2018; Pedregosa et al., 2011). To determine the most suitable number of clusters k , the elbow method was employed. This approach assesses the Cluster Sum of Squares (CSS) to identify the point at which the inclusion of additional clusters no longer significantly improves the model performance (Umargono et al., 2019).

2.4 ESRI land cover class map

The ESRI Land Use Land Cover (LULC) 2020 dataset, released in June 2021, was developed using deep learning models trained on more than 5 billion manually labelled pixel samples derived from the European Space Agency's Sentinel-2 satellite imagery with 10 m spatial resolution (Karra et al., 2021), enables land cover mapping at both national and local scales.

Deleted: This study focuses on the Republic of Guatemala, a Central American country situated between latitudes 13.5°N to 17.8°N and longitudes 88.2°W to 92.2°W. Guatemala encompasses a diverse range of ecological regions, topographical variations, and land use patterns, all of which have significant implications for atmospheric NH₃ dynamics. The country is characterized by a complex zone of agricultural lands, forested highlands, volcanic zones, and rapidly urbanizing areas factors that contribute to both natural and anthropogenic NH₃ emissions. Agriculture remains a cornerstone of the Guatemalan economy and landscape, with extensive cultivation of crops such as maize, bean, coffee, sugarcane, oil palm, and bananas. Fertilizer application and livestock farming are particularly prevalent in rural regions, making the agricultural sector a dominant source of NH₃ emissions nationwide. Meanwhile, increasing urbanization and industrialization, especially around Guatemala City and its metropolitan zone, have introduced additional non-agricultural emission sources, such as vehicular exhaust, industrial activity, and waste treatment processes. Moreover, Guatemala experiences a pronounced dry season (typically November to April) and rainy season (May to October), which strongly modulate fire activity, atmospheric transport conditions, and deposition processes relevant to NH₃ dispersion. Frequent wildfires, especially in the Petén department (northern area of Guatemala) and central highlands during the dry season, contribute further to the atmospheric burden of reactive nitrogen species. The height of Guatemala varies from sea level to 3,000 m above sea level (a.s.l.) in the central plateau and with volcanic peaks that reach 4,200 m a.s.l.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: ESRI land cover class map

Deleted:

The ESRI Land Use Land Cover (LULC) 2020 dataset, was released in June 2021, by the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) was developed using deep learning models trained on more than 5 billion manually labelled pixel samples derived from the European Space Agency's Sentinel-2 satellite imagery with 10 m spatial resolution, enables land cover mapping at both national and local scales. According to

Deleted: these training samples were collected from 24,000 image tiles globally, each measuring 510 × 510 pixels, and reflect a wide range of land surface types. The LULC 2020-ESRI dataset, based on data from the European Space Agency's Sentinel 2 mission with 10 m spatial resolution, enables land cover mapping at both national and local scales. The LULC 2020 map is classified into nine classes: Water, Trees, Flooded vegetation, Crops, Built area, Bare ground, Snow/Ice, Clouds, and Rangeland

Deleted: In this study, the analysis was restricted to classes related to Water, Trees, Flooded vegetation, Crops, Built area, and Rangeland.

Deleted: in this study, the analysis was restricted to the land cover classes most relevant to NH₃ emission processes, namely Water, Trees, Flooded Vegetation, Crops, Built Area, and Rangeland (conclusions).

Deleted:

Deleted: ..

405 According to (ESRI, 2021) these training samples were collected from 24,000 image tiles globally, each measuring 510×510 pixels, and reflect a wide range of land surface types. The LULC 2020 product is classified into nine classes: Water, Trees, Flooded vegetation, Crops, Built area, Bare ground, Snow/Ice, Clouds, and Rangeland (Karra et al., 2021). In this study, the analysis was restricted to classes related to Water, Trees, Flooded vegetation, Crops, Built area, and Rangeland.

2.5 Meteorological data from ERA-5

410 Meteorological variables used in this study were obtained from the ERA5 reanalysis (Soci et al., 2024) dataset provided by ECMWF, (Hersbach et al., 2020). Originally available at a horizontal resolution of approximately 31 km, the data were resampled to a $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ grid and both spatially and temporally to match the IASI NH_3 observation times and locations. The selected meteorological parameters were subsequently used in statistical analysis with atmospheric NH_3 , these variables include 2 m air temperature (t2m), 10 m wind speed (ws), boundary layer height (BLH), relative humidity (derived using 2 m air temperature and dew point temperature), and total precipitation (tp). Pearson correlation matrix coefficient were calculated between monthly meteorological variables means and total column means of NH_3 , including total fire counts per month, to quantify their linear relationship.

415 2.6 Fires

Active fire detections were obtained from MODIS Aqua/Terra Thermal Anomalies/Fire Locations product (MOD14/MYD14). Data was accessed via the Fire Information for Resource Management System (FIRMS) Near Real-Time (NRT), collection 6.1 (Giglio et al., 2021a). These data are produced by NASA's Land, Atmosphere Near-real-time Capability for EOS (LANCE) and provide global active fire information at a nominal spatial resolution of 1 km.

420 MODIS fire detection recognizes thermal anomalies in individual pixels using mid-infrared radiance signals. It assigns each detected fire's geographic location to the center of the corresponding $1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$ spatial resolution (Giglio et al., 2003, 2006; Justice et al., 2010). Each detection includes fire location, detection confidence, and FRP. Detection confidence, indicating the likelihood of an active fire in a pixel, is classified as low level: 0% to < 30%, nominal level: 30% to < 80%, high level: 80% to 100% (Giglio et al., 2016, 2021b, a; Hantson et al., 2013).

430 To reduce false detections, and ensure strong fire identification, only fire pixels with nominal to high confidence levels >70% were retained for analysis, in accordance with recommendations from previous studies (Giglio et al., 2016, 2021b, a; Hantson et al., 2013). Fire activity was quantified using fire counts, defined as the number of detected fire pixels, which serve as a proxy for fire occurrence and spatiotemporal variability. Monthly fire counts were aggregated for each year to characterize seasonal and interannual variability in fire activity.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: fifth-generation global reanalysis (

Deleted:)

Deleted: interpolated

Deleted: blh

Deleted: surface pressure (sp),

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted:

Deleted: Correlation analyses between these variables and atmospheric NH_3 concentrations were performed to better understand the meteorological drivers influencing NH_3 variability across Guatemala....

Deleted: and burned areas

Deleted: were

Deleted: >

Deleted: consistent

FRP served as an indicator of fire intensity with energetic significance providing as an additional filtering. Moreover, it quantifies the rate of radiative energy released from combustion and is directly related to biomass consumption (Wooster et al., 2003). In this study only fire detections with FRP values ≥ 40 Megawatt (MW) were included, thereby excluding low-intensity or small-scale fires to ensure consistency between temporal statistics and spatial fire distribution.

FRP is derived from MODIS 4 μm radiance measurement using the methodology proposed by Wooster et al., 2003 in equation (3):

$$FRP = \frac{\sigma \times A_{\text{pixel}}}{\alpha \times \tau_4} (L_4 - L_{4b}) \quad (3)$$

A_{pixel} is the fire pixel area $\sigma = 5.6704 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $\alpha = 3.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$ is the MODIS empirical constant, τ_4 is the atmospheric transmittance at 4 μm , and $L_4 - L_{4b}$ are radiances at 4 μm of the fire and background pixels, respectively (Wooster et al., 2003).

The burned analysis for this work was obtained by MODIS MCD64A1 Version 6.1 product, which combines observations from both Terra and Aqua platforms to produce a monthly global dataset gridded at 500 m that provides per-pixel burned-area and quality information (Giglio et al., 2018). The MCD64A1 MODIS burned area product integrates surface reflectance data with active fire detections to identify burned pixels based on temporal changes in a burn-sensitive vegetation index derived from shortwave infrared bands (bands 5 and 7) and spatial texture metrics (Dong et al., 2013; Freeborn et al., 2011; Giglio et al., 2003, 2021b; Justice et al., 2010). For each pixel, the product provides the estimated burn date as the ordinal day of the year, as well as quality assurance layers and uncertainty metrics. Pixels identified as unburned, water, or missing data are assigned distinct flag values (Giglio et al., 2016).

3 Results

3.1 Spatiotemporal variability of NH₃

Fig. 2 shows the annual variability of NH₃ total column over Guatemala from the period 2015 to 2023. The respective boxplots show the distribution of monthly NH₃ values within each year. The medians reveal a NH₃ with a minimum of 2.90×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} in 2021 and a maximum of 2.33×10^{16} molecules cm^{-2} in 2020 (Table S1). While the median values show an increase of NH₃ notable during 2020 (4.66×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2}) and 2023 (4.33×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2}). Consistently, the standard deviation varied considerably between years, reaching a peak in 2020 of 5.83×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} .

- Deleted: and
- Deleted: Also
- Deleted: greater than
- Deleted: Detected active fires for this work were sourced from the MODIS-Aqua/Terra Thermal Anomalies/Fire locations at 1 km FIRMS Near Real-Time (NRT) - Collection 6.1 . This product is processed by NASA's Land, Atmosphere Near real-time Capability for EOS (LANCE) Fire Information for Resource Management System (FIRMS), utilizing swath products (MOD14/MYD14). The thermal anomalies, or active fires, pinpoint the center of a 1 km ... [2]
- Deleted: This scanning mechanism produces 10 image lines ... [3]
- Deleted: , which quantifies the energy release rate from the ... [4]
- Deleted: the Terra and Aqua combined
- Deleted: a
- Deleted: (Dong et al., 2013; Freeborn et al., 2011; Giglio et ... [5]
- Deleted: (Giglio et al., 2016)
- Deleted: spatio-temporal variability of
- Deleted: mean atmospheric
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted: based on concatenated data from the IASI A/B/C sensors.
- Deleted: annual mean
- Deleted:
- Deleted: total column values
- Deleted: generally
- Deleted: relatively stable
- Deleted: concentration throughout the study period. However ... [6]
- Deleted:
- Deleted: 2.90
- Deleted: 4.12
- Deleted:
- Deleted: $\pm 5.34 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm^{-2}
- Deleted: 18
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: 6
- Deleted: .33
- Deleted: 67
- Deleted: 5
- Deleted: Furthermore
- Deleted: d
- Deleted: 16
- Deleted: 0,
- Formatted: Subscript

The spatial distribution of NH_3 exhibited a consistent area-wide pattern characterized by elevated values in specific areas. Persistent hotspots are observed in the northern (Petén), north-central (Quiché, Alta Verapaz, and Izabal), and along the southern coastal department of Escuintla. Conversely, lower annual mean NH_3 dominate in the central midlands, including the departments of Totonicapán, Sololá, Chimaltenango, Sacatepéquez, Guatemala, Jalapa, and Jutiapa. Interannual variability in hotspot intensity is evident, with particularly values over Petén, Alta Verapaz and Quiché in 2016 and more widespread increases across Petén, Quiché, Alta Verapaz, Izabal and Escuintla in 2020. Notably, even in years with lower annual means such as 2018, increased NH_3 total column were still evident in Petén, Alta Verapaz, Izabal and Escuintla.




Figure 2: Annual means area-wide and boxplot distribution of monthly NH_3 total columns within each year ($\times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2}) over Guatemala (2015–2023) from concatenated IASI A, B and C.

The monthly climatology variability of NH_3 total columns are illustrated by boxplots in Fig. 3, showing a distinct seasonal pattern. A minimum of 2.90×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} observed in March. A substantial increase occurred in the month of April, with a median of 7.34×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} , followed by May with a median of 7.27×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} (Table S2). These months exhibit the greatest variability, reflected by a prominent outlier. The values started decreasing thereafter in the month

- Deleted: NH_3
- Deleted: tion
- Deleted: Annual mean total column concentrations varied significantly, with the highest values detected in 2020 and 2016. Specifically, the maximum value was observed in 2020, followed by 2016 and 2023...
- Deleted: Despite these variations in overall annual mean concentrations, the spatial pattern of the hotspots remained consistent throughout the years.
- Deleted: For instance
- Deleted: during a
- Deleted: a
- Deleted: like
- Deleted: 1
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: mean
- Deleted: tion in atmospheric
- Deleted: emission
- Deleted: (
- Deleted:)
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: . indicate
- Deleted: The analysis reveals
- Deleted: in NH_3
- Deleted: emissions W
- Deleted: w
- Deleted: ith levels remaining relatively low and stable values from Julyanuary ththrougho March.
- Deleted: 5.17
- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: February
- Deleted:
- Deleted: (Table S2)
- Deleted: 43
- Deleted: peak values in with monthly mean values of 1.01×10^{16} molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \pm 4.43 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm^{-2} and in
- Deleted:
- Deleted: (alues of
- Deleted:
- Deleted: 4.49
- Deleted:
- Deleted: e

615 of June with a median of 4.83×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} . From July through December, the median stabilizes, ranging between 3.58×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} (August) to 4.18×10^{15} molecules cm^{-2} (November).

620 The spatial distribution of monthly climatology mean NH_3 displays the distinct seasonal area-wide across Guatemala. From January to March, NH_3 remain generally low over most of the country, with only limited area exhibiting elevated columns (Escuintla). A substantial increase in both the total column values and spatial coverage of NH_3 is observed in April, with widespread elevated areas particularly in the northern (Petén), north-central (Quiché, Alta Verapaz, and Izabal), and southern coastal area (Retalhuleu, Suchitepéquez, and Escuintla). Specific defined areas with highest values primarily in the departments of Quiché, Alta Verapaz and Escuintla is observed in the month of May. Subsequently, a sharp decline in NH_3 is evident across the country from June through December. During these months, the spatial distribution of NH_3 is characterized by few hotspots, and generally uniform low values in the central midlands (Totonicapán, Sololá, Chimaltenango, Sacatepéquez, Guatemala, Jalapa, and Jutiapa).

- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: 74
- Deleted: 2.0
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: 2.38
- Deleted: Emissions
- Commented [KT1]: incomplete, areawide what?
- Deleted: mean
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: emissions
- Deleted: also
- Deleted: pattern
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: oncentration
- Deleted: over
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted: are
- Deleted: across
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: magnitude
- Deleted: emissions
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted:
- Commented [KT2]: A specific area OR specific areas?
- Deleted: Elevated NH_3 and southern coastal regions, consist ... [7]
- Deleted: A s
- Deleted: maintaining high
- Deleted:
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted: in these areas, aligning with its monthly mean loca ... [8]
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted: onwards, returning to consistently low levels by Ju ... [9]
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: low-emission
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: but
- Deleted: concentrations
- Deleted: across
- Deleted: and highlands. This pronounced seasonality in sr ... [10]
- Formatted ... [11]




Figure 3: Monthly climatology area-wide and boxplot distribution of NH_3 total column for each calendar month ($\times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2}) over Guatemala (2015–2023) from concatenated IASI A, B and C.

3.2 Land cover classification driven by NH_3 cluster k-means

The spatial distribution of NH_3 in Guatemala, based on the overall annual mean for the period 2015–2023, reveals six distinct hotspots, area 1 (Escuintla), 2 (Petén and Izabal), 3 (Alta Verapaz), 4 (Quiché and Alta Verapaz), 5 (Southwest of Petén) and 6 (Northwestern Petén) (Fig. 4a). Among these, the most prominent hotspot is area 4, which exhibits the highest annual mean NH_3 total column during the study period.

Deleted: means

Deleted:

Deleted: emission

Deleted: /

Deleted: ²

Formatted: Normal

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: emissions

Deleted: One of these high-emission areas is located in the southern region of the country in the department of Escuintla, while the remaining five are concentrated in the northern region.

Deleted: em

Deleted: A

Deleted: values

The k-means clustering partitions the country into three emission zones (Fig. 4b), each with distinct land cover profiles when integrated with ESRI data (Fig. 4c). Cluster 1 with smallest area (~28,254 km²) (red) associated to the highest NH₃, is located in the northern (Petén), central-north (Quiché, Alta Verapaz, Izabal), and southern regions (Escuintla, Suchitepéquez, Retalhuleu and San Marcos) is dominated by cropland (16.7%; 4710.8 km²) and rangeland (24.5%; 6934.2 km²). Tree cover remains extensive (53.2%; 15,024.6 km²), while built-up areas are limited (3.4%; 948.6 km²) as shown in Fig. 4d. The complete land cover distribution across clusters is provided in Table 1.

Cluster 2 with a largest extend area around 45,662 km² (yellow) represents moderate zones forming a transition around Cluster 1 and extending into northern (Petén), central-north (Huehuetenango, Quiché, Alta Verapaz, Santa Rosa, Izabal), and south (Quetzaltenango, Escuintla, Suchitepéquez, Retalhuleu, Santa Rosa, Jutiapa and San Marcos) (Fig. 4e). It is dominated by tree cover (58.9%; 26,891.6 km²) and rangeland (30.3%; 13,844.6 km²), with a comparatively small share of cropland (6.0%; 2724.7 km²). Built-up areas account for 2.8% (1261.8 km²), slightly larger in absolute terms than in Cluster 1.

The intermediate extent area Cluster 3 with ~34,640 km² (green), associated with the lowest NH₃, covers the central region with departments of Huehuetenango, San Marcos, Totonicapán, Sololá, Chimaltenango, Sacatepéquez, Guatemala, El Progreso, Jalapa, Chiquimula and Jutiapa. This cluster is characterized by high rangeland cover (34.3%; 11,893.3 km²) and substantial tree cover (47.1%; 16,298.9 km²), while cropland is minimal (3.1%; 1088.6 km²) (Fig. 4f). Notably, built-up areas occupy the largest proportion across clusters (14.8%; 5137.1 km²).

Deleted: eastern
Deleted: ,
Deleted: western
Deleted: Guatemala

Deleted: C
Deleted: It
Deleted: , despite Cluster 3 having the smallest territorial extent.

Table 1: Land cover composition of percentage and area for the different cluster in Guatemala.

LCC	Cluster 1		Cluster 2		Cluster 3	
	Percentage %	Area km ²	Percentage %	Area km ²	Percentage %	Area km ²
Water	1.94	548.97	1.78	812.44	0.64	221.61
Trees	53.16	15,024.57	58.89	26,891.61	47.05	16,298.85
Flooded Veg.	0.31	86.77	0.28	127.16	0	0.63
Crops	16.67	4710.8	5.97	2724.67	3.14	1088.56
Built Areas	3.36	948.62	2.76	1261.81	14.83	5137.06
Rangeland	24.53	6934.19	30.32	13,844.63	34.33	11,893.31




Figure 4: (a) Concatenated NH₃ total column (× 10¹⁶ molecules cm⁻²) (2015–2023), (b) k-means cluster analysis with Cluster 1 (red color), Cluster 2 (yellow color) and Cluster 3 (green color). (c) ESRI Land Use Land Cover across Guatemala, categorized into three clusters based on k-means combined with land cover types: water, trees, flooded vegetation, crops, built area, and rangeland, (d) C1 related to cluster 1, (e) C2 to cluster 2, and (f) C3 to cluster 3.

3.3 Fires

In order to connect the previously described NH₃ patterns Fig. 5 shows the annual distribution of active fires in Guatemala during the study period. The fire activity shows a marked interannual variability. The area-wide total annual fire counts peaked in 2016 (1779 fires), and 2017 (1783), while the minimum was observed in 2022 (807) (Table S4). Fire frequency declined substantially in 2018, reflecting a reduction in both annual counts and monthly extremes. Fire activity increased again in 2019 (median 32) and in 2023 (median 58). The year 2020 is characterized by increased temporal variability, including with the highest single month fire count with 957 fires. Fire occurrence increase slightly in 2021 (median 30), and reached its lowest in 2022 (median 19), before recovering their activity in 2023 (median 59).

The spatial distribution of detected fires is consistent with the temporal variability in fire counts, showing pronounced interannual differences in both fire occurrence and spatial heterogeneity. Years with elevated fire occurrence (2016, 2017, 2019, 2020, and 2023), showed widespread spatial extents of fires detections, with recurrent hotspots concentrated primarily in the northern (Petén) and along the southern area (Escuintla, Suchitepéquez, Retalhuleu, and Santa Rosa). In contrast, years

Deleted: Total column concentrations of

Deleted: NH₃

Deleted: ammonium

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: Area-wide annual mean monthly fire counts reached a maximum of 162 in 2016 and a minimum count

The data reveal considerable interannual variability observed...

Deleted: 3

Deleted: in both the number and distribution of fires, with mean monthly fire counts ranging from a minimum of 73 in 2022 to a maximum of 162 in 2016 (Table S3). During 2015, the mean monthly number of fires was 137, with a monthly maximum of 817 fires. Fire activity peaked in 2016 with the highest mean monthly count (162), followed by 2017 with 149. Notably, 2017 also recorded the highest monthly peak of 947 fires, indicating both more frequent and more intense fire events compared with the surrounding years.

Deleted: ... [12]

Deleted: of

Deleted: Fire Radiative Power ()

Deleted:

Deleted: across Guatemala from 2015 to 2023

Deleted: complements the annual fire counts

Deleted: significant interannual variability

Deleted: in fire activity

Deleted: frequencies

Deleted: , such as

Deleted: hid

Deleted:)

of reduced fire activity, including 2018, 2021 and 2022, showed the decreased of detections, and reduce spatial confined distribution of fires.




Figure 5: Annual distribution of monthly fire counts over Guatemala (2015–2023), MODIS fire detection filtered by nominal confidence level $\geq 70\%$ and FRP ≥ 40 MW, the boxplots represent the area-wide monthly fire count for each year.

The monthly climatology of fire activity in Guatemala (Fig. 6). The boxplots show a clear seasonal pattern in detected fires. Fire activity is relatively low at the starting of the year, with median of 78 in January, and 105 in February (Table S5). A substantial rise occurs in March (median 211), while April marks the peak with a maximum of 957. Consequently, the month of May demonstrated a decrease of number of fires (median 238). Moreover, an observed sharply declining in June (median 15), and the lowest fire activity occurs in September (median 3). Finally, slight increase is observed at the end of the year in December (median of 46).

The spatial distribution of FRP across Guatemala also confirms this seasonal pattern and shows the shifts in fire location and intensity. During the early months of the year, fire activity is concentrated mainly along the southern areas (Escuintla, Suchitepéquez, Retalhuleu, and Santa Rosa). As the fire season advances, the activity shifts northward with central-north (Huehuetenango, Quiché, Alta Verapaz, Santa Rosa, Izabal), and northern (Petén). In November and December, the fire remerged in the southern coast (Escuintla, Suchitepéquez, and Retalhuleu).

- Deleted: activity
- Deleted: These consistent patterns indicate fluctuating fire regimen, where periods of intense and widespread fire activity alternated with years of reduced activity.
- Deleted: Mean
- Deleted: and boxplot of detected fires
- Deleted: >
- Deleted: fire radiative power
- Deleted: >
- Deleted: values
- Deleted: annual distribution of fire activity
- Commented [KT3]: fire or fires activity?
- Deleted: spatial distribution
- Deleted:
- Deleted: and box plots illustrate the monthly
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: occurrence is obvious.
- Deleted: an monthly counts
- Deleted: a monthly mean of 7 fires in
- Deleted: (maximum of 114)
- Deleted: gradually increasing
- Deleted: 4
- Commented [KT4]: Do you mean 'consequently' OR 'subsequently'?
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: ean
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: recording the highest monthly mean of 635 fires and the highest maximum of 957 fires indicating the strongest variability and largest IQR.
- Deleted: An
- Deleted: to a
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: a
- Deleted: ean
- Deleted: mainly in
- Deleted: regions
- Deleted: fire




Figure 6: Monthly climatology of detected fires over Guatemala (2015–2023), MODIS fire detection filtered by nominal confidence level $\geq 70\%$ and FRP ≥ 40 MW, the boxplots represent the area-wide distribution of number of detected fires for each month across all years.

Deleted: can m

Deleted: distribution of

3.4 Meteorology

Figure 7 illustrates the variability of meteorological variables derived from monthly scales over Guatemala from ERA5. Fig. 7 (a,c) exhibit the BLH and precipitation. The BLH shows fluctuations with the highest median recorded in 2019 (524 m), in contrast to the lowest median observed in 2017 (415 m) which also demonstrated the minimum value with 305 m. (Table S6). The maximum vertical value was detected during 2020 (791 m) which also indicated a large data range, it also demonstrates April with the highest BLH (median 671 m) and lowest during October (median 348 m). Furthermore, the precipitation indicates that the annual distribution of monthly totals presents the highest median values recorded in 2020 (69 mm) and 2022 (55 mm), while the lowest median is observed in 2019 (34 mm) which also shows the minimum value (7.5 mm). The maximum

Commented [KT5]: correct?

Deleted: boxplot

Deleted: time series

Deleted: of

Deleted: on

Deleted: s

Deleted:

Deleted: absolute

Commented [KT6]: rephrase

monthly exceeds 130 mm (2017 and 2022). The monthly climatology presents peaks medians precipitation in the month of June (97 mm), and September (95 mm), while the lowest in February (13 mm)↓

Fig. 7(b,e) present the air temperature and relative humidity. The warmest median temperature during the year 2023 (22.8°C), whereas the maximum temperature was detected during 2020 (27.9°C). The coldest temperature was recorded during 2018 with 20.2°C. Additional, the warmest month is May (median 26.4°C) and the coldest is January (21.9°C). Relative humidity showed the highest median observed during 2016 (81%), while the lowest median year was 2019 (76%), the minimum year detected was 2020 with 63%, this also showed the largest vertical values. The years 2017 and 2022 exhibit the maximum values with 87%. The month medians indicate the lowest in April (mean 71%) and the highest in October (mean 84%).

Deleted: Furthermore, the annual precipitation shows periods with highest median recorded in 2020 (0.285 mm)., tThis year also showed the highest precipitation with (0.558 mm), conversely, 2019 exhibited the lowest median (0.135 mm) and contained the minimum with 0.032 mm. Monthly precipitation peaks in the month of June (median 0.403 mm), while the lowest in February (median 0.057 mm)....

Deleted: absolute

Deleted: absolute

Deleted: ¶



Figure 7: Boxplot distribution of meteorological variables over Guatemala (2015–2023) from ERA5 reanalysis. (a, c) boundary layer height (m) (gray) and precipitation (mm) (turquoise). (b, d) relative humidity (%) (green) and temperature (°C) (yellow).

Commented [KT9]: precipitation is given in kg/m²s → this needs to be converted to mm: kg/m²s = mm/s

Deleted:)

Deleted: light

Deleted: line

Deleted: line

Deleted: ,

Deleted: line

Deleted: Emission

Deleted: levels

Deleted: 3

Deleted: in

Deleted: during

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: max.

3.5 Case Study of NH₃

NH₃ anomalies were observed in Guatemala in April 2020, marking the highest recorded monthly mean (Fig. S4). This peak is particularly remarkable as it occurred shortly after the implementation of COVID-19 lockdown measures (March 2020). The monthly mean spatial distribution of concatenated NH₃ in April 2020 (2.3×10^{16} molecules cm⁻²), indicates distinct hotspots concentrated in the northern (Petén), north-central (Quiché) and southern (Escuintla) areas. The highest values were observed in the department of Petén, reaching up to (6.4×10^{16} molecules cm⁻²) (Fig. 8). The corresponding environmental

conditions, including wind vectors meter per second (m s^{-1}), with a strong easterly winds ($\sim 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) dominated the northern region, facilitating long-range transport of NH_3 , while central Guatemala experienced moderate to stagnant wind conditions.

Deleted: over

Deleted: m/s

905




Figure 8: Monthly mean area-wide of NH_3 total column ($\times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2}) from the concatenated IASI A, B and C, and wind mean vectors from ERA5 over Guatemala (April 2020).

Deleted: with

Deleted: March,

Deleted: and May

910 Figure 9a shows the vertical and latitudinal distribution of atmospheric NH_3 over Guatemala using zonal means and area averaged vertical profiles in mass mixing ratios (kg kg^{-1}). The zonal mean cross-section of NH_3 throughout the atmosphere (vertical axis, model level 60 to 44) along the latitudes of Guatemala as a zonal mean during April 2020. It highlights a greater abundance of NH_3 in both the northern and southern areas. Furthermore, the NH_3 vary with both latitude and altitude, the highest NH_3 are observed at lower model levels primarily confined near the surface (below approximately level 56). The

915 highest NH_3 values are found between 14°N and 15°N latitude.

Deleted: total column

Deleted: concentrations

Deleted: 45

Deleted: Specifically,

Deleted: t

Fig. 9b further illustrates the area average vertical profiles of NH_3 for April (blue line), spring (March – May, green line) and the annual mean 2020 (black dashed line). The vertical profile of NH_3 shows the April 2020 mean (blue line) with $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$, the spring mean (March–May 2020, green line) of $\sim 0.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$ and the annual mean for 2020 (black dashed line) with $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$ respectively.

920

Deleted: -

Deleted: 4

Deleted: 16

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 1




Figure 9: (a) zonal mean of NH_3 mass mix ratio (kg kg^{-1}) across latitudinal bands (model level 60 to 44) for April 2020 and (b) vertical profile of NH_3 mass mix ratio (kg kg^{-1}) for April (blue line), spring (March – May, green line) and annual mean 2020 (black dashed line) over Guatemala from global reanalysis CAMS.

3.6 Fire activity April 2020

Fire activity in spring 2020 showed an anomalous peak compared to the long-term pattern (Fig. 10). As mentioned above, March to May indicate a general increase phase in fire activities in Guatemala. April 2020 recorded the highest monthly total number of detected fires across Guatemala throughout the entire study period (957). The spatial distribution of FRP distribution illustrates the widespread, affecting specifically in the northwestern (Petén) accounting for 674 detected fires (Table S6), central-north (Quiché, Alta Verapaz, Izabal), and southern areas (Escuintla, Santa Rosa, and Jutiapa). The corresponding burned areas patterns further confirm the extension of the fire's activities during the month (Fig. S7), with the most extensive land burned occurred in the department of Petén.

Deleted: s

Deleted: peak

Deleted: 957

Deleted: (Fig. S7)

Deleted: is anomaly

Deleted: em

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ¶

... [13]




Figure 10: April 2020 shows the spatial distribution of area-wide of fires detected over the study period over Guatemala (2015–2023), using MODIS fire detection filtered by nominal confidence level $\geq 70\%$ and FRP ≥ 40 MW.

4 Discussion

4.1 Dynamics of NH_3 over Guatemala

The variability of NH_3 total columns over Guatemala is a result of the interaction between persistent agricultural sources, episodic biomass burning, and meteorological modulation. Although annual medians are relatively stable ($3.95\text{--}4.66 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm^{-2} , Table S1), high maximum values and the increase of variability over some specific years (2016, 2019, 2020, 2023) indicating that episodic processes occur on top of a stable background. This stability suggests that background NH_3 values are largely controlled by persistent agricultural sources, consistent with previous studies showing that agricultural practices dominate global NH_3 emissions (McDuffie et al., 2020; Sutton et al., 2013).

The spatially increased NH_3 columns are consistently observed in the Petén and Escuintla departments, which are dominated by mixed agricultural landscapes (crops and rangeland). Comparable patterns have been reported in other agricultural regions, including South Asia (Abeed et al., 2022), and Western Europe (Van Damme et al., 2018). Cluster analysis also supports the patterns by identifying the highest columns that are associated with intensively crop areas. Notable, the cluster 1 with the highest NH_3 represents the smallest spatial extent, indicating that the total column intensity rather than area coverage. In contrast, the central highlands exhibit lower NH_3 columns despite the presence of urban areas (Huehuetenango, Quiché, and San Marcos, Fig. 4) suggesting that urban areas could play a secondary role on the national scale.

985 A pronounced seasonal cycle demonstrates the role of atmospheric conditions in modulating both the NH₃ and the removal
process. The increase in NH₃ during the months of March–April–May (MAM, mean 7.49×10^{15} molecules cm⁻²) coincides
with the regional fire season (mean total fire count 3321), and also increases the variability where events of high columns of
NH₃ appeared. Additionally, the positive moderate association between temperature ($r=0.50$, Fig. S5) suggests that higher
temperatures enhance volatilization.

Deleted: total column

Formatted: Subscript

990 The decline of NH₃ from June onwards define a transition dominated by the atmospheric removal process where the monthly
mean NH₃ decreased by 42% between May and June (Table S2). This coincides with the rainy season (Fig. S4), when fire
activity reduces, and wet deposition becomes more effective, as reported for Central America by Ríos and Raga, (2018). This
further supported by the negative correlation with relative humidity ($r=-0.44$), and weak correlation with total precipitation
995 ($r=-0.09$). The seasonal pattern also expresses spatial distribution, with increased NH₃ extending hotspots during the peak
months (April–May) indicating that the NH₃ source become more distributed in the Northern (Petén, Alta Verapaz, Quiché),
and southern (Escuintla) (Fig. 3), likely associated with agricultural burning and land preparation practices. A moderate
positive correlation with boundary layer height ($r=0.47$), suggests that enhanced vertical mixing contributes to increasing
column NH₃ detected by satellite observations. These findings are similar related with global studies showing strong seasonal
1000 patterns in NH₃, reflecting crops application timing and biomass burning (Van Damme et al., 2018, 2021; Fortems-Cheiney et
al., 2016; Saravia and Trachte, 2025).

Commented [KT10]: use abbreviation

4.2 Fires, biomass burning, and extreme events over Guatemala

1005 Fires represent an important driver of interannual variability in NH₃ over Guatemala. Years with enhanced fire occurrence,
such as 2016, 2017, and 2019, coincide with elevated NH₃ columns. In contrast to the years with reduced fire counts 2018 and
2022 correspond to lower NH₃ levels. The strong positive relationship between NH₃ and fire activity ($r=0.67$) supports the role
of biomass burning as a major episodic source. The spatial distribution of fires further reinforces this link, with recurrent
hotspots in northern Guatemala (Petén) and southern coast (Escuintla). Petén shows the strongest area of fire activity, including
the officially designated protected areas that correspond to the large Maya Biosphere reserve (CONAP, 2016). The observed
pattern of fire activity is predominantly anthropogenic. Previous studies indicate that most fires globally are human induced
1010 (FAO, 2007), and in Central America lighting occurrence is minimal during the dry season (November–April) (Kucieńska et
al., 2010) when fire activity is highest.

Interannual variability in fire activity is modulated by meteorological conditions. Periods with increased fires are generally
associated with the increase of temperature ($r=0.42$) and atmospheric conditions such as BLH ($r=0.76$). For example, extreme
1015 temperature conditions (2016, 2020, 2023), consistent with INSIVUMEH (2023) ground observations, linked it with the
episode of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), which modulates temperature and precipitation conditions in the region of
Central America (Anderson et al., 2023). Similar patterns were reported for Amazonia, where NH₃ emission from biomass

Deleted: boundary layer height

burning exhibit strong spatial and temporal variability, with interannual peak linked to severe droughts and late fire-season activity, highlighting the influence of ENSO events (Anderson et al., 2023; Whitburn et al., 2016b), and Southeast Asia, particularly Indonesia, largely human-induced fires for land clearing burned extensive tropical forest and peatlands (Chang et al., 2021; Whitburn et al., 2016b).

The neutral ENSO during April 2020 event provides a clear example of extreme conditions of NH₃, fires and meteorological conditions. That period coincides with the highest fire activity during the study period (957) (Fig. S6), coinciding with the maximum NH₃ column (2.33×10^{16} molecules cm⁻²), indicating strong biomass burnings (Fig. S7). Although 2020 recorded the highest annual mean precipitation (0.248 mm) (Table S6), April 2020, was characterized with one of the lowest low mean precipitations (0.035 mm) (Fig. S4), consistent with short dry conditions within the year. Elevated temperatures (27.9° C.) likely enhanced volatilization from the fire and agricultural sources, while relatively dry atmospheric conditions reduced the process of removal. Boundary layer height (791 m) also contributed to the observed values, with enhanced vertical mixing increasing the NH₃ in the vertical column, the confinement to the lower troposphere was visible predominantly where the agricultural areas source was detected (Fig. 9). Despite the COVID-19 restriction, NH₃ reached the highest value during this period. Similar increases in atmospheric NH₃ during lockdown conditions have been reported in previous studies, attributed to the persistence of agricultural burnings (Evangeliou et al., 2025; Lovarelli et al., 2021; Viatte et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022).

4.3 Implications and consistency of dataset NH₃

The dataset concatenated sensor implemented a total of 228 months of observation data over the 108 months of the study period, providing stable observations for NH₃ variability over Guatemala. The comparison during the overlapping period shows strong correlation between sensors, with R² above 0.9 and regression slopes close to 1 (Fig. S9). This indicates inter sensor differences are relatively small compared to the observed variability over time. The biases represent a small fraction of the mean NH₃ column, supporting the use of a concatenated dataset for long term analysis. This is supported by the monthly L3 total column for individual IASI sensor (Fig. S3 and Table S3), this aligns with previous studies timeseries for the three sensors and the consistency over 10 different remote regions (Clarisse et al., 2023, Van Damme et al., 2014).

The observation sampling density stays relatively stable over time, ranging between 1.85–2.65 obs. grid cell month⁻¹ with annual pixel counts mean (978–1492) (Table S10). The year 2020, which exhibits the highest NH₃ is associated with the lower observation density (1.97 obs. grid cell month⁻¹), indicating that the observed maximum is not driven by added more sampling. This is further supported by the absence of a significant relationship between frequency of the sampling and NH₃ (R²=0.028), confirming that the observed variability is not an object of the sampling bias. Moreover, the standard errors (Table S9) remain small relative to the magnitude of interannual variability, that indicates the observed differences between years exceed the measurement uncertainty and robustness of the NH₃ column patterns. The seasonal scale presents a clear pattern, the maximum columns coincide with the period months of high sampling (MAM), while the lower columns during the rainy season occur

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: es a

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: sampling

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: ¶

under reduced observation density with 17% of reduction, likely due to increased cloud cover. The lower sampling detected is located over high altitude such as Sierra de las Minas (Fig. S10), that is associated with persistent cloud forest (Chaluleu, 2020; Holder, 2003, 2006).

060

Finally, the use of L3 dataset, based on averaging L2 retrievals, ensures that the observation keeps the original retrieval signal. Guatemala's tropical location further provides a favorable thermal contrast condition for infrared retrievals, supporting the NH₃ measurements detection despite a relatively moderate background total column.

Deleted:

Formatted: Subscript

5 Conclusion

065

This study provides the first integrated spatiotemporal assessment of concatenated IASI A/B/C of NH₃ total column with day and night overpassing over Guatemala (2015–2023). Using multi-satellite observations combined with fire, land cover, and meteorological reanalysis data. The results reveal distinct seasonal and interannual patterns, with NH₃ driven by a stable agricultural baseline, onto which the episodic biomass burning events are superimposed during the dry season.

070

Spatiotemporal highest NH₃ total column are detected and restricted to the north (Petén and Quiché) and southern (Escuintla). These hotspots coincide with areas of intensive cropland and rangeland practices. The disproportionate small but high intensity agricultural cluster, suggest that localized land use practice is the primary control on Guatemala atmospheric nitrogen budget. Conversely, the secondary role of urban emission is evident in lower NH₃ in the central high–middle lands despite the high population densities. Seasonally, a peak in NH₃ occurs during MAM months, strongly correlated with fire activity and elevated temperatures. The decline of NH₃ (42%) in June demonstrate a transition from the raining season. April 2020 exemplified the linking between fire activity and NH₃, when nearly 1,500 km² burned areas were affected (Fig. S7).

Formatted: Subscript

075

Finally, the robustness of this study demonstrated by a stable observation density and correlation between sampling frequency and NH₃. The favorable thermal contrast is tropics allows IASI to detect signals even at moderate background values. These findings provide a baseline for future air quality policies and nitrogen deposition studies, also to understand the role of NH₃ as a precursor to particulate matter in Central America, highlighting the importance of integrate satellite observation with ground-based monitoring and evaluation to a better constrain the impact of land management and the atmosphere.

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: ¶

080

Data availability

Monthly atmospheric ammonia column products from the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI A/B/C), versioned Level-3 data cover global grids, AERIS-IASI portal: <https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/nh3/>. Monthly meteorology variables are provided by the ERA5 reanalysis dataset (<https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/datasets/reanalysis-era5-single-levels-monthly->

Deleted: atmospheric

1090 means?tab=download). Active fire detections MODIS-Aqua/Terra from the NASA-Earth Observing System’s Fire Information for Resource Management System (FIRMS) database (<https://firms.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/>). Burned area monthly L3 global 500m grid V061 (<https://www.earthdata.nasa.gov/data/catalog/lpcloud-mcd64a1-061>). [Atmospheric Composition Global Reanalysis 4 \(EAC4\), produced by the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service \(CAMS\)](#) (<https://ads.atmosphere.copernicus.eu/datasets/cams-global-reanalysis-eac4?tab=overview>).

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

1095 **Author contributions**

Conceptualization, C.S. and K.T.; methodology, C.S. and K.T.; formal analysis, C.S.; investigation, C.S. and P.S.; resources, C.S. and P.S.; data curation, C.S.; writing (original draft preparation), C.S.; writing (review and editing), C.S., P.S., and K.T.; visualization, C.S.; supervision, K.T.

Competing interest

1100 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial support

This research received no external funding.

Deleted: ¶

References

Abeed, R., Viatte, C., Porter, W. C., Evangeliou, N., Clerbaux, C., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Coheur, P.-F., and Safieddine, S.: Estimating agricultural ammonia volatilization over Europe using satellite observations and simulation data, *EGUsphere*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-1046>, 2022.

Ahmad, A. and Dey, L.: A k-mean clustering algorithm for mixed numeric and categorical data, *Data Knowl. Eng.*, 63, 503–527, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2007.03.016>, 2007.

Alfaro Marroquín, G. and Gómez, R.: Antecedentes y contexto del cambio climático en Guatemala, in: Primer reporte de evaluación del conocimiento sobre cambio climático en Guatemala, edited by: Castellanos, E. J., Paiz-Estévez, A., Escribá, J., Rosales-Alconero, M., and Santizo, A., Editorial Universitaria UVG, Guatemala, 2–19, 2019.

Anderson, T. G., McKinnon, K. A., Pons, D., and Anchukaitis, K. J.: How Exceptional Was the 2015–2019 Central American Drought?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 50, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL105391>, 2023.

Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – an updated assessment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8523–8546, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019>, 2019.

1115

Anne Fouilloux: `annefou/metos_python: Working with Spatio-temporal data in Python (v2018.0.0)`, <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1165281>, 2018.

Bardales Espinoza, W. A., Castañón, C., and Herrera Herrera, J. L.: Clima de Guatemala, tendencias observadas e índices de cambio climático, in: Primer reporte de evaluación del conocimiento sobre cambio climático en Guatemala, vol. 1, edited by: Castellanos, E. J., Paiz-Estévez, A., Escribá J., Rosales-Alconero M., and Santizo A., Editorial Universitaria UVG, Guatemala, 20–39, 2019.

Chaluleu, C. A.: Fototrampeo en bosques nubosos y latifoliados de la Reserva de la Biósfera Sierra de las Minas, Revista Mesoamericana de Biodiversidad y Cambio Climático–Yu’am, 4, 44–65, 2020.

Chang, Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Kawichai, S., Wang, Q., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Prapamontol, T., and Lehmann, M. F.: Convergent evidence for the pervasive but limited contribution of biomass burning to atmospheric ammonia in peninsular Southeast Asia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 7187–7198, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7187-2021>, 2021.

Chen, J., Cheng, M., Krol, M., de Vries, W., Zhu, Q., Liu, X., Zhang, F., and Xu, W.: Trends in anthropogenic ammonia emissions in China since 1980: A review of approaches and estimations, *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 11, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1133753>, 2023.

Chen, P. and Wang, Q.: Underestimated industrial ammonia emission in China uncovered by material flow analysis, *Environmental Pollution*, 368, 125740, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2025.125740>, 2025.

Chen, P., Wang, Q., Shao, M., and Liu, R.: Significantly underestimated traffic-related ammonia emissions in Chinese megacities: Evidence from satellite observations during COVID-19 lockdowns, *Chemosphere*, 361, 142497, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.142497>, 2024.

Chuvieco, E., Mouillot, F., van der Werf, G. R., San Miguel, J., Tanase, M., Koutsias, N., García, M., Yebra, M., Padilla, M., Gitas, I., Heil, A., Hawbaker, T. J., and Giglio, L.: Historical background and current developments for mapping burned area from satellite Earth observation, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 225, 45–64, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.02.013>, 2019.

Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Dentener, F., Hurtmans, D., and Coheur, P.-F.: Global ammonia distribution derived from infrared satellite observations, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2, 479–483, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo551>, 2009.

Clarisse, L., Shephard, M. W., Dentener, F., Hurtmans, D., Cady-Pereira, K., Karagulian, F., Van Damme, M., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.: Satellite monitoring of ammonia: A case study of the San Joaquin Valley, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013291>, 2010.

Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Tracking down global NH₃ point sources with wind-adjusted super resolution, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5457–5473, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5457-2019>, 2019.

Clarisse, L., Franco, B., Van Damme, M., Di Gioacchino, T., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Whitburn, S., Noppen, L., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.: The IASI NH₃ version 4 product: averaging kernels and improved consistency, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 16, 5009–5028, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-5009-2023>, 2023.

Coheur, P.-F., Clarisse, L., Turquety, S., Hurtmans, D., and Clerbaux, C.: IASI measurements of reactive trace species in biomass burning plumes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5655–5667, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5655-2009>, 2009.

Formatted: Spanish

Formatted: Spanish

- CONAP: Ley de Áreas Protegidas y su Reglamento, Decreto No. 4-89 y sus Reformas, Decretos No. 18-89, 110-96 y 111-97 del Congreso de la República de Guatemala, 114, 2016.
- CONRED: Protocolo Nacional Temporada de Incendios Forestales y No Forestales, Guatemala, 3–83 pp., 2025.
- Corona-Núñez, R. O. and Campo, J. E.: Climate and socioeconomic drivers of biomass burning and carbon emissions from fires in tropical dry forests: A Pantropical analysis, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 29, 1062–1079, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16516>, 2023.
- Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Heald, C. L., Hurtmans, D., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Dolman, A. J., Erisman, J. W., and Coheur, P. F.: Global distributions, time series and error characterization of atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) from IASI satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2905–2922, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2905-2014>, 2014.
- 1160 Van Damme, M., Whitburn, S., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., and Coheur, P.-F.: Version 2 of the IASI NH₃ neural network retrieval algorithm: near-real-time and reanalysed datasets, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 4905–4914, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4905-2017>, 2017.
- Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Industrial and agricultural ammonia point sources exposed, *Nature*, 564, 99–103, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0747-1>, 2018.
- 1165 Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Franco, B., Sutton, M. A., Erisman, J. W., Wichink Kruit, R., van Zanten, M., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Global, regional and national trends of atmospheric ammonia derived from a decadal (2008–2018) satellite record, *Environmental Research Letters*, 16, 055017, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd5e0>, 2021.
- Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Stavroukou, T., Wichink Kruit, R., Sellekaerts, L., Viatte, C., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P. F.: On the weekly cycle of atmospheric ammonia over European agricultural hotspots, *Sci. Rep.*, 12, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15836-w>, 2022.
- Dammers, E., Palm, M., Van Damme, M., Vigouroux, C., Smale, D., Conway, S., Toon, G. C., Jones, N., Nussbaumer, E., Warneke, T., Petri, C., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Hermans, C., Lutsch, E., Strong, K., Hannigan, J. W., Nakajima, H., Morino, I., Herrera, B., Stremme, W., Grutter, M., Schaap, M., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Notholt, J., Coheur, P.-F., and Erisman, J. W.: An evaluation of IASI-NH₃ with ground-based Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 10351–10368, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10351-2016>, 2016.
- 1175 Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Place, C. J., Lord, E., Webb, J., Hill, J., ApSimon, H. M., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia emission, deposition and impact assessment at the field scale: a case study of sub-grid spatial variability, *Environmental Pollution*, 117, 147–158, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(01\)00147-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00147-6), 2002.
- 1180 ESRI: ESRI: Sentinel-2 2020 Global Land Use/Land Cover (LULC) Map, 12 pp., 2021.
- European Space Agency: Copernicus Global Digital Elevation Model, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5069/G9028PQB>, 2024.

Evangelizou, N., Balkanski, Y., Eckhardt, S., Cozic, A., Van Damme, M., Coheur, P.-F., Clarisse, L., Shephard, M. W., Cady-Pereira, K. E., and Hauglustaine, D.: 10-year satellite-constrained fluxes of ammonia improve performance of chemistry transport models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 4431–4451, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-4431-2021>, 2021.

Evangelizou, N., Tichý, O., Svendby Otervik, M., Eckhardt, S., Balkanski, Y., and Hauglustaine, D. A.: Unchanged PM_{2.5} levels over Europe during COVID-19 were buffered by ammonia, *Aerosol Research*, 3, 155–174, <https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-3-155-2025>, 2025.

FAO: Fire Management - Global Assessment 2006, 135 pp., 2007.

FAO: FAOSTAT. Countries by commodity, 2019.

Farren, N. J., Davison, J., Rose, R. A., Wagner, R. L., and Carslaw, D. C.: Underestimated Ammonia Emissions from Road Vehicles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 54, 15689–15697, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05839>, 2020.

Flemming, J., Benedetti, A., Inness, A., Engelen, R. J., Jones, L., Huijnen, V., Remy, S., Parrington, M., Suttie, M., Bozzo, A., Peuch, V.-H., Akritidis, D., and Katragkou, E.: The CAMS interim Reanalysis of Carbon Monoxide, Ozone and Aerosol for 2003–2015, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1945–1983, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1945-2017>, 2017.

Fortems-Cheiney, A., Dufour, G., Hamaoui-Laguel, L., Foret, G., Siour, G., Van Damme, M., Meleux, F., Coheur, P. -F., Clerbaux, C., Clarisse, L., Favez, O., Wallasch, M., and Beekmann, M.: Unaccounted variability in NH₃ agricultural sources detected by IASI contributing to European spring haze episode, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 5475–5482, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069361>, 2016.

Franco, B., Clarisse, L., Stavrou, T., Müller, J. -F., Van Damme, M., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Taraborrelli, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P. -F.: A General Framework for Global Retrievals of Trace Gases From IASI: Application to Methanol, Formic Acid, and PAN, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029633>, 2018.

Fu, Y., Li, R., Wang, X., Bergeron, Y., Valeria, O., Chavardès, R. D., Wang, Y., and Hu, J.: Fire Detection and Fire Radiative Power in Forests and Low-Biomass Lands in Northeast Asia: MODIS versus VIIRS Fire Products, *Remote Sens. (Basel)*, 12, 2870, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12182870>, 2020.

Gašparović, M., Zrinjski, M., and Gudelj, M.: Automatic cost-effective method for land cover classification (ALCC), *Comput. Environ. Urban Syst.*, 76, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2019.03.001>, 2019.

Giglio, L., Descloitres, J., Justice, C. O., and Kaufman, Y. J.: An Enhanced Contextual Fire Detection Algorithm for MODIS, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 87, 273–282, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(03\)00184-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00184-6), 2003.

Giglio, L., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global estimation of burned area using MODIS active fire observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 957–974, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-957-2006>, 2006.

Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., and van der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4), *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, 118, 317–328, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrg.20042>, 2013.

- Giglio, L., Schroeder, W., and Justice, C. O.: The collection 6 MODIS active fire detection algorithm and fire products, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 178, 31–41, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.054>, 2016.
- Giglio, L., Boschetti, L., Roy, D. P., Humber, M. L., and Justice, C. O.: The Collection 6 MODIS burned area mapping algorithm and product, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 217, 72–85, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.08.005>, 2018.
- 1220 Giglio, L., Schroeder, W., Hall, J. V., and Justice, C. O.: MODIS Collection 6 and Collection 6.1 Active Fire Product User’s Guide, 2021a.
- Giglio, L., Justice, C., Boschetti, L., and Roy, D.: MODIS/Terra + Aqua Burned Area Monthly L3 Global 500 m SIN Grid V061, NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC [data set], <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD64A1.061>, 2021b.
- 1225 Gu, C., Wang, S., Zhu, J., Dai, W., Liu, J., Xue, R., Che, X., Lin, Y., Duan, Y., Wenig, M. O., and Zhou, B.: Underestimated ammonia vehicular emissions in metropolitan city revealed by on-road mobile measurement, *Environmental Research Letters*, 18, 104040, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acf94a>, 2023.
- Guo, Y., Hong, S., Feng, N., Zhuang, Y., and Zhang, L.: Spatial distributions and temporal variations of atmospheric aerosols and the affecting factors: a case study for a region in central China, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 33, 3672–3692, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2011.631951>, 2012.
- 1230 Hantson, S., Padilla, M., Corti, D., and Chuvieco, E.: Strengths and weaknesses of MODIS hotspots to characterize global fire occurrence, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 131, 152–159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.12.004>, 2013.
- Herrera, B., Bezanilla, A., Blumenstock, T., Dammers, E., Hase, F., Clarisse, L., Magaldi, A., Rivera, C., Stremme, W., Strong, K., Viatte, C., Van Damme, M., and Grutter, M.: Measurement report: Evolution and distribution of NH₃ over Mexico City from ground-based and satellite infrared spectroscopic measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 14119–14132, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-14119-2022>, 2022.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., 1240 Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146, 1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, 2020.
- Hervas, A.: Land, development and contract farming on the Guatemalan oil palm frontier, *J. Peasant Stud.*, 46, 115–141, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2017.1351435>, 2019.
- 1245 Holder, C. D.: Fog precipitation in the Sierra de las Minas Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala, *Hydrol. Process.*, 17, 2001–2010, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1224>, 2003.
- Holder, C. D.: The hydrological significance of cloud forests in the Sierra de las Minas Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala, *Geoforum*, 37, 82–93, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.06.008>, 2006.
- JGM: Mapa hipsometrico 2002, Guatemala, 2002.

Formatted: Spanish

- 1250 INE: Encuesta Nacional Agropecuaria , Guatemala City, 2020.
Inness, A., Ades, M., Agustí-Panareda, A., Barré, J., Benedictow, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M., Dominguez, J. J., Engelen, R., Eskes, H., Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Jones, L., Kipling, Z., Massart, S., Parrington, M., Peuch, V.-H., Razinger, M., Remy, S., Schulz, M., and Suttie, M.: The CAMS reanalysis of atmospheric composition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3515–3556, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3515-2019>, 2019.
- 1255 INSIVUMEH: Variabilidad y cambio climático en Guatemala, Guatemala, 2018.
INSIVUMEH: Estado del Clima en Guatemala, 2023.
Justice, C. O., Giglio, L., Roy, D., Boschetti, L., Csiszar, I., Davies, D., Korontzi, S., Schroeder, W., O’Neal, K., and Morisette, J.: MODIS-Derived Global Fire Products, 661–679, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6749-7_29, 2010.
Karra, K., Kontgis, C., Statman-Weil, Z., Mazzariello, J. C., Mathis, M., and Brumby, S. P.: Global land use / land cover with Sentinel 2 and deep learning, in: 2021 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS, 4704–4707, <https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS47720.2021.9553499>, 2021.
Keeley, J. E.: Fire Management of California Shrubland Landscapes, *Environ. Manage.*, 29, 395–408, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-001-0034-Y>, 2002.
Kucieńska, B., Raga, G. B., and Rodríguez, O.: Cloud-to-ground lightning over Mexico and adjacent oceanic regions: a preliminary climatology using the WWLLN dataset, *Ann. Geophys.*, 28, 2047–2057, <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-28-2047-2010>, 2010.
Li, F., Zhang, X., and Kondragunta, S.: Biomass Burning in Africa: An Investigation of Fire Radiative Power Missed by MODIS Using the 375 m VIIRS Active Fire Product, *Remote Sens. (Basel)*, 12, 1561, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12101561>, 2020.
- 1270 Li, J., Hendricks, J., Righi, M., and Beer, C. G.: An aerosol classification scheme for global simulations using the K-means machine learning method, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 509–533, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-509-2022>, 2022.
Liu, Y., Qian, Y., Rasch, P. J., Zhang, K., Leung, L. R., Wang, Y., Wang, M., Wang, H., Huang, X., and Yang, X.-Q.: Fire–precipitation interactions amplify the quasi-biennial variability in fires over southern Mexico and Central America, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 3115–3128, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-3115-2024>, 2024a.
- 1275 Liu, Y., Chen, J., Shi, Y., Zheng, W., Shan, T., and Wang, G.: Global Emissions Inventory from Open Biomass Burning (GEIOBB): utilizing Fengyun-3D global fire spot monitoring data, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 16, 3495–3515, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-3495-2024>, 2024b.
Lopez-Ridaura, S., Barba-Escoto, L., Reyna, C., Hellin, J., Gerard, B., and van Wijk, M.: Food security and agriculture in the Western Highlands of Guatemala, *Food Secur.*, 11, 817–833, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-019-00940-z>, 2019.
- 1280 Lovarelli, D., Fugazza, D., Costantini, M., Conti, C., Diolaiuti, G., and Guarino, M.: Comparison of ammonia air concentration before and during the spread of COVID-19 in Lombardy (Italy) using ground-based and satellite data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 259, 118534, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118534>, 2021.

Luo, Z., Zhang, Y., Chen, W., Van Damme, M., Coheur, P.-F., and Clarisse, L.: Estimating global ammonia (NH_3) emissions based on IASI observations from 2008 to 2018, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 10375–10388, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-10375-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-10375-2022)
1285 2022, 2022.

Mahata, K., Das, R., Das, S., and Sarkar, A.: Land Use Land Cover map segmentation using Remote Sensing: A Case study of Ajoy river watershed, India, *Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 30, 273–286, <https://doi.org/10.1515/jisys-2019-0155>, 2020.

Marais, E. A., Pandey, A. K., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P., Shephard, M. W., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Misselbrook, T., Zhu, L., Luo, G., and Yu, F.: UK Ammonia Emissions Estimated With Satellite Observations and GEOS-Chem, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 126, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035237>, 2021a.

Marais, E. A., Pandey, A. K., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P., Shephard, M. W., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Misselbrook, T., Zhu, L., Luo, G., and Yu, F.: UK Ammonia Emissions Estimated With Satellite Observations and GEOS-Chem, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 126, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035237>, 2021b.

McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 3413–3442, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020>, 2020.

Monzón-Alvarado, C., Cortina-Villar, S., Schmook, B., Flamenco-Sandoval, A., Christman, Z., and Arriola, L.: Land-use decision-making after large-scale forest fires: Analyzing fires as a driver of deforestation in Laguna del Tigre National Park, Guatemala, *Applied Geography*, 35, 43–52, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.04.008>, 2012.

Orrego León, E. O., Hernández Quevedo, M. P., and Gómez Jordán, R. C.: Variabilidad del inicio, final y duración de la época lluviosa en Guatemala y su tendencia, *Revista Mesoamericana de Biodiversidad y Cambio Climático–Yu'am*, 5, 4–24, 2021.

Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., and Duchesnay, É.: Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python, the *Journal of machine Learning research*, 12, 2825–2830, 2011.

Pham, D. T., Dimov, S. S., and Nguyen, C. D.: Selection of K in K-means clustering, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. C J. Mech. Eng. Sci.*, 219, 103–119, <https://doi.org/10.1243/095440605X8298>, 2005.

Pohl, V., Gilmer, A., Hellebust, S., McGovern, E., Cassidy, J., Byers, V., McGillicuddy, E. J., Neeson, F., and O'Connor, D. J.: Ammonia Cycling and Emerging Secondary Aerosols from Arable Agriculture: A European and Irish Perspective, *Air*, 1, 37–54, <https://doi.org/10.3390/air1010003>, 2022.

Remy, S. and Kaiser, J. W.: Daily global fire radiative power fields estimation from one or two MODIS instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 13377–13390, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-13377-2014>, 2014.

Ríos, B. and Raga, G. B.: Spatio-temporal distribution of burned areas by ecoregions in Mexico and Central America, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 39, 949–970, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1392641>, 2018.

Saravia, C. and Trachte, K.: Spatiotemporal Analysis of NH_3 Emission Sources and Their Relation to Land Use Types in the Eastern German Lowlands, *Atmosphere (Basel)*, 16, 346, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos16030346>, 2025.

Formatted: Spanish

- Soci, C., Hersbach, H., Simmons, A., Poli, P., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Villaume, S., Haimberger, L., Woollen, J., Buontempo, C., and Thépaut, J.: The ERA5 global reanalysis from 1940 to 2022, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 150, 4014–4048, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4803>, 2024.
- Spencer, N. H.: Squared Euclidean Distances, in: *Essentials of Multivariate Data Analysis*, 95, 2013.
- 1320 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Bahl, K. B., Cellier, P., de Vries, W., Cotrufo, F., Skiba, U., Di Marco, C., Jones, S., Laville, P., Soussana, J. F., Loubet, B., Twigg, M., Famulari, D., Whitehead, J., Gallagher, M. W., Neftel, A., Flechard, C. R., Herrmann, B., Calanca, P. L., Schjoerring, J. K., Daemmgen, U., Horvath, L., Tang, Y. S., Emmett, B. A., Tietema, A., Peñuelas, J., Kesik, M., Brueggemann, N., Pilegaard, K., Vesala, T., Campbell, C. L., Olesen, J. E., Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Levy, P., Mobbs, D. C., Milne, R., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Smith, J. U., Smith, P., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 1325 Fowler, D., and Reis, S.: Challenges in quantifying biosphere–atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species, *Environmental Pollution*, 150, 125–139, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.04.014>, 2007.
- Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., Riddick, S. N., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Tang, Y. S., Braban, C. F., Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Mitchell, R. F., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Fowler, D., Blackall, T. D., Milford, C., Flechard, C. R., Loubet, B., Massad, R., Cellier, P., Personne, E., Coheur, P. F., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Skjøth, C. A.,
- 1330 Geels, C., Hertel, O., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., Simpson, D., Horváth, L., Misselbrook, T. H., Bleeker, A., Dentener, F., and de Vries, W.: Towards a climate-dependent paradigm of ammonia emission and deposition, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368, 20130166, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0166>, 2013.
- Umargono, E., Suseno, J. E., and S. K., V. G.: K-Means Clustering Optimization using the Elbow Method and Early Centroid
- 1335 Determination Based-on Mean and Median, in: *Proceedings of the International Conferences on Information System and Technology*, 234–240, <https://doi.org/10.5220/0009908402340240>, 2019.
- Vermote, E., Ellicott, E., Dubovik, O., Lapyonok, T., Chin, M., Giglio, L., and Roberts, G. J.: An approach to estimate global biomass burning emissions of organic and black carbon from MODIS fire radiative power, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 114, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011188>, 2009.
- 1340 Viatte, C., Petit, J.-E., Yamanouchi, S., Van Damme, M., Doucerain, C., Germain-Piaulenne, E., Gros, V., Favez, O., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P.-F., Strong, K., and Clerbaux, C.: Ammonia and PM_{2.5} Air Pollution in Paris during the 2020 COVID Lockdown, *Atmosphere (Basel)*, 12, 160, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020160>, 2021.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat
- 1345 fires (1997–2009), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11707–11735, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010>, 2010.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 9, 697–720, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017>, 2017.

- Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Bauduin, S., Heald, C. L., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Zondlo, M. A.,
1350 Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: A flexible and robust neural network IASI-NH₃ retrieval algorithm, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 6581–6599, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024828>, 2016a.
- Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Turquety, S., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Doubling of annual ammonia
emissions from the peat fires in Indonesia during the 2015 El Niño, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 11,007–11,014,
<https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070620>, 2016b.
- 1355 Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: IASI-derived NH₃ enhancement
ratios relative to CO for the tropical biomass burning regions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 12239–12252,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12239-2017>, 2017.
- Wolfe, R. E., Nishihama, M., Fleig, A. J., Kuyper, J. A., Roy, D. P., Storey, J. C., and Patt, F. S.: Achieving sub-pixel
geolocation accuracy in support of MODIS land science, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 83, 31–49, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00085-8)
1360 [4257\(02\)00085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00085-8), 2002.
- Wooster, M., Zhukov, B., and Oertel, D.: Fire radiative energy for quantitative study of biomass burning: derivation from the
BIRD experimental satellite and comparison to MODIS fire products, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 86, 83–107,
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(03\)00070-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00070-1), 2003.
- Wyer, K. E., Kelleghan, D. B., Blanes-Vidal, V., Schaubberger, G., and Curran, T. P.: Ammonia emissions from agriculture
and their contribution to fine particulate matter: A review of implications for human health, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 323, 116285,
1365 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116285>, 2022.
- Xu, W., Zhao, Y., Wen, Z., Chang, Y., Pan, Y., Sun, Y., Ma, X., Sha, Z., Li, Z., Kang, J., Liu, L., Tang, A., Wang, K., Zhang,
Y., Guo, Y., Zhang, L., Sheng, L., Zhang, X., Gu, B., Song, Y., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P.-F., Collett, J. L.,
Goulding, K., Zhang, F., He, K., and Liu, X.: Increasing importance of ammonia emission abatement in PM_{2.5} pollution
1370 control, *Sci. Bull. (Beijing)*, 67, 1745–1749, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2022.07.021>, 2022.
- Zhou, C., Zhou, H., Holsen, T. M., Hopke, P. K., Edgerton, E. S., and Schwab, J. J.: Ambient Ammonia Concentrations Across
New York State, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 8287–8302, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030380>,
2019.
- Zhou, Y., Zhao, Y., Mao, P., Zhang, Q., Zhang, J., Qiu, L., and Yang, Y.: Development of a high-resolution emission inventory
1375 and its evaluation and application through air quality modeling for Jiangsu Province, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 211–
233, 2017.
- Zhu, L., Henze, D. K., Bash, J. O., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Shephard, M. W., Luo, M., and Capps, S. L.: Sources and Impacts of
Atmospheric NH₃: Current Understanding and Frontiers for Modeling, Measurements, and Remote Sensing in North America,
Curr. Pollut. Rep., 1, 95–116, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-015-0010-4>, 2015.

1380

Page 5: [1] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/19/26 11:40:00 AM
Page 8: [2] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/24/26 2:10:00 PM
Page 8: [3] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/24/26 2:10:00 PM
Page 8: [4] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/24/26 2:10:00 PM
Page 8: [5] Deleted	Christian Saravia	2/25/26 11:46:00 AM
Page 8: [6] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/15/26 4:31:00 PM
Page 10: [7] Deleted	Christian Saravia	2/12/26 4:36:00 PM
Page 10: [8] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/26/26 2:25:00 PM
Page 10: [9] Deleted	Christian Saravia	2/12/26 4:38:00 PM
Page 10: [10] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/26/26 2:35:00 PM
Page 10: [11] Formatted	Christian Saravia	2/1/26 8:41:00 PM
Justified		
Page 13: [12] Deleted	Christian Saravia	1/29/26 1:14:00 PM
Page 18: [13] Deleted	Christian Saravia	2/4/26 2:21:00 PM