

A nine-year record of slush on the Greenland Ice Sheet

Emily Glen^{1,2,3}, Alison F. Banwell^{4,5}, Katie E. Miles¹, Amber A. Leeson^{1,2}, Rebecca L. Dell⁶, Malcolm McMillan^{1,2}, Jennifer Maddalena^{1,2}

¹ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

² Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

³ Department of Geography, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA

⁴ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

⁵ Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, School of Geography and Natural Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK

⁶ Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK

Correspondence to: Emily Glen (emily_glen@psu.edu)

Abstract. Surface melt on the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) has intensified in recent decades, accelerating ice sheet mass loss. Slush (i.e. water-saturated firn or snow) is a key component of this system, yet its areal extent and behaviour remain poorly constrained. Here, we present the first GrIS-wide classification of slush using Sentinel-2 imagery and a supervised Random Forest classifier implemented in Google Earth Engine, generating a nine-year (2016-2024) dataset used to perform the first ice-sheet-wide systematic assessment of slush distribution across all GrIS drainage basins. On average, slush covers ~2.9% (50,300-51,055 km²) of the GrIS each summer, with maximum seasonal extents ranging from ~1.2% (20,460-20,770 km²) in 2018 to ~5.2% (90,120-91,470 km²) in 2019. Slush is most extensive in the southwestern and northern basins, most persistent in the northeast and north, and maximum slush elevation co-varies with areal extent across all basins. Over the nine-year record, ~71% of all mapped slush occurs over the bare ice zone and ~29% within the firn zone. Notably, ~30% of total slush co-occurs with ice slab extents, a proportion increasing with persistence, consistent with slabs acting as semi-permeable barriers favouring recurrent slush formation, while a further ~15% occurs within the firn zone outside mapped slab extents, suggesting smaller-scale firn heterogeneity may locally impede vertical meltwater infiltration. Interannual variability in slush extent is most strongly associated with firn air content, followed by snowmelt and air temperature; at intra-annual timescales, monthly slush extent is most strongly associated with concurrent and antecedent snowmelt. Extreme melt years may also precondition the ice sheet for enhanced slush recurrence in subsequent seasons, as suggested by persistently elevated slush extent in the northern basin following 2019, even during years of modest melt. Slush is the dominant surface meltwater feature on the GrIS in terms of spatial coverage: maximum slush area was nine and four times greater than the combined area of supraglacial lakes and channels in 2019 and 2018, respectively. Continued warming and declining firn retention capacity are likely to favour more extensive and persistent slush formation, and explicit representation of slush in surface energy balance and hydrological models is required to better constrain future ice sheet mass-balance projections.

33 1. Introduction

34 The Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) has experienced a negative mass balance for several decades, losing ice at a rate of 255 ± 19
35 Gt yr^{-1} from 2002 to 2022 (Otosaka et al., 2023). Supraglacial melting and runoff are major drivers of this mass loss, accounting
36 for just over half of total ice sheet mass loss between 1992 and 2018 (IMBIE, 2020). Mean summer air temperature on the
37 GrIS has increased by $\sim 1.7^\circ\text{C}$ from 1991 to 2019 (Hanna et al., 2021), contributing to a 21% increase in supraglacial runoff
38 between 2011 and 2020 compared to the previous three decades (Slater et al., 2021; Trusel et al., 2018). Superimposed on this
39 long-term warming trend are increasingly frequent extreme melt events (Bonsoms et al., 2024); short-lived episodes of
40 anomalously intense melting typically driven by persistent high-pressure systems (Tedesco and Fettweis, 2020). In response
41 to both long-term warming and an increase in the frequency of extreme melt events, supraglacial meltwater features,
42 particularly lakes, have expanded in both area and elevation over recent decades (Fan et al., 2025; Leeson et al., 2015; Howat
43 et al., 2013), with the maximum elevation of runoff shifting inland by up to 329 m a.s.l. in elevation between 1985 and 2020
44 (Tedstone and Machguth, 2022). In some regions, melt now extends further inland into the percolation zone, an area that has
45 historically experienced little or no seasonal melting (Tedesco, 2007; Fettweis et al., 2011). Enhanced meltwater production,
46 together with reductions in firn storage capacity, can create conditions favourable for the formation of slush, defined here as
47 fully water-saturated firn or snow (Cogley et al., 2011).

48 Slush, first observed during Greenland expeditions in the early twentieth century and formally described by Holmes (1955),
49 typically forms in the percolation zone when vertical drainage is impeded, either by a low-permeability ice layer or by
50 saturation of the firn pore space, which waterlogs the overlying firn and snow. Holmes (1955) distinguished between surface
51 slush and sub-surface slush. Surface slush is fully saturated at the surface and can be detected in optical satellite imagery
52 (referred to as blue slush), whereas sub-surface slush is overlain by unsaturated snow (referred to as white slush) and therefore
53 cannot be identified in optical imagery (Greuell and Knap, 2000; Machguth et al., 2022). Once slush forms, the reduced vertical
54 permeability causes meltwater to be preferentially routed laterally. This process enhances surface hydrological connectivity,
55 as meltwater flows more readily through slush than through dry snow and firn (Clerx et al., 2022), feeding supraglacial
56 channels and lakes (Holmes, 1955). Slush can act as a precursor to supraglacial channelisation, either through directing excess
57 meltwater into topographic depressions where channel incision is initiated (Chu, 2014; Rippin and Rawlins, 2021), or through
58 removal of the previous winter's snow from an existing channel (Tedesco et al., 2013). These channels can link to moulins or
59 crevasses, providing a pathway through which meltwater can be routed to the ice sheet bed (Tedesco et al., 2013; Banwell et
60 al., 2013, 2016) thereby influencing basal lubrication and ice dynamics (e.g., de Fleurian et al., 2018; Koziol and Arnold 2018).
61 Upon refreezing, slush has the potential to create new and thicken pre-existing near-surface ice slabs (Tedstone et al., 2025).
62 These multi-metre-thick bodies of refrozen ice can extend over tens of kilometres (Machguth et al., 2016; MacFerrin et al.,
63 2019; Jullien et al., 2025) and, due to their low permeability, restrict vertical meltwater transport and storage, enhancing runoff
64 from inland areas (Machguth et al., 2016; Tedstone and Machguth, 2022; Culberg et al., 2024).

65 Despite its hydrological importance, slush remains largely absent from large-scale meltwater mapping efforts across the GrIS.
66 Only a limited number of studies have attempted to quantify the areal extent and/or elevation of slush, either through
67 catchment-scale mapping of its spatial distribution (e.g., Covi et al., 2022; Rawlins et al., 2023; Glen et al., 2025) or, at larger
68 spatial scales, by identifying the ‘runoff limit’, defined as the highest elevation where visibly saturated firn or snow is present
69 in satellite imagery (Greuell and Knap, 2000; Tedstone and Machguth, 2022; Machguth et al., 2022). Recent observations
70 suggest that both the elevation and areal extent of slush are highly sensitive to interannual melt variability. For example, within
71 the Watson catchment, southwest Greenland, slush was found to cover a substantially larger area and extend to higher
72 elevations during the extreme melt year of 2019 compared to the below-average melt year of 2018, increasing from 1.6% of
73 the catchment (~80 km²) in 2018 to 7.6% (~380 km²) in 2019, and expanding upslope from elevations below 1,500 m a.s.l. to
74 above 1,800 m a.s.l. (Glen et al., 2025). Similarly, long-term satellite analyses indicate a systematic upward migration of the
75 runoff limit of the GrIS, with Landsat imagery revealing a 29% expansion in runoff area between 1985 and 2020 (Tedstone
76 and Machguth, 2022), and MODIS imagery detecting slush at elevations approaching ~2080 m a.s.l. in western Greenland
77 (Machguth et al., 2022).

78 Recent findings from Antarctic ice shelves further emphasise the importance of slush within polar ice sheet hydrological and
79 mass balance systems more generally. Dell et al. (2024) show that, in January (mid-austral summer), slush accounts for
80 approximately 50% of the total meltwater area across 57 ice shelves, suggesting that previous meltwater inventories, which
81 focus primarily on supraglacial lakes and channels, significantly underestimate total meltwater area. Dell et al. (2024)
82 demonstrate that incorporating surface meltwater processes (including slush and ponded water) into regional climate models
83 via the melt-albedo feedback from surface saturation (e.g. Banwell et al., 2023) nearly triples modelled snowmelt. Fully
84 accounting for slush in ice sheet meltwater budgets and climate models is therefore crucial to better estimate surface melt and
85 runoff, both now and into the future.

86 Here, we adapt a machine learning (ML) workflow developed for Antarctic ice shelves (Dell et al., 2022, 2024) to classify
87 blue surface slush (henceforth ‘*slush*’) across the entire GrIS using Sentinel-2 imagery in Google Earth Engine (GEE). This
88 represents the first application of ML to map slush on the GrIS, through which we generate an ice-sheet-wide dataset of slush
89 extent spanning nine years (2016-2024). Using these data, we characterise the ice-sheet-wide distribution of slush across all
90 six major drainage basins, examining its spatial and temporal variability, elevation patterns, potential controls, and relative
91 importance within the broader GrIS meltwater regime.


92 **2. Methods and data**

93 To date, the majority of remote sensing studies of ice sheet surface meltwater have focused on supraglacial lakes and channels,
94 which are meltwater features with relatively distinct spectral characteristics and well-defined boundaries. Therefore, compared
95 to slush, these features can be mapped with reasonable accuracy using threshold-based methods, such as the Normalised

96 Difference Water Index adapted for ice (NDWI_{ice}) (e.g., Williamson et al., 2017, 2018; Miles et al., 2017). Slush has diffuse
97 boundaries and a muted blue hue in optical imagery, making it difficult to isolate using standard thresholding techniques. In
98 such approaches, slush is typically either omitted entirely (classified as ‘non-water’) or included within broader ‘water’ classes
99 without being distinguished from lakes or channels, leading to underestimation or mischaracterisation of its extent (e.g.,
00 Rawlins et al., 2023; Yang and Smith, 2012).


01 Random Forest (RF) algorithms (e.g., Dirscherl et al., 2020; Dell et al., 2022, 2024) and deep learning methods (e.g., Qayyum
02 et al., 2020; Dunmire et al., 2025) have recently shown promise as an alternative to image thresholding for meltwater
03 classification in both Greenland and Antarctica. For slush specifically, Dell et al. (2022, 2024) employed a k-means clustering
04 approach to generate training classes from Landsat 8 imagery, which were then used to train an RF classifier to identify slush
05 (and supraglacial water bodies) on Antarctic ice shelves. However, no study has yet applied ML classification methods such
06 as these specifically for slush detection on the GrIS.

07 Here, we classified slush on the GrIS by following the approach outlined by Dell et al. (2022, 2024), but with adaptations for
08 application to the GrIS, as detailed in the following sections and Figure 1. We retained the general workflow of Dell et al.
09 (2022, 2024), who originally applied it to Landsat 8 optical imagery, including k-means clustering for training data generation,
10 NDWI_{ice}-based pre-thresholding, and RF classification in GEE (Gorelick et al., 2017). However, we made several adaptations
11 for application to Sentinel-2 (S2) imagery, including specifically adjusted spectral thresholds to reflect sensor differences, and
12 the addition of RF hyperparameter optimisation to improve classification performance. All image pre-processing, training data
13 generation, RF classification, and post-processing were implemented in GEE, with final binary slush masks exported at 100
14 m spatial resolution (Glen et al., 2026). We conducted our analysis across all six basins of the GrIS: Southwest (SW), Central
15 West (CW), Northwest (NW), North (NO), Northeast (NE) and Southeast (SE) (Fig. 2; Mougnot and Rignot, 2019).



16

17 **Figure 1: Flowchart of slush classification methodology adapted from Dell et al. (2022, 2024; Antarctic ice shelves) for**
18 **application to the GrIS in this study.**



19

20 **Figure 2: Overview map of the GrIS separated into six drainage basins: SW, CW, NW, NO, NE and SE (Mouginot and**
 21 **Rignot, 2019). Red boxes indicate footprints of the 24 S2 images used in this study: 18 for training and validation of the**
 22 **RF classifier, and a further 6 used for comparison against threshold-based classification methods. Base map source:**
 23 **Esri.**
 24

25 **2.1. Data**

26 **2.1.1. Sentinel-2 imagery**

27 We acquired and pre-processed Level-1C S2 MultiSpectral Instrument (MSI) imagery from the European Space Agency (ESA)
28 via the GEE data catalogue, processing a total of 329,229 images from May to September, 2016 to 2024. As Level-1C imagery
29 is already provided as top-of-atmosphere reflectance, pixel values were divided by 10,000 to scale reflectance values between
30 0 and 1 (ESA, 2015). To improve meltwater feature detection, we restricted the dataset to scenes with a solar elevation angle
31 $> 20^\circ$ (Halberstadt et al., 2020) and cloud cover $< 25\%$, following common filtering approaches used in supraglacial meltwater
32 mapping studies (e.g., Corr et al., 2022; Glen et al., 2025; Tuckett et al., 2025). Although pixel-level cloud masking was applied
33 subsequently, this pre-filter helped reduce residual cloud-shadow artefacts and ensured sufficient clear-sky pixel coverage for
34 compositing. All available MSI bands were used in the analysis.

35 **2.1.2. Supporting datasets**

36 We used the 2 m ArcticDEM mosaic (Porter et al., 2023) to provide high-resolution surface topography across the ice sheet.
37 The ArcticDEM was used to mask crevassed areas following Chudley et al. (2021) as well as to determine the maximum
38 elevation of slush reached during the melt season. For the latter, ArcticDEM elevations were sampled every 500 m along the
39 upper limit of mapped slush across the ice sheet and averaged to estimate the maximum slush elevation.

40 Firn extent from 2000 to 2017 was taken from Vandecrux et al. (2019), based on firn density observations and end-of-summer
41 snowline data. Ice slab extents were taken from Jullien et al. (2023), who used airborne accumulation radar data collected
42 across the GrIS between 2002 and 2018 to map spatial variations in ice slab extent and thickness. We use both their 'low-end'
43 and 'high-end' estimates of slab extent in our analysis. Firn aquifer extents were taken from Miège et al. (2016), who identified
44 aquifers using NASA's Operation IceBridge accumulation radar flown during five airborne campaigns between 2010 and
45 2014.

46 Snowfall, melt, and air temperature data were obtained from the Regional Atmospheric Climate Model RACMO2.3p2
47 (hereafter 'RACMO'; Noël et al., 2019). RACMO is forced by ERA5 and provides monthly snowfall, surface melt and 2 m
48 air temperature fields at 5.5 km spatial resolution, statistically downscaled to 1 km (Noël et al., 2018, 2019). Firn air content
49 (FAC) was derived from the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research - Firn Densification Model (IMAU-FDM), a one-
50 dimensional semi-empirical model also forced by ERA5 and dynamically downscaled using RACMO, which provides FAC
51 fields at 10-day temporal and 5.5 km spatial resolution (Brils et al., 2022).

52 Snowfall, melt, air temperature and FAC anomalies were calculated relative to the 1960-1989 monthly climatology, a period
53 during which the ice sheet is assumed to have been approximately in steady state with climate forcing (Smith et al., 2020).
54 Anomalies were calculated for each summer month (May-September) and for each year between 2016 and 2024. These

55 anomaly datasets were used to characterise interannual variability in snowfall, melt, air temperature and FAC during our study
56 period.

57 **2.2 Image pre-processing**

58 **2.2.1. Image masking**

59 To mask confounding surface features, we applied a cloud-detection algorithm based on S2-specific thresholds from Glen et
60 al. (2025), following Corr et al. (2022), with a 1 km buffer to account for cloud shadows. Rock outcrops were excluded using
61 a modified Normalised Difference Snow Index approach as detailed in Glen et al. (2025), following Moussavi et al. (2020),
62 also buffered by 1 km to ensure complete removal. A crevasse mask, derived from 2 m ArcticDEM data (Porter et al., 2023),
63 was used to remove crevasses to prevent misclassification errors (Chudley et al., 2021). Meltwater features, including slush,
64 were then isolated using an $NDWI_{ice}$ (equation 1) threshold, which is a variant of the standard NDWI (McFeeters, 1996). While
65 the standard NDWI, calculated from the green (B3) and near-infrared (B8) bands, is best for terrestrial environments and, in
66 some cases, ice sheet surfaces (e.g. Box and Ski, 2007), $NDWI_{ice}$ is specifically optimised for ice and firn surfaces and instead
67 uses the blue (B2) and red (B4) bands (Yang and Smith, 2012).

$$68 \quad NDWI_{Ice} = \frac{B2-B4}{B2+B4} \quad (1)$$

69 While Dell et al. (2022) applied a > 0.1 $NDWI_{ice}$ threshold for Antarctic ice shelves, we increased this to > 0.12 for Greenland
70 (Yang and Smith, 2012) to account for regional differences in surface albedo and reflectance. Additionally, we used a blue
71 band reflectance threshold of > 0.03 to filter out shadows cast by rocks, crevasses, and other non-meltwater features (Dirscherl
72 et al., 2020; Corr et al., 2022).

73 **2.2.2. S2 image mosaic creation**

74 Monthly and annual S2 mosaics were generated for each basin over the period 2016-2024 (May-September). After image
75 filtering and masking, all available S2 images were combined into mosaics using the *qualityMosaic* function in GEE, which
76 retains the maximum $NDWI_{ice}$ value for each pixel across overlapping images to capture the strongest meltwater signal in a
77 given month or year (Dell et al., 2022). These monthly and annual mosaics were then used as the input datasets for the RF
78 classifier (Section 2.4).

79 **2.3. Label generation for model training and validation**

80 For each GrIS drainage basin (Fig. 2), we manually selected four S2 images (18 images in total across all basins) for training
81 and validation (Table S1). Images were chosen from the 2016-2024 melt seasons (1 May to 30 September) and selected to

capture spectral variability by representing a range of solar elevation angles (42°-75°) and both high- and low-melt conditions. All images were clipped to the boundaries of the six drainage basins (Fig. 2).

Following pre-processing, residual non-meltwater elements such as faint cloud shadows and crevasse artefacts were manually removed through visual inspection to ensure only meltwater features were retained for analysis. Although the proportion of features requiring manual correction could not be fully quantified, such instances were infrequent and typically limited to small, spectrally ambiguous areas in regions with complex topography.

Training and validation data were derived from the 18 pre-processed S2 images using k-means clustering, following the approach of Halberstadt et al. (2020) and Dell et al. (2022). This method combines automated clustering with expert interpretation, allowing large volumes of training data to be generated while avoiding time-intensive pixel-level manual labelling. By grouping pixels with similar spectral characteristics, the clustering approach can also reveal spectral patterns that may be overlooked through manual interpretation alone. The k-means algorithm grouped pixels using all S2 bands and NDWI_{ice}. For each image, 100,000 pixels were sampled and 5 to 70 clusters were generated (Dell et al., 2022). Clusters were manually reviewed by a single expert analyst, consistent with Dell et al. (2022), and assigned to either ‘slush’ or ‘non-slush’ classes. Slush was identified as dense, light-blue areas in true-colour S2 image composites, while the ‘non-slush’ class included all other surface types (e.g., supraglacial lakes, channels, shadows, sediment, cryoconite), with any residual masked surfaces (e.g., rock, cloud, crevasses) also incorporated. Clusters that could not be confidently assigned to either class were excluded. An equal number of pixels (2,000 per class) were randomly selected from the interpreted clusters to create a balanced dataset, which was then randomly split into 80% for model training and a withheld 20% for validation. We acknowledge that the distinction between slush and ponded water is not always sharply defined and therefore contains an element of expert judgement; illustrative examples used to guide interpretation are provided in the Supplement (Fig. S1). The final cluster assignments for all training images are provided in Table S2.

2.4. RF model training and validation

Following Dell et al (2022), the classification of slush from S2 satellite imagery was performed using a RF classifier, implemented within GEE (*ee.Classifier.smileRandomForest*). This is an ensemble learning method that trains multiple decision trees on random subsets of data and features and classifies by majority voting (Breiman, 2001). In this study, the RF classifier was applied to all S2 bands as well as the NDWI_{ice} band.

Unlike Dell et al. (2022), who used default settings, we optimised RF hyperparameters through an iterative tuning approach, systematically varying one parameter at a time and evaluating validation accuracy across each parameter range (Fig. S2). Parameters tested included the number of trees, bag fraction, minimum leaf population and maximum nodes. Validation accuracies were compared across configurations, and the optimal setup was selected based on the highest observed accuracy.

12 The final model used 50 trees, a bag fraction of 0.7, and a minimum leaf population of 20, with full details provided in Table
13 S3.

14 **2.4.1. Model performance**

15 We evaluated RF classifier performance using the withheld 20% validation dataset (Fig. S3; Table S4). Performance was
16 assessed using overall accuracy, Cohen's Kappa statistic (κ), precision, recall, and the F1-score. Overall accuracy represents
17 the proportion of all validation pixels classified correctly, while κ quantifies agreement between predicted and observed classes
18 beyond that expected by chance. Precision describes the proportion of pixels classified as slush that were truly slush, thereby
19 reflecting commission error (false positives), whereas recall describes the proportion of true slush pixels successfully identified
20 by the classifier and therefore reflects omission error (false negatives). The F1-score provides the harmonic mean of precision
21 and recall, offering a balanced measure of classifier skill for each class.

22 The classifier achieved high overall performance (overall accuracy = 97.5%, κ = 0.85). For the slush class, precision was
23 98.7%, recall was 98.5%, and the resulting F1-score was 98.6%, indicating both low commission and omission error. For the
24 non-slush class, precision was 84.5%, recall was 88.4%, and the F1-score was 86.4%. In terms of absolute pixel counts, 1,133
25 non-slush pixels were incorrectly labelled as slush (false positives), while 1,000 slush pixels were classified as non-slush (false
26 negatives), indicating a slight tendency for the RF classifier to overpredict slush (Fig. S3). Based on these values, mapped
27 slush area has an estimated uncertainty of +1.3% and -0.2% of the total slush area; these uncertainty bounds will be presented
28 alongside our results from here on.

29 **2.5. Application of trained RF model**

30 Once trained, the supervised RF classifier was applied across all six drainage basins (Fig. 2) to the monthly and annual S2
31 image mosaics acquired between 2016 and 2024 (Section 2.1.3).

32 As in previous studies (e.g., Corr et al., 2022), manual post-processing was carried out to correct obvious misclassifications,
33 including removal of areas of residual cloud cover and shaded areas near crevasses and fjords. Approximately 5-7% of pixels
34 in each final mosaic were identified as misclassified and removed from the dataset. Our mosaicing approach ensured consistent
35 monthly coverage across the record, and no year was disproportionately affected by cloud or temporal gaps (Fig S4),
36 minimising the potential influence of variable image availability and cloud cover on observed interannual differences (e.g.,
37 Hofer et al., 2017).

38 **2.6. Comparison of the trained RF model to thresholding methods**

39 We compared a subset of our RF slush classification results to those using two threshold-based methods: an NDWI approach
40 combining both the standard NDWI and the NDWI_{ice} (Glen et al., 2025) and a Greenness Index (G_{ind} ; Covi et al., 2022). For

41 this comparison, one S2 image per basin was selected from across the nine-year record (six test images in total). RF showed
42 stronger agreement with NDWI than with G_{ind} , with higher overlap areas and F1-scores (0.56-0.76 vs. 0.53-0.65). Visual
43 inspection confirmed these trends: NDWI aligned well with RF but was more prone to cloud contamination, while G_{ind} often
44 underdetected slush and misclassified lake edges. RF consistently produced more spatially coherent outputs, particularly when
45 complex surface types with high variability in spectral characteristics were present. Full details of this analysis, including
46 evaluation metrics, visual examples and details regarding the limitations of our classifier, are presented in the Supplement
47 (Section S1-S2; Fig. S5; Table S5-S6).

48 **3. Results**

49 **3.1. Mean spatial distribution and persistence of slush from 2016-2024**

50 Between 2016 and 2024, slush was detected across all six drainage basins of the GrIS (Fig. 3), with a mean summer (May-
51 September) areal extent of $\sim 2.9\%$ of the ice sheet, equivalent to 50,400 km², with a range of 50,300-51,055 km², accounting
52 for uncertainty. Here and elsewhere, this range reflects an asymmetric uncertainty of $+1.3\%/-0.2\%$ relative to the reported
53 slush area (see Section 2.4.1). The highest concentrations of slush typically occurred 10-20 km inland (Fig. 3), but slush was
54 observed as far as 170 km inland during the most extreme melt year; 2019 (Fig. 4c).


55 Although slush was observed in every basin, its spatial coverage varied across the ice sheet (Fig. 3). Between 2016 and 2024,
56 the SW basin exhibited the greatest proportional coverage (11,530-11,700 km²; $\sim 5.5\%$ of basin area), followed by the NO
57 basin (11,640-11,810 km²; $\sim 4.8\%$), NE basin (14,560-14,780 km²; $\sim 3.0\%$), CW basin (4,420-4,490 km²; $\sim 1.9\%$), and NW
58 basin (5,000-5,080 km²; $\sim 1.8\%$). The SE basin showed the lowest slush extent (1,730-1,750 km²; $\sim 0.6\%$).

59 Slush persistence, defined as the number of melt seasons in which slush was detected at a given location, was examined on an
60 interannual basis. Mean persistence was found to be highest in the NE and NO basins, where slush reappeared in the same
61 locations during four out of nine melt seasons, whereas mean slush persistence was moderate in the NW and SW basins (three
62 years) and lowest in the CW and SE basins (two years) (Fig. 3).

63 The area of slush across the ice sheet as a whole reached as much as 149,360-151,610 km² ($\sim 9\%$ of the ice sheet) in at least
64 one melt season between 2016 and 2024, whereas only 1,670-1,690 km² ($\sim 0.1\%$ of the ice sheet) was persistently slush in
65 every one of the nine melt seasons. The basins that had the largest areas of this highly persistent slush (i.e. with slush present
66 in every melt season) were the NE and NO, with 920-930 km² and 490-500 km², respectively. In the SW basin, despite having
67 one of the highest mean slush areal extents over the nine-year period (11,530-11,700 km²), only 50-52 km² of this area was
68 persistent slush in every melt season. The SE basin experienced both the lowest mean slush areal extent (1,730-1,750 km²) and
69 the smallest area in which slush reoccurs in the same location in every melt season (43-44 km²).

70 Slush occurrence was concentrated predominantly over bare ice regions, defined here as areas outside the late-summer firn
71 extent where glacier ice is seasonally exposed at the surface, with ~71% (106,630-108,230 km²) of all mapped slush occurring
72 in these regions compared to ~29% (42,730-43,380 km²) over firn-covered areas mapped by Vandecrux et al. (2019). This
73 partitioning strengthened with increasing persistence, with the proportion of mapped slush occurring outside the firn zone
74 increasing from ~62% (47,760-48,480 km²) for 1-2 year persistent slush, to ~78% (39,730-40,330 km²) for 3-5 year slush, and
75 ~91% (19,130-19,420 km²) for the most persistent (6-9 year) slush, indicating that long-lived slush is increasingly associated
76 with the bare-ice zone. Within the firn zone, overlap with firn aquifers (Miège et al., 2016) remained limited, accounting for
77 only ~6% (8,610-8,740 km²) of total mapped slush.

78 Overlap between our mapped slush and the ice slab extents mapped by Jullien et al. (2023) was substantial, ranging from a
79 low-end estimate of ~27% (40,520-41,130 km²) to a high-end estimate of ~30% (45,030-45,710 km²) of all slush occurring
80 over mapped slabs, where this range reflects uncertainty in our slush mapping (Section 2.4.1). This slush-slab coincidence
81 increased with time of slush persistence, from a low-end estimate of ~ 19% (15,030-15,260 km²) to a high-end estimate of ~
82 22% (17,540-17,810 km²) for 1-2 year persistent slush, and from a low-end estimate of ~37 % (7,790-7,910 km²) to a high-
83 end estimate of 39% (8,060-8,190 km²) for the 6-9 year persistent slush. Notably, a further ~15% (22,320-22,650 km²) of all
84 mapped slush occurred within the firn zone but outside both mapped aquifer and slab extents.



86 **Figure 3. Slush persistence (years) and mean areal extent (% basin area) across GrIS basins during summers (1 May**
87 **to 30 September) from 2016-2024. Slush persistence is represented by the colour bar on a scale from 1 year (light yellow)**
88 **to 9 years (dark orange). The mean slush areal extent for each basin as a percentage of the total basin area, from 2016-**
89 **2024, is illustrated by the area of the circles. Within each circle, pie charts display the mean monthly distribution of**
90 **slush areal extent averaged over all melt seasons. The green outline depicts mean firn extent (2000 - 2017) from**
91 **Vandecrux et al (2019). Dark grey dashed outlines depict ice slab extents from 2010-2018 (Jullien et al., 2023), and**
92 **purple dashed outlines depict firn aquifer extents from 2010-2014 (Miège et al., 2016). Base map source: Esri.**

93 **3.2. Ice-sheet-wide inter- and intra-annual variability in slush areal extent and elevation**

94 The areal extent of slush across the GrIS varied considerably from year to year, closely reflecting interannual variability in
95 surface melt derived from RACMO (Noël et al., 2019) (Fig. 4). The maximum seasonal areal extent (i.e., the composite slush
96 area from May-September) was lowest in 2018, the least intense melt season of the study period (Fig. 4c), when slush covered
97 20,460-20,770 km² (~1.2% of the ice sheet; Fig. 4a-b) and RACMO melt anomalies were ~2.5 mm w.e. below the 1960-89
98 mean. The largest extent occurred in 2019, the strongest melt year (Fig. 4b), when slush covered 90,120-91,470 km² (~5.2%
99 of the ice sheet) and melt anomalies reached ~10 mm w.e. above the 1960-89 mean (Fig. 4b). No statistically significant
00 temporal trend in the maximum seasonal areal extent of slush over the whole ice sheet was observed over the study period.

01 Superimposed on these interannual variations in slush areal extent from 2016-2024 was a consistent seasonal pattern, with
02 lowest average slush extents in May and September (typically a mean of < 0.2% of the ice sheet area over the nine years) and
03 peaks in July (1.7%) and August (1.6%) (Fig. 3). This broad seasonal cycle of low early- and late-season extent and mid-
04 summer maxima was a defining feature throughout the study period. The seasonal cycle was most pronounced in the highest
05 melt year, 2019, when slush reached its greatest extents in July (54,090-54,910 km²) and August (67,070-68,070 km²) (Fig.
06 4b). However, a notable departure from this cycle occurred in September 2022, when slush extent (15,970-16,210 km²)
07 surpassed that of August by ~4,700 km² (Fig. 4b).

08 Interannual variability was also evident in the maximum elevation reached by slush each melt season (Fig. 5a), ranging from
09 below 1,300 m a.s.l. in the lowest melt year (2018) to above 1,800 m a.s.l. in the highest melt year (2019). We do not find a
10 statistically significant temporal trend in maximum slush elevation at the ice-sheet scale from 2016-2024.




Figure 4. Mean annual climate and slush variability across the GrIS from 2016-2024. a) Snowfall anomalies (black) and FAC anomalies (teal) relative to the 1960-1989 mean. b) Melt anomalies (black) and near-surface air-temperature anomalies (orange) relative to the 1960-1989 mean. c) Maximum summer slush area (May-September) shown as absolute area (left y-axis, grey bars) and as a percentage of total ice sheet area (right y-axis; dashed dark red line). d) Stacked monthly maximum slush area for May-September in each year. Snowfall, melt, and near-surface air temperature are derived from RACMO, while FAC is derived from the IMAU FDM (available through 2023 only).



19
20
21
22
23
24

25 3.3. Regional inter- and intra-annual variability in slush areal extent and elevation


26 At the regional scale, interannual variability in slush areal extent broadly echoed the ice-sheet-wide pattern over the nine-year
27 period (Section 3.2), though the magnitude and precise timing of changes differed by region (Fig. 6). In the highest melt year
28 of 2019, all drainage basins experienced peak or near-peak slush extent: the SW reached a maximum seasonal extent of 22,490-
29 22,820 km² (~10.7% of basin area), the NE 27,230-27,630 km² (~5.6%), the NO 16,430-16,670 km² (~6.8%), the CW 11,500-
30 11,670 km² (~4.9%), and the NW 10,470-10,630 km² (~3.8%). Other high-melt years also saw elevated slush extent in specific
31 regions. For instance, in 2023, the second greatest melt season in the time series, both the NO and SE basins recorded their
32 maximum slush extents of the time series, reaching 17,490-17,750 km² (~7.2% of basin area) in the NO and 4,880-4,950 km²
33 (~1.7%) in the SE. Post-2019, the NO basin notably maintained a consistently higher slush extent than pre-2019 levels; a
34 pattern not observed in any other region.

35 Regional intra-annual variations in slush areal extent over the nine-year period also demonstrated variations in the timing and
36 magnitude of peak slush area (Fig. 6). August 2019 marked the greatest monthly slush extent during the time series for all
37 basins except the SE (Fig. 6): the SW basin contained 17,910-18,180 km² of slush in August 2019 (~8.5% of basin area), the
38 NE reached 20,420-20,730 km² (~4.2%), the NO 12,430-12,610 km² (~5.1%), the NW 8,110-8,240 km² (~2.9%), and the CW
39 7,130-7,230 km² (~3.1%). September 2022 saw an atypical late-season resurgence in slush extent that was above the time
40 series average across most basins (Fig. 6). Notably, September 2022 was the month of peak slush area for the SW basin (Fig.
41 6f), representing the only instance in the record where the annual maximum occurred in September rather than July or August.
42 The preceding month, August 2022, recorded substantially lower values across all basins (e.g. SW: 1,780-1,800 km², ~0.8%;
43 NE: 2,820-2,870 km², ~0.6%), highlighting the atypical nature of this late-season peak.

44 The maximum elevation reached by slush varied markedly between basins and years (Fig. 5). Slush generally extended to
45 higher elevations during high-melt years, including 2019 (e.g., CW: 1,770 m a.s.l.; NE: 1,700 m a.s.l.; NO: 1,370 m a.s.l.) and
46 2023 (e.g., SE: 1,800 m a.s.l.; NW: 1,470 m a.s.l.), while maximum elevations were lower during reduced-melt years such as
47 2018 and 2020 (e.g., NE: 1,290 m a.s.l. in 2018; CW: 1,380 m a.s.l. in 2020). Some basins showed distinct inter-annual changes
48 in maximum slush elevation; for example, in the NE basin, an increase of > 400 m occurred between 2018 and 2019. Notably,
49 peak slush elevation did not always coincide with years of peak slush areal extent; for instance, the SW reached its highest
50 elevation in 2021 (1,880 m a.s.l), two years after its areal maximum in 2019 (Fig. 5g). A weak statistically significant increasing
51 temporal trend in maximum slush elevation was observed in the SE basin from 2016 to 2024, with a mean increase of 23.5
52 m/year ($p < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.48$; Fig. 5f). No other basins exhibited statistically significant temporal trends between these two
53 variables during the study period.

54 We found a significant positive relationship between maximum slush elevation and maximum slush areal extent across all
55 basins ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 7). The strength of this relationship varied regionally, with the strongest correlations in the NO ($R^2 =$

56 0.91) and SE ($R^2 = 0.81$) basins, moderate correlations in SW ($R^2 = 0.70$) and CW ($R^2 = 0.74$), and weaker correlations in NE
57 ($R^2 = 0.60$) and NW ($R^2 = 0.49$).



58

59 **Figure 6. Plots illustrating the total slush area alongside snowfall, FAC, melt, and air temperature anomalies from**
60 **2016-2024 (FAC available through 2023 only) for the six basins of the GrIS (subplots a - f). Within each subplot, the**
61 **two uppermost panels display RACMO-derived anomalies averaged over the May-September period and expressed**
62 **relative to the 1960-1989 baseline mean: snowfall (black) and FAC (teal) in the first panel, and melt (black) and air**
63 **temperature (orange) in the second. Dashed lines indicate statistically significant linear trends ($p < 0.05$) and shaded**
64 **regions indicate ± 1 standard error of the monthly means. The third panel shows maximum seasonal slush areal extent**
65 **from May-September as grey bars, with the right y-axis showing this as a percentage of the basin area (dashed red**
66 **line). The bottom panel shows the total slush area (km^2), segmented by month (May, June, July, August, and**
67 **September) for each melt season.**




Figure 7. Relationship between maximum slush elevation and maximum areal extent of slush by basin (2016-2024). Coloured points show annual values, with dotted lines indicating least-squares regressions. All relationships are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

3.4. Potential controls on interannual and intra-annual variability in slush extent

We investigated potential controls on slush extent at both interannual and intra-annual timescales by using Spearman rank correlations between slush extent and FAC from the IMAU-FDM, together with snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature from RACMO. For the interannual analysis, correlations were evaluated at the GrIS-wide scale, with mean May-to-September slush area used as the response variable. Predictor variables for FAC, snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature were computed as seasonal means over January-to-March, April-to-June, and May-to-September windows to assess antecedent and contemporaneous relationships with slush extent (Fig. S6). For the intra-annual analysis, correlations were evaluated separately for each GrIS basin. The response variable was monthly slush area; lagged predictor variables were constructed by shifting each basin time series by one month, such that the previous-month value for any given May-to-September observation reflects conditions in the preceding calendar month (Fig. S7-S10).

82 At the interannual GrIS-wide scale, mean seasonal slush area throughout May-to-September was negatively correlated with
83 FAC across all seasonal windows (Fig. S6), including January-to-March ($\rho = -0.56$, $p < 0.001$), April-to-June ($\rho = -0.57$, $p <$
84 0.001), and May-to-September ($\rho = -0.59$, $p < 0.001$). Snowmelt was also positively associated with interannual variability in
85 slush extent, with positive correlations identified during January-to-March ($\rho = 0.37$, $p < 0.01$), April-to-June ($\rho = 0.38$, $p <$
86 0.01), and May-to-September ($\rho = 0.42$, $p < 0.005$). Similarly, slush extent was positively correlated with 2 m air temperature
87 across all seasonal windows, including January-to-March ($\rho = 0.35$, $p < 0.01$), April-to-June ($\rho = 0.46$, $p < 0.001$), and May-
88 to-September ($\rho = 0.43$, $p < 0.01$). Relationships with snowfall were more variable between seasonal windows, with positive
89 correlations identified during January-to-March ($\rho = 0.31$, $p < 0.05$) and April-to-June ($\rho = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$), although no
90 significant relationship was observed for May-to-September snowfall.

91 At the intra-annual basin-wise scale, monthly slush extent during May-to-September was positively correlated with snowmelt
92 across all basins (Fig. S7), with particularly strong relationships in CW ($\rho = 0.87$, $p < 0.001$), NO ($\rho = 0.78$, $p < 0.001$), and
93 SW ($\rho = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$). Positive relationships were also identified for previous-month snowmelt across all basins, ranging
94 from $\rho = 0.43$ in NO ($p < 0.01$) to $\rho = 0.80$ in SE ($p < 0.001$). 2 m air temperature was positively associated with monthly slush
95 extent across all basins (Fig. S8), with significant correlations ranging from $\rho = 0.63$ in NE ($p < 0.001$) to $\rho = 0.81$ in SW ($p <$
96 0.001). Similar, but generally weaker, associations were identified for previous-month 2 m air temperature. Negative
97 relationships between monthly slush extent and FAC (Fig. S9) were identified in NE ($\rho = -0.55$, $p < 0.001$), NO ($\rho = -0.49$, p
98 < 0.005), NW ($\rho = -0.50$, $p < 0.005$), and SE ($\rho = -0.59$, $p < 0.001$), whereas no significant relationships were identified in CW
99 or SW. Negative relationships with previous-month FAC were weaker, with a significant relationship identified only in SE (p
00 $= -0.34$, $p < 0.05$). Relationships with snowfall (Fig. S10) were the most spatially variable, with statistically significant negative
01 relationships observed in NE ($\rho = -0.31$, $p < 0.05$), whereas positive relationships were identified in SE for concurrent ($p =$
02 0.31 , $p < 0.05$) and previous-month snowfall ($\rho = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$), and in SW for concurrent snowfall ($\rho = 0.40$, $p < 0.01$).

03 4. Discussion

04 To the best of our knowledge, our work forms the first large-scale assessment of slush on the GrIS. Prior assessments have
05 almost exclusively focused on well-defined supraglacial meltwater features, specifically, lakes, channels, and water-filled
06 crevasses (e.g., Zhang et al. 2023, Fan et al., 2025; Melling et al., 2024; Dunmire et al., 2021; 2025) that are somewhat easier
07 to map using traditional thresholding methods. By leveraging ML within a cloud-based framework, we show that slush can be
08 systematically mapped across the entire GrIS. The resulting nine-year, ice-sheet-wide dataset offers new insight into the spatial
09 variability and persistence of slush, revealing that slush is a widespread and climatically sensitive component of the surface
10 hydrological system on the GrIS.

4.1. Slush dominates the areal extent of surface meltwater on the GrIS

Between 2016 and 2024, we show that slush covered a mean area of 2.9% (~50,400 km²) of the GrIS each summer, with a peak areal extent of 5.2% (~90,300 km²) in 2019, the year of highest melt in our study period (Figs. 3 and 4). In the same year, Zhang et al. (2023) mapped a maximum area of 9,990 km² for lakes, channels, and water-filled crevasses; slush therefore covered over nine times more of the GrIS than these other meltwater features in 2019. Even in the lowest melt year of our study period, 2018, our mapped slush areal extent (1.2%; ~20,500 km²) was over four times greater than the 4,900 km² of other meltwater features reported by Zhang et al (2023) for 2018. Studies focusing solely on supraglacial lakes highlight an even greater disparity. Fan et al. (2025) showed that between 1985 and 2023, supraglacial lake area rarely exceeded 3,500 km², with a total of ~3,000 km² in 2019. Additionally, compared with the ice-sheet-wide supraglacial lake extents reported by Dunmire et al. (2021) of 1,240 km² in 2018 and 2,570 km² in 2019, the total slush extent mapped in our study was approximately 16-fold greater in 2018 and 35-fold greater in 2019. At the basin scale, our slush areal extent observations also greatly surpass the areal extent of other meltwater features observed previously. For example, for the NE in 2019, we detected a maximum slush areal extent of 27,280 km², whereas Zhang et al. (2023) reported just 820 km² of other meltwater features in this basin; a more than 30-fold difference. Although the aforementioned cross-study comparisons for the GrIS reflect differing methodologies, they are used here to provide first-order context for the relative spatial extent of slush compared to other surface meltwater features. Given that slush has been largely overlooked in previous studies, this comparison highlights its widespread presence across the GrIS.

The dominance of slush on the GrIS relative to lakes also contrasts strongly with Antarctic ice shelves where slush and lakes occupy approximately equal areas, with slush contributing ~50% of peak-summer (January) meltwater extent across 57 ice shelves (Dell et al., 2024). The higher slush proportion on the GrIS likely reflects fundamental differences in glaciological setting and climate relative to Antarctic ice shelves, with the former experiencing particularly stronger melt intensity (van den Broeke et al., 2023; Hanna et al., 2024), reduced FAC (Firn Symposium Team, 2024), widespread bare-ice exposure (Ryan et al., 2019), and recurrent near-surface saturation due to ice slabs and lenses (Culberg et al., 2021; Machguth et al., 2016). Together, these factors allow slush to expand across broad contiguous zones on the GrIS. Contrastingly, in Antarctica, lake and slush formation is predominantly restricted to flatter ice shelves (Stokes et al., 2019), where lower melt magnitudes, colder mean conditions, and almost complete refreezing of meltwater within the firn (van den Broeke et al., 2023) limits the spatial extent and persistence of all forms of surface meltwater, compared to the GrIS. As Antarctic surface hydrology may increasingly come to resemble present-day Greenland under future warming (Bell et al., 2018; Mottram et al., 2025), improving process-level understanding of slush dynamics across both ice sheets is an urgent research priority.

4.2. Slush is most extensive in the western and northern basins

Our observations reveal that slush is most extensive in the western (notably the SW) and northern basins of the GrIS, and least extensive in the eastern and southeastern basins. This distribution aligns with observations from previous supraglacial

hydrology studies, which report more extensive meltwater features in west Greenland, particularly supraglacial lakes (e.g., Selmes et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2025; Dunmire et al., 2021; 2025).

In the SW basin, low-angle slopes, broad ablation zones (Ryan et al., 2019), and high melt rates (Mikkelsen et al., 2016; van As, 2017) create conditions that likely allow slush to extend further inland than in other regions. A disproportionate loss of FAC relative to other regions (Vandecrux et al., 2019) may also promote widespread surface saturation and slush formation in the SW by reducing the capacity of the firn column to accommodate meltwater. Although these conditions favour extensive inland slush in much of the SW basin, the western margin coincides with the ‘dark zone’ (Tedstone et al., 2017), where limited snow cover, high melt rates, and prolonged bare ice exposure (Feng et al., 2024) may restrict slush formation, consistent with the distinct stripe of low slush persistence that we identify along the western ice-sheet margin (Fig. 3).

The dominance of slush in the western and northern regions may also reflect the distribution of surface and sub-surface structures that restrict vertical meltwater infiltration. A substantial proportion of mapped slush (~71%) occurs outside the firn zone, within the bare-ice region, where the ice surface itself forms an inherently impermeable boundary to downward percolation. An important control on slush distribution also appears to be the presence of sub-surface ice slabs, which act as shallow permeability barriers within the firn column. By restricting vertical percolation, slabs promote sustained saturation of the overlying firn and favour lateral meltwater routing, creating conditions conducive to recurrent slush formation (Machguth et al., 2016; MacFerrin et al., 2019; Jullien et al., 2023). Approximately 30% of Greenland’s mapped slush area overlaps with slab extents, with most of this concentrated in the western and northern basins (Fig. 3). Areas of longer persistence of slush in these regions are often coincident with slab presence, potentially reflecting a positive feedback in which meltwater supplied by lateral flow through the slush zone ponds in shallow-slope zones above the slab, where repeated refreezing locally thickens the slab and reinforces the shallow permeability barriers that favour recurrent slush formation, as observed by Tedstone et al. (2025).

Unlike slabs, firn aquifers are characterised by the deep infiltration of meltwater into temperate firn and long-term sub-surface water storage rather than shallow blockage of vertical flow (Forster et al., 2014; Miede et al., 2016), and can host ice layers (e.g., Miller et al., 2020). Comparatively limited overlap of aquifers with mapped slush suggests that they do not appear to exert the same sustained control on slush persistence as shallow impermeable ice layers.

Notably, a non-trivial fraction of all mapped slush (~15%) occurs within firn areas outside both mapped ice slab and firn aquifer extents, much of it concentrated in western Greenland where slush persistence is highest. While recurrent slush formation in bare ice regions is expected due to the impermeable ice surface, these observations indicate that persistent slush can also develop in firn regions lacking extensive perennial low-permeability units. In these settings, smaller-scale ice lenses and contrasts in firn density and grain size may locally restrict vertical percolation, promoting lateral spreading and near-surface saturation, consistent with experimental observations from Greenland firn (Humphrey et al., 2021). Periods of intense

74 melt may further enhance these effects where meltwater production exceeds local infiltration capacity. Together, these patterns
75 suggest that finer-scale firn stratigraphic heterogeneity may exert an important control on recurrent slush formation across
76 parts of the ice sheet.

77 **4.3. Slush reaches higher elevations and is greater in areal extent during extreme melt years**

78 In extreme melt years (notably 2019 and 2023; the highest and second highest melt seasons in our time series, respectively),
79 slush both reaches higher elevations and is more areally extensive than in cooler, low-melt years (e.g., 2018; Figs. 5 and 6),
80 which also mirrors the established tendency for supraglacial lakes to appear at higher elevations in warmer years (Sundal et
81 al., 2009; Liang et al., 2012; Lüthje et al., 2006; Leeson et al., 2015; Glen et al., 2025). Under typical conditions, we show that
82 variability in the areal extent in slush follows a predictable seasonal cycle, forming in May, peaking in areal extent and
83 maximum elevation in July, and decreasing in area during the autumn freeze-up, similar again to the behaviour of supraglacial
84 lakes (e.g., Otto et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2021; Glen et al., 2025). During extreme high melt years such as 2019, this seasonal
85 cycle is amplified, with sustained high air temperatures combined with melt-albedo feedbacks producing prolonged, intense
86 melt (Sasgen et al., 2020; Tedesco and Fettweis, 2020; Hanna et al., 2021), which likely drove 2019 to have the most extensive
87 and furthest inland slush areal extents in our record. In contrast, we suggest that the atypical pattern in 2022 resulted from a
88 late-season, anomalously warm and rainy event in September 2022 that triggered ice-sheet-wide melt and delayed late-season
89 freeze-up (Moon et al., 2022; C3S, 2023), enabling the sustained slush presence that we observed into late-September 2022.

90 Despite the strong interannual variability in slush elevation and area that we observe, our nine-year record reveals no
91 statistically significant temporal trend in slush area or elevation at either ice sheet or regional scales, except from a weak
92 elevation increase in the SE basin ($R^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.05$). These results are consistent with Machguth et al. (2022), who, over a
93 similar length study period (2012-2021), found no significant change in maximum slush elevation on the western GrIS, but
94 observed pronounced intra-seasonal variability. Multi-decadal studies, however, have reported increases in meltwater feature
95 area and elevation over time. For example, Fan et al. (2025) documented an ice-sheet-wide supraglacial lake area increase of
96 $\sim 50.5 \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from 1985-2023, while Tedstone and Machguth (2022) reported increases in visible runoff limits of $\sim 242 \text{ m}$
97 (west), 194 m (north), and 59 m (northeast) between 1985-2020. These findings suggest that while inter- and intra-annual
98 variability dominate decadal slush records, slush is nonetheless likely to follow the broader trajectory of increasing area and
99 elevation over multi-decadal timescales.

00 Our observations suggest that extreme melt events not only drive widespread slush formation through direct surface melt, but
01 they may also alter firn structure in ways that may amplify future slush formation, effectively preconditioning the ice sheet for
02 slush recurrence in subsequent melt seasons. For example, the northern basin exhibited elevated slush areal extent in all years
03 after the extreme 2019 melt season (Fig. 6c), even when melting was low. This is suggestive of a ‘legacy’ effect, where slush
04 that formed during 2019 refroze to produce low-permeability firn and/or thicken pre-existing ice slabs, reducing firn infiltration

05 capacity. This interpretation is further supported by the strong and statistically significant decline in FAC from 2016 to 2023
06 ($R^2 = 0.82, p < 0.01$; Fig. 6). Under these reduced-storage conditions, only saturation of the seasonal snowpack may be required
07 to regenerate slush in subsequent years. Our interpretation is consistent with recent work by Rawlins et al. (2023), who
08 documented earlier melt onset and prolonged melt seasons in northern Greenland following major slush events in previous
09 years.

10 **4.4. Slush variability is associated with meltwater supply, firn storage capacity, and atmospheric forcing**

11 We find that slush variability is strongly associated with meltwater supply, firn storage capacity, and atmospheric forcing
12 across both interannual and intra-annual temporal scales. At the interannual GrIS-wide scale, the negative relationships with
13 FAC we find across January-to-March, April-to-June, and May-to-September suggest that years with reduced firn pore space
14 are associated with more extensive summer slush development, because less meltwater can be retained and refrozen within the
15 firn before near-surface saturation is reached. This is consistent with the established role of firn air content as a first-order
16 control on meltwater retention capacity across Greenland (e.g., Vandecrux et al., 2019), and with wider evidence from
17 Antarctic ice shelves that FAC can act as a threshold control on the development of surface meltwater once storage capacity
18 is sufficiently reduced (Dell et al., 2024). Enhanced snowmelt may then act as the principal driver translating this storage
19 deficit into observable slush extent, as increased meltwater supply is more likely to overwhelm the reduced pore space and
20 promote sustained surface saturation and lateral redistribution of water. Significant positive relationships with 2 m air
21 temperature were identified across all seasonal windows, suggesting that atmospheric warming contributes to interannual slush
22 variability, likely through its direct influence on melt production; however, the comparatively stronger relationships with FAC
23 suggest that variability in slush extent at the interannual GrIS-wide scale is more directly associated with firn storage capacity
24 than with temperature alone. The weaker positive relationships with snowfall suggest that fresh snow may temporarily enhance
25 near-surface water retention and help preserve slush once melting begins.

26 At the intra-annual basin-wise scale, strong correlations with same-month and previous-month snowmelt across all basins
27 indicate that slush extent tracks melt forcing closely, and that antecedent melt conditions also contribute to monthly slush
28 variability, potentially reflecting persistence of wetted firn into subsequent months even as melt intensity weakens. Air
29 temperature shows similar positive associations across all basins. Negative relationships with same-month FAC across most
30 basins suggest that reduced pore space promotes melt-season slush development, though the absence of significant FAC
31 relationships in CW and SW likely reflects the widespread FAC depletion documented across western Greenland (Vandecrux
32 et al., 2019), where meltwater supply rather than storage capacity becomes the more direct control. Snowfall relationships
33 varied regionally (negative in NE, positive in SE and SW) consistent with snowfall influencing slush development through
34 different mechanisms: fresh snow can enhance near-surface water retention and facilitate slush formation (The Firn
35 Symposium Team, 2024), but may also increase storage capacity or suppress melt in other settings, reducing slush extent.

4.5. Slush has implications for surface energy balance and hydrological modelling

Slush plays a key role in regulating ice sheet surface energy balance and meltwater pathways, and accurately representing its presence and dynamics in models of surface energy balance (e.g. Noël et al., 2019; Huai et al., 2020), lake formation (e.g. Law et al., 2020) and hydrological routing (e.g. Banwell et al., 2012; Leeson et al., 2012; Gantayat et al., 2023) is essential to capture realistic albedo-melt feedbacks, meltwater storage capacity, and connectivity between supraglacial hydrological features. Our new ice-sheet-wide observations presented in this study provide a well-constrained input to refine these hydrological models and better capture the complex interactions between meltwater and ice sheet processes.

Our ice-sheet-wide slush observations provide a first opportunity to approximate its potential radiative and hydrological consequences at scale. Using the empirical meltwater-albedo framework of Ryan et al. (2025) as an upper-bound analogue, the slush extents derived here imply a mean ice-sheet-wide excess energy absorption of 134 PJ d^{-1} over 2016-2024 (range $53\text{--}249 \text{ PJ d}^{-1}$), peaking in the extreme melt year of 2019 (Section S3). These estimates should be treated as upper bounds due to the optical differences between slush and open water. Nevertheless, the larger areal extent of slush relative to open meltwater suggests that its contribution to surface darkening and melt amplification may be substantial, reinforcing the need to explicitly incorporate slush into future surface energy balance frameworks. Additionally, current regional climate model frameworks, including RACMO and MAR, typically partition meltwater directly between vertical refreezing and runoff without representing a transient phase of lateral meltwater transport within surface slush layers (Noël et al., 2018; Fettweis et al., 2017). Our results suggest that this omission may distort both runoff timing and near-surface retention. For example, in the CW basin during 2019, slush-covered pixels accounted for $\sim 28\%$ of annual modelled runoff and $\sim 13\%$ of modelled refreezing, despite occupying only $\sim 5\%$ of RACMO grid cells (Section S4). This disproportionate influence highlights how slush represents a transient phase of meltwater retention and lateral routing before export or refreezing (e.g., Clerx et al., 2022; Tedstone et al., 2025), a process likely to become increasingly important as ice slabs expand inland (Jullien et al., 2023) and slush migrates to higher elevations (Tedstone and Machguth, 2022) with continued atmospheric warming.

Although the analyses presented here are first-order and should not be interpreted as fully constrained physical estimates, they nevertheless demonstrate that slush may exert an important influence on the surface energy balance, meltwater routing, and runoff generation across the GrIS. Future work explicitly coupling slush processes within physically based surface mass-balance and hydrological models will therefore be important for constraining its role in ice sheet evolution under continued warming.

5. Conclusion

We present the first ice-sheet-wide record of slush for the GrIS, spanning nine melt seasons from 2016 to 2024. Using a cloud-based ML framework, we demonstrate that slush is widespread, climate-sensitive, and the dominant surface meltwater type on

66 the GrIS, covering an order of magnitude greater area during high melt years than the combined extent of supraglacial lakes,
67 channels, and water-filled crevasses reported in previous studies. Slush is most extensive in the southwestern and northern
68 basins, most persistent in the northeast and north, and reaches higher elevations during intense melt years, patterns that likely
69 reflect the distribution of bare ice, ice slabs, and firn heterogeneity across the ice sheet. Slush variability is strongly associated
70 with firn storage capacity, meltwater supply, and air temperature, with widespread slush development occurring during warm
71 years of enhanced melt and reduced firn air content. Despite its dominance, slush remains largely absent from existing surface
72 mass balance, hydrological, and coupled ice-dynamics modelling frameworks used to project future ice sheet behaviour; an
73 omission that becomes increasingly consequential as the GrIS evolves under continued warming. As the GrIS warms, declining
74 firn storage capacity, expanding ice slabs, and more frequent extreme melt events will not simply increase slush extent; they
75 may restructure the conditions under which slush forms, persists, and refreezes. These changes may produce legacy effects
76 that amplify future meltwater production beyond what melt forcing alone would predict. A complete understanding of the GrIS
77 response to climate change therefore requires slush to be fully represented in models alongside all other surface meltwater
78 feature types. The nine-year, ice-sheet-wide dataset presented here provides an observational foundation for developing more
79 physically complete representations of the evolving GrIS hydrological system.

80 **Data availability**

81 The slush dataset produced in this study is available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20417415> (Glen, 2026). The slush
82 delineation code used in this study was adapted from: <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.77156> (Dell et al., 2022b). Sentinel 2
83 imagery is freely available via the GEE data catalogue (<https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/sentinel>).
84 RACMO2.3p2 model data are available upon request from B. Noël (bnoel@uliege.be). The IMAU-FDM data are available on
85 request from the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht (imau@science.uu.nl).

86 **Author contributions**

87 EG, AFB and AL conceptualised the research, which was led by EG. AFB, AL, KEM, RLD, JM and MM contributed to the
88 scientific content, technical details and overall structure of this paper. All co-authors contributed to the discussion of results
89 and editing of the manuscript.

90 **Competing interests**

91 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

92 **Acknowledgements**

93 Emily Glen and Amber Leeson received support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) MIIGreenland
94 project under award NE/S011390/1 and from the European Space Agency under the POLAR+ 4DGreenland project, ESA
95 contract no. 4000132139/20/I-EF. Alison F. Banwell received support from the U.S. National Science Foundation under
96 awards 1841607 and 2332480 to the University of Colorado Boulder (PI Banwell). Malcolm McMillan was supported by the
97 European Space Agency POLAR+ 4DGreenland project (contract no. 4000132139/20/I-EF) and the Lancaster University-
98 UKCEH Centre of Excellence in Environmental Data Science. Malcolm McMillan and Jennifer Maddalena were supported
99 by the UK NERC Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling (grant no: NE/Y006178/1).

00 We thank the Editor Prof. Horst Machguth, as well as Dr. Peter Tuckett and Dr. Baptise Vandecrux for their reviews, which
01 greatly improved the quality of this manuscript. We also acknowledge the use of ChatGPT and Claude for editorial support
02 during the revision process.

03 **Financial support**

04 This research has been supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (grants NE/S011390/1 and NE/Y006178/1),
05 the European Space Agency (grant 4000132139/20/I-EF), the National Science Foundation (grants 1841607 and 2332480).

06 **References**

- 07 Banwell, A. F., Hewitt, I., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N.: Moulin density controls drainage development beneath the Greenland
08 ice sheet, *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 121, 2248-2269, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003801>, 2016.
- 09 Banwell, A. F., I. C. Willis, I. C., and Arnold N. S.: Modeling subglacial water routing at Paakitsoq, W Greenland, *J. Geophys.*
10 *Res. Earth Surf.*, 118, 1282-1295, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20093>, 2013.
- 11 Banwell, A. F., Wever, N., Dunmire, D., and Picard, G.: Quantifying Antarctic-wide ice-shelf surface melt volume using
12 microwave and firn model data: 1980 to 2021, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50, e2023GL102744,
13 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL102744>, 2023.
- 14 Banwell, A. F., Arnold, N. S., Willis, I. C., Tedesco, M., and Ahlstrøm, A. P.: Modeling supraglacial water routing and lake
15 filling on the Greenland Ice Sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, F04012, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002393>, 2012.
- 16 Bell, R. E., Banwell, A. F., Trusel, L. D., and Kingslake, J.: Antarctic surface hydrology and impacts on ice-sheet mass balance,
17 *Nature Climate Change*, 8, 1044-1052, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0326-3>, 2018.
- 18 Bonsoms, J., Oliva, M., López-Moreno, J. I., and Fettweis, X.: Rising extreme meltwater trends in Greenland Ice Sheet (1950-
19 2022): Surface energy balance and large-scale circulation changes. *J. Clim.*, 37, 4851-4866, [https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-23-0396.1)
20 [23-0396.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-23-0396.1), 2024.
- 21 Box, J. E. and Ski, K.: Remote sounding of Greenland supra-glacial melt lakes: Implications for subglacial hydraulics, *Journal*
22 *of glaciology*, 53(181), 257-264, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756507782202883>, 2007.

23 Brils, M., Kuipers Munneke, P., van de Berg, W. J., and van den Broeke, M.: Improved representation of the contemporary
 24 Greenland ice sheet firn layer by IMAU-FDM v1.2G, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 7121-7138, [https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-7121-2022)
 25 7121-2022, 2022.

26 Breiman, L.: Random forests, *Mach. Learn.*, 45, 5-32, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324>, 2001.

27 Chu, V. W.: Greenland ice sheet hydrology: A review, *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, 38, 19-54,
 28 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133313507075>, 2014.

29 Chudley, T. R., Christoffersen, P., Doyle, S. H., Dowling, T. P. F., Law, R., Schoonman, C. M., Bougamont, M., and Hubbard,
 30 B.: Controls on water storage and drainage in crevasses on the Greenland Ice Sheet, *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 126,
 31 e2021JF006287, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006287>, 2021.

32 Clerx, N., Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., and van As, D.: Modelling lateral meltwater flow and superimposed ice formation atop
 33 Greenland's near-surface ice slabs. *J. Glaciol.*, 70, e54, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2024.69>, 2024.

34 Clerx, N., Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., Jullien, N., Wever, N., Weingartner, R., and Roessler, O.: ‘In situ measurements of
 35 meltwater flow through snow and firn in the accumulation zone of the SW Greenland ice sheet’, *The Cryosphere*, 16, 4379-
 36 4401. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-4379-2022>, 2022.

37 Cogley, J. G., Arendt, A. A., Bauder, A., Braithwaite, R. J., Hock, R., Jansson, P., Kaser, G., Möller, M., Nicholson, L.,
 38 Rasmussen, L. A., & Zemp, M. (2011). Glossary of glacier mass balance and related terms. IHP-VII Technical Documents in
 39 Hydrology, 86, International Hydrological Programme, UNESCO, Paris, France.

40 Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S): European State of the Climate 2022, Summary,
 41 https://climate.copernicus.eu/sites/default/files/custom-uploads/ESOTC2022/PR/ESOTCsummary2022_final.pdf, last access:
 42 December 2024.

43 Corr, D., Leeson, A., McMillan, M., Zhang, C., and Barnes, T.: An inventory of supraglacial lakes and channels across the
 44 West Antarctic Ice Sheet, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14, 209-228, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-209-2022>, 2022.

45 Covi, F.: Processes in the percolation zone in southwest Greenland: challenges in modeling surface energy balance and melt,
 46 and the role of topography in the formation of ice slabs. PhD dissertation, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 2022. Available at:
 47 <https://hdl.handle.net/11122/13116>, 2022.

48 Culberg, R., Michaelides, R. J., & Miller, J. Z. (2024). Sentinel-1 detection of ice slabs on the Greenland ice sheet. *The*
 49 *Cryosphere*, 18(5), 2531-2555. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-2531-2024>

50 de Fleurian, B., Werder, M. A., Beyer, S., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Delaney, I., Dow, C. F., SHMIP the subglacial hydrology model
 51 intercomparison project. *Journal of Glaciology*, 64(248), 897-916. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.78>, 2018

52 Dell, R. L., Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., Arnold, N. S., Halberstadt, A. R. W., Chudley, T. R., and Pritchard, H. D.: Supervised
 53 classification of slush and ponded water on Antarctic ice shelves using Landsat 8 imagery, *Journal of Glaciology*, 68, 401-
 54 414, 2022.

55 Dell, R., Banwell, A., Willis, I., Arnold, N., Halberstadt, A. R., Chudley, T., & Pritchard, H. (2022b). Supplementary
 56 Information for 'Supervised classification of slush and ponded water on Antarctic ice shelves using Landsat 8 imagery' by R.L.
 57 Dell and Others. Apollo - University of Cambridge Repository. <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.77156>

58 Dell, R.L., Willis, I.C., Arnold, N.S., Banwell, A., de Roda Husman, S.: Substantial contribution of slush to meltwater area
 59 across Antarctic ice shelves. *Nat. Geosci.* 17, 624-630, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01466-6>, 2024.

60 Dirscherl, M., Dietz, A. J., Kneisel, C., and Kuenzer, C.: Automated Mapping of Antarctic Supraglacial Lakes Using a Machine
 61 Learning Approach. *Remote Sens.*, 12, 1203, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12071203>, 2020.

62 Dunmire, D., Banwell, A. F., Wever, N., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and Datta, R. T.: Contrasting regional variability of buried
 63 meltwater extent over 2 years across the Greenland Ice Sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 2983-3005, [https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-2983-2021)
 64 2983-2021, 2021.

65 Dunmire, D., Subramanian, A. C., Hossain, E., Gani, M. O., Banwell, A. F., Younas, H., and Myers, B.: Greenland Ice Sheet
 66 wide supraglacial lake evolution and dynamics: Insights from the 2018 and 2019 melt seasons, *Earth and Space Science*, 12,
 67 e2024EA003793, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024EA003793>, 2025.

68 Fan, Y., Ke, C.-Q., Luo, L., Shen, X., Livingstone, S. J., and Lea, J. M.: Expansion of supraglacial lake area, volume, and
 69 extent on the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1985 to 2023, *Journal of Glaciology*, 71, e4, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2024.87>, 2025.

70 Feng, S., Cook, J. M., Naegeli, K., Anesio, A. M., Benning, L. G., & Tranter, M. (2024). The impact of bare ice duration and
 71 geo-topographical factors on the darkening of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 51, e2023GL104894.
 72 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL104894>

73 Fettweis, X., Tedesco, M., van den Broeke, M. and Ettema, J.: Melting trends over the Greenland ice sheet (1958-2009) from
 74 spaceborne microwave data and regional climate Models, *The Cryosphere*, 5(2), 359-375, ISSN 19940416, doi: 10.5194/tc-5-
 75 359-2011, 2011.

76 Forster, R. R., Box, J. E., van den Broeke, M. R., Miège, C., Burgess, E. W., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Koenig,
 77 L. S., Paden, J., Lewis, C., Gogineni, S. P., Leuschen, C., and McConnell, J. R.: Extensive liquid meltwater storage in firn
 78 within the Greenland ice sheet. *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 95-98, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2043>, 2014.

79 Gantayat, P., Banwell, A. F., Leeson, A. A., Lea, J. M., Petersen, D., Gourmelen, N., and Fettweis, X.: A new model for
 80 supraglacial hydrology evolution and drainage for the Greenland Ice Sheet (SHED v1.0), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 16, 5803-5823,
 81 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-5803-2023>, 2023.

82 Glen, E., Leeson, A., Banwell, A. F., Maddalena, J., Corr, D., Atkins, O., Noël, B., and McMillan, M.: A comparison of
 83 supraglacial meltwater features throughout contrasting melt seasons: southwest Greenland, *The Cryosphere*, 19, 1047-1066,
 84 <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-19-1047-2025>, 2025.

85 Glen, E. (2026). A nine-year record of slush on the Greenland Ice Sheet [Data set]. Zenodo.
 86 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20417415>

87 Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., and Moore, R.: Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale
 88 geospatial analysis for everyone, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 202, 18-27, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031>, 2017.

89 Greuell, W. and Knap, W. H.: 'Remote sensing of the albedo and detection of the slush line on the Greenland ice sheet', J.
90 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 15567-15576, <https://doi.org/10.1029, 2000>.

91 Halberstadt, A. R. W., Gleason, C. J., Moussavi, M. S., Pope, A., Trusel, L. D., and DeConto, R. M.: Antarctic Supraglacial
92 Lake Identification Using Landsat-8 Image Classification. Remote Sens., 12, 1327, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12081327, 2020>.

93 Hanna E, Cappelen J, Fettweis X, Mernild, S. H., Mote, T. L., Mottram, R., Steffen, K., Ballinger, and T. J., Hall, R. J.:
94 Greenland surface air temperature changes from 1981 to 2019 and implications for ice-sheet melt and mass-balance change.
95 Int J Climatol. 2021;41 (Suppl. 1), E1336-E1352, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6771, 2021>.

96 Hanna, E., Topál, D., Box, J. E., Buzzard, S., Christie, F. D. W., Hvidberg, C., Morlighem, M., De Santis, L., Silvano, A.,
97 Colleoni, F., Sasgen, I., Banwell, A. F., van den Broeke, M. R., DeConto, R., De Rydt, J., Goelzer, H., Gossart, A.,
98 Gudmundsson, G. H., Lindbäck, K., Miles, B., Mottram, R., Pattyn, F., Reese, R., Rignot, E., Srivastava, A., Sun, S., Toller,
99 J., Tuckett, P. A., and Ultee, L.: Short- and long-term variability of the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets, Nat. Rev. Earth
00 Environ., 5, 193-210, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-024-00438-8, 2024>.

01 Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X., and Bamber, J. L.: Decreasing cloud cover drives the recent mass loss on the Greenland
02 Ice Sheet, Science Advances, 3, e1700584, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700584, 2017>.

03 Holmes, C. W.: 'Morphology and Hydrology of the Mint Julep Area, Southwest Greenland. In: Project Mint Julep Investigation
04 of Smooth Ice Areas of the Greenland Ice Cap, 1953; Part II Special Scientific Reports.', Arctic, Desert, Tropic Information
05 Center; Research Studies Institute; Air University, 1955.

06 Howat, I. M., de la Peña, S., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Brief Communication
07 'Expansion of meltwater lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet', The Cryosphere, 7, 201-204, [https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-201-](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-201-2013, 2013)
08 2013, 2013.

09 Hu, J., Huang, H., Chi, Z., Cheng, X., Wei, Z., Chen, P., Xu, X., Qi, S., Xu, Y., and Zheng, Y.: Distribution and evolution of
10 supraglacial lakes in Greenland during the 2016-2018 melt seasons, Remote Sensing, 14, 55, 2021.

11 Huai, B., van den Broeke, M. R., and Reijmer, C. H.: Long-term surface energy balance of the western Greenland Ice Sheet
12 and the role of large-scale circulation variability, The Cryosphere, 14, 4181-4199, [https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4181-2020,](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4181-2020, 2020)
13 2020.

14 Humphrey, Neil F., Joel T. Harper, and Toby W. Meierbachtol.: Physical Limits to Meltwater Penetration in Firm, Journal of
15 Glaciology 67, no. 265: 952-60. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.44, 2021>.

16 IMBIE.: Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018, Nature, 579, 233-239, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2, 2020)
17 019-1855-2, 2020.

18 Jullien, N., Tedstone, A. J., Machguth, H., Karlsson, N. B., and Helm, V.: Greenland Ice Sheet ice slab expansion and
19 thickening, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2022GL100911, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100911, 2023>.

20 Jullien N, Tedstone AJ, Machguth H.: Constraining ice slab thickness at the onset of visible surface runoff from the Greenland
21 ice sheet. Journal of Glaciology 71, e36, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2025.25, 2025>.

22 Koziol CP and Arnold N: Modelling seasonal meltwater forcing of the velocity of land-terminating margins of the Greenland
 23 Ice Sheet. *Cryosphere*, 12(3), 971-991, 2018

24 Law R, Arnold N, Benedek C, Tedesco M, Banwell A, Willis I., 2020, Over-winter persistence of supraglacial lakes on the
 25 Greenland Ice Sheet: results and insights from a new model. *Journal of Glaciology* 66(257), 362-372.
 26 <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.7>

27 Leeson, A. A., Shepherd, A., Briggs, K., Howat, I., Fettweis, X., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E.: Supraglacial lakes on the
 28 Greenland ice sheet advance inland under warming climate, *Nat Clim Chang*, 5, 51-55. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2463>,
 29 2015.

30 Leeson, A. A., Shepherd, A., Palmer, S., Sundal, A., and Fettweis, X.: Simulating the growth of supraglacial lakes at the
 31 western margin of the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1077-1086, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1077-2012>, 2012.

32 Liang, Y.-L., Colgan, W., Lv, Q., Steffen, K., Abdalati, W., Stroeve, J., Gallaher, D., and Bayou, N.: A decadal investigation
 33 of supraglacial lakes in West Greenland using a fully automatic detection and tracking algorithm, *Remote Sensing of*
 34 *Environment*, 123, 127-138, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.03.020>, 2012.

35 Lüthje, M., Pedersen, L. T., Reeh, N., and Greuell, W.: Modelling the evolution of supraglacial lakes on the West Greenland
 36 ice-sheet margin, *J. Glaciol.*, 52, 608-618, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828386>, 2006.

37 Machguth, H., MacFerrin, M., van As, D., Box, J. E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., Fausto, R. S., Meijer, H. A. J., Mosley-
 38 Thompson., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: Greenland meltwater storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation. *Nature Clim*
 39 *Change* 6, 390-393, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2899>, 2016.

40 Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., and Mattea, E.: Daily variations in Western Greenland slush limits, 2000-2021, *Journal of*
 41 *Glaciology*, 69(273), 191-203, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2022.65>, 2022.

42 McFeeters, S. K.: The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features',
 43 *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 17(7), 1425-1432, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169608948714>, 1996.

44 Melling, L., Leeson, A., McMillan, M., Maddalena, J., Bowling, J., Glen, E., Sandberg Sørensen, L., Winstrup, M., and Lørup
 45 Arildsen, R.: Evaluation of satellite methods for estimating supraglacial lake depth in southwest Greenland, *The Cryosphere*,
 46 18, 543-558, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-543-2024>, 2024.

47 Miège, C., Forster, R. R., Brucker, L., Koenig, L. S., Solomon, D. K., Paden, J. D., Box, J. E., Burgess, E. W., Miller, J. Z.,
 48 McNerney, L., and Brautigam, N.: Spatial extent and temporal variability of Greenland firn aquifers detected by ground and
 49 airborne radars, *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 121, 2381-2398, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF003869>, 2016.

50 Mikkelsen, A. B., Hubbard, A., MacFerrin, M., Box, J. E., Doyle, S. H., Fitzpatrick, A., Hasholt, B., Bailey, H. L., Lindbäck,
 51 K., and Pettersson, R.: Extraordinary runoff from the Greenland ice sheet in 2012 amplified by hypsometry and depleted firn
 52 retention, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1147-1159, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1147-2016>, 2016.

53 Miles K. E., Willis I. C., Benedek, C. L., Williamson, A. G., and Tedesco, M.: Toward Monitoring Surface and Subsurface
 54 Lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet Using Sentinel-1 SAR and Landsat-8 OLI Imagery, *Front. Earth Sci.* 5:58,
 55 <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2017.00058>, 2017.

56 Moon, T., Mankoff, K., Fausto, R., Fettweis, X., Loomis, B., Mote, T., et al.: Arctic Report Card 2022: Greenland Ice Sheet,
 57 NOAA Technical Report OAR ARC 22-05, <https://doi.org/10.25923/c430-hb50>, 2022.

58 Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Hogg, A. E., Rodehacke, C. B., Simonsen, S. B., and Wallis, B. J.: The Greenlandification of
 59 Antarctica, *Nature Geoscience*, 18, 928-930, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-025-01805-1> , 2025.

60 Moussavi, M., Pope, A., Halberstadt, A. R. W., Trusel, L. D., Cioffi, L., and Abdalati, W.: Antarctic supraglacial lake detection
 61 using Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2 imagery: Towards continental generation of lake volumes. *Remote Sens.*, 12(1), 134,
 62 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12010134>, 2020.

63 Nowicki, S., Goelzer, H., Seroussi, H., Payne, A. J., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Alexander, P., Asay-Davis,
 64 X. S., Barthel, A., Bracegirdle, T. J., Cullather, R., Felikson, D., Fettweis, X., Gregory, J. M., Hattermann, T., Jourdain, N. C.,
 65 Kuipers Munneke, P., Larour, E., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Nias, I., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Slater, D., Smith, R. S.,
 66 Straneo, F., Trusel, L. D., van den Broeke, M. R., and van de Wal, R.: Experimental protocol for sea level projections from
 67 ISMIP6 stand-alone ice sheet models, *The Cryosphere*, 14, 2331-2368, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2331-2020>, 2020.

68 Noël, B., van de Berg, W. J., Lhermitte, S., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Rapid ablation zone expansion amplifies North
 69 Greenland mass loss. *Science Advances*, 5(9), 2-11. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw0123>, 2019.

70 Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Schlegel, N.-J., Amory, C., van den Broeke, M. R., Horwath, M., Joughin, I., King,
 71 M. D., Krinner, G., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Rignot, E., Scambos, T., Simon, K. M., Smith, B. E., Sørensen, L. S., Velicogna,
 72 I., Whitehouse, P. L., A. G., Agosta, C., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Blazquez, A., Colgan, W., Engdahl, M. E., Fettweis, X., Forsberg,
 73 R., Gallée, H., Gardner, A., Gilbert, L., Gourmelen, N., Groh, A., Gunter, B. C., Harig, C., Helm, V., Khan, S. A., Kittel, C.,
 74 Konrad, H., Langen, P. L., Lecavalier, B. S., Liang, C.-C., Loomis, B. D., McMillan, M., Melini, D., Mernild, S. H., Mottram,
 75 R., Mouginot, J., Nilsson, J., Noël, B., Pattle, M. E., Peltier, W. R., Pie, N., Roca, M., Sasgen, I., Save, H. V., Seo, K.-W.,
 76 Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E. J. O., Schröder, L., Simonsen, S. B., Slater, T., Spada, G., Sutterley, T. C., Vishwakarma, B. D.,
 77 van Wessem, J. M., Wiese, D., van der Wal, W., and Wouters, B.: Mass balance of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets
 78 from 1992 to 2020, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15, 1597-1616, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-1597-2023>, 2023.

79 Otto J, Holmes, F. A. and Kirchner, N.: Supraglacial lake expansion, intensified lake drainage frequency, and first observation
 80 of coupled lake drainage, during 1985-2020 at Ryder Glacier, Northern Greenland, *Front. Earth Sci.*,10:978137,
 81 <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.978137>, 2022.

82 Porter, C., Morin, P., Howat, I., Noh, M.-J., Bates, B., Peterman, K., Keesey, S., Schlenk, M., Gardiner, J., Tomko, K., Willis,
 83 M., Kelleher, C., Cloutier, M., Husby, E., Foga, S., Nakamura, H., Platson, M., Wethington, M. Jr., Williamson, C., Bauer, G.,
 84 Enos, J., Arnold, G., Kramer, W., Becker, P., Doshi, A., D'Souza, C., Cummens, P., Laurier, F., and Bo-jesen, M.: ArcticDEM,
 85 v3.0, Harvard Dataverse [data set], <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/OHHUKH>, 2023 [Date Accessed: April 2024].

86 Qayyum, N., Ghuffar, S., Ahmad, H., Yousaf, A., and Shahid, I.: Glacial Lakes Mapping Using Multi Satellite PlanetScope
 87 Imagery and Deep Learning. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.*, 9, 560, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9100560>, 2020.

88 Rawlins, L. D., Rippin, D. M., Sole, A. J., Livingstone, S. J., and Yang, K.: Seasonal evolution of the supraglacial drainage
 89 network at Humboldt Glacier, North Greenland, between 2016 and 2020, *The Cryosphere Discuss.*, [https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2023-23)
 90 2023-23, 2023.

91 Rippin, D. and Rawlins, L.: Supraglacial River Networks, In *International Encyclopedia of Geography*, edited by: Richardson,
 92 D., Castree, N., Goodchild, M. F., Kobayashi, A., Liu, W., and Marston, R. A.,
 93 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg2072>, 2021

94 Ryan, J. C., Smith, L. C., van As, D., Cooley, S. W., Cooper, M. G., Pitcher, L. H., and Hubbard, A.: Greenland Ice Sheet
 95 surface melt amplified by snowline migration and bare ice exposure. *Sci. Adv.*, 5, eaav3738,
 96 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav3738>, 2019.

97 Ryan, J.C., Cooper, M.G., Cooley, S.W. *et al.* Meltwater ponding has an underestimated radiative effect on the surface of the
 98 Greenland Ice Sheet. *Nat Commun* 16, 8274, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-62503-5>, 2025

99 Sasgen, I., Wouters, B., Gardner, A. S., King, M. D., Tedesco, M., Landerer, F. W., Dahle, C., Save, H., and Fettweis, X.:
 00 Return to rapid ice loss in Greenland and record loss in 2019 detected by the GRACE-FO satellites, *Communications Earth &*
 01 *Environment*, 1, 8, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0001-2>, 2020.

02 Selmes, N., Murray, T., and James T. D.: Fast draining lakes on the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys Res Lett*, 38, L15501,
 03 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047872>, 2011.

04 Slater, T., Shepherd, A., McMillan, M. Leeson, A., Gilbert, L., Muir, A., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noel, B., Fettweis, X., van den
 05 Broeke, M., Briggs, K.: Increased variability in Greenland Ice Sheet runoff from satellite observations. *Nat Commun* 12, 6069,
 06 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26229-4>, 2021

07 Stokes, C. R., Sanderson, J. E., Miles, B. W. J., Jamieson, S. S. R., and Leeson, A. A.: Widespread distribution of supraglacial
 08 lakes around the margin of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, *Scientific Reports*, 9, 13823, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50343-5)
 09 50343-5, 2019.

10 Sundal, A. V., Shepherd, A., Nienow, P., Hanna, E., Palmer, S., and Huybrechts, P.: Evolution of supra-glacial lakes across
 11 the Greenland ice sheet, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 113(10), 2164-2171, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.05.018>, 2009.

12 Tedesco, M. and Fettweis, X.: Unprecedented atmospheric conditions (1948-2019) drive the 2019 exceptional melting season
 13 over the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 14, 1209-1223, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020>, 2020.

14 Tedesco, M., Willis, I. C., Hoffman, M. J., Banwell, A. F., Alexander, P., and Arnold, N. S.: Ice dynamic response to two
 15 modes of surface lake drainage on the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 034007,
 16 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034007>, 2013

17 Tedstone, A. and Machguth, H.: Increasing surface runoff from Greenland's firn areas, *Nature Climate Change* 12, 672-676,
 18 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01371-z>, 2022.

19 Tedstone, A. J., Bamber, J. L., Cook, J. M., Williamson, C. J., Fettweis, X., Hodson, A. J., and Tranter, M.: Dark ice dynamics
 20 of the south-west Greenland Ice Sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 11, 2491-2506, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2491-2017>, 2017.

21 Tedstone, A., Machguth, H., Clerx, N. *et al.* Concurrent superimposed ice formation and meltwater runoff on Greenland's ice
 22 slabs. *Nat Commun* **16**, 4494 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-59237-9>

23 The Firn Symposium team: Firn on ice sheets, *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, **5**, 79-99, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00507-9)
 24 [023-00507-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00507-9), 2024.

25 Trusel, L. D., Das, S. B., Osman, M. B., Evans, M. J., Smith, B. E., Fettweis, X., McConnell, J. R., Noël, B. P. Y., and Broeke,
 26 M. R. van den: Nonlinear rise in Greenland runoff in response to post-industrial Arctic warming, *Nature*, **564**, 104-108, 2018
 27 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0752-4>, 2018.

28 Tuckett, P. A., Sole, A. J., Livingstone, S. J., Jones, J. M., Lea, J. M., and Gilbert, E.: Continent-wide mapping shows increasing
 29 sensitivity of East Antarctica to meltwater ponding, *Nature Climate Change*, **15**, 775-783, 2025.

30 van As, D., Bech Mikkelsen, A., Holtegaard Nielsen, M., Box, J. E., Claesson Liljedahl, L., Lindbäck, K., Pitcher, L., and
 31 Hasholt, B.: Hypsometric amplification and routing moderation of Greenland ice sheet meltwater release, *The Cryosphere*, **11**,
 32 1371-1386, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1371-2017>, 2017.

33 van den Broeke, M. R., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B., Reijmer, C., Smeets, P., van de Berg, W. J., et al.: Contrasting current
 34 and future surface melt rates on the ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica: Lessons from in situ observations and climate
 35 models, *PLOS Climate*, **2**, e0000203, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000203>, 2023.

36 Vandecrux, B., MacFerrin, M., Machguth, H., Colgan, W. T., van As, D., Heilig, A., Stevens, C. M., Charalampidis, C., Fausto,
 37 R. S., Morris, E. M., Mosley-Thompson, E., Koenig, L., Montgomery, L. N., Miège, C., Simonsen, S. B., Ingeman-Nielsen,
 38 T., and Box, J. E.: Firn data compilation reveals widespread decrease of firn air content in western Greenland, *The Cryosphere*,
 39 **13**, 845-859, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-845-2019>, 2019.

40 Williamson, A. G., Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N. S.: Dual-satellite (Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8) remote sensing
 41 of supraglacial lakes in Greenland, *The Cryosphere*, **12**, 3045-3065, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3045-2018>, 2018.

42 Williamson, A. G., Arnold, N., Banwell, A., and Willis, I.: A Fully Automated Supraglacial lake area and volume Tracking
 43 ('FAST') algorithm: Development and application using MODIS imagery of West Greenland, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, **196**,
 44 113-133, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.04.032>, 2017.

45 Yang, K., Smith, L. C., Sole, A., Livingstone, S. J., Cheng, X., Chen, Z., and Li, M.: Supraglacial rivers on the northwest
 46 Greenland Ice Sheet, Devon Ice Cap, and Barnes Ice Cap mapped using Sentinel-2 imagery, *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs.*, **78**, 1-13,
 47 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2019.01.008>, 2019.

48 Zhang, W., Yang, K., Smith, L. C., Wang, Y., van As, D., Noël, B., Lu, Y., Liu, J.: Pan-Greenland mapping of supraglacial
 49 rivers, lakes, and water-filled crevasses in a cool summer (2018) and a warm summer (2019), *Remote Sensing of Environment*,
 50 **297**, 113781, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113781>, 2023.