Response to editor and reviewers' comments

We thank the editor and reviewers for the comments and suggestions on the manuscript, which have improved the paper substantially. Our responses (in blue) and the corresponding edits in the manuscript (in red) are shown below. All the page and line numbers mentioned below are referred to the **revised manuscript with track changes**.

Reviewer #2:

This paper presents results from near real-time measurements of anhydro-sugars made at 3 sites in China during autumn and winter. The data is used to examine the decay rate of the anhydro-sugars at each site. A generalized additive model was employed to examine the parameters influencing the decay rate.

Overall, this is a good paper. As anhydro-sugars are often used as biomass burning markers, understanding their decay in the atmosphere is important. But I do feel the authors don't provide enough details on the differences between the sites, how that could play a role in the ratios observed/fuels burned/burn practices, and what separates a day with a linear decay rate to one without. Also, often what is being plotted is not accurately described in the figure caption. I have tried to note and make suggestions about these along with number of other items. These are all outlined below in the specific comments which need to be addressed before this paper can be considered for publication.

Response: We appreciate the valuable feedback. In response to the comments, we have made detailed additions and corresponding revisions to the manuscript. Inappropriate expressions in the original manuscript have been revised, and time series data of anhydro-saccharides, biomass burning-derived potassium ions (K^+_{BB}), and temperature at the three sampling sites have been supplemented to investigate the regional differences among the three cities. We observed that the concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^+_{BB} exhibited synchronous increasing and decreasing trends in the three cities, namely Zibo, Changzhou and Hong Kong. Notably, the concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^+_{BB} in Zibo and Changzhou showed frequent peaks within a short period, which is highly consistent with the emission characteristics of intensive open burning of crop straw in these regions during autumn and winter. Furthermore, as a typical heavy industrial city, the biomass burning emissions in Zibo are additionally influenced by incomplete combustion processes such as industrial combustion and residential low-temperature heating in winter. This leads to a higher concentration of galactose than mannose (Haque et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2018). In contrast, the

concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^{+}_{BB} in Hong Kong fluctuated steadily without frequent peaks, which aligns with the local biomass burning pattern dominated by residential cooking and small-scale commercial activities (e.g., the utilization of wood and kitchen waste) (Lee et al., 2013; Leung et al., 2024). The regional characteristics of these three cities provide vital background and data support for subsequent analyses on the daytime decay rates of anhydro-saccharides, contributing to a more in-depth understanding of their sources and variations under diverse environmental conditions, and thus laying a foundation for the formulation of corresponding pollution control strategies and optimization plans.

Moreover, we sincerely apologize for the omission of details regarding the certain days do not conform to the linear decay pattern in the original manuscript. The revised manuscript has been supplemented with explanations for days characterized by failed fitting. We have also added comparisons of the number of days with valid decay rates across the three cities, along with an analysis of potential factors underlying these differences. To mitigate the bias arising from days with unsuccessful fitting, this study exclusively retained days characterized by positive decay rates and a coefficient of determination (R^2>0.5) for the estimation of decay rates and subsequent generalized additive model (GAM) analysis in the original manuscript. This approach was necessary because the inclusion of such poorly fitted data points would artificially depress the average rate constant k, thereby potentially resulting in an underestimation of the authentic degradation rate. Consequently, valid data were obtained for 31 out of 67 days (46%) in Zibo, 21 out of 45 days (47%) in Changzhou, and 69 out of 106 days (65%) in Hong Kong. The mean decay rates for the three anhydro-saccharides across the three cities, derived from linear regression fitting, are presented in the supporting information of the original manuscript. We acknowledge that the original manuscript indeed lacked sufficient descriptions of the generalized additive model (GAM) process and other relevant methodological details. To address this issue, we have supplemented the manuscript with detailed information about the GAM modeling process and specifically discussed the results of the multicollinearity test. In accordance with your valuable suggestions, the revised manuscript has revised the manuscript thoroughly and comprehensively. Meanwhile, we have provided accurate and standardized descriptions for all figures and tables in the original manuscript, ensuring that the information presented in these visual materials clearly supports the conclusions of the study.

Specific Comments:

Line 64: I am not sure what the phrase universal of this phenomenon means. Should it be universal impact of this phenomenon?

Response: The inappropriate expression in this part of our manuscript has been revised in accordance with the suggestions.

Page 3, Line 65-66: "but the universal impact of this phenomenon, and their driving factors that influence this degradation process have not been thoroughly investigated (Wang et al., 2025)"

Line 85: Suggest changing during cold season to during various cold seasons

Response: We have revised the manuscript in accordance with your suggestions.

Page 3, Line 85-87: "The bihourly resolution data of $PM_{2.5}$-bound levoglucosan, mannosan and galactosan were collected at Zibo, Changzhou and Hong Kong during various cold seasons using the TAG-GC/MS system."

Lines 94-95: Suggest changing during autumn and winter season across three typical cities in the three regions to during multiple autumn and winter season deployments in three different typical cities in three regions

Response: Revised.

Page 4, Line 95-97: "Field observations using TAG-GC/MS were conducted during multiple autumn and winter season deployments in three different typical cities in three regions (Zibo, Shandong province, NCP; Changzhou, Jiangsu province, YRD; and Hong Kong, PRD region) over eastern China (Fig. S1)."

Line 103: Suggest removing the comma after February

Response: Revised.

Page 4, Line 104-105: "The observation period was from November 2022 to February 2023."

Line 117: Suggest adding a the before Changzhou

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 117-118: "At the Changzhou site"

Line 119: Suggest adding an a before WXT520, and a before BAM1020, a the before beta-ray, and an and before NO_x

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 119-121: "by a WXT520 (VAISALA, FL); $PM_{2.5}$ by a BAM1020 (Met One, US) via the beta-ray method; O_3, and NO_x by a MODEL 49i, and MODEL450i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) respectively"

Line 120: Suggest adding an a before MODEL 49i, an and before MODEL 450i, and an a before RT-4

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 120-121: "O_3, and NO_x by a MODEL 49i, and MODEL450i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) respectively"

Line 121: Suggest adding an an before ADI2080

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 121-123: "OC/EC by a RT-4 (Sunset Laboratory, US), water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}) and NH_3 by an ADI2080 (Metrohm, CHN)."

Line 122: Suggest removing the The before Solar

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 123-124: "Solar surface radiation data (SSR) were obtained from the ERA5-Reanalysis (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/datasets)."

Line 126: Suggest adding an a before MODEL and an and before NO_x

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 127-128: "$PM_{2.5}$ by a MODEL 5014i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US); O_3, and NO_x by a MODEL 49i, and MODEL 42i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) respectively"

Line 127: Suggest adding an a before MODEL 49i, an and before MODEL 42i, a respectively before OC/EC, and an a before MODEL ECOC-610

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 128-129: "O_3, and NO_x by a MODEL 49i, and MODEL 42i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) respectively; OC/EC by a MODEL ECOC-610 (Hangzhou Pengpu Technology Co., Ltd., China)"

Line 129: Suggest adding an a before MODEL S611

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 129-131: "water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}) and NH_3 by a MODEL S611 (Fortelice International Co., Ltd., Taiwan, China)."

Line 130: Suggest adding a the before Hong Kong

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 132: "At the Hong Kong site"

Line 131: Suggest adding an a before Model 5030i

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 132-133: "$PM_{2.5}$ was measured by a MODEL 5030i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US)"

Line 132: Suggest adding an an before ADI2080

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 136- 137: "water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}), NH_3 and OC/EC by an ADI2080 (Metrohm, CHN) and RT-4 (Sunset Laboratory, US), respectively"

Line 134: Suggest adding an and before NO_x

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 139-140: "atmospheric pressure (P), rainfall (RF), and solar surface radiation (SSR) by the 10 m automatic weather station tower."

Lines 134-135: The authors note in Hong Kong that the meteorological parameters, O_3, and NO_x were measured by AWS tower. Is that the name of the instrument or the location? It seems like that might be a location and the name of the instruments are missing.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. AWS is short for the automatic weather station, which is located on the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology campus. At this site, O_3 and NO_x were determined using a MODEL 49i analyzer and a MODEL 42i analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), respectively. We have supplemented the above instrument information in Lines 135-142 of the revised manuscript.

Page 5, Line 135-142: O_3, and NO_x by a MODEL 49i, and MODEL 42i (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, US); meteorological parameters wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD), relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), atmospheric pressure (P), rainfall (RF), and solar surface radiation (SSR) by the 10 m automatic weather station tower. Standard calibrated sensors on the automatic weather station (AWS) tower were used for measurements: a temperature/humidity sensor (HMP155) for air temperature and relative humidity, an ultrasonic anemometer (CSAT3A) for wind speed and direction, a barometric pressure sensor (CS106) for atmospheric pressure, a pyranometer (LI200R) for shortwave solar radiation, and a tipping-bucket rain gauge (TE525MM) for precipitation at the tower base.

Line 135: Suggest adding an an before Xact

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 142-143: "The elemental species (K, Ca) by an Xact 625i (Cooper Environmental Services) via an X-ray method."

Line 136: Suggest adding an an before X-ray

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 142-143: "The elemental species (K, Ca) by an Xact 625i (Cooper Environmental Services) via an X-ray method."

Line 139: Suggest adding an A before Detailed and a the before TAG

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 146-147: "A detailed description and the schematic diagram of the TAG can be found in our previous studies"

Line 141: Suggest changing observation to observations and adding an a before deuterium-labeled

Response: Revised.

Page 5, Line 148-149: "During the observations, a deuterium-labeled internal standard solution was injected into each sample to monitor instrument condition and analyze the contamination levels of key species."

Line 155: The authors note here the species used in there ISOROPPIA runs to calculate pH and ALWC. But I don't recall measurements of NH_3 being mentioned in the site description and field observation section. Aren't measurements of HNO_3 also needed

in the calculation?

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. The forward mode of the ISORROPIA-II model was adopted to calculate the aerosol liquid water content (ALWC). The input parameters required for this model primarily include water-soluble inorganic ions (SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^-, NH_4^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, Na^+, Mg^{2+}, and Cl^-), NH_3, temperature (T), and relative humidity (RH). We have added this information in the site description and field observation section. NH_3 was measured by a MODEL S611 (Fortelice International Co., Ltd., Taiwan, China). Regarding HNO_3 measurements, due to the absence of corresponding analytical instruments at all three sampling sites, the observational data of this species are currently unavailable. Thus, data of HNO_3 were not incorporated into the calculation of aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) in the present study.

Page 5, Line 121-123: "OC/EC by a RT-4 (Sunset Laboratory, US), water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}) and NH_3 by an ADI2080 (Metrohm, CHN)."

Page 5, Line 129-132: "OC/EC by a MODEL ECOC-610 (Hangzhou Pengpu Technology Co., Ltd., China), water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}) and NH_3 by a MODEL S611 (Fortelice International Co., Ltd., Taiwan, China) and solar surface radiation (SSR) by CMP11 (Kipp & Zonen, Zuid-Holland, Netherlands)."

Page 5, Line 133-134: "water-soluble ions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}), NH_3 and OC/EC by an ADI2080 (Metrohm, CHN) and RT-4 (Sunset Laboratory, US), respectively;"

Line 179: The V after Kumar can be removed and a period should be added after et al as part of the citation

Response: Revised.

Page 7, Line 193-195: "The study of Kumar et al. (2018) suggests that the maximum and minimum differences in the mass ratio of (K^+_{Nss}/Ca^{2+}_{Nss}) are considered to represent emissions from biomass burning (Kumar et al., 2018; Pio et al., 2008; Pio et al., 2007)."

Line 188: The citation should be written as Wang et al. (2025)

Response: Revised.

Page 7, Line 201-202: "According to Wang et al. (2025)"

Lines 262-263: The phrase while the total potassium (total K) data is relatively complete can be removed from the sentence as it has already been previously stated

Response: Revised.

Page 10, Line 299-302: "It is important to note that, due to insufficient observational data for K^+ in Hong Kong, we selected total potassium for the calculation, and after excluding the effects of sea salt and dust, the final calculation yielded potassium produced by biomass combustion (K_{BB})."

Line 314: Suggest removing the was after LST

Response: Revised.

Page 10, Line 359-360: "In Hong Kong, the galactosan concentration decreased from 1.08 ± 0.09 ng m^{-3} at 8:00 LST to 0.67 ± 0.06 ng m^{-3} by 16:00 LST."

Lines 320-322: The authors mention that the ratio of levoglucosan to potassium can be an indicator of the aging degree of biomass burning. But levoglucosan and potassium don't have to be correlated. If there is or isn't a relationship it is often based on the type of fuel being burned and the type of burning. All the measurements were made in autumn and winter, but the sites have very different characteristics. So, couldn't there be some regional influences on this? I think the authors allude to this in the previous section and Figure S3. While the data from all sites clumps together in Figure S3, it is also on a log scale, so it covers a large range of ratios. Although the sites are described in section 2.1, the authors don't go into the regional differences other than mention of the impacts they see from the monsoon. It would be helpful to provide these additional details. Maybe it would also be helpful to the reader to present time series of levoglucosan, potassium, and temperature along with the diurnal profile as the diurnal pattern could be driven by "special" days.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that the emission ratio of levoglucosan to potassium can vary significantly depending on the fuel type and combustion conditions. However, for this study, we utilized a tracer ratio space (Fig. S2) of Lev/Man and Lev/K^+ to identify the primary sources across the three regions. Our analysis indicates that the BB emissions during the autumn and winter sampling periods were consistently dominated by crop residue burning in all three cities. This commonality in source profile explains the significant positive correlations observed between levoglucosan

and the K^+_{BB} at all sites (Pearson's R = 0.6–0.76). Furthermore, by using K^+_{BB}, calculated after subtracting contributions from sea salt and dust, we ensured that the reference tracer specifically represents the BB plume, thereby minimizing confounding regional factors from non-BB sources.

We appreciate the suggestion to provide more detail on the regional differences beyond the monsoon influence. While the source types were similar, the distinct environmental conditions at each site significantly influenced the degradation rates. Zibo is a heavy industrial city on the North China Plain characterized by high emission intensities and cold, dry winters, and the unique galactosan enrichment observed here suggests influences from industrial combustion or region-specific incomplete burning conditions (Haque et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2018). Changzhou, located in the Yangtze River Delta region, exhibited the highest average ALWC. This may promote faster levoglucosan decay via liquid-phase reactions that reduce particle viscosity and enhance OH uptake. The Hong Kong observation site, a suburban location, is subject to stringent local emission constraints but is strongly influenced by a maritime climate, with the highest humidity and temperature among the studied sites.

Owing to climatic discrepancies across the study regions, the contributions of driving factors to anhydro-saccharide degradation varied distinctly among the investigated cities. For instance, the high aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) in Changzhou accelerated the aqueous-phase oxidation of anhydro-saccharides; in contrast, the elevated ambient temperature in Hong Kong significantly enhanced the degradation rates of mannosan and galactosan, which exhibit higher temperature sensitivity.

In the statistical analysis of anhydro-saccharides decay rates and generalized additive model (GAM) analyses across the three cities, days with negative decay rates were excluded in the original manuscript, corresponding to periods with anomalous data induced by direct emissions or air mass transport processes. For example, only 31 out of 67 sampling days in Zibo met the predefined screening criteria for subsequent analyses. This data filtering procedure ensured that the diurnal variation characteristics presented ultimately reflected atmospheric degradation processes rather than stochastic fluctuations in emissions. A detailed discussion of the time series and regional discrepancies in anhydro-saccharides, biomass burning-derived potassium (K^+_{BB}), and temperature across the three study cities has been added in Lines 386-407 of the revised manuscript.

Page 14, 15, Line 386-407: The detailed time series of anhydro-saccharides and K^+_{BB}

for the three cities is presented in Fig. S4. Overall, the concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^{+}_{BB} in these three locations exhibit a synchronous increase and decrease trend, verifying the similarity in their sources. From Fig. S4 (a) and (b), it is evident that the concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^{+}_{BB} in Zibo and Changzhou exhibit frequent spikes within a short time frame, aligning with the characteristics of concentrated emissions associated with open burning of straw during the autumn and winter seasons in these regions. Such combustion under high temperatures and strong oxygen supply conditions generates a higher proportion of levoglucosan (Chen et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2013; Fabbri et al., 2009). Additionally, Zibo, as a typical heavy industrial city, experiences biomass combustion emissions that are influenced by the incomplete combustion processes of industrial burning and residential heating with coal at low temperatures, leading to higher concentrations of galactosan compared to mannosan (Haque et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2018). These factors collectively enhance the chemical characteristic differences between Zibo and other sites. In contrast, Fig. S4(c) shows that the time series from Hong Kong displays stable fluctuations in the concentrations of anhydro-saccharides and K^{+}_{BB}, without frequent sudden peaks. This aligns with the biomass burning pattern in this region, primarily relying on residential cooking and small-scale commercial activities (such as wood and kitchen waste)(Lee et al., 2013; Leung et al., 2024). On the contrary, the biomass burning sources in Hong Kong are characterized by low emission intensity and a sustained, dispersed release process. The regional characteristics of these three cities provide important background and data support for subsequent analyses of the daytime decay rates of anhydro-saccharides, aiding in the deeper understanding of their sources and variations under different environmental conditions, thereby laying the foundation for developing relevant pollution control strategies and optimization plans.



Fig. S4 Time series of concentrations of levoglucosan, mannosan, galactosan and K^{+}_{BB}, as well as temperature in (a) Zibo, (b) Changzhou and (c) Hong Kong

Lines 324-326: Suggest removing this sentence as it has already been previously stated

Response: Removed.

Line 327: Detailed information on what can be found in the previously published paper?

Response: Details regarding the rationale for employing total potassium to calculate the K_{BB} and the validation of the rationality of using K_{BB} as a tracer for biomass burning at the Hong Kong site are available in our previously published work (Wang et al., 2025). Page 11, Line 299-308: It is important to note that, due to insufficient observational data for K^{+} in Hong Kong, we selected total potassium for the calculation, and after excluding the effects of sea salt and dust, the final calculation yielded potassium produced by biomass combustion (K_{BB}). The calculated K_{BB} accounted for 82% of total K, confirming that biomass burning (BB) was the dominant source of K in $PM_{2.5}$ during the observation period. Furthermore, K_{BB} exhibited a good correlation with levoglucosan (R_p = 0.63), which further reinforces that they share the same primary source. Thus, it is reasonable to use K_{BB} as a tracer for BB at the Hong Kong site. However, daily heterogeneity in the sources of potassium cannot be ruled out, which may introduce systematic biases in the estimation of K_{BB} and the inference of k's.

Lines 328-329: I am not sure what the authors mean here by calculated formula and the range of (2)-(7) being noted.

Response: We agree that our original description was inappropriate, and we have revised the relevant sentence in Lines 377–380 of the revised manuscript accordingly.

Page 13, Line 377-380: In Zibo and Changzhou, the values of K^+_{BB} were calculated using Equations (2)~(7) , and the results showed that K^+_{BB} accounted for 93.4% and 91.4% of the total K^+, respectively, thus biomass burning as the major source of K^+ in $PM_{2.5}$ during the sampling campaign.

Line 331: Suggest changing galactosan and K^+ to galactosan with K^+

Response: Revised.

Page 13, Line 381-383: "In Zibo, the Pearson correlation coefficients between levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan with K^+_{BB} were 0.65, 0.52, and 0.52, respectively."

Line 333 - Suggest changing galactosan and K^+ to galactosan with K^+.

Response: Revised.

Page 13, Line 383-384: "In Changzhou, the Pearson correlation coefficients between levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan with K^+_{BB} were 0.76, 0.58, and 0.54, respectively"

Line 334: I am not sure what the authors mean here by calculation formulas

Response: The potassium ions derived from biomass burning (K^+_{BB}) were calculated by subtracting the contributions of sea salt and dust from total K^+ using Equations (2) ~ (7).

Figure 2

-In caption suggest adding the phrase (left column) after galactosan and (right column) after ratios

Response: Thanks, and revised accordingly.



Fig. 1 Diurnal variations of levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan (left column); Lev/K^+_{BB}, Man/ K^+_{BB}, and Gal/ K^+_{BB} ratios (right column) at (a) Zibo, (b) Changzhou and (c) Hong Kong.

-It is surprising in plot a in the left column that galactosan is higher than mannosan. Is this correct? Do the authors know why this is for Zibo?

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. Regarding the observation that galactosan concentrations are higher than those of mannosan in Zibo City, we have discussed this in the original manuscript and added some new information, which is now located in lines 318-330 of the revised manuscript.

Page 14, Line 318-330: However, the average Man/Gal value in Zibo was 0.56 ± 0.19 (range: 0.23~2.24), significantly lower than those in Changzhou and Hong Kong, with a relatively higher concentration of galactosan, which may be related to differences in the type of combustion source or combustion conditions (Haque et al., 2022; Kuo et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2018). For example, the combustion of coal and certain industrial fuels may lead to higher galactosan content due to differences in the organic composition of

these fuels compared to biomass fuels (Yan et al., 2018). Furthermore, incomplete combustion or low-temperature combustion may increase galactosan concentration (Haque et al., 2022), which could be a characteristic feature of combustion in Zibo. As a heavy industrial city, Zibo has more industrial combustion sources and incomplete combustion phenomena, leading to the relative enrichment of galactosan and exhibiting distinct chemical characteristics compared to common biomass combustion. In addition, residential coal combustion for heating was also an important emission source in suburban areas of North China in winter, which possibly corresponds to the low-temperature combustion scenario in Zibo.

Line 339: Suggest adding a the before three

Response: Revised.

Page 14, Line 412-413: "Using equation (8), we calculated the daytime decay rates of anhydro-saccharides in the three cities."

Lines 362-364: The authors note that not all days showed good linear fitting and this could be due to the direct emissions and transmission of biomass burning. Are there any other characteristics that could be different across the sites and various study periods? More warmer days observed? Burning practices the same at each location? Fuel type at each location? It would be helpful to provide more context for the reader.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. More details have been supplemented and discussed regarding the regional differences and abnormal causes of linear fitting results. These contents have been added to Lines 437-480 of the revised manuscript.

Page 17, 18 Line 437-480: In such a short time frame (approximately 8 hours), the combustion conditions of burning plants and biomass sources are expected to remain relatively constant, making the influence of source emissions on the changes in normalized sugar concentration negligible. The obtained k values can be regarded as the average daytime decay rate for the day of observation. However, the observational data for certain days do not conform to the linear decay pattern. This phenomenon is primarily attributed to factors such as interference from fresh emissions and changes in air mass origins, which cannot be directly ascertained based solely on ground-based observations. The presence of invalid data during the fitting process does not contradict the research hypothesis; it merely reflects the complexity of real ambient conditions. During the observation period, each day was characterized by distinct biomass burning

(BB) emission intensities (or the absence of BB emissions), accompanied by varying meteorological conditions and oxidant levels. Days with poor fitting performance only indicate that the combination of atmospheric conditions on those days violated the core assumptions underlying Equation (8). The method employed in this study is only applicable to specific scenarios that satisfy the fundamental assumptions, and the two-hourly measurement data enabled us to sample the target compounds under a wide range of diurnal ambient conditions. The fitting results for the three cities are as follows: Zibo sampled for a total of 67 days, with 31 days fitting the linear decay pattern (46%); Changzhou sampled 45 days, with 21 days fitting the linear decay pattern (47%); and Hong Kong sampled 106 days, with 69 days fitting the linear decay pattern (65%). The proportion of days in Hong Kong that could be linearly fitted is significantly higher than that in Zibo and Changzhou. This outcome may be closely related to the differences in climatic conditions and burning practices across regions.

The analysis in Fig. S4 indicates that both Zibo and Changzhou experience frequent outdoor straw burning activities in autumn and winter, where continuous fresh emissions release substantial amounts of anhydro-saccharides and other biomass combustion tracers into the atmosphere. The emission rates of these tracers within an 8-hour window far exceed the natural diffusion and chemical degradation rates of pollutants, disrupting the stable concentration changes required for linear decay, ultimately resulting in fitting failures. Furthermore, we compared the concentrations of atmospheric oxidants (O_x) on successful and unsuccessful fitting days. The results show that the mean concentrations of atmospheric oxidants on successful fitting days are higher across all three cities compared to unsuccessful fitting days. Specifically, the atmospheric oxidant concentration on successful fitting days in Zibo was 41.2 ± 8.5 ppb (range: 24.2~61.8 ppb), while it was 37.6 ± 7.8 ppb (range: 17.9~65.7 ppb) on unsuccessful fitting days. In Changzhou, the concentration for successful days was 46.1 ± 13.0 ppb (range: 21.6~81.8 ppb), compared to 44.3 ± 10.6 ppb (range: 14.6~60.8 ppb) on unsuccessful days. In Hong Kong, successful fitting days showed an atmospheric oxidant concentration of 31.9 ± 6.3 ppb (range: 19.7~53.4 ppb), while the concentration on unsuccessful days was 27.8 ± 6.8 ppb (range: 14.9~42.0 ppb). These results suggest that the relative deficiency of atmospheric oxidants may also be a significant factor preventing the concentration of anhydro-saccharides from exhibiting a clear linear decay pattern. To mitigate the bias arising from days with unsuccessful fitting, this study exclusively retained days characterized by positive decay rates and a coefficient of determination (R^2>0.5) for the estimation of decay rates and subsequent generalized

additive model (GAM) analysis. The inclusion of such poorly fitted data points would artificially depress the average rate constant k, thereby potentially resulting in an underestimation of the authentic degradation rate.

Lines 365-367: The authors note how many days at each site could be fit with a linear decay pattern. But they don't really mention anything else about the days without this pattern. What is different about the days that don't have a linear decay?

Response: On these days, pollutant concentrations exhibited an increasing trend during the daytime rather than the expected linear decay, or the coefficient of determination (R^2) of the linear fit was less than 0.5. During the observation period, each day was characterized by distinct biomass burning (BB) emission intensities (or the absence of BB emissions), accompanied by varying meteorological conditions and oxidant levels. Days with poor fitting performance only indicate that the combination of atmospheric conditions on those days violated the core assumptions underlying Equation (8). The method employed in this study is only applicable to specific scenarios that satisfy the fundamental assumptions, and the two-hourly measurement data enabled us to sample the target compounds under a wide range of diurnal ambient conditions. To mitigate the bias arising from days with unsuccessful fitting, this study exclusively retained days characterized by positive decay rates and a coefficient of determination (R^2>0.5) for the estimation of decay rates and subsequent generalized additive model (GAM) analysis. The inclusion of such poorly fitted data points would artificially depress the average rate constant k, thereby potentially resulting in an underestimation of the authentic degradation rate.

Line 389: Suggest adding to the end of the sentence the phrase which we explore in the next section.

Response: We have revised the manuscript in accordance with your suggestions. The analysis of differences in linear fitting results has been elaborated in our response to your previous comment.

Page 18, Line 500-504: However, this trend was only observed in Hong Kong and Changzhou. Hence, besides bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE), there should be other influencing factors affecting the decay rates. Section 3.4 provides a detailed exploration of the environmental factors that influence the decay rates of anhydro-saccharides, with a particular focus on Zibo.

Line 391: Suggest change sampling points to sampling sites

Response: Revised.

Page 18, Line 506: "The three sampling sites represent cities with distinct meteorological conditions."

Line 429: I believe the reference is missing

Response: Thanks. We have added the corresponding references in Line 488-491 of the revised manuscript.

Page 19, Line 541-544: "When the relationship between the response variable and explanatory variables is unclear, the generalized additive model (GAM) can be used to fit the explanatory and response variables by plotting smooth functions, further assessing their linear or nonlinear relationship (Shrestha, 2020; Stone, 1985; Xiao et al., 2018)."

Figure 5

-In caption suggest adding a the before three

Response: Revised.

-In caption the parameters O_3 through T are denoted by letters, but they are actually all shown together on plot b

Response: We have made the corresponding corrections accordingly.



Fig. 2 Comparison of environmental factors and decay rates across the three cities: (a) decay rates of levoglucosan, mannosan and galactosan, (b) O_x, ALWC, SSR, RH and T.

Line 459: Suggest changing Fig. 6(a) show to Fig. 6(a) shows

Response: Revised.

Page 21, Line 609-611: "Fig. 6(a) shows that both are positively correlated with the daytime decay rate of levoglucosan, especially RH>60%, which nearly increases

linearly."

Line 476: mannosan and galactosan are misspelled

Response: Thanks. We have revised accordingly.

Page 21, Line 626-628: "Hong Kong has the highest average temperature among the three cities, which may explain why the daytime degradation rates of mannosan and galactosan are higher in Hong Kong than in the other two cities."

Figure 6

-In caption the labels noted do not match what are in the plots, suggest changing text from degradation rate of to (a) levoglucosan, (b) mannosan, and (c) galactosan analyzed as a function of ALWC, T, RH, O3, and SSR using the GAM model.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. We have revised the manuscript in accordance with your suggestions.



Fig. 3 Influences of various factors on the daytime degradation rates of different saccharides analyzed using the GAM model: (a) levoglucosan, (b) mannosan, and (c) galactosan, as a function of ALWC, T, RH, Ox, and SSR. (The solid lines in each subplot represent the partial dependence trends of the saccharide degradation rates with respect to the corresponding factors. The shaded areas indicate the 95% confidence bands, reflecting the uncertainty of the model predictions at a 95% confidence level)

Lines 481-488 and Figure 7: In this section the authors note and show that ALWC, RH, and O_x show a positive correlation with levoglucosan degradation rate from the factors tested. But aren't T, SSR, and O_x naturally linked to begin with? Ozone formation is

related to temperature and sunlight. In addition, RH and ALWC are not the exact same thing, but are quite similar. I guess I am not totally following how there can't already be a relationship among these factors whether or not levoglucosan decays?

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. To address the potential associations among variables, we conducted a multicollinearity check on all variables involved in the modeling prior to performing the generalized additive model (GAM) analysis. Specifically, we employed the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) method to examine factors such as temperature (T), solar surface radiation (SSR), oxidants (O_x), relative humidity (RH), and aerosol liquid water content (ALWC). the results showed that all variables had low VIF values, suggesting the absence of significant linear multicollinearity interference among them. The relevant testing methods and results have been included in the revised manuscript on lines 506–514. It is important to note that the primary objective of this study is not to elucidate the intrinsic associations between meteorological and pollution factors but rather to focus on quantifying the independent effects of each factor on the degradation rate of levoglucosan.

Page 19, Line 572-579: To avoid the potential impact of multicollinearity among variables on the stability of model fitting and the accuracy of parameter estimates, this study performed a multicollinearity assessment on the explanatory variables using the variance inflation factor (VIF) prior to conducting the GAM analysis. A high VIF value points to strong multicollinearity between a given explanatory variable and the remaining explanatory variables; specifically, a VIF value exceeding 4 denotes the existence of significant multicollinearity in regression analysis. (Shrestha, 2020; Xiao et al., 2018). The results of the multicollinearity test are presented in Table S6, where the VIF for all explanatory variables is less than 4, indicating that they successfully passed the multicollinearity assessment.

Line 488: Should promoting be prominent?

Response: Thanks, revised.

Page 22, Line 641-643: In contrast, when the levels of these three factors are relatively low, the degradation rate generally remains in a lower range, further confirming that these factors play a prominent role in the degradation process of levoglucosan.

Line 524: Suggest changing substance to species

Response: Revised.

Page 21, Line 691-693: "We selected K^{+}_{BB} as a reference species and calculated the

daytime degradation rates of the three anhydro-saccharides in the three cities using the relative rate constant method."

Lines 597- 598: I believe the format of this reference is not the same as the others as it lists the authors first initial then last name

Response: Revised.

Page 29, Line 795-797: Hoffman, D., Tilgner,A., Iinuma, Y. and Herrmann, H.: Atmospheric stability of levoglucosan A detailed laboratory and modeling study, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 694-699, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es902476f, 2010.

Supplemental Information

Line 51: Suggest changing use the stock to used the stock and changing standard to standards. A period is also missing from the end of the sentence.

Response: Revised.

Page 3, Line 51-52: "We used the stock solution for dilution to make the standards, which is all purchased from Anple."

Line 53: There should be commas before and after respectively. The chemical abbreviations used are not defined. Suggest adding a the before final working.

Response: Revised.

Page 3, Line 57-59: "The working standard solution was freshly prepared by diluting stock solutions several times using 10 mL flasks, respectively with acetonitrile (ACN) and dichloromethane (DCM) solvent, producing final working solution concentrations are shown showed in Table S2."

Line 54: Suggest changing are showed to that are shown.

Response: Revised.

Page, Line 57-59: "The working standard solution was freshly prepared by diluting stock solutions several times using 10 mL flasks, respectively with acetonitrile (ACN) and dichloromethane (DCM) solvent, producing final working solution concentrations that are showed in Table S2."

Line 56: Suggest changing with range to ranging.

Response: Revised.

Page, Line 62-64: "Different volumes ranging from 5 to 25 μL of working standard

solution and a fixed volume (5μL) of ISs were injected into the collection and thermal desorption cell (CTD) to build calibration curves using the same analysis procedure as that for the samples."

Lines 56-57: Suggest removing the phrase (ranging from 5 to 25 uL)

Response: Revised.

Page, Line 62-64: "Different volumes ranging from 5 to 25 μL of working standard solution and a fixed volume (5μL) of ISs were injected into the collection and thermal desorption cell (CTD) to build calibration curves using the same analysis procedure as that for the samples."

Table S2

-In the caption should the word identified be added after compounds?

Response: Yes, revised.

Page 6, Line 104-105: "Table S2 A list of corresponding internal standard (IS) and other details for external standards (ESs) and compounds identified in samples"

-For the solvent and quantification IS are they the same for all 3 anhydro-sugars?

Response: For the quantitative analysis of the three anhydro-saccharides (levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan), this study consistently employed deuterated levoglucosan (Levoglucosan-d_7) as the internal standard. Given that these three target analytes share the same molecular formula and possess highly similar molecular structures, Levoglucosan-d_7 can effectively correct for recovery rates during extraction, separation, and detection processes for all three compounds. Furthermore, this internal standard shows no background interference in actual atmospheric samples, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the quantitative results. We have added the corresponding descriptions in Lines 150-156 of the main text in the revised manuscript.

Page 6, Line 150-156 (manuscript): This study consistently utilizes deuterated levoglucosan (Levoglucosan-d7) as an internal standard to quantify three anhydro-saccharides. Given that these three target analytes share the same molecular formula and exhibit highly similar molecular structures, Levoglucosan-d_7 can effectively correct for recovery rates during extraction, separation, and detection processes for all three compounds. Furthermore, this internal standard shows no background interference in actual atmospheric samples, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the quantitative results.

Tables S4

-In caption suggest adding the phrase average +/- standard deviation of before hourly concentrations

Response: Revised.

Page 6, Line 112-114: "Table S4 Statistical summary of meteorological conditions, average ± standard deviation of hourly concentrations of conventional atmospheric pollutants and TAG-determined anhydro-saccharides during the field campaign"

Table S6

-In the caption the authors call these the smoothing function parameters, but I don't believe they have been called that elsewhere in the text. Maybe it would be helpful to note what equation they refer to?

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. We have added the corresponding model equations and parameter interpretations for the GAM analysis in Lines 502–512 of the revised manuscript.

Page 20, Line 549, Line 560-568 (manuscript): The corresponding GAM is expressed as Equations (10)~(12).

$$g(\mathrm{Lev}) = \mathrm{s(ALWC)} + \mathrm{s(T)} + \mathrm{s(O_x)} + \mathrm{s(RH)} + \mathrm{s(SSR)} + \beta \quad (10)$$

$$g(Man) = \mathrm{s(ALWC)} + \mathrm{s(T)} + \mathrm{s(O_x)} + \mathrm{s(RH)} + \mathrm{s(SSR)} + \beta \quad (11)$$

$$g(Gal) = \mathrm{s(ALWC)} + \mathrm{s(T)} + \mathrm{s(O_x)} + \mathrm{s(RH)} + \mathrm{s(SSR)} + \beta \quad (12)$$

In the equations, β represents the model intercept, while s(i) denotes the smooth function corresponding to each influencing factor. Table S7 presents the relevant parameters of the GAM smooth functions, including effective degrees of freedom (Edf), reference degrees of freedom (Ref.df), F-statistic (F), and p-value (p). These parameters are used to reflect the strength and significance of the nonlinear associations between each influencing factor and the degradation rate of anhydro-saccharides.

Table S7 Results of GAM analysis

Smooth variables	Edf	Ref.df	F	*p*
ALWC	1.0	9.0	5.2	0.02
T	2.5	9.0	8.7	0.001
O_x	1.8	9.0	6.5	0.01
RH	3.2	9.0	3.1	0.08
SSR	4.0	9.0	2.3	0.12
Deviance explained (%) = 65.8%				
R^2=0.66				

-Should it be SSR or SSRD? I believe throughout the text it was SSR.

Response: Thanks, it should be SSR.

Figure S3

-In caption the way it is written does not match what is actually plotted. Suggest changing correlation between K^+_{BB} and decay rates of levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan to correlation of levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan as a function of K^+_{BB}.

Response: Revised.

Page 10, Line 140-141: Fig. S3 Scatter plots showing the correlation of levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan as a function of K^+_{BB} in (a) Zibo and (b) Changzhou

Figure S4

-I believe the y-axes labels are not correct. It looks like the decay rate of levoglucosan is missing and the decay rate of galactosan is used twice.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. This figure comprises nine subplots that collectively illustrate the pairwise correlations of the decay rates of three types of anhydro-saccharides across the three studied cities: Zibo, Changzhou, and Hong Kong. Specifically, Fig. S5 (a) pertains to Zibo City, with the subsequent three subplots depicting the correlations among the following pairs: decay rate of mannosan vs. decay rate of levoglucosan, decay rate of galactosan vs. decay rate of levoglucosan, and decay rate of galactosan vs. decay rate of mannosan. Fig. S5 (b) and (c) correspond to Changzhou and Hong Kong, respectively, with the variable relationships in these subplots being entirely consistent with those in (a). Since a consistent set of axis scales is required for correlation analyses of similar metrics across different cities, the repetition of y-axis labels is a reasonable arrangement. Additionally, the decay rate data for levoglucosan have been comprehensively presented in the first two subplots for each

city, and there are no omissions in the data.

Figure S5

-In caption the way part of it is written does not match what is actually plotted. Suggest changing predicted values vs. residuals to residuals vs. predicted values and predicted values vs. observed values to observed values vs. predicted values. Also suggest changing for GAM to for the GAM model

Response: Revised.

Page 11, Line 166-168: Fig. S6 Results of residual tests for GAM on the diurnal decay rate of levoglucosan: (a) residual Q-Q plot; (b) scatter plot of residuals vs. predicted values; (c) histogram of residuals; (d) scatter plot of observed values vs. predicted values

References

Chen, J., Li, C., Ristovski, Z., Milic, A., Gu, Y., Islam, M.S., Wang, S., Hao, J., Zhang, H., He, C., Guo, H., Fu, H., Miljevic, B., Morawska, L., Thai, P., Lam, Y.F., Pereira, G., Ding, A., Huang, X., and Dumka, U.C.: A review of biomass burning: Emissions and impacts on air quality, health and climate in China, Sci. Total Environ., 579, 1000-1034, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.025, 2017.

Cheng, Y., Engling, G., He, K.B., Duan, F.K., Ma, Y.L., Du, Z.Y., Liu, J.M., Zheng, M., and Weber, R.J.: Biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7765-7781, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7765-2013, 2013.

Fabbri, D., Torri, C., Simoneit, B.R.T., Marynowski, L., Rushdi, A.I., and Fabiańska, M.J.: Levoglucosan and other cellulose and lignin markers in emissions from burning of Miocene lignites, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2286-2295, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.01.030, 2009.

Haque, M.M., Zhang, Y., Bikkina, S., Lee, M., and Kawamura, K.: Regional heterogeneities in the emission of airborne primary sugar compounds and biogenic secondary organic aerosols in the East Asian outflow: evidence for coal combustion as a source of levoglucosan, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 1373-1393, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-1373-2022, 2022.

Hennigan, C.J., Sullivan, A.P., Collett, J.L., and Robinson, A.L.: Levoglucosan stability in biomass burning particles exposed to hydroxyl radicals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010gl043088, 2010.

Lai, C., Liu, Y., Ma, J., Ma, Q., and He, H.: Degradation kinetics of levoglucosan initiated by hydroxyl radical under different environmental conditions, Atmos. Environ., 91, 32-39, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.054, 2014.

Lee, Y.C., Lam, Y.F., Kuhlmann, G., Wenig, M.O., Chan, K.L., Hartl, A., and Ning, Z.: An integrated approach to identify the biomass burning sources contributing to black carbon episodes in Hong Kong, Atmos. Environ., 80, 478-487, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.030, 2013.

Leung, C.W., Wang, X., and Hu, D.: Characteristics and source apportionment of water-soluble organic nitrogen (WSON) in PM2.5 in Hong Kong: With focus on amines, urea, and nitroaromatic compounds, J. Hazard. Mater., 469, 133899, http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.133899, 2024.

Li, Y., Fu, T.-M., Yu, J.Z., Feng, X., Zhang, L., Chen, J., Boreddy, S.K.R., Kawamura, K., Fu, P., Yang,

X., Zhu, L., and Zeng, Z.: Impacts of Chemical Degradation on the Global Budget of Atmospheric Levoglucosan and Its Use As a Biomass Burning Tracer, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 5525-5536, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07313, 2021.

Mochida, M., Kawamura, K., Fu, P., and Takemura, T.: Seasonal variation of levoglucosan in aerosols over the western North Pacific and its assessment as a biomass-burning tracer, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3511-3518, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.017, 2010.

Riva, M., Barbosa, T.D., Lin, Y.H., Stone, E.A., Gold, A., and Surratt, J.D.: Chemical characterization of organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol derived from the photooxidation of alkanes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11001-11018, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11001-2016, 2016a.

Riva, M., Budisulistiorini, S.H., Zhang, Z.F., Gold, A., and Surratt, J.D.: Chemical characterization of secondary organic aerosol constituents from isoprene ozonolysis in the presence of acidic aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 130, 5-13, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.027, 2016b.

Shrestha, N.: Detecting Multicollinearity in Regression Analysis, American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, 8, 39-42, http://dx.doi.org/10.12691/ajams-8-2-1, 2020.

Slade, J.H. and Knopf, D.A.: Multiphase OH oxidation kinetics of organic aerosol The roleof particle phase state and relative humidity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 5297-5306, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060582, 2014.

St John, P.C., Guan, Y., Kim, Y., Etz, B.D., Kim, S., and Paton, R.S.: Quantum chemical calculations for over 200,000 organic radical species and 40,000 associated closed-shell molecules, Sci Data, 7, 244, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00588-x, 2020a.

St John, P.C., Guan, Y., Kim, Y., Kim, S., and Paton, R.S.: Prediction of organic homolytic bond dissociation enthalpies at near chemical accuracy with sub-second computational cost, Nat. Commun., 11, 2328, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16201-z, 2020b.

Stone, C.J.: Additive regression and other nonparametric models, Ann. Stat., 13, 689-705, http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176349548, 1985.

Wang, Q., Wang, S., Chen, H., Zhang, Z., Yu, H., Chan, M.N., and Yu, J.Z.: Ambient Measurements of Daytime Decay Rates of Levoglucosan, Mannosan, and Galactosan, J. Geophys. Res.:Atmos., 130, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2024jd042423, 2025.

Xiao, L., Yu, L., and Hao, Y.: The burden associated with ambient $PM_{2.5}$ and meteorological factors in Guangzhou, China, 2012–2016: A generalized additive modeling of temporal years of life lost, Chemosphere, 212, 705-714, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.129, 2018.

Yan, C., Zheng, M., Sullivan, A.P., Shen, G., Chen, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., Cai, S., Desyaterik, Y., Li, X., Zhou, T., Gustafsson, Ö., and Collett, J.L.: Residential Coal Combustion as a Source of Levoglucosan in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 1665-1674, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05858, 2018.