

Review Brazdil et al 15th century by Christian Pfister

The paper is innovative and convincing in terms of methodology and content

The results are attractively presented and well documented.

Major improvements

Sect 3.1. Documentary data

By including seasonal indices for Central Europe (Pfister, Wanner 2021)

<https://boris.unibe.ch/191962/> the number of missing indices might be reduced. This would be particularly crucial for winter., for which just the NAO study by Cook et al. 2019 is available.

Line 150: It would be worthwhile to compare the tree-ring reconstruction of the winter (December–March) of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) by Cook et al. (2019) with the results to the 15th century winter indices for Central Europe <https://boris.unibe.ch/191962> b

Line 154-155 many indices are still missing. Most of the seasonal 15th century indices published in <https://boris.unibe.ch/191962> based on Pfister and Wanner 2021 refer to Germany. These results should also be considered.

Line 156-158 the dating of documentary sources according to the Julian style is prone to error, For clarity the term “Julian” or “Jul” should be added to the date or preferably the dates should be presented according to the Gregorian followed by abbreviation “Greg”

Small modifications

Refereces

Cook, E., Kushnir, Y., Smerdon, J., Williams, A., Anchukaitis, K., and Wahl, E.: A Euro-Mediterranean tree-ring 680 reconstruction of the winter NAO index since 910 C.E., *Clim. Dyn.*, 53, 1567–1580, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04696-2>, 2019.-----not in alphabetical order