"Unveiling the Limits of Deep Learning Models in Hydrological Extrapolation Tasks"

Manuscript #2025-425; Second revision

B. Kraft

July 28, 2025

General feedback

The authors have addressed the reviewer's comments in a satisfactory and thoughtful manner. The revisions improve the clarity and rigor of the manuscript, and the responses to the concerns raised during the review process are appropriate and well-reasoned.

A few minor issues remain, mostly related to clarity and phrasing, as detailed below. I recomment to address these issues before publication, but they do not significantly affect the overall quality of the manuscript. Therefore, I suggest accepting the manuscript subject to technical corrections.

Minor remarks

Here I list some typos and suggestions for improving clarity. Line numbers refer to the diff PDF.

- L5 I wonder whether hybrid models are known in the community or if a brief explanation of the term would help. Probably "hybrid process/machine learning model"?
- L9 CAMELS-CH is mentioned here for the first time, but not defined. I suggest "below the maximum value of 183 mm d^{-1} in the training data.", and suggest not mentioning CAMELS-CH here.
- L121 Would the maximum for the combined dataset not be the 299 mm d^{-1} from the US dataset?
- L375 "but accompanied by a decrease in overall performance" instead of "by a loss"?
- L376 "Both strategies ..." instead of "Both the strategies ...".
- L377 Not sure if this is a typo, do you really believe that these issues can be resolved completely? Maybe you meant "cannot be resolved completely"? In both cases, I suggest rephrasing, either tone down or further elaborate why this can be resolved completely.
- L429 "This suggests ..." instead of "It is thereby obvious ..."?
- L433 Sentence seems to be incomplete. "While \dots " should be followed by a contrastive statement.
- L420-442 This part would benefit from a rewrite to enhance clarity and readability.
 - L455 You could highlight that the hybrid model, by following the physical constraints, is also biased in a way towards prior knowledge and assumptions.