## Review of "Accurate humidity probe for persistent aviation-contrail conditions" by Dyroff et al. (2025)

## **Overview**

Persistent contrail cirrus has become a main concern in the aviation industry and scientific community for its radiatively warming impact on the climate. Although the formation mechanism and conditions of persistent contrails are well understood, sensitive and accurate humidity measurements at aircraft cruising altitudes remain the key restriction to improve numerical weather prediction models for robust forecast of persistent contrail conditions.

This paper presents a newly developed humidity probe based on tunable infrared laser direct absorption spectroscopy (TILDAS) that can measure water vapour ( $H_2O$ ) mixing ratios in the range of persistent contrail formation conditions, even down to a few parts per million by volume, with high accuracy, low noise and offset. Without pre-calibration, both prototypes 1 and 2 implementing slightly different electronic systems, data acquisition and analysis software show excellent agreement between each other and with the reference dew-point generator. Besides accuracy, the stability of the two humidity probes was tested in series over 5-day operation, proving the instrument robust. Attenuating optical power improves significantly the linear response of the humidity probes in high  $H_2O$  volume mixing ratios.

Overall, the manuscript is well-written and describes in detail the set-up, characterisation, and test of the new humidity probes. The paper is high relevant, and it fits very well with the scientific scope of AMT. I still have some comments that I like to see addressed before publishing this paper.

## **General comments:**

- 1. The author describes the TILDAS humidity probes are tailored to measure humidity promoting contrail formation and are suitable for commercial and research aircraft deployment. The prototypes seem handy to measure low  $H_2O$  mixing ratios in laboratory settings. All the testing described in the paper were done in well controlled lab environment. However, as far as I am concerned, the testing is not complete to demonstrate the reliability of the prototypes for in-situ measurements aboard aircraft, despite the multiple-day operation.
  - 1) The temperature change in the lab was up to 8°C/day (L221). What impact it have on the selected line strength, the accuracy and stability of the probes? Pogány et al. (2015) stated that even the temperature variation during the day in the lab could have a significant effect on the absorption line strength. I would like to see a figure in Sect 3 to show whether the spectra were affected by the temperature change.

- 2) What are the author's views on real atmospheric measurements on aircraft with strong vibration, large pressure variation, weigh larger temperature difference ~70°C between the surface and upper troposphere (than the tested 8°C/day). Did the author perform sensitivity tests on the effect of low pressure and temperature on the spectra, the accuracy and stability of the probes, like in Buchholz et al. (2014), evaluating the instrument in simulated low pressure and temperature environment?
- 3) How is the attenuation of optical power implemented so that the humidity probe can keep its linearity when transitioning from conditions with low H2O values to the ones with higher values? Or sacrifice the measurements in the lower atmosphere?
- 4) Have the authors learned any of these during the EcoDemonstrate flight campaign? It might worth being shared in the discussion.
- 2. It is good that the authors kept the introduction short and focused on the instrumental set-up, characterisation and tests, given it is a technical paper. However, the motivation for developing a new humidity probe might seem a bit weak. The authors listed a range of available precise in situ hygrometers and pointed out they were designed for research campaigns. WVSS-II and IAGOS ICH, as successful aircraft-based  $H_2O$  instrumentation, which are also simple, robust, and autonomous, were mentioned. But are there any limitations in the WVSS-II and IAGOS ICH making the developing of a new humidity probe so pressing and beneficial to the persistent aviation topic?
- 3. Some more information in the instrument design section should be added, e.g., dimension, weight, sample volume, optical path length, and power consumption of the TILDAS humidity probes.
- 4. To support the contrail-avoidance decision tools, the humidity probe should deliver robust and accurate measurements with minimal maintenance and long-term stability. For example, IAGOS ICH sensors are taken back to the lab for calibration after  $\sim$ 500 flight hours to ensure data quality (Neis et al., 2015). We can already see a slight decrease in the slope of prototype 1 in the 50-h period. And in Figure 12, the prototype 2 had to adjust its laser scan to achieve better agreement with the prototype 1. Sometimes, the slope, offset and  $r^2$  still fall out of the uncertainty range despite mostly good agreement. Can the authors explain how to achieve long-term stable and accurate measurements without re-calibration while deploying the probe for long-time routine operations? Any online monitoring and self-adjustment available?
- 5. The design of the TILDAS humidity probe make it preferably installed in the pressurized cabin of an aircraft, like the WVSS-II. Can the authors comment on the ambient temperature measurement that should be used to convert  $H_2O$  mixing ratio to local  $RH_{ice}$ ? Relying on the temperature data from the aircraft itself? As  $RH_{ice}$  governs the fate of persistent contrail cirrus, how large is the uncertainty then in  $RH_{ice}$ ?
- 6. The author did not discuss the response time of the TILDAS humidity probes. Based on Fig. 5 and 7, they seem to respond fast to the change of  $H_2O$  mixing ratios, even below 20

ppmv despite almost negligible crawling effect when switching between zero-air and  $H_2O$  measurements. The IAGOS sensor increases its response time from a few seconds at 273 K to a few minutes below 233 K (Neis et al., 2015). Therefore, it is also of high interest and relevance to see if the response time of the TILDAS increases under cruising conditions.

7. In the discussion section, the authors reclaimed the novelty design of the TILDAS humidity probe and its good accuracy and stability. However, I am not convinced so far by the deployment of such a probe on an aircraft for autonomous measurements for supporting contrail avoidance strategies. The measuring technique employing the absorption of H<sub>2</sub>O in the near-infrared range has been well established in airborne hygrometers, such as JPL laser hygrometer (May et al., 1998), SHARC (Kaufmann et al., 2018), HAI (Buchholz et al., 2014, 2017), SEALDH-II (Buchholz et al., 2018), which can measure down to a few parts per million with low offsets and uncertainties. Interests and potential exist to have some of the prototypes adapted for routine H<sub>2</sub>O observations for improving contrail forecasts. Furthermore, WVSS-II using the same technique has been long in operation in the (T)AMDAR network. I suggest the authors extend the discussion when discussing the performance of the prototypes by make cross comparisons with similar instruments in the aspects of technique details, measurement capabilities, airborne deployment feasibility so that the readers can easily follow the novelty, simplicity and reliability of the presented humidity probe. Thus, the suitability of the instrument for measuring in aviation contrail environment presents itself to the readers.

## **Specific comments:**

L32 "Supersaturation with respect to ice is a prerequisite for persistent contrails": In addition to ice supersaturation, contrail cirrus may also be persistent in slightly ice subsaturated regions depending on the sizes of ice particles according to Li et al. (2023)

L33 "Small variation in humidity at cruising altitudes dramatically affect their lifecycle" -> "... their life cycle by controlling ice crystal formation, growth and dissipation (Unterstrasser and Gierens, 2010)."

L33 "spatially and temporally resolved humidity measurements" is vague. It should explicitly be high spatial and temporal resolution measurements.

L38: References after contrail formation: Petzold et al. 2020, Li et al. 2023, Gierens et al., 2020

L79: The thermistor type? What is its uncertainty? Only one thermistor is inserted in the cell body. Is it located in the milled of the cell body?

Figure 2: I think the dimensions of the measurement cell worth being noted in the figure.

L113: The data recording interval of sample detector and baseline detector is quite clear. The authors also performed dark signal check. How is this reflected in the data acquisition and analysis? Or is this just recorded for inspection?

Figure 5: The abbreviation ADEV for Allan deviations needs to be explained while it is not note elsewhere.

Figure 6: Element 11 missing in the caption.

Figure 7 (bottom left and right), 8 (top), 9, 10 and 13: I find the units of H<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratio in "ppbv" are unnecessary because the lowest H<sub>2</sub>O volume mixing ratio to be detected was a few ppmv.

L201: "is" -> in

L203: "where" -> were

Figure 9: In the first cycle, the prototype 1 obviously measured slightly lower values than the prototype 2 at each step above about 50 ppmv, which was not repeated in the second and third cycles. Do the authors have any ideas on the cause of this?

References: 1 Not all DOI are inserted as links. 2 Not all journal names were abbreviated. 3 The style of the references with a long author list should be unified.

References mentioned in the comments:

Buchholz, B., Afchine, A., and Ebert, V.: Rapid, optical measurement of the atmospheric pressure on a fast research aircraft using open-path TDLAS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 3653–3666, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-3653-2014, 2014.

Pogány A, Wagner S, Werhahn O, Ebert V. Development and Metrological Characterization of a Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS) Spectrometer for Simultaneous Absolute Measurement of Carbon Dioxide and Water Vapor. *Applied Spectroscopy*. 2015;69(2):257-268. doi:10.1366/14-07575

Buchholz, B. and Ebert, V.: Absolute, pressure-dependent validation of a calibration-free, airborne laser hygrometer transfer standard (SEALDH-II) from 5 to 1200 ppmv using a metrological humidity generator, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 459–471, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-459-2018, 2018.

May, R.D. (1998), Open-path, near-infrared tunable diode laser spectrometer for atmospheric measurements of H2O, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *103*, 19161-19172, doi:10.1029/98jd01678.

Kaufmann, S., Voigt, C., Heller, R., Jurkat-Witschas, T., Krämer, M., Rolf, C., Zöger, M., Giez, A., Buchholz, B., Ebert, V., Thornberry, T., and Schumann, U.: Intercomparison of midlatitude tropospheric and lower-stratospheric water vapor measurements and comparison to ECMWF humidity data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 16729–16745, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16729-2018, 2018.

Neis, P., Smit, H. G. J., Krämer, M., Spelten, N., and Petzold, A.: Evaluation of the MOZAIC Capacitive Hygrometer during the airborne field study CIRRUS-III, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 1233–1243, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1233-2015, 2015.

Li, Y., Mahnke, C., Rohs, S., Bundke, U., Spelten, N., Dekoutsidis, G., Groß, S., Voigt, C., Schumann, U., Petzold, A., and Krämer, M.: Upper-tropospheric slightly ice-subsaturated regions: frequency of occurrence and statistical evidence for the appearance of contrail cirrus, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 2251–2271, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-2251-2023, 2023.

Unterstrasser, S. and Gierens, K.: Numerical simulations of contrail-to-cirrus transition – Part 1: An extensive parametric study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2017–2036, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2017-2010, 2010.

Petzold, A., Neis, P., Rütimann, M., Rohs, S., Berkes, F., Smit, H. G. J., Krämer, M., Spelten, N., Spichtinger, P., Nédélec, P., and Wahner, A.: Ice-supersaturated air masses in the northern mid-latitudes from regular in situ observations by passenger aircraft: vertical distribution, seasonality and tropospheric fingerprint, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 8157–8179, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-8157-2020, 2020.

Gierens, K.; Matthes, S.; Rohs, S. How Well Can Persistent Contrails Be Predicted? *Aerospace* 2020, *7*, 169. https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace7120169