

Characterization of variability of water and nutrient cycles in small floodplain water bodies using a geochemical multi-tracer

Ryotaro Ueba¹ Yu Umezawa^{2,3}

¹United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan

5 ²Department of Environmental Science on Biosphere, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan

³Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, Japan

Correspondence to: Ryotaro Ueba (ryoutarou.lab@gmail.com)

Abstract. River floodplains contribute to river ecosystems by supporting high biological productivity and biodiversity. ~~Within floodplains, semi-enclosed water bodies develop, among which those partially connected to the river are known as backwater (i.e., Backwaters, locally called Wando in Japanese). Although backwater serves, are semi-enclosed water bodies formed along rivers that serve~~ as habitats for aquatic organisms, ~~studies. However, research~~ on the origin of ~~springthe seepage~~ water ~~withinsupplied to~~ backwater and the associated nutrient ~~supplies remains supply remains~~ limited. In this study, we investigated the origins and pathways of water and the internal nutrient dynamics (sources, concentrations and composition ratios) using multiple geochemical tracers—ion balance, chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), ²²²Rn, stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in water ($\delta^2\text{H}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – H_2O), and stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – NO_3)—at three distinct backwaters sites within a 5-kilometer section of the middle reaches of an urban river (Tama River) in Tokyo. Each geochemical tracer exhibited significantly different values between the surrounding shallow groundwater and the main river along the backwaters, serving as an effective indicator for evaluating the contribution of both sources to the water supplied to the backwaters. The water sources differed not only among the three backwaters locations within a short river section (5 km) but also across seasons. River water exhibited relatively high phosphate concentrations (3.4 – $12.4 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and low dissolved silicate (DSi) concentrations (157 – $218 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$), whereas shallow groundwater exhibited lower phosphate (0.7 – $1.3 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and higher DSi concentrations (236 – $730 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). ~~WhileAlthough~~ no significant difference in DIN ~~concentration($\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+$) concentrations~~ was observed between ~~riversriver water~~ and groundwater, the ~~increase-inuse of~~ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ – NO_3 ~~observed in one backwater site, coinciding with revealed differences in the decrease in nitrate concentration,~~ ~~suggested sources of NO_3 between urban rivers and groundwater, as well as the possibility of denitrification ocurring in the floodplain subsurface flow paths. As a result, in the of some~~ backwaters, ~~strongly influenced by the urban river, nutrient eonditions reflected inputs from treated wastewater, leading to relatively stable N:P ratios in space and time. In contrast,~~ ~~Furthermore, it was suggested that differences in the backwaters, which was primarily replenished by groundwater, showed pronounced seasonal fluctuations in N:P ratios due to variations in microbial activity, fertilizer inputs, geology and river inflow rates. Given topography of the floodplains surrounding each backwater, which influence of seasonal changes in river flow and microbial activity, may also lead to variations in N:P ratios among individual backwaters. This study, based on multiple geochemical tracer analyses, suggests that, in Japan's steep-gradient urban rivers, hydrological connectivity and microbial~~

activity can vary even within short river sections, and that backwaters exhibit spatially and temporally diverse nutrient environments on microbial communities and primary producers, the ecological functions of backwater can be better understood through intensive research focused on water quality processes.

1. Introduction

Rivers form distinctive landforms and landscapes in their surrounding areas through processes such as erosion, transportation, and deposition. Among these, floodplains, which are areas where water overflows from rivers during heavy rainfall, function as transition zones connecting terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (i.e., an aquatic/terrestrial transitional zone) (Junk et al., 1989).

Floodplains have diverse aquatic ecosystems, including secondary channels, stagnant waters, ponds, and lakes isolated from the main channel (Amoros and Bornette, 2002; Galat et al., 1997; Ward et al., 2002). These areas are referred to as floodplain water bodies (Nagayama et al., 2015), which function as a foundation for maintaining high biodiversity by creating diverse landscapes within rivers through spatiotemporal changes in physical and hydrological conditions in response to flood intensity and frequency (Amoros and Bornette, 2002; Junk et al., 1989; Keruzoré et al., 2013; Toekner et al., 2000; Ward et al., 2002).

Floodplain water bodies are affected by overflow and underflow from the main river channel, as well as by groundwater discharge from surrounding highlands (Hancock, 2002). Since underflow seeps into sediments from riverbeds and banks and reemerges through floodplains, the decomposition of organic matter in the underflow process induces changes in the aerobic-anaerobic environment (Lewandowski and Nützmann, 2010), thereby influencing nutrient dynamics (Boulton et al., 1998; Brunke and Gonser, 1997; Findlay, 1995; Hendricks and White, 1988).

Organic matter decomposition in underground streams also leads to high concentrations of nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) at the spring point, which serve as hotspots for algal production (Coleman and Dahm, 1990; Grimm and Fisher, 1984; Valett et al., 1994). On the other hand, the anaerobic condition during the underflow process causes denitrification (Goody et al., 2014; Haycock and Burt, 1993) and is also known to function as a system for removing excessive nitrogen loads in water bodies.

Floodplains are considered to have high productivity in river ecosystems (Lewis et al., 2001; Sparks, 1995; Spink et al., 1998), and studies on nutrient dynamics and biological production have been conducted in large floodplain water bodies extending several kilometers in length. Bondar Kunze et al. (2009) in their study of the Danube River, revealed that floodplain water bodies serve the function of supplying organic matter to the main river through primary production using nutrient inputs from the main river. In addition, the vast floodplain of the Mississippi River is reported to perform net primary production and exhibit autotrophic characteristics during certain seasons (Houser et al., 2015).

In Japan, river channel improvement has been actively carried out for a long time for flood control and water utilization. As a result, extensive natural floodplains are very rare in many rivers, and floodplains within channels restricted by embankments have formed (Nagayama et al., 2015). Such rivers form small floodplain water bodies (i.e., backwater) called Wando in Japanese that are several dozen to several hundred meters long, and many of these backwaters have springs (Figure 1). Previous

65 studies have highlighted the ecological usefulness of river mainstems as evacuation sites during floods and as breeding grounds for juvenile fish (Denda et al., 2002, 2006; Dole-Olivier, 1998; Kimizuka, 1998; Minagawa et al., 2015; Nakajima et al., 2008). However, many other aspects, such as water quality and primary production, remain poorly understood. We hypothesized that, similar to large floodplains, the surrounding environment and water supply routes in backwater affect nutrient dynamics, which in turn may be related to phytoplankton communities and primary production in the floodplain.

70 Rivers form distinctive landforms and landscapes in their surrounding areas through processes such as erosion, transport, and deposition. In particular, floodplains—where water from rivers overflows during periods of high levels water caused by rainfall—function as transition zones connecting terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Junk et al., 1989). In floodplains, hydrological changes such as flooding and seasonal flow fluctuations result in the formation of diverse water bodies (floodplain water bodies; Galat et al., 1997; Tockner & Stanford, 2002; Ward, 1989; Ward et al., 1999) and affect nutrient cycling (Bondar-

75 Kunze et al., 2009), primary production (Houser et al., 2015; Spink et al., 1998), and ecosystems (Amoros & Roux, 1988; Bornette & Amoros, 1991; Keruzoré et al., 2013). In particular, nutrient dynamics—which are closely linked to both productivity and algal communities—exhibit source-sink behavior within the complex interactions between floodplain waters and rivers (Gmitrowicz-Iwan et al., 2020; Pongsivapai et al., 2021; Zurbrügg et al., 2013).

The hydrological conditions (e.g., river discharge and groundwater levels) and geology (e.g., grain size and organic matter

80 content) surrounding floodplains are complex, leading to variations in the distribution of river underflow (Texier et al., 2024) and fluctuations in the mixing state of river water and groundwater (Biehler et al., 2020). Furthermore, changes in redox conditions associated with hydrological conditions influence the behavior of phosphorus and nitrogen (e.g., phosphorus adsorption and desorption, nitrification, and denitrification). Environmental tracers and stable isotope ratios have often been used in hydrological and water quality surveys in such floodplains (Gooddy et al., 2014; Verstraeten et al., 2002). A study of

85 100 floodplain water bodies along the Rhine and Meuse rivers showed that the composition of nutrients and ions in each backwater varied with distance from the river and whether they were inside or outside levees (Van Den Brink et al., 1993; Van Den Brink & Van Der Velde, 1991), along with the composition of phytoplankton and small invertebrate communities (Brunke, 2002; Brunke et al., 2003; Van Den Brink et al., 1994). However, no studies have examined the relationship between nutrient dynamics and the origin (river water, groundwater, precipitation) and pathways (surface water, spring water) of water supplied

90 to floodplains.

Because Japan is characterized by abundant rainfall and approximately 70% of the country is mountainous, many rivers have steep gradients and fast-flowing currents (Oguchi et al., 2001) (Figure S1). In addition, residential areas and farmland are concentrated on the alluvial fans, plains, and plateaus of the middle reaches of rivers and downstream areas. Consequently, urban rivers have undergone channel modifications for flood control and water resource management (Nakamura & Oki, 2018; Takahashi & Uitto, 2004), resulting in many floodplains whose extent is restricted by levees (Nagayama et al., 2015). Within the floodplain, semi-enclosed water bodies form, partially isolated from the river by developing sandbars (Kayaba et al., 1997). These are referred to as “Wando” in Japan (hereinafter, “Backwater”) (Figure 1). It has been reported that these floodplain water bodies contribute to the aquatic ecosystem by creating a physically stable environment (Denda et al., 2002, 2006;

Kimizuka, 1998; Minagawa et al., 2015; Nakajima et al., 2008). In the middle reaches of urban rivers, which are dotted with backwaters, water quality changes significantly over short distances due to agricultural runoff and wastewater treatment plant effluent. On the other hand, permeable alluvial fans and abundant rainfall provide the plains with large quantities of groundwater, creating recharge sources with varying water qualities in the vicinity of backwaters. There has been no research on the contribution of such river water and groundwater to the origin of backwater.





Figure 1 Floodplain of urban rivers in Japan. Floodplains restricted by embankments form floodplain water bodies other than the main stream due to the effects of sedimentation and erosion. ~~backwaters~~Backwaters are formed in accordance with the main stream being supplied water from river backwater, underflow/overflow water from upstream, and groundwater from the surrounding area. Some graphical symbols used in this figure were obtained from the IAN Symbol Libraries and modified by the authors.

The objectives of this study are comprehensively identifying the origin and pathways of spring water and associated nutrients (N, P, Si) in different backwater systems by measuring ion composition, ^{222}Rn concentration, stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios ($\delta^2\text{H}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ H_2O) in water, and chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) concentration at the spring. Finally, we will discuss nutrient dynamics that influence ecological systems, such as phytoplankton production and communities. We hypothesized that the complex contribution of abundant unconfined groundwater and fast-flowing urban rivers to floodplain waters drives water-quality-forming mechanisms that differ from those in large continental rivers. Therefore, this study focused on the Tama River, which flows through the suburbs of Tokyo, Japan, and examined the hydrological connectivity between river water and surrounding groundwater by using multiple geochemical tracers in several floodplain water bodies. We examined the impact of backwaters on river ecosystems by investigating the dynamics of nutrients, including their sources and the supply processes influenced by biogeochemical processes, as well as the resulting concentrations and composition.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The target river, the Tama River, flows through the Kanto region of Japan (river length: 138 km, watershed area: 1,240 km², average flow rate: 20.9 m³ s⁻¹) and mainly flows through urban areas of Tokyo. The ~~population of the river basin~~ population exceeds 4 million, and approximately 34% of the basin is an urban area (Figure 2a). The Kanto region has a humid subtropical climate, with rainfall concentrated in the rainy summer seasons from June to September. River flow generally increases in these months and decreases from November to February (Figure 2c). The survey area is located in the middle reaches of the Tama River, where effluent from five sewage treatment plants flows into the river.






Figure 2 (a) The watershed of the target river, the Tama River. (b) The sampling locations (white circles and yellow triangles), public observatory (gray squares), sewage treatment plants (blue diamonds), and dams and weirs (black bars) in the Tama River. (c) The monthly average temperature (line graph) and precipitation (bar graph) from 1991 to 2020 at meteorological observation stations near the survey sites. (d) A schematic diagram showing the alluvial fan and hilly terrain cross-sections in the study area; the colors correspond to those in (b).

The Tama River forms river terraces from its middle to lower reaches. It was assumed that the numerous springs along the terraced cliffs would eventually flow into the Tama River and the floodplain as shallow groundwater. The northern portion of the study area includes the central part of the alluvial fan formed by the Tama River. The alluvial fan area is characterized by a surface loam layer, with a highly permeable gravel layer beneath, resulting in abundant shallow groundwater. The shallow groundwater flows in a fan-shaped pattern from west to east, originating at the top of the alluvial fan (Shimano, 1994; Yamanaka, 2012). Groundwater levels in the area have been shown to flow southeastward and discharge into the Tama River (Hosono, 2003; Shindo, 1968). It has been established that these aquifers contribute to the replenishment of a deeper, confined aquifer. This assertion is supported by several lines of evidence, including groundwater contour lines (Shindo, 1968), tritium (Shimada et al., 1994a), and stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios (Shimada et al., 1994b). The Tama River's flow has river terraces along the southern periphery of the alluvial fan. The intersection of the shallow groundwater's water table with the ground surface at the base of these terraces gives rise to numerous localized springs (Ohira et al., 2003; Shimano, 1994; Ueda et al., 2000) (Figure 2d). On the right bank of the Tama River, a hilly area rises several dozen meters above the alluvial plain; like the alluvial fan on the left bank, its surface is covered with a layer of loam. The subsurface comprises alternating layers of gravel, silt, and sand (Takano, 1994), and shallow groundwater flowing through highly permeable layers emerges at the boundary between the hilly terrain and the Tama River alluvial deposits. We selected three small backwaters (i.e.,

155 Backwater A, B, and C from upstream, Figures 2b, 3) within a 5 km section of the middle reaches of the Tama River. Backwater A is formed by a sandbar protruding from the riverbank. The location of the springhead varies depending on with the season; when the main river water level was high in summer, the spring point and a small river about 100 m long appeared at the upper end of the backwater (FigureFigures 3a, e, d). The drainage channel from a factory is located approximately 600 m upstream of the backwater. During the survey period, the drainage flowed into the floodplain, but seeped underground and no surface
 160 water inflow was observed flowing into PondBackwater A or the main river as surface water. Backwater B was formed by a sandbar protruding from the riverbank, similar to Backwater A. A spring point was confirmed not only at the bottom of the upper end of the backwater but also from the riverbank at a higher elevation than the main water surface (Figure 3b, d). Since the dry channel trace continues upstream from Backwater C to the main river, it is possible that Backwater C was formed by a temporary stream during high water levellevels. The headwaters of Backwater C form a small river approximately 50 meters
 165 long that flows into the backwater (Figure 3c).




Figure 3 Overview and the sampling points at (a) Backwater A, (b) Backwater B and a sewage treatment plant located 50 meters downstream from Backwater B, and (c) Backwater C, respectively. The yellow circles indicate the survey locations, and those with the star marks indicate the spring outlets. (d) The location of two spring points at Backwater B. Backwater B₁ emerges from the bottom floor, while Backwater B₂ emerges from the terrace cliff. View upstream of Backwater A in (e) dry season and (f) rainy season. The backwater area extend upstream due to the rise in water level after April. Base maps in (a)–(c) were obtained from © Google Maps (© Google, Map data © Google, Maxar Technologies, 2025). Image was cropped and annotated with sampling sites.

175 Water samples (~~n=3~~) were collected at each of the backwatersbackwater and adjacent river mainstreamsmainstream approximately once a month from February to November 2022. At each backwaterssite and sampling occasion, water was collected and subsampled into three separate bottles. At each backwater, water was collected directly from the spring if it was

visible, otherwise from the vicinity of the upstream end. If more than one spring was found (e.g., on the bottom floor and at the terrace cliffs), water samples were collected at both locations to verify the contribution of different water sources. The water samples were immediately filtered through a 0.2 μm cellulose acetate filter (CS020AS, ADVANTEC, Japan) for analysis of stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in water ($\delta^2\text{H}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$), and through a 0.45 μm cellulose acetate filter (CS045AS, ADVANTEC, Japan) for analysis of nutrient, dissolved ions, and stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-NO}_3$), and then stored frozen or refrigerated until analysis. The water for ^{222}Rn analysis was collected in a 250 ml glass bottle, filling it to the brim without allowing any air bubbles to enter. To identify the water source of the spring water in the backwaters, spring water sampling was also conducted at six locations along the cliff lines around the survey site in November 2022. Rainwater was also collected from December 2021 to October 2022 within the grounds of Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology (35.684657°N 139.483360°E). These samples were also processed in the same manner as above. Dissolved oxygen (DO), water temperature (Multi 3510 IDS, WTW, Germany), pH (HORIBA D-55, Horiba, Japan), and electrical conductivity (EC) (HORIBA ES-71, Horiba, Japan) were measured in the field. ~~Only in~~ November 2022, ~~we measured~~ the concentration of ~~chromophoric~~colorimetric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) was measured using a CDOM sensor (Cyclops-7-Logger, ~~Turner~~Tuner Designs, USA), which had a resolution of 0.5 ppb and a detection limit of 3.0 ppb based on factory calibration (Khamis et al., 2015), by ~~immersing~~submerging the ~~sensor~~logger in water collected in a bucket for 10 minutes. River flow and water quality data at the Ishihara (35.642695°N 139.527151°E) and Tama suidobashi (35.625582°N 139.569326°E) observatories were obtained from the public database of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. We also used weather data from the Fuchu Observatory (35.684254°N 139.484085°E) published by the Japan Meteorological Agency.

2.2. Chemical analyses

The major nutrients including PO_4^{3-} , NH_4^+ and dissolved silicate (DSi) were colorimetrically determined by the molybdenum blue method, the indophenol blue method, and the molybdenum yellow method, respectively, using a spectrophotometer (U-1500, HITACHI). Major anions (Cl^- , NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} ~~})~~ and cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ , K^+) were determined using an ion chromatography system (DIONEX ICS-1100 for cations and DIONEX DX-120 for anions, Dionex Corporation, USA). The sum of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ was defined as dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentration. The bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) concentration was calculated from the alkalinity using a titration method.

$\delta^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-NO}_3$ were determined using denitrifying bacteria following Sigman et al. (2001) and Casciotti et al. (2002). In brief, NO_3 was converted into nitrous oxide (N_2O) by denitrifying bacteria that lack N_2O -reductase activity. The N_2O was purified using cryogenic trapping (Precon System Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany), separated chromatographically (Finnigan GasBench Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany), and analyzed using mass spectrometry (Finnigan Delta^{plus}XP, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Standard materials USGS32, USGS34, and IAEA-NO-3 were used, and analytical precision for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ was generally better than 0.2‰ and 0.6‰, respectively. $\delta^2\text{H}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ were analyzed

210 using a cavity ring-down spectroscopy (L2410-I, Picarro, USA). The analytical accuracy of measurements was 0.1 ‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and 0.8 ‰ for $\delta^2\text{H}$ based on replicate measurements of a standard. The stable isotope ratios in water and NO_3^- were expressed relative to Vienna standard ocean water (V-SMOW) and atmospheric nitrogen and were calculated as follows.

$$\delta_{\text{sample}}(\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$$

Where $R = {}^2\text{H}/{}^1\text{H}$, ${}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}$ or ${}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}$. R_{sample} and R_{standard} indicate the isotope ratio (‰) of the sample and the standard material, respectively. The ${}^{222}\text{Rn}$ was measured using RAD- H_2O system that is basically a portable 250 ml Rn-emanation bottle attached to an electronic radon detector (DurrIDGE, RAD7, USA).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using statistical software R ver4.4.1. Significant differences in measurement data at each location were determined using the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn test with the package software car, FSA, respectively, with $p < 0.05$ considered significant. For comparisons between the two groups, the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used, and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. The correlation between each measurement data was calculated using Pearson's correlation coefficient with the psych package.

3. Results

3.1 EC and DO variation

225 The results of DO, EC, and water temperature for each ~~backwaters~~backwater and river are shown in Table 1. The water temperature in the backwater was generally cooler in summer and warmer in winter compared to that in the adjacent river. This temperature relationship has often been documented in groundwater and springs and adjacent river waters in temperate regions (e.g., Johnson et al. 2014). In February and March, during the dry winter season, no spring outlet was observed at the upstream of Backwater A, but after April, when precipitation increased, a spring outlet and a small river formed clearly. (Figure 1f). With this change in the water system, EC around the spring site increased from $44.0 \pm 4.4 \text{ mS m}^{-1}$ to $66.8 \pm 5.5 \text{ mS m}^{-1}$, while DO decreased from $11.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ to $3.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. On the other hand, Backwater B showed stable water quality throughout the observation period. In addition, the spring water from the terrace cliff (St. B₂ in Table 1) showed slightly lower EC and DO than that from the bottom floor (St. B₁ in Table 1), but no significant difference was found. In Backwater C, DO decreased clearly after April (i.e., from $4.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ in February and March to $0.85 \pm 0.54 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), indicating an anaerobic environment.

Table 1 The maximum, minimum, average, and standard deviation values for electrical conductivity, pH, water temperature, and dissolved oxygen measured at each survey location from February to November 2022.

	Electrical conductivity (mS m ⁻¹)					pH					Water temperature (°C)				
	n	Max	Min	Mean	SD	n	Max	Min	Mean	SD	n	Max	Min	Mean	SD
Backwater A	9	72.2	40.9	61.7	10.6	9	9.3	7.3	8.0	0.5	9	23.1	11.8	18.7	3.3
River A	9	58.6	23.0	38.6	10.2	9	9.2	7.7	8.4	0.4	9	28.2	13.3	20.7	4.2
Backwater B ₁	9	44.3	36.1	40.7	2.7	9	8.0	7.0	7.5	0.4	9	24.0	18.0	20.3	1.8
Backwater B ₂	7	42.5	37.0	40.2	1.8	7	8.0	7.0	7.5	0.3	7	22.2	17.9	20.0	1.6
River B	9	48.5	23.9	38.7	6.7	9	9.0	7.6	8.2	0.5	9	28.5	16.3	22.1	3.6
Backwater C	9	47.2	33.7	40.7	4.2	9	7.9	7.2	7.6	0.2	9	23.5	14.2	19.1	2.9
River C	9	46.4	26.0	37.0	5.3	9	8.6	7.4	8.0	0.4	9	28.6	12.8	20.5	4.5

	Dissolved oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)					Dissolved oxygen(%)			
	n	Max	Min	Mean	SD	Max	Min	Mean	SD
Backwater A	8	11.9	2.4	5.1	3.7	113.5	26.7	53.9	34.6
River A	8	11.1	8.6	9.9	0.9	141.2	100.1	112.9	13.9
Backwater B ₁	8	8.4	5.3	6.2	1.0	91.2	59.5	69.8	9.8
Backwater B ₂	6	6.2	4.6	5.3	0.6	71.4	52.1	59.5	6.6
River B	8	12.6	8.3	10.9	1.4	158.6	98.9	128.5	22.2
Backwater C	8	4.3	0.4	1.6	1.4	43.7	4.2	17.5	14.5
River C	8	10.4	3.2	8.5	2.1	117.1	38.5	96.9	23.3


3.2. ²²²Rn variation

240 The concentrations of ²²²Rn in shallow groundwater (i.e., 700 ± 275 dpm L⁻¹) were about one order of magnitude higher than those in river water (i.e., 85 ± 61 dpm L⁻¹), as in previous reports from the Kanto region in Japan (Nakano-Ohta et al., 2005; Saito and Takata, 1994). The ranges of ²²²Rn concentrations in Backwater A, B, and C were 204 dpm L⁻¹ to 617 dpm L⁻¹, 317 dpm L⁻¹ to 589 dpm L⁻¹, and 293 dpm L⁻¹ to 692 dpm L⁻¹, respectively, clearly indicating higher concentrations than those in the rivers beside each backwaters. Rn concentrations in Backwater A and C increased from spring to summer and decreased

245 in September when precipitation was heaviest, while in Backwater B they showed relatively constant activity (Table S1, Supplement).

3.3. $\delta^2\text{H}\text{--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{--H}_2\text{O}$ variation

The hydrogen and oxygen stable isotope ratios ($\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) of rainwater collected near the survey site ranged from -139‰ to -8.4‰ and -18.5‰ to -4.4‰ , respectively. The local meteoric water line (LMWL) was determined by simple regression analysis as $\delta^2\text{H} = 8.3 \times \delta^{18}\text{O} + 18$ (Figure 4). The variation in $\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in rivers, backwaters, and shallow groundwater was confined to a narrow range (i.e., $\delta^2\text{H}$: -59‰ to -47‰ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$: -9.2‰ to -7.6‰) compared to the variation in rainwater. The $\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of the spring water of backwater and the adjacent river water sampled in the same month often had different values. However, in February, when no spring water was observed in Backwater A, the $\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of the river and the backwater showed similar values (Table S2, Supplement).



255 **Figure 4** Overall diagram (upper left) of hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios ($\delta^2\text{H}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) in rivers, spring water at backwater, and shallow groundwater during the survey period and enlarged diagram. GMWL and LMWL represent the Global Meteoric Water Line ($\delta^2\text{H} = 8\delta^{18}\text{O} + 10$) and the Local Meteoric Water Line ($\delta^2\text{H} = 8.3\delta^{18}\text{O} + 18$), respectively. The numbers attached to each symbol indicate the month of sampling. The data obtained in autumn is indicated by symbols enclosed in thick lines and the month of sampling underlined.

260

3.4. Piper diagram

The results of the Piper diagrams for each location are shown in Figure 5. The differences in ion balance between locations of the river were minor, while ~~these~~they differed from those of the backwaters spring and shallow groundwater. Shallow groundwater was characterized by a high proportion of Ca and Mg and was located on the left side of the graph. During the summer period when water levels were high, Backwater A had high concentrations of Na and SO₄ and these values deviated from the linear line representing the mixture of the river and shallow groundwater. On the other hand, the water quality of Backwater B and C were plotted between the river and shallow groundwater, with Backwater B showing ion balance closer to that of shallow groundwater.




Figure 5 Characteristics of each water sample plotted on a Piper diagram based on the composition of cations (red color) and anions (blue color) in each water sample. Different water chemistry phases are indicated by different background colors.

3.5. CDOM

CDOM concentrations showed a clear difference between river water (c.a. 70 ppb) and shallow groundwaters (c.a. 3 ppb) (Figure 6). Furthermore, CDOM concentrations in treated sewage water were as high as 200 ppb, indicating the possibility that the contribution of rivers, shallow groundwater, and treated sewage effluent to backwater can be determined by CDOM. The CDOM concentrations in each backwaters varied from site to site, with 34 ppb in Backwater A, 7.8 ppb in Backwater B, and 24 ppb in Backwater C.





Figure 6 Bar graph showing CDOM concentrations at each survey location. All data are from November 2022.

3.6. Changes in the Nutrient Environment of the backwaters

NO_3^- concentrations [NO_3^-] in rivers were high, ranging from about $100 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ to $300 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ (Figure 7a, Tables S3-S5, Supplement). The highest concentrations were observed in winter (February and March). At Backwater A, [NO_3^-] were as high as in the river during the winter (i.e., $298 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in February), but lower than in the river (i.e., $75\text{--}122 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) when the size of the backwater was expanding (i.e., April to November). In Backwater B, [NO_3^-] fluctuated between about $120 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and $230 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, often lower than those in rivers. No apparent difference in [NO_3^-] was observed between the spring water from the bottom floor and the terrace cliffs. Unlike the other ~~backwater~~backwaters, Backwater C showed a significant decrease in [NO_3^-] after early summer, reaching as low as $18 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in November. The [NO_3^-] in shallow groundwater ranged from about $130 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ to $350 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, with significant differences among locations, and some locations had higher concentrations than rivers and backwater springs.



295 **Figure 7 Seasonal variation in (a) NO_3^- , (b) PO_4^{3-} , and (c) DSi concentrations of backwater spring water and adjacent rivers. The solid line and broken lines in each graph indicate the average and standard deviation of shallow groundwater, respectively.**

The PO_4^{3-} concentration [PO_4^{3-}] in rivers ranged from $3.4 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ to $12.4 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, showing significant seasonal fluctuations
 300 (i.e., higher in February and March than the other months after April) consistent with the annual trend reported over the past 10 years in the public data for the Tama suidobashi, which is located downstream of the survey site (Table S7, Supplement).

[PO₄³⁻] increased in winter and decreased from spring to summer (Figure 7b, Tables S3-S5, Supplement). Similarly, seasonal variation in [PO₄³⁻] in Backwater A (i.e., 7.6 μmol L⁻¹ in February, 9.0 μmol L⁻¹ in March and 4.1 μmol L⁻¹ to 5.9 μmol L⁻¹ in the other months after April) was similar to that of rivers.

305 On the other hand, seasonal fluctuations in [PO₄³⁻] were small in Backwater B and C, ranging from 1.4 μmol L⁻¹ to 2.3 μmol L⁻¹ and 2.2 μmol L⁻¹ to 3.6 μmol L⁻¹, respectively. These values were slightly higher than [PO₄³⁻] in the shallow groundwater, which averaged 0.93 ± 0.23 μmol L⁻¹.

During the survey period, [DSi] concentrations in Backwater A and B were 175 to 276 μmol L⁻¹ and 209 to 276 μmol L⁻¹, respectively, which were significantly higher than those in the adjacent rivers (Dunn test, p < 0.05, Figure 7c). In 310 Backwater C, [DSi] were also higher than those in the adjacent rivers in most seasons, ranging from 14189 to 40252 μmol L⁻¹, but no statistically significant difference was observed between each river and backwater. Seasonal fluctuations were small in both rivers and backwaters, but the trends in fluctuations were similar. Most samples in shallow groundwater showed [DSi] of approximately 200 to 300 μmol L⁻¹, except one location with a distinctly high concentration of 730 μmol L⁻¹.

The stable isotope ratios of NO₃⁻ (δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃) in rivers changed between 12.7‰ and 18.1‰ for 315 δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and between -2.1‰ and 1.4‰ for δ¹⁸O-NO₃ (Figure 8). Backwater A and B showed δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃ values similar to those of rivers in spring (April and May). On the other hand, in autumn (October and November), δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃ in Backwater A showed an increasing trend, while δ¹⁵N-NO₃ in Backwater B showed a decreasing trend. At Backwater C, δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃ consistently showed more enriched values (i.e., 20.1‰–35.7‰ for δ¹⁵N, 6.2‰–15.9‰ for δ¹⁸O) compared with those in rivers. Shallow groundwater 320 showed lower δ¹⁵N-NO₃ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃ values than those in rivers and backwater spring water, ranging from 1.7‰ to 6.9‰ for δ¹⁵N and from -1.5‰ to 1.7‰ for δ¹⁸O.




Figure 8 Distribution of stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-NO}_3$) of various water samples. The numbers shown on each symbol indicate the month of water sampling and its color indicate corresponding sampling site (the numbers for Spring B₂ are underlined to distinguish them from Spring B₁). The broken arrow indicates the direction in which $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ typically change at a ratio of 2:1 when nitrate in groundwater around the survey site undergoes denitrification.

4. Discussion

4.1. Estimation of Water Origins and Supply Routes Using a Multi-Tracer

Previous studies on large floodplain water bodies have focused on secondary channels within floodplains (Bondar-Kunze et al., 2009; Tockner et al., 1999), floodplain water bodies maintained by backflow from slow-flowing rivers (Houser et al., 2015), and isolated water formed in floodplains (ponds, floodplain lakes; Forshay and Stanley, 2005). These studies demonstrate that the nutrients supplied by inflowing water and the nutrients released from sediments influence the nutrient dynamics in floodplain water bodies.

Many floodplains are mixed zones of river water and groundwater (Boulton et al., 2010; Hancock, 2002), and the sedimentary environment and redox conditions in the water bodies are heterogeneous (Appling et al., 2014; Gallardo, 2003; Lewandowski and Nützmann, 2010). Therefore, when considering nutrient dynamics in water bodies such as floodplains, it is essential to thoroughly examine the origin and pathways of water.

4.2. Estimated Origin of backwater Water

In this study conducted in fast-flowing urban rivers, the mixing ratio of river underflow and shallow groundwater supplied to the backwater spring water changed over time and space. Among the multiple tracers used in this study, ^{222}Rn was effective in estimating the origin of spring water from backwater, as it exhibited higher concentrations in shallow groundwater than in rivers, consistent with previous studies (Dimova and Burnett, 2011; Ellins et al., 1990). The ^{222}Rn concentration in the spring water of the backwaters remained at a high level from 204 dpm L^{-1} to 660 dpm L^{-1} , indicating that all the backwaters were affected by shallow groundwater.

The load of ^{222}Rn during the river underflow process in floodplains varies depending on the geology and residence time (Biehler et al., 2020; Schaper et al., 2022). It has been reported that it takes about 3 weeks for ^{222}Rn to reach an equilibrium with minerals that generate Rn. However, the residence time of the underflow can be relatively short in our study area, because the survey area consists of gravelly sediments, and the distances between the river and backwaters are short- (50-100 m).

Therefore, it is considered that the influence of ^{222}Rn loading during the underflow process is negligible.

–The influence of shallow groundwater was also observed in the ion compositions. The Piper diagram (Figure 5) shows that through the study period, the ion composition of Backwater B and C was intermediate between shallow groundwater and river

water, respectively, with the composition of Backwater B being more similar to that of groundwater. Therefore, it was suggested that both Backwater B and C are formed by the mixing of river water and shallow groundwater, with Backwater B in particular being strongly influenced by shallow groundwater. On the other hand, the ion composition of Backwater A was characterized by high concentrations of Na and was slightly out of the mix of river and groundwater. ~~In Backwater A, the inflow of factory effluent was observed upstream of the floodplain, and springs with high EC were observed as river flow increased. This suggests that the rising groundwater level in the floodplain due to increased river flow caused wastewater and groundwater to mix, forming the spring water.~~ In Backwater A, spring water with high electrical conductivity (EC) and high concentrations of Na^+ and SO_4^{2-} was observed as river flow increased. Subsequent surveys revealed that in the upstream section of Backwater A, industrial effluent containing far higher concentrations of Na^+ and SO_4^{2-} (Na^+ : approximately 11 mmol L^{-1} , SO_4^{2-} : approximately 7 mmol L^{-1} in Ueba unpublished data) (Figure 3a, Table S8 Supplement) and CDOM (167 ppb in Ueba unpublished data) were discharged into the floodplain. This suggests that seasonal increases in river flow caused the water level of underground riverwater in the floodplain to rise, resulting in a mixture of underground drainage and groundwater flowing into Backwater A.

–In this study, CDOM concentrations showed clear differences ~~in~~between rivers, shallow groundwater, and treated sewage water (Figure 6). ~~Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in urban rivers is suggested to be persistent (Dignac et al., 2000) because sewage~~ The effluent from the wastewater treatment plants release refractory DOM after sewage treatment using plant undergoes multiple microbial activity treatment processes in the circulation tanks. Furthermore, (Dignac et al., 2000), resulting in extremely high CDOM levels, including refractory DOM. Therefore, the inflow of treated water would help maintain high CDOM concentrations in the river. CDOM concentrations ~~can not only be used as an indicator of being higher in river water than in groundwater~~ was consistent with the results of our additional surveys conducted during the rainy and dry seasons, which have different river flow rates (i.e., 5.5 ppb and 5.9 ppb in shallow groundwater, and 9.4 ppb and 57.2 ppb in river water, during dry and wet seasons, respectively, R. Ueba unpublished data). Therefore, the relatively low CDOM concentrations ~~observed in spring water at backwaters are thought to reflect dilution by shallow groundwater inflow in the backwater, but also have the potential to allow a numerical estimation of the mixing ratio between river water and shallow groundwater with lower CDOM concentrations.~~ The CDOM concentrations in both Backwater B and C were lower than those in the river, but in addition, Backwater B, which was inferred from the Piper diagram to be more strongly influenced by shallow groundwater, showed CDOM concentrations closer to those of shallow groundwater than Backwater C.

The d-value (Dansgaard, 1964), which is known to preserve the evaporation process during raincloud formation over the sea, generally shows values < 10 ‰ in summer and > 20 ‰ in winter in Japan, located in the monsoon climate zone (Waseda and Nakai, 1983). In this survey, d-values of rainwater showed a similar trend (i.e., 7.3‰ to 13‰ in rainy season, 13‰ to 30‰ in dry season) (Table S2, Supplement). On the other hand, the d-values for rivers, shallow groundwater, and backwaters ranged from 7.5‰ to 14.5‰ regardless of season, showing values close to those during summer precipitation. Consequently, this indicates that summer rainfall is the primary recharge source for shallow groundwater. Furthermore, in rivers, dams in the upper reaches store rainfall during the rainy season in summer to ensure domestic water supplies. This water is then discharged

into the Tama River either directly or indirectly via domestic water systems throughout the year, likely contributing to the pronounced influence of summer rainfall. In fact, in the survey period, 43% of the annual precipitation fell during the summer months (July, August, and September). Hydrogen and oxygen stable isotopes ($\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) in water showed altitude effects even in Tama river middle reaches, due to the higher elevation of its whole catchment area (i.e., 1021 m on average) (Inamura and Yasuhara, 2003). On the other hand, shallow groundwater in the plateau generally has relatively heavier $\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values than the adjacent rivers. This is because shallow groundwater is recharged by rain that falls in relatively lowland areas (i.e., about 8–50 m) compared to the Tama river whole catchment area, as also suggested by Shimada et al. (1994, 1994b).

—In this study, $\delta^2\text{H}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values at the backwater spring outlet showed a trend toward heavier values after July to September (Figure 4). This change is consistent with the fact that most of the annual precipitation falls in summer and shallow groundwater is mainly replenished by summer rainfall, as discussed in terms of the d-value above. In our study sites, summer precipitation increased the supply of shallow groundwater, indicating that shallow groundwater became the dominant source of spring water in the backwaters.

4.3. Nutrients Dynamics in the backwaters

In floodplain water bodies, nutrients are generally supplied by overflow water and underflow water from the main river (e.g., overflow during floods: Heiler et al., 1995; Knowlton and Jones, 1997; underflow: Tockner et al. 1999). Furthermore, it has been clarified that the effect of nutrient dilution occurs due to the inflow of groundwater, where nutrients are removed by denitrification and adsorption on minerals (Bornette and Amoros, 1991; Carbiener et al., 1990). However, recent studies have often reported that groundwater can act as a source of nitrate and phosphate, influenced by surrounding land use and contamination (e.g., NO_3^- : Arauzo et al., 2011; PO_4^{3-} : Holman et al., 2010; Lewandowski et al., 2015), as well as by geology (PO_4^{3-} : Huang et al., 2020). Therefore, when considering the nutrient dynamics of backwater/backwaters, it is necessary to take into account the effects of nutrients transported by shallow groundwater.

—In this study, [Nitrate concentration ($[\text{NO}_3^-]$)] in groundwater ranged from 130 to 350 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, as high as in river water, but $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in NO_3^- ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3^-}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^-$) in groundwater (i.e., 1.7–6.9 ‰) was lower than that in river water (i.e., 15–20‰, Kumazawa, 1999, 12.7–18.1 ‰) affected by treated sewage. Therefore, $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ in groundwater may be more influenced by chemical fertilizers applied to fields rather than leaking sewage pipes. As ^{222}Rn and CDOM were used as indicators to clearly distinguish the contribution of shallow groundwater and river water to backwater (see above), $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3^-}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^-$ could also be used to analyze the source of nitrate/ NO_3^- observed in backwater. On the other hand, in the spring water of Backwater A and C, NO_3^- concentrations and for $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ in Backwater C in particular, dissolved oxygen (DO) and NO_3^- decreased as DO decreased during the significantly from spring to summer months.

Especially in Backwater C, (Figure. 7), while $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3^-}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3^-}$, $^{18}\text{O}-\text{NO}_3^-$ increased in at a ratio of approximately 2:1 (Figure. 8), which is a typical phenomenon. These findings are consistent with phenomena observed in the NO_3^- isotopes when during denitrification and suggest that significant denitrification likely occurred occurs under anaerobic conditions

(Kendall et al., 2008). The formation of anaerobic conditions and the activation of denitrification in the floodplain subsurface aquifers have been reported in many numerous studies (Bernard-Jannin et al., 2017; Obana et al., 2011). Denitrification in floodplains is influenced not only caused by a decrease in dissolved oxygen (DO) but is also influenced by other factors such as water quality parameters (such as organic matter content, water temperature, pH, etc.), groundwater level, soil particle size, and vegetation along the underflow path, among other factors groundwater flow paths (e.g., soil pH: Kaden et al., 2021; landscape; topography and soil particle size: Pinay et al., 2000; soil moisture: Pinay et al., 2007). The survey; groundwater level: MaCarty et al., 2006). Previous studies of floodplains suggested that groundwater flow and water-level conditions contributed to locally elevated denitrification activity (McCklain et al., 2003; Vidon and Hill, 2004; Kollogg et al., 2005). $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ in backwater springs varies by location within a relatively small study area is relatively narrow (i.e., within 5 km distance), and considering that there is little variation (a 5-kilometer radius), despite the relatively stable $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ in the water quality of rivers and groundwater, suggesting that the sedimentary environments surrounding these backwaters differ due to factors such as river water and shallow groundwater, the meandering. Therefore, to evaluate denitrification capacity of each backwaters may be influenced by localized transport and deposition processes at each site and identify its determining factors, it is necessary to understand the floodplain subsurface in the meandering sections of the river and the resulting characteristic floodplain components such as grain size, vegetation, and organic matter content three dimensions from the perspectives of hydrology and sediment composition.

–Due to the urbanization around the Tama River, we predicted that shallow groundwater would be contaminated with PO_4^{3-} in the same way as NO_3^- , but in fact, PO_4^{3-} concentration $\{[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]\}$ in shallow groundwater in the middle reaches was only about $1\text{--}2\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ higher than $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ in upstream water in Tokyo (Wakamatsu et al., 2006). The reason for the discrepancy between $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ and $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ in shallow groundwater may be attributed to volcanic ash-derived sediments (i.e., Kanto Loam layer) with high PO_4^{3-} adsorption capacity (Abdelwaheb et al., 2019; Uchida et al., 2022). As a result, the $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ in backwater reflects the influence of supply from river water (i.e., c.a. $6.8\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and dilution by shallow groundwater (i.e., c.a. $0.9\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) (Figure 7b). This is consistent with the relative order of $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ in each backwaters (i.e., Backwater A: $5.9\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ > Backwater C: $2.9\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ > Backwater B: $1.8\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ on average) corresponded corresponding to the relative CDOM concentrations (i.e., Backwater A: 34 ppb > Backwater C: 24 ppb > Backwater B: 7.8 ppb), which serve as an indicator of river water mixing intensity. Additionally, even in the spring water at Backwater C, where anaerobic conditions had formed, there were no significant increases in $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$, although the release of PO_4^{3-} and Fe^{2+} from iron-bound phosphorus has often been reported in anaerobic environments (Carlyle and Hill, 2001). These results suggest that the PO_4^{3-} load in the floodplain groundwater is highly limited, and the backwater is likely always to exhibit consistently lower $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ than rivers.

–The concentration of DSi [DSi] in backwaters (i.e., $175\text{--}277\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) was higher than that in rivers in almost all seasons (i.e., $157\text{--}218\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$), with an average of $54\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ higher (Figure 7c). This suggests the possibility of inflow of groundwater with higher [DSi] (i.e., $231\text{--}730\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) affected by geological factors (Asano et al., 2003), and the supply of shallow groundwater to the backwaters may form an ecosystem with higher [DSi] that is different from those of rivers throughout the year.

4.4 The Environment of the backwater from the Perspective of Spring Water

From a stoichiometric perspective in relation to aquatic phytoplankton dynamics, the backwater studied here may exhibit significant differences in phytoplankton dynamics due to seasonal and backwater-specific variations in nutrient concentrations and compositions (Filstrup et al., 2016). Therefore, the nutrient concentrations and composition of the water samples obtained in this study were plotted (Figure 9a, b) and discussed with reference to the Redfield ratio ($C:Si:N:P = 106:15:16:1$), which has commonly been used in ocean studies (Brzezinski, 1985; Redfield, 1934).




Figure 9 (a) DIN and PO_4^{3-} concentrations and (b) DIN and DSi concentrations of spring water in each backwaters, river water, and shallow groundwater plotted with dotted lines indicating typical composition

ratios. The numbers shown on each symbol indicate the month of water sampling and its color indicate

~~corresponding~~corresponding sampling site (the numbers for Spring B₂ are underlined to distinguish them from Spring B₁).

465

–The N:P ratio of nutrients was greater than 16:1 in rivers and spring water at Backwater A and B, especially in Backwater B, ranging from 60 to as high as 150. On the other hand, in Backwater C, the N:P ratio decreased significantly from approximately 56:1 to 6:1 seasonally due to the reduction of NO₃[–] through denitrification. In backwaters such as Backwater B and C, where the contribution from shallow groundwater recharge is significant, [PO₄^{3–}] ~~remains~~remained consistently low, while [NO₃[–]] ~~fluctuates~~fluctuated probably due to seasonal changes in microbial activity, fertilization, and river influence. Consequently, the N:P ratio of nutrients in spring water ~~at backwater~~in backwaters may vary significantly depending on the season. On the other hand, in Backwater A, where CDOM concentrations were high, suggesting much greater contribution from river water, the N:P ratio of nutrients tended to be relatively stable. This is likely because urban rivers are influenced by treated wastewater-derived nutrients with a relatively stable N:P ratio throughout the year. Since treated wastewater is mixed with the river base flow containing low concentrations of nutrients, the nutrient concentrations in the river fluctuate seasonally depending on the mixing ratio, keeping the N:P ratio almost stable. ~~Overall~~In our study area, overall, backwaters ~~are~~were recharged by shallow groundwater, river water, and occasionally drainage water, with specific nutrient characteristics. This leads to fluctuations in N and P concentrations and/or the variation in the N:P ratio, which may result in the formation of diverse phytoplankton communities (Kovalenko et al., 2017; Roozen et al., 2008; Unrein, 2002).

475

–The DSi concentration [DSi] in the Tama River was around 340 μmol L^{–1} prior to 1950, slightly higher than the average (316 μmol L^{–1}) for other major rivers in Japan (Kobayashi, 1961). Additionally, the average [DSi] in the Tama River decreased to about 300 μmol L^{–1} around 2005 (Inoue and Akagi, 2006), and has further decreased to about 190 μmol L^{–1} in 2022 (this study). Structures such as dams and weirs that disrupt the continuity of rivers cause DSi to be taken up by phytoplankton and precipitate as particulate silicon, thereby inhibiting the transport of DSi ~~downstream from upstream~~ (Humborg et al., 1997; Maavara et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2018). The construction of multiple weirs on the Tama River may also be hindering DSi transport and causing low concentrations in the main river channel. In addition, [DSi] in rivers and spring areas also decrease significantly due to the direct supply of rainwater with lower [DSi] (i.e., below the detection limit). The [DSi] in shallow groundwater is at least 30 μmol L^{–1} higher than that of rivers, and the DIN:DSi of spring water influenced by groundwater was clearly different from that of rivers, being up to 16:30 or higher (Figure 9b). Many studies have reported that DSi is one of the factors limiting diatom proliferation in freshwater ecosystems (Egge and Aksnes, 1992; Egge and Jacobsen, 1997; Justić et al., 1995; Turner et al., 1998). In backwater, the inflow of shallow groundwater may create an environment favorable to diatoms compared to rivers, potentially leading to changes in the composition of phytoplankton communities.

485

490

5. Conclusion

We hypothesized that water quality in backwaters within the mid-reaches of urban rivers varies due to complex contributions from river water and groundwater, influenced by surrounding land use, geology, and topography. Therefore, in this study, we used multiple geochemical tracers (i.e., ion balance, CDOM, ^{222}Rn , $\delta^2\text{H}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{--H}_2\text{O}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{--NO}_3$) to estimate the origin and pathways of water and the associated dynamics of growth-limiting nutrients such as N, P, and Si. The results from each tracer suggested that backwaters consist of mixtures of urban river water and groundwater, with mixing ratios varying spatiotemporally, even within relatively short river sections. PO_4^{3-} and DSi concentrations in backwaters were influenced by inputs from urban rivers and shallow groundwater, which exhibited contrasting nutrient signatures (i.e., high $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ and low $[\text{DSi}]$ in urban rivers, and low $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ and high $[\text{DSi}]$ in groundwater). Although DIN concentrations were similar in urban rivers and shallow groundwater, analyses of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ & $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{--NO}_3$, together with seasonal variations in $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ and dissolved oxygen (DO), demonstrated the sources of NO_3^- in backwaters and its removal via denitrification in floodplain groundwater. As a result, the use of multiple geochemical tracers revealed that nutrient dynamics in backwaters are controlled by the contribution of urban river water and shallow groundwater in varying proportions, and additionally by microbial activity in the floodplain, thereby contributing to water-quality diversification within the river ecosystem.

Data availability

The datasets used in generated and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Zenodo repository: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20115076>.

Author contributions

R.U. designed the study with guidance from Y.U. R.U. conducted the fieldwork, performed the data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. Y.U. supervised the research and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to Dr. M. Yoh. (TUAT), Dr. S. Nakada. (NIES), and the staff of the Keihin River Office of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism for their advice and equipment loans in conducting this study.

Isotope ratio analysis was conducted with technical support from Dr. I. Tayasu., Dr. C. Yoshimizu., and Dr. S. Yabusaki., and was supported by the Joint Research Grant for the Environmental Isotope Study and “Towards Sustainable Nitrogen Use Connecting Human Society and Nature” Project (No. RIHN14200156) of the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature (RIHN:a constituent member of NIHU). This research was supported by the Sasakawa Science Research Grant (2022-3043), the River Fund of The River Foundation, Japan (2024-5311-027), JST SPRING (JPMJSP2116), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22J05202, JP23K25421.

Reference

- Abdelwaheb, M., Jebali, K., Dhaouadi, H., and Dridi-Dhaouadi, S.: Adsorption of nitrate, phosphate, nickel and lead on soils: Risk of groundwater contamination, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 179, 182–187, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.04.040>, 2019.
- ~~Amoros, C. and Bornette, G.: Connectivity and biocomplexity in waterbodies of riverine floodplains, *Freshw Biol*, 47, 761–776, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2002.00905.x>, 2002.~~
- Amoros, C. and Roux, A. L.: Interactions between water bodies within floodplains of large rivers: function and development of connectivity, in: *Connectivity in Landscape Ecology. Proceedings of the 2nd International Seminar of the “International Association for Landscape Ecology” (IALE) in Münster*, edited by: Schreiber, K.-F., *Münstersche Geographische Arbeiten*, 125–130, 1988.
- Appling, A. P., Bernhardt, E. S., and Stanford, J. A.: Floodplain biogeochemical mosaics: A multidimensional view of alluvial soils, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, 119, 1538–1553, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002543>, 2014.
- Arauzo, M., Valladolid, M., and Martínez-Bastida, J. J.: Spatio-temporal dynamics of nitrogen in river-alluvial aquifer systems affected by diffuse pollution from agricultural sources: Implications for the implementation of the Nitrates Directive, *J. Hydrol. (Amst.)*, 411, 155–168, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.10.004>, 2011.
- Asano, Y., Uchida, T., and Ohte, N.: Hydrologic and geochemical influences on the dissolved silica concentration in natural water in a steep headwater catchment, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 67, 1973–1989, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(02\)01342-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(02)01342-X), 2003.
- Bernard-Jannin, L., Sun, X., Teissier, S., Sauvage, S., and Sánchez-Pérez, J. M.: Spatio-temporal analysis of factors controlling nitrate dynamics and potential denitrification hot spots and hot moments in groundwater of an alluvial floodplain, *Ecol. Eng.*, 103, 372–384, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.12.031>, 2017.
- Biehler, A., Chaillou, G., Buffin-Bélanger, T., and Baudron, P.: Hydrological connectivity in the aquifer–river continuum: ~~Impact~~ of river stages on the geochemistry of groundwater floodplains, *J. Hydrol. (Amst.)*, 590, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125379>, 2020.

- Bondar-Kunze, E., Preiner, S., Schiemer, F., Weigelhofer, G., and Hein, T.: Effect of enhanced water exchange on ecosystem functions in backwaters of an urban floodplain, *Aquat. Sci.*, 71, 437–447, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-009-0101-7>, 2009.
- Bornette, G. and Amoros, C.: Aquatic vegetation and hydrology of a braided river floodplain, *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 2, 497–512, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3236032>, 1991.
- Boulton, A. J., ~~Findlay, S., Marmonier, P., Stanley, E. H., and Valett, H. M.: The Functional Significance of The Hyporheic Zone in Streams and Rivers, *Annu Rev Ecol Syst*, 29, 59–81, 1998.~~
- ~~Boulton, A. J.,~~ Datry, T., Kasahara, T., Mutz, M., and Stanford, J. A.: Ecology and management of the hyporheic zone: Stream-groundwater interactions of running waters and their floodplains, *J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.*, 29, 26–40, <https://doi.org/10.1899/08-017.1>, 2010.
- ~~Van Den Brink, F. W. Brunke, M. and Gonser, T.: The ecological significance of exchange processes between rivers and groundwater, *Freshw Biol*, 37, 1–33, <https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-2427.1997.00143.X>;WGROUP:STRING:PUBLICATION, 1997.~~
- B. and Van Der Velde, G.: Macrozoobenthos of floodplain waters of the rivers Rhine and Meuse in the Netherlands: a structural and functional analysis in relation to hydrology, *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management*, 6, 265–277, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450060405>, 1991.
- Van Den Brink, F. W. B., De Leeuw, J. P. H. M., Van Der Velde, G., and Verheggen, G. M.: Impact of hydrology on the chemistry and phytoplankton development in floodplain lakes along the Lower Rhine and Meuse, *Biogeochemistry*, 19, 103–128, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000798>, 1993.
- Van Den Brink, F. W. B., Van Katwijk, M. M., and Van Der Velde, G.: Impact of hydrology on phyto- and zooplankton community composition in floodplain lakes along the Lower Rhine and Meuse, *J. Plankton Res.*, 16, 351–373, <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/16.4.351>, 1994.
- Brunke, M.: Floodplains of a regulated southern alpine river (Brenno, Switzerland): Ecological assessment and conservation options, *Aquat. Conserv.*, 12, 583–599, <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.544>, 2002.
- Brunke, M., Hoehn, E., and Gonser, T.: Patchiness of river–groundwater interactions within two floodplain landscapes and diversity of aquatic invertebrate communities, *Ecosystems*, 6, 707–722, <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00021501>, 2003.
- Brzezinski, M. A.: The Si: C: N ratio of marine diatoms: interspecific variability and the effect of some environmental variables, *J. Phycol.*, 21, 347–357, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1985.00347.x>, 1985.
- Carbiener, R., Trémolières, M., Mercier, J. L., and Ortscheit, A.: Aquatic macrophyte communities as bioindicators of eutrophication in calcareous oligosaprobe stream waters (Upper Rhine plain, Alsace), *Vegetatio*, 86, 71–88, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00045135>/METRICS, 1990.
- Carlyle, G. C. and Hill, A. R.: Groundwater phosphate dynamics in a river riparian zone: effects of hydrologic flowpaths, lithology and redox chemistry, *J. Hydrol. (Amst.)*, 247, 151–168, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(01\)00375-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00375-4), 2001.

- Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, *Anal. Chem.*, 74, 4905–4912, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac020113w>, 2002.
- ~~Coleman, R. L. and Dahm, C. N.: Stream Geomorphology: Effects on Periphyton Standing Crop and Primary Production, J. North Am. Benthol. Soc., 9, 293–302, 1990.~~
- Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 16, 436, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v16i4.8993>, 1964.
- Denda, M., Yamashita, S., Ozawa, T., and Shimatani, Y.: Backwaters and fish community – on environmental factors affecting fish community in backwaters, *Jpn. j. eeoJapanese Journal of Ecology*, 52, 287–294, ~~2002~~, (in Japanese with English abstract)
- ~~Denda, M., Amano, K., and Tuzimoto, <https://doi.org/10.18960/scitai.52.2> 287, 2002.~~
- ~~A study on contribution of temporary water area to the diversity of fish community and physical environment which influences the contribution, Jpn. J. JSCE-G, 62, 340–358, 2006. (in Japanese with English abstract)~~
- Dignac, M. F., Ginestet, P., Rybacki, D., Bruchet, A., Urbain, V., and Scribe, P.: Fate of wastewater organic pollution during activated sludge treatment: nature of residual organic matter, *Water Res.*, 34, 4185–4194, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00195-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00195-0), 2000.
- Dimova, N. T. and Burnett, W. C.: Evaluation of groundwater discharge into small lakes based on the temporal distribution of radon-222, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56, 486–494, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.2.0486>, 2011.
- ~~Dole-Olivier, M. J.: Surface water groundwater exchanges in three dimensions on a backwater of the Rhone river, Freshw. Biol., 40, 93–109, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1998.00335.x>, 1998.~~
- Egge, J. K. and Aksnes, D. L.: Silicate as regulating nutrient in phytoplankton competition, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 83, 281–289, <https://doi.org/10.3354/MEPS083281>, 1992.
- Egge, J. K. and Jacobsen, A.: Influence of silicate on particulate carbon production in phytoplankton, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 147, 219–230, <https://doi.org/10.3354/MEPS147219>, 1997.
- Ellins², K. K., Roman-Mas, A., and Lee, R.: Using 222Rn to examine groundwater/surface discharge interaction in the Rio Grande de Manati, Puerto Rico, *J. Hydrol. (Amst.)*, 115, 319–341, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(90\)90212-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(90)90212-G), 1990.
- Filstrup, C. T., Heathcote, A. J., Kendall, D. L., and Downing, J. A.: Phytoplankton taxonomic compositional shifts across nutrient and light gradients in temperate lakes, *Inland Waters*, 6, 234–249, <https://doi.org/10.5268/IW-6.2.939>, 2016.
- ~~Findlay, S.: Importance of surface subsurface exchange in stream ecosystems: The hyporheic zone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 40, 159–164, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.1.0159>, 1995.~~
- Forshay, K. J. and Stanley, E. H.: Rapid nitrate loss and denitrification in a temperate river floodplain, *Biogeochemistry*, 75, 43–64, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-6016-4>, 2005.

- Galat, D. L., Kubisiak, J. F., Hooker, J. B., and Sowa, L. M.: Geomorphology, distribution and connectivity of lower Missouri River floodplain waterbodies scoured by the flood of 1993, *Internationale Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie: Verhandlungen*, 26, 869–878, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03680770.1995.11900842>, 1997.
- Gallardo, A.: Spatial Variability of Soil Properties in a Floodplain Forest in Northwest Spain, *Ecosystems*, 6, 564–576, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0198-9>, 2003.
- ~~Gmitrowicz-Iwan, J., Ligeza, S., Pranagal, J., Smal, H., and Olenderek, H.: Small floodplain reservoirs in the face of climate change—sink or source of nutrients?, *Water (Basel)*, 12, 1–15, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123423>, 2020.~~
- Gooddy, D. C., Macdonald, D. M. J., Lapworth, D. J., Bennett, S. A., and Griffiths, K. J.: Nitrogen sources, transport and processing in peri-urban floodplains, *Science of ~~the~~The Total Environment*, 494–495, 28–38, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.123>, 2014.
- ~~Grimm, N. B. and Fisher, S. G.: Exchange between interstitial and surface water: Implications for stream metabolism and nutrient cycling, *Hydrobiologia*, 111, 219–228, 1984.~~
- Hancock, P. J.: Human impacts on the stream-groundwater exchange zone, *Environ. Manage.*, 29, 763–781, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-001-0064-5>, 2002.
- ~~Haycock, N. E. and Burt, T. P.: Role of floodplain sediments in reducing the nitrate concentration of subsurface run-off: A case study in the Cotswolds, UK, *Hydrol Process*, 7, 287–295, <https://doi.org/10.1002/HYP.3360070306>, 1993.~~
- Heiler, G., Hein, T., Schiemer, F., and Bornette, G.: Hydrological connectivity and flood pulses as the central aspects for the integrity of a river-floodplain system, *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management*, 11, 351–361, 1995.
- ~~Hendricks, S. P. and White, D. S.: Hummocking by lotic Chara: observations on alterations of hyporheic temperature patterns, *Aquat Bot*, 31, 13–22, 1988.~~
- Holman, I. P., Howden, N. J. K., Bellamy, P., Willby, N., Whelan, M. J., and Rivas-Casado, M.: An assessment of the risk to surface water ecosystems of groundwater P in the UK and Ireland, *Science of ~~the~~The Total Environment*, 408, 1847–1857, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.026>, 2010.
- ~~Hosono, Y.: A geographical study on the groundwater table database in Tokyo area, *Memoirs of Nara University*, 31, 147–166, 2003. (in Japanese)~~
- Houser, J. N., Bartsch, L. A., Richardson, W. B., Rogala, J. T., and Sullivan, J. F.: Ecosystem metabolism and nutrient dynamics in the main channel and backwaters of the Upper Mississippi River, *Freshw. Biol.*, 60, 1863–1879, <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12617>, 2015.
- Huang, G., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., and Chen, Z.: Groundwater is important for the geochemical cycling of phosphorus in rapidly urbanized areas: a case study in the Pearl River Delta, *Environmental Pollution*, 260, 114079, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2020.114079>, 2020.
- Humborg, C., Ittekkot, V., Cociasu, A., and Bodungen, B. V.: Effect of Danube River dam on Black Sea biogeochemistry and ecosystem structure, *Nature*, 386, 385–388, <https://doi.org/10.1038/386385A0>, 1997.

- Inamura, A. and Yasuhara, M.: Hydrogen and ~~Oxygen-Isotopic Ratios~~oxygen isotopic ratios of River Waterriver water in the Kanto ~~Plain~~plain and ~~Surrounding Mountainous Regions~~surrounding mountainous regions, Japan, ~~Jour. Jap. Assoe~~Journal of Japanese Association of Hydrological SciencesSei, 33, 115–124, <https://doi.org/10.4145/jahs.33.115>, 2003. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 650 Inoue, N. and Akagi, T.: The influence of the dam and sewage treatment plants on the silicon budget of the Tamagawa River, Chikyukagaku (Geochemistry), 40, 137–145, 2006. ~~(in Japanese with English abstract)~~
- Johnson, M. F., Wilby, R. L., and Toone, J. A.: Inferring air-water temperature relationships from river and catchment properties, Hydrol. Process., 28, 2912–2928, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9842>, 2014.
- Junk, W. J., Peter B. Bayley, and Richard E. Sparks: The ~~Flood Pulse Concept~~flood pulse concept in River-Floodplain-
655 ~~Systems~~river-floodplain systems, Canadian special publication of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 106, 110–127, 1989.
- Justić, D., Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., and Dortch, Q.: Changes in nutrient structure of river-dominated coastal waters: stoichiometric nutrient balance and its consequences, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 40, 339–356, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(05\)80014-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80014-9), 1995.
- ~~Kaden, U. S., Fuchs, E., Geyer, S., Hein, T., Horehler, P., Rupp, Kayaba, Y., Denda~~Scholz, M., ~~Schulz-Zunkel, C., and~~
660 ~~Weigelhofer, G.: Soil Characteristics~~Shimatani, Y.: The basic study the factors that cause the creation of Wando and ~~Hydromorphological Patterns Control Denitrification~~their characteristics at the Floodplain Scale, Front Earth Sci-
(Lausanne), 9Chikuma river, Environmental systems research, 25, 611–616, <https://doi.org/10.2208/proer1988.25.611>, 1997. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- ~~Kellogg, D. Q., Gold, A. J., Groffman, P. M., Addy, K., Stolt, M. H., and Blazejewski, G.: In situ ground water denitrification~~
665 ~~in stratified, permeable soils underlying riparian wetlands, J. Environ. 3389/feart.2021.708707, 2021~~Qual., 34, 524–533, <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2005.0524>, 2005.
- ~~Kendall, C., Silva, S. R., and Kelly, V. J.: Carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions of particulate organic matter in four large river systems across the United States, Hydrol. Process., 15, 1301–1346, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.216, 2001.~~
- Kendall, C., Elliott, E. M., and Wankel, S. D.: Tracing ~~Anthropogenic Inputs~~anthropogenic inputs of ~~Nitrogen~~nitrogen to
670 ~~Ecosystems, ecosystems, in: Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science: Second Edition, edited by: Michener, R. H. and Lajtha, K., Blackwell Publishing, 375–449, https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691854, 2008, ch12, 2007.~~
- Keruzoré, A. A., Willby, N. J., and Gilvear, D. J.: The role of lateral connectivity in the maintenance of macrophyte diversity and production in large rivers, Aquat. Conserv., 23, 301–315, <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2288>, 2013.
- Kimizuka, Y.: A ~~Study~~study on ~~Transition~~transition and ~~Fishfauna~~fishfauna of ~~Artificial Wand Pool~~artificial wand-pool in the
675 ~~Middle Reaches~~middle reaches of Tama-gawa River, Environmental Engineering ResearchEng-Res, 35, 285–293, <https://doi.org/10.11532/proes1992.35.285>, 1998. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Knowlton, M. E. and Jones, J. R.: Trophic status of Missouri River floodplain lakes in relation to basin type and connectivity, Wetlands, 17, 468–475, 1997.

- Kobayashi, J.: A chemical study on the average quality and characteristics of river waters of Japan, ~~J. Agric. Sci.~~Journal of Agricultural Science, 48, 63–101, 1961. ~~(in Japanese with Japanese abstract)~~
- Kovalenko, K. E., Reavie, E. D., Allan, J. D., Cai, M., Smith, S. D. P., and Johnson, L. B.: Pelagic phytoplankton community change-points across nutrient gradients and in response to invasive mussels, Freshw. Biol., 62, 366–381, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12873>, 2017.
- ~~Kumazawa, K.: Current status of nitrate nitrogen contamination in groundwater, Japanese Society of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 70, 207–213, 1999. (in Japanese with Japanese abstract)~~
- Lewandowski, J. and Nützmann, G.: Nutrient retention and release in a floodplain’s aquifer and in the hyporheic zone of a lowland river, Ecol. Eng., 36, 1156–1166, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.01.005>, 2010.
- Lewandowski, J., Meinikmann, K., Nützmann, G., and Rosenberry, D. O.: Groundwater - the disregarded component in lake water and nutrient budgets. Part 2: Effects of groundwater on nutrients, Hydrol. Process., 29, 2922–2955, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10384>, 2015.
- ~~Lewis, M., Hamilton, S. K., Rodríguez, M. A., Saunders, J. F., and Lasi, M. A.: Foodweb analysis of the Orinoco floodplain based on production estimates and stable isotope data, J North Am Benthol Soc, 20, 241–254, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/1468319>, 2001.~~
- Maavara, T., Chen, Q., Van Meter, K., Brown, L. E., Zhang, J., Ni, J., and Zarfl, C.: River dam impacts on biogeochemical cycling, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 1, 103–116, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0019-0>, 2020.
- McCarty, G. W., Mookherji, S., and Angier, J. T.: Characterization of denitrification activity in zones of groundwater exfiltration within a riparian wetland ecosystem, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 43, 691–698, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0151-0>, 2006.
- McClain, M. E., Boyer, E. W., Dent, C. L., Gergel, S. E., Grimm, N. B., Groffman, P. M., Hart, S. C., Harvey, J. W., Johnston, C. A., Mayorga, E., McDowell, W. H., and Pinay, G.: Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, Ecosystems, 6, 301–312, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0161-9>, 2003.
- Minagawa, T., Okamura, M., Onikura, N., Hayashi, H., and Shimatani, Y.: Evaluation for floodplain and dependent species conservation on artificial off-channel habitat inflow groundwater at the Kikuchi river, Advances in River Engineering, 21, 19, https://doi.org/10.11532/river.21.0_19, 2015. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Nagayama, S., Harada, M., and Kayaba, Y.: Is it possible to restore floodplains by excavating high water areas? A case study of natural levees, ~~ECOL CIV ENG~~Ecology and Civil Engineering, 17, 67, <https://doi.org/10.3825/ece.17.67>, 2015. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Nakajima, J., Eguchi, K., Inui, R., Nishida, T., Nakatani, M., Onikura, N., and Oikawa, S.: Inhabitation of an artificial backwater zone (wando-pool) in the estuarine basin of the Kitagawa River, southern Kyushu, by fish, crabs, and insects, ~~ECOL CIV ENG~~, Ecology and Civil Engineering, 11, 183–193, <https://doi.org/10.3825/ece.11.183>, 2008. (in Japanese with English abstract)

- Nakamura, S. and Oki, T.: Paradigm shifts on flood risk management in Japan: detecting triggers of design flood revisions in the modern era, *Water Resour. Res.*, 54, 5504–5515, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017WR022509>, 2018.
- 715 Nakano-Ohta, T., Saito, T., and Sato, J.: Seasonal Variation in ^{222}Rn Concentration of Groundwater Observed at Kawasaki, *Radioisotopes*, 54, 593–597, 2005. ~~(in Japanese with English abstract)~~
- Obana, M., Toda, Y., and Tsujimoto, T.: Transition of ~~Denitrification Potential Driven By Sub-Surface Flow~~denitrification potential driven by sub-surface flow in ~~Alternate-Bar Reach~~alternate bar reach of the Yahagi River, *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Ser. B1 (Hydraulic Engineering)*, 68, 625–630, https://doi.org/10.2208/jscejhe.68.I_625, 2011. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 720 Oguchi, T., Saito, K., Kadomura, H., and Grossman, M.: Fluvial geomorphology and paleohydrology in Japan, *Geomorphology*, 39, 3–19, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(01\)00048-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00048-4), 2001.
- Ohira, N., Ueda, T., Watanabe, K., Mizuno, K., Ino, R., and Aso, J.: Visit to valuable water springs (60): Valuable water–springs in the Musashino Plateau, Tokyo, *Journal of Groundwater Hydrology*, 45, 81–93, <https://doi.org/10.5917/jagh1987.45.81>, 2003. (in Japanese)
- 725 Pinay, G., Black, V. J., Planty-Tabacchi, A. M., Gumiero, B., and Décamps, H.: Geomorphic control of denitrification in large river floodplain soils, *Biogeochemistry*, 50, 163–182, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006317004639>, 2000.
- Pinay, G., Gumiero, B., Tabacchi, E., Gimenez, O., Tabacchi-Planty, A. M., Hefting, M. M., Burt, T. P., Black, V. A., Nilsson, C., Iordache, V., Bureau, F., Vought, L., Petts, G. E., and Décamps, H.: Patterns of denitrification rates in European alluvial soils under various hydrological regimes, *Freshw. Biol.*, 52, 252–266, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01680.x>, 2007.
- 730 ~~https://doi.org/~~<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01680.x>, 2007.
- Pongsivapai, P., Negishi, J. N., Izumi, H., Garrido, P. A., and Kuramochi, K.: Morphometry-driven divergence in decadal changes of sediment property in floodplain water bodies, *Water (Basel)*, 13, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13040469>, 2021.
- Redfield, A. C.: On the Proportions of Organic Derivatives in Sea Water and Their Relation to the Composition of Plankton., University press of Liverpool, Liverpool, 176–192 pp., 1934.
- 735 Roozen, F. C. J. M., Peeters, E. T. H. M., Roijackers, R., Wyngaert, I. V.D., Wolters, H., De Coninck, H., Ibelings, B. W., Buijse, A. D., and Scheffer, M.: Fast response of lake plankton and nutrients to river inundations on floodplain lakes, *River Res. Appl.*, 24, 388–406, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1071>, 2008.
- Saito, M. and Takata, S.: A ~~Source~~source of ~~Ground-Water~~ground water ^{222}Rn around Tachikawa Fault, *Radioisotopes*, 43, 507–514, https://doi.org/10.3769/radioisotopes.43.9_507, 1994. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 740 Schaper, J. L., Zarfl, C., Meinikmann, K., Banks, E. W., Baron, S., Cirpka, O. A., and Lewandowski, J.: Spatial Variability of Radon Production Rates in an Alluvial Aquifer Affects Travel Time Estimates of Groundwater Originating From a Losing Stream, *Water Resour. Res.*, 58, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030635>, 2022.
- Shimada, J., Dapaah-Siakwan, S., and Yoshihara, M.: Characteristics of Groundwater Recharge and Flow Induced by Tritium, in: Research on exchange of surface water and groundwater in Tama River system, vol. 160, 124–129, 1994a. (in Japanese)
- 745

- Shimada, J., Kumada, M., Watanabe, K., Oouchi, M., Imoo, M., and ~~Dapaah-Siakwan, S.~~: Flow analysis of groundwater using stable isotope ratio, in: Research on exchange of surface water and groundwater in Tama River system, vol. 160, edited by: Kayane, I., Tokyu Foundation for Better Environment-S, 148–160, 1994b. (in Japanese)
- Shimano: Spring water of the Musashino upland, in: Research on exchange of surface water and groundwater in Tama River system, vol. 160, edited by: Kayane, I., Tokyu Foundation for Better Environment, 33–42, 1994. (in Japanese)
- Shindo, S.: Hydrogeology of the Musashino Terrace, *Journal of Geography (Chigaku Zasshi)*, 77, 223–246, https://doi.org/10.5026/jgeography.77.4_223, 1968. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.: A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater, *Anal. Chem.*, 73, 4145–4153, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010088e>, 2001.
- Sparks, R. E.: ~~Need for Ecosystem Management of Large Rivers and Their Floodplains, Bioscience, 45, 168–182, 1995.~~
- Spink, A., Sparks, R. E., Van Oorschot, M., and Verhoeven, J. T. A.: Nutrient dynamics of large river floodplains, *River Res. Appl.*, 14, 203–216, [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1646\(199803/04\)14:2<203::AID-RRR498>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(199803/04)14:2<203::AID-RRR498>3.0.CO;2-7), 1998.
- Takahashi, Y. and Uitto, J. I.: Evolution of river management in Japan: from focus on economic benefits to a comprehensive view, *Global Environmental Change*, 14, 63–70, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2003.11.005>, 2004.
- Takano, S.: Stratigraphy of the lower Pleistocene Kazusa Group in the Tama Hills, central Japan, *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan*, 100, 675–691, <https://doi.org/10.5575/geosoc.100.675>, 1994. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Texier, J., Gonçalves, J., Stieglitz, T., Vallet-Coulomb, C., Labille, J., Marc, V., Poulain, A., and Dussouillez, P.: Groundwater-surface water exchanges in an alluvial plain in southern France subjected to pumping: a coupled multitracer and modeling approach, *J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud.*, 56, 101995, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2024.101995>, 2024.
- Tockner, K. and Stanford, J. A.: Review of: riverine flood plains: present state and future trends, *Environ. Conserv.*, 29, 308–330, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S037689290200022X>, 2002.
- Tockner, K., Pennetzdorfer, D., Reiner, N., Schiemer, F., and Ward, J. V: Hydrological connectivity, and the exchange of organic matter and nutrients in a dynamic river-floodplain system (Danube, Austria), *Freshw. Biol.*, 41, 521–535, 1999.
- ~~Tockner, K., Malard, F., and Tsushima, K., Denda, M., Tokioka, T., and Amano, K.: Evaluation of ecological functions which temporal water areas around river channel provides to fishes using stable isotope ratio analysis, Annual journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 50, 1243–1248, https://doi.org/10.2208/prohe.50.1243, 2006. (in Japanese with English abstract)~~
- ~~Ward, J. V: An extension of the flood pulse concept, Hydrol Process, 14, 2861–2883, 2000.~~
- Turner, R. E., Qureshi, N., Rabalais, N. N., Dortch, Q., Justić, D., Shaw, R. F., and Cope, J.: Fluctuating silicate:nitrate ratios and coastal plankton food webs, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 95, 13048–13051, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.22.13048>, 1998.

- Uchida, S., Hashimoto, Y., Takamoto, A., Noguchi, K., Klysubun, W., and Wang, S. L.: Phosphate binding to allophane and ferrihydrite with implications for volcanic ash soils, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 86, 1571–1581, <https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20463>, 2022.
- Ueda, T., Mizuno, K., Iino, R., Oohira, N., Nakamura, S., and Aso, J.: The present condition of spring water in Tokyo, *Journal of Groundwater Hydrology*, 42, 235–241, <https://doi.org/10.5917/jagh1987.42.235>, 2000. (in Japanese)
- Unrein, F.: Changes in phytoplankton community along a transversal section of the Lower Paraná floodplain, Argentina, *Hydrobiologia*, 468, 123–134, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015254320940/METRICKS>, 2002.
- Valet, H., Verstraeten, I. M., Fisher, S. G., Grimm, N. C., Böhlke, J. K., Kraemer, T. F., and Camill, P.: Vertical hydrologic exchange in a desert stream ecosystem, *Ecology*, 75, 548–560, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1939557>, 1994.
- Vidon, P. G. F. and Hill, A. R.: Landscape controls on nitrate removal in stream riparian zones, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40, 3201, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003WR002473>, 2004.
- Wakamatsu, T., Konohira, E., Shindo, J., Yoshioka, T., Okamoto, K., Itaya, A., and Kim, M.-S.: Dissolved Inorganic Phosphate Concentration in Stream Water in Japan and Factors Controlling the Concentration, *J. Water Environ. Technol.*, 29, 679–686, 2006. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Ward, J. V., Tockner, K., Arscott, D. B., and Claret, C.: Riverine landscape diversity, *Freshw. Biol.*, 47, 517–539, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2002.00893.x>, 2002.
- Ward, J. V., Tockner, K., and Schiemer, F.: Biodiversity of floodplain river ecosystems: ecotones and connectivity, *River Res. Appl.*, 15, 125–139, [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1646\(199901/06\)15:1/3<125::AID-RRR523>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(199901/06)15:1/3<125::AID-RRR523>3.0.CO;2-E), 1999.
- Waseda, A. and Nakai, N.: Isotopic compositions of meteoric and surface waters in central and northeast Japan, *Chikyukagaku (Geochemistry Geochemical Journal)*, 17, 83–91, <https://doi.org/10.14934/chikyukagaku.17.83>, 1983. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Wei, Q., Yao, Q., Wang, B., Wang, H., and Yu, Z.: Long-term variation of nutrients in the southern Yellow Sea, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 111, 184–196, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CSR.2015.08.003>, 2015.
- Yamanaka, M.: Contributions of C3/C4 organic materials and carbonate rock to dissolved inorganic carbon in a karst groundwater system on Miyakojima Island, southwestern Japan, *J. Hydrol. (Amst.)*, 412–413, 151–169, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.046>, 2012.
- Yang, F., Wei, Q., Chen, H., and Yao, Q.: Long-term variations and influence factors of nutrients in the western North Yellow Sea, China, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 135, 1026–1034, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.08.034>, 2018.
- Zurbrugg, R., Suter, S., Lehmann, M. F., Wehrli, B., and Senn, D. B.: Organic carbon and nitrogen export from a tropical dam-impacted floodplain system, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 23–38, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-23-2013>, 2013.