

Integrating Nature-Based Solutions (NbS) for Enhanced Flood Resilience under a Changing Climate: The Case of the Cologne District, Germany

Udo Nehren¹, Ali Cara Barrett¹, Paola Saenz Quiros¹, Alexander Fekete²

5 ¹Institute for Natural Resources Technology and Management, TH Köln - University of Applied Sciences, 50679 Cologne, Germany

²Institute of Rescue Engineering and Civil Protection, TH Köln - University of Applied Sciences, 50679 Cologne, Germany

Correspondence to: Udo Nehren (udo.nehren@th-koeln.de)

Abstract. The Cologne District in western Germany has frequently experienced flooding from the Rhine River and its
10 tributaries. Current protection measures consist of a combination of engineered, green, blue and soft infrastructure. The district was affected by the catastrophic floods in Europe in July 2021, and climate models predict an increased risk of heavy summer rainfall, which could lead to severe flooding, especially of the tributaries of the Rhine. Nature-based solutions (NbS) are recommended to enhance flood resilience. However, there is no publicly available systematic register of existing and planned NbS. This study addresses this gap by mapping, categorising and evaluating existing and planned NbS for flood risk mitigation.
15 We have created maps and assessment tables for both existing and planned NbS, as well as identified potential areas for future interventions using various databases. The results demonstrate that multiple NbS have been implemented and are planned along the Rhine, but additional efforts are needed in the Erft and Wupper tributaries, despite several planned and implemented river restoration projects. NbS in these catchments focus on floodplains, while slopes and riparian areas, suitable for the existing urban and agricultural land uses, have not been systematically assessed for their effectiveness. With the predicted
20 increase in extreme climate events with intense summer rainfall and drought periods, surface runoff may rise due to dried and hardened soils, increasing flash flood risks. We therefore recommend targeted NbS interventions on slopes. Additionally, cities such as Cologne should develop further infiltration areas to mitigate the risk of pluvial flooding.

Keywords: Nature-based solutions, flood mitigation, climate change adaptation, Cologne District, heavy rainfall events.

25 1 Introduction

The Cologne District, located in North Rhine-Westphalia in the western part of Germany, is a dynamic and historically rich area that combines urban centres, such as Cologne, Bonn and Leverkusen in the Lower Rhine Plain with the rural low mountain ranges of the Eifel in the west, the Bergisches Land in the east and the Siebengebirge in the south. The Rhine River is the major hydrological influence in the region with a discharge comparable to any large river in Europe and a history of major

30 floods, usually the result of snow-melt in the upper reaches, saturated soils and rainfall in the larger tributaries (Disse & Engel, 2001). In recent years, the region has experienced a noticeable increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events such as heavy rainfall (Tradowsky et al., 2023), heatwaves (Eingrüber et al., 2026), and droughts (Rousi et al., 2023). These events not only pose direct threats to human health and safety (Sandholz et al., 2021), they also have far-reaching effects on the local economy, infrastructure, and environment (Nick et al., 2023). In particular, the catastrophic floods of July 2021
35 underscored the region's vulnerability to severe hydrological events, resulting in human losses as well as extensive damage to property, critical infrastructure, and significant disruptions to daily life (Fekete & Sandholz, 2021).

Climate change is expected to have a significant impact on flood risk in North Rhine-Westphalia (NRW), including the Cologne District (Holsten et al., 2013; Thomas & Knüppe, 2016). It is likely to result in more intense and frequent heavy rainfall events (Thieken et al., 2023). This can lead to river floods, flash floods and pluvial floods, as the increased volume of
40 water exceeds the capacity of natural and man-made drainage systems (Bosseler et al., 2021). Furthermore, settlements, often with historic town plans, built around smaller watercourses face the prospect of severe and more frequent flash floods (Alobid et al., 2024). Figure 1 shows the case study area which is a sub-area of the administrative district of Cologne including the municipalities of the Rhein-Erft-Kreis, the Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis and the cities of Cologne and Leverkusen, which are exposed to the hydrological hazards' river floods, flash floods, agricultural drought and forest drought. Pluvial floods are not
45 shown on this map for scaling reasons.

Summer droughts can also have complex and varied impacts on flood risk. While droughts typically reduce soil moisture and river flow, which might intuitively lower the risk of flooding, they can also exacerbate certain factors that contribute to flooding. This can result in hardened soil surfaces, reducing the ability of the soil to absorb water when rain does occur (Barendrecht et al., 2024). Consequently, instead of infiltrating into the soil, rainwater runs off more quickly, increasing surface
50 runoff and the risk of flash flooding. Moreover, drought stress can weaken vegetation and ecosystems, leading to reduced canopy cover and root systems (Zölch et al., 2017). This diminishes the stabilizing effect of vegetation, increasing the likelihood of soil erosion and landslides when heavy rainfall eventually does occur (Panagos et al., 2015). Vegetation loss can also lead to increased sedimentation in rivers and streams, potentially exacerbating flooding (Kretz et al., 2021).

In addition to the increase in extreme climatic events, increased urbanization and changes in land use can exacerbate flood
55 risks (Mustafa et al., 2018). Impervious surfaces like concrete and asphalt prevent water from being absorbed into the ground, leading to higher surface runoff and increased flood risk (Feng et al., 2021). Outdated infrastructure and inadequate drainage systems can fail to cope with the increased volume and intensity of water, leading to more frequent and severe flooding (Wüthrich et al., 2025). The dense population and extensive infrastructure make the area vulnerable to all types of flooding. Overcoming these challenges is crucial for the sustainable development and resilience of the region.

60




Figure 1: Hydrological hazards and risks occurring in the Cologne District study area
Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; NASA, 2013; OpenGeodata.NRW, n.d.; Region Köln Bonn, 2019; USGS, 2014.
Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.

65

In response to these challenges, the communities and water authorities in the Cologne District have been working on various adaptation strategies to mitigate flood risk, including (a) improved flood protection measures such as dikes, dams and flood barriers (StEB, 2025c) (b) improved early warning systems (Fekete & Sandholz, 2021), (c) community engagement and education (StEB, 2025c) and (d) ecosystem-based measures such as the creation of retention and detention areas, river restoration projects and urban green-blue infrastructures (StEB, 2024a). All the ecosystem-based measures aim to improve flood peak management, water absorption and reduce runoff and can be summarized under the umbrella term nature-based solutions (NbS).

70

Nature-based solutions (NbS) is an umbrella term (Doswald et al. 2021) applied to the broad and varied approaches that work by either protecting, enhancing or mimicking natural functions to improve natural, societal or economic resilience, including reducing the impact of natural hazards (Walz et al., 2023). The broad and varying character and different scale of NbS means

75

that identifying existing NbS is often not a priority for district administrations or emergency planners. However, as the need for strategically planned NbS intensifies along with the impacts of natural hazards, the need for recognizing, categorizing and documenting existing and planned NbS becomes more apparent.

According to Nehren et al. (2023), ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction (Eco-DRR) is the approach under the umbrella term NbS that aims to mitigate all types of natural hazards through ecosystems. Sudmeier-Rieux et al. (2021) were able to demonstrate in a meta-study that there is evidence for Eco-DRR, including flooding. This applies to urban landscapes as well as to forests and river landscapes, all of which are found in the Cologne District. However, there are numerous other approaches that include DRR as a co-benefit or secondary objective. These include for instance ecosystem-based adaptation (EbA) as well as various sectoral approaches (e.g., green-blue, infrastructure, bioengineering, building with nature) and various conservation and restoration approaches. This is important for the evaluation of existing NbS for flood mitigation in the Cologne District, as not all measures have the primary objective of flood protection but also include for instance measures under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD), which primarily aim to achieve a good ecological status of the watercourse and include flood protection only a co-benefit.

To create flood-resilient landscapes, it is essential to document and categorize NbS interventions to understand the variety of measures implemented or planned by various actors across temporal and spatial scales. In Germany, where the term NbS is still relatively new, similar concepts are often referred to as green or blue infrastructure, retention areas, or, increasingly in urban contexts, sponge cities (German: “Schwammstadt”). Our research focuses on the Rhine Valley, including the Wupper and Erft sub-catchments within the Cologne district - areas severely affected by the 2021 floods. Our first objective addresses the need to obtain an overview of existing and planned NbS for flood mitigation:

- (1) to record, categorize, and map in a GIS the different types of NbS for flood protection (a) along the Upper to Lower Rhine (river floods), potentially affecting downstream Cologne; (b) along the Wupper River, impacting Leverkusen, and along the Erft (river and flash floods), affecting several small cities in the Rhine-Erft district; and (c) within the city of Cologne itself (river and pluvial floods).

Our second objective is to identify areas where additional NbS could enhance flood resilience from a landscape perspective, considering climate projections and anticipated changes in hydrological and geomorphological dynamics. Factors such as land tenure and legal constraints are not addressed in this analysis.

- (2) Specifically, we aim to identify, categorize, and evaluate potential sites for implementing further NbS interventions to strengthen flood resilience within the study area, using an indicator-based assessment.

With our results, we inform scientists, planners and political decision-makers about the current status of NbS interventions for flood and storm water protection in the Cologne District and also identify further needs. In addition, we contribute to sharpening the concept of NbS in the context of flood risk mitigation. This is of fundamental importance for flood management in the region and can also serve as an example for other cities and agglomerations in Europe and beyond.

2 Methodology

110 2.1 Study area

The study area encompasses the Rhine floodplain and the sub-catchments of the Wupper and Erft rivers within the administrative boundaries of the Cologne District, which includes several municipalities such as Rhein-Erft-Kreis, Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis, and the cities of Cologne and Leverkusen. This region features a diverse landscape that combines highly urbanized zones, industrial areas, agricultural lands, riverine floodplains, and rural low mountain ranges, including parts of the
115 Eifel in the west, Bergisches Land in the east, and Siebengebirge in the south. The Cologne District's strategic location along the Rhine has historically facilitated trade and commerce and now supports a diverse economy encompassing industrial, technological, and service sectors. Despite these strengths, the district faces significant challenges, notably structural changes in the western Rhenish lignite mining area and climate change impacts.

Geographically, the Cologne district lies along the Lower Rhine Plain, an important hydrological corridor in Germany. The
120 Rhine, one of Europe's most water-rich rivers, has a strong influence on regional hydrology and flood patterns. The Wupper and Erft rivers, important tributaries within the district, have different geomorphological characteristics: the Wupper catchment area is characterised by hilly terrain with gradients often exceeding 15%, while the Erft catchment area generally has a flatter relief with extensive canalised river sections and predominantly agricultural land use.

Flood risk management in the Cologne district involves a complex administrative structure. The Wupperverband and
125 Erftverband water associations are responsible for the Wupper and Erft areas respectively. They operate flood retention basins, carry out river restoration and maintenance measures, and coordinate flood risk reduction measures in conjunction with local authorities. The Wupperverband, a public corporation since 1930, manages 26 flood retention basins throughout the Wupper catchment. The Erftverband operates 23 retention and collection basins in the Erft catchment area, which together can store around 7.73 million m³ of flood water; three of the largest basins are located near or within the Rhine-Erft district and are
130 designed to retain floodwater in the event of a 100-year flood (HQ100) (Erftverband, 2022). The city of Cologne manages the urban water and drainage infrastructure through the 'Stadtentwässerungsbetriebe Köln' (StEB), while the municipalities in the district coordinate flood management through regional planning and disaster preparedness frameworks.

The district faces multiple flood hazard types, including riverine floods driven primarily by Rhine high discharges, pluvial floods caused by intense local rainfall overwhelming urban drainage systems, and flash floods in steep tributary catchments
135 such as the Wupper. Flood events vary temporally: large Rhine floods develop comparatively slowly, allowing early warning and protective actions, whereas tributary and pluvial floods can arise rapidly and cause highly localized, intense impacts. Stormwater inundation is a widespread urban challenge due to high surface sealing and the replacement of natural landscapes with impervious structures (Feng et al., 2021). The cities of Cologne and Leverkusen and the municipalities in the Cologne District are actively developing NbS plans to reduce the risks of stormwater inundation and flash floods resulting from intense
140 rainfall events. In addition to these flood hazards that are the focus of this research, cities in the region - particularly Cologne and Leverkusen - also experience significant heat exposure. Moreover, agricultural and forestry areas in the Cologne District

face heightened risks of drought (Region Köln/Bonn e.V., 2019) as well as increasing threats from forest fires and winter storms (Fekete & Nehren, 2024). Data from the German Weather Service (DWD) show that North Rhine-Westphalia's average annual temperature rose by 1.6 °C between 1881-1910 and 1991-2020, with a 62 mm increase in annual precipitation, mainly in winter. Extreme rainfall events have become more frequent, while frost and ice days have decreased. Climate models project further warming of up to 2.7 °C by 2100 (RCP 4.5), more hot days and tropical nights, fewer frost days, and a longer growing season. Precipitation is expected to increase slightly, especially in winter and spring, with more extreme rainfall events under higher emission scenarios. (LANUK, 2026).

The study area was severely affected by the July 2021 European floods, particularly in the Wupper and Erft sub-catchments and around Leverkusen (Könsen, 2026). This event highlighted vulnerabilities to both river and flash floods in the district and reinforced the need for integrated flood risk management approaches incorporating Nature-based Solutions (NbS). Geomorphological and hydrological characteristics provide a critical basis for designing suitable NbS. Elevations in Cologne primarily range between 40 and 120 meters above sea level, situated on Rhine floodplains and terraces. The Wupper Basin reaches elevations up to 370 meters, with steep slopes dominating much of the catchment, whereas the Erft Basin exhibits gentler slopes mostly below 200 meters. Slopes in the Wupper Basin frequently exceed 15%, promoting runoff and flash flood formation, while slopes in the Erft Basin rarely exceed 6%. The Topographic Wetness Index (TWI), which identifies areas prone to soil saturation and runoff accumulation by integrating terrain and hydrological data, was calculated to guide potential NbS site selection (see 2.3).

In terms of landscape hydrology, the Erft Basin's southern region, located within the low mountain range of the Eifel, exhibits higher relief energy, while the broader Cologne urban area is mainly undulating to flat. Numerous small towns are situated along the Erft amidst predominantly agricultural land. This landscape, however, has been heavily influenced by lignite coal mining. Large volumes of groundwater were extracted for open-cast mining and discharged into the Erft, artificially increasing downstream river flows. Furthermore, many river sections have been straightened, embanked, or, in some areas, relocated, significantly altering natural hydrological processes

Riparian buffer land use varies markedly: urbanized and agricultural zones dominate parts of the Erft Basin and Cologne city, whereas large forested areas and protected natural reserves are more common in the upper Wupper Basin, supporting natural flood mitigation functions. The Wupper Basin within the Cologne District is characterized by an undulating, predominantly rural pastoral landscape where many small tributaries originate. Leverkusen, an industrial city, lies at the confluence of several small streams along with the Wupper and Dhünn rivers before they discharge into the Rhine.

Furthermore, our analysis extends beyond the immediate study area to include the Upper and Middle Rhine river basins, where NbS have been implemented to reduce flood peaks downstream on the Lower Rhine. Considering these upstream regions provides a broader context for evaluating flood mitigation measures influencing flood dynamics within the Cologne District.

175 **2.2 Data sourcing and layer creation**

In order to identify existing and planned NbS we conducted a search of online information provided by historical archives, the city of Cologne (Stadt Köln), the International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine (ICPR) and water resource managers, the “Stadtentwässerungsbetriebe Köln” (StEB; Cologne Municipal Drainage Operations), and the two catchment associations “Wupperverband” and “Erftverband”. We also consulted online maps and maps provided by federal and state
180 government bodies in Germany. Our aim being the identification of near-natural to hybrid NbS (existing and planned) that function in a way that reduces the impact of flood hazards through flood peak reduction or water retention. All data sets used and the respective references are listed in Table 1.

We used spatial data available through state and federal German government bodies to identify the spatial extent of hazards, land cover, protected areas and designated floodplains. We also used the Copernicus permeability layer of the European
185 Commission to illustrate the impermeable areas in Cologne City. Using the information available from the ICPR, Cologne city and the water resource managers, we created new layers to display the existing and planned NbS. NbS identified for flood mitigation includes retained floodplain, existing and planned retention/detention basins, renatured meanders and associated re-connected floodplains across Cologne City (and downstream along the Rhine), and in the Cologne Region of the Wupper and Erft catchments. For pluvial/stormwater floods in Cologne City we created a layer to show the measures planned to increase
190 urban green areas and containing the implemented pilot multifunction retention areas. We also categorised the NbS through assessment of the NbS type, degree of engineering or naturalness, flood reduction capacity, co-benefits and potential risks. This recognises the uniqueness of each NbS feature and allows for comparison, further assessment and monitoring to preserve the flood protection capacity and co-benefits that they provide, into the future. The capacity for floodwater expansion across retained floodplains in Cologne City for HQ10-50 and HQ100 was calculated from the OpenGeodata flood depth layers and
195 NbS layer.

Table 1: Data sets used in this study

Data source	Dataset	Dataset available at:
BKG	Land cover/ land use	https://gdz.bkg.bund.de/index.php/default/open-data/corine-land-cover-5-ha-stand-2018-clc5-2018.html
Copernicus	Permeability	https://land.copernicus.eu/en/products/high-resolution-layer-imperviousness/impervious-built-up-2018
Erftverband	Location of flood infrastructure, Erft Basin	https://www.erftverband.de/fluesse-und-seen/
ICPR	Location of flood infrastructure Upper Rhine	https://www.iksr.org/en/
OpenGeodata	Flood limits and depths Designated floodplains	https://www.opengeodata.nrw.de/produkte/ HQ10-50/HQ100/HQ250 Überschwemmungsgrenzen (flood extent boundaries) HQ10-50/HQ100/HQ250 Tiefen Überschwemmungsgebiete (flood depths) Überschwemmungsgebiete NRW (flood zones)

Region Köln Bonn e.V.	Hydrological risks	https://www.klimawandelvorsorge.de/home/KWVS_Koelnbonn_20190930_DPhkategorien_S1-3 KWVS_Koelnbonn_20190930_DPhkategorien_A1-2 KWVS_Koelnbonn_20190930_DPhkategorien_W1-2
Stadt Köln	Green Master Plan Location of Urban forest Location of Nature reserves	https://www.stadt-koeln.de/artikel/73108/index.html https://www.stadt-koeln.de/leben-in-koeln/freizeit-natur-sport/wald/naturwaldentwicklungsflaechen-im-staedtischen-wald https://www.stadt-koeln.de/leben-in-koeln/klima-umwelt-tiere/naturschutzgebiete
StEB	Location of flood infrastructure, Cologne City	https://steb-koeln.de/hochwasser-und-ueberflutungsschutz/starkregen-und-sturzfluten/starkregen-und-sturzfluten.jsp
Wupperverband	Location of flood infrastructure, Wupper Basin	https://www.wupperverband.de/unsere-aufgaben/hochwassermanagement

2.3 GIS-analyses of slope, topographic wetness index (TWI) and riparian land use

200 All spatial analysis was carried out in QGIS version 3.44.3. QGIS is an open-source geographic information system. Basin delineation, elevation and slope analyses were carried out using the USGS (2014) and NASA (2013) Digital Elevation Model (DEM). The DEM is a digital elevation topography dataset taken from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) in 2000 and has a 1-arc-second resolution. Slope percentages were extracted using the DEM in Raster Analysis which was reclassified by table via the Toolbox Raster Analysis to generate slope at 6-15%, 16-25%, 25% and greater. The raster was then converted

205 to vector format using the Raster Conversion tool to obtain more display options.

The Topographic Wetness Index (TWI) was calculated using the reprojected and filled DEM (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS], 2014; Weng et al., 2009). The tan of the slopes was calculated in the Processing Toolbox, using the slope, aspect, curvature tool, creating a new layer. The DEM and slope in radians were then used as the input layers to the SAGA Terrain Analysis – Morphometry tool (Slope, Aspect, Curvature), Topographic Wetness Index in the Processing toolbox. The standard method

210 was used to process the TWI layer. TWI values ranged from 4.1 to 16.4. The TWI layer was then further processed to show only TWI cells ≥ 9 (highest 50%) and ≥ 11 (highest 70%) which were polygonised to aid display purposes. The results of the topographic wetness index spatial analysis indicate areas where runoff is more likely to occur due to higher soil moisture based on topographic controls.

Riparian land use maps are based on CORINE landcover maps (BKG, 2021). River lines from Region Köln Bonn (2019) were

215 modified and a buffer zone of 10 m either side were created using the Buffer Geoprocessing tool. A 10 m buffer zone was chosen as a minimum to cover the legislated 5 m of riparian buffer strip zone either side of the river (Umweltbundesamt, 2012). The Vector Intersection tool was then used to create intersections with the CORINE land cover layers (BKG, 2021) clc class 2 (agricultural land use) and clc class 1 (urban land use) to indicate areas where little to no riparian woody vegetation exists in the riparian zone. The lack of woody vegetation was verified using the Google satellite view in QGIS.

220

3 Results


3.1 Geomorphologic-hydrological conditions as a basis for NbS interventions

As an essential basis for NbS interventions, we first consider the geomorphological and hydrological conditions of the water catchment areas and urban areas investigated. Figure 2 illustrates the differences in elevation and slope between the city of Cologne and the Wupper and Erft basins. While Cologne is situated in the Rhine floodplains and on the low-lying Rhine terraces in the Cologne Bay, the city is built across the wider floodplain of the Rhine and in many parts right up to the river. The Wupper Basin has higher topography, and greater prevalence of slopes of 16-25% and higher, and many valleys where the Wupper, Dhünn and other smaller streams flow. The Erft Basin study area, in comparison exhibits lower elevation and few slopes higher than 6% but the river is constricted and canalised, and the soils long subject to cultivation. All of which have implications for the type of flood hazards likely to occur and the suitability of specific NbS.

Cologne is primarily subject to the major flood events of the Rhine, which have included historically devastating events, as well as to pluvial flooding. However, Rhine flood events occur relatively slowly due to the large catchment area and Cologne's location on the lower reaches of the Rhine, so that there is sufficient warning time to enact protective measures. In addition, many flood infrastructures that reduce the risk of flooding, such as mobile walls, have been implemented, particularly in the last few decades. However, due to the high and still increasing level of urban development, which is accompanied by the loss of open soils and ecosystems, there is an increased risk of storm water flooding, as less water is stored in urban soils and green spaces (Gramaglia et al., 2024; Senes et al., 2021).

In contrast the Cologne District areas in both the Erft and the Wupper basins are at the downstream end of higher relief and highly sloping land. For the Rhein-Erft-Kreis (municipality) in the Erft Basin, this means the concentration of fast-moving water flow in a highly straightened and restricted channel. For the Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis (municipality) in the Wupper Basin it means the rise of a very large number of small streams supplied and accelerated by runoff from the slopes and for the city of Leverkusen the confluence of these streams.

As previously mentioned, the differences in landscape and flood hazard also mean differences in suitability for NbS. Areas with low slope such as the city of Cologne and much of the lower Erft Basin in the Cologne District are more suitable for retention/detention basins, secondary channels, floodplain reconnection or meander renaturation (Mubeen et al., 2021). However, they are also subject to restrictions due to more intensive land use, urbanization processes and more fragmented land ownership. Vegetation-based NbS are mostly more suitable in the middle part of the Wupper Basin, with its higher sloping relief, as these provide the required stability to the soils and reduce the volume and velocity of runoff (Cooper et al., 2021). Vegetation selected for this purpose should be drought-tolerant, and native tree species should be given priority for nature conservation reasons. Implementation of NbS at these sites, however, are also subject to restrictions due to factors such as fragmented land ownership and nature conservation areas, while the lower, highly urbanized part of the catchment area with the city of Leverkusen is subject to the same restrictions as in the city of Cologne and the lower Erft area.






Figure 2: (a) Elevation in meters above sea level (M.A.S.L) of the three study regions in the Cologne District; (b) slope classes in the Erft Basin; (c) slope classes in the Wupper Basin. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; NASA, 2013; USGS, 2014. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.

3.2 NbS for Rhine flood mitigation

Floodwater retention and detention, as well as the restoration of floodplain capacities along the Rhine, have been central to flood management efforts by the International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine (ICPR) since the major floods of 1993 and 1995 (ICPR, 2021). The Upper Rhine, upstream of Cologne, currently has a total flood water retention capacity of 157.1 million m³ in the form of hybrid and blue-green retention polders and due to an ongoing dike relocation strategy, the closest fifteen (from Strasbourg) are shown in Figure 3. The addition of the current retention capacity in the city of Cologne brings this to 161.6 million m³. Including the planned retention area Worringer Bruch (29.5 million m³) and others in the Upper

Rhine, flood retention/detention from the Upper Rhine to (and including) Cologne is expected to total around 339.7 million m³ by 2027 (ICPR, 2021).

The extent to which flood infrastructure, such as retention polders, located upstream of Cologne reduce the impacts of flooding in Cologne City are not precisely quantifiable. This is because floods in such large river basins can vary based on rainfall in different tributaries, local channel characteristics and management decisions on the operation of flood reduction infrastructure. While retention/detention basins have a greater local impact on floodwaters, the detention or retention of water upstream has the effect of reducing, to some extent, the flood peak downstream, especially given larger capacities.




Figure 3: NbS for Rhine floods (left) Strasbourg to Mainz (Upper Rhine) and (right) Strasbourg (Upper Rhine) to Cologne City (Lower Rhine). Data sources: BKG, 2021; ICPR, 2021; OpenGeodata. NRW, n.d. Prepared in QGIS 3.6.2

The city of Cologne, founded by the Romans, has a narrow radial layout in its inner, flood-prone urban area, resulting in few
 undeveloped spaces in the city centre. As a result, flood defences in the inner city include a combination of engineered retaining
 walls (mobile), dykes, and pumping stations. NbS are comprised of flood retention polders (one established and one planned),
 which are located in the very south and north of the city due to land availability, and retained floodplains. Together the grey,
 hybrid and NbS measures protect the majority of the city from a flood peak up to 11.3m and up to 11.9m in the north of the
 city (StEB, 2026). These flood heights have a statistical return period of around 100 (HQ 100) and 200 years (HQ 200),
 respectively. Flood retention polders are designed to reduce the flood wave peak so will be activated when floods reach heights
 of 11.3m and 11.7m, respectively, while the retained floodplains allow for smaller floods to spill without greater impact on the
 city. Figure 4 illustrates the NbS in Cologne City that have the primary purpose of protecting the city from Rhine floods.




Figure 4: NbS for Rhine floods in Cologne City. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; OpenGeodata.NRW, n.d.; © OpenStreetMap contributors 2015. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.; StEB, 2025a, 2025b. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.

NbS can vary in regards to the degree of naturalness and with regards to how it is combined with engineered structures, as a result, different NbS can offer varying levels of flood protection and also different co-benefits in form of ecosystem services (Nehren et al. 2023). In Table 2, the NbS are categorised and described in terms of their feature(s), type, degree of naturalness, water retention capacity (cubic meters or hectares) and/or modelled flood peak reduction (if available), co-benefits (ecosystem services) and potential risk to the local environment.

Table 2: NbS for Rhine River flood mitigation Cologne city.

NbS feature	NbS type	Degree of Naturalness	Water retention capacity	Co-benefits in form of ecosystem services ¹	Risks and challenges
Flood retention basin Cologne-Porz-Langel / Niederkassel-Lülsdorf (ICPR, 2021; StEB, 2025a)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Cultural: engineered levees with secondary land use of agriculture	Across an area of 160 ha this retention basin can reduce the flood wave height in Cologne by up to 5 cm	Food production (agricultural land), habitat provision, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation	Water pollution, soil pollution
Planned flood retention basin Worringer Bruch (ICPR, 2021; StEB, 2025b)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Semi-natural: engineered levee with mix of natural wetland, forest and agriculture as secondary land use	Planned flood retention basin (670 ha) will reduce flood height by up to 17 cm and extend the emergency response time by 14 hours	Climate regulation, habitat provision, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Water pollution, soil pollution, carbon emissions
Retained floodplain Langel Auwald (Stadt Köln, n.db; Stadt Köln, 2021)	Blue-green	Near-natural: natural succession riparian vegetation that has been undisturbed from at least 1828	More than 57 ha. Enables spread of up to 2 M m ³ of floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Climate regulation, habitat provision (breeding site for native bird and bat species), carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Limited flood control infrastructure may pose risks during extreme events, sensitive to sudden climate shifts
Retained floodplain Sürther Aue (Stadt Köln, n.db; Stadt Köln, 2021)	Blue-green	Semi-natural: historically used as a port, dredging dump and farmland, now preserved and includes a nature reserve	34.4 ha. Allows spread of up to 0.9 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Climate regulation, habitat provision, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Altered soil profile, legacy contamination from prior port, soil disturbance and compaction
Retained floodplain Rheinaue Worringen-Langel (Stadt Köln, n.db)	Blue-green	Near-natural: grassland, remnants of both softwood and hardwood forests and areas converted to agricultural meadowlands; protected species and an oxbow lake	204 ha. 2.3 M m ³ floodwater spills across this area during HQ10-50 flood.	Climate regulation, habitat provision, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Carbon emissions from wet areas, soil compaction from grazing, habitat fragmentation

Retained floodplain Weiß (Stadt Köln, n.dc)	Blue-green	Semi-natural: used as agricultural land and vineyards since at least 1130; now a mix of agriculture and forest	335 ha. Allows for the spread of up to 3.5 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Food production (agricultural land), habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation	Agricultural runoff, habitat fragmentation, reduced biodiversity, conflicting land uses, invasive species, maintenance burden
Retained floodplain Westhovener Aue ((Archivgruppe Bürgervereinigung Ensen-Westhoven, 2022); Stadt Köln, n.dc; 1995; n.da)	Blue-green	Semi-natural and cultural: mix of parkland, sporting and camping facilities, restored forest and garden allotments	140 ha. Provides for the spread of up to 1 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Food production (agricultural land), habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation	Habitat fragmentation, reduced biodiversity, conflicting land uses, invasive species, maintenance burden
Retained floodplain Poller Wiesen (Stadt Köln, n.dc)	Blue-green	Semi-natural and cultural: mix of green urban areas and grazing	Up to 77 ha. Allows for the spread of up to 1.7 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Habitat provision (semi-natural and cultural land use), climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Unprotected floodplain, land use conflicts, invasive species, limited water retention capacity
Retained floodplains Schneekwald Zündorf (Cityinfo-koeln.de, n.d.; Porz Am Rhein, 2003)	Blue-green	Semi-natural and Cultural: mix of green urban area, recreation facilities and farmland	155 ha. 0.9 M m ³ floodwater spills across the area during HQ10-50 flood.	Habitat provision (semi-natural and cultural land use), climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Unprotected floodplain, land use conflicts, invasive species, soil compaction, limited water retention capacity
Retained floodplains Rheinaue Riehl and Cranach Wäldchen (Brokmeier, n.d.; Brüggermann, 2014)	Blue-green	Semi-natural: mix of successional forest and parklands	70 ha. Allows for the spread of up to 0.6 and 1.8 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Habitat provision (promotes successional forest development and ecological connectivity), climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Altered soil profile, legacy pollutants
Retained floodplain Flittarder Rheinaue (Stadt Köln, n.d-b)	Blue-green	Semi-natural: mix of replanted forest and open grasslands with oxbow lake wetland	180 ha. 2.3 M m ³ floodwater spills here during HQ10-50 flood.	Habitat provision (wetland and oxbow lake), climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Vegetation management challenges, lacks formal flood control structures, mosquito breeding

Retained floodplain Rheinaue Langel- Merkenich (Stadt Köln, n.d-b)	Blue-green	Near-natural: succession fragments with softwood/hardwood biotopes	460 ha. Allows for the spread of up to 3.5 M m ³ floodwater during HQ10-50 flood.	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Maintenance burden, limited infrastructure, sensitive to sudden climate shifts
---	------------	--	---	---	---

¹ The catalogue includes important provisioning, regulatory and cultural services in accordance with the descriptions of the measures, but does not claim to be exhaustive

3.3 NbS for stormwater inundation mitigation in the city of Cologne

310 The StEB (2025c) are piloting “multifunctional retention areas” for stormwater retention, which include the use of a park for stormwater retention and installation of rainwater storage underneath open spaces including that of a car park and public square, to contain stormwater. The two pilot areas have been implemented in Eil-Süd in the Southeast of the city. The Stadt Koeln (2023) strategy is to ensure conservation of the existing green areas in the green-belt/green corridors concept in which two concentric green area bands circle the city, and where possible complete the green-belt in areas of minimum coverage. On

315 a broad scale these green rings around the city act to soak-up excess rain and stormwater. These measures are the starting point for a larger program for climate change adaptation in the city of Cologne which also includes modifications to grey infrastructure to hold more stormwater runoff and support for unsealing and green roof installation which also play a role in the prevention of stormwater flooding at the local scale (StEB, 2025c).

Figure 5 shows the location of NbS that can reduce the impacts of stormwater overflow and flash floods. These NbS include

320 existing forests, grasslands, parks and gardens, and also the planned extension of the green belt and pilot multifunctional retention areas (Table 3). The figure also shows the potential for unsealing, green roofs and further expansion of the green belt/green corridor concept due to the concentration and extent of impermeable land cover, especially in central and southeast Cologne.

325




Figure 5: NbS for stormwater floods in Cologne City. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Copernicus,2018; Diva-GIS, n.d.; © OpenStreetMap contributors 2015. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.; StEB, 2025, Stadt Koeln, 2023. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.

330

Table 3: NbS for pluvial/stormwater flood mitigation in Cologne City.

NbS feature	NbS type	Degree of Naturalness	Water retention and infiltration area	Co-benefits in form of ecosystem services ¹	Risks and challenges
Existing forest and natural grassland area	Green	Natural	Up to 10,900 ha	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Wildfire, habitat fragmentation, maintenance burden
Existing parks & gardens (Green belt/ corridors)	Green	Semi-natural to cultural: constructed but areas varying between cultivated grassed, maintained and non-maintained trees and areas set aside for nature	Up to 4,279 ha	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Habitat fragmentation, maintenance burden, land use conflicts, invasive species
Planned extension to green belt	Green	Semi-natural to cultural:	32 ha	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation, landscape aesthetics	Habitat fragmentation, maintenance burden, land use conflicts, invasive species
Pilot rainwater retention basin Festwiese Eil	Hybrid (grey-green)	Semi-natural to cultural: areas vary between parks, parking lots and public squares	Up to 0.6 ha	Recreation, landscape aesthetics (where green areas are retained)	Limited flood control infrastructure may pose risks during extreme events, pollution runoff and concentration, risks for unaware residents during flooding

¹ The catalogue includes important provisioning, regulatory and cultural services in accordance with the descriptions of the measures, but does not claim to be exhaustive. The NbS features are based on Masterplan Stadtgruen, 2023

335 **3.4 NbS for flood mitigation in the Wupper Basin**

NbS in the Cologne District part of the Wupper catchment include small retention basins, two existing and one planned and sections of renatured stream, one existing and several sites identified for potential restoration and renaturation. Many areas of natural riparian vegetation in the catchment are protected and these, as well as the scattered broadleaf forests act as natural NbS retaining water in the landscape and reducing rainfall runoff. Figure 6 shows the location of existing and planned NbS in the city of Leverkusen and the Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis.

Most of the retention basins across the wider catchment have a limited capacity and are located on the Wupper and its tributaries in the upper reaches of the catchment area outside the Cologne district, e.g., in the municipalities of Wuppertal and Wermelskirchen. Small retention basins in these locations are useful for local urban areas but would have little impact on the downstream locations such as Leverkusen and Solingen (International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine [ICPR], 2020). Several large dams are located in the upper reaches of the basin and on the Dhünn River. While these are grey or

engineered infrastructure used for water supply and drinking water supplies, some have a large capacity such as the Great Dhünn Dam and are also used to reduce the impact of flooding on downstream locations such as Leverkusen. These dams also provide environmental releases into the river when water is low to maintain river ecology (Wupperverband, n.dc). Within the administrative district of Cologne, Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis and in the city of Leverkusen, there are a total of three nature-based retention basins (existing and planned) and one restored river section. The existing and planned NbS are illustrated in Figure 6 and described and categorised in Table 4.




Figure 6: Flood NbS and water retaining landscapes in Leverkusen and Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; OpenGeodata. NRW, n.d.; © OpenStreetMap contributors 2015. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.; Stadt Leverkusen, 2025; USGS, 2014; Wupperverband, n.da, n.db. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2

Table 4: NbS for river flood mitigation in the Wupper Basin.

NBS feature	NbS type	Degree of naturalness	Water retention capacity	Co-benefits in form of ecosystem services ¹	Risks and challenges
Flood retention basin Ophovener Weiher (Leverkusen) (Wupperverband, 2022)	Hybrid (blue & grey)	Cultural (recreational green space with engineered retention)	Year-round water retention up to 30,000 m ³ ; expansion planned to enhance flood and operational capacity	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation (parkland), landscape aesthetics	Limited ecological function; infrastructure dependence; space constraints for expansion
Planned flood retention basin Diepantal (Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis) (Wupperverband, 2022; 2023)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Semi-natural (mix of planned naturalization with habitat creation)	Floodwater capacity not disclosed. Conversion from a dam into a flood retention basin with renatured stream and habitat for local species	Habitat provision, climate regulation, soil formation, carbon sequestration, stream connectivity	Uncertain ecological success, construction-phase disturbance, delayed implementation
Planned flood retention basin Hasensprung (Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis) (Wupperverband, 2022)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Cultural (mix of existing grassed strip and planned retention adaptation)	Floodwater capacity not disclosed. Planned designation of low-lying area with pond as formal flood retention basin	Potential for integrated green infrastructure, landscape enhancement, local flood control	May offer limited capacity without a redesign; risk of underperformance
Renatured river section Dhünn River (Leverkusen) (Wupperverband, 2004)	Blue-green, implemented for WFD	Near-natural (former channelized section with restored meanders)	Provides natural water storage and potential for slowing of floodwaters; limited impact on flood risk due to small scale	Aquatic habitat restoration, riparian vegetation recovery, biodiversity refuge, improves habitat quality and supports riparian regeneration;	Minimal flood protection value, potential for unmanaged vegetation spread

¹ The catalogue includes important provisioning, regulatory and cultural services in accordance with the descriptions of the measures, but does not claim to be exhaustive.

365 In addition to the already restored river section, the Wupperverband is also reportedly planning to restore and renaturalize the floodplain connectivity in certain sections of the Wupper and some of its tributaries. A number of these are within the Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis and Leverkusen regions. This includes the Wupper from Auer Kotten to behind Untenruden in Solingen (b. in Figure 5) and the Wupper below Opladen (c. in Figure 6). The sections of the Bornheimer Bach / Großhamberg at Burscheid (d. in Figure 6) and the Leysiefen in Leichlingen (e. in Figure 6) are also marked for restoration and renaturation

370 (Wupperverband, n.db). Such measures can work to increase the area available for the river to spill during floods, enable runoff collection for dry periods and increase habitat and species diversity.

During the heavy rainfall event in July 2021, inundation caused by the Wupper and the Wiembach, a stream that flows into the Wupper in Opladen, resulted in major property damage in the Leverkusen district of Opladen (Stadt Leverkusen, 2025). The city of Leverkusen is currently working on a feasibility study to identify possible blue, green and grey infrastructures to

375 reduce the impact of flooding and increase natural areas and has identified two potential sites. The first area Pintsch-Oelgelaende (4. in Figure 6) located at the conjunction of the Wiembach and the Oelbach, was identified for a retention basin

(although due to the site being a nature reserve and required removal of trees and potential for contamination from the soil, this is unlikely to be developed). The second potential is for the widening of the Wiembach Allee (f. in Figure 6), which would lower the flow by up to 17cm in the event of a HQ 100 flood, according to Stadt Leverkusen, however, this would also mean that around 300 trees would be removed (Stadt Leverkusen, 2025).

3.5 NbS for flood mitigation in the Erft Basin

Compared to the Wupper Basin, there is little remaining natural vegetation along the Erft waterways with only small fragments of remaining and of protected riparian vegetation. However, several small sections of the Erft River and its tributaries have been restored and re-natured, where bank protection and levees have been removed and meanders or instream islands have been recreated. Renaturing acts to increase the ecological status of the waterways and to provide natural water retention by slowing the flow of the river and providing the space for water storage in the floodplains and wetlands. Figure 7 shows the location of existing and planned NbS for floods in Rhein-Erft-Kreis, and Table 5 provides a brief characterization of the interventions.




Figure 7: Flood NbS and water retaining landscapes in Rhein-Erft-Kreis. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; Erftverband, n.da, n.db; OpenGeodata. NRW, n.d.; © OpenStreetMap contributors 2015. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.; NASA, 2013. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2

Table 5: NbS for river flood mitigation in the Erft.


NbS feature	NbS type	Degree of naturalness	Water retention capacity	Co-benefits in form of ecosystem services ¹	Risks and challenges
Flood retention basin Horcheim (Erftverband, 2022)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Cultural (agriculture and flood control infrastructure)	Holds 1.072 million m ³ of floodwater with controlled release on the Erft River	Food production (agricultural land), habitat provision, soil formation, carbon sequestration, recreation	Ecological limitations, land-use conflict during flood events
Flood retention basin Niederberg (Erftverband, 2022; 2006)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Semi-natural (mix of pastureland, riparian planting)	Contains up to 0.930 million m ³ capacity for floodwater to protect Erftstadt	Riparian habitat creation, pastureland use, minor wetland formation	Seasonal ecological function, limited biodiversity value outside flood events
Flood retention basin Mödrath (Erftverband, 2022; 2024; 2009)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Semi-natural (mix of former mine, forested green space and pasture)	Holds 1.44 million m ³ of floodwater to protect the city of Bergheim from flooding	Recreational and mixed land use (green space, pasture), biodiversity support, forest habitat	Habitat fragmentation, legacy land disturbance
Flood retention basin Garsdorf (Erftverband, 2022; 2024; 2008)	Hybrid (blue-green & grey)	Semi-natural former coal mining site and now a series of small lakes and woodland	Protects the city of Bedburg from flooding through storage capacity of 1.032 million m ³	Recreational and mixed land use (green space, pasture), biodiversity support, forest habitat	Habitat fragmentation, legacy land disturbance
Renatured river section An der Weißen Burg (Erftverband, 2023)	Blue-green	Semi-natural (renatured meanders and floodplain reconnection surrounded by pastureland)	Extension of the river flow path to 170 m and added 1.2 ha of connected floodplain,	Flow velocity reduction, aquatic habitat,	Limited capacity for major floods, sediment buildup, vegetation overgrowth
Renatured river section Vogelwäldchen (Erftverband, 2021)	Blue-green, implemented for WFD	Near-natural (meander recreation and floodplain reconnection with beginnings of natural vegetation succession)	1.3 km of meanders added and 25 ha floodplain reconnected, can act to store water naturally and potentially slow the flow of floodwater	Habitat diversity, flow velocity reduction, aquatic habitat	Limited capacity for major floods, sediment buildup, vegetation overgrowth, invasive species
Renatured river section Grevenbroich-Frimmersdorf (Grevenbroich) (Erftverband, 2018)	Blue-green, implemented for WFD	Near-natural (renatured bank structures provide diverse flow and habitat via islands and groynes)	May slow the flow of floodwaters as bank was protection removed and islands and groynes added to flow diversify	Flow diversity, improved aquatic and riparian ecosystems	Maintenance needs for dynamic features; variable sedimentation
Planned renaturation of river section Gymnich (Erftverband, 2018)	Blue-green, implemented for WFD	Semi-natural (planned renaturation of meanders and connection with floodplain with highly urban surrounds)	Extension of the flow of the river by 3 km to 5.5km creates water storage and may slow floodwaters	Habitat diversity, flow velocity reduction, aquatic habitat	Limited capacity for floods, sediment buildup, vegetation overgrowth

¹ The catalogue includes important provisioning, regulatory and cultural services in accordance with the descriptions of the measures, but does not claim to be exhaustive.

3.6 Identification of additional sites for NbS interventions in the Erft and Wupper basins

Based on landscape GIS analysis (see Figures 2a, b & c) and the hazard reduction needs identified by the outcomes of the July 2021 floods, sites in the Erft and Wupper basins are deemed suitable for a broad range of additional NbS interventions. The twin hazards of pluvial and fluvial flooding were features of the July 2021 floods in Germany (Fekete & Sandholz, 2021; Kron et al., 2022). These floods were the result of the high precipitation amounts from the stationary storm Bernd, and runoff induced by antecedent soil moisture that was moderate, but high enough to lead to rapid runoff which was intensified in the highly sloping areas (Mohr et al., 2023).

Depending on the existing land cover, these sites would be suitable for a range of NbS including unsealing with permeable pavements, increasing water retention with vegetated swales, infiltration trenches, rain gardens, filter strips and various rainwater storage NbS. Indicators, land use/ land cover and suitable NbS are listed in Table 6.






Figure 8: Sites of high TWI on agricultural and urban land affecting (a) Opladen and (b) Schlebusch. Data sources: BKG, 2021; Diva-GIS, n.d.; NASA, 2013; USGS, 2014. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.


In the Wupper Basin as discussed above, the dominating feature is slope. The topographic wetness index (TWI) is used to determine soil moisture variation based on topographic controls for applications including prediction of forest plant species composition and response to drought, and the identification of sites optimal for urban flood reduction NbS (Allende-Prieto et al., 2024; Kopecký et al., 2021). Areas of high TWI are found on slopes parallel to the waterways across both agricultural and urban landscapes within the Wupper study area. Across Leverkusen and Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis in the Wupper Basin, many small waterways rise bringing a high flood risk to the settlements after heavy rainfall. The sites shown as red boxes in Figure 8a and 8b indicate suitability for vegetation based NbS on agricultural land. This includes buffer strips, hedgerows and tree rows (planted across the slope) and also a range of agricultural practices such as no till, reduced or strip tillage and reduced grazing pressure that act to increase soil water retention and reduce rainfall runoff (Borin et al., 2010; Laufer et al. 2016; Madarász et al., 2021; Marshall et al., 2014; Meijles et al., 2015; Nerlich et al., 2013). Sites indicated by the purple boxes are location of high TWI in urban areas and as such are suitable for NbS measures such as swales, vegetated ditches, infiltration

trenches, rain gardens, permeable pavements, and others, depending on the specific urban land use. TWI is calculated to demonstrate the initial spatial analysis step within the sequence of steps required to design placement of NbS interventions.

430 Further analysis, including field-based scoping studies is required prior to actual intervention design and implementation.

In the Erft Basin, another first step analysis was carried out based on the plain landscape, land use and the soil type. In this landscape the risk of soil erosion is high due to the soil type and fast floods moving through the plains from the higher elevations in the south of the basin. Loess soils are prolific through the Erft Basin (Lehmkuhl et al., 2018), much of which is also cleared for agriculture and urban uses. Riparian vegetation supports riverbank cohesion against erosion, provides natural

435 runoff reduction and also provides roughness to slow overbank flows during flooding (Cooper et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2021). Figure 9 illustrates the Erft River below the meander restoration site of Gymnich to the junction of the Erft and Swist rivers in the very south of Rhein-Erft-Kreis.



440 **Figure 9: Riparian zones on the Erft devoid of woody vegetation & measure of river sinuosity; Data sources: BKG, 2021; OpenGeodata. NRW, n.d; © OpenStreetMap contributors 2015. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0. Processed in QGIS 3.6.2.**

445 Analysis of riparian vegetation, shown with the white buffer line, indicates that this stretch of river is lacking substantial woody
riparian vegetation. This lack of riparian vegetation means that the river bank and beyond are vulnerable to erosion, especially
because the soils (as shown in Figure 9) are formed from highly fertile, but erodible loess sediments. Loess soils in Germany
are derived from fine-grained aeolian deposited sediments, which are highly vulnerable to structure loss and erosion (FAO,
2015; Lehmkuhl et al., 2018). Furthermore, the sinuosity of the river from Gymnich to Blessem and from Blessem to Bliesheim
450 is very low at 1.11 and 1.05 respectively, this indicates that these river stretches are not meandering ($S \leq 1.05$) or even mildly
sinuous ($S > 1.3$) according to the river sinuosity index (Hohensinner et al., 2018). This analysis supports further efforts of
meander renaturation at locations along this stretch that are suitable with regards to land ownership and zoning.

4. Discussion

The Rhine, an important historical waterway in Western Europe, has long posed a flood risk to the communities along its
455 banks, including the administrative district of Cologne with the metropolis of Cologne and several other large cities, such as
Bonn and Leverkusen. Throughout history, Cologne has experienced several severe floods, in which are documented from the
Middle Ages and more recently in 1993 and 1995, when floodwaters reached critical levels and caused extensive damage. In
response to these recurring threats, comprehensive flood protection measures have been implemented in the region to mitigate
potential impacts. The city of Cologne has developed a robust flood protection system that includes elevated flood walls,
460 mobile barriers, pumping stations, and improved drainage systems. In addition, outside the densely built-up inner city, natural
floodplains are also being used and old river branches reactivated and used as nature-based solutions (NbS) or hybrids
combined with engineered infrastructure.

NbS and hybrid NbS offer a promising approach to enhancing flood resilience by utilizing natural processes and ecosystem
services (Debele et al., 2023). In the city of Cologne, for example, the Westhovener Aue floodplain in the south of Cologne
465 not only helps to reduce flooding, but also promotes biodiversity and offers recreational opportunities (Archivgruppe
Bürgervereinigung Ensen-Westhoven, 2022). This will also be the case with the Worringer Bruch project currently under
construction in the north of Cologne (StEB, 2025b). These multifunctional landscapes underscore the importance of NbS in
balancing flood management with ecosystem and social benefits. In the Netherlands, similar measures have been successfully
implemented along the Waal and Meuse rivers as part of the Room for the River Project (Dutch Water Sector, 2019), and other
470 European countries, such as the United Kingdom with the Thames Estuary 2100 Plan (UK Government, 2019) are also taking
steps to combine flood protection with nature conservation and recreation.

While the city of Cologne is protected for Rhine floods up to a 1 in 200-year statistical return period thanks to a combination
of engineered infrastructure, NbS, early warning systems, and evacuation plans, the flood disaster of summer 2021 showed
that this was not the case in other parts of the Cologne administrative district. Although the greatest loss of life and material

475 damage occurred in the Ahr Valley in the state of Rhineland-Palatinate south of the study area, the Erft and Wupper river
basins in the Cologne administrative district, were also severely affected (Fekete & Sandholz, 2021; Mohr, et al., 2023 Neitzke
et al., 2025). This was also the case for the city of Leverkusen, which lies at the confluence of the Wupper and the Rhine, as
well as smaller streams and rivers that flow into the Wupper, and was therefore severely affected by flooding. Our
investigations show that the smaller tributary catchments of the Erft and Wupper to the west and east of Cologne city provide
480 different challenges. Heavy rainfall over short time periods can overwhelm natural and man-made drainage in these
catchments, producing highly damaging, fast-moving floods. River floods in the smaller tributary catchments of the Wupper
and Erft operate on a different scale and time-frame to the multi-landscape traversing Rhine River. As such these river systems
are subject to fast floods as occurred in July 2021 and even flash floods (see Figure 1), which are often the result of climate
change enhanced convective rainfall (Tradowsky et al., 2023), and high risk for inhabitants based on exposure or vulnerability.
485 Both the Wupper and the Erft basins contain a large percentage of agricultural lands on the periphery of the rivers and streams,
and small cities along the waterways, which provide a range of challenges but also opportunities in particular for runoff
reduction. In terms of geomorphology however, the two basins are quite different. With some simple GIS analysis of
geomorphology, streamflow and runoff controls, we illustrate how additional NbS interventions can be designed. In the
Wupper Basin slope characteristics are used to calculate the topographic wetness index (TWI). The TWI indicates preferential
490 flow paths for runoff based on topography, and illustrates suitable sites for NbS. In the Erft Basin the status of river sinuosity
and riparian zone land use is analysed to inform NbS design. While we do not suggest that they are the only NbS suitable for
flood mitigation, our analyses based on landscape and land use characteristics suggest that slope revegetation in the form of
buffer strips, hedgerows, tree rows are suitable in the Wupper Basin while meander renaturation and riparian zone revegetation
would be highly suited in the analysed section of the Erft Basin.
495 Importantly, this mapping and cataloguing method not only provides an overview of existing and planned NbS, but also
represents a forward-looking tool that can be adapted to changing conditions – a crucial feature given the increasing impact of
climate change. Looking at climate models and the study area located in western Germany, it can be assumed that extreme
climatic events with stationary heavy rainfall events in summer and longer periods of drought will continue to increase
(Tradowsky et al., 2023), which will further increase the risk of rapidly forming floods and even flash floods in the side valleys
500 of the Rhine (Laux et al., 2025). The risk is exacerbated by the fact that, due to the droughts, bark beetle infections and wind
throws of recent years, many conifers, especially spruce (*Picea abies*) and, in some cases also deciduous trees have died, and
young secondary forests are currently forming in many places (Ministerium für Landwirtschaft und Verbraucherschutz des
Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen, 2024). As a result, some slopes are only sparsely vegetated and are susceptible to erosion and
rapid slope runoff. In addition, the soil has become crusted after the long summer droughts, which reduces infiltration capacity
505 and increases slope runoff (Doerr et al., 2000).
Considering these rapid climatic and ecological changes, there is a need for more integrated flood protection measures at the
landscape level, which include not only river floodplains but also hillside areas in flood protection (Stein et al., 2024), where

measures like afforestation, terracing and soil stabilization could significantly reduce runoff and delay flood peaks. The current concentration of NbS in floodplain areas within the Cologne District highlights a prevalent focus on managing the floodplains of major rivers and their tributaries. These interventions, such as floodplain restoration, retention basins, and river restoration are vital for mitigating large-scale riverine flood events by leveraging the natural capacity of floodplains to absorb excess water and reduce flood peaks. However, they neglect flood risks associated with runoff and flash floods from larger slopes, which pose a high risk, particularly in the Wupper catchment area.

Floodplains naturally attract attention due to their visibility and historical impact on urban centers like Cologne. These areas are traditionally prone to significant flooding events, making them logical targets for initial flood mitigation efforts. Furthermore, floodplains provide immediate gains in flood management through interventions that can often coincide with existing land use, cultivated agricultural areas, or protected natural habitats. But gaining and retaining floodplains is also often in conflict with other demands for urban development or agriculture. For example, creating a flood retention area south of Cologne after the floods in 1993 and 1995, at an area called Langelier Bogen, resulted in conflicts over compensation for farmers that took some time to solve (StEB, 2024a). Also, regarding recent planning of a new Rhine crossing, nature-related interests of protection of species often clashes with planning or agricultural use (BUND, 2016). This is another argument to also consider other types of NbS solutions rather than floodplains. The systematic evaluation and implementation of NbS in slope areas could, therefore, represent a significant enhancement of regional comprehensive flood risk management.

Slope areas are critical in the hydrological cycle, particularly in triggering rapid runoff and flash floods. When heavy rainfall events occur—often exacerbated by climate change—the swift movement of water down slopes can lead to severe flash floods and contribute substantially to flooding in downstream areas. Implementing NbS in slope areas, has therefore the potential to drastically reduce the speed and volume of runoff (Santos, 2025; Xiong et al., 2018). These interventions could enhance soil stability and increase water infiltration, thus mitigating erosion and reducing the frequency and impact of flash flooding. Additionally, they can improve the overall landscape resilience to climate impacts including drought events, supporting biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and soil fertility.

The timeline for implementing NbS measures presents both opportunities and challenges in relation to increasing flood risks. Many soil- and vegetation-based measures require a significant amount of time – often decades – to develop their full hydrological and ecological function (Ruangpan et al., 2020). This long maturation period raises important questions about whether NbS can provide effective protection ‘in time’ to address current and near-future flood risks exacerbated by climate change. Our analysis identifies a range of NbS measures with varying timeframes for effectiveness. Quick-win strategies such as the construction of multifunctional retention basins, urban green infrastructure projects such as green roofs and permeable paving, and the reconnection of floodplains can be implemented within short to medium timeframes and lead to relatively rapid measurable reductions in flood peaks. In contrast, measures such as afforestation of slopes, agroforestry systems or comprehensive soil remediation require longer periods of time to be fully implemented, but offer significant long-term benefits, including carbon sequestration, improved biodiversity and increased resilience to droughts (Keesstra et al., 2018). The creation

of a balanced portfolio combining immediate to medium-term measures with strategic long-term remediation is therefore essential in order to effectively adapt flood risk management to the increasing frequency and severity of hydrological extremes. NbS are not immune to vulnerability themselves. Extreme flood and drought events can cause physical damage to vegetation, destabilise soil structures and impair the functionality of NbS, potentially reducing their effectiveness during and immediately after such events. For example, in riparian forests or restored wetlands, tree uprooting or sediment deposition may alter flow paths, while vegetated buffer zones may be temporarily damaged or buried by flood debris. Recovery times vary considerably – some NbS habitats and functions can recover naturally over several years or decades, while others can recover more quickly depending on species composition and site conditions (Vicarelli et al., 2024). These temporal dynamics of NbS resilience have important implications for the management of flood risk continuity. Damage or loss of function during an event can increase vulnerability to subsequent floods if maintenance or restoration is delayed. The cumulative contribution of NbS in a catchment therefore depends not only on spatial distribution, but also on the capacity for rapid recovery and adaptive management. Our spatial catalogue supports the identification of critical locations where redundancy and patch connectivity can improve collective resilience, mitigate the impact of local damage, and promote long-term system stability through detailed representation of clusters and networks of NbS.

Ecological and social considerations also influence the function and acceptance of NbS. Water retention measures such as ponds, wetlands and slow-flow zones can inadvertently create conditions that favour the establishment of invasive species or the proliferation of mosquitoes, posing challenges for biodiversity conservation and public health (Hassall, 2014; Sinclair et al., 2020). These risks require careful planning to maintain hydrological connectivity, prevent stagnation and promote habitat heterogeneity. For example, flow-through systems, regular flushing mechanisms and diverse planting with native plants help to control the spread of invasive species and reduce mosquito habitats (Hanford et al., 2020). Our mapping of green-blue infrastructure zones identifies critical areas where such ecological considerations should be prioritised, enabling planners to incorporate pest and invasive species management into their flood risk mitigation designs. In this way, NbS can maintain their multifunctionality while improving ecosystem services, public health and flood resilience.

NbS offer multifunctional benefits that go far beyond flood protection and include adaptation to droughts, improvement of water quality, preservation of biodiversity, recreational opportunities and compliance with environmental regulations such as the European Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC; European Parliament and Council, 2000), Natura 2000 habitat protection measures under the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC; Council of the European Communities, 1992) (Regulation (EU) 2024/1991; European Parliament and Council, 2024). For example, reconnected riparian corridors improve aquatic habitat continuity and water quality, while reforestation of upland areas supports carbon sequestration and soil stabilization. These overlapping functions create synergies that are critical for holistic risk management and sustainable landscape stewardship. The systematic spatial assessment and cataloguing presented here provides planners and policymakers with a basis for explicitly evaluating trade-offs and co-benefits, enabling NbS strategies that balance flood protection objectives with ecological and social goals.

Table 6: Potential NbS interventions to decrease flood risk at catchment scale

Suitable intervention	Landscape typology	Paper or literature	Potential NbS	River section
Reforestation / afforestation	Terrestrial (forest upland)	Geng et al. (2024); Panagos et al. (2015); Sudmeier-Rieux et al. (2021)	Reduces slope runoff and erosion; increases infiltration and forest ecosystem resilience	Upper
Contour farming / strip cropping	Agroecosystem (sloping fields)	Panagos et al. (2015); Albrecht & Hartmann (2021)	Slows surface runoff; conserves soil and improves infiltration on slopes	Upper / Middle
Vegetated buffer strips	Agroecosystem (field edges)	Kretz et al. (2021); Nehren et al. (2023)	Intercepts slope runoff; filters sediments; protects streambanks	Upper / Middle
Grassed swales / vegetated ditches	Built-environment (fringe)	Zölch et al. (2017); Mustafa et al. (2018)	Manages concentrated runoff on slopes near settlements; improves percolation	Middle
Terracing	Agroecosystem (steep farmland)	Sudmeier-Rieux et al. (2021); Alobid et al. (2024)	Prevents slope erosion; slows runoff velocity; retains water for crops	Upper
Agroforestry systems	Agroecosystem (mixed cropland)	Nehren et al. (2023)	Reduces slope runoff; promotes biodiversity and carbon sinks on agricultural land	Upper / Middle
Infiltration trenches / micro-basins	Built-environment (fringe)	Mustafa et al. (2018);	Captures flash runoff from adjacent slopes; delays and reduces flood peaks	Middle / Lower
Green roofs & permeable pavements	Built-environment (urban slopes)	Zölch et al. (2018); Eingrüber et al. (2022)	Reduces impervious runoff in hilly urban zones; promotes urban infiltration	Lower
Woody debris / check dams	Riverine (gullies / ravines)	Sudmeier-Rieux et al. (2021); Panagos et al. (2015)	Slows runoff from forested slopes; traps sediment before reaching floodplains	Upper
Grassland restoration	Terrestrial (degraded pasture)	Nehren et al. (2023); Cao et al. (2023)	Rebuilds soil sponge function on slopes; slows runoff and reduces erosion	Upper

While NbS provide essential benefits, the study highlights several challenges in their implementation. Land use constraints, fragmented ownership, and the perception of NbS as secondary to grey infrastructure pose significant hurdles. Additionally, conflicts with existing forestry and agricultural practices can arise, as these sectors may prioritize economic yields over ecological benefits (Henle et al., 2008). Administrative issues and responsibilities in water management further complicate the adoption of NbS, as jurisdictional overlaps and fragmented governance can impede cohesive action (Janssen, 2008; Pahl-Wostl, 2015; Kirshke et al., 2017). As noted, areas suitable for intervention are often limited by existing land use, legal

frameworks, and the need for stakeholder consensus. Addressing these challenges requires integrated planning approaches that consider land-use compatibilities, resolve conflicts, and foster cooperation among diverse stakeholders.

585 Given the projected increase in extreme weather events due to climate change, expanding the scope and scale of NbS in the Cologne District is imperative. This study provides a foundation for identifying potential areas for new interventions, particularly in the Wupper and Erft basins, where flash floods pose significant risks. Enhancing NbS upstream, especially in slope areas, could complement existing downstream efforts and provide a holistic approach to flood risk reduction. To advance this, future research could focus on the long-term monitoring and quantification of the effectiveness of NbS interventions.

590 Moreover, developing tools for modelling and predicting flood events with NbS integration would support adaptive management strategies, allowing for real-time adjustments to intervention plans.

5. Conclusions

The integration of Nature-Based Solutions (NbS) for enhanced flood resilience in the Cologne District exemplifies a proactive response to the escalating challenges of climate change-induced flooding. This study has identified and mapped existing and potential NbS interventions, highlighting the importance of reconnecting floodplains, enhancing riverine habitats, and implementing green-blue infrastructures to mitigate flood risks. While the use of engineered, nature-based and hybrid solutions together with early warning systems has provided a robust framework for flood protection, the increasing frequency of extreme weather events necessitates a broader and more integrated approach. By focusing on the geomorphological and hydrological dynamics of the region, particularly in areas prone to rapid runoff and erosion, this research underscores the need for strategic NbS implementations on slopes and upstream areas. These efforts not only aim to reduce flood peaks and improve water retention but also contribute to biodiversity conservation and provisioning ecosystem services.

600

The varied implementation of NbS across different administrative and catchment levels, involving numerous stakeholders, highlights the absence of a unified framework and standard NbS terminology, complicating comprehensive planning and evaluation. While the use of open GIS tools and publicly available data offers the advantage of transferability, limitations persist in data resolution and accessibility. A defining feature of this case study is the diverse mix of NbS employed, set against a backdrop of mixed flood and drought hazards and varying landscape types. Germany's cultural readiness for green solutions is evident; however, issues such as land ownership conflicts and competing demands from agriculture, traffic, and urban development remain significant barriers. To advance flood resilience, a strategic shift toward comprehensive, catchment-wide approaches is essential. This includes greater emphasis on addressing flash floods and the synergistic impacts of drought, alongside enhanced cooperation and clarity among all parties involved in NbS deployment.

610

Funding

This research was funded by Project No. 101121210 “City Nature-Based Solutions Integration to Local Urban Infrastructure Protection for a Climate Resilient Society” (NBSINFRA). Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Author contributions

UN contributed to the conceptualization of the study, conducted the literature review, and took the lead on writing the manuscript. ACB contributed to the literature review, writing, and performed GIS processing. PSQ assisted with the literature review and prepared the tables. AF carried out the internal review and made revisions and adjustments to the text.

References

- Alobid, M., Chellai, F., & Szűcs, I. (2024). Trends and Drivers of Flood Occurrence in Germany: A Time Series Analysis of Temperature, Precipitation, and River Discharge. *Water*, 16(18), 2589. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w16182589>
- Archivgruppe Bürgervereinigung Ensen-Westhoven. (2022). *Die Westhovener Aue – schon immer Überschwemmungsgebiet*. <https://porzerleben.de/umwelt/38745-die-westhovener-aue-schon-immer-ueberschwemmungsgebiet>
- Barendrecht, M. H., Matanó, A., Mendoza, H., Weesie, R., Rohse, M., Koehler, J., Ruiter, M. de, Garcia, M., Mazzoleni, M., Aerts, J. C. J. H., Ward, P. J., Di Baldassarre, G., Day, R., & van Loon, A. F. (2024). Exploring drought-to-flood interactions and dynamics: A global case review. *WIREs Water*, 11(4), Article e1726. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1726>
- Borin, M., Passoni, M., Thiene, M., & Tempesta, T. (2010). Multiple functions of buffer strips in farming areas. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 32(1), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2009.05.003>
- Bosseler, B., Salomon, M., Schlüter, M., & Rubinato, M. (2021). Living with Urban Flooding: A Continuous Learning Process for Local Municipalities and Lessons Learnt from the 2021 Events in Germany. *Water*, 13(19), 2769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192769>
- BUND. (2016). *Machbarkeitsstudie: Rheinquerung Wesseling/Langel ist nicht umsetzbar*. BUND LV Nordrhein-Westfalen. https://www.bund-nrw.de/fileadmin/nrw/dokumente/Mobilität/Bundesverkehrswegeplan/2016_11_03_Machbarkeitsstudie_Rheinbruecke_Wesseling.pdf
- Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie (BKG. (2021). *CORINE Land Cover 5 ha, Stand 2018*. <https://gdz.bkg.bund.de/index.php/default/corine-land-cover-5-ha-stand-2018-clc5-2018.html>
- Cooper, M. M. D., Patil, S. D., Nisbet, T. R., Thomas, H., Smith, A. R., & McDonald, M. A. (2021). Role of forested land for natural flood management in the UK: A review. *WIREs Water*, 8(5), Article e1541. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1541>

- Council of the European Communities. (1992). *Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (Habitats Directive)*. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 206, 7–50. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A31992L0043>
- Disse, M., & Engel, H. (2001). Flood Events in the Rhine Basin: Genesis, Influences and Mitigation. *Natural Hazards*, 23(2-3), 271–290. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011142402374>
- Doerr, S. H., Shakesby, R. A., & Walsh, R.P.D. (2000). Soil water repellency: its causes, characteristics and hydro-geomorphological significance. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 51(1-4), 33–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252\(00\)00011-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(00)00011-8)
- Doswald, N. S. Janzen, U. Nehren, et al. (2021): Words into action: Nature-based solutions for disaster risk reduction. UN Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Engaging for resilience in support of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030.
- Dutch Water Sector. (2019). *Room for the River Programme*. Rijkswaterstaat. <https://www.rijkswaterstaat.nl/en/projects/iconic-structures/room-for-the-river>
- Eingrüber, N., Burdová, N., Dlugosz, V., Domm, A., Bongartz, M., & Nehren, U. (2026). Hotspots in urban areas: A novel approach to assess the heat mitigation potential of nature-based solutions using microclimate modelling. *Urban Climate*, 65(19), 102814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2026.102814>
- European Parliament and Council. (2000). *Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (Water Framework Directive)*. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 327, 1–73. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32000L0060>
- European Parliament and Council. (2024). *Regulation (EU) 2024/1991 on nature restoration*. Official Journal of the European Union. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32024R1991>
- Fekete, A., & Nehren, U. (2023). Assessment of social vulnerability to forest fire and hazardous facilities in Germany. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 87, 103562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2023.103562>
- Fekete, A. & U. Nehren (2024): Climate change increased risk of forest fire, winter storm and technical failure risks related to power transmission lines – a spatial GIS risk assessment at Cologne district, Germany. *Progress in Disaster Science*, im Review; Ref.: Ms. No. PDISAS-D-24-00079
- Fekete, A., & Sandholz, S. (2021). Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure? Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021. *Water*, 13(21), 3016. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13213016>
- Feng, B., Zhang, Y., & Bourke, R. (2021). Urbanization impacts on flood risks based on urban growth data and coupled flood models. *Natural Hazards*, 106(1), 613–627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04480-0>
- Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2015). *World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.: World Soil Resources Reports*. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO). <https://www.fao.org/3/i3794en/I3794en.pdf>
- Hanford, J. K., Webb, C. E., & Hochuli, D. F. (2020). Management of urban wetlands for conservation can reduce aquatic biodiversity and increase mosquito risk. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 57(4), 794–805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13576>
- Hassall, C. (2014). The ecology and biodiversity of urban ponds. *WIREs Water*, 1(2), 187–206. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1014>
- Hohensinner, S., Hauer, C., & Muhar, S. (2018). River Morphology, Channelization, and Habitat Restoration, 41–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73250-3_3
- Holsten, A., Walther, C., Roithmeier, O., & Kropp, J. P. (2013). Integrated Assessment of Vulnerability to Climate Change: the Case Study North Rhine–Westphalia. *European Climate Vulnerabilities and Adaptation: A Spatial Planning Perspective*, 175–204.
- International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine. (2020). *Assessment Rhine 2020*.

- International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine. (2021). *International flood risk management plan for the International River Basin District "Rhine" (Part A) (catchment areas > 2.500 km²)*. International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine (ICPR). https://www.iksr.org/fileadmin/user_upload/DKDM/Dokumente/BWP-HWRMP/EN/bwp_En_2d_IFRMP_2021.pdf
- 695 Junghänel, T., Bissolli, P., Daßler, J., Fleckenstein, R., Imbery, F., Janssen, W., Kaspar, F., Lengfeld, K., Leppelt, T., Rauthe, M., Rauthe-Schöch, A., Rocek, M., Walawender, E., & Weigl, E. (2021). *Hydro-klimatologische Einordnung der Stark- und Dauerniederschläge in Teilen Deutschlands im Zusammenhang mit dem Tiefdruckgebiet „Bernd“ vom 12. bis 19. Juli 2021*. Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD). https://www.dwd.de/DE/leistungen/besondereereignisse/niederschlag/20210721_bericht_starkniederschlaege_tief_bernd.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- 700 Keesstra, S., Nunes, J., Novara, A., Finger, D., Avelar, D., Kalantari, Z., & Cerdà, A. (2018). The superior effect of nature based solutions in land management for enhancing ecosystem services. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 610-611, 997–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.077>
- 705 Könsgen, I.; Braun, B. and Nehren, U. (2026): Five years after the 2021 European floods – Change in risk perception, protection, and preparedness. A local case study in Leverkusen. *Submitted to International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction; in review*
- Kretz, L., Koll, K., Seele-Dilbat, C., van der Plas, F., Weigelt, A., & Wirth, C. (2021). Plant structural diversity alters sediment retention on and underneath herbaceous vegetation in a flume experiment. *PloS One*, 16(3), e0248320. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248320>
- 710 Kron, W., Bell, R., Thiebes, B., & Thieken, A. (2022). Major Water-related Disasters in 2021-2022: The July 2021 flood disaster in Germany. *HELP Global Report on Water and Disasters*, 12–44.
- LANUV. (2021). *Klimaentwicklung und Klimaprojektionen in Nordrhein-Westfalen* (LANUK 2026). <https://www.lanuk.nrw.de/publikationen/publikation/klimaentwicklung-und-klimaprojektionen-in-nordrhein-westfalen>
- 715 Laux, P., Feldmann, D., Marra, F., Feldmann, H., Kunstmann, H., Trachte, K., & Peleg, N. (2025). Future precipitation extremes and urban flood risk assessment using a non-stationary and convection-permitting climate-hydrodynamic modeling framework. *Journal of Hydrology*, 661, 133607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2025.133607>
- 720 Lehmkuhl, F., Pötter, S., Pauligk, A., & Böskes, J. (2018). Loess and other Quaternary sediments in Germany. *Journal of Maps*, 14(2), 330–340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2018.1473817>
- Marshall, M. R., Ballard, C. E., Frogbrook, Z. L., Solloway, I., McIntyre, N., Reynolds, B., & Wheeler, H. S. (2014). The impact of rural land management changes on soil hydraulic properties and runoff processes: Results from experimental plots in upland UK. *Hydrological Processes*, 28(4), 2617–2629. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9826>
- 725 Ministerium für Landwirtschaft und Verbraucherschutz des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen. (2024). *Waldzustandsbericht 2024 Bericht über den ökologischen Zustand des Waldes in Nordrhein-Westfalen Langfassung*.
- Mohr, S., Ehret, U., Kunz, M., Ludwig, P., Caldas-Alvarez, A., Daniell, J. E., Ehmele, F., Feldmann, H., Franca, M. J., Gattke, C., Hundhausen, M., Knippertz, P., Küpfer, K., Mühr, B., Pinto, J. G., Quinting, J., Schäfer, A. M., Scheibel, M., Seidel, F., & Wisotzky, C. (2023). A multi-disciplinary analysis of the exceptional flood event of July 2021 in central Europe – Part 1: Event description and analysis. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 23(2), 525–551. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-525-2023>
- 730 Mubeen, A., Ruangpan, L., Vojinovic, Z., Sanchez Torrez, A., & Plavšić, J. (2021). Planning and Suitability Assessment of Large-scale Nature-based Solutions for Flood-risk Reduction. *Water Resources Management*, 35(10), 3063–3081. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-021-02848-w>
- 735 Murphy, T. R., Hanley, M. E., Ellis, J. S., & Lunt, P. H. (2021). Native woodland establishment improves soil hydrological functioning in UK upland pastoral catchments. *Land Degradation & Development*, 32(2), 1034–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3762>

- Mustafa, A., Bruwier, M., Archambeau, P., Erpicum, S., Piroton, M., Dewals, B., & Teller, J. (2018). Effects of spatial planning on future flood risks in urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 225, 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.090>
- 740 NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. (2013). *OpenTopography - Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Global*. <https://portal.opentopography.org/datasetMetadata?otCollectionID=OT.042013.4326.1>
- Nehren, U., Arce-Mojica, T., Barrett, A. C., Cueto, J., Doswald, N., Janzen, S., Lange, W., Vargas, A. O., Pirazan-Palomar, L., Renaud, F. G., Sandholz, S., Sebesvari, Z., Sudmeier-Rieux, K., & Walz, Y. (2023). Towards a typology of nature-based solutions for disaster risk reduction. *Nature-Based Solutions*, 3, 100057. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbsj.2023.100057>
- 745 Neitzke, L., Mohor, G. S., & Sieg, T. (2025). Vergleichende Hochwasserschadensschätzungen anhand von Vor-Ort-Erhebungen und frei zugänglichen Daten nach dem Hochwasser 2021 an der Wupper. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.5675/HYWA_2025.1_1 (4 MB, 20 Seiten).
- 750 Nerlich, K., Graeff-Hönniger, S., & Claupein, W. (2013). Erratum to: Agroforestry in Europe: A review of the disappearance of traditional systems and development of modern agroforestry practices, with emphasis on experiences in Germany. *Agroforestry Systems*, 87(5), 1211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-013-9618-9>
- Nick, F. C., Sängler, N., van der Heijden, S., & Sandholz, S. (2023). Collaboration is key: Exploring the 2021 flood response for critical infrastructures in Germany. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 91, 103710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2023.103710>
- 755 Panagos, P., Borrelli, P., Poesen, J., Ballabio, C., Lugato, E., Meusburger, K., Montanarella, L., & Alewell, C. (2015). The new assessment of soil loss by water erosion in Europe. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, 438–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.08.012>
- Region Köln Bonn. (2019). *Die Klimawandelvorsorgestrategie für die region Köln / Bonn*. Klimawandelvorsorgestrategie. <https://www.klimawandelvorsorge.de/home/>
- 760 Rousi, E., Fink, A. H., Andersen, L. S., Becker, F. N., Beobide-Arsuaga, G., Breil, M., Cozzi, G., Heinke, J., Jach, L., Niermann, D., Petrovic, D., Richling, A., Riebold, J., Steidl, S., Suarez-Gutierrez, L., Tradowsky, J. S., Coumou, D., Düsterhus, A., Ellsäßer, F., . . . Xoplaki, E. (2023). The extremely hot and dry 2018 summer in central and northern Europe from a multi-faceted weather and climate perspective. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 23(5), 1699–1718. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-1699-2023>
- 765 Ruangpan, L., Vojinovic, Z., Di Sabatino, S., Leo, L. S., Capobianco, V., Oen, A. M. P., McClain, M. E., & Lopez-Gunn, E. (2020). Nature-based solutions for hydro-meteorological risk reduction: a state-of-the-art review of the research area. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 20(1), 243–270. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-243-2020>
- Sandholz, S., Sett, D., Greco, A., Wannewitz, M., & Garschagen, M. (2021). Rethinking urban heat stress: Assessing risk and adaptation options across socioeconomic groups in Bonn, Germany. *Urban Climate*, 37, 100857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2021.100857>
- 770 Santos, E. (2025). Nature-Based Solutions for Water Management in Europe: What Works, What Does Not, and What's Next? *Water*, 17(15), 2193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w17152193>
- Sinclair, J. S., Reisinger, A. J., Bean, E., Adams, C. R., Reisinger, L. S., & Iannone, B. V. (2020). Stormwater ponds: An overlooked but plentiful urban designer ecosystem provides invasive plant habitat in a subtropical region (Florida, USA). *The Science of the Total Environment*, 711, 135133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135133>
- 775 Stadt Koeln. (2023). *Masterplan Stadtgrün Grüne Infrastruktur Köln*. <https://www.stadt-koeln.de/artikel/73108/index.html>
- Stadt Leverkusen. (2025). *Hochwasserschutz am Wiembach - Machbarkeitsstudie "Grünes Hochwasserrückhaltebecken" am ehemaligen Pintsch-Öl-Gelände*. https://ris.leverkusen.de/vo0050.asp?__kvonr=15291
- 780 StEB. (2024b). *Retentionsraum Köln-Worringen*. Stadtentwässerungsbetriebe Köln. https://steb-koeln.de/hochwasser-und-ueberflutungsschutz/retentionsraeume/Der-Retentionsraum-Worringen.jsp?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- StEB. (2024a). *Starkregen und Sturzfluten: Retentionsräume Köln-Porz-Langel / Lülldorf*. <https://steb-koeln.de/hochwasser-und-ueberflutungsschutz/retentionsraeume/Der-Retentionsraum-K%C3%B6ln-Porz-Langel.jsp>

- 785 StEB. (2025). *Starkregen und Sturzfluten*. <https://steb-koeln.de/hochwasser-und-ueberflutungsschutz/starkregen-und-sturzfluten/starkregen-und-sturzfluten.jsp>
- Stein, U., Schritt, H., Uschan, T., & Reineke, J. (2024). Maßnahmen zur Erhöhung des Wasserrückhalts in der Landschaft. *WASSERWIRTSCHAFT*, 114(5), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s35147-024-2335-7>
- 790 Sudmeier-Rieux, K., Arce-Mojica, T., Boehmer, H. J., Doswald, N., Emerton, L., Da Friess, Galvin, S., Hagenlocher, M., James, H., Laban, P., Lacambra, C., Lange, W., McAdoo, B. G., Moos, C., Mysiak, J., Narvaez, L., Nehren, U., Peduzzi, P., Renaud, F. G., . . . Walz, Y. (2021). Scientific evidence for ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction. 2398-9629. <https://dukespace.lib.duke.edu/items/8ac869ab-8a94-4892-9b4c-4dfd9a779df9>
- Thieken, A., Bubeck, P., & Zenker, M.-L. (2023). Fatal incidents during the flood of July 2021 in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany: What can be learnt for future flood risk management? *Journal of Coastal and Riverine Flood Risk*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.59490/jcrfr.2023.0005>
- 795 Thomas, F., & Knüppe, K. (2016). From Flood Protection to Flood Risk Management: Insights from the Rhine River in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany. *Water Resources Management*, 30(8), 2785–2800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1323-9>
- Tradowsky, J. S., Philip, S. Y., Kreienkamp, F., Kew, S. F., Lorenz, P., Arrighi, J., Bettmann, T., Caluwaerts, S., Chan, S. C., Cruz, L. de, Vries, H. de, Demuth, N., Ferrone, A., Fischer, E. M., Fowler, H. J., Goergen, K., Heinrich, D., 800 Henrichs, Y., Kaspar, F., . . . Wanders, N. (2023). Attribution of the heavy rainfall events leading to severe flooding in Western Europe during July 2021. *Climatic Change*, 176(7), 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-023-03502-7>
- U.S. Geological Survey. (2014). *Earth Explorer Digital Elevation Model, Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 1 Arc-Second Global: Srtm1n51e006v3*. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>
- Umweltbundesamt (Ed.) (2012). *Riparian buffer strips Legislation, implementation and provision of energy wood in Germany*. https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/topics/water/rivers/river-restoration-start?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- 805 United Kingdom's government. (2019). *Thames Estuary 2100 (TE2100)*. <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/thames-estuary-2100-te2100>
- Vicarelli, M., Sudmeier-Rieux, K., Alsadadi, A., Shrestha, A., Schütze, S., Kang, M. M., Leue, M., Wasielewski, D., & Mysiak, J. (2024). On the cost-effectiveness of Nature-based Solutions for reducing disaster risk. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 947, 174524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174524>
- 810 Walz, Y., F. Nick, O. Higuera Roa, U. Nehren & Z. Sebesvari (2021): Coherence and Alignment among Sustainable Land Management, Ecosystem-based Adaptation, Ecosystem-based Disaster Risk Reduction and Nature-based Solutions. United Nations University (UNU-EHS) Report 28
- Weng, Q., Hu, X., & Liu, H. (2009). Estimating impervious surfaces using linear spectral mixture analysis with 815 multitemporal ASTER images. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30(18), 4807–4830. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160802665926>
- Wupperverband. (n.dc). *Talsperren*. <https://www.wupperverband.de/unsere-anlagen/talsperren>
- Wupperverband. (n.db). *Verbesserung des grünen Hochwasserschutzes*. <https://www.wupperverband.de/unsere-aufgaben/hochwassermanagement/zukunftsprogramm-hochwasserschutz/gruener-hochwasserschutz>
- 820 Wüthrich, D., Korswagen, P. A., Selvam, H., Oetjen, J., Bricker, J., & Schüttrumpf, H. (2025). Field survey assessment of flood loads and related building damage from the July 2021 event in the Ahr Valley (Germany). *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 18(1), Article e13024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.13024>
- Zölch, T., Henze, L., Keilholz, P., & Pauleit, S. (2017). Regulating urban surface runoff through nature-based solutions - An assessment at the micro-scale. *Environmental Research*, 157, 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.05.023>, 2017.
- 825