

Response to the Review Comments

To the Editor:

Thank you very much for your positive evaluation of our manuscript and for accepting it for publication subject to technical corrections. We are grateful for your careful reading and helpful suggestions. We have addressed all four technical corrections as detailed below, and the corresponding changes have been made in the revised manuscript.

Comment 1. Please remove the explanatory note in Section 4.4 that refers to the original submission and the correction made during revision (Lines 332-334).

Response: We have removed the explanatory note in Section 4.4.

Comment 2. Please double check the abbreviation “AT” in Line 199.

Response: We have corrected this. The abbreviation “AT” in Line 199 has been revised to “AMT” to be consistent with the definition of annual daily maximum air temperature given in Table 1.

Comment 3. Please check the y-axis labels in the histograms in Figs. 7 and 8.

Response: We have corrected the y-axis in Figures 7 and 8. The tick values have been revised so that they are now expressed as proper percentages, consistent with the “Percentage (%)” axis label.

Comment 4. Please clarify the statement in the Abstract regarding the “67% increase in sub-daily heavy precipitation frequency.”

Response: We have revised the Abstract to clarify that 67% refers to the proportion of stations showing significant upward trends, not an increase in the frequency itself.