

Response to reviewers

March 6, 2026

We thank the reviewers and editors for their continued engagement with our manuscript, “The Role of El Niño Southern Oscillation in driving Coastal Hazards in the U.S. Pacific Northwest.”

We greatly appreciate the time and effort they have devoted to helping us improve this work. We have made minor corrections based on their feedback and provide our point-by-point response below.

Reviewer #2

Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection

(visible to the public if the article is accepted and published)

I appreciate the authors’ efforts in fully addressing my comments. Just a minor suggestion: It may look better to remove the gray edges of some figures, e.g., Figures 2-6.

We have resolved this issue by saving our figures as jpegs rather than pngs before inserting them into the document and exporting the final PDF. The gray edges have been removed from Figures 2-6. We appreciate Reviewer #2’s careful attention and helpful suggestion.

Reviewer #3

Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection

(visible to the public if the article is accepted and published)

The introduction is way too long making it very hard for the reader to follow. Please shorten the introduction keeping only necessary information.

We thank Reviewer #3 for this feedback. We have further shortened the introduction by simplifying language and removing text, particularly within the paragraphs describing previous studies examining how ENSO diversity translates to coastal impacts in the PNW (lines 90-130).