

# Glacial decline next to stable permafrost in the Dry Andes? Vertical glacier surface changes and rock glacier kinematics based on Pléiades imagery (Rodeo basin, 2019-2025)

Melanie Stammler<sup>1</sup>, Jan Blöthe<sup>2</sup>, Diego Cusicanqui<sup>3</sup>, Simon Ebert<sup>2,4</sup>, Rainer Bell<sup>1</sup>, Xavier Bodin<sup>5</sup>, Lothar Schrott<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, University of Bonn, Bonn, 53115, Germany (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9626-7548>)

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, 79104, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTerre) CNES, CNRS, IRD, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, 38000, France (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4681-1111>)

<sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, 8092, Switzerland (current address)

<sup>5</sup>Laboratoire EDYTEM, CNRS/Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Le Bourget du Lac, 73370, France

Correspondence to: Melanie Stammler (stammler@uni-bonn.de)

**Abstract.** The presence and volume of high-mountain cryospheric features are drastically affected by rising air temperatures – on global scale. In the Dry Andes, precipitation is extremely scarce, shifting the hydrological significance towards the solid water storages, glaciers and ground ice. While glaciers decrease in surface area and volume, periglacially stored waters, e.g., in rock glaciers, react more retarded to atmospheric forcing, potentially buffering future water availability. Despite rising air temperatures, recent studies suggest stable permafrost conditions in the Dry Andes based on borehole investigation and rock glacier kinematics for the last decade. This apparent stability may partly reflect the extreme aridity conditions, limit snow insulation and liquid-water input, thereby damping inter-annual variability in ground thermal conditions and associated changes in rock glacier creep.

We investigate vertical surface changes of 19 glaciers, three debris-covered glaciers and 59 rock glaciers in the Rodeo basin (Dry Andes, Argentina) for the time period 2019-2025. Further, we calculate rock glacier velocities for 47 of the 59 rock glaciers for which we have data for all time periods. ~~P~~We follow photogrammetric principles are followed using (tri)stereo, panchromatic Pléiades imagery to generate projected optical imagery and DEMs in Ames Stereo Pipeline. ~~The~~Resulting DEMs are that we co-registered prior to DEM differencing for vertical surface change calculation. To derive rock glacier velocities, feature tracking approach is conducted-applied on the-to panchromatic Pléiades orthoimagery ~~for the calculation of rock glacier velocities.~~

We detect glacier surface lowering of up to -8.99 m (cumulative, 2019-2025) and dominantly ~~negative~~ annual surface lowering for all glaciers investigated. ~~V~~We find vertical surface lowering on debris-covered glaciers ~~to befalls~~ well below the magnitude of glaciers, but is higher than for rock glaciers – the latter not exceeding a decimetre. ~~We quantify~~ Average rock glacier velocities ~~of fall between~~ in average 0.28 ~~to and~~ 0.82 m/yr (Levels of Detection, LoDs: ±0.16, ±0.61). The presence of highest magnitude of horizontal velocities is different between rock glaciers, with highest horizontal velocities partially reached in the

~~upper or lower part of the rock glacier surface and can be categorized three rock glacier groups — large and fast, small and fast and small and slow.~~ Across the 47 rock glaciers investigated, ~~we do not find a~~ regional trend of increasing velocities ~~is found~~.

35 In conclusion, ~~we observe a the~~ declining glacial domain ~~to~~ contrasts with unchanged rock glacier velocities which elucidate stable permafrost conditions. We infer a delayed reaction of the periglacial domain to the rising temperatures that lead to the surface lowering of glaciers and highlight the need for ongoing, long(er)-time surface change monitoring in this crucial, dynamic point in time.

## 1 Introduction

40 The cryosphere of the Dry Andes of Argentina is an important water reservoir, buffering periods of drought (Dussaillant et al. 2019) and sustaining water use by meltwater contribution to river runoff (Ferri et al. 2020; Masiokas et al. 2020; Pitte et al. 2022; Schrott and Götz 2013). All cryospheric components in this region are exposed to increasing temperatures (Pabón-Cacedo et al. 2020; Pitte et al. 2022) and high variability in magnitude and pattern of precipitation. Compared to glacially stored waters, periglacially stored waters express a longer and extended response time to climatic changes (Arenson et al. 45 2022). Particularly in arid regions, the detection and quantification of such solid water storages, e.g., ice lenses in permafrost settings, is crucial, with their hydrological relevance increasing in the future (Arenson et al. 2022).

Glaciers in the Dry Andes of Argentina decrease in surface area and volume (Dussaillant et al. 2019; Masiokas et al. 2020; Pitte et al. 2022; Hugonnet et al. 2021), with sublimation strongly affecting glacial ice loss (Ayala et al. 2016; Réveillet et al. 2020). Mass balances for Agua Negra Glacier, located in the study area, reach -3.67 m water equivalent (w.e.) (2020-2021) 50 based on the glaciological method (Pitte et al. 2022). Independent of density parameters, surface lowering presents a first indication of glacier ice loss. For debris-covered glaciers, the debris cover thickness strongly affects the magnitude of ice volume loss (Ferri et al. 2020), thus, the magnitude of vertical surface change. While ablation patterns are heterogeneous, particularly in regions with supraglacial ponds and/or ice cliffs (Ayala et al. 2025; Bodin et al. 2010; Falaschi et al. 2021), thinning (Ayala et al. 2025; Lenzano et al. 2016) or thickening rather than terminus recession is reported as climate response 55 of debris-covered glaciers (Falaschi et al. 2021). In terms of their hydrological significance, debris-covered glaciers are reported to contribute to streamflow in a magnitude similar to glaciers (Ayala et al. 2016).

(Dis)continuous permafrost conditions are present in approximately 11'000 km<sup>2</sup> of the Dry Andes (17°30 S to 35°S, ~~Borsdorf and Stadel 2013~~), based on a Permafrost Zonation Index above 0.5 (Gruber 2012). They are present across a high variability of periglacial landforms, spanning block- and talus slopes (Köhler et al. 2025), protalus ramparts, and rock glaciers (Halla et 60 al. 2021; Robson et al. 2022; Stammer et al. 2025a). Recent studies suggest stable permafrost conditions in the Dry Andes for the last decade based on borehole ground temperature measurements (Koenig et al. 2025) and the monitoring of rock glacier kinematics (Blöthe et al. 2024; Stammer et al. 2025a).

Rock glaciers, consisting of debris, air and ice, are characteristic of permafrost environments (Barsch 1992; RGIK 2022). Their surface kinematics comprise the vertical and horizontal component and are descriptive of the rock glaciers' mechanical

65 behaviour evident as internal deformation processes and/or surface motion (Hu et al. 2025). Surface movement in horizontal  
direction, termed rock glacier velocity (RGV), was recently incorporated into the essential climate variable permafrost and is,  
while focusing on continuous and comparable monitoring, indicative for kinematic changes within the rock glacier body (Hu  
et al. 2025; RGIK 2023). Rock glacier monitoring efforts in the Andes are scarce (Hu et al. 2025), with few studies on rock  
glacier kinematics (Blöthe et al. 2021, 2024; Cusicanqui et al. 2025; Halla et al. 2021; Robson et al. 2022; Stammli et al.  
70 2025a; Strozzì et al. 2020; Villarroel et al. 2018; Villarroel and Forte 2020; Villarroel et al. 2022). Studies on vertical surface  
changes on rock glaciers are even more rare, with vertical surface changes reported to be minimal (Halla et al. 2021; Robson  
et al. 2022; Vivero and Lambiel 2024).

Given the rock glaciers' permafrost indication and hydrological significance, the analysis of rock glaciers can greatly  
contribute to interdisciplinary studies, for example, focusing on a catchment's hydrology. However, rock glacier studies often  
75 focus on chosen single rock glaciers. Moreover, only few studies investigate changes in the glacial and periglacial domains  
(Bodin et al. 2010; Cusicanqui et al. 2023), even fewer on a catchment scale (Falaschi et al. 2025; Robson et al. 2022),  
providing a comprehensive picture on current changes in the high-mountain cryosphere.

Satellite-based photogrammetry, by now a standard method applied for RGV monitoring (Hu et al. 2025), enables an increased  
spatial extent and an access- and weather conditions independent study compared to field-based studies. Photogrammetric  
80 processing software allows for the generation of digital elevation models (DEMs) based on an overlap of satellite imagery.  
While DEM differencing of correctly co-registered DEMs enables the calculation of vertical surface changes across landforms,  
feature tracking on projected, panchromatic imagery allows for the calculation of the horizontal component. (Tri)stereo  
panchromatic Pléiades imagery has been used for change detection in the field of geosciences (Bagnardi et al. 2016; Beraud  
et al. 2023; Berthier et al. 2024), often with a focus on glaciology.

85 In this paper, we investigate the current state of the cryosphere in Rodeo basin (Dry Andes of Argentina, 30°S and 69°W) by  
analysing vertical surface change on 19 glaciers, three debris-covered glaciers, and 59 rock glaciers, as well as horizontal  
[surface change velocity](#) on, due to data coverage, 47 of the 59 rock glaciers for 2019-2025 based on (tri)stereo panchromatic  
Pléiades imagery. This means that we focus on vertical surface change across all cryospheric landforms but in particular on  
[horizontal](#) velocities of rock glaciers in the Rodeo basin. With the study we intent to increase the knowledge on the high-  
90 Andean cryosphere in a changing climate, address the above-described gap of combined and catchment wide studies for the  
glacial and periglacial domains, and contribute to the understanding of the state of permafrost in the arid environment of the  
Dry Andes. We address the following research questions:

- Which vertical surface changes can be observed on glaciers and debris-covered glaciers and how do these changes compare to vertical surface changes of the rock glaciers in the study area?
- 95 • Which vertical and horizontal rock glacier surface changes can be observed across the Rodeo basin and what do these changes imply for the local permafrost conditions?
- What are the advantages and limitations of a Pléiades-based surface change monitoring of glaciers, debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers in the Dry Andes?

By simultaneously addressing the glacial and periglacial domains as well as increasing the spatial scale of our surface change monitoring to a catchment resolution, we foresee to contribute to a better understanding of the current status of the regional solid water storages in the Dry Andes at a, given rising air temperatures, crucial moment in time.


## 2 Study Area

105 The Rodeo basin is located in the Dry Andes, in the Western part of the San Juan Province / Argentina (30°S and 69°W), [Fig. 1](#). The high Andean basin hosts 19 glaciers, three debris-covered glaciers, and 59 rock glaciers (IANIGLA-CONICET 2018), representative of a region-typical (peri)glacial landform distribution with few glaciers located at high elevations, dominated by a large number of periglacial landforms (Halla et al. 2021; Köhler et al. 2025), [Fig. 1](#). With a basin size of 1315.7 km<sup>2</sup>, the basin's upper part is strongly impacted by the Cordillera Principal in the west (< 6947.5 m asl) and is underlain by permafrost, with continuous permafrost present above 5000 m asl (Gruber 2012; Halla et al. 2021; Schrott 1996).

110 Most of the basin's runoff discharges to the reservoir Cuesta del Viento located in the inter-mountainous basin between the Cordillera Principal and the Cordillera Frontal (Esper Angillieri 2017) near Rodeo, [Fig. 1](#), at approximately 1500 m asl. Glaciers in the basin are larger in size than rock glaciers, [Fig. 2](#), are located at higher elevation and are characterised by higher surface slopes, [Fig. 1](#). As a consequence of valley structures and the rock glaciers' location closer to the valley bottom, they are most often oriented east or south-east, rarely north or west. Characterized by extremely low mean

115 annual precipitation (~250 mm), a mean annual air temperature of -4.9 °C (1961-1990, ERA5) and constant high solar radiation intensities (Liboutry et al. 1998; Schrott 1994), solid water storages in the form of glacial or ground ice and their meltwaters are essential to river runoff (Dussaillant et al. 2019; Masiokas et al. 2020), with the relative hydrological significance of periglacially stored ice increased in the future (Arenson et al. 2022). According to Caro et al. (2024), the glaciological zone which includes, e.g., Tapado glacier located in ca. 10 km distance west of Agua Negra Glacier in the Chilean Andes, is

120 characterised by the highest vulnerability to glacier runoff scarcity across the Andes. Research on the periglacial domain of the study area has focused on Agua Negra catchment which is part of the upper Rodeo basin (Halla et al. 2021; Köhler et al. 2025).



125 | Fig. 1 A) Distribution of glaciers, debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers as mapped by IANIGLA-CONICET (2018) in the upper part of Rodeo basin. All landforms labelled here are detailed in consequent figures. B) Rodeo basin including the extents of the Pléiades imagery acquisitions (cf. Fig. S1). C) Aspect, elevation and slope characteristics of the (peri)glacial landforms of the study area, calculated from a 10 m DEM (based on the 2022 Pléiades data, [Tab. 1](#) ~~Tab. 1~~) and its derivatives. Colour-scale for landform type applies to all subfigures.

### 3 Data and Methods

130 We analyse interannual vertical surface change on 19 glaciers, three debris-covered glaciers and 59 rock glaciers in the Dry  
Andes of Argentina for 2019-2025. Further, we investigate horizontal [surface changes/velocities](#) on 47 of the 59 rock glaciers  
consistently monitored for 2019-2025. We use (tri)stereo, panchromatic Pléiades imagery acquisitions for the austral summers  
of 2019, 2022, 2023, 2024 and 2025 for the generation of Pléiades-based DEMs and consequent DEMs of Difference (DoDs)  
for vertical change detection on all landforms. For rock glacier [horizontal](#) velocities, we conduct feature tracking on projected  
135 panchromatic Pléiades imagery.

#### 3.1 National Inventory of Glaciers (Argentina)

Glaciers, debris-covered glaciers, rock glaciers (active/inactive) and perennial snowfields across the Argentinean Andes and  
South-Atlantic islands cover an area of 8484 km<sup>2</sup> and are documented in the National Inventory of Glaciers conducted by the  
Argentine Institute for Snow, Ice and Environmental Sciences (IANIGLA-CONICET) in collaboration with the Argentine  
140 Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable Development (Zalazar et al. 2017). With the aim of preserving glaciers and the  
periglacial environment, the dataset was established based on satellite imagery and ground-truthing as requirement of the law  
on Minimum Standards for the Preservation of Glaciers and the Periglacial Environment (span. Régimen de Presupuestos  
Mínimos para la Preservación de los Glaciares y del Ambiente Periglacial) (ibid.).

We rely on the National Inventory of Glaciers for glacial and periglacial feature boundaries in our study area and conduct our  
145 vertical [surface changes](#) and horizontal [surface change/velocity](#) analysis within these boundaries. For our analysis, we treat  
rock glaciers indifferent of their mapped state of activity allowing us to rely on ~~measured activity~~[our measured horizontal  
velocity quantification](#) rather than the visual interpretation of surface features as conducted during the establishment of the  
inventory (Zalazar et al. 2017; [Zalazar et al., 2020](#)).

#### 3.2 Pléiades Imagery Acquisitions and Processing

150 The Pléiades 1A and 1B satellites were launched on December 17th 2011 and December 2nd 2012 respectively. With a sun-  
synchronous orbit type and repeat cycle 26 days, they offer panchromatic (resolution 0.5 m) and multispectral (resolution 2  
m) imagery in stereo and tristereo mode (ASTRIUM 2012). Achieving the (tri)stereo cover during one pass over the area, a  
homogeneous product which allows for most precise DEMs to be generated is acquired despite the challenging terrain of the  
Andes - and allows for a suitable base-to-height (B/H) ratio. In contrast to radar imagery, the optical signal does not penetrate  
155 snow and ice, increasing suitability for cryospheric surface change detection (Berthier et al. 2014). All Pléiades data used in  
this study were tasked for austral summers, in stereo (2019) or tristereo (2022-2025) mode and processing level 1  
corresponding to the primary product (ASTRIUM 2012), ~~Tab. 1~~[Tab. 1](#).

We process all Pléiades (tri)stereo pairs automatically using Ames Stereo Pipeline (ASP) software (Beyer et al. 2018).  
Processing is conducted without ground control points (GCPs) relying on rational polynomial coefficients (RPCs). We follow

160 Berthier et al. (2024) and Cusicanqui et al. (2025) in using a STRM DEM as seed DEM during stereo processing and a semi-global matching strategy. Here, either both stereo acquisitions or both pairs of the tri-stereo triplet are included. As a result, we generate DEMs at 1 m resolution. Given the data quality we do not fill gaps and proceed without correcting for sensor undulations.

165 **Tab. 1 Acquisition dates and characteristics of the four (tri)stereo panchromatic Pléiades datasets. All dates are provided as dd.mm.yy. In the remaining paper, the overlapping image tiles acquired at different acquisition dates are referred to as top (T), bottom (B) and right (R) tiles. For the location of the three tiles, see Fig. S1. B/H ratios are comparable with other studies, e.g., Beraud et al. (2023).**

	2019	2022	2023	2024	2025
Image Acquisition Date(s) and Tile Locations (T, B, R)	17.03.19	14.02.22 (T)	13.02.23 (T)	12.02.24 (T)	02.03.25 (T)
		22.02.22 (B)	20.02.23 (B)	19.02.24 (B)	03.03.25 (B)
		15.02.22 (R)	14.02.23 (R)	09.03.24 (R)	-
Geometry	stereo	tristereo			
B/H	0.4	0.3 - 0.5			
Max Inc. Angle	< 20°				
Max Cloud Cover	< 5%				

### 3.3 DGNSS measurements for validation

170 We conduct 78 repeated Differential Global Navigation Satellite System (DGNSS) measurements on Dos Lenguas and El Paso rock glaciers as well in the Agua Negra Glacier forefield using Trimble DGNSS equipment (R8 base, R2s rover, TSC3 handheld, RTK), [Tab. 2](#)~~Tab. 2~~. Located on the landforms as well as surrounding terrain, the measurements are conducted in at least two consecutive years in the austral summers of 2022, 2023 and 2024; within maximum two consecutive days. Measured point locations are marked on flat surfaces of selected large boulders (> 2 m). The coordinates of the base stations are 424101.83, 6654084.621, 4247.137\_m for Dos Lenguas rock glacier; 422350.62, 6655630.667, 4723.248 m for El Paso rock glacier and 422783.389, 6661216.068, 4726.973 m for the Agua Negra Glacier forefield – all provided in WGS 84 UTM zone 19S. DGNSS measurements on Dos Lenguas rock glacier have been used for validation and georeferencing (Stammler et al. 2024; Stammler et al. 2025a) and are published in Stammler et al. (2025b). We publish the additional DGNSS measurements used in this study in the paper-accompanying dataset (Stammler et al. [YEAR2026](#)).

180 **Tab. 2 Acquisition dates and characteristics of our DGNSS measurements, used for validation purposes. For rock glaciers, the number of points is split in onsite and offsite the landforms' surface. RG = rock glacier, AN forefield = Agua Negra glacier forefield. The DGNSS measurements of Dos Lenguas rock glacier are published in Stammler et al. (2025b). All other DGNSS data are published in Stammler et al. ([YEAR2026](#)).**

	Dos Lenguas RG	El Paso RG	AN forefield
Acquisition Dates (dd.mm.yy)	17.03.22 - 21.03.22	-	08.03.22
	16.01.23 - 12.02.23	01.02.23 - 02.02.23	17.01.23
	10.02.24 - 12.02.24	14.02.24	26.02.24
# points	21 (8/13)	36 (26/10)	21
Mean accuracy hor./vert. (in m)	0.017 / 0.028	0.036 / 0.076	0.018 / 0.041

### 3.4 Vertical surface change by DEMs of Difference

185 We ~~buffer the inventoried landforms and~~ generate rectangular bounding boxes, ~~resulting in bounding boxes~~ with minimum  
500 m distance to each of the (debris-covered) glacier and rock glacier polygons to clip our Pléiades-based DEMs.  
~~Consequently, w~~We co-register the younger to the older clipped DEMs following Nuth and Kääb (2011) in Demcoreg (Shean  
et al. 2016), while masking the cryospheric landforms based on the National Inventory of Glaciers (IANIGLA-CONICET  
2018). Single Pléiades tiles are processed separately to prevent distortion from mosaicking. If available for both acquisitions,  
190 each tile is co-registered respectively, e.g., 2023T to 2022T, 2023B to 2022B, 2023R to 2022R. For acquisitions with different  
extents and/or number of tiles, co-registration is only possible where data is available and is conducted as, e.g., 2022T to 2019,  
2022B to 2019, 2022R to 2019. Co-registering clipped rasters allows for an adaption to the local setting of each landform,  
preventing larger distortion patterns to imprint on the DEM-based analysis while reducing processing times. Further, it enables  
temporal and spatial investigation of the x, y, z correction factors used during co-registration.

195 ~~For the calculation of the LoDs of our vertical surface changes, we extract vertical surface change at 1000 random points  
distributed outside and in vicinity of each landform polygon and derive their median. Terrain outside the landform polygons  
is assumed to be predominantly stable. Having controlled vertical surface change in the areas outside the landform surfaces  
during co-registration, we directly accept the medians as LoDs.~~

For vertical surface change quantification by DEM differencing, we subtract the co-registered newer DEMs from the original  
older DEMs. ~~Depending on the figure, v~~Vertical surface change ~~of across~~ the cryospheric landforms in the Rodeo basin is  
200 compared as ~~total change (sum of annual cumulative~~ median ~~change, Fig. 2A)~~ or as vertical surface change normalized to full  
years, both calculated as median for the landforms' surfaces, ~~Fig. 2B-D and Fig. 5A~~. Rock glaciers are attributed positive when  
vertical surface change plus and minus the LoD are above zero, and negative when both are below zero. Further, we derive  
elevation and slope from the Pléiades DEMs, all at a resolution of 1 m.

205 ~~For the calculation of the LoDs of our vertical surface changes, we extract vertical surface change at 1000 random points  
distributed outside and in vicinity of each landform polygon and derive their median. Terrain outside the landform polygons  
is assumed stable. Having controlled vertical surface change in the areas outside the landform surfaces during co-registration,  
we directly accept the medians as LoDs.~~

### 3.5 Horizontal velocity by feature tracking

210 We use the projected panchromatic imagery at 0.5 m resolution to conduct feature tracking on all rock glaciers for which we  
have data for all time periods (47 out of 59) following the approach by Schwalbe and Maas (2017) which matches image  
patches between orthoimages with two different time stamps by applying cross-correlation for an estimation of a pixel-precise  
shift, and a least-squares matching for the achievement of sub-pixel accuracies. Originally implemented in the Environmental  
Motion Tracking (EMT) software, we adapt this approach to semi-automatically process a large quantity of rasters in python

215 (Ebert and Rehn, 2026). For our stereo dataset (2019), we select the first image of the pair with a view angle tilted towards south. For the tristereo datasets (2022-2025), we select the second image of the triplet which is closest to nadir view (90°). Similar to the DEMs, we clip the panchromatic orthoimages by our bounding boxes prior to feature tracking. After conducting an affine transformation for offset correction, identifiable pixels are tracked on the landform surface (no front and side slopes) using a set of equally, 5 m spaced points – leading to a 5 m spatial resolution of the calculated velocities. This approach benefits from using grey-scaled input which is independent of light conditions (Dall’Asta et al. 2017; Fleischer et al. 2021). To reduce the impact of the polygon boundaries as included in the National Inventory of Glaciers (IANIGLA-CONICET 2018) on the feature tracking outcome, we apply a 200 m buffer on all polygons to determine the reference area used for aligning the images while we use a buffer of 50 m around the polygons for the actual image tracking. Horizontal [surface change rock glacier velocity](#) is presented normalized to full years. ~~To enable the comparison of horizontal velocity values with varying LoDs, we calculate the median exceedance from the corresponding LoD for each rock glacier and time step. Fig. 7A.~~ Similar to the calculation of the LoDs for vertical surface changes, surface motion is tracked at 1000 randomly distributed points located outside of the landform surface for the calculation of the LoDs of the horizontal [surface changes rock glacier velocities](#). We accept the median of the feature tracking results at these 1000 random points as LoD. ~~This allows Selecting the median serves as a precautionary measure against singular of the randomly distributed points coincidentally placed on moving objects. accounting for potential true surface change, e.g., related to fluvial processes, occurring outside the landform surface polygon.~~ We track the residuals of the affine transformation during our feature tracking approach to develop a criterion for the quality of the image alignment, which directly affects the feature tracking results. We detect the correlation of these residues in x, y space and use low correlation coefficients (0 to 0.5) as indication of a high-quality feature tracking and high correlation coefficients (0.5 to 1) as indication of a poorer feature tracking quality. The implementation of the correlation coefficient of the residues that arise during feature tracking as a quality control is based on the hypothesis that high correlation is indicative of a technical error, e.g., an image distortion, while true residues are expected to be independently distributed. We include this quality criterium as a metric and as categories.

## 4 Results

### 240 4.1 Co-registration, DEM differencing and feature tracking accuracies

The area’s aridity and extremely limited cloud and vegetation cover yield perfectly suitable conditions for change analysis with remotely sensed optical imagery, such as Pléiades imagery. The summer conditions with very scarce and, if present, non-persistent snow coverage allow for largely uncovered terrain suitable for co-registration, Fig. S1. For co-registration, X correction factors are of least magnitude in median through time, while Z correction factors are of highest, ~~Tab. 3~~ Tab. 3. A comparison of the T, B, and R tiles indicates no consistent pattern of spatial differences in co-registration factors. All correction factors used during co-registration are independent of the landform type, Fig. S2.

**Tab. 3** Co-registration corrections in x, y, and z direction based on the approach by Nuth and Kääb (2011) as applied in DEMCOREG (Shean et al. 2016). Shown as median of all co-registration factors (m) of the 81 clipped DEMs (number of landforms = 81). For all co-registration factors, see Tab. S34.

	Shift in X (m)			Shift in Y (m)			Shift in Z (m)			Median (m)
	T	B	R	T	B	R	T	B	R	
2019-2022	-0.16	0.2	-0.18	0.24	0.23	0.44	1.22	1.04	2.62	<b>0.24</b>
2022-2023	-0.2	-0.08	0.00	-0.08	-0.01	-0.32	0.59	-0.56	-0.90	<b>-0.08</b>
2023-2024	0.26	-0.06	0.20	-0.47	-0.12	0.34	-0.76	0.81	0.58	<b>0.2</b>
2024-2025	0.05	-0.16	-	0.59	0.07	-	0.05	-1.55	-	<b>0.05</b>
Median (m)	<b>-0.06</b>	<b>-0.07</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.58</b>	

250

Vertical surface changes as extracted at 1000 randomly distributed points surrounding the landform polygons are independent of the landform type and time period and correspond to the respective LoD of our vertical surface changes, [Tab. 4](#)~~Tab. 4~~. They vary between  $\pm 0.1$  to  $\pm 10$  cm/yr when compared as median for all landforms of each landform type (glaciers, debris-covered glaciers, rock glaciers). Vertical change LoDs are lower than the LoDs calculated for horizontal [surface change velocities](#). Median horizontal [surface change velocities](#) at 1000 randomly placed points in vicinity of the rock glacier surfaces range between  $\pm 16$  and  $\pm 61$  cm/yr and represent our LoDs for horizontal [surface change velocities](#). The calculated LoDs for horizontal [surface change velocities](#) are low for the 2019-2022 and 2023-2024 time periods, compared to 2022-2023 and 2024-2025.

255

**Tab. 4** Vertical surface change (m/yr) on ~~(debris-covered) glaciers~~ ~~and debris-covered glaciers~~ as well as vertical [surface change](#) and horizontal [surface change velocities](#) (m/yr) on rock glaciers shown as medians for all landforms within each category. VCh = Vertical surface change, ~~HCh~~ ~~HVe~~ = Horizontal [surface change velocity](#), n = number of landforms, depending on the spatial extent of the image acquisition. LoDs for vertical [surface change](#) and horizontal [velocities](#)~~surface changes~~ are based on the median of surface change at 1000 randomly distributed points in vicinity of the landforms, shown here as medians per landform category. For rock glacier velocities, we consider only surface change exceeding the respective LoD.

260

	Glaciers			Debris-c. Glaciers			Rock Glaciers					
	VCh	LoD	n	VCh	LoD	n	VCh	LoD	n	<a href="#">HCh</a> <a href="#">HVe</a>	LoD	n
2019-2022	-1.28	$\pm 0.01$	11	-0.09	$\pm 0.08$	3	-0.01	$\pm 0.03$	48	0.28	$\pm 0.16$	38
2022-2023	-0.20	$\pm 0.001$	18	0.02	$\pm 0.01$	3	0.004	$\pm 0.003$	59	0.64	$\pm 0.52$	25
2023-2024	-1.50	$\pm 0.01$	17	-0.22	$\pm 0.10$	3	-0.01	$\pm 0.004$	59	0.46	$\pm 0.17$	28
2024-2025	-0.51	$\pm 0.001$	17	0.16	$\pm 0.02$	3	0.07	$\pm 0.001$	54	0.82	$\pm 0.61$	33

265

Correlation factors of the residues arising during our feature tracking approach range in median over time for the selected rock glaciers between 0.27 (ID44) and 0.64 (ID39), [Tab. 5](#)~~Tab. 5~~. This is representative for all polygons, with the least and highest correlation being 0.12 (ID25) and 0.66 (ID47), Tab. S2. In total, 45 of the 57 polygons are characterised by low correlation coefficients of the residues (0 to 0.5), with 12 polygons exceeding a coefficient of 0.5 but none reaching a coefficient of 0.7. In median and independent of the different rock glacier polygons, the time period 2023-2024 is characterised by least correlation of the residues both for the selected rock glaciers (2023-2024: 0.26) as well as all polygons (2023-2024: 0.28). Thus, three out of the four time periods are characterized by low correlation coefficients with one exceeding a coefficient of 0.5 but remaining below 0.6, both for the selection as well as for all polygons.

270

**Tab. 5** Correlation coefficients for residues arising during the affine transformation of our feature tracking approach on selected rock glaciers (**RG**). Rock glaciers are selected based on their size and speed (large > 0.1 km<sup>2</sup>, fast > 0.2 m/yr). For a full list of correlation coefficients, see Tab. S42. We treat low correlation coefficients (0 to 0.5) as criterium for a high quality of the feature tracking approach and higher correlation coefficients (0.5 to 1) as a poorer quality of the feature tracking approach, cf. 3.5.

	Large and fast RG			Small and fast RG			Small and slow RG			Median (m)
	ID4	ID5	ID9	ID24	ID40	ID50	ID35	ID39	ID44	
2019-2022	0.64	0.62	0.21	0.79	0.55	0.32	0.44	0.74	0.42	<b>0.55</b>
2022-2023	0.20	0.34	0.45	0.22	0.72	0.11	0.85	0.66	0.80	<b>0.45</b>
2023-2024	0.33	0.02	0.26	0.29	0.12	0.29	0.41	0.08	0.12	<b>0.26</b>
2024-2025	0.51	0.53	0.57	0.53	0.25	0.44	0.29	0.61	0.13	<b>0.51</b>
Median (m)	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.27</b>	

## 4.2 Pléiades-based vertical surface changes for (debris-covered) glaciers and rock glaciers in the Rodeo Basin

Vertical surface change across the cryospheric landforms in the Rodeo basin is highest in magnitude for glaciers, second highest for debris-covered glaciers and least for rock glaciers – independent of the time period, [Fig. 2Fig-2A](#). Calculated LoDs are very low compared to the vertical surface changes on glaciers, low compared to debris-covered glaciers and vertically dynamic rock glaciers, and high compared to rock glaciers with minimal vertical surface change when calculated as median for the landforms surface, [Fig. 2 \(whiskers\) and Tab. 4Tab-4](#).

All glaciers monitored in our study are characterized by negative vertical surface changes, leading to negative ~~cumulative total~~ vertical surface change increasing in magnitude, [Fig. 2A](#). ~~This increase in magnitude is not linear over time and is highest for 2023-2024 (-1.50 m/yr, LoD ±0.01 m/yr) and lowest for 2022-2023 (-0.20 m/yr, LoD ±0.001 m/yr), Tab. 4. TotalCumulative~~ vertical surface change is of highest magnitude for Agua Negra Glacier (1.09 km<sup>2</sup>, 5012 m asl, 2<sup>nd</sup> largest glacier in the study area) amounting to a vertical elevation change in median for the glacier surface of -8.99 m for 2019-2025, detected with a LoD of ±0.11 m/yr. ~~Increase in time-normalized aAnnual vertical surface changes is not linear, highest for 2023-2024 (-1.50~~ [m/yr, LoD ±0.01 m/yr\) and lowest for 2022-2023 \(-0.20 m/yr, LoD ±0.001 m/yr\), Tab. 4. Time-normalized annual vertical surface changes](#) of Agua Negra Glacier are higher than of the largest glacier monitored with our Pléiades imagery for the full time period (ID71, 1.80 km<sup>2</sup>, 5335.5 m asl), [Tab. 6Tab-6](#). In general, smaller glaciers are characterized by higher time-normalized median vertical surface change than large glaciers, [Fig. 2Fig-2B](#). Further, vertical surface change is highest in magnitude for glaciers at lower elevations, [Fig. 2Fig-2C](#), and independent of slope, [Fig. 2Fig-2D](#).

Only three debris-covered glaciers are present in the Rodeo basin with median vertical surface changes up to -22 cm/yr, detected with a LoD of ±10 cm, [Tab. 6Tab-6](#).

Rock glacier vertical surface change is minimal on Dos Lenguas and El Paso rock glaciers. This is representative for all rock glaciers monitored, [Fig. 2Fig-2A](#) and [Tab. 4Tab-4](#). Rock glacier vertical surface changes are not correlated with median elevation or slope, [Fig. 2Fig-2C-D](#). However, rock glaciers in the Rodeo basin are characterized by an interannual variability of vertical surface change, with 47 rock glaciers alternating at least once between positive and negative median annual surface change between the observed time episodes (6 always negative, 4 always positive).

**Tab. 6 Vertical surface change (VCh, m/yr) for the two largest glaciers, as median for the three debris-covered glaciers (DC = debris-covered, cf. [Tab. 4Tab-4](#)), and for two selected rock glaciers (RG). For the location of the landforms, see [Fig. 1Fig-1A](#).**

	Agua Negra Glacier		Glacier ID 71		DC Glaciers (median)		Dos Lenguas RG		El Paso RG	
	VCh	LoD	VCh	LoD	VCh	LoD	VCh	LoD	VCh	LoD
2019-2022	-1.51	±0.02	-0.67	±0.04	-0.09	±0.08	-0.08	±0.04	-0.04	±0.03
2022-2023	-0.59	±0.02	-0.09	±0.02	0.02	±0.01	-0.07	±0.09	0.005	±0.01
2023-2024	-1.85	±0.01	-1.13	±0.05	-0.22	±0.10	-0.04	±0.07	0.03	±0.05
2024-2025	-2.4	±0.04	-0.48	±0.01	0.16	±0.02	-0.03	±0.03	-0.07	±0.05





Fig. 2 A) **Cumulative-Total** vertical surface change for glaciers, debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers for 2019-2025. Calculated as **the sum of the median annual vertical surface change per landform polygon and** within the landform surfaces (e.g., no rock glacier fronts) based on DEM differencing between clipped, co-registered Pléiades DEMs.- The number of the landforms investigated depends on the extent of the Pléiades acquisitions, cf. Fig. 1B. Bottom: Median annual vertical surface change normalized to full years and its concurrence with the landform surface area (B), with elevation (C) and slope (D). Elevation and slope are derived from the Pléiades-DEM at the beginning of the time period, e.g., 2019 for the 2019-2022 period. Symbol types correspond to the time periods as introduced in **the legend A)**. For the location of Agua Negra and Majadita Glaciers, see Fig. 1 Fig. 1A.

Spatially, time-normalized vertical surface changes on Agua Negra Glacier are heterogeneously present with highest magnitudes on its west side and towards the glacier tongue, Fig. 3 Fig. 3A. Vertical changes on the largest glacier (ID 71) are also heterogeneously present, with highest magnitudes reached in the centre and southern part, Fig. 3 Fig. 3B. The glacier located next to El Paso rock glacier exhibits vertical surface changes particularly in its centre, Fig. 3 Fig. 3C. Rock glacier vertical surface changes are spatially heterogeneous and often follow a ridge- and furrow morphology with alternating positive and negative areas, Fig. 3 Fig. 3D. Rock glacier fronts are characterized by a coherent positive vertical surface change, Fig. 3 Fig. 3C-D. For vertical surface changes of all monitored cryospheric landforms, see Fig. 3 Fig. 3E.




325 Fig. 3 Vertical surface changes (m) between 2019-2025, generated by DEM differencing of [the](#) co-registered Pléiades DEMs [of 2019 and 2025](#), for Agua Negra Glacier and proximate landforms (A), Glacier ID 71 and proximate landforms (B), El Paso rock glacier and proximate landforms (C), and Dos Lenguas rock glacier (D). For all vertical surface change results, including the locations of A) to D), see E). Polygons based on IANIGLA-CONICET (2018). Colour-scale for vertical surface change applies to all subfigures. A), C) and D) include DGNSS locations for repeated measurements, cf. Tab. 2.

#### 4.3 Pléiades-based rock glacier velocities for Rodeo Basin in space and time

330 Rock glaciers in the Rodeo basin exhibit differences in the magnitude of their horizontal [surface change velocity](#) in space with median rock glacier surface velocities being heterogeneously present in the Rodeo basin, [Fig. 4Fig-4](#). Their LoDs are independent of a rock glaciers locations, size and [horizontal](#) velocity. Out of the 47 rock glaciers, 1 rock glacier exceeds median velocities of > 1.25 m/yr for the time period 2019-2025 (Dos Lenguas rock glacier), while 7 rock glaciers fall between 1 and 1.25 m/yr (e.g., El Paso rock glacier), and 14 fall in the classes between 0.75 to 1 m/yr and 0.5 to 0.75 m/yr, respectively. [The LoD exceedance of m](#)Median rock glacier surfaces velocities based on all rock glaciers [are heterogenous in time, with lowest velocities in 2019-2022 and highest in 2024-2025](#) varies between 0.12 m/yr and 0.29 m/yr, [Tab. 4Tab-4](#) (HVe minus respective


335 LoD), non-linear in time. Increase in velocities is not linear, with the time period 2023–2024 being characterized by second lowest velocities.



340 Fig. 4 Median velocities (m/yr) for rock glacier surfaces between 2019-2025, categorized and normalized to years. (Periglacial landforms as mapped by IANIGLA-CONICET (2018), portrayed on top of the Pléiades panchromatic orthophotos for 2024 (A-C) and a hillshade based on the 2022 Pléiades imagery (D). Ratios between the horizontal velocity (black) and LoD (white) of each landform are provided as pie charts (A-C). For specific location of the rock glaciers, see D. See black boxes referencing to Fig. 2 in A) and B).

345 While smaller rock glaciers (<0.1 km<sup>2</sup>) are characterized by various velocities from 0.0 to 1.23 m/yr, larger rock glaciers (>0.1 km<sup>2</sup>) in their vast majority exhibit velocities above 0.25 m/yr in all time periods, Fig. 5A. Rock glaciers located at elevations below 4100 m asl do not exceed velocities of 0.25 m/yr, while all rock glaciers located above 4300 m asl exceed velocities of 0.09 m/yr and reach up to 1.38 m/yr, Fig. 5B. Slope and rock glacier velocity are not correlated, Fig. 5C. Correlation coefficients support that larger rock glaciers are faster than those characterized by a smaller surface area, that rock glaciers located at higher location are faster than rock glaciers located at lower elevation, and that smaller rock glaciers are characterized by higher slope than larger rock glaciers. For all correlation coefficients, see Tab. S5. Larger rock glaciers (>0.1 km<sup>2</sup>) in their

350 vast majority exhibit velocities above 0.25 m/yr in all time periods, while smaller rock glaciers (< 0.1 km<sup>2</sup>) are characterized  
by various horizontal velocities from 0.0 to 1.23 m/yr, Fig. 5Fig. 5A. Rock glaciers located at elevations below 4100 m asl do  
not exceed horizontal velocities of 0.25 m/yr, while all rock glaciers located above 4300 m asl exceed horizontal velocities of  
0.09 m/yr and reach up to 1.38 m/yr, Fig. 5Fig. 5B. Slope and rock glacier velocity are not correlated, Fig. 5Fig. 5C, and v-  
355 Variability of median vertical change is dependent on the time period -> with 2022-2023 characterized by more negative and  
2023-2024 by more positive median vertical surface changes, Fig. 5Fig. 5D. For a full visualization of the concurrence of  
elevation and slope separated by time periods and colour-coded for median horizontal velocity and rock glacier surface area,  
see Fig. S6 and Fig. S7. We find three rock glacier categories in the Rodeo basin: large and fast, small and fast and small and  
slow rock glaciers. Large and fast rock glaciers are located at higher elevation, lower slope and are characterized by lower  
median vertical change. While small and fast rock glaciers are located at higher elevation and variable slope, small and slow  
360 rock glaciers are located at variable elevation and slope.




**Fig. 5** Median velocities for rock glacier surfaces (m/yr) and their concurrence with the rock glacier polygon size (A), median elevation (B), median slope (C) and median vertical surface change (D), all calculated for the rock glacier surfaces. Elevation and slope are calculated for the beginning of each time period, leading to variability in values and to us refraining from marking Dos Lenguas and El Paso rock glaciers in C-D. For rock glacier categories and time periods, see legend are indicated by symbols (cf. Fig. 2), and rock glacier categories by colours.

Rock glacier velocities are spatially heterogeneous present on the various across the landform surfaces, Fig. 6 Fig-6. El Paso rock glacier (ID 5) is in its upper part characterized by linear ridges in line with the flow direction that exhibit a faster horizontal


370 velocity than the surrounding rock glacier surface, ~~Fig. 6Fig. 6A. Highest velocities of up to 1.09 m/yr. (2024-2025, LoD ±0.54~~  
~~m/yr, Tab. 7Tab. 7.)~~ are reached in its lower part. Dos Lenguas rock glacier and rock glacier ID 9 are characterized by  
 extensional flow in the upper area and c-shaped ridge and furrow morphologies oriented perpendicular to the direction of flow,  
 commencing in the centre area, ~~Fig. 6Fig. 6B-C. For both, highest velocities of up to 1.38 m/yr (2024-2025, LoD ±0.64 m/yr)~~  
 and up to 1.17 m/yr (2024-2025, LoD ±0.95 m/yr) are reached in the upper part. The rock glacier with ID 40 is characteriszed  
 375 by a lower magnitude ~~of increase in horizontal velocity compared to the other three rock glaciers in its lower part, particularly~~  
~~after 2024, Fig. 6Fig. 6D and cf. Fig. 7 ID40. Highest magnitudes of velocities correlate with rock glacier size, Fig. 6A-C~~  
~~compared to Fig. 6D. No rock glacier is fastest for all time periods. The different rock glaciers fastest for a specific time period~~  
~~are Dos Lenguas in 2019-2022 reach magnitudes of 0.86 m/yr in 2019-2022 (LoD ±0.15 m/yr, Dos Lenguas) and ;2024-2025,~~  
~~Tab. 7, ID23 in 2022-2023 (-1.16 m/yr, yr in 2022-2023 (LoD ±0.87, 360 m/yr, ID 23), and ID 40 in 1.18 m/yr in 2023-2024,~~  
 380 ~~Tab. 7 (LoD ±0.56 m/yr, ID 9) and 1.38 m/yr in 2024-2025 (LoD ±0.64 m/yr, Dos Lenguas).~~

**Tab. 7 Median horizontal velocity surface change (m/yr) reached on selected rock glaciers, compared to the median value for all rock glacier surface velocities, cf. Tab. 4.**

	All RG surfaces		El Paso		Dos Lenguas		ID9		ID40	
	HCh	LoD	HCh	LoD	HCh	LoD	HCh	LoD	HCh	LoD
2019-2022	0.28	±0.16	0.64	±0.22	0.86	±0.15	0.57	±0.23	0.44	±0.06
2022-2023	0.64	±0.52	0.97	±0.54	0.92	±0.54	1.03	±0.52	0.64	±0.37
2023-2024	0.46	±0.17	0.95	±0.50	0.98	±0.36	1.18	±0.56	0.56	±0.16
2024-2025	0.82	±0.61	1.09	±0.54	1.38	±0.64	1.17	±0.95	1.23	±0.65
Size	0.05 km <sup>2</sup>		0.33 km <sup>2</sup>		0.27 km <sup>2</sup>		0.24 km <sup>2</sup>		0.02 km <sup>2</sup>	




**Horizontal Surface Change (m/yr), 2019-2025**



385 Fig. 6 Magnitude and pattern of our Pléiades-based rock glacier surface velocities between 2019-2025 (m/yr) for El Paso (A), Dos Lenguas (B) rock glaciers, as well as the rock glaciers with the IDs 9 (C), and 40 (D). Median rock glacier velocity generated based on our panchromatic Pléiades imagery and tracked on the landforms surface with equally, 5 m spaced points. Consequent velocities at 5 m resolution are shown on a hillshade based on the 2022 Pléiades imagery (cf. [Tab. 1](#) ~~Tab. 1~~), together with the landform polygons as mapped by IANIGLA-CONICET (2018). Colour-scale for horizontal [velocity](#)[surface change](#) applies to all subfigures.

390 The temporal evolution of rock glacier velocities for the 47 rock glaciers for which we have horizontal [surface change](#)[velocity](#) data for all time episodes [is heterogeneous](#), [Fig. 7](#) shows unchanged velocities over time, [Fig. 7A](#), independent of rock glacier size and magnitude of velocity. [Our quality control for our feature tracking approach, the residue correlation coefficients, indicate higher quality feature tracking for the time periods after 2022 consistent for the three rock glaciers, Fig. 7 B-D,](#)

395 [representative of all landforms, Fig. S8.](#)



Particularly the

smaller (< 0.1 km<sup>2</sup>) and slower (< 0.2 m/yr) rock glaciers do not show any changes in horizontal velocity over time, e.g., IDs 46-48. Within their respective LoDs, some of the faster rock glaciers show stable velocities for 2019-2024 and slightly higher velocities in 2024-2025, e.g., IDs 2, 3, 49 and Dos Lenguas rock glacier. Some rock glaciers exhibit alternations between higher velocities in 2022-2023 and 2024-2025 and lower velocities in 2019-2022 and 2023-2024, e.g., IDs 8, 13, 14, 51. No rock glacier is fastest for all time periods. The different rock glaciers fastest for a specific time period reach magnitudes of 0.86 m/yr in 2019-2022 (LoD ±0.15 m/yr, Dos Lenguas), 1.16 m/yr in 2022-2023 (LoD ±0.87-360 m/yr, ID 23), 1.18 m/yr in 2023-2024 (LoD ±0.56 m/yr, ID 9) and 1.38 m/yr in 2024-2025 (LoD ±0.64 m/yr, Dos Lenguas). Not one single rock glacier is fastest for all time periods but different rock glaciers, with highest magnitudes of 0.86 m/yr in 2019-2022 (LoD ±0.15 m/yr, Dos Lenguas), 1.16 m/yr in 2022-2023 (LoD ±0.87 m/yr, ID 23), 1.18 m/yr in 2023-2024 (LoD ±0.56 m/yr, ID 9) and 1.38 m/yr in 2024-2025 (LoD ±0.64 m/yr, Dos Lenguas).

**Fig. 7 (continued): Temporal evolution of median rock glacier surface velocity exceedance from LoD (m/yr) between 2019-2025 based on feature tracking on panchromatic Pléiades imagery. Median rock glacier velocity is calculated for the rock glacier surface based on tracked horizontal velocity at 5 m resolution. Exceedance is calculated from the corresponding LoD. Rock glaciers are**

410 attributed to the three categories when > 0 m/yr and at all time periods coherent with the respective category (A). Selected rock  
glaciers and their temporal evolution of velocities over time including their LoD and the quality indication using residue correlation  
coefficients. The latter are included as bars – one each per time period (B-D). For velocity patterns of all 47 rock glaciers including  
415 LoDs and residue correlation coefficients, see Fig. S8. Temporal evolution of median rock glacier surface velocities (m/yr) between  
2019–2025 based on feature tracking on panchromatic Pléiades imagery (solid lines, colour coding corresponds to Fig. 5). Median  
rock glacier velocity is calculated for the rock glacier surface based on tracked horizontal velocity at 5 m resolution. LoDs are shown  
as dashed lines. Residue correlation coefficients, our quality control for our feature tracking approach, are included as bars – one each per  
time period.

#### 4.5 Comparison of Pléiades- and DGNSS-based vertical and horizontal ~~surface changes~~ rock glacier velocities

420 Vertical and horizontal errors of our DGNSS measurements describe their measurement quality. The magnitude of acceptable  
error depends on the magnitude of the surface changes investigated. Our DGNSS vertical errors at all three sites (El Paso and  
Dos Lenguas rock glaciers and Agua Negra Glacial Forefield) range from 0.008 m to 0.028 m (2022, median 0.019 m), 0.01  
m to 0.076 m (2023, median 0.021 m) and 0.009 m to 0.041 m (2024, median 0.022 m). Horizontal errors are lower than  
vertical errors and range from 0.005 m to 0.017 m (2022, median 0.009 m), 0.006 m to 0.036 m (2023, median 0.012 m), and  
425 0.006 m to 0.018 m (2024, median 0.011 m). With very few exceptions on Dos Lenguas rock glacier (2023), all horizontal  
errors are below 0.02 m/yr and are comparable between the landforms. For a spatial distribution of the DGNSS errors, see Fig.  
S93. Vertical DGNSS error on El Paso rock glacier is lowest in the upper part, and for the lower part higher in 2024 than in  
2023. On Dos Lenguas rock glacier, vertical errors of the DGNSS measurements are lower in the northern part than in the  
southern part for 2022 and 2024 and higher for 2023. In the Agua Negra Glacier forefield, vertical errors are highest and more  
430 accurate for 2022 and 2023 compared to 2024.

Based on the DGNSS measurements, vertical surface changes and horizontal rock glacier velocities are calculated, serving as  
independent, in-situ based validation dataset for the Pléiades-based results, Tab. 8 and 9. While vertical surface changes on  
the El Paso rock glacier surface are positive in median, they are consistently negative for Dos Lenguas rock glacier. Both rock  
glaciers are characterized by horizontal velocities of comparable magnitude, particularly considering the effect of LoDs. In  
435 all cases, measurements outside the rock glacier surfaces are of much lower magnitude than vertical surface changes and  
horizontal velocities on the rock glacier surfaces. DGNSS-based vertical surface change measured on the rock glacier surface  
is positive with 0.16 m/yr (2023–2024; LoD  $\pm 0.03$  m/yr). Outside the rock glacier surface, DGNSS-based vertical surface  
change is 0.3 m/yr (2023–2024; LoD  $\pm 0.03$  m/yr). DGNSS-based vertical surface change is negative at all measured points  
located on the Dos Lenguas rock glacier surface, and similar in magnitude for both years (2022–2023:  $-0.19$  m/yr, LoD  $\pm 0.04$   
440 m/yr; 2023–2024:  $-0.21$  m/yr, LoD  $\pm 0.04$  m/yr). DGNSS-based vertical surface change measured outside the rock glacier are  
in median 0 m/yr (2022–2023, LoD  $\pm 0.03$  m/yr) and 0.07 m/yr (2023–2024, LoD  $\pm 0.04$  m/yr). In Agua Negra Glacial Forefield,  
the DGNSS measurements indicate vertical and horizontal surface stability with median surface changes close to zero. For a  
spatial distribution of the DGNSS-based surface changes at all three sites, see Fig. S104.

445 With the above-presented in-situ dataset, we can compare our Pléiades-based surface changes and determine differences  
between the quantified vertical surface changes and horizontal rock glacier velocities derived using the two datasets, Tab. 10

and 11. Differences on both rock glacier surfaces and in the Agua Negra Glacial Forefield correspond to less than a pixel. Given our feature tracking approach for quantifying horizontal velocities, only DGNSS points within the landform polygon and the 50 m buffer can be compared.

450 **Tab. 8 DGNSS-based vertical surface changes (m/yr, median) reached on El Paso and Dos Lenguas rock glaciers (RG), as well as in the Agua Negra Glacial Forefield. LoDs per measurement (m/yr) are provided in brackets. For the rock glaciers, surface changes are split in the rock glacier surface and the surrounding terrain, allowing for their separate investigation. Changes are provided for up to two time episodes, depending on the availability of measurements, cf. Tab. 2.**

	<u>El Paso <del>rock glacier</del>RG</u>		<u>Dos Lenguas <del>rock glacier</del>RG</u>		<u>Agua Negra Glacial Forefield</u>
	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	
<u>2022-2023</u>			<u>-0.19 (±0.04)</u>	<u>0 (±0.03)</u>	<u>0 (±0.02)</u>
<u>2023-2024</u>	<u>0.16 (±0.03)</u>	<u>0.3 (±0.03)</u>	<u>-0.21 (±0.04)</u>	<u>0.07 (±0.04)</u>	<u>0.05 (±0.03)</u>

455 **Tab. 9 DGNSS-based horizontal velocities (m/yr, median) reached on El Paso and Dos Lenguas rock glaciers (RG), as well as in the Agua Negra Glacial Forefield. For further specifics, see caption of Tab. 8.**

	<u>El Paso RG</u>		<u>Dos Lenguas RG</u>		<u>Agua Negra Glacial Forefield</u>
	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	
<u>2022-2023</u>			<u>0.80 (±0.02)</u>	<u>0.04 (±0.04)</u>	<u>0.04 (±0.01)</u>
<u>2023-2024</u>	<u>0.66 (±0.11)</u>	<u>0.07 (±0.11)</u>	<u>1.14 (±0.13)</u>	<u>0.04 (±0.11)</u>	<u>0.07 (±0.01)</u>

**Tab. 10 Differences between DGNSS- and Pléiades-based vertical surface changes (m/yr, median) on El Paso and Dos Lenguas rock glaciers (RG), as well as in the Agua Negra Glacial Forefield.**

	<u>El Paso RG</u>		<u>Dos Lenguas RG</u>		<u>Agua Negra Glacial Forefield</u>
	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	
<u>2022-2023</u>			<u>0.08</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.00</u>
<u>2023-2024</u>	<u>-0.02</u>	<u>-0.32</u>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>-0.47</u>	<u>-0.18</u>


460 **Tab. 11 Differences between DGNSS- and Pléiades-based horizontal velocities (m/yr, median) on El Paso and Dos Lenguas rock glaciers (RG), as well as in the Agua Negra Glacial Forefield.**


	<u>El Paso RG</u>		<u>Dos Lenguas RG</u>		<u>Agua Negra Glacial Forefield</u>
	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	<u>Surface</u>	<u>Not surface</u>	
<u>2022-2023</u>			<u>-0.01</u>	<u>-0.63</u>	<u>0.01</u>
<u>2023-2024</u>	<u>-0.35</u>	<u>0.03</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>-0.07</u>

465 Pléiades-based vertical surface change on El Paso rock glacier surface deviates in median by -0.02 m (2023-2024) from the DGNSS measurement, representing a difference of less than a pixel. Outside the rock glacier surface, difference in median is higher with -0.32 m (2023-2024). For horizontal surface changes on El Paso rock glacier, DGNSS measurements on the rock glacier surface are in median 0.66 m/yr (2023-2024, LoD ±0.11 m/yr). Outside the rock glacier surface, they are 0.07 m/yr (2023-2024, LoD ±0.11 m/yr). Given our feature tracking approach for quantifying horizontal surface changes, only DGNSS points within the landform polygon and the 50 m buffer can be compared. Pléiades based horizontal surface change on El Paso

470 ~~rock glacier deviates in median -0.35 m (2023-2024) from the DGNSS measurements. Outside the rock glacier surface, difference in median is lower with 0.03m (2023-2024).~~

~~On Dos Lenguas rock glacier, vertical errors of the DGNSS measurements are lower in the northern part than in the southern part for 2022 and 2024 and higher for 2023. DGNSS-based vertical surface change is negative at all measured points located on the Dos Lenguas rock glacier surface, and similar in magnitude for both years (2022-2023: -0.19 m/yr, LoD ±0.04 m/yr; 2023-2024: -0.21 m/yr, LoD ±0.04 m/yr). DGNSS-based vertical surface change measured outside the rock glacier are in median 0 m/yr (2022-2023, LoD ±0.03 m/yr) and 0.07 m/yr (2023-2024, LoD ±0.04 m/yr).~~ **The difference between extracted Pléiades DoD raster values and DGNSS measurements for time-normalized vertical surface change is 0.08 m (2022-2023) or 0.16 m (2023-2024) on the rock glacier surface and 0.32 m (2022-2023) or -0.47 m (2023-2024) off surface.**





480 **Fig. 7 (continued): Temporal evolution of median rock glacier surface velocities (m/yr) between 2019–2025 based on feature tracking on panchromatic Pléiades imagery (solid lines, colour coding corresponds to Fig. 5). Median rock glacier velocity is calculated for the rock glacier surface based on tracked horizontal velocity at 5 m resolution. LoDs are shown as dashed lines. Residue correlation coefficients, our quality control for our feature tracking approach, are included as bars — one each per time period.**

485 **DGNSS-based horizontal surface changes on Dos Lenguas rock glacier are in median 0.80 m/yr (2022–2023, LoD  $\pm 0.02$  m/yr) and 1.14 m/yr (2023–2024, LoD  $\pm 0.13$  m/yr). Off surface measurements result in 0.04 m/yr (2022–2023, LoD  $\pm 0.04$  m/yr) and 0.04 m/yr (2023–2024, LoD  $\pm 0.11$  m/yr). The difference between the DGNSS and the Pléiades based velocities is  $-0.01$  m (2022–2023) or  $0.11$  m (2023–2024) on the rock glacier surface and  $-0.63$  m (2022–2023) or  $0.01$  m (2023–2024) off surface. In the Agua Negra Glacier forefield, vertical errors are highest and more accurate for 2022 and 2023 compared to 2024. The**

490 **DGNSS measurements indicate vertical and horizontal surface stability with median vertical surface change of 0 m/yr (2022–2023, LoD  $\pm 0.02$  m/yr) and 0.05 m/yr (2023–2024, LoD  $\pm 0.03$  m/yr) and median horizontal surface change of 0.04 m/yr (2022–2023, LoD  $\pm 0.01$  m/yr) and 0.07 m/yr (2023–2024, LoD  $\pm 0.01$  m/yr). The difference between the Pléiades based and the DGNSS based surface changes in median is minimal (vertical: 2022–2023, 0.00m; 2023–2024,  $-0.18$  m; horizontal: 2022–2023,  $0.01$  m; 2023–2024,  $-0.07$  m). For a spatial distribution of the DGNSS based surface changes, see Fig. S4.**

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Co-registration factors, DEM, and vertical [surface change](#) and horizontal [velocity](#)[surface change](#) quality

The offset between the acquired panchromatic Pléiades imagery is highest in z dimension compared to the x and y dimension, Tab. 3 and Fig. S2. Linear co-registration shifts calculated over stable terrain excluding the landform surfaces do not exceed  $\pm 0.20$  m (x) and  $\pm 0.59$  m (y), [Tab. 3](#)~~Tab. 3~~. They indicate relatively good alignment between the panchromatic Pléiades acquisitions in x and y dimension even prior to our co-registration. Co-registration for the z dimension is of higher importance with correction factors up to -1.55 m (2024-2025, tile B) and 2.62 m (2019-2022, tile R), [Tab. 3](#)~~Tab. 3~~, respectively. While our shift in z direction is high compared to the x and y component, it is low compared to Rieg et al. (2018) (offsets x, y, z of 4 m, -3.2 m and 4.8 m, Pléiades-based DEMs in ERDAS IMAGINE) and Beraud et al. (2023) (offsets x, y, z of up to -9.89 m, 7.79 m, 12.40 m, Pléiades-based DEMs in ASP). As expected, our linear shifts are independent of the targeted location within the catchment, of time, and of the landforms type – supporting the technical [rather than geomorphological](#) nature of the need for co-registration. We do not see a difference in the magnitude of the correction factors needed to co-register the stereo dataset (2019), compared to the tri-stereo datasets (2022-2025). Based on the similarity of the 2019-2022 time period to the other time periods, cf. [Fig. 2](#)~~Fig. 2~~ &

[Fig. 7](#)~~Fig. 7~~, we conclude the stereo acquisition to be suitable for vertical and horizontal rock glacier surface change monitoring in the Dry Andes. In comparison, Berthier et al. (2014) conclude a moderate effect of the tristereo benefit, including the reduction of the percentage of data voids.

Artefacts or missing values in our DEMs occur in areas with steep slope. These artefacts are not related to our DEM generation but to the Pléiades data-take which includes no data values for these steep slopes due to mismatches during image correlation. In the Rodeo basin and with our processing approach, we do not see generated spikes in the DEMs as described by Ruiz and Bodin (2015). The number of artefacts in our DEMs is very small. This is mainly due to the vegetation-freeness of the study area as well as the semi-global matching strategy [which](#)~~whose~~ tend to smooth the surface of the reconstructed DEMs. With a DEM resolution of 1\_m, the DEMs used in this study are of higher resolution than used in other studies (e.g., Beraud et al. 2023; Falaschi et al. 2023; [Falaschi et al.](#), 2025; Pitte et al. 2022).

Related to our DEM generation workflow, vertical surface change cannot be monitored on all glaciers. This is due to limited heterogeneity of glacier surface characteristics leading to gaps in the DEMs as the photogrammetric processing fails to generate elevation information for these areas, cf. 4.3, [Fig. 3](#)~~Fig. 3~~E. According to Berthier et al. (2014), the Pléiades imagery's radiometric range (12bit) limits these effects compared to SPOT1-5 and ASTER. The effect is, however, also encountered by other studies (Beraud et al. 2023; Falaschi et al. 2023).

LoDs calculated as median vertical surface change at 1000 random points in the vicinity of the landforms leads to low LoDs in comparison to the magnitude of vertical surface changes, particularly for glaciers, cf. [Tab. 4](#)~~Tab. 4~~. Investigations of vertical

surface change of rock glaciers are scarce (Vivero and Lambiel 2024), particularly for studies using Pléiades imagery (e.g., Falaschi et al. 2025). Thus, a comparison of our LoD calculation with other studies ~~is being~~ extremely limited. For rock glacier velocities, all horizontal ~~surface changes velocities quantified~~ ~~calculated~~ exceed our LoDs ~~calculated as median of 1000 random point in vicinity of the rock glaciers, Fig. 6~~ ~~Fig. 6~~ & ~~Fig. 7~~ ~~Fig. 7~~. Comparatively low LoDs highlight the stability of surfaces assumed to be stable and support the suitability of panchromatic Pléiades imagery for feature tracking.

Residue correlation coefficients, here used as quality control of the feature tracking approach, cf. 3.5, are in general below 0.5 in median – independent of the respective rock glacier polygon or time period. Their variability between the time periods is higher than between the landforms, highlighting the technical nature of the ~~ir~~ correlation, cf. ~~Tab. 5~~ ~~Tab. 5~~ and Tab. ~~S42~~. The analysis of residue correlation characterises the time period 2023-2024 as particularly high in quality, not corresponding to the Pléiades tiles with the least co-registration needed, cf. ~~Tab. 3~~ ~~Tab. 3~~. Though not fully portrayed in the residue correlation coefficient, we experience the effect of image distortion to be higher on rock glaciers located on steep slopes compared to locations closer to valley bottoms. This corresponds often with smaller landforms, as larger landforms with their elongated tongues ‘flatten’ the topography by building up bodies of rock and ice, ~~cf. Fig. 7D~~ ~~rock glaciers~~.

While the use of the National Inventory of Glaciers for the landform boundaries allows upscaling our analysis, it restricts the landform surface to a static measure, rather than the surface being adapted for each year. This introduces error on the calculation of median vertical ~~surface changes~~ and horizontal ~~surface changes velocities~~ and prevents the potential detection of new landforms. We reduce the impact of the inventory by calculating vertical surface change for bounding boxes with 500 m distance to the landform polygon and with buffered polygon outlines during feature tracking. We highlight the need to display the ~~complex and variable~~ spatial distribution of surface changes within the landform, cf. ~~Fig. 3~~ ~~Fig. 3~~ & ~~Fig. 6~~ ~~Fig. 6~~, next to the calculated statistics and ~~further~~ agree with Ferri et al. (2020) that highlight the effect of the choice of an inventory, e.g., a national versus a global one.

## 5.2 Validation of Pléiades-based surface changes with DGNSS data

Difference of our Pléiades-based vertical change to the vertical change measured with the DGNSS equipment is of lowest magnitude for the stable forefield of Agua Negra Glacier, cf. 4.2 and ~~Fig. 3~~ ~~Fig. 3A~~. Higher difference correlates with a higher magnitude of vertical surface change dynamics at Dos Lenguas and El Paso rock glaciers, cf. 4.2 and ~~Fig. 3~~ ~~Fig. 3C-D~~. However, difference between the DGNSS measurements and the Pléiades-based vertical surface changes is of lower magnitude for the rock glacier surface compared to the DGNSS measurements located off-site the rock glacier surfaces, cf. 4.2. We hypothesize this difference to be caused by higher vertical accuracies of our DGNSS measurements located at the rock glacier surfaces compared to the surrounding terrain, see Fig. ~~S93~~ – paired with a very dynamic off-surface environment for El Paso rock glacier, cf. ~~Fig. 3~~ ~~Fig. 3C~~. Further, we hypothesize the higher accuracies of the DGNSS measurements on the rock glacier surfaces compared to their surroundings to stem from the elevated location on the surface allowing for a good connection between the DGNSS base and rover, compared to the off-surface DGNSS measurement locations partially obscured by the

560 rock glacier body. Similar to the comparison of DGNSS- and Pléiades-derived vertical surface changes, differences between the DGNSS- and Pléiades-derived horizontal ~~surface changes~~ velocities ~~is~~ are smallest for the Agua Negra Glacier forefield, cf. 4.2, ~~highest for El Paso rock glacier (-0.35 m, 2023-2024) and.~~ ~~Despite~~ low in general (all < 1 pixel), ~~it is highest for El Paso rock glacier (-0.35 m, 2023-2024).~~ The present spatial pattern of differences in magnitude between DGNSS measurements, Fig. S10, is equivalent to our Pléiades-based approach, supporting the feasibility of the method. ~~At Dos Lenguas~~  
565 ~~rock glacier, we encounter very little difference between DGNSS based velocities and our Pléiades approach for the rock glacier surface (-0.01 m, 2022-2023 and 0.11 m, 2023-2024).~~

### 5.3 Vertical surface change of ~~(debris-covered)~~ glaciers in the Rodeo Basin

Our Pléiades-based vertical surface changes reveal glaciers at higher elevation and of larger size to be less prone to surface lowering, cf. ~~Fig. 2~~ Fig. 2B-C. This is in agreement with the temperature gradient and Al-Yaari et al. (2023) that find small  
570 glaciers to be affected by more pronounced loss – supporting the suitability of our approach. We refrain from calculating glacier mass balances given our limited *in-situ* knowledge on glacier ice density. ~~Before comparing our results to the literature,~~ we highlight the effect of glacier surface delineation (cf. 5.1), as also discussed by, e.g., Ferri et al. (2020). The glacier we refer to with ID 71 as mapped by IANIGLA-CONICET (2018), cf. Fig. 3 ~~Fig. 3B, is split in two WGMS glacier IDs (32927, 32920). Based on the geodetic method, its mean annual elevation change is attributed values ranging from -0.2 m/yr (2000-2012) to -0.5 (2009-2014) for the first WGMS ID and predominantly around -0.4 m/yr for different measurement periods between 1999 and 2019 for the second (Dussaillant et al. 2019; Braun et al. 2019; Hugonnet et al. 2021) -~~ This contrast ~~ings~~ with our Pléiades-based quantification of surface changes, Tab. 6 ~~Tab. 6, due to these differences in delineation.~~  
575 Tab. 6

Pitte et al. (2022) calculate mass balances ranging between -0.79 m w. e. (2014-2025, cumulative for entire glaciological year) and -3.67 m w.e. (2020-2021, see before) for Agua Negra Glacier based on the glaciological method (Cogley et al. 2011).  
580 Their annually repeated measurements are all negative and increase in time with one exception (2016-2017), indicating accelerated downwasting ~~as~~ in agreement with literature (Dussaillant et al. 2019; Masiokas et al. 2020; Ferri et al. 2020). Based on DEM differencing using, among others, Pléiades-based DEMs, Pitte et al. (2022) report a generalized thinning with high magnitudes at lower elevations which we can attest with our data, cf. Fig. 3 ~~Fig. 3A~~. Pitte et al. (2022) present vertical surface changes of ca. 1 m/yr between 2013-2019 in the Agua Negra centre and upper parts and changes of ca. 2 m/yr between 2013-  
585 2019 in the lower and western parts. This corresponds in magnitude with our vertical change results for Agua Negra Glacier as well as in pattern, Tab. 6 ~~Tab. 6~~ and Fig. 3 ~~Fig. 3A~~. Pitte et al. (2022) further report a 23 % reduction of the Agua Negra surface area between 1959 to 2019. While not part of this study we highlight the suitability of panchromatic and even multispectral Pléiades imagery to continue the assessment of surface area changes using our Pléiades acquisitions, cf. Tab. 1 ~~Tab. 1~~. Ayala et al. (2025) estimate a 35 % area loss of the debris-free area of Tapado glacier between 1956-2024 located in  
590 the neighbouring catchment in Chile – elucidating a similarity of glacier response on both sides of the Andes. I ~~while~~ in contrast, they ~~observe~~ ing an increase of the debris-covered area ~~for~~ Tapado glacier which reduces comparability to Agua Negra glacier that does not have a debris-covered part. ~~The glacier we refer to with ID 71 as mapped by IANIGLA-CONICET~~

(2018), cf. Fig. 3B, is split in two WGMS glacier IDs (32927, 32920). Based on geodetic method, its mean annual elevation change is attributed values ranging from -0.2 m/yr (2000-2012) to -0.5 (2009-2014) for the first WGMS ID and predominantly around -0.4 m/yr for different measurement periods between 1999 and 2019 for the second (Dussaillant et al. 2019; Braun et al. 2019; Hugonnet et al. 2021). This contrasts with our Pléiades-based quantification of surface changes, Tab. 6, and strongly highlights the effect of glacier surface delineation (cf. 5.1), as also discussed by, e.g., by Ferri et al. (2020).

As expected, given their higher ice content compared to rock glaciers, vertical surface change is higher on the debris-covered glaciers in the Rodeo basin compared to the rock glaciers, Fig. 2A. This is in agreement with Ferri et al. (2020) that find mass balances of higher magnitude for debris-covered glaciers compared to rock glaciers based on the ASTERIX method (Dussaillant et al. 2019) in the Central Andes (30° to 37°). For this study, however, we highlight that the three debris-covered glaciers are too little in number to draw representative conclusions upon their vertical surface change behaviour – particularly on detailed ablation patterns or the development of supraglacial ponds or ice cliffs as conducted, e.g., in Ayala et al. (2025) and Falaschi et al. (2021). Ayala et al. (2016) find similar streamflow contributions of debris-covered glaciers compared to glaciers, highlighting their hydrological significance. This significance contrasts with a strong underrepresentation of studies on debris-covered glaciers in glaciological studies (Masiokas et al. 2020).

Ferri et al. (2020) detect for the Central Andes (30° to 37°) highest mass balance losses for partly debris-covered glaciers, followed by clean ice glaciers and contrasting with completely debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers characterised by almost zero mass balances (e.g., rock glaciers:  $-0.02 \pm 0.19$  m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>, 2000-2018). Except for the partly debris-covered glaciers which we do not address here, this is well reflected in our results, cf. Fig. 2A. It highlights the strong differences in vertical surface change behaviour between the landforms. The question here is the comparability between the meaning of vertical surface changes on glaciers and rock glaciers, which is why we focus on rock glacier velocities as indicator of (in)stability of permafrost conditions in the next chapter.

#### 5.4 Vertical surface change of debris-covered glaciers in the Rodeo Basin

As expected, given their higher ice content compared to rock glaciers, vertical surface change is higher on the debris-covered glaciers in the Rodeo basin compared to the rock glaciers, Fig. 2A. This is in agreement with Ferri et al. (2020) that find mass balances of higher magnitude for debris-covered glaciers compared to rock glaciers based on the ASTERIX method (Dussaillant et al. 2019) in the Central Andes (30° to 37°). For this study, however, we highlight that the three debris-covered glaciers are too little in number to draw representative conclusions upon their vertical surface change behaviour – particularly on detailed ablation patterns or the development of supraglacial ponds or ice cliffs as conducted, e.g., in Ayala et al. (2025) and Falaschi et al. (2021). Ayala et al. (2016) find similar streamflow contributions of debris-covered glaciers compared to glaciers, highlighting their hydrological significance. This significance contrasts with a strong underrepresentation of studies on debris-covered glaciers in glaciological studies (Masiokas et al. 2020).

Ferri et al. (2020) detect for the Central Andes (30° to 37°) highest mass balance losses for partly debris-covered glaciers, followed by clean ice glaciers and contrasting with completely debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers characterised by

almost zero mass balances (e. g., rock glaciers:  $-0.02 \pm 0.19$  m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>, 2000-2018). Aside the partly debris-covered glaciers which we do not address here, this is well reflected in our results, cf. Fig. 2 Fig. 2A. It highlights the strong differences in vertical surface change behaviour between the landforms. The question here is the comparability between the meaning of vertical surface changes on glaciers and rock glaciers, which is why we focus on rock glacier horizontal velocities as indicator of the (in)stability of permafrost conditions in the next chapter.

## 5.5 Rock glacier kinematics in the Rodeo Basin

For Dos Lenguas rock glacier, our Pléiades-based rock glacier vertical surface changes, Tab. 6 Tab. 6, — and horizontal velocities, Tab. 7 Tab. 7, are in good agreement with UAV-based rock glacier vertical surface changes and horizontal velocities surface changes for Dos Lenguas rock glacier available for 2016-2018 (Halla et al. 2021) and 2016-2024 (Stammler et al. 2025a), both in terms of magnitude, Fig. 8 Fig. 8, and pattern. In terms of pattern, highest values of 1.5 m/yr to 2 m/yr are reached in the upper zone, both in Fig. 6 Fig. 6B as well as the literature (Halla et al. 2021; Stammler et al. 2025a).

Strozzi et al. (2020) quantify horizontal surface velocities ranging between 1.5 m/yr to 2 m/yr (2015-2020) for the upper part of Dos Lenguas rock glacier, based on interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) and offset tracking. These projected values are in good agreement with our Pléiades-based horizontal velocities, Fig. 8 Fig. 8. While we acknowledge the challenges surrounding the comparison of optical and radar imagery-based rock glacier surface change quantification (view angles, different sources of error, different time periods, etc.) and caution a detailed comparison other than the comparison of general magnitude, we highlight the inter-methodological agreement of magnitude between the UAV-, Pléiades-, and InSAR-based investigations.

Except for Dos Lenguas rock glacier, no other rock glaciers in Rodeo basin have been monitored for surface change. This highlights the great benefit of the remotely sensed analysis and its scalability compared to, e.g., UAV-based rock glacier surface change monitoring, as the number of rock glaciers investigated is unprecedented and such comparisons would else not be possible. Median horizontal surface changes for all rock glaciers investigated in this study, Tab. 4 Tab. 4 and Tab. 6 Tab. 6, are in agreement in terms of magnitude with average velocities of  $0.54 \pm 0.03$  m/yr for rock glaciers in the neighbouring La Laguna catchment (Chile) as detected by Robson et al. (2022).

~~We hypothesize that the three groups we identify based on our basin wide rock glacier surface change investigation, Fig. 5, are indicative of different driving mechanisms. Here, fast and large rock glaciers at high elevation and low slope are volume and creep dominated, see vertical surface change patterns in Fig. 3 and horizontal velocity pattern indicative of extensional and compressional flow in Fig. 6A-C. As fast and small rock glaciers concur with high elevation with most importantly high slope, we infer gravitational force to have a strong impact — supported by missing creep surface morphology in, e.g., Fig. 6D. Small rock glaciers located at lower elevation with variable slope are slow to non moving — potentially with the temperature gradient having the strongest effect on the low activity to inactivity. Fast rock glaciers, independent of their size, are characterised by coherent areas of positive vertical surface changes on the rock glaciers front, cf. Fast rock glaciers,~~


independent of their size, are characterised by coherent areas of positive vertical surface changes on the rock glaciers front, caused by the rock glaciers horizontal movement, Fig. 3C-D, caused by the rock glaciers horizontal movement blue area on rock glacier front.

We do not detect a regional trend in increasing rock glacier velocities in the Rodeo basin between 2019-2025,

~~Fig. 7~~Fig. 7. All horizontal velocities quantified with our method remain unchanged within the time period monitored. This absence of such a trend is independent of a rock glaciers size, median horizontal velocity, elevation, or slope. Apart from the median horizontal velocity exceedance from LoD which facilitates a comparison among the different rock glaciers, Fig. 7B-D as well as Fig. S8 portray the unprecedented strength of the dataset including the median horizontal velocity for each of the rock glaciers for each time period together with the respective LoD and our quality control – the residues' correlation coefficient. For many rock glaciers, including the fast and large and fast and small, the 2019–2024 period is characterised by very stable conditions, while the 2024–2025 time period is slightly higher. We cannot confirm the significance of this increase given our LoDs and the potential of an outlier year, but can confirm no similarly high velocities for 2019–2024, rendering them unprecedented in our dataset. Thus, we highlight the strong need for continued monitoring of rock glaciers in this basin in this potentially dynamic point in time. Slow and small rock glaciers do not show any activity above the LoD, confirming our conclusion on little activity. A plausible explanation for the absence of a basin-wide acceleration is that, in this semi-arid Andean setting, persistently low precipitation may limit both seasonal snow insulation and liquid-water input into the active layer, thereby damping interannual variability in ground thermal conditions and hydro-mechanical softening that can otherwise promote speed-ups in rock glacier creep (Cicoira et al., 2019). This interpretation is consistent with evidence that aridity strongly constrains permafrost thermal regimes in the Dry Andes (Koenig et al., 2025) and with recent findings that precipitation scarcity can contribute to comparatively stable rock glacier behaviour (Stammler et al., 2025a). Under this hypothesis, the slightly higher velocities for large rock glaciers in 2023-2024 would reflect short-lived departures from typical moisture limitation (e.g., an anomalously wet season) similar to the variability described in Halla et al. (2021), a possibility that requires confirmation using local precipitation and/or snow proxies and longer kinematic time series.

The~~s~~ lack of a regional trend in increasing velocities determined in this study elucidates stable permafrost conditions in Rodeo basin during 2019-2025. While we acknowledge the limitations of our short monitoring period, we highlight the data scarcity in this region of the world – particularly also for rock glacier monitoring (Hu et al. 2025). The detected lack of a regional trend is in agreement with a longer-term monitoring (1968-2023) by Blöthe et al. (2024) who identify unchanged rock glacier velocities in the Valles Calchagués region (24° to 25°S, Argentinean Andes) and Falaschi et al. (2025) who report a mixed signal of acceleration and deceleration of rock glacier velocities in Central Patagonia (47°S, Argentinean Andes) between 2018 and 2023. It contrasts with findings in the Alps (Kellerer-Pirklbauer et al., 2024; Manchado et al. 2024; Marcer et al. 2021) and~~or~~ North America (Kääb and Røste 2024). Based on borehole measurements, Koenig et al. (2025) find no clear warming indication for ground temperatures in the Andes (27° to 34°) - further supporting the implication on permafrost conditions of our quantification of rock glacier velocities. We highlight the strong need for continued monitoring of rock glaciers in this basin in this potentially dynamic point in time.

695



**Fig. 8 Inter-method comparison between our Pléiades-based rock glacier velocity compared to Sentinel-1 InSAR (Strozzi et al. 2020; white, grey and black dots) and UAV-based rock glacier velocity (Stammler et al. 2025a; red and dark-red solid lines), all for a coordinate located in the upper part of Dos Lenguas rock glacier, see Fig. 6 Fig-6B. The colour of the dots corresponds to differences in time interval caused by the observation scenarios of the Sentinel-1A and 1B satellites. The InSAR-based velocity is measured along LOS and is projected along the maximum slope direction, for details please see Strozzi et al. (2020). Given this projection, we do not reproject the optically-derived velocities (solid lines, red: UAV, dark red: Pléiades). Dashed lines indicate the corresponding LoDs. Note that horizontal velocities reached in the upper part of Dos Lenguas rock glacier are higher than respective median values.**

700

## 6 Conclusions

705

All glaciers in our study area of the Rodeo basin, located in the Dry Andes, are characterised by surface lowering. Agua Negra Glacier stands out with a maximum total cumulative surface lowering of -8.99 m (2019-2025). Vertical surface change based on Pléiades DEM differencing confirm smaller glaciers and glaciers at lower altitude to be prone to higher vertical surface lowering. Vertical surface changes on debris-covered glaciers are of a much lesser magnitude compared to glaciers, and of a higher magnitude than rock glaciers. Rock glaciers are characterised by minimal median vertical surface changes with high variability of negative and positive balances in time.

710

In contrast to the decline in the glacial domain, we do not see a regional trend of increasing rock glacier velocities for 2019-2025. This trend is absent independent of a rock glaciers size, median horizontal velocity, elevation, or slope. Magnitudes of

Rock glacier velocities are heterogeneously present across the basin, with our monitoring for the entire basin allowing ~~for for~~ ~~a differentiation of driving mechanisms, such as dominance by creep and volume, gravity and temperature~~ showing fastest ~~creep rates for the rock glaciers with the largest surface areas~~. Monitoring 47 rock glaciers further highlights that those velocities are heterogeneously present within the landforms, with similar magnitudes of change partially reached in the upper, and partially the lower part of respective landforms.

Both for vertical surface change and horizontal velocity~~surface change~~, our validation of the Pléiades-based quantification with repeated DGNSS measurements at three selected sites with in total 78 DGNSS measurements indicate minor differences below one pixel and supports the suitability of Pléiades imagery for cryospheric landform monitoring. An inter-method comparison of the rock glacier velocities (Pléiades with UAV and InSAR) further enhances this conclusion. Pléiades imagery comes with the disadvantage of the need for tasking, particularly in areas characterized by data scarcity where archive coverage is poor. Initiatives like the Pléiades Glacier Observatory (Berthier et al. 2024) reduce this barrier by enabling access to selected image pairs and DEMs. Despite the remaining challenge in access, Pléiades imagery provides unseen opportunities specifically in remote areas where (physical) access is challenged. We find both stereo and tristereo acquisitions to be suitable for DEM generation at high resolution and with low error, while panchromatic Pléiades imagery particularly with its independence from colour nuances and changing daylight~~ing~~ conditions provides a suitable basis for feature tracking. The possibility to increase the spatial coverage of the surface change monitoring to, e.g., catchment scale along with the benefit of monitoring surface change across landform types enables more interdisciplinary studies in the glaciological, geomorphological and hydrological fields.

Based on our comparison of vertical surface changes ~~and horizontal velocities~~~~surface changes~~ in the glacial and periglacial domains of the Rodeo basin and our analysis of rock glacier horizontal velocities, we conclude a delayed response of the permafrost landforms to the increasing temperatures that are declining the glaciers and debris-covered glaciers alike. We further hypothesize that the absence of basin-wide rock glacier acceleration is partly linked to extreme aridity, as persistently low precipitation can reduce snow insulation and liquid-water input to the active layer, damping inter-annual variability in ground thermal conditions and limiting kinematic changes. Given the hydrological significance of all meltwaters, we highlight the strong need for continued monitoring of surface changes in the glacial and periglacial domains, supported by interdisciplinary studies focusing on their potential interaction.

#### Author contributions

MS: a, b, c, d, h, i; JB: a, c, d, f, g, i, DC: c, d, e, f, i, SE: c, d, f, i, RB: e, i, XB: e, i, LS: b, g, i

(a) conceptualization; (b) funding acquisition; (c) methodology (including methodological development); (d) investigation; (e) resources (provision of data etc); (f) software (its provision and development); (g) supervision; (h) writing – initial draft; and (i) writing – reviewing and editing.

Competing interests: The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

## Data availability

The Pléiades imagery used in this study are commercial, but programs to facilitate academic access exist. These can be accessed via the CNES/Airbus DS Pléiades archive. The derived vertical surface changes for glaciers, debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers, the derived rock glacier velocities and the DGNSS measurements ~~used for validation will be published as~~ [are available on dataset in PANGAEA \(Stammler et al. 2026\)](#). The repository with the image tracking framework ~~is~~ [will be made](#) available on GITHUB ([Ebert and Rehn 2026](#)).

## Acknowledgements

This research is funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG, 461744503). M. Stammler further acknowledges funding by the German Scholarship Foundation. The generation of the Pléiades-derived products (DEMs and orthoimages) was performed using Ames Stereo Pipeline software (Bayer et al., 2018) and the GRICAD infrastructure (<https://gricad.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr>), which is supported by Grenoble research communities. We are thankful for the mapping efforts of the National Inventory of Glaciers without which studies like this would not be possible. The authors thank Tamara Köhler, Diana Agostina Ortiz, Fabian Flöck, Philipp Reichartz, Till Wenzel, Manon Cramer, Florian Wester, Kathrin Förster and Gabriele Kraus for their help during fieldwork. We further thank our collaboration partners at IANIGLA-CONICET in Mendoza/Argentina, particularly Fidel Roig and Dario Trombotto Liaudat as well as Cristian Villaroel (CIGEOBIO-CONICET, San Juan/Argentina). Lastly, we thank Tazio Strozzi for sharing their Sentinel-1 InSAR data points.

## References

Al-Yaari, Amen; Condom, Thomas; Junquas, Clementine; Rabatel, Antoine; Ramseyer, Victor; Sicart, Jean-Emmanuel et al. (2023): Climate Variability and Glacier Evolution at Selected Sites Across the World: Past Trends and Future Projections. In *Earth's Future* 11 (10), Article e2023EF003618, e2023EF003618. DOI: 10.1029/2023EF003618.

Arenson, Lukas U.; Harrington, Jordan S.; Koenig, Cassandra E. M.; Wainstein, Pablo A. (2022): Mountain Permafrost Hydrology—A Practical Review Following Studies from the Andes. In *Geosciences* 12 (2), p. 48. DOI: 10.3390/geosciences12020048.

ASTRIUM (2012): Pléiades Imagery User Guide. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.

Ayala, A.; Pellicciotti, F.; MacDonell, S.; McPhee, J.; Vivero, S.; Campos, C.; Egli, P. (2016): Modelling the hydrological response of debris-free and debris-covered glaciers to present climatic conditions in the semiarid Andes of central Chile. In *Hydrol. Process.* 30 (22), pp. 4036–4058. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.10971.

Ayala, Álvaro; Robson, Benjamin; Navarro, Gonzalo; MacDonell, Shelley; Kinnard, Christophe; Vivero, Sebastián et al. (2025): Monitoring the physical processes driving the mass loss of Tapado Glacier, Dry Andes of Chile. In *J. Glaciol.* 71, e52. DOI: 10.1017/jog.2025.24.

- Bagnardi, Marco; González, Pablo J.; Hooper, Andrew (2016): High-resolution digital elevation model from tri-stereo Pleiades-1 satellite imagery for lava flow volume estimates at Fogo Volcano. In *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43 (12), pp. 6267–6275. DOI: 10.1002/2016GL069457.
- 780 Barsch, Dietrich (1992): Permafrost creep and rockglaciers. In *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* 3 (3), pp. 175–188. DOI: 10.1002/ppp.3430030303.
- [Barsch, Dietrich \(1996\): Rockglaciers. Indicators for the Present and Former Geoecology in High Mountain Environments. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.](#)
- Beraud, Luc; Cusicanqui, Diego; Rabatel, Antoine; Brun, Fanny; Vincent, Christian; Six, Delphine (2023): Glacier-wide  
785 seasonal and annual geodetic mass balances from Pléiades stereo images: application to the Glacier d'Argentière, French Alps. In *J. Glaciol.* 69 (275), pp. 525–537. DOI: 10.1017/jog.2022.79.
- Berthier, E.; Vincent, C.; Magnússon, E.; Gunnlaugsson, Á. P.; Pitte, P.; Le Meur, E. et al. (2014): Glacier topography and elevation changes derived from Pléiades sub-meter stereo images. In *The Cryosphere* 8 (6), pp. 2275–2291. DOI: 10.5194/tc-8-2275-2014.
- 790 Berthier, Etienne; Lebreton, Jérôme; Fontannaz, Delphine; Hosford, Steven; Belart, Joaquin Munoz Cobo; Brun, Fanny et al. (2024): The Pléiades Glacier Observatory: high resolution digital elevation models and ortho-imagery to monitor glacier change. In *The Cryosphere* 18, pp. 5551–5571. DOI: 10.5194/tc-18-5551-2024.
- Beyer, Ross A.; Alexandrov, Oleg; McMichael, Scott (2018): The Ames Stereo Pipeline: NASA's Open Source Software for Deriving and Processing Terrain Data. In *Earth and Space Science* 5 (9), pp. 537–548. DOI: 10.1029/2018EA000409.
- 795 Blöthe, Jan Henrik; Falaschi, Daniel; Vivero, Sebastián; Tadono, Takeo (2024): Rock Glacier Kinematics in the Valles Calchaquíes Region, Northwestern Argentina, From Multi-Temporal Aerial and Satellite Imagery (1968–2023). In *Permafrost & Periglacial*, Article ppp.2260. DOI: 10.1002/ppp.2260.
- Blöthe, Jan Henrik; Halla, Christian; Schwalbe, Ellen; Bottegal, Estefania; Trombotto Liaudat, Dario; Schrott, Lothar  
800 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 46 (2), pp. 504–522. DOI: 10.1002/esp.5042.
- Bodin, X.; Rojas, F.; Brenning, A. (2010): Status and evolution of the cryosphere in the Andes of Santiago (Chile, 33.5°S.). In *Geomorphology* 118 (3-4), pp. 453–464. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.02.016.
- Borsdorf, Axel; Stadel, Christoph (2013): Die Anden. Ein geographisches Portrait. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Braun, Matthias H.; Malz, Philipp; Sommer, Christian; Farías-Barahona, David; Sauter, Tobias; Casassa, Gino et al. (2019):  
805 Constraining glacier elevation and mass changes in South America. In *Nature Clim Change* 9 (2), pp. 130–136. DOI: 10.1038/s41558-018-0375-7.

- Caro, Alexis; Condom, Thomas; Rabatel, Antoine; Champollion, Nicolas; García, Nicolás; Saavedra, Freddy (2024): Hydrological response of Andean catchments to recent glacier mass loss. In *The Cryosphere* 18 (5), pp. 2487–2507. DOI: 10.5194/tc-18-2487-2024.
- 810 [Cicoira, A., Beutel, J., Faillettaz, J., Gärtner-Roer, I., and Vieli, A. \(2019\): Resolving the influence of temperature forcing through heat conduction on rock glacier dynamics: a numerical modelling approach. \*The Cryosphere\*, 13, pp. 927–942. DOI: 10.5194/tc-13-927-2019.](#)
- Cogley, J. G.; R. Hock; L.A. Rasmussen; A.A. Arendt; A. Bauder, R. BraithwaiteJ.; P. Jansson et al. (2011): Glossary of glacier mass balance and related terms. Paris, France (IHP-VII Technical Documents in Hydrology No. 86, IACS Contribution No. 2, UNESCO-IHP). Available online at [https://wgms.ch/downloads/Cogley\\_et\\_al\\_2011.pdf](https://wgms.ch/downloads/Cogley_et_al_2011.pdf).
- 815 Cusicanqui, Diego; Bodin, Xavier; Duvillard, Pierre-Allain; Schoeneich, Philippe; Revil, André; Assier, Alain et al. (2023): Glacier, permafrost and thermokarst interactions in Alpine terrain: Insights from seven decades of reconstructed dynamics of the Chauvet glacial and periglacial system (Southern French Alps). In *Earth Surf Processes Landf* 48 (13), pp. 2595–2612. DOI: 10.1002/esp.5650.
- 820 Cusicanqui, Diego; Lacroix, Pascal; Bodin, Xavier; Robson, Benjamin Aubrey; Kääh, Andreas; MacDonell, Shelley (2025): Detection and reconstruction of rock glacier kinematics over 24 years (2000–2024) from Landsat imagery. In *The Cryosphere* 19 (7), pp. 2559–2581. DOI: 10.5194/tc-19-2559-2025.
- Dall’Asta, Elisa; Forlani, Gianfranco; Roncella, Riccardo; Santise, Marina; Diotri, Fabrizio; Di Morra Cella, Umberto (2017): Unmanned Aerial Systems and DSM matching for rock glacier monitoring. In *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 127, pp. 102–114. DOI: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.10.003.
- 825 Dussailant, I.; Berthier, E.; Brun, F.; Masiokas, M.; Hugonnet, R.; Favier, V. et al. (2019): Two decades of glacier mass loss along the Andes. In *Nat. Geosci.* 12 (10), pp. 802–808. DOI: 10.1038/s41561-019-0432-5.
- [Ebert, Simon.; Rehn, Lisa \(2026\): PyImageTrack. A Python library implementing feature tracking approaches based on the normalized cross-correlation and least-squares matching for usage on rock glaciers. GitHub repository.](#)
- 830 <https://github.com/SimonEbert/PyImageTrack>
- Esper Angillieri, María Yanina (2017): Permafrost distribution map of San Juan Dry Andes (Argentina) based on rock glacier sites. In *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 73, pp. 42–49. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsames.2016.12.002.
- Falaschi, Daniel; Bhattacharya, Atanu; Guillet, Gregoire; Huang, Lei; King, Owen; Mukherjee, Kriti et al. (2023): Annual to seasonal glacier mass balance in High Mountain Asia derived from Pléiades stereo images: examples from the Pamir and the Tibetan Plateau. In *The Cryosphere* 17 (12), pp. 5435–5458. DOI: 10.5194/tc-17-5435-2023.
- 835

- Falaschi, Daniel; Blöthe, Jan; Berthier, Etienne; Tadono, Takeo; Villalba, Ricardo (2025): Monitoring recent (2018–2023) glacier and rock glacier changes in Central Patagonia using high-resolution Pléiades and ALOS PRISM satellite data. In *Front. Earth Sci.* 13, Article 1601249, p. 1601249. DOI: 10.3389/feart.2025.1601249.
- Falaschi, Daniel; Rivera, Andrés; Lo Vecchio Repetto, Andrés; Moragues, Silvana; Villalba, Ricardo; Rastner, Philipp et al. 840 (2021): Evolution of Surface Characteristics of Three Debris-Covered Glaciers in the Patagonian Andes From 1958 to 2020. In *Front. Earth Sci.* 9, Article 671854, p. 671854. DOI: 10.3389/feart.2021.671854.
- Ferri, Lidia; Dussaillant, Inés; Zalazar, Laura; Masiokas, Mariano H.; Ruiz, Lucas; Pitte, Pierre et al. (2020): Ice Mass Loss in the Central Andes of Argentina Between 2000 and 2018 Derived From a New Glacier Inventory and Satellite Stereo-Imagery. In *Frontiers in Earth Science* 8, p. 530997. DOI: 10.3389/feart.2020.530997.
- 845 Fleischer, Fabian; Haas, Florian; Piermattei, Livia; Pfeiffer, Madlene; Heckmann, Tobias; Altmann, Moritz et al. (2021): Multi-decadal (1953–2017) rock glacier kinematics analysed by high-resolution topographic data in the upper Kaunertal, Austria. In *The Cryosphere* 15 (12), pp. 5345–5369. DOI: 10.5194/tc-15-5345-2021.
- Gruber, S. (2012): Derivation and analysis of a high-resolution estimate of global permafrost zonation. In *The Cryosphere* 6 (1), pp. 221–233. DOI: 10.5194/tc-6-221-2012.
- 850 Halla, Christian; Blöthe, Jan Henrik; Tapia Baldis, Carla; Trombotto, Dario; Hilbich, Christin; Hauck, Christian; Schrott, Lothar (2021): Ice content and interannual water storage changes of an active rock glacier in the dry Andes of Argentina. In *The Cryosphere* 15 (2), 1187-1213 DOI: 10.5194/tc-15-1187-2021.
- Hu, Yan; Arenson, Lukas U.; Barboux, Chloé; Bodin, Xavier; Cicoira, Alessandro; Delaloye, Reynald et al. (2025): Rock Glacier Velocity: An Essential Climate Variable Quantity for Permafrost. In *Reviews of Geophysics* 63 (1), Article 855 e2024RG000847, e2024RG000847. DOI: 10.1029/2024RG000847.
- Hugonnet, Romain; McNabb, Robert; Berthier, Etienne; Menounos, Brian; Nuth, Christopher; Girod, Luc et al. (2021): Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century. In *Nature* 592 (7856), pp. 726–731. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z.
- IANIGLA-CONICET (2018): ANIGLA-Inventario Nacional de Glaciares y Ambiente Periglacial. Informe de la subcuenca 860 del río Blanco. Cuenca del río San Juan. With assistance of Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sustentable de la Nación.
- Kääb, Andreas; Røste, Julie (2024): Rock glaciers across the United States predominantly accelerate coincident with rise in air temperatures. In *Nat Commun* 15 (1), p. 7581. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-024-52093-z.
- [Kellerer-Pirklbauer, A., Bodin, X., Delaloye, R., Lambiel, C., Gärtner-Roer, I., Bonnefoy-Demongeot, M., et al. \(2024\): Acceleration and interannual variability of creep rates in mountain permafrost landforms \(rock glacier velocities\) in the European Alps in 1995–2022. \*Environmental Research Letters\*, 19\(3\), 34022. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/ad25a4](#)

- Koenig, Cassandra E. M.; Hilbich, Christin; Hauck, Christian; Arenson, Lukas U.; Wainstein, Pablo (2025): Thermal state of permafrost in the Central Andes (27–34° S). In *The Cryosphere* 19 (7), pp. 2653–2676. DOI: 10.5194/tc-19-2653-2025.
- Köhler, Tamara; Schoch-Baumann, Anna; Bell, Rainer; Buckel, Johannes; Ortiz, Diana Agostina; Liaudat, Dario Trombotto; Schrott, Lothar (2025): Expanding cryospheric landform inventories – quantitative approaches for underestimated periglacial block- and talus slopes in the Dry Andes of Argentina. In *Front. Earth Sci.* 13, Article 1534410, p. 1534410. DOI: 870 10.3389/feart.2025.1534410.
- Lenzano, María Gabriela; Lenzano, Luis; Barón, Jorge; Lannutti, Esteban; Durand, Marcelo; Trombotto Liaudat, Dario (2016): Thinning of the Horcones inferior debris-covered glacier, derived from five ablation seasons by semi-continuous GNSS geodetic surveys (Mt. Aconcagua, Argentina). In *andgeo* 43 (1), p. 47. DOI: 10.5027/andgeoV43n1-a03.
- 875 Lliboutry, L.; Williams, R.; Ferrigno, Jane G. (1998): *Glaciers of Chile and Argentina*. Geological Survey professional paper. Washington.
- Manchado, Alberto Muñoz-Torrero; Allen, Simon; Cicoira, Alessandro; Wiesmann, Samuel; Haller, Ruedi; Stoffel, Markus (2024): 100 years of monitoring in the Swiss National Park reveals overall decreasing rock glacier velocities. In *Commun Earth Environ* 5 (1). DOI: 10.1038/s43247-024-01302-0.
- 880 [Marcer, M., Cicoira, A., Cusicanqui, D., Bodin, X., Echelard, T., Obregon, R., et al. \(2021\): Rock glaciers throughout the French Alps accelerated and destabilised since 1990 as air temperatures increased. \*Communications Earth & Environment\*, 2\(1\), 81. DOI: 10.1038/s43247-021-00150-6](#)
- Masiokas, M. H.; Rabatel, A.; Rivera, A.; Ruiz, L.; Pitte, P.; Ceballos, J. L. et al. (2020): A Review of the Current State and Recent Changes of the Andean Cryosphere. In *Front. Earth Sci.* 8, Article 99. DOI: 10.3389/feart.2020.00099.
- 885 Nuth, C.; Kääb, A. (2011): Co-registration and bias corrections of satellite elevation data sets for quantifying glacier thickness change. In *The Cryosphere* 5 (1), pp. 271–290. DOI: 10.5194/tc-5-271-2011.
- Pabón-Caicedo, José Daniel; Arias, Paola A.; Carril, Andrea F.; Espinoza, Jhan Carlo; Borrel, Lluís Fita; Goubanova, Katerina et al. (2020): Observed and Projected Hydroclimate Changes in the Andes. In *Front. Earth Sci.* 8, Article 61. DOI: 10.3389/feart.2020.00061.
- 890 Pitte, Pierre; Masiokas, Mariano; Gargantini, Hernán; Ruiz, Lucas; Berthier, Etienne; Ferri Hidalgo, Lidia et al. (2022): Recent mass-balance changes of Agua Negra glacier (30°S) in the Desert Andes of Argentina. In *J. Glaciol.* 68 (272), pp. 1197–1209. DOI: 10.1017/jog.2022.22.
- Réveillet, Marion; MacDonell, Shelley; Gascoin, Simon; Kinnard, Christophe; Lhermitte, Stef; Schaffer, Nicole (2020): Impact of forcing on sublimation simulations for a high mountain catchment in the semiarid Andes. In *The Cryosphere* 14 895 (1), pp. 147–163. DOI: 10.5194/tc-14-147-2020.

- RGIK (2022): IPA Action Group: Rock glacier inventories and kinematics. 22 Newsletter (04.02.3022): Rock glacier velocity as an associated parameter of ECV Permafrost. Available online at <https://www.unifr.ch/geo/geomorphology/en/research/ipa-action-group-rock-glacier/>, updated on 6/30/2023, checked on 6/30/2023.
- 900 RGIK (2023): Rock Glacier Velocity as an associated parameter of ECV Permafrost. Baseline Concepts Version 3.2.
- Rieg, Lorenzo; Klug, Christoph; Nicholson, Lindsey; Sailer, Rudolf (2018): Pléiades Tri-Stereo Data for Glacier Investigations—Examples from the European Alps and the Khumbu Himal. In *Remote Sensing* 10 (10), p. 1563. DOI: 10.3390/rs10101563.
- Robson, Benjamin Aubrey; MacDonell, Shelley; Ayala, Álvaro; Bolch, Tobias; Nielsen, Pål Ringkjøb; Vivero, Sebastián  
905 (2022): Glacier and rock glacier changes since the 1950s in the La Laguna catchment, Chile. In *The Cryosphere* 16 (2), pp. 647–665. DOI: 10.5194/tc-16-647-2022.
- Ruiz, L.; Bodin, Xavier (2015): Analysis and improvement of surface representativeness of high resolution Pléiades DEMs: Examples from glaciers and rock glaciers in two areas of the Andes. In *Geomorphometry.org*. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.4328.2963.
- 910 Schrott, Lothar (1994): Die Solarstrahlung als steuernder Faktor im Geosystem der subtropischen semiariden Hochanden (Agua Negra, San Juan, Argentinien). In *Heidelberger Geographische Arbeiten* 94.
- Schrott, Lothar (1996): Some geomorphological-hydrological aspects of rock glaciers in the Andes (San Juan, Argentina). In *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie. Supplementband* 104, pp. 161–173.
- Schrott, Lothar; Götz, Joachim (2013): The periglacial environment in the semiarid and arid Andes of Argentina -  
915 hydrological significance and research frontiers. In : *Forschen im Gebirge / Investigating the mountains / investigando las Montanas*, vol. 5.
- Schwalbe, Ellen; Maas, Hans-Gerd (2017): The determination of high-resolution spatio-temporal glacier motion fields from time-lapse sequences. In *Earth Surf. Dynam.* 5 (4), pp. 861–879. DOI: 10.5194/esurf-5-861-2017.
- Shean, David E.; Alexandrov, Oleg; Moratto, Zachary M.; Smith, Benjamin E.; Joughin, Ian R.; Porter, Claire; Morin, Paul  
920 (2016): An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models (DEMs) from very-high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. In *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 116, pp. 101–117. DOI: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012.
- Stammler, Melanie; Blöthe, Jan; Flöck, Fabian; Bell, Rainer; Schrott, Lothar (2025a): Dos Lenguas rock glacier kinematics stable despite warming trend (2016–2024): Surface changes and the role of topography and climate in the Dry Andes of  
925 Argentina. In *Earth Surf Processes Landf* 50 (11), Article e70151, e70151. DOI: 10.1002/esp.70151.

- Stammler, Melanie; Blöthe, Jan; Flöck, Fabian; Bell, Rainer; Schrott, Lothar (2025b): UAV-based optical imagery, digital elevation models and hillshades of Dos Lenguas rock glacier / Argentinean Dry Andes (30°S, 69°W; 2016, 2018, 2022, 2024) and daily static optical imagery (2023-2024) [dataset]. In *PANGAEA*. Available online at <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.979876>.
- 930 Stammler, Melanie; Cusicanqui, Diego; Bell, Rainer; Robson, Benjamin; Bodin, Xavier; Blöthe, Jan; Schrott, Lothar (2024): Vertical surface change signals of rock glaciers: combining UAV and Pléiades imagery (Agua Negra, Argentina) Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Permafrost. DOI: 10.52381/ICOP2024.138.1.
- Strozzi, Tazio; Caduff, Rafael; Jones, Nina; Barboux, Chloé; Delaloye, Reynald; Bodin, Xavier et al. (2020): Monitoring Rock Glacier Kinematics with Satellite Synthetic Aperture Radar. In *Remote Sensing* 12 (3), p. 559. DOI: 10.3390/rs12030559.
- 935 [Stammler, Melanie; Blöthe, Jan; Cusicanqui, Diego; Ebert, Simon; Bell, Rainer; Bodin, Xavier; Schrott, Lothar \(2026\): Pléiades imagery based digital elevation models and quantified surface change for \(debris-covered\) glaciers and rock glaciers in the Dry Andes of Argentina \(30°S, 69°W; 2019, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025\) \[dataset\]. PANGAEA, <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.988303>](#)
- 940 Villarroel, Cristian; Tamburini Beliveau, Guillermo; Forte, Ana; Monserrat, Oriol; Morvillo, Monica (2018): DInSAR for a Regional Inventory of Active Rock Glaciers in the Dry Andes Mountains of Argentina and Chile with Sentinel-1 Data. In *Remote Sensing* 10 (10), p. 1588. DOI: 10.3390/rs10101588.
- Villarroel, Cristian Daniel; Forte, A. P. (2020): Spatial distribution of active and inactive rock glaciers and protalus ramparts in a sector of the Central Andes of Argentina. In *Geographical Research Letters* 46 (1), pp. 141–158. DOI: 10.18172/cig.4272.
- 945 Villarroel, Cristian Daniel; Ortiz, Diana Agostina; Forte, Ana Paula; Tamburini Beliveau, Guillermo; Ponce, David; Imhof, Armando; López, Andrés (2022): Internal structure of a large, complex rock glacier and its significance in hydrological and dynamic behavior: A case study in the semi-arid Andes of Argentina. In *Permafrost & Periglacial* 33 (1), pp. 78–95. DOI: 10.1002/ppp.2132.
- 950 Vivero, Sebastián; Lambiel, Christophe (2024): Annual surface elevation changes of rock glaciers and their geomorphological significance: Examples from the Swiss Alps. In *0169-555X* 467, p. 109487. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2024.109487.
- Zalazar, Laura Viviana; Ferri Hidalgo, Lidia; Castro, Mariano Agustin; Gargantini, Hernan; Giménez, Melisa Mariel; Pitte, Pedro Miguel et al. (2017): Glaciares de Argentina: Resultados preliminares del Inventario Nacional de Glaciares. In *Revista de Glaciares y Ecosistemas de Montaña* 2, pp. 13–22. Available online at <https://ri.conicet.gov.ar/handle/11336/60533>.
- 955

[Zalazar, Laura; Ferri, Lidia; Castro, Mariano; Gargantini, Hernán; Gimenez, Melisa; Pitte, Pierre; Ruiz, Lucas; Masiokas, Mariano; Costa, Gustavo; Villalba, Ricardo \(2020\): Spatial distribution and characteristics of Andean ice masses in Argentina: results from the first National Glacier Inventory. In Journal of Glaciology, vol. 66, no. 260, pp. 938–949. DOI: 10.1017/jog.2020.55.](#)