A nine-year record of slush on the Greenland Ice Sheet

Emily Glen[1,2,3], Alison F. Banwell[4,5], Katie E. ~~Miles[1]~~Miles[2], Amber A. ~~Leeson[1,2]~~Leeson[2,3], Rebecca L. Dell[6], Malcolm ~~McMillan[1,2]~~McMillan[2,3], Jennifer ~~Maddalena[1,2]~~Maddalena[2,3]

~~[1] Lancaster~~[1]Department of Geography, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA
[2]Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
~~[2] Centre~~[3]Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
~~[3] Department of Geography, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA~~
~~[4] Cooperative~~[4]Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA
~~[51]Centre~~[5]Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, School of Geography and Natural Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
~~[6] Scott~~[6]Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK

Correspondence to: Emily Glen (emily_glen@psu.edu)

Abstract. Surface melt on the Greenland Ice Sheet ~~(GrIS)~~ has intensified in recent decades, accelerating ~~ice sheet~~ mass loss. Slush ~~(~~, i.e~~.~~., water-saturated snow or firn ~~or snow)~~, is a key component of ~~this~~ the ice sheet's surface hydrological system, yet its ~~areal~~ extent and behaviour remain poorly constrained. ~~Here,~~ We present the first ~~GrIS-wide~~ classification of slush across the Greenland Ice Sheet, using Sentinel-2 imagery and a ~~supervised~~ random forest classifier ~~implemented in Google Earth Engine, generating~~to generate a nine-year ~~(2016-2024)~~ dataset ~~used to perform the first ice-sheet-wide systematic assessment of~~ spanning 2016–2024. Over this period, slush ~~distribution across all GrIS drainage basins. On average, slush covers ∼2.9% (50,300-51,055 km^2) of the GrIS each summer, with maximum~~covered a mean seasonal ~~extents~~extent of 2.8% of the ice sheet (~48,100 ± 3,600 km^2), ranging from ~~∼~~1.~~2% (20,460-20,770~~1% (~18,700 km^2) in 2018, the lowest-melt year, to ~~∼~~5.~~2% (90,120-91,470~~1% (~88,500 km^2) in 2019~~.~~, the highest-melt year. Slush ~~is~~was most extensive in the southwestern and northern basins~~,~~, and most ~~persistent in the northeast and north, and maximum slush elevation co-varies with areal extent across all basins. Over the nine-year record, ∼71% of all mapped slush occurs over the bare ice zone and ∼29% within the firn zone. Notably, ∼30% of total slush co-occurs with ice slab extents, a proportion increasing with persistence, consistent with slabs acting as semi-permeable barriers favouring~~ recurrent ~~slush formation, while a further ∼15% occurs within the firn zone outside mapped slab extents, suggesting smaller~~in northern and northeastern basins; across these regions, its distribution was associated with bare ice, low-permeability ice slabs and, potentially, finer-scale firn heterogeneity ~~may locally impede vertical meltwater infiltration. Interannual variability in slush extent is most strongly associated with firn air content, followed by snowmelt and air temperature; at intra-annual timescales, monthly slush extent is most strongly associated with concurrent and antecedent snowmelt. Extreme melt years may also precondition the ice sheet for enhanced slush recurrence in subsequent seasons, as suggested by persistently elevated slush extent in the northern basin following 2019, even during years of modest melt.~~. Slush ~~is~~was the dominant mapped surface meltwater feature ~~on the GrIS in terms of spatial coverage: maximum slush~~

by area: in 2019, its extent was nine~~ and four~~ times ~~greater than~~ the reported combined area of supraglacial lakes~~ and~~, channels ~~in 2019 and 2018, respectively. Continued warming and declining firn retention capacity are likely to favour more extensive and persistent slush formation, and~~, and water-filled crevasses. The mean elevation of the upper slush limit broadly covaried with slush extent, with both increasing during high-melt years. Slush extent and occurrence were strongly associated with higher snowmelt and lower firn air content, while first-order estimates suggest that slush may enhance surface energy absorption and, if the additional absorbed energy is converted to melt, increase runoff. As the ice sheet warms, slush is likely to play an increasingly important role in the surface hydrological system, and its explicit representation ~~of slush~~ in surface energy~~-~~-balance and hydrological models is required to better constrain future ice sheet mass-balance projections.

1. Introduction

The Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) has experienced a negative mass balance for several decades, losing ice at a rate of ~~255 ± 19~~169 ± 9 Gt yr^{-1} ~~from 2002 to 2022~~between 1992 and 2020 (Otosaka et al., 2023). ~~Supraglacial~~Surface melting and runoff are major ~~drivers of~~contributors to this mass loss, accounting for just over half of the total ice~~-~~-sheet mass loss between 1992 and 2018 (The IMBIE Team, 2020). Mean summer air temperature on the GrIS ~~has~~ increased by ~1.7 °C ~~from~~between 1991 ~~to~~and 2019 (Hanna et al., 2021), contributing to a 21% increase in ~~supraglacial~~surface runoff ~~between~~during 2011~~ and ~~–2020 ~~compared~~relative to the ~~previous~~preceding three decades (Slater et al., 2021; Trusel et al., 2018). Superimposed on this long-term warming trend are increasingly frequent extreme melt events (Bonsoms et al., 2024~~);~~), which are short-lived episodes of anomalously intense melting typically driven by persistent high-pressure systems (Tedesco and Fettweis, 2020). In response to both long-term warming and ~~an increase in~~ the increasing frequency of extreme melt events, supraglacial meltwater features, particularly lakes, have expanded in ~~both~~ area and ~~elevation~~ to higher elevations over recent decades (Fan et al., 2025; Leeson et al., 2015; Howat et al., 2013~~), with~~). Between 1985 and 2020, the ~~maximum~~upper elevation limit of runoff ~~shifting inland~~increased by up to 329 m a.s.l. ~~in elevation between 1985 and 2020~~and extended inland into higher-elevation reaches of the percolation zone in some regions (Tedstone and Machguth, 2022~~). In some regions, melt now extends further inland into the percolation zone, an area that has historically experienced little or no seasonal melting (~~; Tedesco, 2007; Fettweis et al., 2011). This inland expansion of melt has been accompanied by reductions in firn air content (FAC), including a 23 ± 16% decline in the low-accumulation area of the western Greenland percolation zone from 1998–2008 to 2010–2017 (Vandecrux et al., 2019). Enhanced meltwater production~~, together with reductions in~~ and reduced firn storage capacity~~, can create conditions favourable for~~ favour the formation of slush, defined here as~~ fully~~ water-saturated firn or snow (Cogley et al., 2011).

Slush, first observed during Greenland expeditions in the early twentieth century and formally described by Holmes (1955), ~~typically~~ forms ~~in the percolation zone~~ when vertical drainage is impeded, either ~~by~~because a low-permeability ice layer blocks percolation or ~~by saturation of~~because meltwater fills the ~~firn~~available pore space, ~~which waterlogs~~causing the overlying firn ~~and~~or snow~~.~~ to become water-saturated. Holmes (1955) distinguished between surface and subsurface slush~~ and sub-surface slush.~~. Surface slush, referred to as blue slush, is ~~fully~~ saturated ~~at~~to the surface and can therefore be detected in optical satellite imagery~~ (referred to as blue slush),~~, whereas ~~sub-surface~~subsurface slush, referred to as white slush, is overlain by unsaturated snow ~~(referred to as white slush) and therefore~~ and cannot be identified in optical imagery (Greuell and Knap, 2000; Machguth et al., 2022). Once formed, slush ~~forms,~~promotes the ~~reduced~~preferential lateral rather than vertical ~~permeability causes~~routing of meltwater~~ to be preferentially routed laterally~~. This process enhances surface hydrological connectivity, as meltwater flows more readily through slush than through dry snow and firn (Clerx et al., 2022), feeding supraglacial channels and lakes (Holmes, 1955). Slush can act as a precursor to supraglacial channelisation, either ~~through~~by directing excess meltwater into topographic depressions, where channel incision ~~is initiated~~may begin (Chu, 2014; Rippin and Rawlins, 2021), or ~~through~~ by facilitating the removal of the previous winter's snow from ~~an~~ existing ~~channel~~channels (Tedesco et al., 2013). These channels can ~~link~~connect to moulins or crevasses, providing ~~a pathway~~pathways through which meltwater ~~can be~~is routed to the ice~~-~~-sheet bed (Tedesco et al., 2013; Banwell et al., 2013, 2016~~)~~), thereby influencing basal lubrication and ice dynamics (e.g., de Fleurian et al., 2018; Koziol and Arnold, 2018). Upon refreezing, slush ~~has the potential to create~~can form new ~~and~~near-surface ice slabs or thicken ~~pre-~~existing ~~near-surface ice~~ slabs (Tedstone et al., 2025). These multi-metre-thick bodies of refrozen ice can extend over tens of kilometres (Machguth et al., 2016; MacFerrin et al., 2019; Jullien et al., 2025) and, ~~due to~~because of their low permeability, restrict vertical meltwater transport and reduce firn storage~~,~~ capacity, thereby enhancing runoff from inland areas (Machguth et al., 2016; Tedstone and Machguth, 2022; Culberg et al., 2024).

Despite its hydrological importance, slush remains largely absent from large-scale meltwater mapping efforts across the GrIS. Only a limited number of studies have attempted to quantify ~~the~~its areal extent ~~and/~~or upper elevation ~~of slush~~limit, either through catchment-scale mapping of its spatial distribution (e.g., Covi ~~et al.,~~, 2022; Rawlins et al., 2023; Glen et al., 2025) or, at larger spatial scales, ~~-~~by identifying the 'runoff limit', defined as the highest elevation ~~where~~at which visibly saturated firn or snow is present in satellite imagery (Greuell and Knap, 2000; Tedstone and Machguth, 2022; Machguth et al., 2022). Recent observations ~~suggest~~indicate that both the ~~elevation and~~ areal and elevational extent of slush ~~are highly sensitive to interannual~~vary substantially with inter-annual melt ~~variability. For example,~~ conditions. Within the Watson catchment~~,~~ in southwest Greenland, for example, slush ~~was found to cover~~covered a substantially larger area and ~~extend~~extended to higher elevations during the extreme melt year of 2019 ~~compared to~~than during the below-average melt year of 2018 (Glen et al., 2025). ~~, increasing~~Its areal extent increased from 1.6% of the catchment (~80 km^2) in 2018 to 7.6% (~380 km^2) in 2019, ~~and expanding upslope~~while its upper elevation limit increased from ~~elevations~~ below 1,500 m a.s.l. to above 1,800 m a.s.l. ~~(Glen et al., 2025).~~ Similarly, long-term satellite analyses indicate ~~a systematic~~an upward migration of the runoff limit ~~of~~across the GrIS~~, with~~: Landsat imagery ~~revealing~~revealed a 29% expansion in runoff area between 1985 and 2020 (Tedstone and Machguth, 2022), ~~and~~while MODIS imagery ~~detecting~~detected slush at elevations approaching ~~∼2080~~2,080 m a.s.l. in western Greenland (Machguth et al., 2022).

Recent findings from Antarctic ice shelves further emphasise the broader importance of slush ~~within~~to polar ice~~-~~-sheet ~~hydrological~~hydrology and mass balance ~~systems more generally.~~. Dell et al. (2024) ~~show~~showed that~~,~~ in January (mid-austral summer), slush ~~accounts~~accounted for ~~approximately 50~~57% of the mean total surface meltwater area across 57 ice shelves, suggesting that previous meltwater inventories~~, which focus~~ focused primarily on supraglacial lakes and channels~~, significantly~~ may substantially underestimate total surface meltwater area. Dell et al. (2024) ~~demonstrate~~also demonstrated that ~~incorporating surface meltwater processes (including~~, across five representative ice shelves, adjusting surface albedo in a regional climate model to account for the lower albedo of slush and ponded water~~) into regional climate models via the melt-albedo feedback from surface saturation (e.g. Banwell et al., 2023) nearly triples~~ increased modelled snowmelt~~. Fully~~ to 2.8 times the original estimate. Explicitly accounting for slush in ice~~-~~-sheet meltwater budgets and ~~climate~~surface energy-balance models is therefore ~~crucial to better estimate~~necessary to improve estimates of present-day and future surface melt and runoff~~,~~ ~~both now and into the future.~~ .

Here, we adapt a machine learning (ML) workflow developed for Antarctic ice shelves (Dell et al., ~~2022~~2022a, 2024) to classify blue surface slush (henceforth 'slush') across the entire GrIS using Sentinel-2 (S2) imagery in Google Earth Engine (GEE). This represents the first application of ML to map slush on the GrIS, through which we generate an ice-sheet-wide dataset of slush extent spanning nine years (2016~~-~~–2024). Using these data, we characterise the ~~ice-sheet-wide~~ distribution and persistence of slush across all six ~~major~~ drainage basins~~, examining~~ and examine its spatial and temporal variability, ~~elevation~~elevational patterns, ~~potential controls~~associations with environmental conditions, and relative importance within the broader GrIS surface meltwater ~~regime~~system.

2. Methods and data

To date, the majority of remote~~-~~ sensing studies of supraglacial meltwater features on the Earth's ice ~~sheet surface meltwater~~sheets have focused on ~~supraglacial~~ lakes and channels, which ~~are meltwater features with~~have relatively distinct spectral characteristics and well-defined boundaries. ~~Therefore, compared to~~ Compared with slush, these features can therefore be mapped with reasonable accuracy using threshold-based methods, such as the Normalised Difference Water Index adapted for ice (NDWI_{ice}) (e.g., Williamson et al., 2017, 2018; Miles et al., 2017). Slush has diffuse boundaries and a muted blue hue in optical imagery, making it difficult to isolate using standard thresholding techniques. In such approaches, slush is typically either omitted entirely ~~(~~and classified as 'non-water'~~)~~ or included within broader 'water' classes without being distinguished from lakes or channels, leading to the underestimation or mischaracterisation of its extent (e.g., Rawlins et al., 2023; Yang and Smith, 2012).

Random forest (RF) algorithms (e.g., Dirscherl et al., 2020; Dell et al., ~~2022~~2022a, 2024) and deep~~-~~ learning methods (e.g., Qayyum et al., 2020; Dunmire et al., 2025) have recently shown promise as ~~an alternative~~alternatives to image thresholding for meltwater classification in both Greenland and Antarctica. For slush specifically, Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a, 2024) employed a k-means clustering approach to generate training classes from Landsat 8 imagery, which were then used to train an RF classifier to identify slush ~~(~~and supraglacial water bodies~~)~~ on Antarctic ice shelves. However, no study has yet applied such ML classification methods ~~such as these~~ specifically ~~for~~to slush detection on the GrIS.

Here, we classified slush on the GrIS ~~by~~ following the approach outlined by Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a, 2024), ~~but~~ with adaptations for its application to the GrIS, as detailed in the following sections and Figure 1. We retained the general workflow of Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a, 2024), ~~who~~ originally ~~applied it to~~developed for Landsat 8 optical imagery, including k-means clustering for training~~-~~ data generation, NDWI_{ice}-based pre-thresholding, and RF classification in GEE (Gorelick et al., 2017). However, we made several adaptations for application to ~~Sentinel-2 (~~S2~~)~~ imagery, including ~~specifically adjusted~~ adjustments to spectral thresholds to ~~reflect~~account for sensor differences~~,~~ and the addition of RF hyperparameter optimisation to improve classification performance. All image pre-processing, training~~-~~ data generation, RF classification, and post-processing were implemented in GEE, with the final binary slush masks exported at ~~100 m~~a spatial resolution of 100 m (Glen~~ et al.,~~, 2026). We conducted our analysis across all six basins of the GrIS: Southwest (SW), Central West (CW), Northwest (NW), North (NO), Northeast (NE~~)~~), and Southeast (SE) (Fig. 2; Mouginot and Rignot, 2019).


1. Imagery Acquisition
Acquire 18 S2 images from GEE for training and validation
2. Image Pre-processing
a) Cloud masking
b) Rock masking
c) Crevasse masking
d) NDWI$_{ice}$ > 0.12 filtering
3. Training and Validation
a) K-means clustering
b) Manual interpretation
c) Balanced sampling
d) Data split (80/20)
4. Random Forest Classifier
a) Hyperparameter Tuning
b) Train classifier using S2 bands and NDWI$_{ice}$
5. Accuracy Assessment
Evaluate the classification accuracy using various metrics
6. Post-processing
Correct misclassifications (e.g., residual cloud cover and shadows)
7. Application
Apply the trained classifier to mosaics



Figure 1: Flowchart of the slush~~-~~-classification methodology adapted from Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a, 2024~~; ~~), originally developed for Antarctic ice shelves~~)~~, for application to the GrIS in this study.


80°W
60°W
40°W
20°W
0°
80°N
NO
NW
NE
70°N
CW
SE
SW
Earthstar Geographics
60°N



Figure 2: Overview map of the GrIS ~~separated~~divided into six drainage basins: SW, CW, NW, NO, NE, and SE (Mouginot and Rignot, 2019). Red boxes indicate the footprints of the 24 S2 images used in this study: 18 for training and internal validation of the RF classifier~~,~~ and a further 6 ~~used~~ for comparison ~~against~~with threshold-based classification methods. Base map source: Esri~~,~~ (2024).

2.1. Data

2.1.1. Sentinel-2 imagery

We acquired and pre-processed Level-1C S2 MultiSpectral Instrument (MSI) imagery from the European Space Agency (ESA) via the GEE data catalogue, processing a total of 329,229 images ~~from~~ acquired between May ~~to~~and September~~,~~ from 2016 to 2024. As Level-1C imagery is ~~already~~ provided as scaled top-of-atmosphere reflectance, pixel values were divided by 10,000 to scale the reflectance values between 0 and 1 (ESA, 2015). To improve meltwater-feature detection, we restricted the dataset to scenes with a solar elevation angle >20° (Halberstadt et al., 2020) and cloud cover <25%, following ~~common~~ filtering approaches commonly used in supraglacial meltwater-feature mapping studies (e.g., Corr et al., 2022; Glen et al., 2025; Tuckett et al., 2025). Although pixel-level cloud masking was ~~applied~~ subsequently applied, this pre-filter helped reduce residual cloud-shadow artefacts and ensured sufficient clear-sky pixel coverage for compositing. All available MSI bands were used ~~in the analysis.~~ , with GEE dynamically handling differences in native spatial resolution using default nearest-neighbour resampling.

2.1.2. Supporting datasets

We used the 2 m ArcticDEM mosaic (Porter et al., 2023) to provide high-resolution surface topography across the ice sheet. ~~The~~ ArcticDEM was used to mask crevassed areas following Chudley et al. (2021) ~~as well as~~and to ~~determine~~characterise the ~~maximum~~ elevation of the upper slush ~~reached during the melt season. For the latter, ArcticDEM~~limit. Elevations were sampled ~~every~~at 500 m intervals along the ~~upper limit of~~ mapped upper slush ~~across the ice sheet~~limit and averaged to ~~estimate the maximum slush~~calculate its mean elevation.

Firn extent ~~from~~for 2000~~ to ~~–2017 was taken from Vandecrux et al. (2019), based on firn-density observations and end-of-summer snowline data. Ice-slab extents were taken from Jullien et al. (2023), who used airborne accumulation-radar data collected across the GrIS between 2002 and 2018 to map spatial variations in ice-slab extent and thickness. We ~~use~~used both their ~~'low-end'~~low-end and ~~'high-end'~~high-end estimates of slab extent in our analysis. Firn-aquifer extents were taken from Miège et al. (2016), who identified aquifers using ~~NASA's~~NASA Operation IceBridge accumulation-radar ~~flown~~data collected during five airborne campaigns between 2010 and 2014. Since these datasets represent different periods, they were used as contextual spatial overlays rather than as strictly contemporaneous representations of conditions during 2016–2024.

Snowfall, ~~melt~~snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature data were obtained from the Regional Atmospheric Climate Model RACMO2.3p2 (hereafter 'RACMO'; Noël et al., 2019). RACMO is forced by ERA5 and provides monthly snowfall, ~~surface melt~~snowmelt, and 2 m air-temperature fields at ~~5.5 km~~a spatial resolution of 5.5 km, statistically downscaled to 1 km (Noël et al., 2018, 2019). ~~Firn air content (~~FAC~~)~~ was derived from the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research–Firn Densification Model (IMAU-FDM), a one-dimensional, semi-empirical model that is also forced by ERA5 and dynamically downscaled using RACMO~~, which~~. IMAU-FDM provides FAC fields at ~~10-day~~a temporal resolution of 10 days and ~~5.5 km~~a spatial resolution of 5.5 km (Brils et al., 2022~~).~~); because data were available only through 2023, FAC-based analyses covered 2016–2023.

Snowfall, ~~melt,~~snowmelt, 2 m air temperature, and FAC anomalies were calculated relative to the 1960~~-~~–1989 monthly climatology, a period during which the ice sheet is assumed to have been approximately in a steady state with climate forcing (~~Smith~~Mouginot et al., ~~2020~~2019). Anomalies were calculated for each ~~summer~~melt-season month (May~~-~~–September) and for each year between 2016 and 2024. These anomaly datasets were used to characterise ~~interannual~~inter-annual variability in snowfall, ~~melt,~~snowmelt, 2 m air temperature, and FAC during ~~our~~the study period.

2.2. Image pre-processing

2.2.1. Image masking

To mask confounding surface features, we applied a cloud-detection algorithm based on S2-specific thresholds from Glen et al. (2025), following Corr et al. (2022), with a 1 km buffer to account for cloud shadows. Rock outcrops were excluded using a modified Normalised Difference Snow Index approach, as detailed in Glen et al. (2025~~),~~) following Moussavi et al. (2020), ~~also buffered by~~with a 1 km buffer also applied to ensure complete removal. A crevasse mask~~,~~ derived from the 2 m ArcticDEM ~~data~~ (Porter et al., 2023~~),~~) was used to remove ~~crevasses to~~crevassed areas and prevent misclassification errors (Chudley et al., 2021). Meltwater features, including slush, were then isolated using an $NDWI_{ice}$ ~~(equation 1)~~ threshold~~,~~ (Eq. 1), which is a variant of the standard NDWI (McFeeters, 1996). ~~While~~Whereas the standard NDWI, calculated ~~from~~using the green (B3) and near-infrared (B8) bands, is ~~best for~~commonly applied in terrestrial environments and, in some cases, to ice~~-~~-sheet surfaces (e.g~~.~~., Box and Ski, 2007), $NDWI_{ice}$ is specifically optimised for ice and firn surfaces and instead uses the blue (B2) and red (B4) bands (Yang and Smith, 2012).

$$NDWI_{Ice} = \frac{B2-B4}{B2+B4} \tag{1}$$

~~While~~Whereas Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a) applied ~~a > 0.1~~an $NDWI_{ice}$ threshold ~~for~~> 0.1 to Antarctic ice shelves, we increased this threshold to > 0.12 for Greenland (Yang and Smith, 2012) to account for regional differences in surface albedo and reflectance. Additionally, we ~~used~~applied a blue~~-~~-band reflectance threshold ~~of~~ > 0.03 to filter out shadows cast by rocks, crevasses, and other non-meltwater features (Dirscherl et al., 2020; Corr et al., 2022).

2.2.2. S2 image mosaic creation

~~Monthly~~ For each basin and ~~annual~~ year from 2016 to 2024, monthly S2 mosaics were generated for ~~each basin over~~May, June, July, August, and September, together with a seasonal mosaic spanning the full May–September period ~~2016-2024 (May-September).~~. After image filtering and masking, all available S2 images were combined into mosaics using the *qualityMosaic* function in GEE, which ~~retains~~selects, for each pixel, the ~~maximum~~observation with the highest $\mathrm{NDWI_{ice}}$ value ~~for each pixel across~~among overlapping images to capture the strongest potential meltwater signal ~~in~~within a given month or ~~year~~season (Dell et al., ~~2022~~2022a). These monthly and ~~annual~~seasonal mosaics were then used as ~~the~~ input datasets for the RF classifier (Section 2.4). The observation with the highest $\mathrm{NDWI_{ice}}$ value was selected independently for each pixel; therefore, the resulting slush areas represent composite footprints of pixels classified as slush during the corresponding month or season, rather than areas that were necessarily covered by slush simultaneously on a single date.

2.3. Label generation for model training and validation

For each GrIS drainage basin (Fig. 2), we manually selected ~~four~~three S2 images ~~(18 images in total across all basins)~~ for training and validation, giving a total of 18 images across the six basins (Table S1). Images were ~~chosen~~selected from the 2016~~-~~–2024 melt seasons (1 May~~ to ~~–30 September) ~~and selected~~ to capture spectral variability ~~by representing~~across a range of solar elevation angles (42~~°~~–75°) and both high- and low-melt conditions. ~~All images were~~Each image was clipped to the ~~boundaries~~boundary of ~~the six~~its corresponding drainage ~~basins~~basin (Fig. 2).

Following pre-processing, residual non-meltwater elements, such as faint cloud shadows and crevasse artefacts, were manually removed through visual inspection to ensure that only meltwater features were retained for analysis. Although the proportion of ~~features~~the mapped area requiring manual correction could not be fully quantified, such instances were infrequent and typically limited to small, spectrally ambiguous areas in regions with complex topography.

Training and validation data were derived from the 18 pre-processed S2 images using k-means clustering, following the ~~approach~~approaches of Halberstadt et al. (2020) and Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a). This method combines automated clustering with expert interpretation, allowing large volumes of training data to be generated while avoiding time-intensive pixel-level manual labelling. By grouping pixels with similar spectral characteristics, the clustering approach can also reveal spectral patterns that may be overlooked through manual interpretation alone. The k-means algorithm grouped pixels using all S2 bands and $\mathrm{NDWI_{ice}}$. For each image, up to 100,000 unmasked pixels were sampled and grouped into 5~~ to 70~~–40 clusters ~~were generated~~ (Dell et al., ~~2022~~2022a). Clusters were manually reviewed by a single expert analyst, consistent with Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a), and assigned to either the 'slush' or 'non-slush' ~~classes~~class. Slush was identified as dense, light-blue areas in true-colour S2 image composites, ~~while~~whereas the ~~'~~non-~~slush'~~slush class included all other surface types (e.g., supraglacial lakes, channels, shadows, sediment, and cryoconite), together with any residual ~~masked surfaces (e.g.,~~ rock, cloud, ~~crevasses) also incorporated. Clusters that could not be confidently assigned to either class were excluded. An equal number of~~ or crevasse pixels ~~(2~~remaining after masking. No fixed quantitative threshold was used; assignments were based on the dominant visual appearance and spatial context of each cluster. Following manual interpretation of the clusters, the labelled pixels were subsampled to approximately 420,000 ~~per class)~~pixels across the 18 images. These pixels were ~~randomly selected from the interpreted clusters to create a balanced dataset, which was~~ then pooled and randomly split ~~into~~ at the pixel level, with 80%

used for model training and ~~a withheld~~ 20% for validation. ~~We acknowledge that the distinction between slush and ponded water is not always sharply defined and therefore contains an element of expert judgement; illustrative examples used to guide interpretation are provided in the Supplement (Fig. S1). The final cluster assignments for all training images are provided in Table S2.~~

As the interpreted clusters were assigned by a single expert to one of only two classes, ‘slush’ or ‘non-slush’, transitional surfaces had to be represented by one of these classes despite slush formation occurring along a continuum. The resulting labels therefore contain inherent, unquantified uncertainty, particularly where the spectral characteristics of slush overlap with those of neighbouring non-slush surfaces. Supplementary Figure S1 illustrates this limitation explicitly: areas that appear to form visually continuous slush fields in true-colour imagery can comprise several spectrally distinct clusters, some of which were assigned to slush and others to non-slush. To further characterise the spectral basis of these expert assignments, we compared the $NDWI_{ice}$, hue, and saturation distributions of clusters labelled as slush with those of neighbouring non-slush clusters. Further details of this spectral assessment are provided in Supplementary Section S1, with cluster-level statistics and pixel counts reported in Table S2. The final cluster assignments for all training images are provided in Table S3.

2.4. RF model training and validation

Following Dell et al~~ (2022~~. (2022a), the classification of slush from S2 satellite imagery was performed using ~~a~~an RF classifier, implemented within GEE (*ee.Classifier.smileRandomForest*). This is an ensemble learning method that trains multiple decision trees on random subsets of data and features and classifies by majority voting (Breiman, 2001). In this study, the RF classifier was applied to all S2 bands as well as the $NDWI_{ice}$ band.

Unlike Dell et al. (~~2022~~2022a), who used default settings, we optimised the RF hyperparameters through an iterative tuning approach, systematically varying one parameter at a time and evaluating validation accuracy across ~~each~~the corresponding parameter range (Fig. S2). The parameters tested included the number of trees, bag fraction, minimum leaf population, and maximum number of nodes. Validation accuracies were compared across configurations, and the ~~optimal setup was~~final settings were selected ~~based on~~from the ~~highest observed~~ high-accuracy~~.~~ range while limiting unnecessary model complexity. The final model used 50 trees, a bag fraction of 0.~~7~~1, and a minimum leaf population of 20, with full details provided in Table ~~S3~~S4.

2.4.1. Model ~~performance~~ validation

We evaluated the RF classifier ~~performance~~ using the withheld 20% validation ~~dataset~~subset (Fig. S3~~; Table S4). Performance~~). Since pixels from the same images and interpreted clusters could occur in both subsets, the resulting accuracy metrics should be interpreted as an internal assessment of classifier performance. Validation was ~~assessed using~~based on overall accuracy, Cohen’s kappa statistic (κ), precision, recall, and the F1-score. Overall accuracy represents the proportion of

~~all~~ validation pixels classified correctly, ~~while~~whereas κ quantifies agreement between predicted and ~~observed~~reference classes beyond that expected by chance. Precision describes the proportion of pixels classified as slush that were ~~truly~~labelled as slush in the reference data, thereby reflecting commission error (false positives), whereas recall describes the proportion of ~~true~~reference slush pixels successfully identified by the classifier and therefore reflects omission error (false negatives). The F1-score ~~provides~~is the harmonic mean of precision and recall~~, offering~~ and provides a balanced measure of ~~classifier~~classification skill for each class.

The classifier achieved high ~~overall~~internal validation performance~~ (~~, with an overall accuracy ~~= 97.5%,~~of 98.9%, a balanced accuracy of 96.2%, and κ = 0.~~85).~~93. For the slush class, precision was ~~98.7~~99.3%, recall was ~~98~~99.5%, and the resulting F1-score was ~~98.6~~99.4%, indicating ~~both~~ low commission and omission ~~error~~errors. For the non-slush class, precision was ~~84.5~~95.4%, recall was ~~88.4~~92.8%, and the F1-score was ~~86.4%.~~94.1%. The macro-averaged F1-score across the two classes was 96.7%. In terms of absolute pixel counts, ~~1,133~~568 non-slush pixels were incorrectly ~~labelled~~classified as slush (false positives), ~~while 1,000~~whereas 357 slush pixels were classified as non-slush (false negatives), indicating a slight tendency for the RF classifier to overpredict slush within the internal validation dataset (Fig. S3). ~~Based~~These metrics quantify classifier performance on ~~these values, mapped slush area has an estimated~~ the randomly withheld labelled pixels and do not account for all sources of uncertainty ~~of +1.3% and -0.2% of the total slush area; these uncertainty bounds will be presented alongside our results from here on.~~ in the wider mapping workflow.

2.5. Application of trained RF model and post-processing of classified outputs

Once trained, the supervised RF classifier was applied across all six drainage basins (Fig. 2) to the monthly and seasonal S2 mosaics generated between 2016 and 2024 (Section 2.2.2). Our mosaicing approach provided consistent monthly coverage throughout the study period, such that no year was disproportionately affected by cloud cover or temporal data gaps (Fig. S4), thereby minimising the potential influence of variable image availability on observed inter-annual differences (e.g., Hofer et al., 2017).~~annual S2 image mosaics acquired between 2016 and 2024 (Section 2.1.3).~~

As in previous supraglacial meltwater-mapping studies (e.g., Corr et al., 2022), manual post-processing was ~~carried out~~undertaken to correct obvious misclassifications, including ~~removal of~~ areas ~~of~~affected by residual cloud cover and ~~shaded areas~~shadows near crevasses and fjords. Approximately 5~~-~~–7% of pixels initially classified as slush in each ~~final~~ mosaic were identified as misclassified and removed. Following previous studies (Stokes et al., 2019; Dell et al., 2020; Glen et al., 2025), small, isolated slush features comprising ≤0.04 km^2 were also removed because they were considered more likely to represent classification noise than genuine slush. This threshold removed 85% of all discrete classified slush features but only 5.7% of the total classified slush area; the median size of the removed features was 0.01 km^2. All slush-area estimates reported in this study were derived from the resulting filtered dataset.~~ Our mosaicing approach ensured consistent monthly coverage across the record,~~

2.5.1. Estimation of uncertainty in mapped slush area

We estimated the uncertainty in mapped slush area associated with positional uncertainty in feature boundaries. This analysis was conducted on the post-processed and ~~no year was disproportionately affected by cloud or temporal gaps (Fig S4), minimising the potential influence of variable image availability~~filtered classification outputs at a spatial resolution of 10 m, before the final binary slush masks were exported at 100 m resolution. For each basin, month, and ~~cloud cover on observed interannual differences (~~season, we calculated the perimeter of the final filtered slush features and displaced their boundaries inward and outward by 10 m (i.e~~.g.,~~., one native S2 pixel). The corresponding changes in area provided lower and upper estimates of the sensitivity of mapped slush extent to a 10 m displacement of feature boundaries. We interpret these estimates as conservative because they assume that all boundaries are displaced consistently in the same direction around every feature, whereas boundary-placement errors are likely to vary spatially and partially offset one another.~~Hofer et al., 2017).~~

2.6. Comparison of the trained RF model to thresholding methods

We compared a subset of our RF slush~~-~~-classification results ~~to~~with those obtained using two threshold-based methods: an NDWI approach combining ~~both~~ the standard NDWI and ~~the~~ $NDWI_{ice}$ (Glen et al., 2025~~)~~), and ~~a~~the Greenness Index (G_{ind}; Covi~~ et al.,~~, 2022). For this comparison, ~~one S2 image per basin was~~we selected six additional S2 images from across the nine-year record~~ (six~~, comprising one test ~~images in total).~~image per basin. RF showed stronger agreement with NDWI than with G_{ind}, with ~~higher~~ greater spatial overlap ~~areas~~ and higher macro-averaged F1-scores (0.56~~-~~–0.76 ~~vs.~~compared with 0.~~53-~~51–0.~~65~~68). Visual inspection ~~confirmed~~supported these trends: NDWI aligned well with RF but was more prone to cloud contamination, ~~while~~whereas G_{ind} often underdetected slush and misclassified lake edges. RF consistently produced more spatially coherent outputs, particularly ~~when~~where complex surface types with ~~high variability in~~highly variable spectral characteristics were present. Full details of this analysis, including evaluation metrics, visual examples, and ~~details regarding~~discussion of the limitations of our classifier, are presented in the Supplement (~~Section S1~~ Sections S2–S3; Fig. S5; ~~Table~~Tables S5~~-~~–S6).

2.7. Assessing potential environmental controls on slush extent and occurrence

To investigate potential controls on slush extent at inter-annual and intra-annual timescales, we calculated Spearman's rank correlations between slush extent and FAC from IMAU-FDM, together with snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature from RACMO. All predictor variables were spatially averaged across the potential slush zone, defined as the union of all areas in which slush was mapped between 2016 and 2024. For the inter-annual analysis, correlations were evaluated separately for each GrIS basin, using seasonal slush extent (the total area mapped as slush at least once during May–September in a given season) as the response variable. FAC and 2 m air temperature were calculated as seasonal means, and snowfall and snowmelt as seasonal totals, for January–March, April–June, and May–September. These periods were selected to capture both antecedent and concurrent conditions relative to the May–September slush season. For the intra-annual analysis, monthly slush extent (the total area mapped as slush at least once within a given calendar month) was compared with concurrent and previous-month predictor values within each basin.

To examine how meltwater-storage capacity and spring meltwater supply were associated with slush occurrence (i.e., the presence or absence of mapped slush), we analysed RACMO grid cells within the potential slush zone. Each grid-cell–year was classified as slush-present if slush was mapped within the cell during May–September and as slush-absent otherwise. We used March FAC and total spring (April–June) snowmelt as proxies for meltwater-storage capacity and meltwater supply, respectively. Median values were compared between slush-present and slush-absent grid-cell–years, and a standardised logistic regression was used to test their associations with slush occurrence while accounting for repeated observations within grid cells.

2.8. First-order estimation of potential radiative effects of slush

To estimate the potential radiative impact of slush, we adapted the empirical meltwater–albedo framework of Ryan et al. (2025). For each basin and year, excess absorbed shortwave radiation was calculated using basin-mean downward shortwave radiation, the empirical coefficient of 0.11, and the fraction of the basin covered by slush. This estimate was converted to daily energy absorption and an ice-melt equivalent using the latent heat of fusion of ice (3.34×10^5 J kg^{-1}). The daily estimate was then scaled to a cumulative seasonal value using an effective season length weighted by the observed monthly distribution of slush extent from May–September. To place this potential additional melt in the context of modelled runoff, we calculated the proportion of the RACMO-modelled runoff zone covered by slush and summed the modelled runoff within slush-covered pixels.

3. Results

3.1. Mean spatial distribution and persistence of slush from 2016~~-~~–2024

Between 2016 and 2024, slush was detected across all six drainage basins of the GrIS (Fig. ~~3), with a mean summer (~~3). Seasonal slush extent, defined here as the area in which slush was detected at least once during a given May~~-~~–September~~) areal extent~~ season, had a mean value of ~48,100 km^2 ± 3,600 km^2 over the nine-year study period, equivalent to ~2.~~9~~8% of the ice sheet~~, equivalent to 50,400 km^2, with a range of 50,300-51,055 km^2, accounting for uncertainty. Here and elsewhere, this range reflects an asymmetric uncertainty of +1.3%/-0.2% relative to the reported slush area (see Section 2.4.1). The highest~~. The greatest concentrations of slush typically occurred 10~~-~~–20 km inland (Fig. 3), ~~but~~although slush was observed as far as 170 km inland ~~during~~in 2019, the ~~most extreme~~ highest melt year~~; 2019~~ of the study period (Fig. 4c).

Although slush was observed in every basin, its spatial coverage varied across the ice sheet (Fig. 3). Between 2016 and 2024, the SW basin exhibited the greatest proportional coverage ~~(11,530-11,700 km^2; ~ ~~(~5.~~5~~3% of basin area; 11,190 km^2, ±1,090 km^2), followed by the NO basin ~~(11,640-11,810 km^2; ~ ~~(~4.~~8%),~~ 7%; 11,410 km^2, ±630 km^2) and the NE basin ~~(~~(~2.9%; 14,~~560-14,780 km^2; ~ 3.0%),~~ 260 km^2, ±740 km^2). The CW and NW basins both had similar proportional coverage, each corresponding to ~1.9% of their respective basin ~~(~~area, with mean seasonal extents of 4,420~~-4,490 km^2; ~ 1.9%), and NW basin (5,000-5,080 km^2; ~ 1.8%).~~ km^2 (±440 km^2) and 5,270 km^2 (±560 km^2), respectively. The SE basin showed the lowest ~~slush extent (1,730-1,750 km^2; ~~~proportional coverage (~0.6~~%).~~%; 1,630 km^2, ±200 km^2).

Slush persistence, defined as the number of melt seasons in which slush was detected at a given location, was examined on an ~~interannual~~inter-annual basis. Mean persistence was ~~found to be~~ highest in the NE and NO basins, where ~~slush reappeared in the same~~ locations ~~during~~ classified as slush were mapped in an average of four out of nine melt seasons~~, whereas mean slush~~. Mean persistence was moderate in the NW and SW basins ~~(~~, at three ~~years)~~melt seasons, and lowest in the CW and SE basins ~~(~~, at two ~~years)~~melt seasons (Fig. 3).

The cumulative area ~~of~~mapped as slush ~~across the ice sheet as a whole reached as much as 149,360-151,610 km^2 (~9% of the ice sheet)~~ in at least one melt season between 2016 and 2024~~,~~, was 143,000 km^2 ±5,800 km^2 (~8.2% of the ice sheet), whereas only 1,~~670-1,690~~700 km^2 ±220 km^2 (~0.1% of the ice sheet) was ~~persistently~~mapped as slush in ~~every one of the~~all nine melt seasons. The basins that had the largest areas of this highly persistent slush (i.e~~. with~~., slush present in every melt season) were the NE and NO, with ~~920-930 km^2~~910 km^2 (±90 km^2) and ~~490-500 km^2,~~480 km^2 (±80 km^2), respectively. In the SW basin, despite having one of the highest mean seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extents over the nine-year period (11,~~530-11,700~~190 km^2, ±1,090 km^2), only 50~~-52 km^2~~ km^2 (±15 km^2) of this area was ~~persistent~~mapped as slush in every melt season. ~~The SE basin experienced both the lowest mean slush areal extent (1,730-1,750 km^2) and the smallest area in which slush reoccurs in the same location in every melt season (43-44 km^2).~~

Slush occurrence was concentrated predominantly over bare ice regions, defined here as areas outside the late-summer firn extent where glacier ice is seasonally exposed at the surface, with ~71% ~~(106,630-108,230 km^2)~~ of all mapped slush occurring in these regions (101,790 km^2, ±3,660 km^2) compared to ~29% (~~42,730-43,380~~41,280 km^2, ±2,220 km^2) over firn-covered areas ~~mapped~~(as delineated by Vandecrux et al~~. (~~., 2019). This partitioning strengthened with increasing persistence, with the proportion of mapped slush occurring ~~outside~~in the ~~firn zone~~bare ice area increasing from ~~~62% (47,760-48,480 km^2)~~61% for 1~~-~~–2 year persistent slush~~,~~ (45,010 km^2, ±6,000 km^2), to ~~~78% (39,730-40,330 km^2)~~77% for 3~~-~~–5 year slush~~,~~ (38,110 km^2, ±4,270 km^2), and ~91% ~~(19,130-19,420 km^2)~~ for the most persistent (6~~-~~–9 year) slush~~,~~ (18,670 km^2, ±1,580 km^2), indicating that long-lived slush is increasingly associated with the bare-ice zone. Within the firn zone, overlap with firn aquifers (Miège et al., 2016) remained limited, accounting for only ~~~6% (8,610-8,740 km^2)~~5% of total mapped slush~~.~~ (7,610 km^2, ±760 km^2).

Overlap between our mapped slush and the ice~~-~~-slab extents mapped by Jullien et al. (2023) ~~was substantial, ranging~~ranged from a low-end estimate of ~~~27~~29% (40,~~520-41,130~~880 km^2, ±760 km^2) to a high-end estimate of ~~~30~~32% (45,~~030-45,710~~290 km^2, ±910 km^2) of all ~~slush occurring over~~ mapped ~~slabs, where this range reflects uncertainty in our slush mapping (Section 2.4.1).~~slush. This slush~~-~~–slab coincidence increased with ~~time of~~ slush persistence~~, from a low-end estimate of ~ 19% (15,030-15,260 km^2) to a high-end estimate of ~ 22% (17,540-17,810 km^2)~~: for 1~~-~~–2~~-~~-year persistent slush~~, and from a low-end estimate of~~ it was ~21–24% (15,360 ± 1,410 to 17,850 ± 1,650 km^2), rising to ~37~~-~~–38% (7,~~790-~~550 ± 610 to 7,~~910 km^2) to a high-end estimate of 39% (8,060-8,190~~810 ± 640 km^2) for ~~the~~ 6~~-~~–9~~-~~-year persistent slush. ~~Notably,~~ A further ~15% (~~22,320-22,650 km^2) of~~ 21,280 km^2, ±1,240 km^2) under the low-end slab estimate and ~14% (20,370 km^2, ±1,160 km^2) under the high-end slab estimate of all mapped slush occurred within the firn zone but outside both mapped aquifer and slab extents.



90°W
60°W
30°W
0°
80°N
N
NO
NE
NW
CW
SW
SE
70°N
60°N
9
1
Years
1%
2%
6%
May
June
July
August
September
Firn Area
Ice Slab
Firn Aquifer
0 75 150 300 km
Earthstar Geographics

02



Figure 3~~.~~: Slush persistence (years) and mean ~~areal~~ seasonal slush extent (% basin area) across GrIS basins during ~~summers (1~~the May ~~to 30~~ –September~~)~~ melt seasons from 2016~~-~~–2024. Slush persistence is represented by the colour bar on a scale from 1 year (light yellow) to 9 years (dark orange). The mean seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent for each basin from 2016–2024, expressed as a percentage of ~~the~~ total basin area~~, from 2016-2024~~, is illustrated by the area of the circles. Within each circle, pie charts display the mean monthly distribution of slush ~~areal~~ extent averaged over all melt seasons. The green outline depicts mean firn extent (2000~~-~~–2017) from Vandecrux et al. (2019). Dark grey

dashed outlines depict ice slab extents from 2010~~-~~–2018 (Jullien et al., 2023), and purple dashed outlines depict firn aquifer extents from 2010~~-~~–2014 (Miège et al., 2016). Base map source: Esri~~.~~ (2024).

3.2. Ice-sheet-wide inter- and intra-annual variability in slush ~~areal~~ extent and elevation

The ~~areal~~seasonal slush extent ~~of slush~~ across the GrIS varied considerably from year to year, closely reflecting ~~interannual~~inter-annual variability in ~~surface melt~~snowmelt derived from RACMO (Noël et al., 2019) (Fig. 4). The maximum seasonal areal extent (i.e., the composite slush area from May~~-~~–September) was lowest in 2018, the least intense melt ~~season~~year of the study period (Fig. 4c), when seasonal slush ~~covered 20,460-20,770 km² (~~~extent was 18,680 km^2 (±2,400 km^2; ~1.~~2~~1% of the ice sheet~~; Fig. 4a-b~~) and RACMO ~~melt~~snowmelt anomalies were ~~~~~+2.5 mm w.e. ~~below~~above the 1960~~-89~~–1989 mean, the lowest value during 2016–2024. The largest extent occurred in 2019, the strongest melt year (Fig. 4b), when seasonal slush ~~covered 90,120-91,470 km² (~~~extent reached 88,490 km^2 (±4,910 km^2; ~5.~~2~~1% of the ice sheet) and ~~melt~~snowmelt anomalies reached ~~~~~+10 mm w.e. above the 1960~~-89~~–1989 mean (Fig. 4b). No statistically significant temporal trend in the maximum seasonal ~~areal extent of~~ slush extent over the whole ice sheet was observed over the study period.

Superimposed on these ~~interannual~~inter-annual variations in seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent from 2016~~-~~–2024 was a consistent ~~seasonal~~intra-annual pattern in monthly slush extent, defined here as the composite slush area within a single calendar month, with lowest average ~~slush extents~~values in May and September (typically ~~a mean of <~~ ~0.2% of the ice~~-~~ sheet area over the nine years) and peaks in July (1.~~7~~8%) and August (1.~~6~~5%) (Fig. 3). This broad ~~seasonal~~intra-annual cycle of low early- and late-season monthly slush extent and mid-~~summer~~melt-season maxima was a defining feature throughout the study period. The~~ seasonal~~ cycle was most pronounced in the highest melt year, 2019, when monthly slush extent reached its greatest ~~extents~~values in July (~~54,090-54,910~~53,330 km^2, ±3,780 km^2) and August (~~67,070-68,070~~66,170 km^2, ±3,630 km^2) (Fig. ~~4b~~4d). However, a notable departure from this cycle occurred in September 2022, when monthly slush extent (15,~~970-16,210~~720 km^2, ±1,700 km^2) surpassed that of August (10,290 km^2, ±1,480 km^2) by ~ ~~4,700~~5,430 km^2 (Fig. ~~4b~~4d).

~~Interannual~~Inter-annual variability was also evident in the ~~maximum~~ice-sheet-wide mean upper slush-limit elevation ~~reached by slush each melt season (Fig. 5a~~(the average elevation of the highest points at which slush was mapped), ranging from ~~below~~approximately 1,~~300~~420 m a.s.l. in ~~the lowest melt year (~~2018~~)~~ to ~~above~~ 1,~~800~~630 m a.s.l. in ~~the highest melt year (~~2019 (Fig. 5a). We ~~do~~did not find a statistically significant temporal trend in ~~maximum slush~~mean upper-limit elevation at the ice-sheet scale from 2016~~-~~–2024.

36


a.
Snowfall anomaly (mm w.e.)
2.2
-1.7
FAC anomaly (m)
-0.17
-0.30
b.
Melt anomaly (mm w.e.)
8.9
2.6
Temperature anomaly (°C)
1.52
0.57
c.
Maximum summer slush area (km²)
8,000
7,000
6,000
5,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,000
0
Maximum summer slush area as % of GrIS
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
d.
Monthly maximum slush area (km²)
140,000
120,000
100,000
80,000
60,000
40,000
20,000
0
May
June
July
August
September
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024



Figure 4~~.~~: Mean annual climate and slush variability across the GrIS from 2016~~-~~–2024. (a) Ice-sheet-wide mean snowfall anomalies ~~(black)~~ and FAC anomalies (teal) relative to the 1960~~-~~–1989 mean. (b) ~~Melt~~Ice-sheet-wide mean snowmelt anomalies (black) and ~~near-surface~~2 m air~~-~~ temperature anomalies (orange) relative to the 1960~~-~~–1989 mean. (c) ~~Maximum summer~~Seasonal slush ~~area (~~extent for May~~-~~–September~~)~~, shown as absolute area (left y-axis, grey bars) and as a percentage of total ice~~-~~-sheet area (right y-axis; dashed dark~~-~~-red line). (d) Stacked monthly ~~maximum~~ slush ~~area~~extent for May~~-~~–September in each year~~.~~ (note: monthly segments are not spatially additive). Snowfall,

~~melt~~snowmelt, and ~~near-surface~~2 m air temperature are derived from RACMO, while FAC is derived from the IMAU -FDM (available through 2023 only).





Figure 5~~. Interannual~~: Inter-annual variability in mean upper slush-limit elevation ~~and area~~across the GrIS from 2016~~-~~ to 2024. Panel (a) shows ice-sheet-wide ~~results~~values, while panels (b~~)-~~)–(g) ~~depict regional results~~show values for the ~~six~~CW, NE, NO, NW, SE, and SW basins~~. Within each subplot, the line graph shows~~, respectively. Black lines show annual mean ~~maximum~~upper slush-limit elevation~~ (m a.s.l.) from 2016-2024 with ±~~ , and shading represents ±1 standard deviation ~~shading and a~~of elevation measurements sampled along the mapped upper slush limit. Dotted lines show fitted linear ~~trend line (dotted: red if~~temporal trends; statistically significant ~~at~~ trends (*p* < 0.05~~, grey if not). The radar chart in each subplot shows the mean annual maximum slush elevation~~) are shown in red and ~~area from 2016-2024, with both variables normalised through scaling from 0 to 1, representing the minimum and maximum observed values, respectively.~~ non-significant trends in grey. Note that y-axis ranges differ among panels.

3.3. Regional inter- and intra-annual variability in slush ~~areal~~ extent and elevation

At the regional scale, ~~interannual~~inter-annual variability in slush ~~areal~~ extent broadly echoed the ice-sheet-wide pattern over the nine-year period (Section 3.2), though the magnitude and precise timing of changes differed by region (Fig. 6). In the highest melt year of 2019, all drainage basins experienced peak or near-peak seasonal slush extent~~:~~, with the greatest proportional coverage in the SW ~~reached a maximum seasonal extent of~~ (22,~~490-22,820 km² (~~ ~060 km^2; ±1,340 km^2; ~10.~~7~~5% of basin area), ~~the NE 27,230-27,630 km² (~5.6%),~~followed by the NO (16,430~~-16,670 km² (~~ km^2; ±580 km^2; ~6.8%), the NE (26,680 km^2; ±1,240 km^2; ~5.5%), the CW (11,~~500-11,670 km² (~~ ~400 km^2; ±720 km^2; ~4.9%), and the NW (10,~~470-~~

~~10,630 km^2 (~~~340 km^2; ±820 km^2; ~3.~~8~~7%). Other high-melt years also saw elevated seasonal slush extent in specific regions. For instance, in 2023, the second~~-greatest-~~highest melt ~~season~~year in the time series, both the NO and SE basins recorded their maximum seasonal slush extents ~~of~~in the time series, reaching 17,~~490–17,750 km^2 (~~~370 km^2 (±870 km^2; ~7.~~2~~1% of basin area) in the NO and 4,~~880–4,950 km^2 (~1.7~~510 km^2 (±540 km^2; ~1.6%) in the SE. Post-2019, the NO basin notably maintained a consistently higher seasonal slush extent than pre-2019 levels~~;~~, a pattern not observed in any other region.

~~Regional~~The intra-annual ~~variations in~~cycle of monthly slush ~~areal~~ extent ~~over the nine-year period also demonstrated variations in~~was broadly consistent across basins, but the timing and magnitude of its mid-season peak ~~slush area~~differed by region (Fig. 6). August 2019 marked the greatest monthly slush extent during the time series for all basins except the SE (Fig. 6~~);~~). Proportional coverage was greatest in the SW~~ basin contained 17,910–18,180 km^2 of~~, where slush ~~in August 2019 (~~~covered 17,740 km^2 (±950 km^2; ~8.~~5~~4% of basin area), ~~the NE reached 20,420–20,730 km^2 (~4.2%),~~followed by the NO (12,~~430–12,610 km^2 (~~~500 km^2, ±550 km^2; ~5.1%), the ~~NW 8,110–8,240 km^2 (~2.9~~NE (19,940 km^2, ±970 km^2; ~4.1%), the CW (7,300 km^2, ±450 km^2; ~3.1%), and the ~~CW 7,130~~ NW (7,~~230 km^2 (~3.1~~920 km^2, ±610 km^2; ~2.8%). September 2022 saw an atypical late-season resurgence in monthly slush extent that was above the time series average across most basins (Fig. 6). Notably, September 2022 was the month of peak monthly slush ~~area~~extent for the SW basin (Fig. 6f), representing the only instance in the record where the annual maximum monthly slush extent occurred in September rather than July or August. The preceding month, August 2022, recorded substantially lower values across all basins (e.g.~~,~~., SW: 1,~~780–1,800~~660 km^2, ±280 km^2, ~0.8%; NE: 2,~~820–2,870~~500 km^2, ±360 km^2, ~0.~~6~~5%), highlighting the atypical nature of this late-season peak.

The ~~maximum elevation reached by~~mean upper slush-limit elevation varied markedly between basins and years (Fig. 5). Slush generally extended to higher elevations during high-melt years, including 2019 (e.g., CW: 1,770 m a.s.l.; NE: 1,~~700~~680 m a.s.l.; NO: 1,~~370~~360 m a.s.l.) and 2023 (e.g., SE: 1,800 m a.s.l.; NW: 1,~~470~~390 m a.s.l.), while ~~maximum~~mean upper slush-limit elevations were lower during reduced-melt years such as 2018 and 2020 (e.g., NE: 1,~~290~~280 m a.s.l. in 2018; ~~CW~~NW: 1,~~380~~270 m a.s.l. in 2020). Some basins showed distinct inter-annual changes in ~~maximum~~mean upper slush-limit elevation; for example, ~~in~~ the NE basin~~,~~ experienced an increase of ~~>~~approximately 400 m ~~occurred~~ between 2018 and 2019. Notably, ~~peak~~the highest mean upper slush-limit elevation did not always coincide with ~~years~~the year of peak slush ~~areal~~ extent; for instance, the SW reached its highest mean upper slush-limit elevation in 2021 (1,880 m a.s.l~~);~~.), two years after its areal maximum in 2019 (Fig. 5g). A weak but statistically significant increasing temporal trend in ~~maximum~~mean upper slush-limit elevation was observed in the SE basin from 2016 to 2024, with a mean increase of 23.5 m~~/year~~ yr^{-1} (p ~~<~~= 0.~~05~~039, R^2 = 0.48; Fig. 5f). No other basins exhibited statistically significant temporal trends ~~between these two variables~~ in mean upper slush-limit elevation during the study period.

We found a significant positive relationship between ~~maximum slush~~annual mean upper-limit elevation and maximum seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent across all basins (p < 0.05; Fig. 7). The strength of this relationship varied regionally, with the strongest ~~correlations~~relationships in the NO (R^2 = 0.91) and SE (R^2 = 0.81) basins, moderate ~~correlations~~relationships in the

CW (R^2 = 0.74), SW (R^2 = 0.70~~)~~), and ~~CW (R^2 = 0.74), and weaker correlations in~~ NE (R^2 = 0.60) ~~and~~basins, and a weaker relationship in the NW basin (R^2 = 0.49).





Figure 6~~. Plots illustrating the total ~~: Basin-scale climate and slush ~~area alongside snowfall, FAC, melt, and air temperature anomalies ~~variability across the GrIS from 2016~~-~~–2024 ~~(FAC available through 2023 only)~~, shown for the ~~six~~CW (a), NE (b), NO (c), NW (d), SE (e), and SW (f) basins~~ of the GrIS (subplots a - f).~~. Within each subplot, the ~~two~~ uppermost ~~panels display RACMO-derived~~panel shows snowfall anomalies (black) and FAC anomalies ~~averaged over the May-September period and expressed ~~(teal) relative to the 1960~~-~~–1989 ~~baseline ~~mean~~: snowfall (black) and FAC (teal) in the first panel, and melt (black) and air temperature (orange) in the second. Dashed lines indicate ~~, with statistically significant ~~linear ~~trends ($p < 0.05$) indicated where present. The second panel shows snowmelt anomalies (black) and ~~shaded regions indicate ± 1 standard error of~~2 m air temperature anomalies (orange) relative to the ~~monthly means~~1960–1989 mean. The third panel shows maximum seasonal slush ~~areal ~~extent ~~from ~~(May~~-~~–September) as absolute area (left y-axis, grey bars~~, with the right y-axis showing this ~~) and as a percentage of ~~the~~total basin area (right y-axis; dashed red line). The bottom panel shows ~~the total ~~stacked maximum monthly slush ~~area (km²),~~

~~segmented by month (May, June, July, August, and~~ extent for May–September~~) for~~ in each ~~melt season.~~year (note: monthly segments are not spatially additive). Snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature are derived from RACMO, while FAC is derived from the IMAU-FDM (available through 2023 only).





Figure 7~~.~~: Relationship between ~~maximum~~mean upper slush-limit elevation and maximum ~~areal~~ seasonal slush extent ~~of slush~~ by basin (2016~~-~~–2024). Coloured points show ~~annual~~seasonal values, ~~with dotted~~and dashed lines ~~indicating~~show ordinary least-squares regressions~~.~~ fitted separately for each basin. Reported R^2 and p-values refer to these regressions; all relationships are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

3.4. Potential ~~controls on interannual and intra-annual variability in slush extent~~

~~We investigated potential~~ environmental controls on slush extent ~~at both interannual and intra-annual timescales by using Spearman rank correlations between slush extent and FAC from the IMAU-FDM, together with snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature from RACMO. For the interannual analysis, correlations were evaluated at the GrIS-wide scale, with mean May-to-September slush area used as the response variable. Predictor variables for FAC, snowfall, snowmelt, and 2 m air temperature were computed as seasonal means over January-to-March, April-to-June, and May-to-September windows to assess antecedent and contemporaneous relationships with slush extent (Fig. S6). For the intra-annual analysis, correlations were evaluated separately for each GrIS basin. The response variable was monthly slush area; lagged predictor variables were constructed by shifting each basin time series by one month, such that the previous-month value for any given May-to-September observation reflects conditions in the preceding calendar month (Fig. S7-S10).~~and occurrence

At the ~~interannual GrIS-wide~~inter-annual basin-wise scale, mean seasonal slush ~~area~~extent throughout May~~-to-~~–September was ~~negatively correlated with FAC across all seasonal windows (Fig. S6), including January-to-March (ρ = -0.56, p < 0.001), April-to-June (ρ = -0.57, p < 0.001), and May-to-September (ρ = -0.59, p < 0.001). Snowmelt was also~~ positively ~~associated with interannual variability in~~ correlated with snowmelt averaged across the potential slush ~~extent, with positive correlations identified during January-to-March~~zone (Fig. S6): NE (ρ = 0.99, p < 0.001), SW (ρ = 0.90, p < 0.001), NO (ρ = 0.75, p < 0.05), SE (ρ = 0.~~37~~75, p < 0.~~01), April-to-June~~05), and CW (ρ = 0.~~38~~70, p < 0.0~~1), and May-to-September (ρ = 0.42, p < 0.005). Similarly, slush extent was~~5). April–June snowmelt also correlated positively ~~correlated~~ in NE (ρ = 0.95, p < 0.001) and NO (ρ = 0.68, p < 0.05). Seasonal slush extent correlated positively with 2 m air temperature ~~across all seasonal windows, including January-to-March (ρ = 0.35, p < 0.01), April-to-June~~ during May–September in NE (ρ = 0.~~46~~80, p < 0.~~001~~01), and ~~May-to-September~~during April–June in NE (ρ = 0.~~43~~77, p < 0.~~01).~~05) and SW (ρ = 0.67, p < 0.05). Negative relationships with snowfall ~~were more variable between seasonal windows, with positive correlations identified~~occurred during January~~-to-~~–March ~~(ρ = 0.31, p < 0.05) and April-to-June~~in CW (ρ = -0.~~47~~77, p < 0.~~001),~~05) and April–June in NE (ρ = -0.73, p < 0.05). May–September FAC was negatively correlated with slush seasonal extent in all basins, although ~~no~~none of these relationships was statistically significant~~ relationship was observed~~. These inter-annual correlations were based on nine annual observations per basin, or eight for ~~May-to-September snowfall~~FAC, and should therefore be treated cautiously.

At the intra-annual basin-wise scale, monthly slush extent during May~~-to-~~–September was positively correlated with snowmelt across all basins (Fig. S7), ~~with particularly strong relationships~~most strongly in CW ~~(~~and SW (both ρ = 0.~~87~~89, p < 0.001~~),~~) and NO (ρ = 0.~~78, p < 0.001), and SW (ρ = 0.76~~86, p < 0.001). ~~Positive relationships were also identified for~~ Previous-month snowmelt ~~across all basins, ranging from ρ = 0.43 in NO (p < 0.01) to ρ = 0.80 in SE (p < 0.001). 2 m air temperature was positively associated with~~ also correlated positively with monthly slush extent across all basins (ρ = 0.41–0.80, all p < 0.01). Monthly slush extent was positively correlated with 2 m air temperature across all basins (Fig. S8~~), with significant correlations ranging from ρ = 0.63 in NE (p < 0.001) to ρ = 0.81 in SW (p < 0.001). Similar, but~~; ρ = 0.69–0.88, all p < 0.001), with generally weaker~~,~~ associations ~~were identified~~ for previous-month ~~2 m air~~ temperature. ~~Negative~~

~~relationships between~~ Monthly slush extent ~~and~~correlated negatively with FAC (Fig. S9) ~~were identified~~ in NE (ρ = ~~-~~−0.65), SE (ρ = −0.55~~, p <~~), NW (ρ = −0.~~001~~54), NO (ρ = ~~-~~−0.~~49, p < 0.005), NW (ρ = -0.50, p < 0.005~~53), and ~~SE~~CW (ρ = ~~-~~−0.~~59,~~32) (all p < 0.~~001), whereas~~05), with no significant ~~relationships were identified~~relationship in ~~CW or~~ SW~~. Negative relationships with~~; previous-month FAC ~~were weaker, with a~~ was significant only in NE (ρ = −0.31, p < 0.05). Relationships between monthly slush extent and snowfall were generally weak and non-significant ~~relationship identified only in SE (ρ = -0.34, p < 0.05). Relationships with snowfall~~ (Fig. S10~~) were the most spatially variable, with statistically significant negative relationships observed in NE (ρ = -0.31, p < 0.05), whereas positive relationships were identified in SE for~~), except negative relationships with concurrent snowfall in SE (ρ = -0.~~31~~59, p < 0.001) and NE (ρ = -0.30, p < 0.05~~)~~), and previous-month snowfall ~~(ρ = 0.48, p < 0.001), and in SW for concurrent snowfall (ρ = 0.40~~in SE (ρ = -0.45, p < 0.01).

Across the potential slush zone, slush occurrence (i.e., whether slush was mapped within a grid cell during a given May–September season) was most frequent under combinations of lower March FAC and greater spring snowmelt (Fig. S11). Slush-present grid-cell–years had lower median March FAC than slush-absent grid-cell years (0.16 versus 8.90 m) and greater median April–June snowmelt (158 versus 68 mm w.e.). This pattern also occurred in all individual basins. A one-standard-deviation increase in March FAC was associated with a 59% reduction in the odds of slush occurrence (odds ratio = 0.41, p < 0.001), whereas a one-standard-deviation increase in spring snowmelt was associated with a 71% increase in the odds of slush occurrence (odds ratio = 1.71, p < 0.001). However, the substantial overlap between slush-present and slush-absent conditions indicates that neither March FAC nor spring snowmelt alone defined a distinct threshold for slush occurrence.

3.5. First-order assessments of the wider impact of slush

Applying the empirical meltwater-albedo framework of Ryan et al. (2025) to our ice-sheet-wide slush observations provides a first estimate of potential radiative and melt-equivalent implications of slush at the scale of the whole ice sheet. We found that estimated daily excess energy absorption ranged from approximately 53 PJ d^{-1} in 2018, when seasonal slush extent was ~18,700 km^2, to 249 PJ d^{-1} in 2019, when seasonal slush extent reached ~88,500 km^2. The mean value across 2016–2024 was 134 PJ d^{-1}. Converted to an equivalent mass of ice melt and weighted by the observed distribution of monthly slush extent, cumulative additional melt ranged from 4.9 Gt yr^{-1} (2018) to 23.0 Gt yr^{-1} (2019), with a 2016–2024 mean of 12.4 Gt yr^{-1}. Restricted to RACMO's modelled runoff zone, mapped slush covered 13% of runoff-zone pixels on average (range: 6% in 2018 to 22% in 2019). The runoff currently modelled from these slush-covered pixels totalled 30.7 Gt yr^{-1} on average (range: 11.8–63.6 Gt yr^{-1}); this would increase to approximately 42.0 Gt yr^{-1} if the additional energy absorbed due to slush-driven surface darkening were fully converted to ice melt rather than retained within the firn.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, our work forms the first large-scale assessment of slush on the GrIS. Prior assessments have almost exclusively focused on well-defined supraglacial meltwater features, specifically~~,~~ lakes, channels, and water-filled crevasses (e.g., Dunmire et al., 2021, 2025; Zhang et al~~.~~., 2023~~, Fan et al., 2025; ~~; Melling et al., 2024; ~~Dunmire~~Fan et al., ~~2021;~~ 2025) that are somewhat easier to map using traditional thresholding methods. By leveraging ML within a cloud-based framework, we show that slush can be systematically mapped across the entire GrIS. The resulting nine-year, ice-sheet-wide dataset offers new insight into the spatial variability and persistence of slush, revealing that slush is a widespread and climatically sensitive component of the surface hydrological system on the GrIS.

4.1. Slush dominates the areal extent of surface meltwater on the GrIS

Between 2016 and 2024, ~~we show that~~ slush ~~covered~~was detected across a mean ~~area~~seasonal extent of 2.~~9% (~50,400~~8% (~48,100 km^2) of the GrIS~~ each summer~~, with ~~a peak areal~~the largest extent of 5.~~2% (~90,300 km^2)~~1% (~88,500 km^2) occurring in 2019, the ~~year of~~ highest~~-~~-melt ~~in~~year of our study period (Figs. 3 and 4). In the same year, Zhang et al. (2023) mapped a maximum area of 9,990 km^2 for lakes, channels, and water-filled crevasses; the mapped seasonal slush extent was therefore ~~covered over~~approximately nine times ~~more of the GrIS~~larger than the combined area of these other meltwater features~~ in 2019~~. Even in the lowest melt year of our study period, 2018, our mapped seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent (1.~~2%; ~20,500~~1%; ~18,700 km^2) was ~~over~~nearly four times greater than the 4,900 km^2 of other meltwater features reported by Zhang et al. (2023) for 2018. Studies focusing solely on supraglacial lakes highlight an even greater disparity. Fan et al. (2025) showed that between 1985 and 2023, supraglacial lake area rarely exceeded 3,500 km^2, with a total of ~3,000 km^2 in 2019. Additionally, compared with the ice-sheet-wide supraglacial lake extents reported by Dunmire et al. (2021) of 1,240 km^2 in 2018 and 2,570 km^2 in 2019, the total seasonal slush extent mapped in our study was approximately ~~16~~15-fold greater in 2018 and ~~35~~34-fold greater in 2019. At the basin scale, our seasonal slush~~ areal~~ extent observations also greatly surpass the areal extent of other meltwater features observed previously. For example, for the NE in 2019, we detected a maximum seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent of ~~27,280~~ ~26,680 km^2, whereas Zhang et al. (2023) reported just 820 km^2 of other meltwater features in this basin~~;~~ – a more than 30-fold difference. Although the aforementioned cross-study comparisons for the GrIS reflect differing methodologies, they are used here to provide first-order context for the relative spatial ~~extent~~coverage of slush compared to other surface meltwater features. Given that slush has been largely overlooked in previous studies, this comparison highlights its widespread presence across the GrIS.

The dominance of slush on the GrIS relative to lakes also contrasts strongly with Antarctic ice shelves, where slush and lakes occupy approximately equal areas, with slush contributing ~50% of peak-summer (January) meltwater ~~extent~~area across 57 ice shelves (Dell et al., 2024). The higher slush proportion on the GrIS likely reflects fundamental differences in glaciological setting and climate relative to Antarctic ice shelves, with the former experiencing ~~particularly~~substantially stronger melt intensity (van den Broeke et al., 2023; Hanna et al., 2024), reduced FAC (The Firn Symposium Team, 2024), widespread bare-ice exposure (Ryan et al., 2019), and recurrent near-surface saturation due to ice slabs and lenses (Culberg et al., 2021; Machguth et al., 2016). Together, these factors allow slush to expand across broad contiguous zones on the GrIS. ~~Contrastingly~~In contrast, in Antarctica, lake and slush formation is predominantly restricted to flatter ice shelves (Stokes et al., 2019), where lower melt magnitudes, colder mean conditions, and almost complete refreezing of meltwater within the firn (van den Broeke et al., 2023~~) limits~~; Banwell et al., 2023) limit the spatial ~~extent~~coverage and persistence of all forms of surface meltwater, compared to the GrIS. As Antarctic surface hydrology may increasingly come to resemble present-day Greenland under future warming (Bell et al., 2018; Mottram et al., 2025), improving process-level understanding of slush dynamics across both ice sheets is an urgent research priority.

4.2. Slush is most extensive in the western and northern basins

Our observations reveal that slush is most extensive in the western (notably the SW) and northern basins of the GrIS, and least extensive in the ~~eastern and~~ southeastern ~~basins~~basin. This distribution aligns with observations from previous studies of supraglacial ~~hydrology studies, which report more extensive~~ meltwater features ~~in west Greenland~~, particularly supraglacial lakes, which report greater prevalence in west Greenland (e.g., Selmes et al., 2011; Dunmire et al., 2021, 2025; Hu et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023; Fan et al., ~~2025; Dunmire et al., 2021;~~ 2025).

In the SW basin, low-angle slopes, broad ablation zones (Ryan et al., 2019), and high melt rates (Mikkelsen et al., 2016; van As~~,~~ et al., 2017) create conditions that likely allow slush to extend further inland than in other regions. A disproportionate loss of FAC relative to other regions (Vandecrux et al., 2019) may also promote widespread surface saturation and slush formation in the SW by reducing the capacity of the firn column to accommodate meltwater. Although these conditions favour extensive inland slush in much of the SW basin, the western margin coincides with the ~~‘dark zone’ (Tedstone et al., 2017),~~'dark zone', where ~~limited snow cover, high melt rates, and~~low-albedo impurities sustain prolonged bare~~-~~-ice exposure (Tedstone et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2024~~) may restrict~~), leaving little snow or firn substrate for slush ~~formation~~to form, consistent with the ~~distinct~~ stripe of low slush persistence ~~that~~ we identify along the western ice-sheet margin (Fig. 3).

The dominance of slush in the western and northern regions may also reflect the distribution of surface and ~~sub-surface~~subsurface structures that restrict vertical meltwater infiltration. A substantial proportion of mapped slush (~71%) occurs ~~outside the firn zone,~~ within the bare-ice region, which is seasonally snow-covered but exposed as ice by late summer, where the ~~ice~~ surface ~~itself~~ forms an inherently impermeable boundary to downward percolation. ~~An important control on~~The spatial coincidence between mapped slush and ice-slab extents suggests that subsurface ice slabs may influence slush distribution ~~also appears to be the presence of sub-surface ice slabs, which act~~by acting as shallow permeability barriers within the firn column. By restricting vertical percolation, slabs promote sustained saturation of the overlying firn and favour lateral meltwater routing, creating conditions conducive to recurrent slush formation (Machguth et al., 2016; MacFerrin et al., 2019; Jullien et al., 2023). Approximately 30% of ~~Greenland’s~~Greenland's mapped slush area overlaps with slab extents, with most of this concentrated in the western and northern basins (Fig. 3). ~~Areas of longer persistence of slush~~ In these regions ~~are~~, more persistent slush is often coincident with slab presence, potentially reflecting a positive feedback ~~in which~~: meltwater ~~supplied by lateral flow~~routed laterally through the slush zone ponds in ~~shallow-slope zones~~low-gradient areas above the slab, where repeated refreezing ~~locally~~ thickens the slab and reinforces the ~~shallow~~ permeability barriers that favour recurrent slush formation, ~~as observed~~consistent with field observations by Tedstone et al. (2025).

Unlike slabs, firn aquifers are characterised by the deep infiltration of meltwater into temperate firn and long-term ~~sub-surface~~subsurface water storage rather than shallow blockage of vertical flow (Forster et al., 2014; ~~Miege~~Miège et al., 2016), and can host ice layers (e.g., Miller et al., 2020). Comparatively limited overlap of aquifers with mapped slush suggests that they ~~do not appear to~~ exert ~~the same~~less sustained control on slush persistence ~~as~~than shallow impermeable ice layers.

Notably, a non-trivial fraction of all mapped slush (~15%) occurs within firn areas outside both mapped ice slab and firn aquifer extents, much of it concentrated in western Greenland where slush persistence is highest. While recurrent slush formation in bare ice regions is expected due to the impermeable ice surface, these observations indicate that persistent slush can also develop in firn regions lacking extensive perennial low-permeability units. In these settings, smaller-scale ice lenses and contrasts in firn density and grain size may locally restrict vertical percolation, promoting lateral spreading and near-surface saturation, consistent with experimental observations from Greenland firn (Humphrey et al., 2021). Periods of intense melt may further enhance these effects where meltwater production exceeds local infiltration capacity. Together, these patterns suggest that finer-scale firn stratigraphic heterogeneity may exert an important control on recurrent slush formation across parts of the ice sheet.

4.3. Slush reaches higher elevations and is greater in areal extent during extreme melt years

In extreme melt years (notably 2019 and 2023; the highest and second highest melt ~~seasons~~years in our time series, respectively), slush both reaches higher elevations and is more areally extensive than in cooler, low-melt years (e.g., 2018; Figs. 5 and 6), which also mirrors the established tendency for supraglacial lakes to appear at higher elevations in warmer years (Sundal et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2012; Lüthje et al., 2006; Leeson et al., 2015; Glen et al., 2025). Under typical conditions, we show that variability in the ~~areal~~ monthly slush extent ~~in slush~~ follows a predictable seasonal cycle, forming in May, peaking in ~~areal extent and maximum elevation~~area in July, and decreasing in area during the autumn freeze-up, similar again to the behaviour of supraglacial lakes (e.g., Otto et al., 2022; ~~Yang et al., 2021;~~ Glen et al., 2025). During extreme ~~high~~ melt years such as 2019, this seasonal cycle is amplified, with sustained high air temperatures combined with melt-albedo feedbacks producing prolonged, intense melt (Sasgen et al., 2020; Tedesco and Fettweis, 2020; Hanna et al., 2021), which likely drove 2019 to have the most extensive and furthest inland slush ~~areal extents~~ in our record. In contrast, we suggest that the atypical pattern in 2022 resulted from a late-season, anomalously warm and rainy event in September 2022 that triggered ice-sheet-wide melt and delayed late-season freeze-up (Moon et al., 2022; C3S, 2023), enabling the sustained slush presence that we observed into late~~-~~ September 2022.

Despite the strong ~~interannual~~inter-annual variability in slush area and mean upper-limit elevation ~~and area~~ that we ~~observe~~observed, our nine-year record reveals no statistically significant temporal trend in ~~slush area or elevation at~~ either variable at ice~~-~~-sheet or ~~regional~~basin scales, except ~~from~~for a weak ~~elevation~~ increase in mean upper-limit elevation in the SE basin ($R^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.05$). These results are consistent with those of Machguth et al. (2022), who~~, over a similar length study period (2012-2021),~~ found no significant change in maximum slush elevation on the western GrIS~~,~~ over a study period of similar length (2013–2021) but observed pronounced intra-seasonal variability. Multi-decadal studies, however, have reported increases in meltwater feature area and elevation over time. For example, Fan et al. (2025) documented an ice-sheet-wide supraglacial lake area increase of ~50.5 $\text{km}^2\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ from 1985~~-~~–2023, while Tedstone and Machguth (2022) reported increases in visible runoff limits of ~242 m (west), 194 m (north), and 59 m (northeast) between 1985~~-~~–2020. These findings suggest that while inter- and intra-annual variability dominate decadal slush records, slush is nonetheless likely to follow the broader trajectory of increasing area and elevation over multi-decadal timescales.

Our observations suggest that extreme melt events may not only drive widespread slush formation through direct surface ~~melt~~melting, but ~~they may~~ also alter firn structure in ways that ~~may amplify future slush formation, effectively preconditioning the ice sheet for~~promote slush recurrence in ~~subsequent melt~~later seasons. For example, the northern basin exhibited elevated seasonal slush ~~areal~~ extent in ~~all years after~~every year following the extreme 2019 melt season (Fig. 6c), ~~even when melting was~~including years characterised by relatively low melt. This ~~is suggestive of~~pattern points to a ~~'legacy'~~possible legacy effect, ~~where~~whereby slush ~~that~~ formed during 2019 subsequently refroze to produce low-permeability firn and/or thicken pre-existing ice slabs, reducing firn infiltration and storage capacity. ~~This interpretation is further supported by~~ The strong and statistically significant decline in FAC ~~from~~between 2016 ~~to~~and 2023 ($R^2 = 0.82$, $p < 0.01$; Fig. ~~6). Under these~~6) is consistent with this, and under such reduced-storage conditions, ~~only~~ saturation of the seasonal snowpack alone may be ~~required~~sufficient to regenerate slush ~~in subsequent years. Our interpretation is consistent with recent work by~~from one year to the next. Rawlins et al. (2023~~), who~~) similarly documented earlier melt onset and prolonged melt seasons in northern Greenland following major slush events in ~~previous years~~preceding years. As the GrIS warms, declining firn storage capacity, expanding ice slabs, and more frequent extreme melt events may therefore not only increase slush extent but also progressively alter the conditions under which slush forms, persists, and refreezes, raising the possibility that legacy effects influence future meltwater production beyond melt forcing alone. However, the processes underlying this pattern cannot be resolved from our observations alone, and the proposed mechanism requires further investigation.

4.4. Slush ~~variability is~~extent and occurrence are associated with meltwater supply~~,~~ and firn storage capacity~~, and atmospheric forcing~~

We find that slush extent is positively associated with snowmelt at the intra-annual scale across all basins, and inter-annually in most basins, consistent with increased meltwater supply exceeding available pore space and sustaining near-surface saturation and lateral water redistribution. At the intra-annual scale, both antecedent and concurrent snowmelt correlate with monthly slush extent, suggesting that wetted firn can remain saturated into subsequent months. This finding is consistent with field evidence that saturation in firn and slush can persist for days after a reduction in melt intensity (Clerx et al., 2022). A positive association between 2 m air temperature and slush extent is also evident, particularly at the intra-annual scale. Because temperature strongly influences snowmelt, this relationship likely reflects greater meltwater production rather than an independent control on slush formation.

We also find a negative association between slush extent and FAC. One possible explanation is that lower FAC limits the amount of meltwater that can be retained or refrozen before near-surface saturation is reached (Harper et al., 2012), consistent with FAC's established role in Greenland meltwater retention (e.g., Vandecrux et al., 2019). However, because ~71% of mapped slush occurred within the late-summer bare-ice zone, where FAC is inherently low, the negative association may partly reflect differences between bare-ice and firn-covered regions. The relationship between slush extent and FAC is clearest at the intra-annual scale, where monthly slush extent is negatively correlated with FAC in five of the six basins. Inter-annual correlations between seasonal slush extent and FAC are also negative across all basins but are not statistically significant, potentially because the FAC record contains only eight annual observations per basin (2016–2023). Previous-month FAC is significantly associated with monthly slush extent in only one basin, whereas previous-month snowmelt is significant across all basins, suggesting that meltwater supply has a more immediate association with monthly slush extent, while FAC is slower-changing, with firn structural changes capable of persisting for decades (The Firn Symposium Team, 2024). FAC represents potential firn storage rather than the pore space necessarily accessible to meltwater, and modelled FAC may overestimate available storage in ice-slab-affected regions, where near-surface ice layers restrict percolation into deeper firn (Machguth et al., 2016; MacFerrin et al., 2019) – a limitation not captured by IMAU-FDM (Brils et al., 2022).

Snowfall exhibits weaker and more spatially inconsistent relationships with slush extent than the other variables. Where statistically significant, these relationships are negative and limited to a small subset of basins and time windows. This pattern suggests that greater snowfall is associated with reduced slush extent, potentially because a deeper snowpack increases near-surface meltwater-storage capacity or maintains a higher surface albedo, thereby limiting melt and delaying saturation (The Firn Symposium Team, 2024).

Across the ice sheet and within individual basins, slush occurrence (i.e., whether a grid cell was mapped as slush during May–September in a given year) is more likely under greater spring snowmelt and lower March FAC. Neither variable shows a distinct threshold for slush occurrence: slush occurs across a broad range of FAC and snowmelt conditions, with low FAC favouring slush occurrence but not determining it independently of meltwater supply. This contrasts with the threshold-like pattern reported for Antarctic ice shelves, where meltwater coverage remained below 1% above approximately 21 m FAC but exceeded 5% below approximately 14 m FAC, although these values were derived from ice-shelf-averaged FAC and meltwater coverage (Dell et al., 2024). Our grid-cell-level results instead indicate that slush occurrence on the GrIS reflects the combined influence of FAC and snowmelt, alongside local conditions not represented by either variable alone. Dell et al. (2024) similarly suggest that spatially averaged FAC may obscure controls operating at finer spatial scales.

4.5. ~~We find that slush variability is strongly associated with meltwater supply, firn storage capacity, and atmospheric forcing across both interannual and intra-annual temporal scales. At the interannual GrIS-wide scale, the negative relationships with FAC we find across January-to-March, April-to-June, and May-to-September suggest that years with reduced firn pore space are associated with more extensive summer slush development, because less meltwater can be retained and refrozen within the firn before near-surface saturation is reached. This is consistent with the established role of firn air content as a first-order control on meltwater retention capacity across Greenland (e.g., Vandecrux et al., 2019), and with wider evidence from Antarctic ice shelves that FAC can act as a threshold control on the development of surface meltwater once storage capacity is sufficiently reduced (Dell et al., 2024). Enhanced snowmelt may then act as the principal driver translating this storage deficit into observable slush extent, as increased meltwater supply is more likely to overwhelm the reduced pore space and promote sustained surface saturation and lateral redistribution of water. Significant positive relationships with 2 m air temperature were identified across all seasonal windows, suggesting that atmospheric warming contributes to interannual slush variability, likely through its direct influence on melt production; however, the comparatively stronger relationships with FAC suggest that variability in slush extent at the interannual GrIS-wide scale is more directly associated with firn storage capacity than with temperature alone. The weaker positive relationships with snowfall suggest that fresh snow may temporarily enhance near-surface water retention and help preserve slush once melting begins.~~

~~At the intra-annual basin-wise scale, strong correlations with same-month and previous-month snowmelt across all basins indicate that slush extent tracks melt forcing closely, and that antecedent melt conditions also contribute to monthly slush variability, potentially reflecting persistence of wetted firn into subsequent months even as melt intensity weakens. Air temperature shows similar positive associations across all basins. Negative relationships with same-month FAC across most basins suggest that reduced pore space promotes melt-season slush development, though the absence of significant FAC relationships in CW and SW likely reflects the widespread FAC depletion documented across western Greenland (Vandecrux et al., 2019), where meltwater supply rather than storage capacity becomes the more direct control. Snowfall relationships varied regionally (negative in NE, positive in SE and SW) consistent with snowfall influencing slush development through different mechanisms: fresh snow can enhance near-surface water retention and facilitate slush formation (The Firn Symposium Team, 2024), but may also increase storage capacity or suppress melt in other settings, reducing slush extent.~~

~~4.5.~~ **Slush has implications for ~~surface energy balance and hydrological modelling~~GrIS mass loss**

Slush ~~plays a key role in regulating ice sheet~~ may influence surface energy balance and meltwater pathways, ~~and accurately representing its presence and dynamics~~ yet it remains poorly represented in models of ice-sheet surface energy balance (e.g~~.~~., Noël et al., 2019; Huai et al., 2020), lake formation (e.g~~.~~., Law et al., 2020~~)~~), and hydrological routing (e.g~~.~~., Banwell et al., 2012; Leeson et al., 2012; Gantayat et al., 2023~~) is essential to capture realistic albedo~~). Accurately representing its distribution and dynamics is important for capturing albedo–melt feedbacks, meltwater storage~~–capacity~~, and connectivity between supraglacial hydrological features. ~~Our new~~The ice-sheet-wide observations presented ~~in this study~~ here provide ~~a well-constrained input to refine these hydrological~~new constraints for developing these models~~ and better capture the complex interactions between meltwater and ice sheet processes~~, although our first-order analyses should not be interpreted as fully constrained physical estimates.

~~Our ice-sheet-wide slush observations provide a first opportunity to approximate its potential radiative and hydrological consequences at scale. Using the empirical meltwater-albedo framework of Ryan et al. (2025) as an upper-bound analogue, the slush extents derived here imply a mean ice-sheet-wide excess energy absorption of 134 PJ d^{-1} over 2016-2024 (range 53-249 PJ d^{-1}), peaking in the extreme melt year of 2019 (Section S3). These estimates should be treated as upper bounds due to the optical differences between slush and open water. Nevertheless, the larger~~To place our slush melt estimate in the broader context of GrIS mass loss (Section 3.5), we find that the mean potential additional melt associated with slush-driven energy absorption (12.4 Gt yr^{-1} over 2016–2024) is equivalent to approximately 7% of the ice sheet's mean annual mass loss (169 ± 9 Gt yr^{-1} over 1992–2020; Otosaka et al., 2023) and 15% of an estimated runoff-driven component of 85 Gt yr^{-1}, calculated by applying the runoff contribution of 50.3% reported for 1992–2018 (The IMBIE Team, 2020) to this mean annual mass-loss value. These estimates are subject to substantial uncertainty because the empirical coefficient used in the calculation was derived from open meltwater ponds and has not been validated for slush, and because the optical properties and albedo-lowering effect of slush remain poorly constrained (Ryan et al., 2025). Nevertheless, the greater areal extent of slush relative to open meltwater suggests that its contribution to surface darkening and melt amplification ~~may be substantial, reinforcing the need to explicitly incorporate slush into future surface energy balance frameworks. Additionally, current regional climate model frameworks, including RACMO and MAR, typically partition meltwater directly between vertical refreezing and runoff without representing a transient phase of lateral meltwater transport within surface slush layers (Noël et al., 2018; Fettweis et al., 2017). Our results suggest that this omission may distort both runoff timing and near-surface retention. For example, in the CW basin during 2019, slush-covered pixels accounted for ~28% of annual modelled runoff and ~13% of modelled refreezing, despite occupying only ~5% of RACMO grid cells (Section S4). This disproportionate influence highlights how slush represents a transient phase of meltwater retention and lateral routing before export or refreezing (e.g., Clerx et al., 2022; Tedstone et al., 2025), a process likely to become increasingly important as ice slabs expand inland (Jullien et al., 2023) and slush migrates to higher elevations (Tedstone and Machguth, 2022) with continued atmospheric warming.~~

~~Although the analyses presented here are first-order and should not be interpreted as fully constrained physical estimates, they nevertheless demonstrate that slush may exert an important influence on the surface energy balance, meltwater routing, and runoff generation across the GrIS. Future work explicitly coupling slush processes within~~could be substantial. If the additional absorbed energy were converted to melt, runoff from slush-covered areas could exceed current estimates from regional climate models – though such comparisons are further complicated by model uncertainty in the spatial extent of the runoff zone (Machguth et al., 2026). Explicitly incorporating slush into physically based surface mass-balance and hydrological models will therefore be important for constraining its ~~role in ice sheet~~influence on GrIS evolution under continued warming.

5. Conclusion

We present the first ice-sheet-wide record of slush ~~for~~across the GrIS, spanning nine melt seasons from 2016 to 2024. Using a cloud-based ~~ML~~machine-learning framework, we ~~demonstrate~~show that slush is widespread, ~~climate-sensitive~~responsive to melt conditions, and the dominant mapped surface meltwater ~~type on the GrIS, covering~~feature by seasonal extent. During high-melt years, seasonal slush extent was up to an order of magnitude greater ~~area during high melt years~~ than reported estimates of the combined extent of supraglacial lakes, channels, and water-filled crevasses~~ reported in previous studies.~~. Slush is most extensive in the southwestern and northern basins, most persistent in the northeast and north, and ~~reaches~~extends to higher elevations during intense melt years~~,~~. These spatial and temporal patterns ~~that~~ likely reflect ~~the~~variations in bare-ice exposure, ice-slab distribution~~ of bare ice, ice slabs~~, and firn ~~heterogeneity~~properties across the ice sheet. ~~Slush variability is strongly~~We also find that both slush extent and occurrence are associated with ~~firn storage capacity, meltwater supply, and air temperature, with widespread slush development occurring during warm years of enhanced melt and reduced firn air content.~~ higher snowmelt and lower FAC.

Despite its ~~dominance~~widespread occurrence and marked seasonal variability, slush remains largely absent from ~~existing~~ surface mass~~-~~-balance, hydrological, and coupled ice-dynamics ~~modelling frameworks~~ models used to project future ice~~-~~-sheet behaviour~~; an~~. This omission ~~that becomes~~may become increasingly ~~consequential~~important as the GrIS evolves under continued warming. ~~As the GrIS warms, declining firn storage capacity, expanding ice slabs, and more frequent extreme melt events will not simply increase slush extent; they may restructure the conditions under which~~ First-order estimates suggest that slush~~ forms, persists, and refreezes. These changes~~-driven surface darkening may ~~produce legacy effects that amplify future meltwater production beyond what melt forcing alone would predict. A~~increase surface energy absorption and, if this additional energy is converted to melt, enhance runoff. Explicitly representing slush alongside other surface meltwater features is therefore important for developing a more complete understanding of the GrIS response to climate change~~ therefore requires slush to be fully represented in models alongside all other surface meltwater feature types.~~. The nine-year~~, ice-sheet-wide~~ dataset presented here provides an observational foundation for ~~developing more physically complete~~improving representations of the evolving GrIS hydrological system.

Data availability

The slush dataset produced in this study is available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20417415 (Glen, 2026). The slush delineation code used in this study was adapted from: https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.77156 (Dell et al., 2022b). Sentinel~~-~~-2 imagery is freely available via the GEE data catalogue (https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/sentinel). RACMO2.3p2 model data are available upon request from B. Noël (bnoel@uliege.be). The IMAU-FDM data are available on request from the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht (imau@science.uu.nl).

Author contributions

EG, AFB and AL conceptualised the research, which was led by EG. AFB, AL, KEM, RLD, JM and MM contributed to the scientific content, technical details and overall structure of this paper. All co-authors contributed to the discussion of results and editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Acknowledgements

Emily Glen and Amber Leeson received support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) MIIGreenland project under award NE/S011390/1 and from the European Space Agency under the POLAR+ 4DGreenland project, ESA contract no. 4000132139/20/I-EF. Alison F. Banwell received support from the U.S. National Science Foundation under awards 1841607 and 2332480 to the University of Colorado Boulder (PI Banwell). Malcolm McMillan was supported by the European Space Agency POLAR+ 4DGreenland project (contract no. 4000132139/20/I-EF) and the Lancaster University-UKCEH Centre of Excellence in Environmental Data Science. Malcolm McMillan and Jennifer Maddalena were supported by the UK NERC Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling (grant no: NE/Y006178/1).

We thank the editor, Prof. Horst Machguth, ~~as well as~~and the reviewers, Dr. Peter Tuckett and Dr. ~~Baptise~~Baptiste Vandecrux ~~for their reviews, which~~, whose comments greatly improved the quality of this manuscript. We also acknowledge the use of ChatGPT and Claude for editorial support during the revision process.

Financial support

This research has been supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (grants NE/S011390/1 and NE/Y006178/1), the European Space Agency (grant 4000132139/20/I-EF), and the National Science Foundation (grants 1841607 and 2332480).

References

~~Banwell, A. F., Hewitt, I., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N.: Moulin density controls drainage development beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 121, 2248-2269, https://doi. org/10. 1002/2015JF003801, 2016.~~

~~Banwell, A. F., I. C. Willis, I. C., and Arnold N. S.: Modeling subglacial water routing at Paakitsoq, W Greenland, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 118, 1282-1295, https://doi. org/10. 1002/jgrf. 20093, 2013.~~

~~Banwell, A. F., Wever, N., Dunmire, D., and Picard, G.: Quantifying Antarctic-wide ice-shelf surface melt volume using microwave and firn model data: 1980 to 2021, Geophysical Research Letters, 50, e2023GL102744, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL102744, 2023.~~

Banwell, A. F., Arnold, N. S., Willis, I. C., Tedesco, M., and Ahlstrøm, A. P.: Modeling supraglacial water routing and lake filling on the Greenland Ice Sheet, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf., 117, F04012, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002393, 2012.

Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N. S.: Modeling subglacial water routing at Paakitsoq, West Greenland, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf., 118, 1282–1295, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20093, 2013.

Banwell, A. F., Hewitt, I., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N. S.: Moulin density controls drainage development beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf., 121, 2248–2269, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003801, 2016.

Banwell, A. F., Wever, N., Dunmire, D., and Picard, G.: Quantifying Antarctic-wide ice-shelf surface melt volume using microwave and firn model data: 1980 to 2021, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2023GL102744, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL102744, 2023.

Bell, R. E., Banwell, A. F., Trusel, L. D., and Kingslake, J.: Antarctic surface hydrology and impacts on ice-sheet mass balance, ~~Nature Climate~~Nat. Clim. Change, 8, 1044–1052, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0326-3, 2018.

Bonsoms, J., Oliva, M., López-Moreno, J. I., and Fettweis, X.: Rising extreme meltwater trends in Greenland Ice Sheet (1950–2022): surface energy balance and large-scale circulation changes~~.~~, J. ~~Clim.,~~Climate, 37, 4851–4866, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-23-0396.1, 2024.

Box, J. E. and Ski, K.: Remote sounding of Greenland ~~supra-glacial~~supraglacial melt lakes: implications for subglacial hydraulics, J. Glaciol., ~~Journal of glaciology,~~ 53~~(181),~~, 257–264, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756507782202883, 2007.

Breiman, L.: Random forests, Mach. Learn., 45, 5–32, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324, 2001.

Brils, M., Kuipers Munneke, P., van de Berg, W. J., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Improved representation of the contemporary Greenland Ice Sheet firn layer by IMAU-FDM v1.2G, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 7121–7138, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-7121-2022, 2022.

~~Breiman, L.: Random forests, Mach. Learn., 45, 5-32, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324, 2001.~~

Chu, V. W.: Greenland Ice Sheet hydrology: a review, Prog. Phys. Geogr., 38, 19–54, https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133313507075, 2014.

Chudley, T. R., Christoffersen, P., Doyle, S. H., Dowling, T. P. F., Law, R., Schoonman, C. M., Bougamont, M., and Hubbard, B.: Controls on water storage and drainage in crevasses on the Greenland Ice Sheet, J. Geophys. Res~~.~~.-Earth Surf., 126, e2021JF006287, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006287, 2021.

Clerx, N., Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., Jullien, N., Wever, N., Weingartner, R., and ~~van As, D.: Modelling lateral~~Roessler, O.: In situ measurements of meltwater flow through snow and ~~superimposed ice formation atop Greenland's near-surface ice slabs. J.~~ firn in the accumulation zone of the southwestern Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 16, 4379–4401~~Glaciol., 70, e54~~, https://doi.org/10.~~1017/jog.2024.69, 2024~~5194/tc-16-4379-2022, 2022.

~~Clerx, N., Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., Jullien, N., Wever, N., Weingartner, R., and Roessler, O.: 'In situ measurements of meltwater flow through snow and firn in the accumulation zone of the SW Greenland ice sheet', The Cryosphere, 16, 4379-4401. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-4379-2022, 2022.~~

Cogley, J. G., Hock, R., Rasmussen, L. A., Arendt, A. A., Bauder, A., Braithwaite, R. J., ~~Hock, R.,~~ Jansson, P., Kaser, G., Möller, M., Nicholson, L., and ~~Rasmussen, L. A., &~~ Zemp, M~~. (2011).~~.: Glossary of glacier mass balance and related terms~~.~~, IHP-VII Technical Documents in Hydrology~~,~~ No. 86, ~~International Hydrological Programme~~IACS Contribution No. 2, UNESCO-IHP, Paris, ~~France~~114 pp., 2011.

Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S): European State of the Climate 2022~~,~~: Summary, https://~~climate.copernicus.eu/sites/default/files/custom-uploads/ESOTC2022/PR/ESOTCsummary2022_final.pdf, last access: December 2024~~doi.org/10.24381/gvaf-h066, 2023.

Corr, D., Leeson, A., McMillan, M., Zhang, C., and Barnes, T.: An inventory of supraglacial lakes and channels across the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 209–228, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-209-2022, 2022.

Covi, F.: Processes in the percolation zone in southwest Greenland: challenges in modeling surface energy balance and melt, and the role of topography in the formation of ice slabs~~.~~, PhD ~~dissertation~~thesis, University of Alaska Fairbanks, ~~2022. Available at:~~Fairbanks, USA, https://hdl.handle.net/11122/13116, (last access: 17 June 2025), 2022.

Culberg, R., Schroeder, D. M., and Chu, W.: Extreme melt season ice layers reduce firn permeability across Greenland, Nat. Commun., 12, 2336, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22656-5, 2021.

Culberg, R., Michaelides, R. J., ~~&~~and Miller, J. Z~~. (2024).~~.: Sentinel-1 detection of ice slabs on the Greenland Ice Sheet~~.~~, The Cryosphere, 18~~(5),~~, 2531–2555~~.~~, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-2531-2024, 2024.

de Fleurian, B., Werder, M. A., Beyer, S., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Delaney, I., Dow, C. F., Downs, J., Gagliardini, O., Hoffman, M. J., Hooke, R. LeB., Seguinot, J., and Sommers, A. N.: SHMIP ~~the subglacial hydrology model intercomparison project. Journal of Glaciology,~~ The Subglacial Hydrology Model Intercomparison Project, J. Glaciol., 64~~(248),~~, 897–916~~.~~, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.78, 2018.

Dell, R. L., Arnold, N. S., Willis, I. C., Banwell, A. F., Williamson, A. G., Pritchard, H. D., and Orr, A.: Lateral meltwater transfer across an Antarctic ice shelf, The Cryosphere, 14, 2313–2330, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2313-2020, 2020.

Dell, R. L., Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., Arnold, N. S., Halberstadt, A. R. W., Chudley, T. R., and Pritchard, H. D.: Supervised classification of slush and ponded water on Antarctic ice shelves using Landsat 8 imagery, ~~Journal of Glaciology,~~J. Glaciol., 68, 401~~-~~–414, ~~2022~~https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.114, 2022a.

Dell, R. L., Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., Arnold, N. S., Halberstadt, A. R. W., Chudley, T~~., &~~. R., and Pritchard, H. ~~(2022b).~~D.: Supplementary information for ~~'Supervised~~“Supervised classification of slush and ponded water on Antarctic ice shelves using Landsat 8 ~~imagery' by R.L. Dell and Others.~~imagery”, Apollo ~~-~~– University of Cambridge Repository~~.~~ [data set], https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.77156, 2022b.

Dell, R. L., Willis, I. C., Arnold, N. S., Banwell, A~~.,~~. F., and de Roda Husman, S.: Substantial contribution of slush to meltwater area across Antarctic ice shelves~~.~~, Nat. Geosci~~.~~., 17, 624~~-~~–630, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01466-6, 2024.

Dirscherl, M., Dietz, A. J., Kneisel, C., and Kuenzer, C.: Automated mapping of Antarctic supraglacial lakes using a machine ~~Learning Approach.~~ learning approach, Remote Sens., 12, 1203, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12071203, 2020.

Dunmire, D., Banwell, A. F., Wever, N., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and Datta, R. T.: Contrasting regional variability of buried meltwater extent over 2 years across the Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 15, 2983~~-~~–3005, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-2983-2021, 2021.

Dunmire, D., Subramanian, A. C., Hossain, E., Gani, M. O., Banwell, A. F., Younas, H., and Myers, B.: Greenland Ice Sheet -wide supraglacial lake evolution and dynamics: insights from the 2018 and 2019 melt seasons, Earth ~~and~~ Space ~~Science,~~Sci., 12, e2024EA003793, https://doi.org/10.1029/2024EA003793, 2025.

ESA: Sentinel-2 User Handbook, Issue 1, Revision 2, European Space Agency, https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/685211/Sentinel-2_User_Handbook (last access: 10 December 2025), 2015.

Esri: World Imagery [tile layer], Esri, https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=10df2279f9684e4a9f6a7f08febac2a9 (last access: 20 May 2024), 2024.

Fan, Y., Ke, C.-Q., Luo, L., Shen, X., Livingstone, S. J., and Lea, J. M.: Expansion of supraglacial lake area, volume, and extent on the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1985 to 2023, ~~Journal of Glaciology,~~J. Glaciol., 71, e4, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2024.87, 2025.

Feng, S., Cook, J. M., Naegeli, K., Anesio, A. M., Benning, L. G., ~~&~~and Tranter, M~~. (2024).~~.: The impact of bare~~-~~-ice duration and ~~geo-topographical~~geotopographical factors on the darkening of the Greenland Ice Sheet~~. Geophysical Research Letters,~~, Geophys. Res. Lett., 51, e2023GL104894~~.~~, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL104894~~.~~, 2024.

Fettweis, X., Tedesco, M., van den Broeke, M. R., and Ettema, J.: Melting trends over the Greenland Ice Sheet (1958~~-~~–2009) from spaceborne microwave data and regional climate models, The Cryosphere, 5~~(2),~~, 359~~-~~–375, ~~ISSN 19940416,~~ https://doi~~:~~.org/10.5194/tc-5-359-2011, ~~-~~2011.

Forster, R. R., Box, J. E., van den Broeke, M. R., Miège, C., Burgess, E. W., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Koenig, L. S., Paden, J., Lewis, C., Gogineni, S. P., Leuschen, C., and McConnell, J. R.: Extensive liquid meltwater storage in firn within the Greenland Ice Sheet~~.~~, Nat. Geosci., 7, 95~~-~~–98, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2043, 2014.

Gantayat, P., Banwell, A. F., Leeson, A. A., Lea, J. M., Petersen, D., Gourmelen, N., and Fettweis, X.: A new model for supraglacial hydrology evolution and drainage for the Greenland Ice Sheet (SHED v1.0), Geosci. Model Dev., 16, 5803–5823, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-5803-2023, 2023.

Glen, E.: A nine-year record of slush on the Greenland Ice Sheet, Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20417415, 2026.

Glen, E., Leeson, A. A., Banwell, A. F., Maddalena, J., Corr, D., Atkins, O., Noël, B., and McMillan, M.: A comparison of supraglacial meltwater features throughout contrasting melt seasons: southwest Greenland, The Cryosphere, 19, 1047–1066, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-19-1047-2025, 2025.

~~Glen, E. (2026). A nine-year record of slush on the Greenland Ice Sheet [Data set]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20417415~~

Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., and Moore, R.: Google Earth Engine: planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone, Remote Sens. Environ., 202, 18–27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031, 2017.

Greuell, W. and Knap, W. H.: Remote sensing of the albedo and detection of the slush line on the Greenland Ice Sheet, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 15567–15576, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901162, 2000.

Halberstadt, A. R. W., Gleason, C. J., Moussavi, M. S., Pope, A., Trusel, L. D., and DeConto, R. M.: Antarctic supraglacial lake identification using Landsat 8 image classification, Remote Sens., 12, 1327, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12081327, 2020.

Hanna, E., Cappelen, J., Fettweis, X., Mernild, S. H., Mote, T. L., Mottram, R., Steffen, K., Ballinger, T. J., and Hall, R. J.: Greenland surface air temperature changes from 1981 to 2019 and implications for ice-sheet melt and mass-balance change, Int. J. Climatol~~. 2021;~~., 41~~ (Suppl. 1)~~, E1336–E1352, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6771, 2021.

Hanna, E., Topál, D., Box, J. E., Buzzard, S., Christie, F. D. W., Hvidberg, C., Morlighem, M., De Santis, L., Silvano, A., Colleoni, F., Sasgen, I., Banwell, A. F., van den Broeke, M. R., DeConto, R., De Rydt, J., Goelzer, H., Gossart, A., Gudmundsson, G. H., Lindbäck, K., Miles, B., Mottram, R., Pattyn, F., Reese, R., Rignot, E., Srivastava, A., Sun, S., Toller, J., Tuckett, P. A., and Ultee, L.: Short- and long-term variability of the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 5, 193–210, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-024-00438-8, 2024.

Harper, J., Humphrey, N., Pfeffer, W. T., Brown, J., and Fettweis, X.: Greenland Ice Sheet contribution to sea-level rise buffered by meltwater storage in firn, Nature, 491, 240–243, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11566, 2012.

Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X., and Bamber, J. L.: Decreasing cloud cover drives the recent mass loss on the Greenland Ice Sheet, ~~Science Advances,~~Sci. Adv., 3, e1700584, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700584, 2017.

Holmes, C. W.: Morphology and hydrology of the Mint Julep area, southwest Greenland, in: Project Mint Julep: Investigation of Smooth Ice Areas of the Greenland Ice Cap, 1953, Part II, Special Scientific Reports, Arctic, Desert, Tropic Information Center, Research Studies Institute, Air University, 1955.

Howat, I. M., de la Peña, S., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Brief communication ~~'Expansion~~: Expansion of meltwater lakes on the Greenland Ice ~~Sheet'~~Sheet, The Cryosphere, 7, 201~~-~~–204, https://doi.org/10.~~-~~5194/tc-7-201-2013, 2013.

Hu, J., Huang, H., Chi, Z., Cheng, X., Wei, Z., Chen, P., Xu, X., Qi, S., Xu, Y., and Zheng, Y.: Distribution and evolution of supraglacial lakes in Greenland during the 2016~~-~~–2018 melt seasons, Remote ~~Sensing,~~Sens., 14, 55, ~~2021~~https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14010055, 2022.

Huai, B., van den Broeke, M. R., and Reijmer, C. H.: Long-term surface energy balance of the western Greenland Ice Sheet and the role of large-scale circulation variability, The Cryosphere, 14, 4181~~-~~–4199, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4181-2020, 2020.

Humphrey, ~~Neil~~N. F., ~~Joel T.~~ Harper, J. T., and ~~Toby W.~~ Meierbachtol~~:~~, T. W.: Physical limits to meltwater penetration in firn, ~~Journal of Glaciology~~J. Glaciol., 67, ~~no. 265:~~ 952~~-60.~~–960, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.44, 2021.

The IMBIE~~.:~~ Team: Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018, Nature, 579, 233~~-~~–239, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2, 2020.

Jullien, N., Tedstone, A. J., Machguth, H., Karlsson, N. B., and Helm, V.: Greenland Ice Sheet ice~~-~~-slab expansion and thickening, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2022GL100911, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100911, 2023.

Jullien, N~~,~~., Tedstone~~ AJ,~~, A. J., and Machguth, H.: Constraining ice~~-~~-slab thickness at the onset of visible surface runoff from the Greenland Ice Sheet~~. Journal of Glaciology~~, J. Glaciol., 71, e36, ~~1-12.~~ https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2025.25, 2025.

Koziol~~ CP~~, C. P. and Arnold, N~~:~~.: Modelling seasonal meltwater forcing of the velocity of land-terminating margins of the Greenland Ice Sheet~~.~~, The Cryosphere, 12~~(3)~~,, 971~~-~~–991, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-971-2018, 2018.

Law, R~~,~~., Arnold, N~~,~~., Benedek, C~~,~~. L., Tedesco, M~~,~~., Banwell, A~~,~~. F., and Willis, I~~., 2020,~~. C.: Over-winter persistence of supraglacial lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet: results and insights from a new model~~. Journal of Glaciology~~, J. Glaciol., 66~~(257),~~, 362~~-~~–372~~.~~, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.7, 2020.

~~Leeson, A. A., Shepherd, A., Briggs, K., Howat, I., Fettweis, X., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E.: Supraglacial lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet advance inland under warming climate, Nat Clim Chang, 5, 51-55. https://doi. org/10.1038/nclimate2463, 2015.~~

Leeson, A. A., Shepherd, A., Palmer, S., Sundal, A., and Fettweis, X.: Simulating the growth of supraglacial lakes at the western margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 6, 1077~~-~~–1086, https://doi.~~-~~org/10.~~-~~5194/tc-6-1077-2012, 2012.

Leeson, A. A., Shepherd, A., Briggs, K., Howat, I., Fettweis, X., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E.: Supraglacial lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet advance inland under warming climate, Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 51–55, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2463, 2015.

Liang, Y.-L., Colgan, W., Lv, Q., Steffen, K., Abdalati, W., Stroeve, J., Gallaher, D., and Bayou, N.: A decadal investigation of supraglacial lakes in West Greenland using a fully automatic detection and tracking algorithm, Remote ~~Sensing of Environment,~~Sens. Environ., 123, 127~~-~~–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.03.020, 2012.

Lüthje, M., Pedersen, L. T., Reeh, N., and Greuell, W.: Modelling the evolution of supraglacial lakes on the West Greenland ice-sheet margin, J. Glaciol., 52, 608~~–~~–618, https://doi.org/10.~~-~~3189/172756506781828386, 2006.

MacFerrin, M., Machguth, H., van As, D., Charalampidis, C., Stevens, C. M., Heilig, A., Vandecrux, B., Langen, P. L., Mottram, R., Fettweis, X., van den Broeke, M. R., Pfeffer, W. T., Moussavi, M. S., and Abdalati, W.: Rapid expansion of Greenland's low-permeability ice slabs, Nature, 573, 403–407, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1550-3, 2019.

Machguth, H., MacFerrin, M., van As, D., Box, J. E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., Fausto, R~~,~~. S., Meijer, H. A. J., Mosley-Thompson, E., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: Greenland meltwater storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation~~.~~, ~~Nature~~, Nat. Clim. Change, 6, 390~~–~~–393, https://doi.~~-~~org/10.~~-~~1038/nclimate2899, 2016.

Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., and Mattea, E.: Daily variations in western Greenland slush limits, 2000~~–~~–2021, ~~Journal of Glaciology,~~J. Glaciol., 69~~(273),~~, 191~~–~~–203, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2022.65, 2022.

Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., Kuipers Munneke, P., Brils, M., Noël, B., Clerx, N., Jullien, N., Fettweis, X., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Runoff from Greenland's firn area – why do MODIS, RCMs and a firn model disagree?, The Cryosphere, 20, 427–452, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-20-427-2026, 2026.

McFeeters, S. K.: The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the delineation of open~~-~~-water ~~features', International Journal of~~features, Int. J. Remote ~~Sensing,~~Sens., 17~~(7),~~, 1425~~–~~–1432, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169608948714~~.~~, 1996.

Melling, L., Leeson, A. A., McMillan, M., Maddalena, J., Bowling, J., Glen, E., Sandberg Sørensen, L., Winstrup, M., and Lørup Arildsen, R.: Evaluation of satellite methods for estimating supraglacial lake depth in southwest Greenland, The Cryosphere, 18, 543~~–~~–558, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-543-2024, 2024.

Miège, C., Forster, R. R., Brucker, L., Koenig, L. S., Solomon, D. K., Paden, J. D., Box, J. E., Burgess, E. W., Miller, J. Z., McNerney, L., and Brautigam, N.: Spatial extent and temporal variability of Greenland firn aquifers detected by ground and airborne radars, J. Geophys. Res~~.~~. Earth Surf., 121, 2381~~–~~–2398, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF003869, 2016.

Mikkelsen, A. B., Hubbard, A., MacFerrin, M., Box, J. E., Doyle, S. H., Fitzpatrick, A., Hasholt, B., Bailey, H. L., Lindbäck, K., and Pettersson, R.: Extraordinary runoff from the Greenland Ice Sheet in 2012 amplified by hypsometry and depleted firn retention, The Cryosphere, 10, 1147~~–~~–1159, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1147-2016, 2016.

Miles, K. E., Willis, I. C., Benedek, C. L., Williamson, A. G., and Tedesco, M.: Toward monitoring surface and subsurface lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet using Sentinel-1 SAR and Landsat~~-~~ 8 OLI imagery, Front. Earth Sci~~.~~., 5~~:~~, 58, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2017.00058, 2017.

Miller, O., Solomon, D. K., Miège, C., Koenig, L. S., Forster, R. R., Schmerr, N., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Legchenko, A., Voss, C. I., Montgomery, L. N., and McConnell, J. R.: Hydrology of a perennial firn aquifer in southeast Greenland: an overview driven by field data, Water Resour. Res., 56, e2019WR026348, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026348, 2020.

Moon, T. A., Mankoff, K. D., Fausto, R. S., Fettweis, X., Loomis, B. D., Mote, T~~., et al~~. L., Poinar, K., Tedesco, M., Wehrlé, A., and Jensen, C. D.: Arctic Report Card 2022: Greenland Ice Sheet, NOAA Technical Report OAR ARC 22-05, https://doi.org/10.25923/c430-hb50, 2022.

Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Hogg, A. E., Rodehacke, C. B., Simonsen, S. B., and Wallis, B. J.: The Greenlandification of Antarctica, ~~Nature Geoscience,~~Nat. Geosci., 18, 928~~-~~–930, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-025-01805-1~~-~~, 2025.

Mouginot, J. and Rignot, E.: Glacier catchments/basins for the Greenland Ice Sheet, Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.7280/D1WT11, 2019.

Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., van den Broeke, M. R., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Noël, B., Scheuchl, B., and Wood, M.: Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 116, 9239–9244, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116, 2019.

Moussavi, M., Pope, A., Halberstadt, A. R. W., Trusel, L. D., Cioffi, L., and Abdalati, W.: Antarctic supraglacial lake detection using Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2 imagery: towards continental generation of lake volumes~~.~~, Remote Sens., 12~~(1),~~, 134, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12010134, 2020.

~~Nowicki, S., Goelzer, H., Seroussi, H., Payne, A. J., Lipscomb, W.~~ Noël, B., van de Berg, W. J., van Wessem, ~~H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Alexander, P., Asay-Davis, X. S., Barthel, A., Bracegirdle, T.~~ J~~., Cullather, R., Felikson~~. M., van Meijgaard, E., van As, D., Lenaerts~~Fettweis, X., Gregory~~, J. T. M., ~~Hattermann, T., Jourdain, N. C~~Lhermitte, S., Kuipers Munneke, P., Smeets, C. J. P. P., van Ulft, L. H., ~~Larour, E., Little, C~~van de Wal, R. S. W., and van ~~. M., Morlighem, M., Nias, I., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Slater, D., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Trusel, L. D., van~~ den Broeke, M. ~~R., and van de Wal, R.: Experimental protocol for sea level projections from ISMIP6 stand-alone~~R.: Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice ~~sheet models,~~sheets using RACMO2 – Part 1: Greenland (1958–2016), The Cryosphere, ~~14, 2331–2368~~12, 811–831, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-~~14-2331-2020, 2020~~12-811-2018, 2018.

Noël, B., van de Berg, W. J., Lhermitte, S., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Rapid ablation~~-~~-zone expansion amplifies North Greenland mass loss~~. Science Advances,~~, Sci. Adv., 5~~(9), 2-11.~~, eaaw0123, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw0123, 2019.

Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Schlegel, N.-J., Amory, C., van den Broeke, M. R., Horwath, M., Joughin, I., King, M. D., Krinner, G., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Rignot, E., Scambos, T., Simon, K. M., Smith, B. E., Sørensen, L. S., Velicogna, I., Whitehouse, P. L., A, G., Agosta, C., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Blazquez, A., Colgan, W., Engdahl, M. E., Fettweis, X., Forsberg, R., Gallée, H., Gardner, A., Gilbert, L., Gourmelen, N., Groh, A., Gunter, B. C., Harig, C., Helm, V., Khan, S. A., Kittel, C., Konrad, H., Langen, P. L., Lecavalier, B. S., Liang, C.-C., Loomis, B. D., McMillan, M., Melini, D., Mernild, S. H., Mottram, R., Mouginot, J., Nilsson, J., Noël, B., Pattle, M. E., Peltier, W. R., Pie, N., Roca, M., Sasgen, I., Save, H. V., Seo, K.-W., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E. J. O., Schröder, L., Simonsen, S. B., Slater, T., Spada, G., Sutterley, T. C., Vishwakarma, B. D., van Wessem, J. M., Wiese, D., van der Wal, W., and Wouters, B.: Mass balance of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets from 1992 to 2020, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 1597~~-~~–1616, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-1597-2023, 2023.

Otto, J~~.~~., Holmes, F. A~~.~~., and Kirchner, N.: Supraglacial lake expansion, intensified lake~~-~~-drainage frequency, and first observation of coupled lake drainage~~,~~ during 1985~~-~~–2020 at Ryder Glacier, northern Greenland, Front. Earth Sci., 10~~:~~, 978137, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.978137, 2022.

Porter, C., ~~Morin, P.,~~ Howat, I., Noh, M.-J., Husby, E., ~~Bates, B., Peterman,~~Khuvis~~ K., Keesey~~, S., ~~Schlenk, M.,~~Danish, E., Tomko, K., Gardiner, J., ~~Tomko, K.,Willis, M.,~~ Negrete, A., Yadav, B., Klassen, J., Kelleher, C., Cloutier, M., Bakker, J., ~~Husby, E., Foga, S.,Nakamura, H., Platson, M., Wethington, M. Jr., Williamson,C., Bauer, G.,~~ Enos, J., Arnold, G., Bauer, G., ~~Kramer, W., Becker, P.,Doshi, A., D'Souza, C., Cummens, P., Laurier, F., and Bo-jesen, M~~and Morin, P.: ArcticDEM, ~~v3.0~~Version 4.1, Harvard Dataverse [data set], https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/~~OHHUKH~~3VDC4W, 2023 ~~[Date Accessed: April 2024]~~.

Qayyum, N., Ghuffar, S., Ahmad, H., Yousaf, A., and Shahid, I.: Glacial~~ Lakes Mapping Using Multi-Satellite~~ lake mapping using multisatellite PlanetScope imagery and deep learning~~.~~, ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf., 9, 560, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9100560, 2020.

Rawlins, L. D., Rippin, D. M., Sole, A. J., Livingstone, S. J., and Yang, K.: Seasonal evolution of the supraglacial drainage network at Humboldt Glacier, ~~North~~northern Greenland, between 2016 and 2020, The Cryosphere~~ Discuss.~~, 17, 4729–4750, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-4729-2023~~-23~~, 2023.

Rippin, D. M. and Rawlins, L. D.: Supraglacial river networks, in: International Encyclopedia of Geography, edited by: Richardson, D., Castree, N., Goodchild, M. F., Kobayashi, A., Liu, W., and Marston, R. A., Wiley, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg2072, 2021.

Ryan, J. C., Smith, L. C., van As, D., Cooley, S. W., Cooper, M. G., Pitcher, L. H., and Hubbard, A.: Greenland Ice Sheet surface melt amplified by snowline migration and bare-ice exposure~~.~~, Sci. Adv., 5, eaav3738, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav3738, 2019.

Ryan, J. C., Cooper, M. G., Cooley, S. W.~~ et al.~~, Rennermalm, Å. K., and Smith, L. C.: Meltwater ponding has an underestimated radiative effect on the surface of the Greenland Ice Sheet~~.~~, Nat. Commun., 16, 8274, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-62503-5, 2025.

Sasgen, I., Wouters, B., Gardner, A. S., King, M. D., Tedesco, M., Landerer, F. W., Dahle, C., Save, H., and Fettweis, X.: Return to rapid ice loss in Greenland and record loss in 2019 detected by the GRACE-FO satellites, ~~Communications~~Commun. Earth ~~& Environment,~~Environ., 1, 8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0001-2, 2020.

Selmes, N., Murray, T., and James, T. D.: Fast-draining lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet~~.~~, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L15501, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047872, 2011.

Slater, T., Shepherd, A., McMillan, M., Leeson, A. A., Gilbert, L., Muir, A., Kuipers Munneke, P., ~~Noel~~Noël, B., Fettweis, X., van den Broeke, M. R., and Briggs, K.: Increased variability in Greenland Ice Sheet runoff from satellite observations~~.~~, Nat. Commun., 12, 6069, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26229-4, 2021.

Stokes, C. R., Sanderson, J. E., Miles, B. W. J., Jamieson, S. S. R., and Leeson, A. A.: Widespread distribution of supraglacial lakes around the margin of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, ~~Scientific Reports,~~Sci. Rep., 9, 13823, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50343-5, 2019.

Sundal, A. V., Shepherd, A., Nienow, P., Hanna, E., Palmer, S., and Huybrechts, P.: Evolution of ~~supra-glacial~~supraglacial lakes across the Greenland Ice Sheet, Remote Sens. Environ., 113~~(10),~~, 2164~~-~~–2171, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.05.018, 2009.

Tedesco, M.: A new record in 2007 for melting in Greenland, Eos T. Am. Geophys. Un., 88, 383, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007EO390003, 2007.

Tedesco, M. and Fettweis, X.: Unprecedented atmospheric conditions (1948~~-~~–2019) drive the 2019 exceptional melting season over the Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 14, 1209~~-~~–1223, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020, 2020.

Tedesco, M., Willis, I. C., Hoffman, M. J., Banwell, A. F., Alexander, P., and Arnold, N. S.: Ice~~-~~-dynamic response to two modes of surface~~-~~-lake drainage on the Greenland Ice Sheet, ~~Environmental Research Letters,~~Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 034007, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034007, 2013.

Tedstone, A. J. and Machguth, H.: Increasing surface runoff from Greenland's firn areas, ~~Nature Climate~~Nat. Clim. Change, 12, 672~~-~~–676, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01371-z, 2022.

Tedstone, A. J., Bamber, J. L., Cook, J. M., Williamson, C. J., Fettweis, X., Hodson, A. J., and Tranter, M.: Dark~~-~~-ice dynamics of the ~~south-west~~southwest Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 11, 2491~~-~~–2506, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2491-2017, 2017.

Tedstone, A. J., Machguth, H., Clerx, N~~. *et al.*~~., Jullien, N., Picton, H., Ducrey, J., van As, D., Colosio, P., Tedesco, M., and Lhermitte, S.: Concurrent superimposed~~-~~-ice formation and meltwater runoff on Greenland's ice slabs~~.~~, Nat. Commun., 16, 4494~~ (2025).~~, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-59237-9, 2025.

The Firn Symposium Team: Firn on ice sheets, ~~Nature Reviews~~Nat. Rev. Earth ~~& Environment,~~Environ., 5, 79~~-~~–99, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00507-9, 2024.

Trusel, L. D., Das, S. B., Osman, M. B., Evans, M. J., Smith, B. E., Fettweis, X., McConnell, J. R., Noël, B. P. Y., and van den Broeke, M. R~~. van den:~~.: Nonlinear rise in Greenland runoff in response to post-industrial Arctic warming, Nature, 564, 104~~-~~–108,~~ 2018~~ https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0752-4, 2018.

Tuckett, P. A., Sole, A. J., Livingstone, S. J., Jones, J. M., Lea, J. M., and Gilbert, E.: Continent-wide mapping shows increasing sensitivity of East Antarctica to meltwater ponding, ~~Nature Climate~~Nat. Clim. Change, 15, 775~~-~~–783, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-025-02363-5, 2025.

van As, D., Bech Mikkelsen, A., Holtegaard Nielsen, M., Box, J. E., Claesson Liljedahl, L., Lindbäck, K., Pitcher, L., and Hasholt, B.: Hypsometric amplification and routing moderation of Greenland Ice Sheet meltwater release, The Cryosphere, 11, 1371~~-~~–1386, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1371-2017, 2017.

van den Broeke, M. R., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B., Reijmer, C., Smeets, P., van de Berg, W. J., ~~et al~~and van Wessem, J. M.: Contrasting current and future surface melt rates on the ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica: lessons from in situ observations and climate models, PLOS ~~Climate,~~Clim., 2, e0000203, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000203, 2023.

Vandecrux, B., MacFerrin, M., Machguth, H., Colgan, W. T., van As, D., Heilig, A., Stevens, C. M., Charalampidis, C., Fausto, R. S., Morris, E. M., Mosley-Thompson, E., Koenig, L. S., Montgomery, L. N., Miège, C., Simonsen, S. B., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., and Box, J. E.: Firn data compilation reveals widespread decrease of firn air content in western Greenland, The Cryosphere, 13, 845~~-~~–859, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-845-2019, 2019.

Williamson, A. G., Arnold, N. S., Banwell, A. F., and Willis, I. C.: A fully automated supraglacial lake area and volume tracking (FAST) algorithm: development and application using MODIS imagery of West Greenland, Remote Sens. Environ., 196, 113–133, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.04.032, 2017.

Williamson, A. G., Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., and Arnold, N. S.: Dual-satellite (Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8) remote sensing of supraglacial lakes in Greenland, The Cryosphere, 12, 3045~~-~~–3065, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3045-2018, 2018.

~~Williamson, A. G., Arnold, N., Banwell, A., and Willis, I.: A Fully Automated Supraglacial lake area and volume Tracking ('FAST') algorithm: Development and application using MODIS imagery of West Greenland, Remote Sens. Environ., 196, 113–133, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.04.032, 2017.~~

Yang, K~~.,~~. and Smith, L. C~~., Sole, A., Livingstone, S. J., Cheng, X., Chen, Z., and Li, M~~.: Supraglacial ~~rivers~~streams on the ~~northwest~~ Greenland Ice Sheet~~, Devon Ice Cap, and Barnes Ice Cap mapped using Sentinel-2 imagery, Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs., 78, 1-13~~ delineated from combined spectral–shape information in high-resolution satellite imagery, IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., 10, 801–805, https://doi.org/10.~~1016/j.jag.2019.01.008, 2019~~1109/LGRS.2012.2224316, 2012.

Zhang, W., Yang, K., Smith, L. C., Wang, Y., van As, D., Noël, B., ~~-~~Lu, Y., and Liu, J.: Pan-Greenland mapping of supraglacial rivers, lakes, and water-filled crevasses in a cool summer (2018) and a warm summer (2019), Remote ~~Sensing of Environment,~~Sens. Environ., 297, 113781, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113781, 2023.