Impact of ~~Primarily Emitted~~Primary Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compounds on Ozone Formation in the Yangtze River Delta Region

Xun Li[1], Xuan Li[1], Rusha Yan[2], Yaqin Gao[2], Kangjia Gong[1], Hongli Wang[2], Momei Qin[1], Jianlin Hu[1], Jingyi Li[1,*]

[1]Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control, Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China

[2]State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Formation and Prevention of Urban Air Pollution Complex, Shanghai Academy of Environmental Sciences, Shanghai 200233, China

[*]*Correspondence to*: Jingyi Li (jingyili@nuist.edu.cn)

Abstract. Oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) play a crucial role in tropospheric radical chemistry, which in turn enhances atmospheric oxidation capacity and drives the formation of secondary pollutants. However, large uncertainties in their emissions pose challenges to accurately assessing their impacts on regional air quality. ~~This~~In this study~~incorporates~~, we incorporate updated anthropogenic emission inventories for the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region, featuring source-resolved OVOC profiles derived from measurements and literature, into the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model to improve regional OVOC simulations~~over the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region.~~. The model ~~well captured~~reproduced the diurnal and seasonal variations of most ~~OVOCs, especially carbonyls.~~observed OVOC concentrations, particularly carbonyl compounds, with moderate correlation coefficients of 0.40−0.79. Primary OVOCs originating from direct emissions accounted for 30−70% of total OVOC concentrations, with higher contributions during colder months due to weaker atmospheric oxidation capacity~~. Hydroperoxyl~~ and slight increases in anthropogenic OVOC emissions. In urban areas, hydroperoxyl radicals (HO_2~~), the primary~~) served as a dominant oxidant driving NO-to-NO_2 conversion ~~in urban areas, were substantially produced through~~, with more than 90% of primary HO_2 production attributed to OVOC photooxidation. ~~Of the HO_2 produced by this process,~~Primary OVOCs alone accounted for approximately ~~15−40% originated from directly emitted OVOCs rather than from secondary OVOCs formed via VOC oxidation.~~20−50% of primary HO_2 production, with stronger influences in regions with elevated OVOC emissions. Sensitivity analysis further indicated that key

~~emitted~~primary OVOCs contributed to ozone formation at levels comparable to traditional VOC precursors. These findings underscore the critical yet often overlooked role of primary ~~OVOC emissions~~OVOCs in urban ozone formation, highlighting the need for more comprehensive assessments in regions like the YRD.

1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O_3) pollution arises from the continuous oxidation of nitric oxide (NO) to nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) by hydroperoxyl (HO_2) and organic peroxy (RO_2) radicals. These radicals are generated through initiation processes, such as the photolysis of oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) and the ozonolysis of unsaturated VOCs, and are subsequently recycled via radical chain propagation reactions. Under polluted conditions, OVOC photolysis can become a dominant radical source, substantially enhancing O_3 formation (Yang et al., 2024; Tan et al., 2019b; Xue et al., 2016; Qu et al., 2021; Stockwell et al., 2021). Box model simulations constrained by observed OVOC concentrations have significantly improved the simulated HO_2, RO_2, and hydroxyl (OH) radical levels (Wang et al., 2022b; Wang et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022), underscoring the critical role of OVOCs in radical budgets. These findings highlight the need for accurate representation of OVOC speciation and concentrations in air quality models to support effective O_3 mitigation strategies.

Significant discrepancies persist between modeled and observed OVOC concentrations. Box models driven by observed pollutant concentrations, which reflect the chemically aged residuals of reactive species, may misrepresent OVOC variations (Liu et al., 2015)~~and thus deviate from observations.~~. As OVOCs are generated through the multi-step oxidation of VOCs, chemical transport model biases are strongly influenced by uncertainties in VOC precursor emissions, which largely depend on the accuracy of activity data and emission factors (Smith et al., 2022). In addition, the chemical production and loss pathways of OVOCs play a critical role. For example, the yield of formaldehyde (HCHO), the simplest aldehyde, is highly sensitive to isoprene chemistry and can directly affect ozone production rates (Marvin et al., 2017). The uptake of small aldehydes and organic acids by deliquesced particles represents an important source of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs). While the SOA formation mechanisms of glyoxal and methylglyoxal have been extensively studied, the contribution of other small aldehydes and organic acids remains poorly quantified due to limited studies and sparse observational data (Gkatzelis et al., 2021).

Another important yet often overlooked factor lies in the uncertainties associated with OVOC emissions. Only a limited number of OVOC species are explicitly represented in most emission inventories, partially due to the constraints of traditional detection techniques (Pfannerstill et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022a). Moreover, VOC and OVOC sources are typically aggregated into broad categories (e.g., industry, transportation, residential, and power), and their total emissions are generally reported on a monthly or annual basis. This introduces substantial uncertainties in temporal and spatial allocations, particularly for industrial sources that encompass diverse processes and exhibit sector-specific characteristics (Hu et al., 2025). In addition, emerging sources of OVOC emissions, such as ancillary solvent use associated with vehicles, are not yet incorporated into emission estimation methodologies (Cliff et al., 2023). Collectively, uncertainties in emissions and chemical mechanisms contribute to substantial underestimations of certain OVOCs, such as HCHO, alcohols, ketones, and organic acids, particularly in urban environments dominated by anthropogenic emissions (Luecken et al., 2018; He et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023; Pfannerstill et al., 2023). In recent years, several studies have developed OVOC emission profiles with improved speciation and quantification accuracy (Wang et al., 2024a; Wang et al., 2022a; Ou et al., 2015; Mo et al., 2016). Nevertheless, differences in emission development methods and the limited representation of source categories lead to substantial variations in reported OVOC and VOC source profiles (Niu et al., 2023), which hinder systematic investigations into the spatiotemporal characteristics of OVOCs and their roles in regional ozone formation.

In this study, an updated emission inventory with refined profiles of OVOCs and their VOC precursors ~~was~~is incorporated into the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model to improve ~~and evaluate~~ OVOC simulations over the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region in China. Our previous work ~~demonstrates~~demonstrated the critical role of OVOC oxidation in enhancing atmospheric oxidation capacity and promoting ozone formation in this region, based on top-down emission adjustments constrained by field observations (Li et al., 2022a). ~~Here~~To build upon those findings, we ~~adopt~~employ a ~~process-oriented~~speciation-improved bottom-up approach by integrating updated sector-specific source profiles into the YRD emission inventory~~ to quantify~~, thereby refining the speciation of primary OVOCs and quantifying the contributions of ~~primary emissions to ambient OVOC levels in the YRD. We further investigate~~their precursors. Based on this refinement, the ~~respective~~ roles of ~~emitted~~primary and secondary OVOCs in ~~ozone and HO_2~~radical production~~. A subsequent sensitivity analysis is conducted to assess~~ and ozone formation, as well as the ~~relative impacts~~significance of ~~emitted~~primary OVOCs and traditional VOC precursors on ozone mitigation during a pollution episode~~.~~, are investigated. These findings ~~advance our understanding of OVOC fate in~~help bridge the ~~atmosphere and their impact on~~knowledge gap regarding the complex sources and atmospheric evolution of OVOCs, elucidating their crucial roles in influencing urban ozone chemistry.

2 Methodology

2.1 Model description

The CMAQ model version 5.2, coupled with the SAPRC07tic chemical mechanism and the AERO6 aerosol module, was applied to simulate OVOC distributions in the YRD during March 27−April 30 (EP1) and October ~~15~~20−November 20 (EP2) of 2019. Several model updates, including heterogeneous reactions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2), NO_2, glyoxal, and methylglyoxal, were implemented as described in a previous study (Mao et al., 2022). Two nested domains were configured, with the outer domain (d01) covering eastern China and the inner domain (d02) encompassing the YRD. These domains had horizontal resolutions of 12 km × 12 km (127 × 202 grid cells) and 4 km × 4 km (238×268 grid cells), respectively (Fig. S1). Meteorological fields were generated using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 4.2.2, with initial and boundary conditions provided by the fifth generation ECMWF atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate (ERA5~~).~~) dataset. Anthropogenic emissions in d01 were derived from the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) version 1.4 (Geng et al., 2024) for mainland China and the Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 3.2.1 (Kurokawa and Ohara, 2020) for other Asian countries and regions. For d02, the 2019 YRD emission inventory incorporating updated VOC profiles (2019 YRD inventory) was applied to the YRD, while the MEICv1.4 was used for the remaining regions. Biogenic emissions were generated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1. Open biomass burning emissions were based on the Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN) version 2.5 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2023). The spatial and temporal allocations of anthropogenic and open biomass burning emissions followed previous work (Hu et al., 2016; An et al., 2021). Notably, the temporal allocation did not account for temporary emission control measures~~, which may partly explain~~ during the China International Import Expo 2019 (CIIE 2019, October 27−November 10, 2019) (Wang et al., 2025)~~model~~. To avoid potential biases ~~discussed later. The~~and to better represent typical emission conditions in the YRD, model simulation results for this period were excluded from the analysis. In addition, the first five days of each simulation were ~~treated~~designated as spin-up and ~~excluded from the~~were not considered in subsequent analysis. A complete list of all OVOCs and their precursors used in the model is provided in Table S1.

The 2019 YRD inventory was developed based on the 2017 version (An et al., 2021), with updated activity data for each source sector. Key improvements included refined VOC speciation, particularly of OVOCs, for major source categories such as transportation (gasoline and diesel vehicles), industrial processes, and residential biomass burning (Gao et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022a). The ~~chemical~~VOC composition of ~~VOCs~~emissions from diesel vehicles, industrial processes, and residential biomass burning was characterized based on 160 localized, source-resolved measurements conducted in China. ~~Details of the sampling and analytical procedures can be found in our~~Specifically, twenty in-use heavy-duty diesel vehicles from five major brands were tested, encompassing China VI (n=6), China V (n=10), and China IV (n=4) emission standards. For industrial emissions, a total of 84 samples were collected from priority sectors, including petrochemical industries, chemical raw material production, and other chemical production sectors such as plywood production, coking, pesticides production, ink production, and rubber production. For residential biomass burning, 23 samples of the combustion of four representative biomass fuels (wood, corncob, bean straw, and corn straw) and two common coal types (anthracite and briquette coal) were collected from the stack nozzles of household stoves. Details of the sampling protocols and analytical procedures can be found in a previous study (Gao et al., 2023). VOC profiles for other sources, such as gasoline vehicles, were ~~updated~~ based on published literature (Wang et al., 2022a; Huang et al., 2024). To develop representative source profiles, a two-step aggregation method was employed. First, sub-category average profiles were derived by averaging individual samples within each specific emission standard, industrial stage, and types of raw materials, products, and fuels. Second, the integrated source profiles were synthesized by weighting these sub-category profiles according to their corresponding total VOC emissions. This method aligns with the national technical guidelines for integrated air pollutant emission inventories (Ministry of Ecology and Environment, 2024).

As a result of these refinements, OVOCs accounted for 56.~~8~~9% of total VOC emissions from diesel vehicles (DVE), followed by 44.6% from residential biomass burning (RBB) and 39.~~1~~2% from industrial processes (INP) (Table S2). Among all OVOCs, carbonyl species showed significant enhancements in these three source categories. Aldehyde contributions nearly doubled in DVE and INP, with a particularly large increase in HCHO. In contrast, the emissions of alcohols, such as methanol (MEOH) and ethanol (ETOH) from INP, decreased. Contributions from other OVOCs, including acetic acid (CCOOH) as well as phenols and cresols (CRES), also increased in INP and DVE. Although the overall OVOC fraction from gasoline vehicle emissions slightly decreased, the chemical composition exhibited a marked change, with increases in carbonyls and decreases in alcohols and esters. In this study, primary OVOCs are defined as those directly emitted from anthropogenic and biogenic sources, whereas secondary OVOCs refer to those formed via the photooxidation of VOC precursors.

2.2 Observation data

A suite of pollutant and meteorological observations from ground monitoring stations (Fig. S1) was collected for model evaluation. High time-resolution measurements of 77 OVOCs, including 14 aldehydes and ketones, 23 organic acids and esters, 10 furan compounds, and 30 oxygenated aromatic compounds, were recorded at a ~~10 s~~10s interval using a Proton Transfer Reaction-Quadruple interface Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (PTR-QiTOF) at the Shanghai Academy of Environmental Sciences (SAES). Species were identified by jointly applying the Tofware software package v3.2.3 (Tofwerk Inc.), the proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) spectral library (Pagonis et al., 2019), the PubChem database, and source-specific emission profiles reported in literature (Hatch et al., 2017; Koss et al., 2018; Stockwell et al., 2021; Tanzer-Gruener et al., 2022; Coggon et al., 2021). Sensitivities for species with authentic standards were determined through calibration using multi-gradient known concentrations of given VOCs. For identified species lacking standards, their theoretical sensitivities were estimated based on correlations with kinetic rate constants of VOCs (Sekimoto et al., 2017)~~. After removing outliers (values below the background level) and missing data, the remaining data were averaged to hourly means.~~. The raw data were screened to remove outliers (values below background levels) and missing data, and then averaged to hourly means.

In addition, C_2–C_{12} hydrocarbons, C_2–C_5 carbonyls, and C_1–C_4 alcohols were measured using an online gas chromatography system equipped with a mass spectrometer and a flame ionization detector (GC-MS/FID, TH-300, Wuhan Tianhong Instruments, China) at an hourly resolution. For major aromatic hydrocarbons and carbonyl compounds, good agreement between measurements by PTR-QiTOF and

GC-MS/FID was observed (Gao et al., 2022). Formaldehyde and peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) were measured using a commercial Hantzsch ~~fluorimetry~~ monitor (AL4021, Aero-Laser GmbH, Germany) and an online gas chromatography-electron capture detector (~~GC-ECD~~PANs-1000, Focused Photonics, China), respectively. Details on the instrumentation, analytical procedures, and data quality assurance have been described in previous work (Gao et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2019; Du et al., 2025; Gao et al., 2023). Hourly O_3 concentrations in 14 cities across the YRD were obtained from the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (https://air.cnemc.cn:18007; last access: 1 November 2024). Meteorological parameters, including temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and wind direction, were obtained for four representative cities (Shanghai, Nanjing, Hefei, and Hangzhou) from the China Meteorological Administration (http://data.cma.cn/; last access: 1 November 2024). To evaluate model performance, statistical metrics such as Pearson correlation coefficient (R), mean bias (MB), mean error (ME), normalized mean bias (NMB), normalized mean error (NME), root mean square error (RMSE), and index of agreement (IOA) were calculated and compared against benchmark values where available~~.~~ (Tables S3, S4). Additional details are provided in Text S1, and comparisons between model results and observations can be found in Figs. S2−S5.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 ~~Characteristics of OVOCs~~ Model evaluation

Comparisons between observed and predicted OVOC concentrations at SAES are presented in Figs. 1 and S6, with the corresponding statistical metrics summarized in Table S5. Among all the observed OVOCs, alcohols ~~were~~emerged as the dominant species in spring (EP1), with ethanol exhibiting the highest ~~hourly~~ median concentrations~~, ranging from~~ (6 ~~to~~ −12 ppb~~,~~), which ~~dropped~~declined to ~~below~~ ~~0.5~~1.9−4.6 ppb in fall (EP2~~) (Figs. 1 and S6~~). The model ~~exhibited opposite biases, underestimating~~underestimated ethanol during both episodes, with larger biases in EP1~~ while overestimating it in EP2~~. This discrepancy may be attributed to uncertainties in the emission inventory. The monitoring site is located in a typical urban environment ~~surrounded~~characterized by dense commercial and residential buildings and ~~busy roads, where emissions~~ heavy traffic, with strong influences from transportation and solvent use ~~have a strong influence~~ emissions (Liu et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). One likely underestimated source of alcohols is the use of alcohol-containing solvent products such as windshield washer fluid, particularly from electric vehicles (Cliff et al., 2023). Considering the rapid growth in electric vehicle ownership in China in recent years, the relative importance of this alcohol source ~~will likely become more important~~is expected to increase. Another potential source of alcohols is the use of household cleaning and personal care products, which may not be fully represented in the current emission inventory (Mo et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2024b). ~~In contrast, the overestimation in EP2 may result from the model's omission of temporary emission restrictions implemented in the period before and during the China International Import Expo 2019 (CIIE 2019, October 27−November 10, 2019) . Observational data show that ethanol concentrations remained extremely low (< 0.5 ppb) before November 12, but rebounded to 2−15 ppb thereafter, reaching levels comparable to those during EP1 (Fig. S7). Additionally, a major source of ethanol in the current inventory is solvent use (e.g., paints, coatings, adhesives, etc.), which is estimated based on solvent consumption and chemical composition without incorporating temperature-dependent parameterization . The opposite model biases between the two episodes may be partially attributed to the inadequate treatment of temperature-dependent evaporative emissions , given ethanol's high volatility and the 2.5°C (16.8%) higher average temperature in EP1 compared to EP2 (Fig. S8).~~

Formic acid and acetic acid were the most abundant organic acids during both episodes, ~~but were~~with the average concentrations of 0.15 (1.95) ppb and 1.88 (2.59) ppb in the EP1 (EP2) period, respectively. The model consistently underestimated ~~by the model~~both species, with larger biases in EP2 (Fig. S6 and Table S5). These discrepancies may be partially attributed to uncertainties in the emission inventory. ~~Acetic acid showed strong~~During EP2, acetic acid exhibited strong correlations with biomass burning tracers, such as guaiacol and furan compounds and their derivatives (Pearson coefficients > 0.80, $p < 0.001$). In addition, acetic acid showed consistently high correlations with ethyl acetate, methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), and toluene (Pearson coefficients of 0.75−0.85, $p < 0.001$) in both periods~~. These~~, species that are ~~often~~commonly associated with emissions from volatile chemical products (VCPs) (Wang et al., 2024b; McDonald et al., 2018)~~product (VCP)~~. The underestimation of emissions ~~, which are~~from these sources likely ~~underestimated~~contributes to the low bias in ~~the current model~~simulated acetic acid concentrations. However, MEK and toluene may also originate from other sources, such as fuel combustion, and their model performance varied between episodes~~—~~, being slightly underestimated in EP1 but overestimated in EP2~~, likely due to the absence of short-term emission controls in the model during CIIE 2019. In addition to primary emissions~~. Therefore, the underestimation of both formic and acetic acids may ~~also be attributed to~~ partially stem from insufficient representation of secondary production. ~~For example,~~Observations showed that formic acid ~~showed a~~exhibited moderate to strong ~~correlation~~correlations with its precursor methylglyoxal~~, yet~~ in both periods. Laboratory studies have demonstrated that both formic and acetic acids can be produced via aqueous-phase reactions of glyoxal and methylglyoxal (Yu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2021; Sui et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2013), with uptake modulated by interactions with inorganic aerosols (i.e., salting effects) (Waxman et al., 2015; Kampf et al., 2013). In the current model, these heterogeneous processes are represented by first-order reactions, with rate constants determined by fixed uptake coefficients (γ=0.016 during daytime and a constant loss rate of 3.33×10^{-4} s^{-1} at night), and all products are lumped into a single surrogate species. The simplified treatment of heterogeneous chemistry likely leads to an underestimation of formic and acetic acids, particularly under high aerosol loadings in urban environments, where salting-out effects reduce their solubility in the aqueous phase (Babaei-Gharehbagh et al., 2025)~~were significantly underestimated. Further discrepancies~~. Other sources of model bias may ~~result from~~include missing secondary formation pathways, such as multiphase reactions of HCHO and photochemical aging of aerosols, and underestimated yields of formic and acetic acids from precursor VOCs (Yuan et al., 2015; Millet et al., 2015; Permar et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2023; Cope et al., 2021; Franco et al., 2021; Malecha and Nizkorodov, 2016; Shen et al., 2026).

Most carbonyl species were well captured by the model. During the warm season (EP1), observed carbonyl concentrations exhibited pronounced diurnal variations with daytime maxima, primarily driven by photochemical production. In contrast, such diurnal patterns were less evident in EP2 (Fig. S6), likely due to reduced secondary formation under lower atmospheric oxidation capacity (Fig. ~~S9~~S7). HCHO and acetone (ACET) were the most abundant aldehyde and ketone species, with average observed concentrations of 2.52 (2.58) ppb and 5.75 (1.89) ppb during EP1 (EP2), respectively. HCHO is a common oxidation product of VOCs in the troposphere and can also originate from ~~primary~~ emissions, including fuel and biomass combustion, industrial processes, and the evaporation of indoor building materials (Wang et al., 2022a; Xing et al., 2024; Liang and Yang, 2013; Permar et al., 2021). The model generally reproduced the observed HCHO concentrations, although slight underestimations persisted in both seasons (Table S5). Model performance for ACET varied seasonally, with good agreement in EP2 but significant underestimation in EP1. ~~Given acetone's high volatility and substantial emissions from solvent use , the bias in EP1 may partially result from uncertainties in the temperature-dependent~~

~~emission estimation in the current inventory. Additionally, since~~Since biogenic sources of acetone are important (Lyu et al., 2024; Hu et al., 2013), the larger discrepancy observed in the warm season may ~~also~~ be partially due to the model's inadequate representation of urban green vegetation (Maison et al., 2024; Ma et al., 2022).

Notably, biases in OVOC concentrations are influenced by those of their precursors. For example, model biases in methacrolein (MACR) and methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) exhibited similar temporal patterns to those of isoprene (ISOP), reflecting their common origin via isoprene oxidation. ~~The model reasonably reproduced~~The model failed to reproduce the peak noon concentration of isoprene, likely due to the underestimated biogenic emissions from urban green spaces. Overall, most key OVOC precursors, including reactive alkanes (ALK3/ALK4), aromatic hydrocarbons, and alkenes (e.g., ethylene and propylene), ~~particularly during EP1. This suggests that biases related to secondary OVOC production~~ were ~~less pronounced in EP1 than in EP2, consistent with~~well captured by the ~~larger discrepancies observed in EP2 (Table S5).~~model. The simultaneous overestimation of reactive VOC precursors and underestimation of OVOCs also indicates that uncertainties in their photochemical mechanisms may contribute to the model biases in OVOC concentrations.

3.2 Regional characteristics of OVOCs

At the regional scale, average OVOC concentrations across the YRD ranged from 5 to 25 ppb in both ~~seasons~~periods, with elevated levels observed in the central and ~~eastern~~northern areas (~~Figs~~Fig. 2a ~~and 2d~~, d). HCHO, MEOH, ACET, and acetaldehyde (CCHO) were the dominant species (Fig. ~~S10). Most OVOCs exhibited higher~~ S8), with concentration ranges of 2−8 ppb, 2−4 ppb, 2−4 ppb, and 1−3 ppb, respectively. High levels of HCHO, ACET, and CCHO mainly occurred in areas strongly influenced by anthropogenic emissions, where MEOH was predominantly concentrated in regions affected by biogenic emissions in the southern and southwestern YRD (Fig. S9). The concentrations of ETOH, HCOOH, and CCOOH fell in ranges of 1.0–3.0 ppb, 0.1–0.4 ppb, and 0.5–2.0 ppb, respectively. Given the substantial underestimations of all three species, their actual concentrations are likely higher than the model predictions. In contrast to observations in Shanghai, the model predicted higher concentrations of most OVOCs in EP2 than in EP1~~, except for MEOH~~ across the YRD, likely due to ~~its~~biases in the model representation of OVOCs (Table S5). The higher simulated MEOH concentrations in EP1 relative to EP2 may be partially attributed to stronger contributions from biogenic ~~contribution~~sources during the warm season~~, particularly in the southern and southwestern YRD.~~ (Fig. S9d, h).

On average, the model predicted that approximately 30−70% of total OVOCs originated from ~~primary~~ emissions~~ (primary OVOCs)~~ rather than from VOC oxidation ~~(secondary OVOCs).~~production. The contributions of primary OVOCs ~~show~~showed significant seasonal and spatial variations. During the warm season, secondary OVOCs dominated across the entire domain, while elevated emission contributions were observed ~~in hotspots~~ along the Yangtze River and in northern Jiangsu, northern Zhejiang, and Shanghai (Fig. 2b). In contrast, during the cold season, primary OVOCs played a larger role in Jiangsu ~~Province~~and Anhui Provinces as well as in Shanghai and ~~Hangzhou~~northern Zhejiang (Fig. 2e), consistent with our previous findings for October 2017 in the YRD (Li et al., 2022a).





Figure 1. Diurnal variations of OVOCs and their precursors in Shanghai, April 2019 (ppb). Red and black circles indicate the median observations and model predictions, respectively; shaded areas and black ~~error~~ bars represent the interquartile ranges (25th–75th percentiles) of observations and model predictions, respectively.

CRES accounted for the highest OVOC emission rate across the YRD (22%), followed by HCHO (16%), ACET (14.~~5~~4%), CCOOH (12.5%), and ETOH (9.5%) (Table S6). High emission contributions to ambient CRES and CCOOH were also observed, followed by ACET (Fig. ~~S11).~~S10). Notably, the emission contributions of CCOOH may be biased due to uncertainties in emission inventories and chemical production in the model. Primary emissions accounted for approximately 20−60% of ambient HCHO, with maxima reaching up to 76% in EP1 and ~~88~~87% in EP2 in Jiangsu Province. The model also estimated a substantial fraction of biacetyl (BACL) originating from primary emissions (more than 60%), particularly in Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces, as well as Shanghai.





Figure 2. Episode-averaged total OVOC concentrations (a, d), ~~primary~~ emission contributions to total OVOCs (b, e), and daily maximum 8-hour average ozone (MDA8 O_3) concentrations (c, f) during the two episodes.

The spatial distributions of OVOCs and ozone were closely aligned, with OVOC hotspots coinciding with regions of elevated daily maximum 8-hour average ozone (MDA8 O_3) concentrations (~~Figs~~Fig. 2c ~~and 2f~~, f). Further analysis revealed that OVOC photooxidation constituted a significant source of HO_2 radicals in the YRD, serving as a key driver of NO-NO_2 cycling and thereby influencing ozone formation.

3.~~2~~3 Role of OVOCs in ~~Ozone Formation~~ozone formation

To investigate the relationship between OVOCs and O_3 formation at the monitoring site in Shanghai, a "clean period" (April 1−4) and a "pollution period" (April 5−7) were selected. During the clean period, observed MDA8 O_3 concentrations (81.4−139.4 µg ~~/~~m~~^{3})~~$^{-3}$) complied with China's Ambient Air Quality Standards (GB 3095-2012) threshold of 160 µg ~~/~~m~~^{3}.~~$^{-3}$. In contrast, MDA8 O_3 levels during the pollution period ranged from 161.3 µg ~~/~~m~~^{3}~~$^{-3}$ to 189.1 µg ~~/~~m~~^{3},~~$^{-3}$, exceeding this standard. The model showed good agreement with observations, successfully capturing temporal variations of both MDA8 O_3 and OVOC concentrations during these periods, demonstrating its capability to represent OVOC sources and sinks as well as their role in ozone formation.

The ozone production rate ($P(O_3)$, ppb ~~/~~h^{-1}), defined as the rate at which ozone is produced through the conversion of NO to NO_2 by peroxy radicals, was calculated as follows:

$P(O_3) = k_0[HO_2][NO]+$~~$\sum_i k_i[RO_2^i][NO],$~~$\sum_i k_i[RO_2^i][NO]$ (1)

where k_0 and k_i are the rate constants for the reactions of NO with HO_2 and RO_2 radicals (unit: molec^{-1} cm^3 s^{-1}), respectively, and [HO_2], [RO_2], and [NO] denote their respective concentrations (unit: ppb). In RO_2 + NO reactions, alkyl nitrates can form as byproducts, with yields (α) depending on the specific RO_2 species. Accordingly, a NO_2 yield of (1-α) was applied to the corresponding RO_2 + NO reactions. The NO_2 molar yields for reactions producing alkyl nitrate ~~coproducts~~byproducts in the current model are listed in Table S7. The analysis of $P(O_3)$ and radical chemistry is focused on the daytime period (9:00 − 17:00 local time).

As shown in ~~Figs~~Fig. 3a and 3b, the daytime average $P(O_3)$ during the clean period was approximately half that under the polluted conditions, at 5.89 ppb ~~/~~h^{-1} and 12.2 ppb ~~/~~h^{-1}, respectively. HO_2-driven oxidation accounted for ~~51−59~~53−61% of daytime $P(O_3)$ during the clean period, increasing slightly to ~~50−61~~54−63% under the polluted conditions. Ozone production via the HO_2 pathway peaked around noon, coinciding with the highest $P(O_3)$, and declined gradually to approximately 50% later in the day.

These results indicate that the HO_2 pathway consistently dominates ozone production in Shanghai, regardless of pollution levels.

The dominant role of HO_2-driven oxidation in ozone production was further confirmed in other urban areas of the YRD. During both EP1 and EP2, HO_2 radicals contributed approximately 55−80% of total ozone production in regions with elevated $P(O_3)$ (Figs. ~~4~~4a, d and ~~S12~~S11a, d). These ~~areas~~regions, including Shanghai, are ~~heavily influenced~~strongly affected by anthropogenic emissions, ~~where~~ with substantial NO_x emission rates (approximately 0.4−2.0 mole s^{-1}; Fig. S9) and HO_2 concentrations ~~exceeded~~exceeding those of RO_2 radicals (Fig. ~~S12).~~S11). In these urban areas, primary OVOCs from major sources, such as industrial processes, solvent use, residential sources, and transportation, made substantial contributions to $P(O_3)$ and peroxy radical levels (particularly HO_2), ranging 5−40% and 4−47%, respectively (Figs. S12−S15). In contrast, the RO_2 ~~radicals~~pathway dominated ozone production in the southern and southwestern parts of the domain, where high ~~biogenic VOC~~ emissions of biogenic VOCs and relatively low emissions of NO_x ~~levels~~ prevail~~.~~ (Fig. S9). The enhanced role of RO_2 radicals in these regions is attributed to their higher concentrations and comparable reactivities to HO_2 radicals in converting NO to NO_2.

To ~~understand~~elucidate the mechanism of daytime HO_2 radical production, ~~source~~the contributions of OVOCs to the formation of primary HO_2, RO_2, and RO_x radicals ($RO_x = HO_2 + OH + RO_2$) are assessed. Primary radicals refer to those generated through the photolysis of OVOCs, nitrous acid (HONO), and O_3 and the ozonolysis of OVOCs and unsaturated VOCs, while contributions from ~~the primary HO_2 generated by OVOCs and VOCs, RO_2 reactions, and other pathways of inorganic species in Shanghai were investigated (Fig. 3c). It should be noted that HO_2 produced directly during OVOC and VOC oxidation, excluding formation via RO_2 chain reactions, is defined as "primary" HO_2, whereas HO_2 formed through reactions without~~ radical ~~reactants, such as photolysis and O_3-initiated reactions, is referred to as "newly generated" HO_2.~~interconversion and cycling are excluded. The contribution of HNO_4 ~~was excluded, as~~to HO_2 production is not considered, as HNO_4 is rapidly formed and decomposed through the reversible reaction between NO_2 and HO_2~~can~~. RO_2 production from peroxy organic nitrates (PNs) is also excluded from the analysis because peroxyacyl radicals rapidly react with NO_2 to form PNs, leading to strong coupling between RO_2 and PNs (Zeng et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2024)~~rapidly to reproduce HNO_4. Under the clean conditions, the primary HO_2 production through VOC and~~. Generally, OVOC photooxidation dominated ~~among all pathways, accounting for 30.3% and 29.9%~~

~~of the total, respectively. Reactions involving inorganic species, such as CO + OH, accounted for approximately 22.0% of total HO_2 production. Another important source was the reactions of RO_2 with NO and RO_2 radicals (17.9%),~~primary HO_2 radical production in Shanghai, with the ~~RO_2 + NO pathway being dominant. In the HO_2 pool, approximately 16.6% was newly generated, with OVOC photolysis being the dominant source (94.1%).~~contributions of 95.3% and 97.0% under clean and polluted conditions (Fig. 3c). Similar findings have been reported in other urban areas, where OVOC photolysis accounted for over 80% of ~~newly generated~~primary HO_2 radicals, highlighting its importance in NO~~$_x$~~-NO_2 cycling and ozone production (Qu et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018). Notably, the significance of primary OVOCs in producing HO_2 radicals is suppressed under polluted conditions, which was only half of that under clean conditions. This is associated with increases in both secondary OVOC concentrations and their relative contributions to total OVOCs.





Figure 3. Diurnal variations of ozone production ~~rate~~rates (a, b) and ~~source~~ contributions of major sources (c) and individual OVOC species (d-f) to daytime primary HO_2, RO_2, and RO_x production ~~(c-e)~~ under clean and polluted conditions at SAES in Shanghai. In panels (a) and (b), red and black circles represent the median P(O_3) and the contribution of the HO_2 + NO pathway, respectively. The shaded areas and black error bars denote the interquartile ranges. In panel (c), "~~PVOC" denotes primary HO_2 production from VOC precursors of OVOCs, while "~~OVOC_pri" and "OVOC_sec" denote contributions from primary ~~HO_2 production from emitted~~ and secondary ~~generated~~ OVOCs, respectively. ~~"polluted$_{new}$" ("clean$_{new}$") and "polluted" ("clean") refer to newly generated HO_2 and the total HO_2 production, respectively, under the polluted (clean) conditions.~~ Panels (d~~) and (e~~-f) show the fractional contributions of individual ~~OVOCs to total~~OVOC species to total OVOC-derived primary radical productions during clean (inner ring) and polluted (outer ~~circle) and newly generated (inner circle) primary HO_2 production~~ring) periods.

~~Under the polluted conditions, contributions from inorganic species increased to about one-third of the total HO_2 production, mainly due to elevated CO levels. The primary HO_2 generated by OVOC oxidation became the largest organic source (24.9%), followed by the RO_2 pathway (21.9%). In addition, the fraction of newly generated HO_2 decreased to 9.86%, suggesting an enhanced radical propagation effect and higher atmospheric oxidation capacity under the polluted conditions. As a result, the contribution of secondary-generated OVOCs to their total primary HO_2 production rose from 71% to 82%. Overall, OVOC photooxidation plays an important role in the HO_2 production across pollution conditions.~~

~~Among all OVOCs~~As HO_2 can be produced from RO_2 and RO_x (RO_x = HO_2 + OH + RO_2) radical cycling, the influences of OVOCs in the primary RO_2 and RO_x production are further examined. Similar to HO_2, substantial contributions were observed from OVOCs, with a more significant contribution to RO_2 by 64.0% and 66.5% during clean and polluted periods, respectively. HO_2 can also be formed via RO_2 + NO and RO_2 + RO_2 reactions. The high contribution to primary RO_2 also conveys the crucial role of OVOCs in HO_2 production. For RO_x radicals, OVOCs still exhibited substantial impacts, accounting for 50.2% and 43.2% of their primary production rates under clean and polluted conditions. The contributions of primary OVOCs to RO_2 and RO_x are comparable to those to HO_2 radicals, falling within the ranges of 8.69−18.4% and 4.8−11.0%, respectively. This suggests that secondary OVOCs are important contributors to HO_2 production through radical chain propagation.

In Shanghai, HCHO was the most significant contributor to primary HO_2 (~~~60~~68%) under both clean and polluted conditions~~. Under the clean conditions, CRES was the second-largest contributor~~, followed by photoreactive monounsaturated dicarbonyls from aromatic fragmentation (AFG1~~) and ethanol. Under the polluted conditions, reactive ketones (PRD2) became the second-largest contributor, followed by AFG1. These species were mainly produced from VOC oxidation. For newly generated HO_2 radicals, glyoxal and methylglyoxal also contributed substantially~~, ~13%). Methylglyoxal (MGLY) and glyoxal (GLY) also play an important role in primary HO_2 production, accounting for 6−7% and 5% among all OVOCs. These findings align with a modeling study in Beijing, which showed that HCHO, MGLY, and aromatic oxidation products played an important role in HO_2 production (Qu et al., 2021). A substantial fraction of RO_2 was attributed to the oxidation of biacetyl (BACL), AFG1, and MGLY, contributing 23.7−34.7%, 32.5−38.8%, and 17.9−19.5% of OVOC-derived RO_2, respectively. As a result, HCHO, AFG1, MGLY, and BACL are major OVOC species generating primary RO_x.

Across the YRD, ~~primary HO_2 produced by~~ OVOC ~~oxidation~~photooxidation contributed ~~20–40~~90–98% of ~~the total~~primary HO_2 when the HNO_4 pathway was excluded (~~Figs. 4b and 4e), exceeding contributions from both VOC precursor oxidation and the RO_2 pathway (~~Fig. ~~S13). Due to high CO emissions, the CO + OH reaction~~ 4b, e). Primary OVOCs accounted for 20~~–~~–50% ~~of the total HO_2 production,~~(Fig. 4c, f), with more significant impacts during the cold season, due to a ~~more pronounced~~ higher contribution ~~in the northern YRD (Figs. S13c and S13f). OVOC photolysis can become the dominant source of the newly generated HO_2 radicals, contributing up~~from emissions to ~~98% regionally. Primary emitted OVOCs made substantial contributions to HO_2 production in Jiangsu Province, particularly near Zhenjiang and northern Jiangsu, as well as in Shanghai and Huzhou (Figs. 4c and 4f). Among the total and newly generated~~ their ambient levels (Fig. 2e). A high proportion of primary ~~HO_2~~RO_2 was sourced from ~~OVOC oxidation, emitted~~ OVOCs ~~contributed 15–40% and 10–25% (Fig. S14), respectively, highlighting their important role in driving ozone production in the YRD~~by 50−98% (Fig. S16a, c). However, secondary OVOCs generally made more pronounced contributions to primary

RO_2 production at the regional scale, except at a few hotspots where their contributions were comparable to those from primary OVOCs (Fig. S17a, c). The overall contribution of OVOCs to primary RO_x production ranged from 40% to 70% in the YRD (Fig. S16b, d). It is evident that OVOCs play an essential role in HO_2 production and could significantly affect ozone formation in this region.





Figure 4. Daytime-averaged contributions of the HO_2 + NO pathway to ozone production rates (a, d), OVOC contributions ~~of~~to primary~~ HO_2 production from OVOC to total~~ HO_2 radical production (b, e), and contributions from ~~emitted~~primary OVOCs (c, f) during the two episodes.

3.~~3~~4 Sensitivity of ~~Ozone Formation~~ozone formation to OVOCs and ~~Precursors~~precursors

Given that a substantial fraction of OVOCs originates from ~~primary~~ emissions, the sensitivity of ozone formation to anthropogenic emissions of OVOCs and their precursors was systematically examined using the CMAQ model coupled with the High-Order Decoupled Direct Method (HDDM). The model configuration followed Li et al. (2022b), with the same inputs described in Section 2.1. The daytime average ozone responses to the complete removal of various VOCs (ΔO_3) ~~in Shanghai~~at SAES, along with their normalized contributions relative to total anthropogenic emissions across the domain ($\Delta O_3/\Delta E_i$) under different polluted conditions, are discussed below.

Among all ~~primarily emitted~~primary OVOCs, HCHO exhibited the most significant impact, contributing 0.90 ppb and 1.01 ppb to ozone formation under clean and polluted conditions, respectively (Fig. 5a). These ozone changes were comparable to those of most VOC precursors except ETHE. BACL was also a key OVOC precursor, contributing 0.35 ppb and 0.50 ppb of ozone under clean and polluted conditions, respectively. BACL undergoes photolysis to produce acetyl peroxy radicals (CH_3CO_3), which ~~facilitate~~facilitates NO-to-NO_2 conversion at a rate significantly higher than most other peroxy radicals represented in the model. On a per-unit-mass basis, OVOCs demonstrated substantially higher ozone formation efficiencies than other VOC precursors. Notably, BACL emerged as the most potential contributor in Shanghai, generating 7.78×10^{-4} ppb and 1.49×10^{-3} ppb of ozone per gram emitted under clean and polluted conditions, respectively. HCHO, MVK, MACR, and MGLY also exhibited relatively high ozone formation efficiencies, contributing $(1.57-3.77) \times 10^{-4}$ ppb per gram emitted across both conditions.

Similar sensitivities of O_3 formation to OVOC and VOC precursor emission controls were also observed across the YRD. Among all OVOCs, HCHO demonstrated the most pronounced impact, with emission reductions leading to ozone decreases of up to 2.0 ppb in Jiangsu Province (Fig. ~~S15~~S18). This effect was comparable to that of aromatic hydrocarbons (ARO2MN and TOLU) and reactive alkenes (OLE2), and exceeded the influence of most other VOC precursors, except ETHE and propylene (PROP). BACL also exhibited considerable effects, causing up to 1.0 ppb decreases in O_3, similar to reactive alkanes (ALK3-5), alkenes (OLE1), and most aromatic hydrocarbons. ~~Notably, not all OVOC emission reductions yielded effective ozone mitigation; certain compounds, such as CCHO and CRES, exhibited nonlinear responses. In some cases, reducing their emissions slightly increased ozone concentrations,~~

~~likely due to complex interactions with other reactive species.~~Notably, emission reductions of certain OVOCs (e.g., CCHO, RCHO, ACRO, and CRES) can lead to spatially divergent ozone responses, with both increases and decreases in the YRD. This likely arises from differences in the radical pathways associated with OVOC photooxidation: some pathways involve reactions with NO_2 to form relatively stable NO_x reservoir species, whereas others promote NO-to-NO_2 conversion. The competition between these pathways varies regionally with the abundances of OVOCs and NO_x, resulting in spatial heterogeneity in ozone sensitivities. Nonetheless, controlling emissions of OVOCs, particularly HCHO and BACL, represents an efficient strategy for reducing O_3 in the YRD.



Figure 5. Sensitivity of daytime average ozone to individual OVOCs and VOC precursors (a), and emission-normalized ozone changes (b) at SAES **in Shanghai during the clean and polluted periods.**

4 Conclusions

OVOCs are key precursors of peroxy radicals that drive NO-to-NO_2 conversion, thereby facilitating O_3 accumulation in the troposphere. Model biases in simulating OVOCs are often attributed to uncertainties in VOC precursor emissions and the photochemical mechanisms used in chemical transport models. However, we emphasize that primary anthropogenic emissions constitute significant yet often underrepresented sources of ambient OVOCs, particularly in urban environments where industrial activities, solvent use, and fuel combustion dominate. High local emission contributions to ambient OVOCs (9−53% for carbonyl compounds and 50−87% for alcohols) have also been reported in other Chinese cities based on field measurements (Wu et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020). Certain OVOCs, such as formaldehyde, acetone, and alcohols, are highly volatile, and their emission rates are therefore expected to exhibit strong temperature dependence. This inference is supported by direct measurements of 13 volatile emission sources during a sampling campaign in central China, which revealed significantly higher proportions of OVOCs in total VOC emissions from car painting, waste transfer station, and laundry sources in summer than in winter (Niu et al., 2021). With ongoing climate warming and more frequent heatwave events, emissions of these OVOC species are expected to increase, potentially enhancing atmospheric oxidation capacity and accelerating secondary pollutant formation. The impacts of such temperature-driven ~~increases~~changes in OVOC emissions remain insufficiently understood and warrant further investigation.

HO_2 radicals dominated ozone formation in urban areas of the YRD, contributing ~~50–60~~53–63% of the daytime ozone production rate under both clean and polluted conditions in Shanghai, and ranging from 55% to 80% across the region during both warm and cold seasons. This is consistent with findings from other urban environments heavily influenced by anthropogenic emissions (Tan et al., 2019a; Hu et al., 2023). Therefore, emission controls targeting major HO_2 radical contributors could be an effective strategy for ozone mitigation in urban regions like the YRD. Traditionally, the significance of OVOCs in the free radical budget has been mainly recognized through their formation as secondary products from VOC precursors. However, we demonstrated that primary HO_2 produced via OVOC photooxidation accounted for ~~20–40~~90–98% of ~~total~~primary HO_2 production, with ~~15–40% of this attributed to emitted~~primary OVOCs~~.~~ alone contributing approximately 20−50%. As a result, key OVOC species (e.g., HCHO) can compete with their precursors in generating HO_2 radicals and influence ozone formation. In addition, the contributions of OVOCs to RO_2 radicals, such as BACL, also promote ozone production and should not be overlooked.

Several uncertainties remain in the current study. Improvements are needed in representing multiphase chemistry, especially in detailed VOC speciation, multistep oxidation reactions, and the heterogeneous production and/or loss of small aldehydes and carboxylic acids. Additionally, emission profiles of organic acids and alcohols require further refinement, along with improved characterization of the temperature dependence of evaporative emissions. Previous studies have shown that alcohols contribute substantially to total OH reactivity and ozone formation, with their impacts intensifying at higher temperatures (Pfannerstill et al., 2023; Luecken et al., 2018; Pusede et al., 2014); however, large discrepancies between ~~modeled~~model-predicted and observed concentrations of these compounds were identified in the current study. ~~Moreover, limited observational data confined the analysis to spring and fall. Future~~The underestimation of OVOCs may result in biased predictions of their seasonal variability. With constraints from long-term OVOC measurements, future studies should extend to all seasons and other regions, focusing on ~~OVOC emission~~the contributions ~~to~~of OVOC emissions to their ambient ~~levels~~concentrations and the sensitivity of ozone formation to OVOC emission reductions, with improved representation of OVOC emissions, speciation, and multiphase chemistry.

Code and data availability

The CMAQ source code can be downloaded at https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ. The input files to CMAQ, WRF, and MEGAN, and meteorology and ozone observation data can be downloaded from the links and cited references given in the ~~methods~~method section. VOC observation data at Shanghai and Python scripts for processing data and generating figures are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Author contributions

J.L. designed the research. ~~X.~~Xuan L. and ~~X.~~Xun L. conducted the simulations and analyzed the data. R.Y., Y.G., and H.W. provided the 2019YRD emissions and ground observation data of VOCs at Shanghai. ~~X.~~Xun L. and Xuan L. drafted the main text. All authors contributed to interpreting the results and editing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant no. 2022YFE0136200; 2023YFC3706105) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42077199).

References

An, J., Huang, Y., Huang, C., Wang, X., Yan, R., Wang, Q., Wang, H., Jing, S., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Xu, C., Qiao, L., Zhou, M., Zhu, S., Hu, Q., Lu, J., and Chen, C.: Emission inventory of air pollutants and chemical speciation for specific anthropogenic sources based on local measurements in the Yangtze River Delta region, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2003-2025, 10.5194/acp-21-2003-2021, 2021.

Babaei-Gharehbagh, A., Taylor, R., Pratap, V., Na, S., Carlton, A. G., and Hennigan, C. J.: Effects of Inorganic Salts on the Gas-Aqueous Partitioning of Formic Acid and Acetic Acid, ACS Earth Space Chem., 9, 2705-2714, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.5c00225, 2025.

Cliff, S. J., Lewis, A. C., Shaw, M. D., Lee, J. D., Flynn, M., Andrews, S. J., Hopkins, J. R., Purvis, R. M., and Yeoman, A. M.: Unreported VOC Emissions from Road Transport Including from Electric Vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 8026-8034, 10.1021/acs.est.3c00845, 2023.

Coggon, M. M., Gkatzelis, G. I., McDonald, B. C., Gilman, J. B., Schwantes, R. H., Abuhassan, N., Aikin, K. C., Arend, M. F., Berkoff, T. A., Brown, S. S., Campos, T. L., Dickerson, R. R., Gronoff, G., Hurley, J. F., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Koss, A. R., Li, M., McKeen, S. A., Moshary, F., Peischl, J., Pospisilova, V., Ren, X., Wilson, A., Wu, Y., Trainer, M., and Warneke, C.: Volatile chemical product emissions enhance ozone and modulate urban chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 118, e2026653118, doi:10.1073/pnas.2026653118, 2021.

Cope, J. D., Abellar, K. A., Bates, K. H., Fu, X., and Nguyen, T. B.: Aqueous Photochemistry of 2-Methyltetrol and Erythritol as Sources of Formic Acid and Acetic Acid in the Atmosphere, ACS Earth Space Chem., 5, 1265-1277, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00107, 2021.

Du, C., Wang, H., Gao, Y., Yan, R., Jing, S. a., Zhou, M., Wang, Q., Lou, S., Huang, C., Huang, D. D., Shang, Y., and An, J.: Processes Driving Diurnal and Seasonal Variations of Formaldehyde in an Urban Environment, ACS Earth Space Chem., 9, 1174-1184, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.5c00020, 2025.

Franco, B., Blumenstock, T., Cho, C., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., De Mazière, M., De Smedt, I., Dorn, H. P., Emmerichs, T., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G., Griffith, D. W. T., Gromov, S., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hohaus, T., Jones, N., Kerkweg, A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Lutsch, E., Mahieu, E., Novelli, A., Ortega, I., Paton-Walsh, C., Pommier, M., Pozzer, A., Reimer, D., Rosanka, S., Sander, R., Schneider, M., Strong, K., Tillmann, R., Van Roozendael, M., Vereecken, L., Vigouroux, C., Wahner, A., and Taraborrelli, D.: Ubiquitous atmospheric production of organic acids mediated by cloud droplets, Nature, 593, 233-237, 10.1038/s41586-021-03462-x, 2021.

Gao, Y., Wang, H., Yuan, L., Jing, S., Yuan, B., Shen, G., Zhu, L., Koss, A., Li, Y., Wang, Q., Huang, D. D., Zhu, S., Tao, S., Lou, S., and Huang, C.: Measurement report: Underestimated reactive organic gases from residential combustion – insights from a near-complete speciation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 6633-6646, 10.5194/acp-23-6633-2023, 2023.

Gao, Y. Q., Wang, H. L., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Jing, S. A., Peng, Y. R., Huang, D. D., Li, X., Chen, S. Y., Lou, S. R., Li, Y. J., and Huang, C.: Unexpected High Contribution of Residential Biomass Burning to

Non-Methane Organic Gases (NMOGs) in the Yangtze River Delta Region of China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 127, 14, 10.1029/2021jd035050, 2022.

Geng, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Cheng, J., Yan, L., Wu, N., Hu, H., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Yin, Z., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Efficacy of China's clean air actions to tackle PM2.5 pollution between 2013 and 2020, Nat. Geosci, 17, 987-994, 10.1038/s41561-024-01540-z, 2024.

Gkatzelis, G. I., Papanastasiou, D. K., Karydis, V. A., Hohaus, T., Liu, Y., Schmitt, S. H., Schlag, P., Fuchs, H., Novelli, A., Chen, Q., Cheng, X., Broch, S., Dong, H., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Ma, X., Reimer, D., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Tan, Z., Taraborrelli, D., Tillmann, R., Wang, H., Wang, Y., Wu, Y., Wu, Z., Zeng, L., Zheng, J., Hu, M., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Kiendler-Scharr, A.: Uptake of Water-soluble Gas-phase Oxidation Products Drives Organic Particulate Pollution in Beijing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL091351, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091351, 2021.

Hatch, L. E., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Orlando, J. J., and Barsanti, K. C.: Multi-instrument comparison and compilation of non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning and implications for smoke-derived secondary organic aerosol precursors, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1471-1489, 10.5194/acp-17-1471-2017, 2017.

He, M., Ditto, J. C., Gardner, L., Machesky, J., Hass-Mitchell, T. N., Chen, C., Khare, P., Sahin, B., Fortner, J. D., Plata, D. L., Drollette, B. D., Hayden, K. L., Wentzell, J. J. B., Mittermeier, R. L., Leithead, A., Lee, P., Darlington, A., Wren, S. N., Zhang, J., Wolde, M., Moussa, S. G., Li, S.-M., Liggio, J., and Gentner, D. R.: Total organic carbon measurements reveal major gaps in petrochemical emissions reporting, Science, 383, 426-432, 10.1126/science.adj6233, 2024.

Hu, B. Y., Chen, G. J., Chen, J. S., Xu, L. L., Fan, X. L., Hong, Y. W., Li, M. R., Lin, Z. Y., Huang, M. Q., Zhang, F. W., and Wang, H.: The effect of nitrous acid (HONO) on ozone formation during pollution episodes in southeastern China: Results from model improvement and mechanism insights, Sci. Total Environ., 891, 13, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164477, 2023.

Hu, J., Chen, J., Ying, Q., and Zhang, H.: One-year simulation of ozone and particulate matter in China using WRF/CMAQ modeling system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10333-10350, 10.5194/acp-16-10333-2016, 2016.

Hu, L., Chen, L., Lin, W., Yao, L., Feng, Y., Zhao, J., Wu, W., Zheng, C., and Gao, X.: Quantifying Real-Time VOC Emissions of Typical Sources in the Chemical Industrial Park Using Multiplexed Online Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 59, 22784-22795, 10.1021/acs.est.5c11270, 2025.

Hu, L., Millet, D. B., Kim, S. Y., Wells, K. C., Griffis, T. J., Fischer, E. V., Helmig, D., Hueber, J., and Curtis, A. J.: North American acetone sources determined from tall tower measurements and inverse modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3379-3392, 10.5194/acp-13-3379-2013, 2013.

Huang, D. D., Hu, Q., He, X., Huang, R.-J., Ding, X., Ma, Y., Feng, X., Jing, S. a., Li, Y., Lu, J., Gao, Y., Chang, Y., Shi, X., Qian, C., Yan, C., Lou, S., Wang, H., and Huang, C.: Obscured Contribution of Oxygenated Intermediate-Volatility Organic Compounds to Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Gasoline Vehicle Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 58, 10652-10663, 10.1021/acs.est.3c08536, 2024.

Huang, X.-F., Zhang, B., Xia, S.-Y., Han, Y., Wang, C., Yu, G.-H., and Feng, N.: Sources of oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) in urban atmospheres in North and South China, Environ. Pollut., 261, 114152, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114152, 2020.

Jiang, Y., Xia, M., Wang, Z., Zheng, P., Chen, Y., and Wang, T.: Photochemical ageing of aerosols contributes significantly to the production of atmospheric formic acid, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 14813-14828, 10.5194/acp-23-14813-2023, 2023.

Kampf, C. J., Waxman, E. M., Slowik, J. G., Dommen, J., Pfaffenberger, L., Praplan, A. P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Hoffmann, T., and Volkamer, R.: Effective Henry's Law Partitioning and the Salting Constant of Glyoxal in Aerosols Containing Sulfate, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 4236-4244, 10.1021/es400083d, 2013.

Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Gilman, J. B., Selimovic, V., Coggon, M. M., Zarzana, K. J., Yuan, B., Lerner, B. M., Brown, S. S., Jimenez, J. L., Krechmer, J., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Yokelson, R. J., and de Gouw, J.: Non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning: identification, quantification, and emission factors from PTR-ToF during the FIREX 2016 laboratory experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 3299-3319, 10.5194/acp-18-3299-2018, 2018.

Kurokawa, J. and Ohara, T.: Long-term historical trends in air pollutant emissions in Asia: Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 3, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 12761-12793, 10.5194/acp-20-12761-2020, 2020.

Li, J. Y., Xie, X. D., Li, L., Wang, X. Y., Wang, H. L., Jing, S. A., Ying, Q., Qin, M. M., and Hu, J. L.: Fate of Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compounds in the Yangtze River Delta Region: Source Contributions and Impacts on the Atmospheric Oxidation Capacity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 11212-11224, 10.1021/acs.est.2c00038, 2022a.

Li, X., Qin, M., Li, L., Gong, K., Shen, H., Li, J., and Hu, J.: Examining the implications of photochemical indicators for O3–NOx–VOC sensitivity and control strategies: a case study in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 14799-14811, 10.5194/acp-22-14799-2022, 2022b.

Liang, W. and Yang, X.: Indoor formaldehyde in real buildings: Emission source identification, overall emission rate estimation, concentration increase and decay patterns, BUILD ENVIRON., 69, 114-120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.08.009, 2013.

Lim, Y. B., Tan, Y., and Turpin, B. J.: Chemical insights, explicit chemistry, and yields of secondary organic aerosol from OH radical oxidation of methylglyoxal and glyoxal in the aqueous phase, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8651-8667, 10.5194/acp-13-8651-2013, 2013.

Lin, Z., Xu, L., Yang, C., Chen, G., Ji, X., Li, L., Zhang, K., Hong, Y., Li, M., Fan, X., Hu, B., Zhang, F., and Chen, J.: Trends of peroxyacetyl nitrate and its impact on ozone over 2018–2022 in urban atmosphere, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 7, 192, 10.1038/s41612-024-00746-7, 2024.

Liu, Y., Zhou, M., Zhao, M., Jing, S., Wang, H., Lu, K., and Shen, H.: Determination of Urban Formaldehyde Emission Ratios in the Shanghai Megacity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 16489-16499, 10.1021/acs.est.3c06428, 2023.

Liu, Y., Wang, H., Jing, S., Peng, Y., Gao, Y., Yan, R., Wang, Q., Lou, S., Cheng, T., and Huang, C.: Strong regional transport of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) during wintertime in Shanghai megacity of China, Atmos. Environ., 244, 117940, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117940, 2021.

Liu, Y., Wang, H., Jing, S., Gao, Y., Peng, Y., Lou, S., Cheng, T., Tao, S., Li, L., Li, Y., Huang, D., Wang, Q., and An, J.: Characteristics and sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in Shanghai during summer: Implications of regional transport, Atmos. Environ., 215, 116902, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116902, 2019.

Liu, Y., Yuan, B., Li, X., Shao, M., Lu, S., Li, Y., Chang, C. C., Wang, Z., Hu, W., Huang, X., He, L., Zeng, L., Hu, M., and Zhu, T.: Impact of pollution controls in Beijing on atmospheric oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) during the 2008 Olympic Games: observation and modeling implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3045-3062, 10.5194/acp-15-3045-2015, 2015.

Luecken, D. J., Napelenok, S. L., Strum, M., Scheffe, R., and Phillips, S.: Sensitivity of Ambient Atmospheric Formaldehyde and Ozone to Precursor Species and Source Types Across the United States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 4668-4675, 10.1021/acs.est.7b05509, 2018.

Lyu, X., Li, H., Lee, S.-C., Xiong, E., Guo, H., Wang, T., and de Gouw, J.: Significant Biogenic Source of Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compounds and the Impacts on Photochemistry at a Regional Background Site in South China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 58, 20081-20090, 10.1021/acs.est.4c05656, 2024.

Ma, M., Gao, Y., Ding, A., Su, H., Liao, H., Wang, S., Wang, X., Zhao, B., Zhang, S., Fu, P., Guenther, A. B., Wang, M., Li, S., Chu, B., Yao, X., and Gao, H.: Development and Assessment of a High-Resolution Biogenic Emission Inventory from Urban Green Spaces in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 175-184, 10.1021/acs.est.1c06170, 2022.

Maison, A., Lugon, L., Park, S. J., Baudic, A., Cantrell, C., Couvidat, F., D'Anna, B., Di Biagio, C., Gratien, A., Gros, V., Kalalian, C., Kammer, J., Michoud, V., Petit, J. E., Shahin, M., Simon, L., Valari, M., Vigneron, J., Tuzet, A., and Sartelet, K.: Significant impact of urban tree biogenic emissions on air quality estimated by a bottom-up inventory and chemistry transport modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 6011-6046, 10.5194/acp-24-6011-2024, 2024.

Malecha, K. T. and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Photodegradation of Secondary Organic Aerosol Particles as a Source of Small, Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 9990-9997, 10.1021/acs.est.6b02313, 2016.

Mao, J., Li, L., Li, J., Sulaymon, I. D., Xiong, K., Wang, K., Zhu, J., Chen, G., Ye, F., Zhang, N., Qin, Y., Qin, M., and Hu, J.: Evaluation of Long-Term Modeling Fine Particulate Matter and Ozone in China During 2013–2019, Front. Environ. Sci., 10 - 2022, 10.3389/fenvs.2022.872249, 2022.

Marvin, M. R., Wolfe, G. M., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T. P., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., Aikin, K. C., de Gouw, J. A., Graus, M., Hanisco, T. F., Holloway, J. S., Hübler, G., Kaiser, J., Keutsch, F. N., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., Veres, P. R., and Warneke, C.: Impact of evolving isoprene mechanisms on simulated formaldehyde: An inter-comparison supported by in situ observations from SENEX, Atmos. Environ., 164, 325-336, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.049, 2017.

McDonald, B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Jathar, S. H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez, J. L., Lee-Taylor, J., Hayes, P. L., McKeen, S. A., Cui, Y. Y., Kim, S.-W., Gentner, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Frost, G. J., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., and Trainer, M.: Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical source of urban organic emissions, Science, 359, 760-764, doi:10.1126/science.aaq0524, 2018.

Millet, D. B., Baasandorj, M., Farmer, D. K., Thornton, J. A., Baumann, K., Brophy, P., Chaliyakunnel, S., de Gouw, J. A., Graus, M., Hu, L., Koss, A., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Neuman, J. A., Paulot, F., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warneke, C., Williams, B. J., and Xu, J.: A large and ubiquitous source of atmospheric formic acid, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6283-6304, 10.5194/acp-15-6283-2015, 2015.

Ministry of Ecology and Environment, P. R. of China: Technical Guidelines for Compiling an Integrated Emission Inventory of Air Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases, available at: https://www.mee.gov.cn/xxgk2018/xxgk/xxgk2006/202401/t20240130_21065242.html, last access: 1 January 2026.

Mo, Z., Shao, M., and Lu, S.: Compilation of a source profile database for hydrocarbon and OVOC emissions in China, Atmos. Environ., 143, 209-217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.08.025, 2016.

Mo, Z., Cui, R., Yuan, B., Cai, H., McDonald, B. C., Li, M., Zheng, J., and Shao, M.: A mass-balance-based emission inventory of non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) for solvent use in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 13655-13666, 10.5194/acp-21-13655-2021, 2021.

Niu, Z., Kong, S., Zheng, H., Hu, Y., Zheng, S., Cheng, Y., Yao, L., Liu, W., Ding, F., Liu, X., and Qi, S.: Differences in compositions and effects of VOCs from vehicle emission detected using various methods, Environ. Pollut., 333, 122077, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122077, 2023.

Niu, Z., Kong, S., Zheng, H., Yan, Q., Liu, J., Feng, Y., Wu, J., Zheng, S., Zeng, X., Yao, L., Zhang, Y., Fan, Z., Cheng, Y., Liu, X., Wu, F., Qin, S., Yan, Y., Ding, F., Liu, W., Zhu, K., Liu, D., and Qi, S.: Temperature dependence of source profiles for volatile organic compounds from typical volatile emission sources, Sci. Total Environ., 751, 141741, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141741, 2021.

Ou, J., Zheng, J., Li, R., Huang, X., Zhong, Z., Zhong, L., and Lin, H.: Speciated OVOC and VOC emission inventories and their implications for reactivity-based ozone control strategy in the Pearl River Delta region, China, Sci. Total Environ., 530-531, 393-402, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.062, 2015.

Pagonis, D., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J.: A Library of Proton-Transfer Reactions of H3O+ Ions Used for Trace Gas Detection, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 30, 1330-1335, 10.1007/s13361-019-02209-3, 2019.

Permar, W., Wielgasz, C., Jin, L., Chen, X., Coggon, M. M., Garofalo, L. A., Gkatzelis, G. I., Ketcherside, D., Millet, D. B., Palm, B. B., Peng, Q., Robinson, M. A., Thornton, J. A., Veres, P., Warneke, C., Yokelson, R. J., Fischer, E. V., and Hu, L.: Assessing formic and acetic acid emissions and chemistry in western U.S. wildfire smoke: implications for atmospheric modeling, Environ. Sci.: Atmos., 3, 1620-1641, 10.1039/D3EA00098B, 2023.

Permar, W., Wang, Q., Selimovic, V., Wielgasz, C., Yokelson, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Apel, E. C., Ku, I.-T., Zhou, Y., Sive, B. C., Sullivan, A. P., Collett Jr, J. L., Campos, T. L., Palm, B. B., Peng, Q., Thornton, J. A., Garofalo, L. A., Farmer, D. K., Kreidenweis, S. M., Levin, E. J. T., DeMott, P. J., Flocke, F., Fischer, E. V., and Hu, L.: Emissions of Trace Organic Gases From Western U.S. Wildfires Based on WE-CAN Aircraft Measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, e2020JD033838, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033838, 2021.

Pfannerstill, E. Y., Arata, C., Zhu, Q., Schulze, B. C., Woods, R., Harkins, C., Schwantes, R. H., McDonald, B. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Bucholtz, A., Cohen, R. C., and Goldstein, A. H.: Comparison between Spatially Resolved Airborne Flux Measurements and Emission Inventories of Volatile Organic Compounds in Los Angeles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 15533-15545, 10.1021/acs.est.3c03162, 2023.

Pusede, S. E., Gentner, D. R., Wooldridge, P. J., Browne, E. C., Rollins, A. W., Min, K. E., Russell, A. R., Thomas, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Henry, S. B., DiGangi, J. P., Keutsch, F. N., Harrold, S. A., Thornton, J. A., Beaver, M. R., St. Clair, J. M., Wennberg, P. O., Sanders, J., Ren, X., VandenBoer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Guha, A., Weber, R., Goldstein, A. H., and Cohen, R. C.: On the temperature dependence of organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides, ozone production, and the impact of emission controls in San Joaquin Valley, California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3373-3395, 10.5194/acp-14-3373-2014, 2014.

Qu, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, R., Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Sjostedt, S., Zeng, L., Lu, K., Wu, Y., Shao, M., Hu, M., Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Broch, S., Wahner, A., Zhu, T., and Zhang, Y.: Chemical Production of Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compounds Strongly Enhances Boundary-Layer Oxidation Chemistry and Ozone Production, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 13718-13727, 10.1021/acs.est.1c04489, 2021.

Sekimoto, K., Li, S.-M., Yuan, B., Koss, A., Coggon, M., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J.: Calculation of the sensitivity of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) for organic trace gases using molecular properties, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 421, 71-94, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2017.04.006, 2017.

Shen, H., Huang, L., Zhao, Y., Zhao, M., Yang, Y., Li, H., Wu, H., and Chen, Z.: High Yields of Formic Acid and Acetic Acid during Multi-generational Oxidation of Toluene, EGUsphere, 2026, 1-24, 10.5194/egusphere-2026-234, 2026.

Smith, S. J., McDuffie, E. E., and Charles, M.: Opinion: Coordinated development of emission inventories for climate forcers and air pollutants, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 13201-13218, 10.5194/acp-22-13201-2022, 2022.

Stockwell, C. E., Coggon, M. M., Gkatzelis, G. I., Ortega, J., McDonald, B. C., Peischl, J., Aikin, K., Gilman, J. B., Trainer, M., and Warneke, C.: Volatile organic compound emissions from solvent- and water-borne coatings – compositional differences and tracer compound identifications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6005-6022, 10.5194/acp-21-6005-2021, 2021.

Sui, X., Zhou, Y., Zhang, F., Chen, J., Zhu, Z., and Yu, X.-Y.: Deciphering the aqueous chemistry of glyoxal oxidation with hydrogen peroxide using molecular imaging, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 19, 20357-20366, 10.1039/C7CP02071F, 2017.

Tan, Z., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Holland, F., Liu, Y., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Sun, K., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Zou, Q., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Experimental budgets of OH, HO2, and RO2 radicals and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7129-7150, 10.5194/acp-19-7129-2019, 2019a.

Tan, Z. F., Lu, K. D., Jiang, M. Q., Su, R., Wang, H. L., Lou, S. R., Fu, Q. Y., Zhai, C. Z., Tan, Q. W., Yue, D. L., Chen, D. H., Wang, Z. S., Xie, S. D., Zeng, L. M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Daytime atmospheric oxidation capacity in four Chinese megacities during the photochemically polluted season: a case study based on box model simulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3493-3513, 10.5194/acp-19-3493-2019, 2019b.

Tanzer-Gruener, R., Rajan, P. E., Dugan, L. D., Bier, M. E., Robinson, A. L., and Presto, A. A.: Watching Paint Dry: Organic Vapor Emissions from Architectural Coatings and their Impact on Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 11236-11245, 10.1021/acs.est.2c02478, 2022.

Wang, H., He, Q., Kong, H., Qin, K., Zheng, B., Lin, J., and Zhao, Y.: Declining short-term emission control opportunity for major events in Chinese cities, Nat. Cities, 2, 434-446, 10.1038/s44284-025-00233-x, 2025.

Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhao, W., Wu, Z., Luo, S., Zhang, H., Zhou, H., Song, W., Zhang, W., and Wang, X.: Observationally Constrained Modeling of Peroxy Radical During an Ozone Episode in the Pearl River Delta Region, China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 128, e2022JD038279, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038279, 2023.

Wang, S., Yuan, B., He, X., Cui, R., Song, X., Chen, Y., Wu, C., Wang, C., Huangfu, Y., Li, X. B., Wang, B., and Shao, M.: Emission characteristics of reactive organic gases (ROGs) from industrial volatile chemical products (VCPs) in the Pearl River Delta (PRD), China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 7101-7121, 10.5194/acp-24-7101-2024, 2024a.

Wang, S., Yuan, B., Wu, C., Wang, C., Li, T., He, X., Huangfu, Y., Qi, J., Li, X. B., Sha, Q., Zhu, M., Lou, S., Wang, H., Karl, T., Graus, M., Yuan, Z., and Shao, M.: Oxygenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as significant but varied contributors to VOC emissions from vehicles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 9703-9720, 10.5194/acp-22-9703-2022, 2022a.

Wang, W., Yuan, B., Peng, Y., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Yang, S., Wu, C., Qi, J., Bao, F., Huangfu, Y., Wang, C., Ye, C., Wang, Z., Wang, B., Wang, X., Song, W., Hu, W., Cheng, P., Zhu, M., Zheng, J., and Shao, M.: Direct observations indicate photodegradable oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) as larger contributors to radicals and ozone production in the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 4117-4128, 10.5194/acp-22-4117-2022, 2022b.

Wang, Y., Huang, J., Zhao, B., Du, Y., Huang, L., Lai, D., Su, Q., Manomaiphiboon, K., and Li, L.: Full-Volatility Reactive Organic Carbon Emissions from Volatile Chemical Products in Mainland China, ACS ES&T Air, 1, 1541-1553, 10.1021/acsestair.4c00116, 2024b.

Waxman, E. M., Elm, J., Kurtén, T., Mikkelsen, K. V., Ziemann, P. J., and Volkamer, R.: Glyoxal and Methylglyoxal Setschenow Salting Constants in Sulfate, Nitrate, and Chloride Solutions: Measurements and Gibbs Energies, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 11500-11508, 10.1021/acs.est.5b02782, 2015.

Wiedinmyer, C., Kimura, Y., McDonald-Buller, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Buchholz, R. R., Tang, W., Seto, K., Joseph, M. B., Barsanti, K. C., Carlton, A. G., and Yokelson, R.: The Fire Inventory from NCAR version 2.5: an updated global fire emissions model for climate and chemistry applications, Geosci. Model Dev., 16, 3873-3891, 10.5194/gmd-16-3873-2023, 2023.

Wu, C., Wang, C., Wang, S., Wang, W., Yuan, B., Qi, J., Wang, B., Wang, H., Wang, C., Song, W., Wang, X., Hu, W., Lou, S., Ye, C., Peng, Y., Wang, Z., Huangfu, Y., Xie, Y., Zhu, M., Zheng, J., Wang, X., Jiang, B., Zhang, Z., and Shao, M.: Measurement report: Important contributions of oxygenated compounds to emissions and chemistry of volatile organic compounds in urban air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 14769-14785, 10.5194/acp-20-14769-2020, 2020.

Xing, C., Liu, C., Lin, J., Tan, W., and Liu, T.: VOCs hyperspectral imaging: A new insight into evaluate emissions and the corresponding health risk from industries, J. Hazard. Mater., 461, 132573, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.132573, 2024.

Xue, L., Gu, R., Wang, T., Wang, X., Saunders, S., Blake, D., Louie, P. K. K., Luk, C. W. Y., Simpson, I., Xu, Z., Wang, Z., Gao, Y., Lee, S., Mellouki, A., and Wang, W.: Oxidative capacity and radical chemistry in the polluted atmosphere of Hong Kong and Pearl River Delta region: analysis of a severe photochemical smog episode, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9891-9903, 10.5194/acp-16-9891-2016, 2016.

Yang, X., Zhang, G., Pan, G., Fan, G. L., Zhang, H. Y., Ge, X., and Du, M. Y.: Significant contribution of carbonyls to atmospheric oxidation capacity (AOC) during the winter haze pollution over North China Plain, J. Environ. Sci., 139, 377-388, 10.1016/j.jes.2023.06.004, 2024.

Yang, X., Xue, L., Wang, T., Wang, X., Gao, J., Lee, S., Blake, D. R., Chai, F., and Wang, W.: Observations and Explicit Modeling of Summertime Carbonyl Formation in Beijing: Identification of Key Precursor Species and Their Impact on Atmospheric Oxidation Chemistry, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 1426-1440, 10.1002/2017jd027403, 2018.

Yang, X., Lu, K., Ma, X., Gao, Y., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Chen, X., Li, X., Huang, X., He, L., Tang, M., Zhu, B., Chen, S., Dong, H., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: Radical chemistry in the Pearl River Delta: observations and modeling of OH and HO2 radicals in Shenzhen in 2018, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 12525-12542, 10.5194/acp-22-12525-2022, 2022.

Yu, G., Bayer, A. R., Galloway, M. M., Korshavn, K. J., Fry, C. G., and Keutsch, F. N.: Glyoxal in Aqueous Ammonium Sulfate Solutions: Products, Kinetics and Hydration Effects, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 6336-6342, 10.1021/es200989n, 2011.

Yuan, B., Veres, P. R., Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Gilman, J. B., Koss, A., Edwards, P. M., Graus, M., Kuster, W. C., Li, S. M., Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Johnson, J. E., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Lefer, B., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Zamora, R., Ervens, B., Millet, D. B., Rappenglück, B., and de Gouw, J. A.: Investigation of secondary formation of formic acid: urban environment vs. oil and gas producing region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1975-1993, 10.5194/acp-15-1975-2015, 2015.

Zeng, L., Fan, G.-J., Lyu, X., Guo, H., Wang, J.-L., and Yao, D.: Atmospheric fate of peroxyacetyl nitrate in suburban Hong Kong and its impact on local ozone pollution, Environ. Pollut., 252, 1910-1919, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.06.004, 2019.

Zhang, G., Mu, Y., Zhou, L., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Fang, S., and Yao, B.: Summertime distributions of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN) in Beijing: Understanding the sources and major sink of PAN, Atmos. Environ., 103, 289-296, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.035, 2015.

Zhang, R., Gen, M., Fu, T.-M., and Chan, C. K.: Production of Formate via Oxidation of Glyoxal Promoted by Particulate Nitrate Photolysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 5711-5720, 10.1021/acs.est.0c08199, 2021.