



Evaluation of the Particulate Inorganic Carbon Export Efficiency in the Global Ocean

Jordan Toullec¹

¹Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, UMR 6539, LEMAR, F-29280 Plouzané, France,

5 *Correspondence to:* Jordan Toullec (toullec.jordan@gmail.com)

Abstract. The oceanic carbonate pump corresponds to the production and the sinking of particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) thanks to calcified planktonic organisms. In this study, global estimates of PIC standing stock, production derived from ocean colour and calcified taxa contribution were combined with PIC flux observation from short-term sediment traps deployed during the last decades covering the global ocean. Coccolithophores are the main planktonic calcified group in the euphotic zone, with a significant seasonal blooming pattern and an important latitude dependant seasonal response. The present study highlights that the PIC production in the euphotic zone and the pelagic PIC flux varied among oceanic regions, depth and season. Based on a geographic matchup between the PIC flux from sediment traps and remote sensing climatology observation, correlation between net primary production (NPP) of particulate organic carbon (POC) in the euphotic zone and PIC flux is revealed. However, PIC production in the euphotic zone is not correlated with PIC flux at global scale, but only for delimited ocean basin such as in the North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean. Despite lower PIC production and PIC/POC ratios in the euphotic zone, temperate and subpolar areas are more efficient to export PIC compared to equatorial and subtropical areas (higher PIC production and PIC/POC ratios in the euphotic zone). The plankton phenology seems to be an important driver of PIC export efficiency (PIC E_{eff}) and PIC transfer efficiency (PIC T_{eff}). This study suggests that the 'packaging factor' corresponding to the vehicle of the biological carbon pump (marine snow aggregates, fecal pellets) and the plankton network (e.g. zooplankton community, microbial loop) determine the PIC export efficiency and the PIC transfer efficiency.

1. Introduction

Through gravitational settling, the biological carbon pump (BCP) transports photosynthetically fixed CO₂ into the deep ocean for decades to centuries to come. Without the BCP, atmospheric CO₂ concentration would be twice as high (Passow and Carlson, 2012). Phytoplankton, due to photosynthesis, uptake CO₂ and produce particulate organic carbon (POC). On the other hand, calcified phytoplankton (such as coccolithophores), produce both POC and inorganic carbon (particulate inorganic carbon, PIC), often referred as calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), which releases CO₂ during the process of calcification, this is the counter pump effect. Even though calcification contributes to the release of CO₂ (counter effect), all planktonic calcified organisms (such as coccolithophores, foraminifers and pteropods) transport also POC to deep waters through gravitational settling. Field observation of particulate sinking flux (PIC and POC) has been made over many decades to better understand the BCP. To estimate a particle flux, the sediment traps and Thorium-234 activity (²³⁴Th activity) are the most widespread techniques to quantitatively estimate a sinking flux, both in terms of time and geography (Savoye et al., 2006, Le Moigne et al., 2014).



Coccolithophores contribute to 70-90% of PIC production in the North Pacific Ocean when nutrient and light are available (Ziveri et al., 2023) and are generally considered dominant at global scale (Neukermans et al., 2023). In the global ocean, coccolithophores form mesoscale blooms in high-latitude oceans (Brown and Yoder, 1994; Balch et al., 2005), which are associated with high rates of calcification (Poulton et al., 2007, 2014, Balch et al., 2011; Krumhardt et al., 2017, 2019). The total annual CaCO_3 production by planktonic organisms is characterised by a high uncertainty, with a range of 0.7–4.7 Pg C y^{-1} (Berelson et al., 2007; Buitenhuis et al., 2019; Neukermans et al., 2023). A large proportion of these CaCO_3 produced in the euphotic zone is dissolved within the first 300m of the ocean (Sulpis et al., 2021, Feely et al., 2002; Milliman et al., 1999), thereby increasing ocean alkalinity and CO_2 uptake (Sarmiento, 2013). This shallow dissolution is not yet clearly explained but considered to be associated to biological and ecological mechanisms such as zooplankton and procaryotes mediated dissolution (Sulpis et al., 2021, Kwon et al., 2024, Dean et al., 2024). The sedimentation of calcifying organisms constitutes an export flux of CaCO_3 with estimated range of 0.4–1.8 Pg C y^{-1} (Berelson et al., 2007, Neukermans et al., 2023).

At a global scale, particle export efficiency (PE_{eff} , corresponding to the POC sinking flux in the euphotic layer/ POC production) is higher at high latitudes and lower at low latitudes (Henson et al., 2012). The transfer efficiency (T_{eff} , corresponding to the proportion of exported organic matter that reaches the deep ocean), which is lower at high latitudes and higher at low latitudes (Henson et al., 2012). However, satellite estimation of net primary production at low latitude may have large uncertainties, which may result in a bias in the PE_{eff} estimation (Henson et al., 2019; Ryan-Keogh et al., 2023; Weber et al., 2016). Recently AI model prediction has been improved and enable the bridge between deep-ocean particles flux observations and satellite images (Picard et al., 2024, 2025). It has been established that T_{eff} is not correlated with CaCO_3 export flux (Henson et al., 2012), but evidence from sediment trap collection suggests that coccoliths and coccospheres are transported more efficiently to depth when incorporated into fecal pellets or marine snow aggregates (Honjo, 1976; Pilska and Honjo, 1987, Guerreiro et al., 2021, Liu et al., 2022, Toullec et al., 2022). Indeed, the incorporation of biominerals (such as CaCO_3 and biogenic silica) induces a ballast effect (excess of density) on marine snow sinking velocity (Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2020) and hence is expected to boost the BCP. However, it has been demonstrated that CaCO_3 export flux in the upper ocean is not correlated with the transfer efficiency (Henson et al., 2012), hence the ballast effect hypothesis is still controversial.

Seasonal influence is an important aspect of the T_{eff} of carbon to the deep sea and could be attributed to the greater lability of organic matter exported during phytoplankton blooms. In general, high latitudes are opal-productive regions (diatoms blooms) with high PE_{eff} and a higher fraction of the exported organic matter is remineralized before reaching bathypelagic depths (low T_{eff}). In low latitudes, which are annually CaCO_3 -productive regions, a modelling study demonstrated that PE_{eff} is lower, but T_{eff} is expected to be higher (Lima et al., 2014).

The ‘packaging factor’ theory suggests that CaCO_3 -dominated ecosystems (subtropics and equatorial area) are associated with complex food web, and CaCO_3 would be more tightly packaged in fast-sinking fecal pellets, associated with potential ballast effect on the POC (Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2020). However, particle flux from seasonal, opal-dominated systems (Temperate and sub-Polar ecosystems) would be highly “degradable” formed aggregates, produced by the coagulation of senescent diatoms (Francois et al., 2002). This ‘packaging factor’ as well as the potential associated ballast effect hence should be a strong driver of T_{eff} . Biominerals such as CaCO_3 could be a major driver of POC flux (Lacour et al., 2023) or by physically protecting the more labile POC in aggregates from degradation during gravitational settling (Armstrong et al., 2001).

The biogeographical approach is particularly appealing to understand the structures of plankton communities as well as biogeochemical processes according to the latitude and different ocean basins, also under climate change scenarios (Barton et

al., 2013). Indeed, biogeographic patterns are common in macroecology (Kaneko et al., 2023; Thuiller et al., 2015), and PCB understanding (Clements et al., 2023; Ricour et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023).

In this context, ocean colour data derived from satellite observation is valuable to estimate surface ocean processes over time.

75 Satellite observations of coccolithophore blooms have been available since the emergence of remote sensing of ocean colour techniques (Holligan et al., 1993). Blooms of coccolithophores (e.g., *Gephyrocapsa (Emiliana) huxleyi*) can result in patches of high reflectivity at the surface of the ocean and are associated with unique optical properties (Balch et al., 1996, 2005; Balch and Mitchell, 2023) that can be used to estimate PIC concentration and production at the global scale and production rate (Hopkins & Balch, 2018; Hopkins et al., 2019). There remains a gap in our comprehension of processes controlling the transfer of photosynthetically produced organic carbon to the deep (Buesseler et al., 2007; Henson et al., 2012). Nowadays, heterotrophic respiration in sinking aggregates is considered creating a microenvironment supporting dissolution of CaCO_3 in the upper ocean (Morse et al., 2006; Friis et al., 2006; Buitenhuis et al., 2019; Sulpis et al., 2021, Dean et al., 2024). In the deep ocean, dissolution of CaCO_3 is primarily driven by conventional thermodynamics of CaCO_3 solubility with reduced fluxes of CaCO_3 burial to marine sediments. It is estimated that CaCO_3 dissolution in the upper ocean contribute to uptake 80 20% of atmospheric CO_2 through the low latitude upwelling regions (kwon et al., 2024).

85 Understanding how the surface processes control the export of POC and PIC is still an ongoing challenge in the biogeochemical oceanographic community. In addition, processes of PIC production, sinking flux and dissolution flux are crucial to understand ocean alkalinity balance, which control atmospheric carbon uptake in surface waters (Renforth & Henderson 2017; Planchat et al., 2023). This study examines the variability in surface PIC production and PIC flux. In this study, a compilation of existing data sources (e.g. PIC flux from sediment traps, calcified taxa group biomass global estimation) and satellite images, geographically covering the open ocean at different seasonal scales is compared and discussed. The objective of this study is to address the following questions: How spatial and seasonal pattern modulate the PIC export efficiency (PIC E_{eff}) and the PIC transfer efficiency (PIC T_{eff}) at the global scale?

2. Materials and Methods

95 2.1. PIC production remote sensing-based modelling

PIC production at a global scale was modelled using satellite ocean colour measurements (see Hopkins and Balch, 2018 and reference in here for more details), coupled with physiological constant (growth rate under variable light intensity and temperature) associated with *Gephyrocapsa (Emiliana) huxleyi*, which is the most cosmopolitan coccolithophore and extensive blooms former (Holligan et al., 1993) across the majority of the world's oceans (Tyrrell and Merico, 2004).

100 2.1.1. Calcification model

The model proposed by Hopkins et Balch (2018) was applied to estimate the calcification rate in the euphotic zone (expressed as EZ PIC production). The model of coccolithophores calcification rate is a function of PIC concentration, growth rate, irradiance, and depth (Equation 1):

$$\text{PIC production} = f[\text{PIC}, \mu, h(\text{surf}), g(Z_{\text{eu}})] \quad (1)$$

105 In this model, general assumptions are made, such as the PIC production is proportional to the coccolithophore growth rate. The coccolithophore growth rate is a function of temperature and irradiance (parameters established on *G. huxleyi* culture).



The calcification rate decreases as a function of light availability through the water column (Hopkins and Balch, 2018). The model generalizes the euphotic zone integrated PIC production rate ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) (Equation 2):

$$EZ \text{ PIC production} = \text{PIC concentration} \times \mu \times h(I_{surf}) \times g(Z_{eu}) \quad (2)$$

Where μ is a temperature-derived growth rate, $h(I_{surf})$ is a growth limiting irradiance function, and $g(Z_{eu})$ is a depth dependency function (see Hopkins and Balch, 2018 and reference therein for more details). All the satellite products used in the model are described in the following section.

2.1.2. PIC and POC standing stock

Surface PIC and POC satellite-based concentrations were depth integrated to 100 m to using empirical relationships based on *in situ* measurements across the Atlantic Ocean (Balch et al., 2018):

$$PIC_{100m} = 40.555 \times PIC_{surface}^{0.560} \quad (3)$$

$$POC_{100m} = 164.376 \times POC_{surface}^{0.617} \quad (4)$$

2.1.3. Satellite products collection

The computation was performed with 1° by 1° grid average monthly data (from September 1997 to October 2023) from different sensors merged (Table S1). The satellite data used in this study (PIC concentration, PAR and K_{d490nm}) were downloaded from the Globcolour website (<https://www.globcolour.info/>). The Sea surface temperatures were obtained from NASA Ocean Color website (<https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>) and the COPERNICUS marine service website (<https://marine.copernicus.eu/fr>). The following product (Table S1) were used in determining the PIC production rate ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$): The monthly surface PIC concentration (mol C m^{-3}); The monthly SST (Sea Surface Temperature in $^\circ\text{C}$) for the determination of *G. huxleyi* growth rate; The monthly photosynthetic available radiation (PAR; $\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) for the growth rate limiting irradiance; The monthly K_{d490nm} (Light diffuse attenuation coefficient at 490 nm; m^{-1}) used for the determination of the euphotic depth and depth-integrated calcification rate, see details of the methods below. Euphotic zone integrated Primary Production ($\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) was obtained from COPERNICUS marine service website (<https://marine.copernicus.eu/fr>; DOI:10.48670/moi-00281), the different variables were obtained from multi-sensors products based on SeaWiFS, MERIS, MODIS-A, MODIS-T, VIIRS-SNPP & JPSS1, OLCI-S3A & S3B). The primary production algorithm was developed by Antoine and Morel (1996).

2.1.4. PIC standing stock evaluation, and taxa contribution

Monthly climatology of depth-integrated coccolithophore PIC standing stock (g C m^{-2}) in the top 100m was obtained from ocean colour remote sensing using empirical relationships between surface ocean PIC concentration and depth-integrated PIC standing stock (eq. 3, Balch et al., 2018). Monthly climatology PIC standing stock for foraminifers and pteropods in the top 200m was obtained from Knecht et al. (2022; see Fig. 4), supplementary data. The carbon biomass concentration for foraminifers and pteropods in the top 200m (g C m^{-3}) was converted into PIC mass concentration (Bednaršek et al., 2012; Knecht et al., 2023; Schiebel and Movellan, 2012). Integrated PIC standing stock (g C m^{-2}) were obtained for foraminifers and pteropods, by multiplying the PIC biomass concentration (g C m^{-3}) by the layer of integration (200m).



2.2. PIC flux

PIC productions in the euphotic zone are expressed in $\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ (as detailed in section 2.1.1). PIC fluxes for the different depth of integration are expressed in $\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$, considering the deployment duration (in day), the sediment trap collecting surface area (m^2) and the quantity of collected matter (g).

2.2.1. Sediment trap data collection

PIC flux from sediment trap data was obtained from public repositories and published data (<https://www.pangaea.de/>, see Table S2; Fig. 1).

To ensure that the time scale of the PIC flux corresponds as closely as possible to the products derived from the satellites, analysis on a subset of sediment traps deployed 31 days or less (monthly), for a total of 6057 PIC flux observations (sediment traps deployed from 1983 to 2012) were performed. PIC flux observation was then aggregated into seven different layers of depth (0-100m, 100-500m, 500-1000m, 1000-2000m, 2000-3000m, 3000-4000m and > 4000m), as presented in Table 1. Analysis on monthly deployed sediment flux were matched with monthly remote-sensing climatology (see details in the following section). On the other hand, a possible bias associated with the interaction of hydrodynamics, the capture of zooplankton, organic particle remineralization, and PIC dissolution could happen for a longer time of deployment.

Table 1: Layer of the depth of the subset used in the analysis.

Depth layer	Number of PIC flux observation	Number of sediment traps (location according to 1° by 1° grid map)
0-100m	101	84
100-500m	996	62
500-1000m	1175	54
1000-2000m	1405	64
2000-3000m	730	64
3000-4000m	1077	35
> 4000m	573	28

A total of 262 sediment trap locations were used in the analysis. Note that the total of sediment traps for each layer of depth is equal to 388, due to the multiple depth deployment at the same geographic location. Moreover, multiple PIC flux observations can be attributed to the same sediment trap deployment (time series, e.g.: PAP, ALOHA station). As for EZ PIC production model output, the analysis and interpretation of observed PIC flux were applied to the open ocean only, excluding data obtained from a water column of less than 200 m depth.

2.2.2. Global data flux estimates

Total global EZ PIC production (Pg C yr^{-1}) was determined by multiplying integrated PIC production by the latitudinally varying area of each 1° by 1° pixel. The latitude variation pixel surface (m^2) was computed using equation 5:

$$Surface (m^2) = \left[\frac{40\,075.017 \times \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180}(Latitude)\right)}{360} \times \frac{40\,007.864}{180} \right] \times 10^6 \quad (5)$$

Where the equatorial earth circumference = 40 075.017 km² and the meridional earth circumference = 40 007.864 km². The data downloaded were a matrix of 180 pixels of latitude by 360 pixels of longitude (based on cylindric projection).

The analysis and interpretation of the EZ PIC production model output were focus on the open ocean only, excluding data obtained from bathymetry lower than 200 m depth.

2.2.3. Biogeochemical regions

RECCAP2 biogeochemical regions (second REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes) aim to accurately assess land and ocean CO₂ sources and sinks through the efforts of hundreds of scientists around the globe (Hauck et al., 2023). The overall aim of RECCAP2 is to support the Global Carbon Project (<https://www.globalcarbonproject.org/>) and the stocktaking of greenhouse gases by providing a reliable scientific basis for the transport of carbon between land, ocean and atmosphere. RECCAP2 biogeochemical regions mask was used to aggregate data from sediment traps and remote sensing according to relevant geographical regions (Fig.1, Table S3).




Figure 1: Location of sediment traps measuring PIC flux within 13 biogeochemical regions (RECCAP 2 regions). Region 1 = Arctic (Ar); 2 = North Atlantic (NA); 3 = North Pacific (NP); 4 = North Subtropics Pacific (NSTP); 5 = Equatorial Pacific (EP); 6 = South Subtropics Pacific (SSTP); 7 = Subantarctic (SAZ); 8 = Antarctic (AAZ); 9 = North Subtropics Atlantic (NSTA); 10 = Equatorial Atlantic (EA); 11 = South Subtropics Atlantic (SSTA); 12 = North Indian Ocean (NI) and 13 = South Indian Ocean (SI). The monthly deployed sediment traps are depicted by white triangles and Thorium-derived PIC flux is depicted by yellow dots. 54 stations were out of the RECCAP2 mask (open ocean station) and then have been removed from the 6057 PIC flux observations subset.

2.2.4. Euphotic zone integrated satellite-derived production and deep PIC flux matchup

The majority of sediment trap deployment occurred before the launch of ocean colour observation from satellite, from 1983 to 2012 (SeaWiFS observation started in September 1997). 75.7% of PIC flux data used in the analysis (4588 observations over



a total of 6057 observations) were collected before the satellite record. Hence, a match-up between the PIC flux data and EZ PIC production monthly climatology were performed (monthly mean from September 1997 to November 2023).

In the analysis, 7 different layers of depth were aggregated (0-100m, 100-500m, 500-1000m, 1000-2000m, 2000-3000m, 3000-4000m and > 4000m). Discrete observed PIC flux values obtained from sediment traps were aggregated over the depth layer of interest and matched geographically with the monthly climatology-modelled EZ PIC production and NPP on the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid. PIC flux values were therefore matched to the same 1° pixel and then associated to the same EZ PIC production. The monthly climatology average of EZ PIC production was established on a period from September 1997 to November 2023 on the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid map. Pearson's correlation test was performed between the monthly climatology average of EZ PIC production and PIC flux values for the depth layers of interest. The process has been performed for the 13 RECCAP2 biogeochemical regions, covering the global ocean.

EZ PIC production values $< 0.1 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ were removed from the dataset, to avoid values close to zero or detection limit, due to no covering of the satellite (e.g. winter months in the north hemisphere above 40°N).

2.2.5. Supporting dataset

1° by 1° grid map of fecal pellet and aggregates contribution to the total particles export were obtained from model ensemble output from Nowicki et al., 2022 (FigShare database: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19074521>).

3. Results

3.1. NPP, PIC production and residence time seasonality

At the global scale, annual EZ PIC production of $1.65 \pm 0.36 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$ was estimated (monthly mean $\pm \sigma$, 1997-2023 annual mean), which is congruent with previous estimation based on the same calcification model (ca. $1.42 \pm 1.69 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$ in Hopkins and Balch, 2018). The difference in the estimation could be explained by the satellite product quality (resolution) and the number of months used in the model.

The most NPP annual productive areas are located along the continental margins, above 40°N and within equatorial upwelling ecosystems (Fig. 2a). In contrast to the NPP, the most PIC productive areas are located within subtropical gyres, in the Southern Ocean (along the "Great Calcite Belt"), in the North Atlantic, but not in the Northern Indian Ocean, and less productive within equatorial upwelling ecosystems (Fig. 2b). The seasonal variation of NPP and PIC production follows the phytoplanktonic bloom phenology, with higher seasonal variation above 40°N and below 40°S (Fig. 2c and d). It is noticeable that the PIC production seasonal bias amplitude is higher than NPP seasonal bias (Fig. 2c and d). Both residence time (the integrated stock divided by the integrated production) and amplitude variation are higher for PIC than for POC (Fig. 2e and f). The amplitude of spatial variation of POC residence time is lower than PIC residence time (Fig. 2e and f). The residence time is obtained by dividing the euphotic layer integrated standing stock (g m^{-2}) by the euphotic layer integrated production rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). POC residence time highest values reach 20 days in the Southern Ocean and over 20 days in arctic regions (Fig. 2e). However, PIC residence time values reach more than 30 days at high latitudes (the Southern Ocean and above 40°C). PIC residence time values over 10 days are also observed within equatorial upwelling (Indian Ocean and West Pacific equatorial).




Figure 2: Global maps of (a) NPP annual mean ($\text{mg POC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$, 1997-2023 annual mean), (b) PIC production annual mean ($\text{mg PIC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$, 1998-2023 annual mean), (c) NPP seasonal bias expressed as coefficient of variation (σ/μ) of monthly NPP climatology, (d) PIC production seasonal bias expressed as coefficient of variation (σ/μ) of monthly PIC production climatology, (e) POC residence time (day, 1997-2023 annual mean) and (f) PIC residence time (day, 1998-2023 annual mean). POC and PIC residence time is obtained by dividing the annual standing integrated stock (mg m^{-2}) by annual production ($\text{mg m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$), results are expressed in days.

3.2. Taxa contribution to the PIC standing stock estimation

At the global scale, coccolithophore PIC standing stock dominates the total estimated PIC standing stock, except in few areas of Equatorial Atlantic (EA), Equatorial Pacific (EP), North Atlantic (NA) and North Pacific (NP), where the pteropods PIC standing stock reach almost 50% (Fig. 3). Foraminifers PIC standing stock represent less than 10% of the PIC standing stock, with higher value in the North Atlantic (NA). Regarding the seasonal variation index, coccolithophores are characterised by high seasonal variation in high latitudes ($>30^\circ\text{N}$ and $<30^\circ\text{S}$), while pteropods' seasonal index is higher only

>30° N, and in the Equatorial Pacific (EP). Foraminifers tend not to reveal any seasonal variation at a global scale, except in the southeastern North Atlantic (NA) (Fig. 3). Note that the depth of integration is different for coccolithophore (100m) and zooplankton taxa (200m for Pteropods and Foraminifers, from Knecht et al., 2023). Although coccolithophore integration to 100m over 200m for pteropods and foraminifers leads to an underestimation of coccolithophore contribution to the global PIC standing stock in a 200m layer, the general statement that coccolithophore dominates the standing stock remains unchanged. In addition, the foraminifers maximum abundance peak between 0-100m depth (Chaabane et al., 2024).




Figure 3: Maps of taxa contribution to the total PIC standing stock regrouping the 3 calcifying taxa: Coccolithophore (a, b and c) Pteropods (d, e and f) and Foraminifers (g, h and i). a, d, and g) Maps of annual PIC standing stock contribution for the 3 calcifying taxa. b, e and h) Maps of annual PIC standing stock for the 3 calcifying taxa. c, f and i) Maps of temporal variability (seasonal index) of PIC standing stock as measured by the seasonal bias (SB) expressed as coefficient of variation (σ/μ) of monthly standing stock for the 3 calcifying taxa. Note that the depth of integration is different for coccolithophore (100m) and zooplankton taxa (200m for Pteropods and Foraminifera, from Knecht et al., 2023).

This study highlights the importance of rapid calcification event observed by satellite (such as blooming coccolithophore episodes, monthly), and less than 30 days integrated PIC flux from sediment traps (excluding longer deployment). Only including short term sediment traps (less than 30 days), give us a picture of relatively fast sedimentation event, this way,



coupling with monthly satellite climatology provides greater meaning regarding potential process involved. Despite pteropods and foraminifers contribute to PIC production and deep flux, their seasonality pattern and residence time were not coupled with PIC flux observation, considering short time deployed sediment traps (less than 30 days). In the other hand, other pelagic contributors (Pteropods and foraminifers) are expected to be more peripheral in the export efficiency of the PIC in this study, regarding their respective residence time (more than 30 days for foraminifers and months to year for pteropods). This aspect is the main constraint in the present study. It is assumed that *G. huxleyi* blooms would be present in the water for an average of 30 days (Hopkins et al., 2015), hence, monthly PIC production climatology coverage map represents the mean monthly conditions. Pelagic calcifiers are characterized by different surface stock and seasonality (Fig. 3) and residence time: less than a month for coccolithophore (Hopkins et al., 2015), a month for foraminifers (Schiebel and A. Movellan., 2012) and months to years for pteropods (Lalli & Gilmer., 1989, Bednaršek et al., 2012).

The estimate of calcified taxa PIC stock, including coccolithophores, pteropods, and foraminifera, is not correlated with annual PIC export flux on a global scale (Fig. 4a). These estimates show that coccolithophores dominate the PIC standing stock, followed by pteropods and then foraminifera (Fig. 4). The latitudinal variation in PIC standing stock indicates an overlap between coccolithophore and pteropod PIC standing stock at the equator (Fig. 4b), while coccolithophores are largely dominant at high latitudes (>40°). No correlation between annual PIC standing stock in the surface layer and annual PIC export flux is observed at global scale, regardless of the taxon (Fig. 4a).




Figure 4: a) Calcifying taxa annual PIC standing stock (g m⁻²) over annual ²³⁴Th-derived PIC flux (g m⁻² y⁻¹). b) Latitudinal variation of annual PIC standing stock (g m⁻²) for each calcifying taxa. Only locations where PIC export flux data is shown in this figure. Coccolithophore PIC standing stock is derived from ocean colours (Balch et al., 2005). Pteropods and foraminifers are extracted from Knecht et al. (2023) supplementary data.



3.3. PIC production, NPP and PIC flux

No significant correlation between log-transformed PIC flux and log-transformed NPP is observed between the surface and 100m depth (Fig. 5). On average, despite the Pearson's correlation coefficient R^2 are low (< 0.25), higher R^2 coefficients are observed when the PIC flux is correlated with NPP compared as compared to PIC production (Fig. 5). The deepest layer ($>4000\text{m}$) is characterised by higher correlation between PIC flux and PIC production than between PIC flux and NPP (respectively 0.104 and 0.089).




Figure 5: Matchup between observed sediment traps PIC flux (x-axis, $\text{mg PIC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) and NPP ($\text{mg POC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) in green, and satellite-derived PIC production ($\text{mg PIC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) in blue (Hopkins et Balch, 2018 model). The different windows correspond to the different layers of depth.



3.4. Correlation between EZ PIC production and deep PIC flux

Most of the RECCAP2 biogeochemical regions have no observations of PIC flux or are insufficient to perform correlation in shallow waters (0-100m depth). PIC flux established in shallow waters (0-100m depth) in the North Atlantic was collected during the productive period (May, June, July and August) and does not reflect the EZ PIC production seasonal variation (a non-significant correlation between EZ PIC production and PIC flux has been established, see Table S4). At the global scale, the linear regression and correlation test between EZ PIC production and PIC flux are displayed for the 6 layers of depth (100-500m, 500-1000m, 1000-2000m, 2000-3000m, 3000-4000m and > 4000m) and regarding the RECCAP2 biogeochemical regions in the Fig. 6 (see Table S4, for the linear regression parameters). At the global scale that the EZ PIC production is not correlated with PIC flux in the upper ocean. However, considering distinct oceanic bioregions (RECCAP2, Fig. 6), in the mesopelagic layer and deeper, significant correlations between EZ PIC production and deep PIC flux are observed in the North Atlantic (100-500m, 500-1000m, 1000-2000m, 2000-3000m, 3000-4000m and >4000m) and the Southern Ocean (100-500m and 1000-2000m). North Indian Ocean regions (subtropical areas) are also characterized by PIC production positively correlated with deep PIC flux (500-1000m, 1000-2000m, 2000-3000m and 3000-4000m, Fig. 6).




Figure 6: Map of linear regression slope between EZ PIC production and PIC flux according to the RECCAP2 regions and depth layers. Hatched grey areas correspond to regions and/or depths where the correlation coefficient is non-significant at a 95 % threshold (p -value < 0.05). White areas correspond to regions where no data are available.

The PIC export efficiency ($PIC E_{eff}$) corresponds to the PIC export flux divided by the EZ PIC production. A latitudinal variability trend could be overlapped to both NPP and PIC production seasonal bias (Fig. 7a). Regions above 40° , are characterized by higher $PIC E_{eff}$ and lower $PIC T_{eff}$ compared to subtropics and equatorial areas (Fig. 7a). The higher PIC production seasonal bias in the euphotic layer coincides with higher normalized $PIC E_{eff}$, but lower $PIC T_{eff}$ (Fig. 7a). The model output of Nowicki et al. (2022), estimated that the contribution to the gravitational carbon pump of zooplankton fecal pellets and sinking phytoplankton aggregates were respectively of 85% and 15%, (Nowicki et al., 2022). Once mapped of 1°

by 1° grid map, zooplankton fecal pellets and sinking phytoplankton aggregates contribution are characterized by a significant latitudinal pattern (Fig. 7 in Nowicki et al., 2022). The seasonality of NPP and PIC production can be overlapped with aggregates's contribution to the gravitational pump (estimated by Nowicki et al., 2022), as shown in Fig. 7b. The contribution of fecal pellets to the export (estimated by Nowicki et al., 2022) increases continuously from 40° to the equator, while aggregates' contribution to the export decreases. The higher contribution of fecal pellets to the export coincides with low normalized PIC E_{eff} , but high PIC T_{eff} (Fig. 7b).




Figure 7: a) Annual PIC production seasonal bias (C.V) in purple and NPP seasonal bias (C.V) in green. Depicted in the left y-axis: The yellow circles represent the latitudinal annual mean PIC Export efficiency (PIC E_{eff}) from shallow sediment traps (0-100m) and ²³⁴Th-derived flux over the latitude (x-axis). The grey triangles represent the latitudinal annual mean PIC Transfert efficiency (PIC T_{eff}) from mesopelagic sediment traps (100-1000m). b) Annual Fecal pellets contribution to the total carbon export (Nowicki et al., 2022) in blue and Annual aggregates contribution to the total carbon export (Nowicki et al., 2022) in orange. Depicted in the left y-axis: The yellow circles represent the latitudinal annual mean PIC Export efficiency (PIC E_{eff}) from shallow sediment traps (0-100m) and ²³⁴Th-derived flux over the latitude (x-axis). The grey triangles represent the latitudinal annual mean PIC Transfert efficiency (PIC T_{eff}) from mesopelagic sediment traps (100-1000m).



4. Discussion

The fraction of phytoplankton exported production that is remineralized, is mainly influenced by ecosystem structure, which is related to the seasonal amplitude in NPP. Bloom of diatoms and coccolithophores (e.g. *Gephyrocapsa (Emiliana) huxleyi*), which are expected to cause intense particle sedimentation, take place mostly in areas associated with high annual mean and amplitude of NPP, while nanoplankton/picoplankton global production are dominant in oligotrophic areas associated with low annual amplitude of NPP (Lima et al., 2014). The ‘ballast effect hypothesis’ induced by the inclusion of biominerals (calcite and biogenic silica) has been considered for a long time to boost the particle export efficiency (PE_{eff}), which corresponds to the proportion of primary production that is exported from the surface ocean. In the present study, the PIC E_{eff} (which corresponds to the proportion of PIC production that is exported from the surface ocean) is commonly higher above 40°N and below 40°S (temperate and subpolar oceanic regions), while the PIC T_{eff} (which corresponding to the proportion of exported PIC that reaches the deep ocean), is higher between 40°N and 40°S (subtropics), and follow the pattern than zooplankton fecal pellet contribution to the gravitational pump (Fig. 7). Considering, particles type contribution to the gravitational pump (estimated by Nowicki et al., 2022), phytoplankton aggregates could enhance the PIC E_{eff} while zooplankton fecal pellet could enhance the PIC T_{eff} . The rest of the discussion aims to identify which processes may be involved.

4.1. Mesopelagic PIC flux & ballast effect hypothesis

The ballast hypothesis is derived from correlations between POC flux and mineral fluxes (opale and $CaCO_3$) in deep sediment traps (Klaas and Archer, 2002). However, it has been demonstrated that $CaCO_3$ export flux in the upper ocean is not correlated with the transfer efficiency (Henson et al., 2012). These points suggest that the association of $CaCO_3$ and POC does not decrease the degradation via a ballasting effect at mesopelagic depths. Indeed, Henson et al. (2012) concluded that ecosystem structure is the key factor controlling the efficiency of the biological carbon pump, rather than the ballast effect induced by $CaCO_3$. François et al. (2002) hypothesized the ‘packaging factor’ theory, explaining that high $CaCO_3$ productive systems (relative to opal) also contain organisms that produce sinking fecal pellets capable of efficiently delivering organic carbon to deep waters (e.g model from Nowicki et al. 2022). In Subtropics and equatorial upwelling regions, the export flux is not associated with mineral ballasts (Le Moigne et al., 2014), while François et al.’ packaging factor would suggest the opposite. These statements highlight the great spatial variability of biomineral inclusion into sinking particles, which argue in favour of ecosystem structure and phytoplankton phenology.

The results presented in this study demonstrates at the global scale that the EZ PIC production is not correlated with PIC flux in the upper ocean. However, considering distinct oceanic bioregions (RECCAP2), in the mesopelagic layer and deeper, significant correlations between EZ PIC production and deep PIC flux are observed in the North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean. These correlations are observed on every layer of depth and present the best R^2 coefficient (Table S4). North Indian Ocean regions (subtropical areas) are also characterized by PIC production positively correlated with deep PIC flux (Fig. 6). This evaluation demonstrates that at the global scale, ecosystem structure and phytoplankton phenology should be more of a determining factor for the PIC E_{eff} and PIC T_{eff} than the ballast effect. The hypothetic processes behind theses variability are discussed in the following part of this section, regarding the planktonic functional composition and the phenological dynamic.



4.2. Taxa contribution to global PIC stock and production

There is large uncertainty in total CaCO_3 production in the water column, with current estimates ranging between 0.7–4.7 Pg C yr^{-1} (Berelson et al., 2007; Buitenhuis et al., 2019; Lee, 2001). The relative contribution of coccolithophores, foraminifers and pteropods to global production is also a big source of uncertainty. Bednaršek et al., (2012), estimated that pteropods' global annual biomass production was 5 times higher than foraminifers, but 1.5 to 3 times lower than coccolithophores (based on Balch et al., 2007, Knecht et al., 2023). According to estimates based on mechanistic modelling studies, Gangstø et al. (2008), calculated a total net pteropods CaCO_3 production of 0.87 Pg C yr^{-1} , representing 20–42 % of the global CaCO_3 budget (Lebrato et al., 2010). Then Buitenhuis et al., (2019), still through mechanistic models, evaluated a global CaCO_3 production by pteropods of 4.2 Pg C yr^{-1} (89% of CaCO_3 total production). Concerning the foraminifers, Schiebel (2002), estimated a variability in global foraminifers CaCO_3 production ranging from 0.036 to 0.065 Pg C yr^{-1} , representing 2–4% of CaCO_3 total production (Lebrato et al., 2010). In the work of Buitenhuis et al., (2019), the global foraminifers CaCO_3 production was estimated to be 0.14 Pg C yr^{-1} (3% of CaCO_3 total production). However, the relative production rate of pteropods and foraminifers compared to coccolithophore at global scale is not evaluated in this study and remain uncertain. However, Ziveri et al. (2023) showed that coccolithophore represented ~90% of total CaCO_3 production in the North Pacific Ocean, while pteropods and foraminifers played a secondary role.

Significant proportion of foraminifers and pteropods are recovered inside deep sediment traps (Table 1 & Fig.3 in Neukermans et al., 2023), whereas coccolithophore dominate the surface stranding stock and production. This observation remains unsolved and highlights a lack of understanding within the community. Potential processes are explored in the rest of this discussion.

4.3. Mesopelagic PIC flux “biological gatekeeper”

The ‘packaging factor’ theory hypothesized by François et al. (2002), suggests that subtropics and equatorial areas (CaCO_3 -dominated ecosystem) are associated with fast sinking fecal pellets production and so high PIC export flux. Regarding the particles' dependant export output model of Nowicki et al. (2022) (Fig. 7), the relative contribution of fecal pellet to carbon export flux is higher in subtropics and equatorial areas, compared to aggregates contribution. However, PIC export flux and deeper flux in our dataset are globally lower in these regions (despite higher PIC production, see Fig. 7), which is the opposite of the idea that packaged CaCO_3 into the fecal pellet is protected from dissolution. Regarding the Aggregate's contribution to carbon export flux (Fig. 7), opal-dominated systems (Temperate and sub-Polar ecosystems), are associated with high PIC flux, which is also in contradiction with the ‘packaging theory’ suggesting highly labile formed aggregates (susceptible to be easily disaggregated and remineralized). These aggregates could result in higher PIC turnover rate that enhance PIC E_{eff} .

PIC production in the euphotic layer is decoupled from PIC E_{eff} . As well as PIC T_{eff} through the mesopelagic layer. PIC loss in the upper ocean is generally attributed to biologically mediated dissolution (Morse et al., 2006; Friis et al., 2006; Buitenhuis et al., 2019; Sulpis et al., 2021, Dean et al., 2024). Zooplankton and bacterial activities decrease with depth (Hernández-León et al., 2020), hence, intense zooplankton grazing and potential mediated PIC dissolution should occur in the epipelagic and mesopelagic layer. However, once the CaCO_3 is packaged into aggregates or fecal pellets, it should be protected from surrounding seawater and associated dissolution process, regardless of the respective depths of calcite and aragonite saturation. In that way, packaged CaCO_3 into aggregates of fecal pellet that settle below the saturation depth should be protected from surrounding seawater. However, zooplankton grazing could also induce aggregate fragmentation in the epipelagic and



mesopelagic layers, which could be responsible for the loss of PIC in shallow waters (Toullec et al., 2019 and references in there).

4.3.1. Hypothetic processes of biological-mediated PIC dissolution

In 2011, Bisset et al. observed that the heterotrophic bacteria colonising calcium carbonate particles (foraminifers and oyster shells) did not cause any apparent dissolution (Bissett et al., 2011). More recently, it has been shown that the increase in hydrostatic pressure with depth during the sedimentation of *Gephyrocapsa (Emiliania) huxleyi* aggregates does not seem to modify the dissolution of calcite or the remineralisation of POC (Tamburini et al., 2021). However, the community of bacteria colonising the aggregates, as well as the consumption of O_2 , was strongly reduced with pressure, suggesting a potential preservation of PIC and POC in the sediment thanks to the sinking of aggregates.

Several studies have even shown very slight losses of calcite after zooplankton gut passage, which contrasts with the observation of well-preserved coccoliths within zooplankton fecal pellets (Harris, 1994; Honjo, 1976; Honjo and Roman, 1978; Roth et al., 1975; Samtleben and Bickert, 1990). Indeed, numerical models using a timeframe and pH inside copepod guts suggest a moderate calcite dissolution inside the gut (Jansen and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001). Langer et al. (2007) observed that calcite dissolution during copepod gut passage was below 8% of the weight of the coccoliths of *Calcidiscus leptoporus* inside fecal pellets, but these coccoliths were intact and showed no evidence of any dissolution. In addition, Antia et al. (2008) successfully observed that coccolith dissolution/fragmentation occurs inside microzooplankton vacuoles (also recently observed by Dean et al., 2024), but not after copepod guts passage (also described in Toullec et al., 2022). However, PIC E_{eff} is higher at high latitude where fecal pellet contribution to gravitational pump is lower (Fig. 7b). This result suggests more complex mechanism and implication of plankton community phenology into the PIC E_{eff} control (see sections 4.4.3. and Fig. 7). In addition, PIC E_{eff} is higher at high latitude where phytoplankton aggregates contribution to gravitational pump is also higher (Fig. 7b and c), which suggest implication seasonal phytoplankton phenology.

4.3.2. The biogeographical pattern of zooplankton-mediated dissolution

Two experimental studies demonstrated that microzooplankton vacuole induce PIC dissolution (Antia et al., 2008; Dean et al., 2024). Microzooplankton ($< 200 \mu m$, dominated by protists) regulate primary producer biomass and particulate organic carbon transfer through the food web, where a fraction could then be exported as fecal pellet or aggregates (McNair et al., 2021). The contributions of microzooplankton grazing to the ocean's biological carbon remineralization are considered as the same magnitude as bacterial respiration (Calbet and Landry, 2004). However, ecosystemic differences in microzooplankton grazing/particle export flux or trophic structure have been largely underestimated within biogeochemical models that seek to predict the microbial community's role in the oceanic carbon flux.

The percentage of annual primary production grazed by microzooplankton increases with temperature, such as in open oceans, microzooplankton consumption varies from 59% for temperate–subpolar and polar systems to 75% for tropical–subtropical regions (Calbet and Landry, 2004). This latitudinal variation of microzooplankton grazing pressure could partially explain the PIC E_{eff} and PIC T_{eff} observed (Fig. 7). Annual higher grazing rates by microzooplankton are also expected in sub-tropical regions due to a low seasonal bias (Fig. 7a), leading to continuous grazing pressure from microzooplankton. This idea is also supported by the contribution of fecal pellet to the gravitational particles flux (Fig. 7b). At the end of the North Atlantic phytoplankton blooms, microzooplankton consume the equivalent of 100–800% of their body carbon each day, which is more than an order of magnitude higher than copepods. Microzooplankton in the mixed layer grazed between 288 and 589 mg C



$\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ and accounted for between 39 and 115% of the phytoplankton production In the North-Eastern Atlantic during mid-summer (Burkill et al., 1993).

4.3.3. PIC production timing of and flux pathway

4.3.3.1. Zooplankton impact on PIC dissolution and/or conservation

Irigoién et al. (2005) hypothesized that blooming species are capable of escaping control by microzooplankton grazing through a combination of predation avoidance mechanisms (e.g. colonies, larger size, spines, and toxic compounds) at the beginning of the bloom (Irigoién et al., 2005). In a temperate ecosystem, where the season variability is a determinant for PIC export flux (see Fig. 7), the coccolithophore blooms, by the large abundance of CaCO_3 coccoliths could be also considered as a predation avoidance mechanism (Monteiro et al., 2016). By this way, blooming coccolithophores such as *Gephyrocapsa (Emiliana) huxleyi* could produce too much biomass that microzooplankton grazing pressure won't be significantly sufficient to dissolve the CaCO_3 coccoliths in their acid vacuole. On the opposite, in subtropics and equatorial ecosystems, the annual constant coccolithophore biomass (low seasonal bias, see Fig. 7) regarding the annual consistent microzooplankton grazing pressure, coccoliths may not constitute a sufficient predation avoidance mechanism, and so could be continuously dissolved inside microzooplankton acid vacuole (Antia et al., 2008, Dean et al., 2024). Coccolithophore blooming conditions could indeed interfere with predator-prey control, permitting massive particle sinking flux thanks to aggregation formation and repackaging by mesozooplankton (e.g.: copepods and larvaceans). Moreover, large zooplankton (e.g. *Calanus* spp.) graze on microzooplankton, which could significantly reduce the microzooplankton community biomass. Indeed, the mesocosm experiment demonstrated that large copepod (*Calanus finmarchicus*) ingestion rates were similar during blooms of diatoms and *E. huxleyi* (Nejstgaard et al., 1994). However, *C. finmarchicus* biomass increased 3 times more in mesocosms dominated by *E. huxleyi* compared to mesocosms with diatom blooms at similar algal biomass (Nejstgaard et al., 1994). The authors suggested that during bloom conditions, copepods "preferentially" graze on the microzooplankton (Nejstgaard et al., 1994). The incorporation of coccoliths inside large fecal pellets (mesozooplankton) is the result of passive non-selective feeding behaviour (e.g. current feeding, see detail below), and not necessarily selective grazing on coccolithophores.

Our dataset demonstrated that in the North Atlantic (NA), the linear regression slope between PIC production and PIC flux at different layers of depth is positive, meaning that the more PIC is produced, the more PIC flux is (Fig. 6). This positive relation is observed at every layer of depth considered in this study. During North Atlantic phytoplankton bloom phenology, grazing by microzooplankton increased when the bloom declined (typically at the end of June; Grifford et al., 1995). Microzooplankton consume up to 100% of potential daily chlorophyll *a* production at the end of the bloom (Gifford et al., 1995).

This study demonstrates a stronger correlation between NPP and PIC flux compared to PIC production and PIC flux (Fig. 5). At the global scale, a positive relationship between average net primary production and zooplankton biomass is observed in the epi-, meso-, and bathypelagic layers (Hernández-León et al., 2020). Moreover, the study of Hernández-León et al. (2020) suggests that this relationship could enhance the organic carbon transfer to the deep ocean (fecal pellet and excretion) and deep remineralization supported by an active carbon transport process associated with vertical zooplankton migration.

4.3.3.2. Zooplankton functional groups and dissolution pattern

A recent work based on global zooplankton data set and habitat modelling suggests that distinct copepod functional traits (e.g.: body size, feeding behaviour) are associated with different bioregions (Benedetti et al., 2023). In this study, the authors described temperate and sub-polar regions dominated by large copepods, represented by detritivores/omnivorous species and



associated with passive feeding modes (current-feeders or cruise-feeders, Fig. 5 in Benedetti et al., 2023). On the other hand, subtropics and equatorial upwelling are dominated by smaller copepods (2 – 2.5 mm), represented by carnivorous species and preferentially associated with active feeding modes (ambush-feeders and current-ambush-feeders, see Fig. 5 in Benedetti et al., 2023). The concepts behind this aspect are highlighted in Fig. 8. Several studies showed grazing characteristics associated with zooplankton functional groups induce a control on the biomass and diversity of other functional groups and phytoplankton biomass as well, with consequences for global biogeochemical cycles (Le Quéré et al., 2016; Vallina et al., 2014). The present study hypothesizes that zooplankton functional groups could control the PIC export efficiency and transfer efficiency, probably mediated by guts and vacuoles dissolution of CaCO_3 . A summary of the hypothetical mechanism is displayed in Fig. 8. In temperate areas, large copepods (e.g. *Calanus* spp.) could apply strong grazing pressure on microzooplankton (Nejstgaard et al., 1997, 1994), which could induce a trophic cascade (Wassmann, 1998), this trophic cascade is expected to be season dependent (blooming event, Fig 8). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that high trophic levels indirectly affect microbial ecosystems (Leising et al., 2005; Zöllner et al., 2009). The present study suggests that in temperate and subpolar ecosystems, large copepods could increase the PIC export flux efficiency in 2 different ways: 1) Repackage coccoliths into fecal pellet (passive current feeding). 2) Apply a strong enough grazing pressure on microzooplankton, which could indirectly reduce CaCO_3 -mediated dissolution by microzooplankton (Dean et al., 2024, Fig. 8). On the other hand, in subtropical areas, which is less seasonal, planktonic ecosystems is more complex (Chaffron et al., 2021). In subtropical areas, grazing rate are higher during daylight (annually) while they are diffuse in temperate productive areas. Subtropical areas were more efficient at recycling and using nutrients through phytoplankton, while the energy transfer efficiency from nutrients to mesozooplankton appeared more efficient in temperate productive waters (Armengol et al., 2019). Shorter food web may be more efficient in energy transfer towards upper food web levels in temperate productive regions and could be the potential driver of PIC export efficiency (Fig. 8).




Figure 8: Synthesis of the potential PIC pathway through the water column, in two distinct ecosystems: a) Subtropical ecosystems (subtropical gyres and equatorial upwellings). b) Temperate zone (North Atlantic, North Pacific and subpolar regions). The white arrows represent the trophic transfer between the different planktonic compartments (Predator prey), double arrow means that both compartments could be both prey and predator each other. Small copepods correspond to individual body sizes ranging from 200 μm to 2 mm; Microzooplankton (mostly protists, < 200 μm) represent the flagellates and ciliates community; Large copepods correspond to individual body sizes larger than 2 mm (mostly large calanoid). Note that microzooplankton could be heterotrophic, autotrophic or mixotrophic.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

In this study, the 'packaging factor' theory is suggested to be an important driver of the discrepancy between the estimated PIC production and the export flux in distinct oceanic regions. Despite the PIC/POC production ratio being twice as high in subtropical areas compared to temperate and subpolar areas, the PIC Export $_{eff}$ is estimated 10 times lower and the PIC Transfer $_{eff}$ 1.5 times lower.



This study suggests that the zooplankton functional diversity and biogeography could explain the different patterns of CaCO_3 export efficiency, considering different patterns of dissolution and conservation into particles. Such a process could significantly contribute to the total downward export of carbon and associated nutrients. However, only few experimental studies have demonstrated the actual effect of zooplankton functional diversity effect on CaCO_3 dissolution. To confirm and complete this present study hypothesis, there is a strong need for experimental data and *in situ* observations regarding both mesozooplankton and microzooplankton grazing dynamic and CaCO_3 flux. In a context of surface ocean warming and acidification, phytoplankton losses due to microzooplankton grazing in eutrophic waters are expected to increase (Chen et al., 2012), which could have an attenuation effect on carbonate pumps within temperate regions. In addition, POC export will not respond equally across all high-latitude regions to possible future changes in ballast availability, which could also have consequences in the BCP. Data compilation and model output demonstrated that coccolithophores generally tend to be less calcified relatively to growth when the CO_2 increases (Krumhardt et al., 2017, 2019). The end-of-century CO_2 concentrations projection result 11% less oceanic calcification on a global scale relative to preindustrial CO_2 levels (Krumhardt et al., 2019). All this implication in surface ocean dynamics would have consequences on future surface alkalinity balance and CO_2 exchange between ocean and atmosphere (Planchat et al., 2024; Tyrrell, 2008; Volk & Hoffert, 1985).

Code and Data availability. The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplement.

Author's contribution. Jordan Toullec: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, study and editing.

Competing interest. The author declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Financial support. Jordan Toullec worked on this study while he was a post-doctorate fellow funded by CARBOCEAN ERC project: ERC-StG2019-853516. Jordan Toullec is now a post-doctorate fellow funded by CLIMArcTIC PPR project : '*Océan et Climat*' Défi 2: *Intensifier les recherches dans des océans polaires en pleine mutation et aux enjeux géostratégiques majeurs.*

6. References

- Armengol, L., Calbet, A., Franchy, G., Rodríguez-Santos, A., & Hernández-León, S. Planktonic food web structure and trophic transfer efficiency along a productivity gradient in the tropical and subtropical Atlantic Ocean. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), 2044. 2019.
- Armstrong, R. A., Lee, C., Hedges, J. I., Honjo, S., & Wakeham, S. G. A new, mechanistic model for organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with ballast minerals. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49(1-3), 219-236. 2001
- Antia, A. N., Suffrian, K., Holste, L., Müller, M. N., Nejstgaard, J. C., Simonelli, P., Carotenuto, Y., and Putzeys, S.: Dissolution of coccolithophorid calcite by microzooplankton and copepod grazing, *Biogeosciences discussion*, https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-5-1-2008, 2008.



Antoine, D. and Morel, A.: Oceanic primary production: 1. Adaptation of a spectral light-photosynthesis model in view of application to satellite chlorophyll observations, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 10, 43–55, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95GB02831>, 1996.

545 Balch, W. M. and Mitchell, C.: Remote sensing algorithms for particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) and the global cycle of PIC, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 239, 104363, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104363>, 2023.

Balch, W. M., Kilpatrick, K. A., Holligan, P., Harbour, D., and Fernandez, E.: The 1991 coccolithophore bloom in the central North Atlantic. 2. Relating optics to coccolith concentration, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 41, 1684–1696, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1996.41.8.1684>, 1996.

550 Balch, W. M., Gordon, H. R., Bowler, B. C., Drapeau, D. T., and Booth, E. S.: Calcium carbonate measurements in the surface global ocean based on Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 110, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002560>, 2005.

Balch, W. M., Drapeau, D. T., Bowler, B. C., Lyczkowski, E., Booth, E. S., and Alley, D.: The contribution of coccolithophores to the optical and inorganic carbon budgets during the Southern Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment: New evidence in support of the “Great Calcite Belt” hypothesis, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 116, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC006941>, 2011.

Balch, W. M., Bowler, B. C., Drapeau, D. T., Lubelczyk, L. C., and Lyczkowski, E.: Vertical Distributions of Coccolithophores, PIC, POC, Biogenic Silica, and Chlorophyll a Throughout the Global Ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 32, 2–17, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005614>, 2018.

560 Barton, A. D., Pershing, A. J., Litchman, E., Record, N. R., Edwards, K. F., Finkel, Z. V., Kjørboe, T., and Ward, B. A.: The biogeography of marine plankton traits, *Ecology Letters*, 16, 522–534, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12063>, 2013.

Bednaršek, N., Možina, J., Vogt, M., O’Brien, C., and Tarling, G. A.: The global distribution of pteropods and their contribution to carbonate and carbon biomass in the modern ocean, *Earth System Science Data*, 4, 167–186, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-4-167-2012>, 2012.

565 Bendif, E. M., Probert, I., Archontikis, O. A., Young, J. R., Beaufort, L., Rickaby, R. E., & Filatov, D. Rapid diversification underlying the global dominance of a cosmopolitan phytoplankton. *The ISME Journal*, 17(4), 630–640. 2023

Benedetti, F., Wydler, J., and Vogt, M.: Copepod functional traits and groups show divergent biogeographies in the global ocean, *Journal of Biogeography*, 50, 8–22, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14512>, 2023.

570 Berelson, W. M., Balch, W. M., Najjar, R., Feely, R. A., Sabine, C., and Lee, K.: Relating estimates of CaCO₃ production, export, and dissolution in the water column to measurements of CaCO₃ rain into sediment traps and dissolution on the sea floor: A revised global carbonate budget, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002803>, 2007.

Berner, R. A. and Morse, J. W.: Dissolution kinetics of calcium carbonate in sea water; IV, Theory of calcite dissolution, *American Journal of Science*, 274, 108–134, <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.274.2.108>, 1974.

575 Bissett, A., Neu, T. R., and Beer, D. de: Dissolution of Calcite in the Twilight Zone: Bacterial Control of Dissolution of Sinking Planktonic Carbonates Is Unlikely, *PLOS ONE*, 6, e26404, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026404>, 2011.

Brandão, S. N., Hoppema, M., Kamenev, G. M., Karanovic, I., Riehl, T., Tanaka, H., Vital, H., Yoo, H., and Brandt, A.: Review of Ostracoda (Crustacea) living below the Carbonate Compensation Depth and the deepest record of a calcified ostracod, *Progress in Oceanography*, 178, 102144, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102144>, 2019.



- 580 Brown, C. W., & Yoder, J. A. Coccolithophorid blooms in the global ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 99(C4), 7467-7482. 1994.
- Buitenhuis, E. T., Le Quéré, C., Bednaršek, N., and Schiebel, R.: Large Contribution of Pteropods to Shallow CaCO₃ Export, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33, 458–468, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006110>, 2019.
- 585 Burkill, P. H., Edwards, E. S., John, A. W. G., and Sleight, M. A.: Microzooplankton and their herbivorous activity in the northeastern Atlantic Ocean, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 40, 479–493, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0645\(93\)90028-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0645(93)90028-L), 1993.
- Burridge, A. K., Goetze, E., Wall-Palmer, D., Le Double, S. L., Huisman, J., and Peijnenburg, K. T. C. A.: Diversity and abundance of pteropods and heteropods along a latitudinal gradient across the Atlantic Ocean, *Progress in Oceanography*, 158, 213–223, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.10.001>, 2017.
- 590 Buesseler, K. O., Lamborg, C. H., Boyd, P. W., Lam, P. J., Trull, T. W., Bidigare, R. R., Bishop, J. K. B., Casciotti, K. L., Dehairs, Elskens, M., Honda, M., Karl, D. M., Siegel, D. A., Silver, M. W., Steinberg, D. K. Valdes, J., Van Mooy, B. & Wilson, S. Revisiting carbon flux through the ocean's twilight zone. *science*, 316(5824), 567-570. 2007
- Calbet, A. and Landry, M. R.: Phytoplankton growth, microzooplankton grazing, and carbon cycling in marine systems, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 51–57, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.1.0051>, 2004.
- 595 Chaabane, S., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Meilland, J., Sulpis, O., Chalk, T. B., Brummer, G. J. A., ... & Schiebel, R.: Migrating is not enough for modern planktonic foraminifera in a changing ocean. *Nature*, 1-7. <https://doi.org/s41586-024-08191-5>, 2024.
- Chaffron, S., Delage, E., Budinich, M., Vintache, D., Henry, N., Nef, C., Ardyna, M., Zayed, A. A., Junger, P. C., Galand, P. E., Lovejoy, C., Murray, A. E., Sarmiento, H., Tara Oceans coordinators, Acinas, S. G., Babin, M., Iudicone, D., Jaillon, O., Karsenti, E., Wincker, P., Karp-Boss, L., Sullivan, M. B., Bowler, C., de Vargas, C. & Eveillard, D. Environmental vulnerability of the global ocean epipelagic plankton community interactome. *Science Advances*, 7(35), eabg1921. 2021.
- 600 Chen, B., Landry, M. R., Huang, B., and Liu, H.: Does warming enhance the effect of microzooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton in the ocean?, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 57, 519–526, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.2.0519>, 2012.
- Clements, D. J., Yang, S., Weber, T., McDonnell, A. M. P., Kiko, R., Stemmann, L., and Bianchi, D.: New Estimate of Organic Carbon Export From Optical Measurements Reveals the Role of Particle Size Distribution and Export Horizon, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 37, e2022GB007633, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007633>, 2023.
- 605 Dean, C. L., Harvey, E. L., Johnson, M. D., & Subhas, A. V. Microzooplankton grazing on the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and its role in the global calcium carbonate cycle. *Science Advances*, 10(45), eadr5453. 2024
- Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Millero, F. J., Lamb, M. F., Greeley, D., ... & Wong, C. S. In situ calcium carbonate dissolution in the Pacific Ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16(4), 91-1. 2002.
- 610 Fischer, G., Karstensen, J., Romero, O., Baumann, K.-H., Donner, B., Hefter, J., Mollenhauer, G., Iversen, M., Fiedler, B., Monteiro, I., and Körtzinger, A.: Bathypelagic particle flux signatures from a suboxic eddy in the oligotrophic tropical North Atlantic: production, sedimentation and preservation, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 3203–3223, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-3203-2016>, 2016.
- Francois, R., Honjo, S., Krishfield, R., and Manganini, S.: Factors controlling the flux of organic carbon to the bathypelagic zone of the ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16, 34-1-34–20, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001722>, 2002.



- 615 Friis, K., Najjar, R. G., Follows, M. J. & Dutkiewicz, S. Possible overestimation of shallow-depth calcium carbonate dissolution in the ocean. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gb002727>. 2006.
- Gangstø, R., Gehlen, M., Schneider, B., Bopp, L., Aumont, O., and Joos, F.: Modeling the marine aragonite cycle: changes under rising carbon dioxide and its role in shallow water CaCO_3 dissolution, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1057–1072, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1057-2008>, 2008.
- 620 Gifford, D. J., Fessenden, L. M., Garrahan, P. R., and Martin, E.: Grazing by microzooplankton and mesozooplankton in the high-latitude North Atlantic Ocean: Spring versus summer dynamics, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 100, 6665–6675, <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JC00983>, 1995.
- Guerreiro, C. V., Baumann, K. H., Brummer, G. J. A., Valente, A., Fischer, G., Ziveri, P., Vanda, B. & Stuut, J. B. W. Carbonate fluxes by coccolithophore species between NW Africa and the Caribbean: Implications for the biological carbon pump. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 66(8), 3190–3208. 2021.
- 625 Harris, R. P.: Zooplankton grazing on the coccolithophore *Emiliana huxleyi* and its role in inorganic carbon flux, *Marine Biology*, 119, 431–439, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00347540>, 1994.
- Hauck, J., Gruber, N., Ishii, M., and Müller, J. D.: Constraining regional and global ocean carbon fluxes in RECCAP2, Copernicus Meetings, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-12786>, 2023.
- 630 Henson, S. A., Sanders, R., and Madsen, E.: Global patterns in efficiency of particulate organic carbon export and transfer to the deep ocean: EXPORT AND TRANSFER EFFICIENCY, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26, n/a–n/a, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004099>, 2012.
- Henson, S., Le Moigne, F., & Giering, S. Drivers of carbon export efficiency in the global ocean. *Global biogeochemical cycles*, 33(7), 891–903. 2019.
- 635 Hernández-León, S., Koppelman, R., Fraile-Nuez, E., Bode, A., Mompeán, C., Irigoien, X., Olivar, M. P., Echevarría, F., Fernández de Puellas, M. L., González-Gordillo, J. I., Cózar, A., Acuña, J. L., Agustí, S., and Duarte, C. M.: Large deep-sea zooplankton biomass mirrors primary production in the global ocean, *Nat Commun*, 11, 6048, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19875-7>, 2020.
- 640 Holligan, P. M., Fernández, E., Aiken, J., Balch, W. M., Boyd, P., Burkill, P. H., Finch, M., Groom, S. B., Malin, G., Muller, K., Purdie, D. A., Robinson, C., Trees, C. C., Turner, S. M., and van der Wal, P.: A biogeochemical study of the coccolithophore, *Emiliana huxleyi*, in the North Atlantic, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 7, 879–900, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB01731>, 1993.
- Honjo, S.: Coccoliths: Production, transportation and sedimentation, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 1, 65–79, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398\(76\)90005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398(76)90005-0), 1976.
- 645 Honjo, S. and Roman, M.: Marine copepod fecal pellets: Production, preservation and sedimentation, *Journal of Marine Research*, 36, 1978.
- Hopkins, J., Henson, S. A., Painter, S. C., Tyrrell, T., & Poulton, A. J. Phenological characteristics of global coccolithophore blooms. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(2), 239–253. 2015.
- 650 Hopkins, J. and Balch, W. M.: A New Approach to Estimating Coccolithophore Calcification Rates From Space, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123, 1447–1459, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004235>, 2018.



- Irigoiien, X., Flynn, K. J., and Harris, R. P.: Phytoplankton blooms: a ‘loophole’ in microzooplankton grazing impact?, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 27, 313–321, <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbi011>, 2005.
- Iversen, M. H. and Ploug, H.: Ballast minerals and the sinking carbon flux in the ocean: carbon-specific respiration rates and sinking velocity of marine snow aggregates, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2613–2624, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2613-2010>, 2010.
- 655 Jansen, H. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: Carbonate dissolution in copepod guts: a numerical model, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 221, 199–207, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps221199>, 2001.
- Kaneko, H., Endo, H., Henry, N., Berney, C., Mahé, F., Poulain, J., Labadie, K., Beluche, O., El Hourany, R., Chaffron, S., Wincker, P., Nakamura, R., Karp-Boss, L., Boss, E., Chris Bowler, de Vargas, C., Tomii, K., and Ogata, H.: Predicting global distributions of eukaryotic plankton communities from satellite data, *ISME COMMUN.*, 3, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00308-7>, 2023.
- 660
- Klaas, C. and Archer, D. E.: Association of sinking organic matter with various types of mineral ballast in the deep sea: Implications for the rain ratio, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16, 63-1-63–14, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001765>, 2002.
- Knecht, N. S., Benedetti, F., Elizondo, U. H., Bednaršek, N., Chaabane, S., de Weerd, C., Peijnenburg, K. T. C. A., Schiebel, R., and Vogt, M.: The impact of zooplankton calcifiers on the marine carbon cycle, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, n/a, e2022GB007685, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007685>, 2023.
- 665
- Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Iglesias-Rodriguez, M. D., and Kleypas, J. A.: Coccolithophore growth and calcification in a changing ocean, *Progress in Oceanography*, 159, 276–295, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.10.007>, 2017.
- Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Long, M. C., Lévy, M., Lindsay, K., Moore, J. K., & Nissen, C. Coccolithophore growth and calcification in an acidified ocean: Insights from community Earth system model simulations. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(5), 1418-1437. 2019
- 670
- Kwon, E. Y., Dunne, J. P., & Lee, K. Biological export production controls upper ocean calcium carbonate dissolution and CO₂ buffer capacity. *Science Advances*, 10(13), eadl0779. 2024
- Lacour, L., Llort, J., Briggs, N., Strutton, P. G., & Boyd, P. W. Seasonality of downward carbon export in the Pacific Southern Ocean revealed by multi-year robotic observations. *Nature Communications*, 14(1), 1278. 2023.
- 675
- Langer, G., Nehrke, G., and Jansen, S.: Dissolution of *Calcidiscus leptoporus* coccoliths in copepod guts? A morphological study, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 331, 139–146, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps331139>, 2007.
- Lalli, C. M., & Gilmer, R. W. *Pelagic snails: the biology of holoplanktonic gastropod mollusks*. Stanford University Press. 1989.
- 680
- Laurenceau-Cornec, E. C., Le Moigne, F. A. C., Gallinari, M., Moriceau, B., Toullec, J., Iversen, M. H., Engel, A., and De La Rocha, C. L.: New guidelines for the application of Stokes’ models to the sinking velocity of marine aggregates, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 65, 1264–1285, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11388>, 2020.
- Le Moigne, F. A. C., Pabortsava, K., Marcinko, C. L. J., Martin, P., and Sanders, R. J.: Where is mineral ballast important for surface export of particulate organic carbon in the ocean?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 8460–8468, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061678>, 2014.
- 685
- Le Quéré, C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Moriarty, R., Alvain, S., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Chollet, S., Enright, C., Franklin, D. J., Geider, R. J., Harrison, S. P., Hirst, A. G., Larsen, S., Legendre, L., Platt, T., Prentice, I. C., Rivkin, R. B., Sailley, S., Sathyendranath,



- S., Stephens, N., Vogt, M., and Vallina, S. M.: Role of zooplankton dynamics for Southern Ocean phytoplankton biomass and global biogeochemical cycles, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 4111–4133, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4111-2016>, 2016.
- 690 Lebrato, M., Iglesias-Rodríguez, D., Feely, R. A., Greeley, D., Jones, D. O. B., Suarez-Bosche, N., Lampitt, R. S., Cartes, J. E., Green, D. R. H., and Alker, B.: Global contribution of echinoderms to the marine carbon cycle: CaCO₃ budget and benthic compartments, *Ecological Monographs*, 80, 441–467, <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0553.1>, 2010.
- Lee, K.: Global net community production estimated from the annual cycle of surface water total dissolved inorganic carbon, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 46, 1287–1297, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.6.1287>, 2001.
- 695 Leising, A. W., Pierson, J. J., Halsband-Lenk, C., Horner, R., and Postel, J.: Copepod grazing during spring blooms: Can *Pseudocalanus newmani* induce trophic cascades?, *Progress in Oceanography*, 67, 406–421, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2005.09.009>, 2005.
- Lima, I. D., Lam, P. J., and Doney, S. C.: Dynamics of particulate organic carbon flux in a global ocean model, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 1177–1198, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-1177-2014>, 2014.
- 700 Li, J., Liu, Z., Lin, B., Zhao, Y., Cao, J., Zhang, X., Ling, C., Ma, P. & Wu, J. Zooplankton fecal pellet characteristics and contribution to the deep-sea carbon export in the southern South China Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 127(12), e2022JC019412, 2022
- Millero, F. J., Lee, K., & Roche, M. Distribution of alkalinity in the surface waters of the major oceans. *Marine Chemistry*, 60(1-2), 111-130. 1998.
- 705 Milliman, J. D., Troy, P. J., Balch, W. M., Adams, A. K., Li, Y.-H., and Mackenzie, F. T.: Biologically mediated dissolution of calcium carbonate above the chemical lysocline?, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 46, 1653–1669, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(99\)00034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00034-5), 1999.
- Monteiro, F. M., Bach, L. T., Brownlee, C., Bown, P., Rickaby, R. E. M., Poulton, A. J., Tyrrell, T., Beaufort, L., Dutkiewicz, S., Gibbs, S., Gutowska, M. A., Lee, R., Riebesell, U., Young, J., and Ridgwell, A.: Why marine phytoplankton calcify, *Sci. Adv.*, 2, e1501822, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501822>, 2016.
- 710 Morse, J. W., Andersson, A. J. & Mackenzie, F. T. Initial responses of carbonate-rich shelf sediments to rising atmospheric pCO₂ and “ocean acidification”: role of high Mg-calcites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 70, 5814–5830. 2006.
- Mouw, C. B., Barnett, A., McKinley, G. A., Gloege, L., and Pilcher, D.: Global ocean particulate organic carbon flux merged with satellite parameters, *Earth System Science Data*, 8, 531–541, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-531-2016>, 2016.
- 715 Nejstgaard, J., Gismervik, I., and Solberg, P.: Feeding and reproduction by *Calanus finmarchicus*, and microzooplankton grazing during mesocosm blooms of diatoms and the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 147, 197–217, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps147197>, 1997.
- Nejstgaard, J. C., Witte, H. J., van der Wal, P., and Jacobsen, A.: Copepod grazing during a mesocosm study of an *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae) bloom, *Sarsia*, 79, 369–377, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00364827.1994.10413568>, 1994.
- 720 Neukermans, G., Bach, L. T., Butterley, A., Sun, Q., Claustre, H., and Fournier, G. R.: Quantitative and mechanistic understanding of the open ocean carbonate pump - perspectives for remote sensing and autonomous in situ observation, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 104359, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104359>, 2023.



- Nowicki, M., DeVries, T., and Siegel, D. A.: Quantifying the Carbon Export and Sequestration Pathways of the Ocean's Biological Carbon Pump, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 36, e2021GB007083, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007083>, 2022.
- 725 Passow, U. and Carlson, C.: The biological pump in a high CO₂ world, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 470, 249–271, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09985>, 2012.
- Picard, T., Gula, J., Fablet, R., Collin, J., and Mémery, L.: Predicting particle catchment areas of deep-ocean sediment traps using machine learning, *Ocean Sci.*, 20, 1149–1165, <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-20-1149-2024>, 2024.
- 730 Picard, T., Baker, C. A., Gula, J., Fablet, R., Mémery, L., and Lampitt, R.: Estimating the variability of deep-ocean particle flux collected by sediment traps using satellite data and machine learning, *Biogeosciences*, 22, 4309–4331, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-22-4309-2025>, 2025.
- Pilskaln, C. H. and Honjo, S.: The Fecal Pellet fraction of biogeochemical particle fluxes to the deep sea, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 1, 31–48, <https://doi.org/10.1029/GB001i001p00031>, 1987.
- 735 Planchat, A., Kwiatkowski, L., Bopp, L., Torres, O., Christian, J. R., Butenschön, M., ... & Stock, C.: The representation of alkalinity and the carbonate pump from CMIP5 to CMIP6 Earth system models and implications for the carbon cycle. *Biogeosciences*, 20(7), 1195–1257. 2023
- Planchat, A., Bopp, L., Kwiatkowski, L., & Torres, O. The carbonate pump feedback on alkalinity and the carbon cycle in the 21st century and beyond. *Earth System Dynamics*, 15(3), 565–588. 2024
- 740 Poulton, A. J., Adey, T. R., Balch, W. M., & Holligan, P. M. Relating coccolithophore calcification rates to phytoplankton community dynamics: Regional differences and implications for carbon export. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 54(5-7), 538–557. 2007.
- Poulton, A. J., Stinchcombe, M. C., Achterberg, E. P., Bakker, D. C. E., Dumoussaud, C., Lawson, H. E., Lee, G. A., Richier, S., Suggett, D. J., and Young, J. R.: Coccolithophores on the north-west European shelf: calcification rates and environmental controls, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 3919–3940, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3919-2014>, 2014.
- 745 Renforth, P., & Henderson, G.: Assessing ocean alkalinity for carbon sequestration. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(3), 636–674. 2017.
- Ricour, F., Guidi, L., Gehlen, M., DeVries, T., and Legendre, L.: Century-scale carbon sequestration flux throughout the ocean by the biological pump, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01318-9>, 2023.
- 750 Romero, O. E., Fischer, G., Karstensen, J., and Cermeño, P.: Eddies as trigger for diatom productivity in the open-ocean Northeast Atlantic, *Progress in Oceanography*, 147, 38–48, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.07.011>, 2016.
- Rosengard, S. Z., Lam, P. J., Balch, W. M., Auro, M. E., Pike, S., Drapeau, D., and Bowler, B.: Carbon export and transfer to depth across the Southern Ocean Great Calcite Belt, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 3953–3971, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-3953-2015>, 2015.
- 755 Roth, P. H., Mullin, M. M., and Berger, W. H.: Coccolith Sedimentation by Fecal Pellets: Laboratory Experiments and Field Observations, *Geol Soc America Bull*, 86, 1079, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1975\)86<1079:CSBFPL>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1975)86<1079:CSBFPL>2.0.CO;2), 1975.
- Ryan-Keogh, T. J., Thomalla, S. J., Chang, N., & Moalusi, T. A new global oceanic multi-model net primary productivity data product. *Earth System Science Data*, 15(11), 4829–4848. 2023.



Samtleben, C. and Bickert, T.: Coccoliths in sediment traps from the Norwegian Sea, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 16, 39–64, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398\(90\)90028-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398(90)90028-K), 1990.

760 Sarmiento, J. L.: *Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics*, in: *Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics*, Princeton University Press, <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400849079>, 2013.

Savoye, N., Benitez-Nelson, C., Burd, A. B., Cochran, J. K., Charette, M., Buesseler, K. O., ... & Elskens, M.: 234Th sorption and export models in the water column: A review. *Marine Chemistry*, 100(3–4), 234–249. 2006.

765 Schiebel, R. and Movellan, A.: First-order estimate of the planktic foraminifer biomass in the modern ocean, *Earth System Science Data*, 4, 75–89, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-4-75-2012>, 2012.

Sulpis, O., Jeansson, E., Dinuer, A., Lauvset, S. K., and Middelburg, J. J.: Calcium carbonate dissolution patterns in the ocean, *Nat. Geosci.*, 14, 423–428, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00743-y>, 2021.

770 Tamburini, C., Garel, M., Barani, A., Boeuf, D., Bonin, P., Bhairy, N., Guasco, S., Jacquet, S., Le Moigne, F. A. C., Panagiotopoulos, C., Riou, V., Veloso, S., Santinelli, C., and Armougom, F.: Increasing Hydrostatic Pressure Impacts the Prokaryotic Diversity during *Emiliana huxleyi* Aggregates Degradation, *Water*, 13, 2616, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192616>, 2021.

Thuiller, W., Pollock, L. J., Gueguen, M., and Münkemüller, T.: From species distributions to meta-communities, *Ecology Letters*, 18, 1321–1328, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12526>, 2015.

775 Torres Valdés, S., Painter, S. C., Martin, A. P., Sanders, R., and Felden, J.: Data compilation of fluxes of sedimenting material from sediment traps in the Atlantic Ocean, *Earth System Science Data*, 6, 123–145, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-6-123-2014>, 2014.

Toullec, J., Vincent, D., Frohn, L., Miner, P., Le Goff, M., Devesa, J., and Moriceau, B.: Copepod Grazing Influences Diatom Aggregation and Particle Dynamics, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00751>, 2019.

780 Toullec, J., Delegrange, A., Perruchon, A., Duong, G., Cornille, V., Brutier, L., and Hermoso, M.: Copepod Feeding Responses to Changes in Coccolithophore Size and Carbon Content, *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 10, 1807, <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10121807>, 2022.

Tyrrell, T. and Merico, A.: *Emiliana huxleyi*: bloom observations and the conditions that induce them, in: *Coccolithophores: From Molecular Processes to Global Impact*, edited by: Thierstein, H. R. and Young, J. R., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 75–97, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-06278-4_4, 2004.

785 Tyrrell, T. Calcium carbonate cycling in future oceans and its influence on future climates. *Journal of plankton research*, 30(2), 141–156. 2008.

Vallina, S. M., Follows, M. J., Dutkiewicz, S., Montoya, J. M., Cermeno, P., and Loreau, M.: Global relationship between phytoplankton diversity and productivity in the ocean, *Nat Commun*, 5, 4299, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5299>, 2014.

790 Volk, T., & Hoffert, M. I. Ocean carbon pumps: Analysis of relative strengths and efficiencies in ocean-driven atmospheric CO₂ changes. *The carbon cycle and atmospheric CO₂: Natural variations Archean to present*, 32, 99–110. 1985

Wang, W.-L., Fu, W., Le Moigne, F. A. C., Letscher, R. T., Liu, Y., Tang, J.-M., and Primeau, F. W.: Biological carbon pump estimate based on multidecadal hydrographic data, *Nature*, 1–7, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06772-4>, 2023.



795 Wassmann, P.: Retention versus export food chains: processes controlling sinking loss from marine pelagic systems, in: Eutrophication in Planktonic Ecosystems: Food Web Dynamics and Elemental Cycling: Proceedings of the Fourth International PELAG Symposium, held in Helsinki, Finland, 26–30 August 1996, edited by: Tamminen, T. and Kuosa, H., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 29–57, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1493-8_3, 1998.

Weber, T., Cram, J. A., Leung, S. W., DeVries, T., & Deutsch, C. Deep ocean nutrients imply large latitudinal variation in particle transfer efficiency. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(31), 8606–8611. 2016.

800 Ziveri, P., Gray, W. R., Anglada-Ortiz, G., Manno, C., Grelaud, M., Incarbona, A., Rae, J. W. B., Subhas, A. V., Pallacks, S., White, A., Adkins, J. F., and Berelson, W.: Pelagic calcium carbonate production and shallow dissolution in the North Pacific Ocean, *Nat Commun*, 14, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36177-w>, 2023.

Zöllner, E., Hoppe, H.-G., Sommer, U., and Jürgens, K.: Effect of zooplankton-mediated trophic cascades on marine microbial food web components (bacteria, nanoflagellates, ciliates), *Limnology and Oceanography*, 54, 262–275, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.1.0262>, 2009.