

# Boundary layer dynamics after rain fronts: high-resolution reconstruction and model validation using ground- and drone-based measurements

Lasse Moormann<sup>1</sup>, Friederike Fachinger<sup>1</sup>, Frank Drewnick<sup>1</sup>, and Holger Tost<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, 55128, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, 55128, Germany

**Correspondence:** Holger Tost (tosth@uni-mainz.de)

Received: 8 August 2025 – Discussion started: 10 October 2025

Revised: 2 June 2026 – Accepted: 2 June 2026 – Published:

**Abstract.** Understanding atmospheric processes is essential for improving weather forecasts and models, but in continental regions the effects of dynamical mixing and frontal events on the chemical composition of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) remain poorly characterized. This study connects meteorological and chemical PBL processes during summer rain in Central and Southern rural Germany, focusing on two events: a warm front in a high-pressure system and a cold front following a convergence line.

By combining near-hourly drone-based vertical profiles of the lowest 500 m, continuous ground-based observations, and ICON forecast model data, a detailed assessment of tropospheric dynamics for both events was achieved showing that a weak PBL inhibited vertical mixing and resulted in poorly oxidized organic aerosol near ground. Suppressed vertical mixing in the morning delays daytime chemical processes. A temporary post-rain O<sub>3</sub> depletion was linked to possible reaction with surface emissions, until mixing restored vertical homogeneity.

The ICON model accurately predicted the mixing layer height under stable conditions, but underestimated it during cold pool formation with rain showers and thunderstorms. The in-situ measurements indicate the occurrence of cold pools, subsequent convective activity and disturbances of the PBL dynamics. Analyzing these events helps to reduce uncertainty of model simulations and enhances the PBL parameterization. These findings enhance the understanding of air mass exchange and precipitation's effects on the lower rural troposphere as well as frontal weather scenarios and atmospheric composition changes, linking local experimental and model forecast observations to larger-scale synoptic situations.

## 1 Introduction

Understanding the details of atmospheric processes during different weather events is crucial for improving weather prediction, climate modelling, and environmental risk assessments (Chen et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2011; Szirczak et al., 2022). Fronts, particularly warm and cold fronts, play a fundamental role in shaping local and regional weather conditions in the mid latitudes, influencing temperature gradients, wind patterns, and precipitation, as well as the chemical composition of the atmosphere especially near the Earth's surface.

The formation of near-surface air mass layers is a critical factor in atmospheric dynamics, as these layers control energy exchange, moisture fluxes, and pollutant dispersion. The structure of these layers is influenced by surface heating, turbulence, and synoptic-scale forcing, leading to variations in stability and mixing. During the night, radiative cooling at the surface leads to stable stratification referred to as nocturnal boundary layer (NBL), reducing vertical mixing and fostering the formation of temperature inversions. This NBL dissipates due to convective forcing and forms a convective boundary layer (CBL). The evolution of the boundary layers during frontal passages is particularly complex, as stability transitions and wind shear interactions can enhance turbu-

lence intermittency and alter mixing processes, which can have significant implications for local weather phenomena, distribution of trace species, and air quality (Stull, 1988). A thorough understanding of these dynamics is essential for improving numerical weather prediction and refining models of boundary layer processes.

While synoptic-scale models provide valuable insights into these phenomena, accurately capturing the fine-scale interactions within the atmospheric boundary layer remains a significant challenge (Steenefeld, 2014; Qian et al., 2016; Golzio et al., 2021; Sziroczak et al., 2022). Ground-based and aerial measurement approaches offer the potential to bridge this gap by providing spatial and temporal high-resolution data on key atmospheric variables (McWilliams et al., 2023; Moormann et al., 2025). Measurement towers, equipped with sonic anemometers and radiometers, offer continuous wind profiles, high-frequency turbulence, and thermodynamic data at fixed locations but are limited by their inability to capture spatial variability and typically cover a very limited vertical range. Radiosondes provide detailed vertical atmospheric profiles deep into the stratosphere, yet they only offer snapshots or incur high costs when frequently launched (Helbig et al., 2021). Meanwhile, remote sensing techniques like lidar, sodar, and radar deliver high-resolution spatial and temporal profiles of wind, turbulence, and aerosol distributions without physical contact, but they are constrained by limitations in resolving fine-scale turbulence, signal penetration issues, and reduced precision near the surface, especially under challenging atmospheric conditions (Kotthaus et al., 2023).

Recent advancements in atmospheric science have led to the integration of drone-based observations and large-scale numerical weather models to enhance the understanding of boundary layer dynamics. Drones provide flexible, spatially highly resolved measurements of temperature, humidity, and wind as well as trace gases and aerosol particles at multiple altitudes, offering a valuable complement to ground-based instruments (Bonne et al., 2024; Radtke et al., 2024; Moormann et al., 2025). When combined with numerical weather prediction models, these measurements can improve the representation of sub-grid scale processes and help validate model simulations (Szintai et al., 2010; Zum Berge et al., 2023). However, challenges remain, including limited flight durations, regulatory constraints, and the need for robust data assimilation techniques (Elston et al., 2015; Villa et al., 2016; Moormann et al., 2025).

Previous studies have investigated frontal events with large scale model data or use large data sets of local data at ground level or measurement towers. Model data that provide large data sets of trace matter and meteorological data lack the high spatial resolution in the planetary boundary layer (PBL, Lochbihler et al., 2021), while altitude-resolved in-situ measurements by radio sounding or drones with high spatial resolution are usually limited to only a few measured variables, complicating investigation of rain front influences on the air

mass' chemical composition. Large data sets of local data cover underlying dynamics of different rain fronts, however, they often do not cover a sufficient vertical range (Bopape et al., 2021; Helbig et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021) or focus on different ecosystems such as tropical rainforest (Machado et al., 2024a, b). The influence of entrainment at the PBL top on the chemical composition has been examined (Platis et al., 2016; Pohorsky et al., 2025), but, to our knowledge, detailed analyses that couple PBL dynamics with precipitating frontal passages under midlatitude continental-plain conditions are scarce.

This study investigates and aims to reconstruct atmospheric processes during two distinct, but common weather events – a warm front in a stable high-pressure system (Sect. 3) and a cold front in a convergence zone (Sect. 4) – by integrating ground-based measurements, drone-based observations, and synoptic local-scale model data (Sect. 2). While the measurements provide a large comprehensive data set of the local meteorology as well as gas and aerosol trace matter characteristics with high temporal resolution, the model contributes the greater regional-scale picture. A detailed analysis of various variables derived from these complementary data sources leads to a complex overview of processes, providing a more comprehensive understanding of frontal dynamics, short and longer-term impacts of rain, and the limitations of existing observational and modelling approaches.

## 2 Methodology

Data from two measurement campaigns (BISTUM23, August 2023 and BISTUM24, June 2024) were analyzed to understand the influence of rain events on stratification and dynamical processes in the lowermost troposphere. A warm front in a stable high-pressure system (case 1, Sect. 3) and a cold front in a convergence zone (case 2, Sect. 4) were selected as examples of two kinds of rain events, which originate from different large-scale meteorological conditions and allow the analysis of various post-rain processes depending on the front type. The analysis of two cases follow similar approaches using (a) local measurements at a ground station for continuous measurements and on-board a drone for quasi-hourly vertical profiling and (b) large-scale assessment of the meteorological conditions using radiosonde and ICON model data.

### 2.1 Measurement sites

For the two campaigns BISTUM23 and BISTUM24, two rural sites in German low-mountain ranges were selected. BISTUM23 took place near the city of Albstadt in the Swabian Alb (48°15' N, 8°59' E) with the ground station at 886 m above mean sea level (a.s.l.). BISTUM24 was performed near the village of Spielberg in the Vogelsberg area with the ground station at 391 m a.s.l. (50°19' N, 9°15' E). Both sites were chosen because they are in rural areas, which lowers

the risk of contamination from local anthropogenic sources. The ground stations were located at the top of a hill, close to the flank of the mountain range where the air mass inflow usually occurs (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Therefore, the topographical conditions favor orographic lifting of air masses, which can facilitate deep convective events (Barros and Lettenmaier, 1994; Liu and Kirshbaum, 2025).

## 2.2 Experimental data

Measurements were performed on the same three platforms for each campaign and are listed in Table A1 in the Appendix. Continuous ground-based measurements on-board the Mobile Laboratory (MoLa, Drewnick et al., 2012) include the O/C ratio of the organic fraction of the submicron aerosol particles, measured with an aerosol mass spectrometer, particle size distribution (merged data of a fast mobility particle sizer and an optical particle counter), particle number concentration (PNC), O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio, and wind data as well as temperature, humidity, and pressure. The MoLa inlet was 6 m above ground level (a.g.l.). A ceilometer monitored the aerosol backscatter signal above the site up to 10 km a.g.l. Additionally, during BISTUM24, 3D wind measurements at 5 m a.g.l. provided sensible heat flux ( $Q_H$ ) and turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) data at 30 min-averaging intervals, as recommended for fair weather and pre-storm weather (Markowski et al., 2019).

Drone-based measurements were performed with the Flying Laboratory research drone (FLab, Moormann et al., 2025), which provides a wide particle and gas phase dataset including O<sub>3</sub>, PNC, and meteorological data. This allows the estimation of these variables' gradients in the lowest 500 m a.g.l. as well as the calculation of the bulk Richardson number  $Ri$ , the potential temperature  $\theta$  and the equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_{eq}$  in Eqs. (1)–(3) calculated from the acceleration of gravity  $g$ , the flight height above ground level  $h_{a.g.l.}$ , the virtual potential temperature  $\theta_v$ , and the horizontal wind speeds  $u$  and  $v$  at ground at the respective air level:

$$Ri = \frac{g(\theta_{v,air} - \theta_{v,ground})h_{a.g.l.}}{\theta_{v,air} \left( (u_{air} - u_{ground})^2 + (v_{air} - v_{ground})^2 \right)} \quad (1)$$

$\theta$  and  $\theta_{eq}$  were calculated/approximated with the air temperature  $T$  in K, the ambient pressure  $p$ , the reference pressure  $p_0 = 1000$  mbar, the dry adiabatic constant  $a = R/c_p$  from the ideal gas const.  $R$  and the heat capacity  $c_p$  of dry air at a constant pressure. Evaporation was considered in  $\theta_{eq}$  with the latent heat at 20 °C  $L_v(20\text{ °C}) = 2500$  kJ kg<sup>-1</sup> the specific heat for dry air  $C_p = 1004$  J kg<sup>-1</sup> and the total water mixing ratio  $r_{H_2O}$  (Stull, 1988):

$$\theta = T \times \frac{p_0^a}{p} \quad (2)$$

$$\theta_{eq} \approx \left( T + \frac{L_v}{C_p} \times r_{H_2O} \right) \times \frac{p_0^a}{p} \quad (3)$$

Data from radiosondes, launched from the same site (Valero et al., 2025), help to verify the model data analysis of the meteorological conditions on a larger scale and provide the convective available potential energy (CAPE) as an indicator of the vertical uplift forcing before and after the weather events as well as the elevated boundary layer heights (Stull, 1988).

## 2.3 Model and synoptic scale data

Large-scale synoptical information such as 24 h-backward trajectories, the mixing layer height (MLH) and radar information for the respective measurement site were derived as described in the following from data sources listed in Table A2. The trajectories in Fig. S2 were calculated for 24 h-backwards with the HySplit analysis tool to detect source regions outside Germany and were started from 0, 120 and 500 m a.g.l. (Stein et al., 2015). For HySplit trajectories, which are based on coarsely resolved 0.25°-GFS analysis data and a low-resolution topography, complex terrain poses a substantial challenge. Therefore, ICON-D2 analysis and hourly forecast data were utilized for the gaps between the analysis time events (3 hourly) to calculate corresponding MLHs and backward trajectories within the German domain and to validate the HySplit trajectories (Figs. S3 and S4 and in Sect. 3.3.2). ICON-D2 is the operational weather forecasting model of the German Weather Service (DWD). ICON-D2 is a non-hydrostatic model, with parameterisations for shallow convection and a sophisticated boundary layer and surface exchange scheme. Even though ICON-D2 itself operates on a triangular grid, the data has been re-gridded to a regular longitude–latitude grid with a similar grid width to ICON-D2. A detailed description of the ICON model has been provided by Zängl et al. (2015); Crueger et al. (2018) and further descriptions are available at DWD (2025a).

ICON-D2 uses terrain-following Gal-Chen coordinates, according to Klemp (2011), with 60 vertical levels in total. The lowest kilometer above ground for the Albstadt site is described with 16 vertical levels, whereas for Spielberg 15 levels cover the lowest kilometer with corresponding layer thicknesses between 30 m close to the surface and 100 m in 1 km altitude above ground. Turbulence and surface exchange processes are parameterised with a second-order scheme following Raschendorfer (2001).

To obtain a higher temporal resolution than the 3 hourly analysis data, forecasts for the respective two hours in between the analysis time spots have been merged with the analysis data set, i.e., forecasts with up to 2 h lead time. The forecast data was obtained from the PAMORE data archive (DWD, 2025c) for the duration of the campaign.

The backward trajectories in Figs. S3 and S4 have been calculated using a tailored trajectory program, which regrids the model data to a regular latitude–longitude–altitude grid, including the respective grid elevation of the orography. The trajectories themselves were calculated based on a 1 min-

timestep, and were finalised when the trajectory left the domain of ICON-D2 or after 24 h.

The MLH is selected as the lowest altitude where all the following criteria in the ICON-D2 data are fulfilled: Brunt–Väisälä frequency  $> 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , vertical gradient of potential virtual temperature  $> 0.3 \text{ K km}^{-1}$ , and vertical gradient of absolute humidity must be curved (Wang and Wang, 2014) with threshold values empirically determined by the analysis of the simulated profiles in conjunction with the local observations and Hyun et al. (2005).

Additional synoptic scale information, displayed in Figs. S5 and S6 (surface pressure, geopotential in 500 hPa), for the selected events has been obtained from ERA5 reanalysis data (Hersbach et al., 2020) as well as from radar data (WN data set) from the radar network of the DWD (e.g., Kreklow et al., 2019). The radar and trajectory data were obtained from the DWD data server (DWD, 2025b) during the campaigns. The respective satellite images are obtained from EUMETSAT from the MSG SEVIRI instrument (Schmetz et al., 2002). Frontal lines in Figs. S5 and S6 were manually added to highlight areas of strong baroclinicity following the DWD analysis charts and maps of the equivalent potential temperature from ICON simulation data.

### 3 Case study I: Suppressed extension of a fresh CBL during warm front rain in high-pressure system

Our first case study covers the situation on 20 June 2024 at the Spielberg site and was analyzed using the approach, schematically presented in Fig. 1. Information on the synoptic conditions were obtained from synoptic maps, which show the location of a passing warm front and of pressure systems, and from radio soundings providing convective potential of the air masses before and after the front. The continuous ground-based data show direct influences of the front on cloud layers, the trace substance mixing ratios and microphysics at ground. The synoptic information was combined with in-situ measurements from MoLa to understand the general meteorologic situation and allows to set the synoptic and local observations into context (Sect. 3.1). Additionally, eddy covariance data are used to investigate the dynamic stability near ground, which is important as most sources and sinks are located there (Sect. 3.2). The vertical trace matter distribution and meteorological stability markers, obtained from FLab vertical profiles, were used to locate and characterize different mixing layers in the lowermost 500 m and show the influence of the rain event on boundary layer stratification (Sect. 3.3.1 and 3.3.2).

Combining all these aspects enables a reconstruction of the temporal evolution of the lowermost troposphere under influence of the warm front rain. This overall picture was compared to forecast predictions to validate the experimentally derived general situation (Sect. 3.3.3).

### 3.1 Synoptic situation and local meteorology


The synoptic map in Fig. S5 shows that the measurement site was centrally located in a large high-pressure system that covered substantial parts of Western and Central Europe with an indicated warm front crossing the measurement site. Two rain events with different intensities can be attributed to the warm front: first rain:  $\sim 20$  min duration, 0.5 mm accumulated rainfall starting at 11:00 (all times are given in local time, i.e., UTC+2); second rain:  $\sim 10$  min duration, 0.1 mm precipitation starting at 15:20. FLab measured three vertical profiles before and five after the major rain event (Fig. 2a), allowing investigation of hour-scale influences of the rain event on the atmosphere in the lowermost 500 m a.g.l. The MLH reflects stratification in 300 m a.g.l. in the morning, so that FLab measures inside and above the mixing layer before and directly after the first rain event. After the second rain event, no profiling flights were possible due to temporary airspace restrictions.

At 500 hPa a stable ridge was located above Central Europe, leading to large-scale subsidence. Radio soundings before and after the rain event show no change in tropopause height or CAPE (Fig. S7), suggesting no significant change due to the front. The high-pressure system appears to be stable, and the backward trajectories show no uplift as would be expected for warm fronts, i.e., the uplift at the warm front is compensated or suppressed by the large-scale subsidence. However, from the ceilometer data a cloud uplift from 3 to 5 km (or a trailing higher midlevel cloud) can be deduced immediately after the first rain (Fig. 2b). A strong upper free tropospheric layer (air mass I) that suppresses a trajectory uplift is shown by the local scale observation of an immediate but short-lived dynamical stabilization above the lowermost layer and its hardly changed conditions below. Despite the missing updraft transport, the rain events can indeed be described as a warm front (see Sect. 3.2 and 3.3.1). A follow-up rain event like the one at 15:20 is typical for warm fronts.


### 3.2 Driving forces of air mass mixing

Stratification, such as the NBL that forms near the ground during the night, usually dissipates by midday due to convective forcing. The necessary energy for the buoyancy is provided by irradiance as the driving heat source. Typically, irradiance heats the ground, which conserves energy during cloud cover or darkness, and creates turbulences in the air that releases energy by dissipating eddies (Stull, 1988).

In Fig. 2a, the TKE increases in the morning, without being reduced prior to and during the first rain event, in contrast to the irradiance and sensible heat flux ( $Q_H$ ). The strong correlation between irradiance and sensible heat flux throughout the day (Pearson correlation coefficient  $r = 0.87$ ) suggests that sensible heat flux is generated mainly by direct irradiance and is probably not driven by thermal energy stored in the ground. During the 11:00 rain event, irradiance and



**Figure 1.** Schematic depicting the analysis process for case study I using various aspects from different data sources/platforms to reconstruct the temporal evolution of the lowermost troposphere.



**Figure 2.** (a) Temporal development of the ground-based measured irradiance (orange), the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE, red), the sensible heat flux (pink,  $Q_H$  multiplied by a factor of 10 for better visibility, all 30 min averages) and precipitation (blue) throughout the day. The hourly mixing layer height (ICON model MLH, gray) increase during the daytime, so that the FLab (flight track, black, 1 s data) was measuring below and aloft the MLH depending on the daytime. (b) The ceilometer in MoLa monitors an uplift of clouds (dark red) from 3 to 5 km immediately after the rainfall at 11:00 and a later decrease of layers before the second rainfall (red color reaching ground level indicates precipitation). Data of the lowermost 200 m were removed due to high uncertainties.

hence the sensible heat flux reach a minimum, while TKE is available independently – most likely due to advected air masses or turbulence generated by the precipitation and its evaporation itself. The existing TKE and the small amount of sensible heat flux are not sufficient to elevate the lowermost layer prior to rainfall. During the first two hours after rainfall, the thermal energy in the young CBL must still accumulate before mixing with the free tropospheric air can occur between 13:00 and 14:00, as the model predicts. To provide evidence for this suggested development and a more holistic understanding, the air masses in the lowest 500 m need to be characterized with in-situ data.

### 3.3 Characterization of different air masses

The air masses are characterized in reference to the 11:00 rain event primarily with FLab measurement data, which provide information on air mass stability and history. To reduce statistical and measurement uncertainty, the presented FLab-related data have been binned in 100 m increments for the altitude ranges from 0 to 500 m (Figs. 3 and 4). Note that strong gradients which are often measured within a few tens of meters above the ground are averaged out in the 0 to 100 m bins. To account for concentration changes over time, the  $O_3$  and PNC data measured on-board FLab were corrected for temporal trends using the analogous continuous ground-based data measured by MoLa. The identification of different air masses (I, IIa, and IIb) and the underlying processes dis-

15

20

25

cussed in the following sections lead to a schematic description of the lowermost troposphere after rainfall at the end of this section.

### 3.3.1 Air mass stability

Stability indicators for vertical stratification like the bulk Richardson number  $Ri$  and the gradient of the equivalent potential temperature  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  are derived from FLab measurements. Air masses are considered statically unstable for negative  $Ri$ , dynamically unstable for positive  $Ri < 0.25$ , and dynamically stable, i.e., laminar, for  $Ri > 0.25$ , whereas a negative  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  implies instability and positive  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  stable conditions (Stull, 1988). Together with the TKE data, derived from the ground-based wind measurements (Fig. 2a), consistently turbulent conditions in the lowermost 200 m in agreement with  $Ri = -0.5 \pm 0.1$  and  $d\theta_{eq}/dz < 0$  for all flights after the rain event (Fig. 3) are found. A sharp increase of the  $Ri$ , but still negative  $Ri$  and  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  at 250 m altitude indicates the boundary between the two stratified statically unstable layers before the rain (Fig. 3b). However, above 300 m, 15 min after the rain, air masses are suddenly stabilized, indicated by  $d\theta_{eq}/dz = 38.5 \text{ K km}^{-1}$  and contain a dynamically stable flow (Fig. 3a). The positive  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  persists at least until completion of the flight 100 min after the rain event and then becomes progressively negative, simultaneously with the  $Ri$ ; i.e.,  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  and  $Ri$  drift into a more turbulent regime in the lowermost 500 m (Fig. 3).

The upper layer can be classified as downward mixed free tropospheric air (air mass I), while the lower layer is probably a CBL that is formed from a dissipating NBL which is still present after the rain (air mass IIa). The final breakup of the RL between the 3rd and 4th flight after the rain (between 100 and 160 min after rainfall) is induced by increasing turbulence-driven instability above 300 m as indicated by a consistent negative  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  and a decreasing  $Ri$ . Probably, increased convective forcing turns air mass IIa into air mass IIb. Here, the continuous increase in instability with height shows that stratification is reduced such that turbulence may increase due to larger eddies at higher altitudes.

### 3.3.2 Air mass composition and history

The air mass history like travelled areas in the recent past can be determined by 24 h-backward trajectories for different altitudes. Figure 4a shows that the trajectories reaching the measurement site in the lowermost 100 m a.g.l. never travelled higher than 1000 m a.s.l. during the last six hours before rainfall, while trajectories arriving above 100 m a.g.l. at the measurement site show a strong downdraft of free tropospheric air close to the ground. According to Fig. 3, the new air mass I above 300 m is dynamically stable and differs strongly from the statically unstable air mass below 300 m before mixing (IIa and IIb in Fig. 5). In comparison to the in-situ measurements and the model MLH, backward trajec-


tory tracks provide useful insight into air mass movement but lack accuracy at the vertical 100 m scale.

As shown in Fig. 4b, at altitudes above 300 m,  $O_3$  levels are increased by up to 30 %, compared to those before the rainfall, and PNC decreased by up to 70 % after rain for at least 100 min. Conversely, concentrations in the lowest 300 m remain within a range of 10 % for  $O_3$  and 20 % for PNC. High  $O_3$  levels and low PNC can be attributed to a freshly ingested, clean air mass with a high-altitude origin (Neuman et al., 2012; Tsamalis et al., 2014), confirming the free tropospheric history of air mass I before convective mixing. The influence of the rain on  $O_3$  and PNC in the near-ground layer will be discussed in Sect. 4.3 in detail. Later than 100 min after the rain event no systematic gradient is observable for  $O_3$  and PNC anymore. Consistent with the findings in Sect. 3.3.1, the stratification, observed directly after the rain event, has disappeared 2 h past the rain, and no significant differences of  $O_3$  and PNC from the 100 m measurement increments can be observed in the lowermost 500 m, confirming a well-mixed layer. In addition to the photochemical production of  $O_3$ , mixing with free tropospheric air also causes a rapid increase of  $O_3$  at ground level (Neuman et al., 2012), both potentially causing aging of the aerosol (Lambe et al., 2011), as suggested by the strong correlation ( $r = 0.94$ ) that we found for the time series of  $O_3$  levels and the O/C ratio of organic particulate aerosol measured the ground-based MoLa (Fig. S8). Advection of aged-air masses is also a potential explanation for enhanced O/C ratios as a correlation was found between the O/C ratio and particulate sulphate concentrations ( $r = 0.83$ ), indicating aged air masses, although weak correlations of O/C ratio with  $PM_{1/2.5}$  ( $r_{PM_1} = -0.26$ ,  $r_{PM_{2.5}} = -0.20$ ) do not necessarily support this. Backward trajectories do not indicate a specific source region for sulphur compounds and rather mixed air masses. Nevertheless, the strong correlation of  $O_3$  with aerosol aging and the observed stratification pattern indicates that a delayed and suppressed extension of a young CBL can also lead to a delay in the onset of daytime chemistry. An inflow of already-aged aerosols as a reason for enhanced O/C ratios is unlikely due to the stability of the  $PM_1$  concentration measured at ground.


Taken these results in combination with the results shown in Fig. 3, the freshly ingested air mass can be attributed to the laminar and dynamically stable free tropospheric air mass I; initially, the lower-level statically unstable air mass IIa below 300 m does not show indication of mixing with air mass I. From 100 min after the rain, almost no gradients of  $O_3$  and PNC are observed, indicating that the layering has dissipated and air masses I and IIa have mixed to form air mass IIb across the entire 500 m range (compare Fig. 5).

### 3.3.3 Delayed CBL extension

Boundary layer lifting can be limited or suppressed by overlying inversion layers and insufficient convective forcing. In



**Figure 3.** The gradient of the equivalent potential temperature  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  (a) indicates unstable conditions throughout the day below 300 m, while the air mass above 300 m is stabilized after the rain at 11:00. The bulk Richardson number  $Ri$  (b) is consistent with a successive destabilization after the rain and indicates a stratification between 200 and 300 m before the rain. The solid traces show the median and the shaded area is the interquartile range of the binned data. Dashed lines indicate  $Ri = 0$  and  $Ri = 0.25$ .



**Figure 4.** (a) 24 h-backward trajectories colored by arrival height show a strong downdraft within 4 h before the rain event. (b) The ratios of the  $O_3$  mixing ratios (top) and the particle number concentrations PNC (bottom) measured at five different times after the rain to those measured before the rainfall (at 11:00) were calculated for different altitude increments (color-scale). Error bars represent the combined standard error of flights before and after the rain. Attributed air masses that are identified within a flight are indicated at the top of the graph.

Fig. 5, a schematic reconstruction provides an overview of underlying processes and stratification dynamics of the lowermost 500 m based on the results from the previous sections.

In addition to the low convective forcing due to low irradiance caused by the cloud cover, stratification is supported by the subsidence of air mass I, which is drier and warmer than the underlying lowermost layer. Strong wind shear drives the turbulent mixing dynamically until the temperature has increased, i.e., the energy has accumulated within the CBL and convection-driven turbulence mixes air mass I with air mass IIa 100 min after the rain. Dissipation of the boundary between the dynamically different air masses I and IIa forms the mixed air mass IIb, as shown in Fig. 5. Comparison of the observed stratification height from the in-situ data and the MLH derived from ICON data agrees within the model height resolution ( $\pm 70$  m in 300 m, Fig. 5). This

demonstrates that the ICON model can forecast the MLH with high accuracy at least under relatively stable large-scale conditions.

#### 4 Case Study II: Impact of a cold front and a convergence line on the lowermost troposphere


The influence of thunderstorms on the pollutant distribution and air mass dynamics in the lower troposphere was investigated by a synthesis of various data sets. Like for Sect. 3, we first analyse the temporal exchange of air masses and accompanying processes, before discussing effects of the convergence line and the rain on the lowermost troposphere (Fig. 6).

The synoptic situation and continuous ground-based measurements provide an overview of the general meteorological situation (Sect. 4.1). Throughout a day when a thunder-


20

25

30



**Figure 5.** A reconstruction of different characteristics and dynamics of the air masses (I, Iia and Iib, separated by grey lines) observed before and after the rainfall event. The estimated MLH from the ICON model is presented in olive with markers indicating hourly time stamps and lines between the data points to guide the eyes. Greenish arrows represent dynamic processes, multi-colored arrows thermal processes. [TS2](#)



**Figure 6.** Schematic depicting the analysis process for case study II using synoptic and local continuous monitoring and vertical gradient measurements for analyses of individual rain events to create a comprehensive figure of temporal changes in the lowermost troposphere. This overview allows investigations of various phenomena.


storm and four rain events occurred at the measurement site, FLab-based measurements were conducted hourly, as long as weather conditions allowed for safe operation. The vertical profiles of various variables enabled individual pre- and post-rain investigation of different mixing layers and influences from ground for each event. In addition, modelled forecast calculations supported the interpretation of the in-situ mea-

surements to finally reconstruct structure and processes in the lowermost 500 m throughout the day (Sect. 4.2). Using this synopsis, we discuss influences of rain events on the vertical pollutant distribution (Sect. 4.3), the impact of cold pools on the capability of modelling MLHs (Sect. 4.4) and air mass dynamics underneath a convergence line (Sect. 4.5).

#### 4.1 Synoptic situation and local meteorology

During 12 August 2023, four precipitation events were observed at ground level at the Albstadt site, while the ceilometer recorded seven, including three that did not reach the ground due to evaporation of rain droplets at higher altitudes (Fig. 7a and b). Even after the last rain event with a strong thunderstorm at 15:00, clouds were still present and the irradiance did not reach the levels of cloudless days, although the conditions became mild and the temperature rose by up to 10 °C.

For this day, synoptic maps show a convergence line and a cold front crossing the site from 14:40 to 15:20 (Fig. S6). A severe thunderstorm may have been caused by the combination of substantial lability and CAPE in addition to orographic lifting of warm air in conjunction with a convergent flow between 14:30 and 15:00. Radiosondes launched from the site recorded CAPE of  $1400 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$  at 14:00, while it decreased to less than 10 % of this value, i.e.,  $130 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ , during mild, sunny conditions after the thunderstorm (radiosonde at 16:40, Fig. S9). 24 h-backward HySplit trajectories indicate inflow of air masses, amongst other across the mountain ranges of the Black Forest and the Swabian Alb, during the 100 km long track before they reached the measurement site (Fig. S2).



**Figure 7.** (a) Time series of irradiance (orange), precipitation (blue, both 1 min averages), and the modelled MLH (grey, hourly averages) on 12 August 2023. The flight altitude of FLab is displayed in black. The shaded area represents the typical diurnal cycle of the modelled MLH at the BISTUM23 measurement site for cloudless days. (b) The ceilometer in MoLa monitors a stable cloud layer between 3 to 4 km altitude a.g.l. and frequent rain events throughout the day (indicated by dark-red color). Before the thunderstorm at 14:30, a decreased cloud layer down to 1 km a.g.l. is observed, followed by a quick uplift to 7 km. Data of the lowermost 200 m were removed due to high uncertainties.

#### 4.2 Analysis of individual rain events

In this section, we use a similar approach as that presented in Sect. 3 to develop an overview schematic that describes the details of the boundary layer processes around the investigated events. The schematic at the end of this section contains air masses characterized by dynamical and chemical properties, which are tagged with roman letters (I, IIa, IIb, III, and IV) and should not be confused with the air masses mentioned in the previous Sect. 3.


The meteorological situation of the boundary layer on 12 August 2023 can be separated into a dynamically unstable pre-thunderstorm period and a stable post-thunderstorm period. In contrast to the situation described in Sect. 3, no ground-based flux measurement data are available. A consistent  $Ri = 0$  at the 50 m mark during all times when the Flab was operating indicates unstable conditions, i.e., small-scale turbulence at the ground, which are not significantly influenced by processes above 100 m (Fig. 8a). Before the first rain event at 08:30, a layer boundary at the 250 m mark was identified by sign changes of the weak gradients for  $Ri$ , potential temperature, absolute humidity,  $O_3$ , and PNC (Fig. S10 or orange dots in Fig. 8). While positive gradients of potential temperature and  $Ri$  indicate increasing dynamic stability with altitude, the upper air mass above 200 m is classified as laminar with  $Ri = 0.6$  with enhanced moisture and  $O_3$  levels ( $O_3$  increased by 6 ppbv), and reduced particulate pollution (PNC decreased by  $> 1000$  particles  $cm^{-3}$ ). Here, free tropospheric air (I) overlays a weak NBL remnant above 200 m (IIa), similar to the case in Sect. 3.

After the first rainfall event (0.5 mm in 15 min), a remnant of the NBL still remains up to 200 m in agreement with the MLH predicted by ICON. Associated with the rain event, an increase of  $Ri$  and  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  indicates increased stability of air mass I above the NBL remnant. Evaporating precipitation, i.e., latent heat flux can act as an energy sink for sen-

sible heat, which would otherwise enhance convective turbulence. Before 10:00, it rises to a height of 1100 m, where the ceilometer detects the upper boundary of an aerosol layer (Fig. 7b).

After a light second rainfall of 0.1 mm at 10:00, no RL and uniform gradients are observed over the whole 500 m-altitude range for all FLab-measured variables until 13:00. In air mass IIb mixing has eliminated the gradients. Since the first two rain events at 08:30 and 10:00, potential temperature near ground has increased by only 2–3 K, suggesting that diurnal heating primarily contributes to latent heat rather than driving vertical mixing (Fig. 8c). This observation is in agreement with very slow evaporation from moist soil that might explain the unusually stable equivalent potential temperature at the 50 m mark between 10:00 and 13:00 (Fig. 8b), while the absolute difference to the potential temperature decreases (Fig. 8c). A similar pattern is observed for the last rain event, although here evaporation is accelerated by strong irradiance and turbulence-driven mixing.

At 13:30, a cold front delivered 2.4 mm of rain in 10 min leading to a 2.2 °C decrease in air temperature and 2.1  $g\ kg^{-1}$  increase in humidity at ground, while equivalent potential temperature remains constant at ground as measured with MoLa (Figs. 8 and 9). However, at 50 m altitude and above no change in temperature, humidity, and  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  is observed. The slow evaporation of moisture from previous rainfall forms a localized moist patch, which, on a regional scale, might develop into a cold pool. Cold pools can create a positive feedback loop: they generate broader clouds that are less affected by entrainment, leading to increased precipitation, larger moist patches, and further expansion of cold pools – ultimately fostering larger clouds with enhanced convective mass fluxes (Schlemmer and Hohenegger, 2014). Simulations of cold-pool dynamics indicate that, under continental conditions in the mid latitude, strong latent heat flux can increase convective mass flux (Lochbihler et al., 2021).



**Figure 8.** Temporal evolution of medians of 100 m altitude increments of the bulk Richardson number  $Ri$  (a), equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_{eq}$  (b), potential temperature  $\theta$  (c), absolute humidity (d),  $O_3$  mixing ratio (e), and the particle number concentration (PNC, f) with time on 12 August 2023. Variables marked with \* are corrected for temporal variation using the corresponding MoLa data. Error bars are derived from interquartile ranges. Dotted lines indicate  $Ri = 0$  and  $Ri = 0.25$ . Rainfall periods are marked in blue with the amount of rain noted (precipitation at 13:00 and 14:00 were summed).

In our case, following the rain events at 13:30 and 14:45, we observe a cold pool from ground and FLab measurements, identified based on four indicators: (i) stratification between the lowermost 100 m (cold pool) and aloft is visible for the dynamical markers  $d\theta/dz$  and  $Ri$  (Fig. 8a and c) as well as for the  $O_3$  mixing ratio (ii), which is  $\sim (5.3 \pm 3.4)$  pptv (12 %) lower in the lowermost layer (Fig. 8e, presumably due to depletion in the wet cold pool); (iii) the increased negative gradient and variance of the absolute humidity (Fig. 8d) and (iv) an increase of the equivalent potential temperature by  $\sim 6$  K at ground and by 2.1 K for the whole 500 m range (Fig. 8b) show the evaporation and conditional enhanced latent heat flux typical for cold pools (Tompkins, 2001; Engerer et al., 2008).

These highly-resolved vertical observations between 13:30 and 14:45 support the model-based hypothesis by Lochbihler et al. (2021) and Haerter and Schlemmer (2018) that strong latent heat flux and associated evaporation can

promote the formation of new convective cells like the upcoming rain front in our case study.


Due to increased latent heat flux, thermal convection is suppressed initially, leading to a homogeneously laminar air mass III with  $Ri = 0.28$  above 100 m, while air in the lowest 100 m is dynamically stable due to surface roughness. The downdraft of air mass III is likely enhanced by the cold pool, as penetrative downdrafts from the mid-troposphere commonly occur after rainfall exceeding  $2 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$  (Barnes and Garstang, 1982), in agreement with model results under convergence zone conditions (Schlemmer and Hohenegger, 2014).

At 14:30 the last rain event of the day with 5.4 mm precipitation in 40 min was associated with a severe thunderstorm under a convergence line as described in Sect. 4.1. Despite the reduced CAPE after the storm ( $> 90\%$  decrease), the new air mass IV remains unstable. Unlike the unstable air mass III, which might be unstable due to deep-convective vertical forcing (CAPE), this air mass IV is likely unstable due to small-scale turbulence indicated by a suddenly negative  $Ri$  above 100 m altitude and a remaining negative  $d\theta_{eq}/dz$  from 15:30 on (Fig. 8a and b). Additionally, the convection did not take place in a frontal system, thus the existing air mass was not replaced by a colder, more stable air mass. Instead, only a part of the CAPE was consumed by the convective event which was initiated and substantially driven by the convergence. After the rain event, the post-convective subsidence led to cloud free conditions and thus growing instability caused by irradiance and enhanced ground temperatures (Fig. 9). Higher temperatures and thermally driven convection at the ground allow for drying of the moist near-ground layer, and for recovery of the lowermost troposphere from the thunderstorm as thermal mixing removes the vertical gradients of potential temperature, absolute humidity, PNC and  $O_3$  after the last rain event (Fig. 8c–f).


Based on different characteristics of the air masses I–IV, we were able to create an overview scheme (Fig. 10) that illustrates the temporal exchange of air masses and the accompanying processes to enhance the understanding of the complex meteorological situation for the following sections.

#### 4.3 Impact of rain events on pollutant distribution


During the day, four rain events with different amounts of precipitation occurred, leading to different changes in the trace matter distribution. Contrary to the morning precipitation events, when there was no lifting of air masses before they reached the measurement site; for the rainfall events at 13:30 and 14:30 the 24 h-backward trajectories show a lifting up to above 2000 m a.s.l. and a sudden downdraft, 7 and 1 h before arrival of the air masses at the measurement site, respectively (Fig. S4). This downdraft dynamic was also observed by the ceilometer, which measured cloud layers subsiding from 2500 m down to 700 m a.g.l. prior to the thunderstorm (Fig. 7b). The downward motion is likely a sign



**Figure 9.** 1 min-time series of PM<sub>1</sub> (light brown), and wind speed (dark green), measured on-board MoLa, show clean air advection before rainfall (blue). After the thunderstorm, the wind direction changes (light green) affecting measured NO<sub>x</sub> (dark blue), particle number concentration (PNC, grey), O<sub>3</sub> (pink) and the O/C ratio of PM<sub>1</sub> organics (green). As the irradiance (yellow) increases, the absolute humidity (turquoise) also increases due to evaporation of water from ground. Descriptions of various periods are given above the shaded areas.



**Figure 10.** A reconstruction of different characteristics and dynamics of the air masses (I, IIa, IIb, III, and IV, separated by grey lines; solid line illustrates stratification, dashed lines transition periods) on 12 August 2023. The estimated MLH from the ICON model (1 h resolution) is presented in olive with dashed lines between the data points to guide the eye. Greenish arrows display dynamic processes, multi-colored arrows thermal processes.



**Figure 11.** The vertically resolved  $\text{O}_3$ -time series (15 min-time increments with 5 m altitude resolution, color-coded, measured with FLab) shows a decrease of  $3.0 \pm 1.3$  ppbv  $\text{O}_3$  at the lowest bin (top panel) after rain events (blue, 60 s data) and a slow increase as  $\text{O}_3$ -rich air is injected from higher levels.

of an onset of convergence leading to more low level and convective clouds and may be enhanced by the cold pool at the ground. This downdraft results in the injection of clean,  $\text{O}_3$ -rich air with low particle load into the lowermost troposphere and represents the transition to air mass III (Figs. 8e, f and 10).

After each rain event,  $\text{O}_3$  mixing ratios are increased at altitudes above 200 m (due to the air mass downdraft from higher altitudes, Fig. 8e), but decreased near the ground by  $3.0 \pm 1.3$  ppbv, excluding the 10:00 rainfall, as shown in Fig. 11. As a consequence,  $\text{O}_3$  gradients are enhanced by  $7 \text{ ppbv km}^{-1}$  for each rain event and subsequently disappear within a few hours due to convective mixing within the PBL. Reduced ground-level  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations following rainfall may result from deposition or enhanced  $\text{O}_3$  depletion, whereas outwash seems unlikely due to the poor solubility of  $\text{O}_3$  in water, except for potential aqueous phase  $\text{SO}_2$  oxidation (Hoyle et al., 2016). Depletion could be driven by the increased release of primary biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) from vegetation (Rossabi et al., 2018; Miyama et al., 2020; Machado et al., 2024a), by peroxide-scavenging after rainfall (Bela et al., 2018) or reaction with soil-emitted NO after rain (Andersen et al., 2024). BVOCs have been identified as an  $\text{O}_3$  sink in natural and anthropogenic conditions (Fitzky et al., 2019; Machado et al., 2024a). However, this emission-driven  $\text{O}_3$  removal mechanism cannot be verified due to the lack of BVOC and NO data.

Figure 8f highlights that for the same rain events, when  $\text{O}_3$ -rich air was injected into the lowest 500 m, the CPC PNC was consistently reduced by 25 % (except when the NBL was still present, because air mass I is already in the free troposphere). The PNC gradient remained unchanged during and after the regeneration period, and the  $\text{PM}_{10}$  levels measured at ground remained constant immediately after rain (Fig. 9),

indicating that washout was minimal under these conditions. This is likely due to the size of the measured aerosol particles that are in the Greenfield gap and consequentially inefficiently removed by outwash (Cherrier et al., 2017).

These observations show that rain itself does not necessarily significantly influence the distribution of pollutants such as  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{PM}_{10}$ , and PNC. However, post-rain air masses determine the composition and gradients at higher levels, while rain-induced emissions from the ground may act as a sink for reactive substances as  $\text{O}_3$ .

#### 4.4 Influence of cold pool formation on model MLH

In Sect. 3 we showed that the determination of the MLH using 1 h forecast data from the ICON model is feasible with high accuracy under stable conditions during a weak warm front (Fig. 5). The MLH estimation criteria in the model include the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, humidity, and potential virtual temperature gradients. Until this point of the study the MLH is used as a synonym for the height of the planetary boundary layer (PBLH), which is considered the most relevant measure separating the free troposphere and the ground-influenced layer with different dynamical characteristics and compositions (Stull, 1988; Tignat-Perrier et al., 2020; Kotthaus et al., 2023). Note that other kinds of stratification occur regularly in the troposphere (even within the planetary boundary layer) and are often not predictable, due to local topography, emissions, and heat reservoirs.

For the convergence line/cold front case analyzed in this section, the modelled MLH, shown in Figs. 7a and 10, increases from 0 m at 08:30 to 200 m at 10:00 and 300 m at 11:00. This observation is consistent with the NBL identified from in-situ FLab data and demonstrates additionally to Fig. 5 that the MLH algorithm predicts the height of the NBL (in this case the PBLH) accurately. However, between 12:00 and 18:00, the modelled MLH drops below 500 m before returning to levels above 600 m at 18:00, where it continues following its diurnal cycle. The average predicted diurnal cycle of the MLH at the measurement site from Sect. 4 shows maxima of the MLH between 800 to 1000 m at 14:00 to 15:00 on clear-sky days, while being  $< 20$  m during night (Fig. 7a). The difference between the modelled MLH in the cold front case, compared to the average diurnal cycle of the PBLH, may be explained by reduced irradiance (average of  $100 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  during this period).

The formation of a cold pool at ground leads to an increase of latent heat flux and consequentially to less turbulent mixing. Therefore, the cold pool acts as an energy sink and suppresses energy supply for further turbulent mixing. Although convection has driven the PBLH up to the free troposphere before the rain, the cold pool contributes to layering of the convective-driven RL above a shallow turbulent mixing layer. This stratification disappears as the cold pool dissolves.

These dynamic processes drastically alter the vertical gradients, and may have influenced the MLH model output, which relies solely on vertical gradients. The ICON 1 h forecast models that the MLH exceeds 500 m after 11:45 and thus differs strongly from our in-situ observations. After irradiance decreased, MLH criteria were met even below 400 m due to rapidly changing gradients caused by vertical dynamics within the convergence zone. ICON data predict a MLH of 600 m at 18:00 that can be assigned as a realistic PBLH 2.5 h after the last rain, in agreement with the mean life time of a cold pool (Tompkins, 2001). Interpolating the MLH between 12:00 and 18:00 may lead to an inaccurate estimate, because the PBLHs maximum is expected in between. In agreement, radio soundings at 14:10 and 16:40 indicate a boundary layer up to slightly above 800 hPa, i.e., 1400 and 1300 m based on changing lapse rates and temperature gradients (Fig. S9, Seidel et al., 2010). The PBLH derived from the radio soundings is 100 to 200 m higher than the estimated average MLH for the measurement site (Fig. 7a), indicating that the PBLH has lifted due to convective forcing by the thunderstorm. A more robust parameterization of the MLH estimation for the PBLH for cold pool scenarios may consider two MLHs: a lower MLH separating an additional shallow cold pool-driven layer at ground from the previously well-mixed PBL and a second MLH separating the PBL from the free troposphere in the altitude range close to the PBL before rain events. Alternatively, the upper boundary of the PBL is described by a kind of “residual layer” where the cold pool leads to a different PBL structure below.

#### 4.5 Convergence zone advection and measurement limitations

Due to unsafe flight conditions, vertical profiling during the thunderstorm was not possible; instead, ground-based MoLa data were used to analyze meteorological processes during this time (Fig. 9). Between 14:30 and 15:30, a strong cloud layer largely suppressed irradiance and minimized thermal convection, leading to dynamically deep convective inflow-driven air masses with surface wind speeds peaking at  $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . As the rainfall starts, strong downward movement of air is implied by a descending cloud layer height, a high wind speed period, and a 40 % reduction in  $\text{PM}_{10}$  due to mixing in of unpolluted free tropospheric air (Figs. 9 and 10). Although it is known for cold pool-induced deep convective events that the horizontal wind speed is maximal before rainfall starts (Tompkins, 2001), a strong downdraft from the start of rainfall until the maximum of the precipitation rate was not described, yet, probably because observational studies describe longer-lasting rainfalls only (Young et al., 1995).

As the rain band advanced, heavy rain ceased and wind direction turned by  $180^\circ$ , an effect attributed to a moving convergence zone (Crook and Klemp, 2000), which changed the air track from passing a rural forest (Western) to the town of Albstadt (Eastern, Fig. S1a). This resulted in increased

$\text{NO}_x$  and PNC with lower aerosol oxidation levels, indicated by the reduced particulate organics O/C ratio (Fig. 9). After rainfall, near-surface  $\text{O}_3$  was reduced (possibly due to depletion by enhanced anthropogenic or natural emissions as  $\text{NO}_x$  or BVOCs, see Sect. 4.3), though it slowly recovered as the wind direction turned back from East to West after 40 min. When irradiance exceeded  $400 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  at 15:35, photochemical activity led to enhanced  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and PNC; simultaneously, temperature and absolute humidity increased as the grassland dried.

These rapid shifts in air mass history and wind dynamics behind the convergence line highlight the need of in-situ 3D turbulence observations, e.g., with wind LIDAR (Bélair et al., 2025) to fully capture local upwelling and advection processes within the PBL (Borque et al., 2020); measurements at a less polluted site (independent of wind direction) would be helpful to identify compositional changes due to the thunderstorm itself or thunderstorm-related effects like BVOC emissions from the ground.

## 5 Summary

By integrating hourly in-situ vertical profiles of the lowest 500 m with continuous ground-based observations, synoptic situation, and modelled MLH, we can thoroughly analyze dynamical mixing, stratification, and thermal and chemical processes in two typical rain front scenarios in the continental mid-latitudes. Previous studies have often used remote sensing methods and focused separately on dynamical (Ryan et al., 2000; Andreae et al., 2015; Helbig et al., 2021; Luiz and Fiedler, 2024) or chemical processes in various terrains (Knote et al., 2015; Miyama et al., 2020).

Previous highly-resolved in situ measurements showed an influence of the atmospheric stratification on pollutant distribution for a stable continental PBL (Platis et al., 2016; Pohorsky et al., 2025). However, the influence of rain fronts in the mid-latitudes has not been studied so far. We fill the research gap by providing a combined analysis with highly-resolved in-situ measurements in the PBL for physical and chemical processes under frontal conditions, even including a cold pool.

In the first case study, a weak warm front associated with a large-scale high-pressure system approaches the measurement site in the morning. A warm, stable, descending air mass suppresses the vertical expansion of the NBL, while shear winds suppress turbulent mixing between the free troposphere and the NBL. Precipitation further cools the surface, converting ground thermal energy into latent heat. Although irradiance gradually increases turbulent instability, it takes about 2 h after the passage of the front for the accumulated energy to lift the NBL and to form a convectively mixed boundary layer. This delayed breakup of the NBL postpones the entrainment of free tropospheric,  $\text{O}_3$ -rich air and the cloud cover delays the onset of daytime photochem-

istry into the afternoon. The ICON forecast model accurately estimates the MLH under these conditions.

In contrast, the second case study examines a synoptic cold front trailing behind a convergence line, accompanied by several rain showers and a severe summertime thunderstorm. The selected diagnostics from the ICON model underestimate the MLH after the passage of the convergence line during these unstable conditions. In order to understand the reason for the failure of MLH determination and improve weather prediction models, it is essential to reconstruct air mass exchange in the lowermost troposphere and to identify the tropospheric processes. During this cold front event, five air masses are identified, characterized by their dynamical, thermodynamical, and chemical properties. These observations reveal that a moist patch forms at ground after the morning rain; as it dries slowly, it promotes the development of a deep convective thunderstorm and triggers a positive feedback loop that leads to the formation of a cold pool. The recovery of vertical gradients and the dissipation of the cold pool in the lowest 500 m occurs over approximately 2.5 h after the rain event, consistent with recovery processes observed in the tropics (Tompkins, 2001).

After precipitation events with more than 0.5 mm rain, we observed a decrease of ground-level O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios, possibly due to depletion by fresh biogenic emissions; additionally, unpolluted, O<sub>3</sub>-rich free tropospheric air is injected into the lowest 500 m. The gradients resulting from evaporation, O<sub>3</sub> depletion, and injection of free tropospheric air disappear within two hours after rain, driven by thermal convective mixing as temperatures and irradiance increase.

In-situ analysis and reconstructions of the lowermost troposphere reveal that air mass exchange, turbulent mixing, irradiance, and physicochemical processes each play distinct roles in defining air mass characteristics. The overview figures (Figs. 5 and 10) illustrate the post-frontal regeneration processes. These processes occur not only in rural rain events but also in other frontal situations under common meteorological conditions in the mid-latitudes. The physicochemical processes inside the boundary layer are assumed to be representative for similar topography (rural hilly regions and plain fields). Thus, these results have broader implications on how to consider atmospheric chemical processes and compositional change in the PBL in pollutant modelling associated with rain fronts (and cold pools) due to dynamical changes. Despite being limited to two cases with hourly resolution and no in-rain flights, the study shows that combined model- and ground-based analysis can conceptually identify complex boundary layer phenomena like pollutant sinks and local stratification. This emphasizes that high-resolution vertical data are crucial for assessing individual weather events, avoid misinterpretation, and enhance forecasting and model calculations.

Improved understanding of these processes have the potential to enhance forecast skills as they alter air-mass properties and exchanges across fronts. Frontal and precipitation systems modify aerosol burdens and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (a key driver of oxidative stress), thereby changing the atmospheric pollution load. Furthermore, they reshape atmospheric stability, cold pools, and inversion layers, which influence subsequent air-mass exchange, convective initiation, and turbulence. Accounting for these effects can improve both weather predictions and air-quality forecasts.

Future studies based on the presented observations should include field studies with (a) vertically resolved NO and BVOC measurements above grassland to understand rain-triggered O<sub>3</sub> depletion and (b) a focus on the influence of more complex terrains/surfaces on pre- and postfrontal dynamics and the postfrontal recovery of the PBL structure.

## Appendix A: Instruments and data sources used for characterization of the lower troposphere

**Table A1.** Instruments used for characterization of the lower troposphere.

Instrument	Measured variables	Time resolution
<b>MoLa (Drewnick et al., 2012)</b>		
AMS <sup>a</sup>	Chemical composition of non-refractory particles < 1 $\mu\text{m}$	30 s
Ceilometer <sup>b</sup>	Altitude-dependent backscatter signal intensity	30 s
CPC <sup>c</sup>	Particle number concentration	1 s
EDM <sup>d</sup>	Particulate matter PM <sub>1</sub>	6 s
FMPS <sup>e</sup>	Particle size distribution based on electrical mobility diameter	1 s
Meteorological station <sup>f</sup>	Wind direction, wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, rain intensity, pressure	1 s
NO <sub>x</sub> -monitor <sup>g</sup>	Mixing ratio of NO <sub>x</sub>	5 s
OPC <sup>h</sup>	Particle size distribution based on optical diameter	6 s
O <sub>3</sub> -monitor <sup>i</sup>	Mixing ratio of O <sub>3</sub>	2 s
Pyranometer <sup>j</sup>	Solar irradiance	1 s
<b>Eddy covariance measurement station</b>		
Ultra-sonic anemometer <sup>k</sup>	3D wind direction, humidity, temperature	0.05 s
<b>FLab (Moormann et al., 2025)</b>		
Anemometer <sup>l</sup>	Horizontal wind speed and direction; temperature; relative humidity; pressure	1 s
CPC <sup>m</sup>	Particle number concentration	1 s
OPC <sup>n</sup>	Particle size distribution based on optical diameter; temperature; relative humidity	1 s
O <sub>3</sub> -monitor <sup>o</sup>	Mixing ratio of O <sub>3</sub>	2 s
drone: Matrice 600 <sup>p</sup>	3D orientation; 3D flight velocity; GPS position; wind speed and direction; altitude based on pressure level and GPS; propeller rotation rate; various internal data	$\leq 1$ s
<b>Radiosonde</b>		
Radiosonde <sup>q</sup>	Wind speed and direction; temperature; relative humidity; pressure	1 s

<sup>a</sup> HR-ToF-AMS, Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerodyne Res., Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts, USA. <sup>b</sup> CHM 15k, Lufft Mess- und Regeltechnik GmbH, Fellbach, Germany. <sup>c</sup> Condensation Particle Counter Model 3786, TSI, Inc., Shoreview, Minnesota, USA. <sup>d</sup> Environmental Dust Monitor EDM180, Grimm Aerosol Technik GmbH, Ainring, Germany. <sup>e</sup> Fast Mobility Particle Sizer Model 3091, TSI, Inc., Shoreview, Minnesota, USA. <sup>f</sup> WXT520, Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland. <sup>g</sup> NO<sub>x</sub> Monitor, model 405 nm, 2B Technologies, Inc., USA. <sup>h</sup> Optical Particle Counter Model 1.109, Grimm Aerosol Technik GmbH, Ainring, Germany. <sup>i</sup> Monitor 205 Dual Beam Ozone Monitor, 2B Technologies, Inc., Boulder, Colorado, USA. <sup>j</sup> CMP3 Pyranometer Sensor, Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, Utah, UK. <sup>k</sup> CSAT3B 3-D Sonic Anemometer, Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, Utah, USA. <sup>l</sup> TriSonica™ Mini, Anemoment LLC, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA. <sup>m</sup> Condensation Particle Counter Model 3007, TSI, Inc., Shoreview, Minnesota, USA. <sup>n</sup> OPC-N3, Alphasense AMETER®, Great Notley, United Kingdom. <sup>o</sup> Model 205 Dual Beam Ozone Monitor, 2B Technologies, Inc., Boulder, Colorado, USA. <sup>p</sup> Matrice 600, SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China. <sup>q</sup> Radiosonde RS41-SGP, Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland.

**Table A2.** Synoptic scale data and resolution.

Data source	Derived variables	Temporal resolution	Spatial resolution
HySplit (Stein et al., 2015)	24 h-backward trajectories in 0, 120, 500 m	1 h	0.25°
ICON-D2 (Zängl et al., 2015; DWD, 2025a)	Mixing layer heights and backward trajectories in German domain, forecast data with 16 layers in lowermost kilometer with varying layer thickness > 30 and < 100 m.	3 h	2 km
PAMORE (DWD, 2025c)	Forecast data with shorter lead time to increase temporal resolution of ICON-D2 data	2 h	
ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2020)	Reanalysis data (geopotential in 500 hPa, surface pressure)	3 h	31 km
WN data set (Kreklow et al., 2019)	Radar data	1 min	1 km
EUMETSAT (Schmetz et al., 2002)	Satellite images	15 min	1.67–4.8 km

**Code availability.** The code for the FLab data acquisition and data monitoring software is available from the authors upon request.

**Data availability.** Access to published data is via a Zenodo server: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20527234> (Moormann and Drewnick, 2026).

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at [the link will be implemented upon publication].

**Author contributions.** LM performed drone-based measurements, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. FF and FD performed ground-based measurements and related data post-processing. HT provided synoptic maps and mixing layer height calculations. All authors discussed the data and the presented results. All co-authors commented on the manuscript.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher’s note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. The authors bear the ultimate responsibility for providing appropriate place names. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

**Special issue statement.** This article is part of the special issue “The tropopause region in a changing atmosphere (TPChange) (ACP/AMT/GMD/WCD inter-journal SI)”. It is not associated with a conference.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank Thomas Böttger and Philipp Schuhmann (both Max Planck Institute for Chemistry) for support during the BISTUM23 and BISTUM24 campaigns and Luis Valero (Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz) for providing the skew- $T$  diagrams and corresponding CAPE values from radiosonde measurements.

**Financial support.** This work was supported by internal funding from the Max Planck Society. LM is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – TRR 301 “TPChange” (Project-ID 428312742); similarly, HT acknowledges funding from the same project ID (subproject Z03).

This open-access publication was funded by Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Annika Oertel and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

## References

- Andersen, S. T., McGillen, M. R., Xue, C., Seubert, T., De-  
wald, P., Türk, G. N. T. E., Schuladen, J., Denjean, C., Eti-  
enne, J.-C., Garrouste, O., Jamar, M., Harb, S., Cirtog, M.,  
5 Michoud, V., Cazaunau, M., Bergé, A., Cantrell, C., Dusan-  
ter, S., Picquet-Varrault, B., Kukui, A., Mellouki, A., Carpen-  
ter, L. J., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Measurement re-  
port: Sources, sinks, and lifetime of  $\text{NO}_x$  in a suburban tem-  
perate forest at night, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 11603–11618,  
10 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-11603-2024>, 2024.
- Andreae, M. O., Acevedo, O. C., Araújo, A., Artaxo, P., Bar-  
bosa, C. G. G., Barbosa, H. M. J., Brito, J., Carbone, S.,  
Chi, X., Cintra, B. B. L., da Silva, N. F., Dias, N. L., Dias-  
Júnior, C. Q., Ditas, F., Ditz, R., Godoi, A. F. L., Godoi, R. H. M.,  
15 Heimann, M., Hoffmann, T., Kesselmeier, J., Könemann, T.,  
Krüger, M. L., Lavric, J. V., Manzi, A. O., Lopes, A. P., Mar-  
tins, D. L., Mikhailov, E. F., Moran-Zuloaga, D., Nelson, B. W.,  
Nölscher, A. C., Santos Nogueira, D., Piedade, M. T. F., Pöh-  
lker, C., Pöschl, U., Quesada, C. A., Rizzo, L. V., Ro, C.-U.,  
20 Ruckteschler, N., Sá, L. D. A., de Oliveira Sá, M., Sales, C. B.,  
dos Santos, R. M. N., Saturno, J., Schöngart, J., Sörgel, M., de  
Souza, C. M., de Souza, R. A. F., Su, H., Targhetta, N., Tóta, J.,  
Trebs, I., Trumbore, S., van Eijck, A., Walter, D., Wang, Z., We-  
ber, B., Williams, J., Winderlich, J., Wittmann, F., Wolff, S.,  
25 and Yáñez-Serrano, A. M.: The Amazon Tall Tower Observa-  
tory (ATTO): overview of pilot measurements on ecosystem  
ecology, meteorology, trace gases, and aerosols, *Atmos. Chem.  
Phys.*, 15, 10723–10776, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-10723-2015>, 2015.
- Barnes, G. M. and Garstang, M.: Subcloud Layer En-  
ergies of Precipitating Convection, *Mon. Weather  
Rev.*, 110, 102–117, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1982\)110<0102:SLEOPC>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1982)110<0102:SLEOPC>2.0.CO;2), 1982.
- Barros, A. P. and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Dynamic modeling of oro-  
graphically induced precipitation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 32, 265–284,  
35 <https://doi.org/10.1029/94rg00625>, 1994.
- Bela, M. M., Barth, M. C., Toon, O. B., Fried, A., Ziegler, C.,  
Cummings, K. A., Li, Y., Pickering, K. E., Homeyer, C. R.,  
Morrison, H., Yang, Q., Mecikalski, R. M., Carey, L., Bigger-  
40 staff, M. I., Betten, D. P., and Alford, A. A.: Effects of Scav-  
enging, Entrainment, and Aqueous Chemistry on Peroxides and  
Formaldehyde in Deep Convective Outflow Over the Central and  
Southeast United States, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 123, 7594–  
7614, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jd028271>, 2018.
- 45 Bélair, F., Dyer-Hawes, Q., and Romanic, D.: The Dynamics of the  
Urban Boundary Layer Before and During a Severe Thunder-  
storm Outflow Over Downtown Montréal, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*,  
191, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-024-00896-4>, 2025.
- Bonne, J.-L., Donnat, L., Alhora, G., Burgalat, J., Chauvin, N.,  
50 Combaz, D., Cousin, J., Decarpenterie, T., Duclaux, O.,  
Dumelié, N., Galas, N., Juery, C., Parent, F., Pineau, F., Mau-  
noury, A., Ventre, O., Bénassy, M.-F., and Joly, L.: A measure-  
ment system for  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions quantification of in-  
dustrial sites using a new in situ concentration sensor operated on  
55 board uncrewed aircraft vehicles, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 17, 4471–  
4491, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-4471-2024>, 2024.
- Bopape, M.-J. M., Waitolo, D., Plant, R. S., Phaduli, E., Nkonde, E.,  
Simfukwe, H., Mkandawire, S., Rakate, E., and Maisha, R.:  
Sensitivity of Simulations of Zambian Heavy Rainfall Events  
to the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Schemes, *Climate*, 9, 38,  
60 <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli9020038>, 2021.
- Borque, P., Nesbitt, S. W., Trapp, R. J., Lasher-Trapp, S.,  
and Oue, M.: Observational Study of the Thermodynamics  
and Morphological Characteristics of a Midlatitude Conti-  
65 nental Cold Pool Event, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 148, 719–737,  
<https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-19-0068.1>, 2020.
- Chen, Z. H., Cheng, S. Y., Li, J. B., Guo, X. R., Wang, W. H.,  
and Chen, D. S.: Relationship between atmospheric  
pollution processes and synoptic pressure patterns  
in northern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 6078–6087,  
70 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.043>, 2008.
- Cherrier, G., Belut, E., Gerardin, F., Tanière, A., and Rimbart, N.:  
Aerosol particles scavenging by a droplet: Microphysical mod-  
eling in the Greenfield gap, *Atmos. Environ.*, 166, 519–530,  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.07.052>, 2017.
- 75 Crook, N. A. and Klemp, J. B.: Lifting by Convergence Lines,  
*J. Atmos. Sci.*, 57, 873–890, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2000\)057<0873:LBCL>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<0873:LBCL>2.0.CO;2), 2000.
- Crueger, T., Giorgetta, M. A., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Fiedler, S.,  
Hohenegger, C., Kornbluh, L., Mauritsen, T., Nam, C., Nau-  
80 mann, A. K., Peters, K., Rast, S., Roeckner, E., Sakradzija, M.,  
Schmidt, H., Vial, J., Vogel, R., and Stevens, B.: ICON-A, The  
Atmosphere Component of the ICON Earth System Model: II.  
Model Evaluation, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy.*, 10, 1638–1662,  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2017ms001233>, 2018.
- 85 Drewnick, F., Böttger, T., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L.,  
Zorn, S. R., Klimach, T., Schneider, J., and Borrmann, S.: Design  
of a mobile aerosol research laboratory and data processing tools  
for effective stationary and mobile field measurements, *Atmos.  
Meas. Tech.*, 5, 1443–1457, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-1443-2012>, 2012.
- DWD: Model documentation ICON-D2 (Regional model Ger-  
many), German Meteorological Service, [https://www.dwd.de/DE/leistungen/nwv\\_icon\\_d2\\_modelldokumentation/nwv\\_icon\\_d2\\_modelldokumentation.html](https://www.dwd.de/DE/leistungen/nwv_icon_d2_modelldokumentation/nwv_icon_d2_modelldokumentation.html) (last access: 8 August 2024),  
90 2025a.
- DWD: Open Data Server of the German Meteorological Service,  
German Meteorological Service, <https://opendata.dwd.de> (last  
access: 8 August 2024), 2025b.
- DWD: Pamore – Retrieving archived forecast model data, German  
100 Meteorological Service, <https://www.dwd.de/EN/ourservices/pamore/pamore.html> (last access: 8 August 2024), 2025c.
- Elston, J., Argrow, B., Stachura, M., Weibel, D., Lawrence, D., and  
Pope, D.: Overview of Small Fixed-Wing Unmanned Aircraft for  
Meteorological Sampling, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 32, 97–115,  
105 <https://doi.org/10.1175/jtech-d-13-00236.1>, 2015.
- Engerer, N. A., Stensrud, D. J., and Coniglio, M. C.: Surface Char-  
acteristics of Observed Cold Pools, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 136,  
4839–4849, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2528.1>, 2008.
- Fitzky, A. C., Sandén, H., Karl, T., Fares, S., Calfapietra, C.,  
110 Grote, R., Saunier, A., and Rewald, B.: The Interplay between  
Ozone and Urban Vegetation – BVOC Emissions, Ozone Depo-  
sition, and Tree Ecophysiology, *Frontiers in Forests and Global  
Change*, 2, <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2019.00050>, 2019.
- Golzio, A., Ferrarese, S., Cassardo, C., Diolaiuti, G. A., and  
115 Pelfini, M.: Land-Use Improvements in the Weather Research  
and Forecasting Model over Complex Mountainous Terrain

- and Comparison of Different Grid Sizes, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 180, 319–351, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-021-00617-1>, 2021.
- Haerter, J. O. and Schlemmer, L.: Intensified Cold Pool Dynamics Under Stronger Surface Heating, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 6299–6310, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017gl076874>, 2018.
- Helbig, M., Gerken, T., Beamesderfer, E. R., Baldocchi, D. D., Banerjee, T., Biraud, S. C., Brown, W. O. J., Brunsell, N. A., Burakowski, E. A., Burns, S. P., Butterworth, B. J., Chan, W. S., Davis, K. J., Desai, A. R., Fuentes, J. D., Hollinger, D. Y., Kljun, N., Mauder, M., Novick, K. A., Perkins, J. M., Rahn, D. A., Rey-Sanchez, C., Santanello, J. A., Scott, R. L., Seyednasrollah, B., Stoy, P. C., Sullivan, R. C., de Arellano, J. V.-G., Wharton, S., Yi, C., and Richardson, A. D.: Integrating continuous atmospheric boundary layer and tower-based flux measurements to advance understanding of land-atmosphere interactions, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 307, 108509, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108509>, 2021.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., De Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J. N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 146, 1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, 2020.
- Hoyle, C. R., Fuchs, C., Järvinen, E., Saathoff, H., Dias, A., El Hadad, I., Gysel, M., Coburn, S. C., Tröstl, J., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Corbin, J. C., Craven, J., Donahue, N. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Höppl, N., Heinritzi, M., Kristensen, T. B., Molteni, U., Nichman, L., Pinterich, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., Simon, M., Slowik, J. G., Steiner, G., Tomé, A., Vogel, A. L., Volkamer, R., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, R., Wexler, A. S., Williamson, C., Winkler, P. M., Yan, C., Amorim, A., Dommen, J., Curtius, J., Gallagher, M. W., Flagan, R. C., Hansel, A., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Möhler, O., Stratmann, F., Worsnop, D. R., and Baltensperger, U.: Aqueous phase oxidation of sulphur dioxide by ozone in cloud droplets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 1693–1712, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1693-2016>, 2016.
- Hyun, Y.-K., Kim, K.-E., and Ha, K.-J.: A comparison of methods to estimate the height of stable boundary layer over a temperate grassland, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 132, 132–142, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.03.010>, 2005.
- Klemp, J. B.: A terrain-following coordinate with smoothed coordinate surfaces, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 139, 2163–2169, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-10-05046.1>, 2011.
- Knote, C., Hodzic, A., and Jimenez, J. L.: The effect of dry and wet deposition of condensable vapors on secondary organic aerosols concentrations over the continental US, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1-2015>, 2015.
- Kotthaus, S., Bravo-Aranda, J. A., Collaud Coen, M., Guerrero-Rascado, J. L., Costa, M. J., Cimini, D., O'Connor, E. J., Hervo, M., Alados-Arboledas, L., Jiménez-Portaz, M., Mona, L., Ruffieux, D., Illingworth, A., and Haefelin, M.: Atmospheric boundary layer height from ground-based remote sensing: a review of capabilities and limitations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 16, 433–479, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-433-2023>, 2023.
- Kreklow, J., Tetzlaff, B., Kuhnt, G., and Burkhard, B.: A Rainfall Data Intercomparison Dataset of RADKLIM, RADOLAN, and Rain Gauge Data for Germany, *Data*, 4, 118, <https://doi.org/10.3390/data4030118>, 2019.
- Lambe, A. T., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Croasdale, D. R., Wright, J. P., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R., Worsnop, D. R., Brune, W. H., and Davidovits, P.: Laboratory studies of the chemical composition and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) and oxidized primary organic aerosol (OPOA), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 8913–8928, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8913-2011>, 2011.
- Liu, J. and Kirshbaum, D. J.: Environmental Conditions Controlling the Morphology of Shallow Orographic Convection, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 82, 483–500, <https://doi.org/10.1175/jas-d-24-0113.1>, 2025.
- Lochbihler, K., Lenderink, G., and Siebesma, A. P.: Cold Pool Dynamics Shape the Response of Extreme Rainfall Events to Climate Change, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy.*, 13, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020ms002306>, 2021.
- Luiz, E. W. and Fiedler, S.: Global Climatology of Low-Level-Jets: Occurrence, Characteristics, and Meteorological Drivers, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 129, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023jd040262>, 2024.
- Machado, L. A. T., Unfer, G. R., Brill, S., Hildmann, S., Pöhlker, C., Cheng, Y., Williams, J., Hartwig, H., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Curtius, J., Franco, M. A., Cecchini, M. A., Edtbauer, A., Hoffmann, T., Holanda, B., Khadir, T., Krejci, R., Kremper, L. A., Liu, Y., Meller, B. B., Pöhlker, M. L., Quesada, C. A., Ringsdorf, A., Riipinen, I., Trumbore, S., Wolff, S., Lelieveld, J., and Pöschl, U.: Frequent rainfall-induced new particle formation within the canopy in the Amazon rainforest, *Nat. Geosci.*, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01585-0>, 2024a.
- Machado, L. A. T., Kesselmeier, J., Botía, S., van Asperen, H., O. Andreae, M., de Araújo, A. C., Artaxo, P., Edtbauer, A., R. Ferreira, R., Franco, M. A., Harder, H., Jones, S. P., Dias-Junior, C. Q., Haytzmman, G. G., Quesada, C. A., Komiya, S., Lavric, J., Lelieveld, J., Levin, I., Nölscher, A., Pfannerstill, E., Pöhlker, M. L., Pöschl, U., Ringsdorf, A., Rizzo, L., Yáñez-Serrano, A. M., Trumbore, S., Valenti, W. I. D., Vila-Guerau de Arellano, J., Walter, D., Williams, J., Wolff, S., and Pöhlker, C.: How rainfall events modify trace gas mixing ratios in central Amazonia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 8893–8910, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-8893-2024>, 2024b.
- Markowski, P. M., Lis, N. T., Turner, D. D., Lee, T. R., and Buban, M. S.: Observations of Near-Surface Vertical Wind Profiles and Vertical Momentum Fluxes from VORTEX-SE 2017: Comparisons to Monin–Obukhov Similarity Theory, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 147, 3811–3824, <https://doi.org/10.1175/mwr-d-19-0091.1>, 2019.
- McWilliams, J. C., Meneveau, C., Patton, E. G., and Sullivan, P. P.: Stable Boundary Layers and Subfilter-Scale Motions, *Atmosphere-Basel*, 14, 1107, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14071107>, 2023.
- Miyama, T., Morishita, T., Kominami, Y., Noguchi, H., Yasuda, Y., Yoshifuji, N., Okano, M., Yamanoi, K., Mizoguchi, Y., Takashi, S., Kitamura, K., and Matsumoto, K.: Increases in Biogenic Volatile Organic Compound Con-

- centrations Observed after Rains at Six Forest Sites in Non-Summer Periods, *Atmosphere-Basel*, 11, 1381, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11121381>, 2020.
- Moormann, L. and Drewnick, F.: Boundary Layer Dynamics after Rain Fronts: High-Resolution Reconstruction and Model Validation using ground- and drone-based Measurements. In *Boundary Layer Dynamics after Rain Fronts: High-Resolution Reconstruction and Model Validation using ground- and drone-based Measurements*, Zenodo [data set], <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20527234>, 2026.
- Moormann, L., Böttger, T., Schuhmann, P., Valero, L., Fachinger, F., and Drewnick, F.: The Flying Laboratory FLab: development and application of a UAS to measure aerosol particles and trace gases in the lower troposphere, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 18, 1441–1459, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-18-1441-2025>, 2025.
- Neuman, J. A., Trainer, M., Aikin, K. C., Angevine, W. M., Brioude, J., Brown, S. S., de Gouw, J. A., Dube, W. P., Flynn, J. H., Graus, M., Holloway, J. S., Lefer, B. L., Nedelec, P., Nowak, J. B., Parrish, D. D., Pollack, I. B., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., Smit, H., Thouret, V., and Wagner, N. L.: Observations of ozone transport from the free troposphere to the Los Angeles basin, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016919>, 2012.
- Platis, A., Altstädter, B., Wehner, B., Wildmann, N., Lampert, A., Hermann, M., Birmili, W., and Bange, J.: An Observational Case Study on the Influence of Atmospheric Boundary-Layer Dynamics on New Particle Formation, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 158, 67–92, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-015-0084-y>, 2016.
- Pohorsky, R., Baccarini, A., Brett, N., Barret, B., Bekki, S., Pappacogli, G., Dieudonné, E., Temime-Roussel, B., D’Anna, B., Cesler-Maloney, M., Donato, A., Decesari, S., Law, K. S., Simpson, W. R., Fochesatto, J., Arnold, S. R., and Schmale, J.: In situ vertical observations of the layered structure of air pollution in a continental high-latitude urban boundary layer during winter, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 25, 3687–3715, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-25-3687-2025>, 2025.
- Qian, Y., Yan, H., Berg, L. K., Hagos, S., Feng, Z., Yang, B., and Huang, M.: Assessing Impacts of PBL and Surface Layer Schemes in Simulating the Surface–Atmosphere Interactions and Precipitation over the Tropical Ocean Using Observations from AMIE/DYNAMO, *J. Climate*, 29, 8191–8210, <https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-16-0040.1>, 2016.
- Radtke, J. K., Kies, B. N., Mottishaw, W. A., Zeuli, S. M., Voon, A. T. H., Koerber, K. L., Petty, G. W., Vermeuel, M. P., Bertram, T. H., Desai, A. R., Hupy, J. P., Pierce, R. B., Wagner, T. J., and Cleary, P. A.: Observing low-altitude features in ozone concentrations in a shoreline environment via uncrewed aerial systems, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 17, 2833–2847, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-2833-2024>, 2024.
- Raschendorfer, M.: The new turbulence parameterization of LM, <http://www.cosmo-model.org> (last access: 8 August 2024), 2001.
- Rossabi, S., Choudoir, M., Helmig, D., Hueber, J., and Fierer, N.: Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Soil Following Wetting Events, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 123, 1988–2001, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jg004514>, 2018.
- Ryan, B. F., Katzfey, J. J., Abbs, D. J., Jakob, C., Lohmann, U., Rockel, B., Rotstain, L. D., Stewart, R. E., Szeto, K. K., Tselioudis, G., and Yau, M. K.: Simulations of a Cold Front by Cloud-Resolving, Limited-Area, and Large-Scale Models, and a Model Evaluation Using In Situ and Satellite Observations, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 3218–3235, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2000\)128<3218:SOACFB>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<3218:SOACFB>2.0.CO;2), 2000.
- Schlemmer, L. and Hohenegger, C.: The Formation of Wider and Deeper Clouds as a Result of Cold-Pool Dynamics, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 71, 2842–2858, <https://doi.org/10.1175/jas-d-13-0170.1>, 2014.
- Schmetz, J., Pili, P., Tjemkes, S., Just, D., Kerkmann, J., Rota, S., and Ratier, A.: An introduction to Meteosat second generation (MSG), *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 83, 977–992, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(2002\)083<0977:AITMSG>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2002)083<0977:AITMSG>2.3.CO;2), 2002.
- Seidel, D. J., Ao, C. O., and Li, K.: Estimating climatological planetary boundary layer heights from radiosonde observations: Comparison of methods and uncertainty analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013680>, 2010.
- Steenefeld, G.-J.: Current challenges in understanding and forecasting stable boundary layers over land and ice, *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 2, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2014.00041>, 2014.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA’s HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, <https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-14-00110.1>, 2015.
- Stull, R. B.: An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology, *Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lib.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3027-8>, 1988.
- Szintai, B., Kaufmann, P., and Rotach, M. W.: Simulation of Pollutant Transport in Complex Terrain with a Numerical Weather Prediction–Particle Dispersion Model Combination, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 137, 373–396, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-010-9541-9>, 2010.
- Sziroczak, D., Rohacs, D., and Rohacs, J.: Review of using small UAV based meteorological measurements for road weather management, *Prog. Aerosp. Sci.*, 134, 100859, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paerosci.2022.100859>, 2022.
- Tignat-Perrier, R., Dommergue, A., Vogel, T. M., and Larose, C.: Microbiology of the Planetary Boundary Layer, *Atmosphere*, 11, 1296, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11121296>, 2020.
- Tompkins, A. M.: Organization of Tropical Convection in Low Vertical Wind Shears: The Role of Cold Pools, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 58, 1650–1672, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2001\)058<1650:OOTCIL>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058<1650:OOTCIL>2.0.CO;2), 2001.
- Tsamalis, C., Ravetta, F., Gheusi, F., Delbarre, H., and Augustin, P.: Mixing of free-tropospheric air with the lowland boundary layer during anabatic transport to a high altitude station, *Atmos. Res.*, 143, 425–437, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.03.011>, 2014.
- Valero, L., Kandler, K., Jost, S., Tost, H., Eichhorn, L. K., von Glahn, C., Rott, H., Flory, M., Baron, A., Smith, K., Thornberry, T., and Weigel, R.: Light-weight Observatory for sOuNdIng clouds and aeorSol, LOONIS: a balloon lifted platform for troposphere aerosol research, *EGUsphere* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2025-5568>, 2025.
- Villa, T. F., Gonzalez, F., Miljjevic, B., Ristovski, Z. D., and Morawska, L.: An Overview of Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Air Quality Measurements: Present

- Applications and Future Perspectives, *Sensors-Basel*, 16, <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16071072>, 2016.
- Wang, R., Zhu, Y., Qiao, F., Liang, X.-Z., Zhang, H., and Ding, Y.: High-resolution Simulation of an Extreme Heavy Rainfall Event in Shanghai Using the Weather Research and Forecasting Model: Sensitivity to Planetary Boundary Layer Parameterization, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 38, 98–115, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-020-9255-y>, 2021.
- Wang, X. Y. and Wang, K. C.: Estimation of atmospheric mixing layer height from radiosonde data, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 1701–1709, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-1701-2014>, 2014.
- Wei, P., Cheng, S., Li, J., and Su, F.: Impact of boundary-layer anti-cyclonic weather system on regional air quality, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 2453–2463, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.045>, 2011.
- Young, G. S., Perugini, S. M., and Fairall, C. W.: Convective Wakes in the Equatorial Western Pacific during TOGA, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 123, 110–123, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1995\)123<0110:CWITEW>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1995)123<0110:CWITEW>2.0.CO;2), 1995.
- Zängl, G., Reinert, D., Rípodas, P., and Baldauf, M.: The ICON (ICOsahedral Non-hydrostatic) modelling framework of DWD and MPI-M: Description of the non-hydrostatic dynamical core, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 141, 563–579, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2378>, 2015.
- Zum Berge, K., Gaiser, A., Knaus, H., Platis, A., and Bange, J.: Seasonal Changes in Boundary-Layer Flow Over a Forested Escarpment Measured by an Uncrewed Aircraft System, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 186, 69–91, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-022-00743-4>, 2023.

Proof only

## Remarks from the typesetter

- TS1** We have double-checked these instances and there is no additional space. The small space is created due to the kerning of the italic c and cannot manually be adjusted.
- TS2** Due to our internal workflow, I would suggest not moving the figure to another page. We work in LaTeX and use a converter that automatically converts our TeX files to XML, HTML, and also PDF through PDFLaTeX. We always place the figures in the TeX file where they are mentioned, but PDFLaTeX sometimes moves figures to the next PDF page for lack of space. When we move the figure up/down in the TeX file, the figure will appear in another section in XML and HTML.
- TS3** Please give an explanation of why this needs to be changed. We have to ask the handling editor for approval. Thanks.