Dear handling editor,

We respectfully request to slightly adapt figure 7. No data is going to be changed, it is a matter of consistently displaying the results of statistical tests (which do not need to be changed either).




Figure 7: Upon inspection of the proof, we realized that, due to a plotting error, the full results of the statistical test were not visible, as degrees of freedom and t-statistic were missing.

Page 14, column 1, line 5-9 (of track changes file): upon proofreading, we realized that a value provided in the discussion was missing its associated standard error. We therefore kindly request the standard error be added to the finalized manuscript. The missing standard error is not related to any of the main findings of the manuscript, but rather to a speculative hypothesis to explain the differences between weathering proxies.

The current sentence reads: "During the middle of the growing season, basalt treatments with AMF tended to have lower DOC compared to basalt treatments without AMF (−11.9 mg L^{-1}; mean ± SE; Fig. S11), partially supporting this hypothesis."

We would like to add a standard error to that value, therefore the adjusted sentence would read: "During the middle of the growing season, basalt treatments with AMF tended to have lower DOC compared to basalt treatments without AMF (-11.9 **± 4.8** mg L^{-1}; mean ± SE; Fig. S11), partially supporting this hypothesis."