

Bacterial contribution to nitrogen processing in the atmosphere

Frédéric Mathonat^{1,2}, François Enault², Raphaëlle Péguilhan³, Muriel Joly¹, Mariline Théveniot¹, Jean-Luc Baray⁴, Barbara Ervens¹, Pierre Amato²

¹*Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Clermont Auvergne INP, ICCF, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France.*

5 ²Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LMGE, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

³Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.

⁴Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LaMP, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

10 Correspondence to: Frederic Mathonat (frederic.mathonat@uca.fr)

Abstract. This study investigates potential microbial interactions with nitrogen compounds in the atmosphere, with a focus on inorganic forms (mainly NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and N_2). The reanalysis of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes from cloud-free and cloudy [air masses](#) collected at the mountain site of puy de Dôme (1465 m asl, France) indicate equivalent representation of genes involved in organic and inorganic nitrogen utilization processes. Glutamate metabolism and denitrification (in particular nitrite reduction) contributed most (70%) of the microbial sequences of genes and transcripts linked to nitrogen utilization pathways. Other prevalent processes included assimilatory and dissimilatory nitrate reduction, and nitrogen fixation, with the latter being overexpressed in particular during clear atmospheric conditions. The screening of bacteria isolates revealed that 15% of them carry the biomarker gene for biological N_2 fixation (*nifH*). In addition, laboratory incubations of rainwater points towards the processing of NH_4^+ . The decay rate of NH_4^+ concentration correlated positively with the relative abundance of *Sphingomonadales*, and negatively with that of *Burkholderiales*. The latter may rather obtain nitrogen from N_2 and organic forms. Overall, these results demonstrate multiple potential microbiological roles in the processing of inorganic nitrogen in the atmosphere, in relation with atmospheric conditions and microbial diversity. This opens up new perspectives in our understanding of biogeochemical cycles and chemical processing in the atmosphere, as well as microbial functioning in this major part of the Earth system.

1. Introduction

The atmosphere carries diverse living microorganisms and plays an important role in the dispersal of microorganisms and genetic material across ecosystems (Fröhlich-Nowoisky et al., 2016). Biological indicators of viability and metabolic activity ~~like~~ such as ATP and RNA could be identified in aerosol particles and cloud water, and regularly associated with bacteria taxa such as *Alphaproteobacteria* (*Rhodospirillales*, *Sphingomonadales*, and *Rhizobiales*) and *Gammaproteobacteria* (*Pseudomonadales*) (Amato et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2016; Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2018). As in other environments, their nutritive requirements include the uptake of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) and other elements from dissolved or gaseous inorganic and organic compounds, with potential impacts on biogeochemical cycles. However, the microbial processing of chemical compounds in the atmosphere is still very poorly studied.

A large variety of bioavailable organic and inorganic chemicals, such as carboxylic acids, aldehydes, sugars, ~~etc.~~, are present in the atmospheric system as gas, particles, or dissolved in water (clouds, precipitation), and these are potential nutrients for microbial cells (Jaber et al., 2021; Renard et al., 2021). So far, the few experimental and model investigations of carbon utilization by microorganisms in clouds (Nuñez López et al., 2024; Vařtilingom et al., 2013) led to the estimation that microbes may biodegrade up to 8-11 Tg yr⁻¹ water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) on a global scale (Ervens and Amato, 2020). Although some studies suggest interactions between airborne bacteria and nitrogen (Hill et al., 2007; Jaber et al., 2021; Péguilhan et al., 2025), they have not been quantified so far.

Nitrogen is an essential element for the biosphere. It is abundant in the atmosphere, which represents the planet's largest reservoir of inorganic nitrogen, primarily in the form of dinitrogen (N₂), with 3.7×10^9 Tg (Sorai et al., 2007). Many other inorganic nitrogen compounds (e.g., NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NO_x), potentially bioavailable, are also present in the gas and aqueous phases (**Table 1**). They originate from natural sources (ocean, soils, biomass burning, etc.), anthropogenic activities (agriculture, industry), or are formed in the atmosphere from the processing of more complex molecules (Almaraz et al., 2018; Fowler et al., 2013).

50 **Table 1. Most common nitrogen compounds reported in the atmosphere and their concentration (WSO_N = Water Soluble Organic Nitrogen).**

Nitrogen compound	Gas phase mixing ratio	References
Dinitrogen - N ₂	≈ 780 000 ppmv	(Lide, 2004)
Nitric oxide - NO	≤1 - ≈ 5 ppbv	(Hargreaves et al., 1992)
Nitrogen dioxide - NO ₂	≤1 - ≈ 10 ppbv	(Cape et al., 1992)
Nitrous oxide - N ₂ O	≈300 ppbv	(Machida et al., 1995; Syakila and Kroeze, 2011)
Dinitrogen pentoxide - N ₂ O ₅	≤15 ppbv	(Atkinson et al., 1986)
Nitrous acid - HNO ₂	≤3 ppbv	(Bari et al., 2003)
Nitric acid - HNO ₃	≤2 ppbv	(Bari et al., 2003)
Ammonia - NH ₃	≤1 - ≈ 30 ppbv	(Nair and Yu, 2020)
Concentration in cloud water		
Ammonium - NH ₄ ⁺	≤1 - ≈ 500 μM	(Hill et al., 2007; Renard et al., 2020; Väitilingom et al., 2010)
Nitrite - NO ₂ ⁻	≈ 1 μM	(Cape et al., 1992)
Nitrate - NO ₃ ⁻	≤1 - ≈ 500 μM	(Hill et al., 2007; Renard et al., 2020; Väitilingom et al., 2010)
Organic nitrogen (Amino acids, Amines, Urea etc) (WSO _N)	≤1 - ≈ 1000 μM	(Cape et al., 2011; Zhang and Anastasio, 2001)

55 [ByDue to](#) their composition, microbial cells directly contribute to the nitrogen pool, with molecules such as proteins, DNA, pigments, ~~ete~~, and they can release N-containing organic compounds like glycoproteins, peptides and amino-acids (Decho and Lopez, 1993; Leck and Bigg, 2005). On the other hand, extracellular organic (amino- acids, peptides, etc) and inorganic (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ etc) compounds can be utilized as sources of nitrogen by living cells, detoxified, such as dinitrogen trioxide (N₂O₃) and

peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) that causes cellular damages (Espey et al., 2000; Maes et al., 2011), and also in some cases (for instance nitrates under low-oxygen conditions), used as electron acceptors for the generation of biochemical energy (Bernhard, 2010; Galimand et al., 1991). Regarding inorganic nitrogen, microbially-mediated transformation includes N₂ fixation, nitrification, 60 denitrification, anaerobic oxidation of ammonium, ammonification and assimilation/dissimilation of nitrate. These processes have been well characterized in environments such as soil and freshwater and specific enzymes and genes could be identified (Bernhard, 2010) (**Table 2**). In the atmosphere, the reported microbial nitrogen-related processes include nitrosative stress, through atmospheric metagenomes and metatranscriptomes, and in cloud water, the processing of dissolved amino-acids (Amato et al., 2019; Jaber et al., 2021; Péguilhan et al., 2025).

65 In the present study, a range of approaches, from the targeted reanalysis of sequence data to the observation of natural samples, were used in order to provide a large picture of the possible interactions between bacteria and inorganic nitrogen in the atmosphere. This work provides new insights into the functioning of microorganisms in the atmospheric system and their potential contribution to the nitrogen cycle.

70 **Table 2. Main known biological transformations of inorganic nitrogen mediated by microorganisms. AOB = Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria; AOA = Ammonia-Oxidizing Archaea; NOB = Nitrite-Oxidizing Bacteria; Comammox defines the process including all the steps of nitrification (1+2+3).**

Biological process	Chemical reactions	Enzyme(s)	Main Biomarker genes	Organisms	References
a - Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF)	$N_2 + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow 2NH_3 + H_2$	Nitrogenase	<i>nifDKHW;</i> <i>anfG;</i> <i>vnfDKGH</i>	Bacteria and Archaea; functional groups: diazotrophs; <i>Pseudomonadota</i> such as <i>Rhizobiales</i> , <i>Burkholderiales</i> , <i>Pseudomonadales</i> and <i>Sphingomonadales</i>	(Fani et al., 2000; Joerger et al., 1989, 1990; Koirala and Brözel, 2021)
b - Nitrification	1) $NH_3 + O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow NH_2OH + H_2O$	Ammonia monooxygenase	<i>amoABC</i>	Bacteria and Archaea; functional groups: AOB, AOA and NOB; <i>Pseudomonadota</i> such as <i>Chloroflexi</i> and <i>Nitrospirae</i> (e.g., <i>Nitrospira</i> , <i>Nitrobacter</i> , <i>Nitrococcus</i> , <i>Nitrospina</i> , <i>Nitrotoga</i> and <i>Nitrolanceetus</i>)	(Dworkin et al., 2006; Hollocher et al., 1981; Norton et al., 2002; Rothauwe et al., 1997)
	2) $NH_2OH + H_2O \rightarrow NO_2^- + 5H^+ + 4e^-$	Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase	<i>hao</i>		(Hommes et al., 2001)
	3) $NO_2^- + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \rightarrow NO_3^-$	Nitrite oxidoreductase	<i>nxrAB</i>		(Dworkin et al., 2006; Pester et al., 2014)
c - Denitrification	1) $NO_3^- + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2O$	Nitrate reductase	<i>narGHIVJWYZ;</i> <i>napABGC</i>	Bacteria (e.g., <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Paracoccus</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i>), unicellular eukaryotes and Archaea	(Berks et al., 1995; Blasco et al., 1990; Gregory et al., 2000; Sohaskey and Wayne, 2003; Stolz and Basu, 2002)
	2) $NO_2^- + 2H^+ + e^- \rightarrow NO + H_2O$	Nitrite reductase	<i>nirKS</i>		(Braker and Tiedje, 2003; Suzuki et al., 2006; Zumft, 1997)
	3) $2NO + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow N_2O + H_2O$	Nitric oxide reductase	<i>norBC</i>		(Braker and Tiedje, 2003; Heiss et al., 1989; Suzuki et al., 2006)
	4) $N_2O + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow N_2 + 2H_2O$	Nitrous oxide reductase	<i>nosZ</i>		(Coyle et al., 1985; Scala and Kerkhof, 1999; Zumft and Kroneck, 2006)
d - Anaerobic oxidation of ammonium (Anammox)	$NH_4^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow N_2 + 2H_2O$	Hydrazine hydrolase	<i>hdh</i>	Bacteria (e.g., <i>Candidatus Brocadia</i> , <i>Kuenenia</i> , <i>Anammoxoglobus</i> , <i>Jettenia</i> and <i>Scalindua</i>)	(Kartal et al., 2011)
		Hydrazine oxidoreductase	<i>hszABC</i>		(Kartal et al., 2011)
		Nitrite reductase	<i>nirKS</i>		(Braker et al., 2000; Cantera and Stein, 2007; Zumft, 1997)

2. Materials and Methods

75 To study the possible interactions occurring between inorganic nitrogen and atmospheric microorganisms, complementary approaches were combined: the prospection of sequences affiliated with biomarkers of the microbial nitrogen cycle in atmospheric metagenomes and metatranscriptomes (**section 2.1**); the screening of bacteria isolates from clouds for biomarkers of nitrogen fixation (**section 2.2**); the analysis of bacterial diversity and dissolved nitrogen ions during rainwater incubations (**section 2.3**).

80 2.1 Reanalysis of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes from clouds and clear atmosphere

A set of atmospheric metagenomes (MG) and metatranscriptomes (MT) reported previously (ENA project PRJEB54740; Péguilhan et al., 2025) was reprocessed and reanalyzed specifically for genes and transcripts related to the processing of nitrogen compounds, at the sequence level. Briefly, ~~6~~six aerosol (clear atmosphere) and ~~9~~nine cloud samples were originally collected in 2019-2020, from the instrumented atmospheric station of puy de Dôme Mountain summit (1,465m asl, France) (Baray et al., 2020). For both atmospheric conditions, multiple high-flow rate impingers were deployed in parallel, each running at an air flow rate of 2 m³.h⁻¹ (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2017), and the samples were accumulated for 2 to 6 h directly into a nucleic acid preservation buffer using high-flow rate impingers, at 0.5X concentration for clear atmosphere and 1X for clouds in order to compensate for concentration/dilution due to evaporation/water accumulation, so as to reduce potential methodological biases. Clear-atmosphere samples were collected at relative humidity (RH) ranging from 41% to 78% (55% on average) while clouds were characterized by RH = 100% and liquid water content >0.

The DNA and RNA extracts obtained from each sample were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq (paired end reads of 150 bp). In our study, the reads of MG and MT were trimmed (quality>30, length>145bp), and 20 million randomly selected of them were aligned against the protein sequences of the NCycDB database, using MMseqs2 (e-value threshold of 10⁻⁷). NCycDB was designed for metagenomic profiling of N-cycling genes (Tu et al., 2019). This contains 68 gene (sub)families, grouped into 8 main functions: nitrification, denitrification, assimilatory nitrate reduction, dissimilatory nitrate reduction, nitrogen fixation, annamox, organic degradation and synthesis, and “other”. For each sample, the number of reads similar to one of the 68 gene families (Tu et al., 2019) was normalized by the total number of reads in the dataset and by the average size (base-pairs) of orthologs inside each family. Values are presented in parts per million base pairs (ppmbp). In order to obtain taxonomic affiliations, the matching sequences (best hits) were compared with the generalist UniRef100 protein database (January 2025) (Suzek et al., 2015).

The ratio between the relative abundance of transcripts in MTs with respect to that of their corresponding genes in MGs (RNA:DNA ratio) is often considered as a proxy for microbial activity (e.g., (Baldrian et al., 2012). The proportion of each gene-reads identified as being involved in each N-cycling functions (i.e., nitrification, denitrification etc) in each-both MG and

MT were summed up, and the corresponding “RNA:DNA ratio” of a given function, i.e., the proportion number of related reads-transcripts in an MT with respect to that of genes in the corresponding MG, was calculated for each sample.

2.2 Screening for *nifH* in bacteria strains isolated from clouds

The presence of the biomarker gene for nitrogen fixation, *nifH*, was tested in 34 bacterial strains, previously isolated from clouds (Amato et al., 2007; Renard et al., 2016; Vaïtilingom et al., 2012; Vinatier et al., 2016) (**Supplementary Table S1**). These were selected to include known nitrogen-fixing taxa such as *Pseudomonadales*, *Sphingomonadales*, *Burkholderiales*, *Rhizobiales*, *Mycobacteriales*, *Rhodospirillales*, *Hyphomicrobiales*, *Rhodobacterales*. Bacteria cells from cultures stored at -80°C in 10% glycerol were re-cultured on R2A medium at 17°C. DNA was then extracted from colonies (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit; QIAGEN; ref 51304) following the manufacturer's protocol. A polymerase chain reaction targeting the gene *nifH* was performed from DNA extracts (~20 ng), using the primers polF: 5'-TGCGAYCCSAARGCBGACTC-3' and polR: 5'-ATSGCCATCATYTCRCCGGA-3' from (Poly et al., 2001). Amplification was carried out at final concentrations of 200 µM of each dNTP (Qiagen), 0.2 µM of each primer and 0.04 U of Platinum II Taq Hot-Start DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen; ref 14966005), in Platinum II PCR buffer (1X) and Platinum GC enhancer (1X) provided with the Taq, in a total final volume of 25 µL. The PCR was performed with the following thermocycling parameters: 7 min of initial DNA polymerase activation and DNA denaturation at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 1 min), hybridization (55°C, 1 min), elongation (72°C, 2 min), and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72°C. The length of the amplicons (~450 bp) was controlled by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel.

2.3 Analysis of rain water samples

2.3.1. Sample collection, processing and storage

Rain samples were collected in 2023 at the Theix INRAe meteorological station, France (GPS point: 45°43'22"N 3°01'09"E), a meadow at an altitude of 881 m asl located nearby (~10 km) puy de Dôme observatory, where the samples for MG and MT were obtained (**section 2.1**). The samples were collected over 24-h periods using an automated refrigerated (4°C) precipitation collector (Eigenbrodt NSA 181/KHS; Königsmoor, Germany), with a collection diameter of 47.4 cm (area of 1764 cm²), into autoclaved one-liter glass bottles (Pouzet et al., 2017). The samples maintained at 4°C in the sampler were processed within two days. Due to practical limitations, only samples with volumes >30mL were analyzed for chemical and biological variables (see below). In addition, samples >100 mL were distributed into sterile Erlenmeyer flasks as triplicates and incubated for 5 days under laboratory conditions (17°C, 130 rpm shaking, dark conditions), in order to evaluate temporal changes in the microbial community and inorganic nitrogen concentrations.

2.3.2 Meteorological data and air mass back-trajectory analyses

Meteorological variables (temperature, wind, humidity) (**Supplementary data**) were monitored by the meteorological station at the Theix INRAe. Boundary layer height (BLH) and the geographical origin of the air masses (cardinal points, terrestrial or oceanic, altitude) were obtained from 72-h backward ~~trajectory-trajectoriesplots~~ computed ~~using-by~~ the [Computing Atmospheric Trajectory \(CAT\) ~~trajectory-modeltool~~](#) [as in](#) (Péguilhan et al., 2021).

2.3.3 Chemical analyses

The pH was measured immediately after sampling ~~from-in~~ a subsample of ~1.5 mL. For quantifying major inorganic ions, a volume of 5 mL was transferred into a 15 mL sterile polypropylene vial and stored at -25°C until analysis. The main dissolved inorganic cations and anions (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) were examined by ion chromatography using a Dionex ICS1500 (column CS16) for cations as in (Deguillaume et al., 2014), and a Dionex DX320 (column AS11) for anions as in (Péguilhan et al., 2021).

In incubation experiments, ammonium and nitrate concentration decay rates (Δ , $\mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ cell}^{-1}$) were calculated as:

$$\Delta = \frac{d[N]/dt}{x_{bact}} \quad (1)$$

Where $d[N]/dt$ ($\mu\text{mol L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) corresponds to the change in ammonium or nitrate concentration during the incubation time of 120 h and x_{bact} corresponds to the initial number concentration of microorganisms in the sample, in cells L^{-1} .

2.3.4 Total cell counts

For the total microbial cell quantification by flow cytometry (FCM), rain samples were prepared as in (Amato et al., 2017). Briefly, for each sample, triplicate volumes of 450 μL were mixed with 50 μL of 5% glutaraldehyde (0.5% final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich G7651, St-Louis, MO, USA), gently vortexed and stored at 4°C until analysis, within 3 weeks. Total cell concentration was quantified using a LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Just before analysis, samples were mixed with 500 μL of 0.22 μm porosity filtered (47mm diameter; ClearLine 0421A00023) Tris-EDTA pH 8.0 (40 mM Tris-Base, 1 mM EDTA, acetic acid to pH 8.0) and stained with SYBRGreen I (Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR, USA) from a 100X solution, and incubated for 15 min in the dark. Cell counts were performed at excitation and emission wavelengths of $\lambda_{exc} = 488 \text{ nm}$ and $\lambda_{em} = 530 \text{ nm}$, respectively, at a flow rate of $\sim 90 \mu\text{L min}^{-1}$ further determined by weighting.

For each rainwater incubation experiment, a microbial growth rate (μ) in gen h^{-1} was calculated as:

$$\mu = \frac{\log_2 N - \log_2 N_0}{dt} \quad (2)$$

Where N and N_0 are the number concentrations of cells at the end and at the beginning of the incubation period, respectively, in cells mL^{-1} ; dt corresponds to the incubation time (120 h).

The generation time (Θ), in h gen^{-1} , is then:

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\mu} \quad (3)$$

2.3.5 DNA extraction

For DNA extraction, the remaining volume of rain water (30 to 200 mL, depending on the sample) was extracted from mixed cellulose esters (MCE)-filtered samples (0.22 μm porosity, 47mm diameter; ClearLine 0421A00023), using Macherey-Nagel NucleoMag® DNA/RNA Water Kit (744220.1), ~~with~~. Each filter was stored in 5 mL Type A NucleoSpin Bead Tubes (Macherey-Nagel, 740799.50), added with 1.2 mL of lysis buffer MWA1, as in (Rossi et al., 2023). For DNA extraction, bead-beating lysates (10 min at maximum vortex speed on a Genie2 vortex) were processed following a protocol adapted for 47 mm filter membranes (manufacturer's protocol: <https://www.mn-net.com/media/pdf/ce/b5/38/Instruction-NucleoMag-DNA-RNA-Water.pdf>). DNA was finally eluted into 50 μL RNase-free H_2O . The quality (260/280 and 260/230 ratios) and concentration ($\text{ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$) of the extracts were assessed using a BioSpec-nano (SHIMADZU BIOTECH corporation). The extraction products were stored at -25°C until DNA gene quantification (q-PCR) and 16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing.

2.3.6 Gene quantification, reaction mixture and amplification conditions for q-PCR

In order to quantify the ammonium-to-nitrate transformation gene (*amoA*), homemade standard assays were performed using plasmids (pEX-A128; Eurofins Genomics), in which sequences of *amoA* (*Nitrosomonas europaea* N accession L08050) (McTavish et al., 1993) and 16S rRNA gene (*Pseudomonas syringae* isolate PDD-32b-74 accession HQ256872.1) were ligated. Plasmids containing the genes of interest were linearized with the restriction enzyme EcoRI FastDigest (ThermoFischer; ref FD0274) during 15 min at 37°C and the enzyme was inactivated during 5 min at 80°C . The concentration of the plasmid DNA solutions was measured spectrophotometrically, and converted into gene ~~eopies~~ copies μL^{-1} , using the following formula ~~from~~ (Whelan et al., 2003):

$$\text{Gene abundance} = \frac{[DNA] \times N_A \times 10^{-9}}{(n \times mw)} \quad (4)$$

Where $[DNA]$ is the concentration of recombinant plasmids in $\text{ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$, N_A is Avogadro's constant (6.023×10^{23} molecules mol^{-1}), n is the length of the gene sequence in base pairs and mw is the average molecular mass of a base pair (660 ~~g-g~~ g-g mole^{-1}).

185 Plasmids including the target gene regions were provided by a subcontracted company (Eurofins Genomics, Lille, France), at a concentration of $19.68 \text{ ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$ for 16S rRNA and $11.38 \text{ g } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$ for *amoA*. The plasmid (vector + gene insert) for 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes have a total length of 2799 bp (2450 + 349 bp, respectively) and 2982 bp (2450 bp + 532 bp, respectively). From equation (4), the plasmid stock solutions for 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes were therefore at concentrations of 6.42×10^9 copies μL^{-1} , and 3.48×10^9 copies μL^{-1} , respectively. Standards for quantification were obtained by decimal dilutions of a the

190 stock solutions ~~containing 10⁹ copies of the constructed plasmid~~, considering concentrations between 2 and 10⁸ copies μL⁻¹. Limits of quantification were determined using the linearity of the standard curve for Ct between 10-30/ 5-20 [*amoA*/ 16S].

The q-PCR analyses were performed as technical triplicates, in 96-well reaction plates along with a minimum of two no-template controls and standard samples consisting of 2–10⁶ *amoA* copies or 10²–10⁸ 16S rRNA gene copies per reaction. Each 20 μL qPCR reaction volume contained 10 μL of IQTM SYBR® Green Supermix assays (BIO-RAD; ref 1708882), 300 nM of
195 each primer [*amoA*-1F: 5'- GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT -3' and *amoA*-2R: 5'- CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC -3' for *amoA* (Rotthauwe et al., 1997); EUBf: 5'-GGTAGTCYAYGCMSTAAACG-3' and EUBr: 5'-GACARCCATGCASCACCTG-3' for the 16S rRNA gene (Bach et al., 2002)], 8 μL or 5 μL (at a concentration of 15-50 ng μL⁻¹) of DNA extract as the template for *amoA* and 16S rRNA genes, respectively, and PCR grade water (20 μL final). The q-PCR was performed with the following thermocycling parameters: 3 min of initial DNA polymerase activation and DNA
200 denaturation at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 15 s), hybridization and elongation (60°C for *amoA* or 62°C for 16S), 1 min). The specificity was finally assessed by following up melting curves at temperatures from 60°C to 95°C, with a heating rate of 0.1°C/s). The standard curves had a linearity $r^2 > 0.99$ and an efficiency (E) between 80% and 120%. The maximum standard deviation for each triplicate of q-PCR was set at 0.3 cycle threshold (C_T). The q-PCR results were converted to gene copy numbers per mL of rainwater using the standard curves as a reference.

205 **2.3.7 Ribosomal gene amplification and sequencing, bioinformatics and statistics**

Amplification of the V4 region of the 16S sub-unit of bacterial ribosomal genes was performed from genomic DNA extracts by PCR, using the universal primers 515f (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGTAA-3') and 806r (3'-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-5') (Apprill et al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016) and the Platinum II Taq Hot-Start DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen ; ref 14966005), following the conditions specified in (Bulgarelli et al., 2012). Amplicons were
210 purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany) and sequenced on Illumina Miseq 2×250 bp (GenoScreen; Lille, France).

The sequence data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under the accession number PRJEB91356. A total of 9,292,119 sequences were obtained following demultiplexing for the 57 samples investigated. Each sample contributed between 8,350 and 50,157 16S rRNA gene reads. For taxa annotation, the FROGS pipeline [Find Rapidly
215 OTUs with Galaxy Solution; (Escudé et al., 2018)] was used through the Metabarcoding.useGalaxy v4.1.0 (**Supplement Materials and Methods**).

2.3.8 Statistical analyses

All statistical tests were carried out using Past software (v.4.03) (Hammer et al., 2001). [To compare the mean proportions of the different functional categories in metagenomic \(MG\) and metatranscriptomic \(MT\) datasets, a parametric Student's *t*-test or a non-parametric Mann–Whitney test was performed. RNA:DNA ratios were compared to a value of 1 using a one-sample](#)
220

[Wilcoxon test, and the mean RNA:DNA ratios of the different functional categories between cloudy and clear-air conditions were assessed using the parametric Student's *t*-test or the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test.](#)

[For the rainwater incubation experiments, correlations among the different factors were evaluated using Pearson's rank correlation test. Mean values derived from the incubations \(e.g., ion concentrations, bacterial abundances, etc.\) were compared using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test.](#)

3. Results

3.1 Atmospheric metagenomes reanalysis

In atmospheric MG, organic and inorganic nitrogen processing genes are equivalently represented ($p > 0.05$, Student's test), and contributing a total of 4.08 ± 2.33 parts per million base pairs (ppmbp). Organic nitrogen processes are dominated by glutamate and urea metabolisms (2.01 ± 0.53 parts per million) (**Fig. 1A; Supplement Fig. S1 and Supplement Table S2**). Regarding inorganic processes, together, denitrification, assimilatory and dissimilatory nitrate reduction, and biological nitrogen fixation contribute ~99% of the related reads, with respective contributions of $46\% \pm 15\%$ (0.96 ± 0.36 ppmbp), $25\% \pm 3\%$ (0.51 ± 0.09 ppmbp), $19\% \pm 10\%$ (0.40 ± 0.24 ppmbp) and $9\% \pm 4\%$ (0.18 ± 0.11 ppmbp). Nitrification and anammox contribute $< 1\%$ (**Fig. 1A; Supplement Fig. S1 and Supplement Table S2**). Within denitrification, nitrite reduction prevails (0.71 ± 0.25 ppmbp), with two genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) contributing $75\% \pm 26\%$ of the denitrification genes, and $35\% \pm 12\%$ of the genes involved in inorganic nitrogen transformations. Nitrate reduction genes (*napABC*, *narGHIJVVWYZ*) evenly contribute between 0 and 40% of the denitrification genes (**Supplement Fig. S2**). Within biological nitrogen fixation, the two most represented biomarker genes are *nifH* and *nifW*, whereas *nifD* and *nifK* are underrepresented (**Supplement Fig. S2**).

Overall, nitrogen processing genes are more represented in MG during clear atmospheric conditions (4.79 ± 1.66 ppmbp) than in clouds (3.36 ± 0.65 ppmbp) ($p < 0.05$, Student's test). Inorganic nitrogen processing genes contribute 2.50 ± 0.90 ppmbp and 1.63 ± 0.47 ppmbp in clear atmosphere and in clouds, respectively, of which biological nitrogen fixation genes are 0.26 ± 0.11 ppmbp (i.e., $10 \pm 4\%$ of the inorganic N-related reads) and 0.10 ± 0.05 ppmbp (i.e., $6 \pm 3\%$) ($p < 0.05$, Student's test), respectively (**Fig. 1A; Supplement Fig. S1 and Supplement Table S2**).

3.2 Active microbial processes in the atmosphere and difference between clouds and clear atmosphere

Functional gene-expression was evaluated considering their relative representation of transcripts (mRNA) in MT (**Fig. 1B**) with respect to their corresponding genes in MG (RNA:DNA ratio, with higher values indicating higher expression levels). While ribosomal RNAs can persist up to several days at low temperatures (Schostag et al., 2020), the average half-life of an mRNA in a bacteria cell (*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) at 37°C is between 2 and 5 minutes, which is much shorter than the average duration of atmospheric transport (~3–4 days; (Burrows et al., 2009). Some studies have shown that under “stressful”

conditions or during dormancy/inactive states, such as caused by a shift in temperature, the half-life may increase by a factor of 2–3, but it still remains on the order of only a few tens of minutes (Rustad et al., 2013). Most of the transcripts identified in cloud and aerosol samples were therefore likely produced by the cells while airborne.

255 On average, nitrogen processing genes are more represented in MT than they are in the corresponding MG (~~with an~~ RNA:DNA ratio >1 ($p < 0.05$, One-sample Wilcoxon test). Nitrate reduction, nitrate assimilation, biological nitrogen fixation, as well as glutamate and urea metabolisms all have an RNA:DNA ratio >1 ($p < 0.05$, One-sample Wilcoxon test), and this is higher in clear atmospheric conditions than in clouds ($p < 0.05$, Mann-Whitney test) (**Fig. 1C**).

260 Functions with RNA:DNA ratios that are significantly greater than unity ($p < 0.05$, One-sample Wilcoxon test) in clear atmosphere include nitrate reduction (3.23 ± 0.92), nitrite reduction (3.04 ± 0.81), nitrate assimilation (2.90 ± 0.94), dissimilatory nitrate reduction (1.73 ± 1.01), biological nitrogen fixation (2.22 ± 1.21), and genes associated with organic nitrogen metabolism, i.e., glutamate (2.90 ± 0.92) and urea metabolisms (3.15 ± 0.80). In clouds, functions with a ratio significantly > 1 include nitrate reduction (2.49 ± 1.62), nitrite reduction (4.71 ± 1.92), nitrate assimilation (2.01 ± 0.91), and glutamate metabolism genes (1.98 ± 0.64).


265 Functions with similar representation in MT as in MG (RNA:DNA ratio ~ 1) ($p > 0.05$, One-sample Wilcoxon test) include, in clear atmosphere conditions, nitric oxide reduction (0.76 ± 0.58) and nitrous oxide reduction (1.77 ± 0.83). In cloud conditions, the same applies to nitric oxide reduction (2.25 ± 2.77), nitrous oxide reduction (1.33 ± 0.76), dissimilatory nitrate reduction (2.10 ± 2.89), biological nitrogen fixation (1.40 ± 1.19), and urea metabolism (0.91 ± 0.78) (**Fig. 1C**).

~~In turn, other functions exhibit RNA:DNA ratio < 1 ($p < 0.05$, One sample Wilcoxon test), specifically the steps involved in nitrification and anammox in both clear atmosphere and cloud conditions (Fig. 1C).~~


270 Under clear-sky conditions, the atmospheric samples used to generate the data ~~exhibited~~ were collected at relative humidity (RH) values ranging from 41% to 78%, with a mean of 55% ; no relationship between the expression of biological functions and RH could be detected (see (Péguilhan et al., 2025) for further details). Nevertheless, RH is known to impact the viability of model airborne bacteria, with often higher survival at extremely low or high RH levels (Cox and Goldberg, 1972; Wright et al., 1969), and to influence their gene expression patterns (Barnes and Wu, 2022). Larger datasets ~~remain~~ will be necessary

275 to examine such relationships in the natural environment.


A



C



B




Functions

- Nitrification
- Denitrification
- Assimilatory nitrate reduction
- Dissimilatory nitrate reduction
- Nitrogen fixation
- Anammox
- Organic nitrogen degradation and synthesis metabolism

Atmospheric condition

- Clear atmosphere
- Cloud



280 Figure 1. Proportions (per million base pairs) of nitrogen processing genes (with a threshold value greater than 0.1 ppmbp) in (A) atmospheric metagenomes, (B) metatranscriptomes, and (C) corresponding RNA:DNA ratios, in clouds (grey) or clear atmosphere (blue) at the puy de Dôme mountain station. For (C), the blue line corresponding to the value 1 for clear atmosphere condition and the grey line corresponding to the value 1 for cloud condition. Triangles represent significant differences between clear atmosphere and cloud condition (Student or Mann-Whitney test). Asterisks (*) indicate that the RNA:DNA ratio is different from 1 (Student or Wilcoxon test).

285 3.3 Bacteria associated with functional genes and transcripts

The bacteria associated with atmospheric nitrogen processing genes in MG and MT are affiliated with 61 distinct phyla and 331 orders (Fig. 2). Within each nitrogen function, the contributing taxa are evenly distributed at the phylum level, with no difference between clouds and clear atmospheric conditions, except for the rare taxa related to nitrification. The most represented phyla for most functions are *Pseudomonadota* (contributing from 5% to 32% of the N-associated functional sequences), *Acidobacteriota*, *Actinomycetota*, *Bacteriodota*, *Bacillota* and *Planctomycetota* (Fig. 2A). Some functions involve specific phyla, such as *Nitrospirota* and *Nitrososphaerota* for nitrification, and *Cyanobacteriota* for N₂ fixation.


At the order level, the dominant orders include *Acetobacterales*, *Burkholderiales*, *Hyphomicrobiales*, *Sphingomonadales*, and *Rhodospirillales* (Fig. 2B). Nitrification processes are contributed by orders such as *Nitrososphaerales*, *Nitrosomonadales*, *Nitrospirales*, *Isosphaerales*, and *Cytophagales*, which account for 100% of the orders contributing transcripts in clouds. Certain bacterial orders are associated only with ~~eertain-specific~~ functions, such as *Methylococcales* for N₂ fixation and *Pseudomonadales* for urea metabolism.

In terms of diversity, similar taxa contribute to MG and MT for a given process, with differences in the relative abundance of taxa's representation. The major phyla in MG are also those dominating in MT, notably *Planctomycetota* and *Acidobacteriota*, which, for the denitrification process, account for <5% and 7% of the reads in MG and 36% and 31% in MT, respectively, under cloud conditions. Regarding nitrification, *Acidobacteriota* is the only phylum represented in MT, while this contributes only 10% of N-related sequences in MG.


3.4 Screening for potential diazotrophic microorganisms among isolates

~~Thirty-four (34) Among the 3434~~ strains of *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria* isolated from clouds in earlier work, ~~were and~~ tested here by PCR for ~~the presence of carrying~~ the N₂ fixation biomarker gene (~~nifH-gene~~). ~~These strains were selected to belong to taxa that include nitrogen-fixers, and they are among the most frequent viable bacteria in clouds~~ (Vařtilingom et al., 2012). ~~In total, 5-five strains~~ (~15%) were positive, ~~of which 4 woth four~~ *Sphingomonadales* and ~~1-one~~ *Rhizobiales* (Supplement Table S1).

Phylum



Order



310




Figure 2. Proportion of bacterial phyla (A) and orders (B) in ~~clear-clear~~ atmosphere and cloud metagenomes (MG) and metatranscriptomes (MT). For each active biological function linked to the nitrogen cycle, (with a threshold value greater than 0.1 ppmbp in MG and MT), the ~~8-eight~~ major phyla and orders are represented by different colors. Proportions greater than or equal to 5% are displayed directly on the figure.

3.5 Fresh rain sample analysis


Among the 30 rain samples assessed in this study, ammonium and nitrate concentrations ranged from 3.3 ± 0.1 to 79.8 ± 0.1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, and from 1.0 ± 0.1 to 34.8 ± 0.1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, respectively (**Supplement Table S3**). This was linked with the geographical origin of the air masses, as attested by backward trajectories, with continental sources as major contributors (320 **Supplement Fig. S3**) ($p < 0.05$, Pearson test, on the correlation between nitrate + ammonium concentration and the origin of air masses).

Bacterial concentration in the samples ranged from $1.94 \pm 0.88 \times 10^2$ cells mL^{-1} to $6.73 \pm 1.31 \times 10^4$ cells mL^{-1} (**Supplement Table S3**). This was not correlated with the origin of the air masses ($p > 0.05$, Pearson test, **Supplement Table S4**).

325 The majority of bacteria in rain samples are affiliated with *Pseudomonadota* (~~ranged~~ between 80 and 90% on average, **Fig. 3**). The major orders are *Burkholderiales*, *Cytophagales*, *Pseudomonadales*, *Sphingomonadales*, *Sphingobacteriales* and *Rhizobiales* (**Fig. 3**). There are clear differences in the distribution of these orders, in particular *Sphingomonadales* and *Burkholderiales*, depending on the sample (**Fig. 3**). The *amoA* gene, related to ammonium utilization in bacteria (ammonia monooxygenase), was below the detection limit of qPCR (less than $10^{0.25}$ copies mL^{-1}) in all rainwater samples, except 20230506_RAIN where 14 copies mL^{-1} could be quantified.

330

A Rain incubation: Phyla



B Rain incubation: Orders of Pseudomonadota




Figure 3. Proportion of sequences affiliated with (A) bacterial phyla and (B) orders of *Pseudomonadota* in fresh rain samples and after incubation for 5-five days at 17°C and 130 rpm.

3.6 Incubation of rainwater samples




335 The bacteria biomass and diversity in the 9-nine rain water samples investigated changed during the 5-five days of incubation (Fig. 4 and Table 3). This is a plausible duration for bacteria's residence in the atmosphere, estimated around 3 to 4 days (Burrows et al., 2009), but the actual time spent by cells within atmospheric droplets is expected to be much shorter. The data were interpolated over the 5-day periods in order to determine rates, assuming, as a first-order approximation, that these remained constant throughout the incubation time.

340 Depending on samples, at the end of incubation, the cell number concentration ranged from 1/35 to 400 times that initially present. When increasing, this corresponded to generation times of 13.45 to 56.26 h gen⁻¹.

The dominant orders initially were *Burkholderiales*, *Cytophagales*, *Pseudomonadales*, *Sphingomonadales*, *Sphingobacteriales* and *Rhizobiales* (Fig. 3). In some of the samples, their relative abundance was modified after incubation. For example, *Burkholderiales*' representation increased from 7% to 30% in the 20230509_RAIN sample, and from 26% to 65% in the
345 20230313_RAIN sample. Similar trends were observed for *Rhizobiales* in 20231019_RAIN, and for *Pseudomonadales* in 20230507_RAIN, 20230313_RAIN, and 20230429_RAIN, in these cases at the expense of the initially dominant order *Burkholderiales*.

Similar to the correlation observed between the origin of air masses and ion patterns (Fig. 5 and Supplement Fig. S3), a source effect could be related with the proportion of *Burkholderiales* initially present in the rain samples: this significantly decreased with the level of marine influence ($p < 0.05$, Spearman r_s test) (Fig. 5).
350

The *amoA* gene could be quantified in the samples only upon incubation for 5-five days (Table 3), with values ranging from 20 to 40 gene copies mL⁻¹ of rainwater.



355 **Figure 4. Typical evolution of the concentration of ammonium (grey histogram) nitrate (white histogram) and microbial cell number concentration (hatched histogram) in rainwater samples after incubation for 5 days at 17°C and 130 rpm shaking, respect to initial values, and corresponding inferred average ammonium and nitrate bioassimilation rate (Δ) and bacteria generation time (Θ), when cell number concentration increased. (A) 20230922_RAIN, (B) 20230326_RAIN and (C) 20230429_RAIN. The results for the other incubations are shown in Supplement Fig. S4.**

360 On average, NH_4^+ concentration decreased over the ~~5~~nine days of incubation (Wilcoxon test; $p < 0.05$) from 28.43 ± 18.09 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ to 21.38 ± 18.02 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, i.e., between 0.26 ± 0.18 and 1.00 ± 0.50 of its initial concentration, depending on samples (Wilcoxon test; ~~P~~p < 0.05) (**Table 3**). The inferred corresponding NH_4^+ bioassimilation rates ranged between 2.34×10^{-7} and 2.30×10^{-10} $\mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ cell}^{-1}$ (4.61×10^{-8} $\mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ cell}^{-1}$ on average) i.e., this ranged over ~~3~~three orders of magnitude. On the contrary, NO_3^- concentration did not significantly change, with concentration of 7.99 ± 4.66 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in fresh samples and 7.87 ± 4.83 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ after incubation, i.e., 0.64 ± 0.41 to 1.25 ± 0.23 its initial values (**Fig. 4** and **Table 3**).

365 ~~The rate of ammonium bioassimilation was independent from the initial concentrations of ammonium, bacteria cell or *amoA* gene copies ($p > 0.05$, Spearman r_s test). In turn, it was positively correlated with the relative abundance of *Sphingomonadales* in the samples, and negatively with that of *Burkholderiales* ($p < 0.05$, Spearman r_s test) (**Fig. 5** and **Supplement Table S5**).~~

370 PCA (**Fig. 5**) illustrates the variability of rain water samples composition, and its evolution during incubations. The ~~2~~two first components explain 52% of the variance and allow discriminating in particular marine from continental air masses. Samples from air masses originating from marine areas (Atlantic Ocean) were enriched in Na^+ and Cl^- ions, whereas samples from continental air masses contained higher levels of NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-} ($p < 0.05$, Spearman's rank correlation). Continental air masses were also characterized by higher ambient temperatures at the sampling site, smaller water volumes, higher-less acidic pH, and higher cell concentrations with respect to marine air masses ($p < 0.05$). This is consistent with previous observations at this site (Péguilhan et al., 2021). Certain bacterial taxa could be also associated with air mass origin as well:

375 the relative abundance of *Sphingomonadales* was significantly higher in samples from marine air masses, whereas *Burkholderiales* dominated in samples from continental air masses ($p < 0.05$). Finally, the bioassimilation rates of ammonium and nitrate were positively correlated with the relative abundance of *Sphingomonadales* and negatively correlated with that of *Burkholderiales* ($p < 0.05$), but they were independent from the initial concentrations of these ions, bacteria and *amoA* gene copies ($p > 0.05$).

380




Figure 5. Principal component analysis (PCA) between the meteorological and initial chemical and biological and meteorological variables of rainwater samples and inorganic nitrogen bioassimilation rates during incubations (blue arrows). The red dots represent the different incubations, numbered in chronological order. BLH: Boundary Layer Height; L and H for “Marine” and “Continental” air mass origins stand for indicate Low and High altitude, respectively.

385

Table 3. Characteristics of rain sample incubations. T0 and TF indicate initial and final (5 days) incubation times, respectively; bdl: below detection limit; N.A.: not available.

Sample identifier (yyyyymmdd)	Cell number			Ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺)			Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)			Copies <i>amoA</i> mL ⁻¹	Bacteria richness (number of distinct ASVs)
	Concentration (mL ⁻¹)	Relative change during incubation	Inferred cell generation time (h gen ⁻¹)	Concentration (μmol L ⁻¹)	Relative change during incubation	Inferred bioassimilation rate (μmol cell ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)	Concentration (μmol L ⁻¹)	Relative change during incubation	Inferred bioassimilation rate (μmol cell ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)		
20230313_RAIN-T0	2.08×10 ³			48.7			13.1			bdl	383
20230313_RAIN-TF	1.45×10 ³	0.70	0		0.88	2.25×10 ⁻⁸	13.9	1.06	-3.17×10 ⁻⁹	4.99×10 ¹	184
20230326_RAIN-T0	2.49×10 ²			13.1			3.3			bdl	N.A.
20230326_RAIN-TF	4.09×10 ³	16.41	29.73		1.00	-3.57×10 ⁻¹⁰		0.88	1.37×10 ⁻⁸	4.31×10 ¹	119
20230429_RAIN-T0	6.73×10 ⁴			48.4			12.0			bdl	60
20230429_RAIN-TF	1.89×10 ³	0.03	0		0.96	2.30×10 ⁻¹⁰	13.1	1.09	-1.32×10 ⁻¹⁰	2.08×10 ¹	137
20230507_RAIN-T0	9.29×10 ²			16.5			7.1			bdl	100
20230507_RAIN-TF	2.64×10 ⁴	28.38	24.86		0.43	8.37×10 ⁻⁸	6.4	0.90	6.61×10 ⁻⁹	2.09×10 ¹	145
20230509_RAIN-T0	3.63×10 ²			13.8			4.7			bdl	141
20230509_RAIN-TF	1.76×10 ⁵	485.77	13.45		0.26	2.34×10 ⁻⁷	3.0	0.64	3.90×10 ⁻⁸	2.41×10 ¹	161
20230921_RAIN-T0	1.35×10 ⁴			45.6			12.2			bdl	178
20230921_RAIN-TF	5.91×10 ⁴	4.39	56.26		0.72	7.78×10 ⁻⁹	12.3	1.01	-6.55×10 ⁻¹¹	bdl	87
20230922-RAIN-T0	3.96×10 ³			9.3			1.9			bdl	141
20230922-RAIN-TF	5.62×10 ⁴	14.19	31.36		0.51	9.70×10 ⁻⁹	2.3	1.21	-8.39×10 ⁻¹⁰	bdl	125
20231019_RAIN-T0	3.89×10 ³			46.8			13.3			bdl	N.A.
20231019_RAIN-TF	3.37×10 ⁴	8.66	38.53		0.78	2.24×10 ⁻⁸	11.7	0.88	3.46×10 ⁻⁹	bdl	117
20231020_RAIN-T0	2.08×10 ³			13.7			4.2			bdl	177
20231020_RAIN-TF	4.26×10 ⁴	20.53	27.53		0.36	3.53×10 ⁻⁸	5.3	1.25	-4.22×10 ⁻⁹	bdl	100
Average, all samples T0	1.05×10 ⁴			28.43			7.99			-	169
	±2.17×10 ⁴	4.26	57.39	±18.09	0.75		±4.66	0.99			±103
Average, all sample TF	4.46×10 ⁴	±158.32	±87.59	21.38	±0.27	4.61×10 ⁻⁸	7.8	±0.19	6.04×10 ⁻⁹		131
	±5.42×10 ⁴			±18.02		±7.49×10 ⁻⁸	±4.83		±1.35×10 ⁻⁸	-	±30

4. Discussion

Nitrogen compounds (NH_3 , NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , NO_2^- , NO_x , HNO_3 , N_2O , ~~e.g.,~~ urea, amines, proteins, etc.) are emitted to the atmosphere by a variety of natural and anthropogenic sources (Fowler et al., 2013; Sutton et al., 2013). In terrestrial ecosystems, excess reactive nitrogen disrupts the nutrient balance, reducing carbon storage (Galloway et al., 2002; Matson et al., 2002), acidifies soils and reduces biodiversity (Aber et al., 1995). The intensive use of nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture is therefore a major cause of soil pollution and degradation worldwide (Lal, 2015; Müller et al., 2018). This nitrogen is then washed into aquatic environments, causing eutrophication of fresh and coastal waters (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Howarth, 2008). The nitrogen concentrations have been rising over the last few decades, amplifying the nitrogen cycle, producing more NO_x , causing health issues and accelerating climate change (Cowling et al., 1998; Krey, 2014; Myhre et al., 2013). Therefore, ~~so~~ a better understanding of the interactions between atmospheric nitrogen and microorganisms is essential.

Although some studies suggest interactions between airborne bacteria and nitrogen, including the biological degradation of amino acids in clouds and nitrosative stress in airborne microorganisms (Amato et al., 2019; Hill et al., 2007; Jaber et al., 2021; Péguilhan et al., 2025), information remains very limited. This study provides new insights into the interactions that may exist between nitrogen and microorganisms in the atmosphere.

4.1 The atmosphere expands surface ecosystems

The biological and chemical composition of the atmosphere mirrors to some extent that of emitting surfaces. In atmospheric metagenomes and metatranscriptomes, we find approximately equal proportions (~50:50%) of genes associated with inorganic and organic nitrogen transformation. This is similar to ~~what is found~~ findings in other environments such as oceans, rivers, sediments and plants (Deng et al., 2024; Nie et al., 2021; Song et al., 2022; Tu et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021). The predominant metabolic functions identified are glutamate metabolism, denitrification and nitrate assimilation/dissimilation, as in plants, rivers and oceans (Deng et al., 2024; Nie et al., 2021; Song et al., 2022). In these environments, these processes can account for up to 80% of the genes associated with inorganic nitrogen utilization, versus ~90% in the atmosphere in our study.

Active nitrogen-related functions are maintained by bacteria in the atmosphere, which might be critical for their physiology despite much shorter residence times (a few days) than in any other environments (Burrows et al., 2009). Surprisingly, higher gene expression levels (RNA:DNA ratio) of these functions were observed in clear air than in clouds, where the presence of condensed water is rather expected to promote biological activity. Liquid water can be retained by efflorescent aerosol particles at RH <100% (Cruz and Pandis, 2000), which could be sufficient to sustain biological activity in clear atmosphere (Cruz and Pandis, 2000). In addition, it is possible that multiple dry-wet cycles occurred, in particular, before collecting the clear-air samples, which could have contributed to enhance biological activity as compared with cloud water, as observed in soil (Xiang et al., 2008).

Bacterial diversity associated with nitrogen-related processes is composed of a core of taxa, which are recurrently present and active regardless of atmospheric conditions (clear atmosphere or cloud), and also predominant in surface ecosystems (freshwater, ocean, phyllosphere) (Deng et al., 2024; Nie et al., 2021; Song et al., 2022). This is made up of six phyla: *Pseudomonadota*, *Acidobacteriota*, *Actinomycetota*, *Bacteroidota*, *Bacillota*, and *Planctomycetota*, which contrasts with other ecosystems (plants, river etc.) where nitrogen-associated processes are typically dominated by a single bacterial phylum or order (>50–75% relative abundance) (Deng et al., 2024; Song et al., 2022; Tu et al., 2017). Functions carried out by more diverse assemblages of microorganisms are less sensitive to environmental changes and therefore more stable over time (Maron et al., 2018).

In contrast, certain functions and their associated taxa have very low representation in the atmosphere, such as nitrification and anammox, usually carried out by *Nitrososphaerales*, *Nitrosomonadales* and/or *Nitrospirales*. These occur mostly in sediments from the ocean's mesopelagic zones (Deng et al., 2024; Song et al., 2022), i.e., in areas that are not in contact with the atmosphere.

4.2 Focus on the main nitrogen elements and processes

4.2.1 Organic nitrogen content in the biomass of airborne bacteria

In total, 5×10^{19} bacteria (Whitman et al., 1998) are estimated aloft in the Earth's atmosphere, roughly contributing ~500 kg of N, considering an average N content of 10^{-14} g per cell (Paul and Clark, 1996). According to the study by (Neff et al., 2002), the annual flux of atmospheric organic nitrogen emitted as bacterial biomass would be 9.5×10^{-6} kg of N ha⁻². Considering only the continents ($1,489 \times 10^{10}$ ha), we infer that this represents $\sim 10^5$ kg N yr⁻¹. In another study, the total number of bacteria emitted annually into the atmosphere from surface ecosystems is estimated at $\sim 10^{24}$ cells (Burrows et al., 2009), corresponding to approximately 10^7 kg N yr⁻¹ carried as bacterial biomass. In clouds, organic nitrogen compounds represent 18% of the total molar content of nitrogen present (Hill et al., 2007). It was estimated that bacterial biomass represents less than 1% of it (Hill et al., 2007).

~~Therefore, overall, the contribution of airborne bacteria to atmospheric N, therefore ranging~~ between 10^5 and 10^7 kg N yr⁻¹ according to these rough estimates, remains minor compared to anthropogenic or natural inorganic nitrogen emissions, ~~whether anthropogenic or natural, reaching with~~ ~ 70 Tg NH₃ yr⁻¹, ~ 18 Tg N₂O yr⁻¹, and ~ 5 Tg NO yr⁻¹ (Bouwman et al., 2013; Duce et al., 2008; Fowler et al., 2013; Pilegaard, 2013; Sutton et al., 2013; Voss et al., 2011).

4.2.2 NH₄⁺ utilization and glutamate metabolism

In atmospheric MG and MT, the sequences related to glutamate metabolism prevail among N-related processes. Although the inorganic forms largely predominate, organic nitrogen therefore likely represents a major source of nitrogen and energy for airborne microorganisms (Jaber et al., 2020).

Ammonium/ammonia is one of the most abundant reactive nitrogen elements compounds in the atmosphere. ~~This is present at~~
455 with ammonium concentrations of up to several hundred micromolar in cloud water (Hill et al., 2007; Renard et al., 2020; Vaitilingom et al., 2010). Emissions from surface ecosystems amount to ~ 70 Tg NH_3 year⁻¹, mainly from industry and agriculture (Bouwman et al., 2013; Duce et al., 2008; Fowler et al., 2013; Sutton et al., 2013).

~~From~~ Based on our data, assuming that our samples are representative of the global atmosphere, we estimate a global ammonium processing by bacteria in clouds of $\sim 5.5 \times 10^7$ kg year⁻¹, considering the average bioassimilation rate measured in
460 rainwater incubations (4.61×10^{-8} $\mu\text{mol cell}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$), a total airborne biomass of 5×10^{19} bacteria (Whitman et al., 1998), and a cloud fraction of 15% (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1990). This represents approximately $\sim 0.08\%$ of NH_3 emissions from terrestrial ecosystems and oceans (Bouwman et al., 2013; Duce et al., 2008; Fowler et al., 2013; Sutton et al., 2013). The positive correlation between the proportion of *Sphingomonadales* and ammonium decay rate in the incubations suggests a direct impact of these bacteria.

465 The biosynthesis of glutamate is the primary pathway for the assimilation of nitrogen and this requires NH_4^+ (Merrick and Edwards, 1995). This process involves glutamine synthesis via the enzyme glutamine synthetase (GS), which catalyzes an ATP-dependent amidation of glutamate, utilizing ammonium as the nitrogen source (Walker and van der Donk, 2016). Glutamine then acts as a nitrogen donor for approximately half of the nitrogen atoms incorporated into purines and pyrimidines, whereas glutamate provides nitrogen atoms for these nucleic acid bases, as well as amino groups for all other amino acids.
470 Therefore, the GS/Glutamate synthase biosynthesis pathway, also known as the GS-GOGAT pathway, constitutes a major route for nitrogen assimilation; from ammonium (Walker and van der Donk, 2016).

4.2.3 Nitrate utilization (NO_3^-)

After glutamate/ammonium metabolism, denitrification (mainly nitrate reduction) and nitrate assimilation and dissimilation are the most represented processes in atmospheric MG and MT. Like ammonium, nitrate is also usually present at
475 concentrations of several hundred micromolar in cloud water (Hill et al., 2007; Renard et al., 2020; Vaitilingom et al., 2010). This is a major target of microbial processes as well in ecosystems. Nitrate concentration decreased in $\sim 40\%$ of the rainwater incubation experiments. Extrapolating these observations to the global scale, this corresponds to the transformation of $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ kg year⁻¹ of NO_3^- in the atmosphere on a planetary scale, which is 47% less than predicted for ammonium (**section 4.2.2**). In all experiments, ammonium concentration decreased simultaneously to that of nitrate. Ammonium is preferentially
480 used by microorganisms to recover nitrogen, over nitrates (McCarthy et al., 1977). The ability to respire nitrate is widely spread among bacteria that can dissimilate the produced nitrite into gaseous compounds (denitrification) for energy production, or to ammonium (dissimilatory nitrate reduction) for nitrogen assimilation (Philippot and Højberg, 1999).

Denitrification is a process that generally occurs under oxygen-limited or anoxic conditions. However, some microorganisms are capable of performing aerobic denitrification (AD). Aerobic denitrifying bacteria are predominantly Gram-negative bacteria affiliated with Pseudomonadota, with nearly 50% of them belonging to *Pseudomonas* (Ji et al., 2015), a taxon frequent in the atmosphere (Vaitilingom et al., 2012). In addition, yeasts with AD capacity were reported from surface sediments (Fang et al., 2021; Zeng et al., 2020), as well as Actinomycetes from aquatic ecosystems (Ma et al., 2022). In AD, O₂ and NO₃⁻ compete for electrons, with O₂ being thermodynamically favored. The O₂ concentration regulates enzymatic activity and therefore the efficiency of denitrification, with three possible patterns observed across different microorganisms: (i) efficiency decreases with increasing dissolved oxygen (DO) until a threshold is reached (Wilson and Bouwer, 1997), (ii) efficiency is optimal only within a specific DO concentration range (Chen and Ni, 2012) and (iii) rare tolerance to high DO concentrations (Ji et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011). At present, there is no consensus on the mechanisms underlying AD, but several theories have been proposed (Hao et al., 2022). The first is the microenvironmental theory, where oxygen diffusion is limited in cell aggregates. The second is the enzyme theory, which attributes aerobic denitrification to the activity of specific enzymes. For example, in *Thiosphaera pantotropha*, two nitrate reductases coexist: M-NAR (active only in the absence of O₂) and P-NAR (active even in the presence of O₂). This dual capacity allows the cell to reduce both oxygen and nitrate simultaneously, making denitrification possible under aerobic conditions (Kumar and Lin, 2010; Yang et al., 2020). The third theory, which is not mutually exclusive with the enzyme theory, is the electron transfer theory. It explains AD as the result of a bottleneck in the respiratory chain that prevents all electrons from being transferred to O₂. Instead, some electrons are redirected to denitrification enzymes, enabling the simultaneous use of O₂ and NO₃⁻ as electron acceptors (Chen et al., 2006; Kong et al., 2006; Robertson and Kuenen, 1984). The efficiency of this process depends on energy demand, O₂ concentration, and the presence of specific enzymes such as Nap, NAR, and NIR.

4.2.4 Biological nitrogen fixation (N₂)

The third most represented function in inorganic nitrogen processes in the atmosphere is biological nitrogen fixation (N₂ → NH₃) that converts molecular nitrogen to ammonia. The corresponding biomarker genes (*nifDHW*) represent ~10% of all genes associated with the atmospheric inorganic nitrogen cycle in MG and MT. Moreover, the screening of isolates indicates that this function is not rare — 15% of in *Pseudomonadota* strains viable isolated from in the atmosphere carry this function. N₂ is the most abundant inorganic nitrogen form in the atmosphere. Consistently, biological nitrogen fixation transcripts were found more expressed-represented in clear atmosphere conditions than in clouds.

Nitrogen fixation is an energy-demanding process, but it enables microorganisms capable of performing it to assimilate atmospheric nitrogen for biomass production. Microbes only activate N₂ fixation when they lack access to more readily assimilable nitrogen sources, such as ammonium. This situation can occur in the atmosphere, where bacteria outside clouds or in the microenvironment of a droplet have limited access to easily under conditions of limited bioavailable nitrogen (Khaled et

515 ~~al., 2021)~~. This is supported by indications of amino-acid starvation in metatranscriptomes (Péguilhan et al., 2025). One could
envision that the atmospheric environments serve as niches for nitrogen fixers: while certain bacteria grow rapidly by using
available compounds such as ammonium. ~~D-diazotrophs that are typically slower-growing more slowly~~ can subsequently, or
in parallel, develop in nitrogen-limited environments.

In some rain incubation experiments, we observed bacteria multiplication but no decrease of ammonium or nitrate
520 concentration, so other source(s) of nitrogen, such as N₂ and/or organic forms supported bacterial growth. In these cases,
Burkholderiales dominated the bacteria community; this order is known to include nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Bahulikar et al.,
2021; Jean et al., 2020), including anoxygenic phototrophs, *i.e.* photosynthetic bacteria using light to produce energy, with no
production of molecular oxygen (Imhoff et al., 2019; Vergne et al., 2021). In these bacteria, phototrophy supports atmospheric
nitrogen fixation (through the enzyme nitrogenase), one of the most energy-intensive reactions in living organisms (Kim and
525 Rees, 1994). ~~These microorganisms do not use water as the electron donor but instead exploit a variety of reduced organic~~
~~(e.g., organic acids) or inorganic compounds such as Fe²⁺, H₂, HS⁻, S₂O₃²⁻, NO₂⁻, and AsO₃³⁻ (Trüper and Pfennig, 1981).~~ While
potential electron donors such as H₂S and thiosulfate are relatively scarce (<0.1–1 ppbv), H₂ whose ~~concentration mixing ratio~~
is around 500 ppbv, along with organic compounds such as ~~formateic and acetateic acids~~, could support anoxygenic
phototrophy, and nitrogen fixation from them. ~~In addition, a~~ study conducted in an atmospheric simulation chamber using a
530 cloud-isolated *Methylobacterium* sp. demonstrated that this auxiliary metabolism enhances bacterial fitness during atmospheric
transport and may facilitate subsequent environmental colonization (Mathonat et al., 2025).

In our work, attempts to quantify N₂ fixation using acetylene reduction assay (ARA) (Hardy et al., 1968) failed, likely because
of the limitations due to low microbial biomass. Considering that half of the airborne bacteria (5×10^{19} cells) are
Pseudomonadota, and that 15% are diazotroph, biological N₂ fixation in the atmosphere would account for approximately ~~18~~
535 ~~$\times 10^6$ kg N₂ year⁻¹ (=0.018 Tg N₂ year⁻¹)~~, assuming an average nitrogen fixation rate of 10 fmol N₂ cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ by a marine
diazotroph (Foster et al., 2022). Although a more precise quantification would be needed, this ~~upper estimation indicates that~~
~~biological nitrogen fixation in clouds~~ likely represents an ~~unsignificant-insignificant~~ fraction of global biological nitrogen
fixation compared to estimates for terrestrial (58 Tg N₂ year⁻¹ for natural ecosystems and 60 Tg N₂ year⁻¹ for agricultural crops
(Herridge et al., 2008)) or marine systems (140 Tg N₂ year⁻¹ (Voss et al., 2013)). However, this may support microbial
540 maintenance during atmospheric transport.

4.3 Perspectives

Atmospheric bacteria assimilate and transform more nitrogen than they contribute through their biomass, suggesting
that they may act as sinks of atmospheric nitrogen. However, the accuracy of these transformation estimates at global scale
could be improved. For instance, the measured bioassimilation rates were obtained from rainwater incubations at 17°C, which
545 do not fully represent atmospheric aerosol conditions, as the liquid bulk phase does not account for the particulate nature of
aerosols, and the temperature is relatively high compared to actual atmospheric conditions. ~~Atmospheric regions such as the~~

cloud transition zone (i.e., the interface between clouds and the free atmosphere) exhibit humidity levels close to saturation and a broader atmospheric coverage than previously estimated (Calbó et al., 2024; Ruiz de Morales et al., 2024). This region may be particularly favorable for bacterial activity, as it is expected to experience longer atmospheric residence times and higher temperatures than those prevailing within clouds.

Water availability is another important factor to consider. While ammonium and nitrate assimilation was extrapolated to cloud environments based on rainwater data, it is important to note that even under non-cloud conditions, aerosol particles are not entirely devoid of liquid water (Ervens et al., 2025; Pandis and Seinfeld, 1989), making nitrogen assimilation potentially feasible in clear atmosphere conditions as well. ~~This hypothesis is further supported by the high proportion of nitrogen-related transcripts detected in atmospheric MT from clear atmosphere samples.~~

Moreover, while these estimates are scaled to the global level, atmospheric ~~conditions and stressors~~, nitrogen ~~speciation and concentrations~~ and ~~microbial diversity and abundance~~ are subject to strong spatial and temporal variability. ~~Hence, nitrogen-related biological processes are expected to be highly variable in space and time as well. Our estimates, which are based on samples collected on a single location, for limited periods of time, and derived from laboratory incubations under defined fixed conditions are therefore associated with high uncertainties. Addressing variations and heterogeneity of these processes will require deeper-more investigations.~~ One could envision enhanced microbial nitrogen cycling activity following major nitrogen release events (e.g., fertilizer application in agriculture). Conversely, such nitrogen "overloads" might induce nitrosative stress, potentially reaching toxic levels for airborne microorganisms. This is supported by chamber ~~simulation~~ studies showing that high NO and N₂O concentrations can reduce bacterial culturability (Vernocchi et al., 2023).

5. Conclusion

This study ~~identified-shows~~ that atmospheric microorganisms are potential contributors to the atmospheric nitrogen cycle. Using a combination of metagenomic, metatranscriptomic, and experimental approaches, we showed that atmospheric microorganisms exhibit genes associated with nitrogen cycling, including both inorganic and organic nitrogen pathways, with near-equal representation (~50:50), similar to other environments such as oceans, rivers, and plant-associated microbiomes. Denitrification, nitrate assimilation/dissimilation, and glutamate metabolism emerge as the dominant functional pathways, both in terms of genetic presence and transcriptional activity, highlighting the metabolic versatility of airborne microbial communities.

Rainwater incubations showed bioassimilation of ammonium and, to a lesser extent, nitrate with an important link ~~with-to~~ the microbial diversity. The microbial community composition plays a significant role in nitrogen transformation potential. Atmospheric back-trajectories provide contextual information and help ~~linking~~ air mass origin (marine vs. continental) to both concentrations of nitrogen-containing ions and microbial community composition in precipitation. For example, we show that air masses of continental origin carry higher levels of ammonium and nitrate and are associated with microbial communities

enriched in taxa such as *Burkholderiales*, thereby influencing nitrogen transformation dynamics. Overall, our findings suggest that atmospheric microbial communities, though transient and exposed to harsh conditions, maintain stable and functionally resilient nitrogen-cycling capabilities.

6. Data availability

The sequence data of 16S rRNA gene amplicons have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under the accession number PRJEB91356.

7. Authors contributions:

FM, PA, MJ designed the experiments. FM performed the experiments. FM and PA collected samples and data. FM, RP and FE conducted the bioinformatics analysis. J-LB performed the backward trajectory analysis. FM and PA wrote the paper. All authors have contributed to the editing and formatting of figures and text. PA and BE supervised the research, coordination and strategy.

8. Competing interest

At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Biogeosciences.

9. Acknowledgments and funding

We are grateful for the support and facilities provided by Guillaume Voyard at the ion chromatography platform at the Clermont-Ferrand chemistry institute (ICCF, France). We also thank Jonathan Colombet and Hermine Billard for their help with the cytometry platform at the Laboratoire Microorganismes: Genome Environnement (LMGE, France). CO-PDD is an instrumented site of the OPGC observatory and LaMP laboratory supported by the Université Clermont Auvergne (UCA), by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-INSU), and by the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for collecting of atmospheric samples. We would also like to thank Julien Pottier of the INRAE UREP for his help with the data collection of the meteorological variable. F.M. was supported by a PhD fellowship from the French Ministry of Education and Research.

10. References

- Aber, J. D., Magill, A., McNulty, S. G., Boone, R. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Downs, M., and Hallett, R.: Forest biogeochemistry and primary production altered by nitrogen saturation, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 85, 1665–1670, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00477219>, 1995.
- 605 Almaraz, M., Bai, E., Wang, C., Trousdell, J., Conley, S., Faloona, I., and Houlton, B. Z.: Agriculture is a major source of NO_x pollution in California, *Sci. Adv.*, 4, eaao3477, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao3477>, 2018.
- Amato, P., Parazols, M., Sancelme, M., Laj, P., Mailhot, G., and Delort, A.-M.: Microorganisms isolated from the water phase of tropospheric clouds at the Puy de Dôme: major groups and growth abilities at low temperatures, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 59, 242–254, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00199.x>, 2007.
- 610 Amato, P., Joly, M., Besaury, L., Oudart, A., Taib, N., Moné, A. I., Deguillaume, L., Delort, A.-M., and Debross, D.: Active microorganisms thrive among extremely diverse communities in cloud water, *PLOS ONE*, 12, e0182869, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182869>, 2017.
- Amato, P., Besaury, L., Joly, M., Penaud, B., Deguillaume, L., and Delort, A.-M.: Metatranscriptomic exploration of microbial functioning in clouds, *Sci. Rep.*, 9, 4383, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41032-4>, 2019.
- 615 Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., and Weber, L.: Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 75, 129–137, <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753>, 2015.
- Atkinson, R., Winer, A. M., and Pitts, J. N.: Estimation of night-time N₂O₅ concentrations from ambient NO₂ and NO₃ radical concentrations and the role of N₂O₅ in night-time chemistry, *Atmospheric Environ.* 1967, 20, 331–339, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(86\)90035-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(86)90035-1), 1986.
- 620 Bach, H.-J., Tomanova, J., Schloter, M., and Munch, J. C.: Enumeration of total bacteria and bacteria with genes for proteolytic activity in pure cultures and in environmental samples by quantitative PCR mediated amplification, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 49, 235–245, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(01\)00370-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(01)00370-0), 2002.
- Bahulikar, R. A., Chaluvadi, S. R., Torres-Jerez, I., Mosali, J., Bennetzen, J. L., and Udvardi, M.: Nitrogen Fertilization Reduces Nitrogen Fixation Activity of Diverse Diazotrophs in Switchgrass Roots, *Phytobiomes J.*, 5, 80–87, <https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-09-19-0050-FI>, 2021.
- 625 Baldrian, P., Kolařík, M., Štursová, M., Kopecký, J., Valášková, V., Větrovský, T., Žifčáková, L., Šnajdr, J., Rídl, J., Vlček, Č., and Voříšková, J.: Active and total microbial communities in forest soil are largely different and highly stratified during decomposition, *ISME J.*, 6, 248–258, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.95>, 2012.
- Baray, J.-L., Deguillaume, L., Colomb, A., Sellegri, K., Freney, E., Rose, C., Van Baelen, J., Pichon, J.-M., Picard, D., Fréville, P., Bouvier, L., Ribeiro, M., Amato, P., Banson, S., Bianco, A., Borbon, A., Bourcier, L., Bras, Y., Brigante, M., Cacault, P., Chauvigné, A., Charbouillot, T., Chaumerliac, N., Delort, A.-M., Delmotte, M., Dupuy, R., Farah, A., Febvre, G., Flossmann,

- A., Gourbeyre, C., Hervier, C., Hervo, M., Huret, N., Joly, M., Kazan, V., Lopez, M., Mailhot, G., Marinoni, A., Masson, O., Montoux, N., Parazols, M., Peyrin, F., Pointin, Y., Ramonet, M., Rocco, M., Sancelme, M., Sauvage, S., Schmidt, M., Tison, E., Vaïtilingom, M., Villani, P., Wang, M., Yver-Kwok, C., and Laj, P.: Cézeaux-Aulnat-Opme-Puy De Dôme: a multi-site
635 for the long-term survey of the tropospheric composition and climate change, *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.*, 13, 3413–3445, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3413-2020>, 2020.
- Bari, A., Ferraro, V., Wilson, L. R., Luttinger, D., and Husain, L.: Measurements of gaseous HONO, HNO₃, SO₂, HCl, NH₃, particulate sulfate and PM_{2.5} in New York, NY, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 2825–2835, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(03\)00199-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00199-7), 2003.
- 640 Barnes, N. M. and Wu, H.: Mechanisms regulating the airborne survival of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* under different relative humidity and temperature levels, *Indoor Air*, 32, e12991, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12991>, 2022.
- Berks, B. C., Ferguson, S. J., Moir, J. W. B., and Richardson, D. J.: Enzymes and associated electron transport systems that catalyse the respiratory reduction of nitrogen oxides and oxyanions, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg.*, 1232, 97–173, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728\(95\)00092-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(95)00092-5), 1995.
- 645 Bernhard, A.: The nitrogen cycle: processes, players, and human impact, *Nat. Educ. Knowl.*, 3, 25, 2010.
- Blasco, F., Iobbi, C., Ratouchniak, J., Bonnefoy, V., and Chippaux, M.: Nitrate reductases of *Escherichia coli*: Sequence of the second nitrate reductase and comparison with that encoded by the narGHJI operon, *Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG*, 222, 104–111, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00283030>, 1990.
- Bouwman, L., Goldewijk, K. K., Van Der Hoek, K. W., Beusen, A. H. W., Van Vuuren, D. P., Willems, J., Rufino, M. C., and
650 Stehfest, E.: Exploring global changes in nitrogen and phosphorus cycles in agriculture induced by livestock production over the 1900–2050 period, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110, 20882–20887, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1012878108>, 2013.
- Braker, G. and Tiedje, J. M.: Nitric Oxide Reductase (norB) Genes from Pure Cultures and Environmental Samples, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 69, 3476–3483, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.6.3476-3483.2003>, 2003.
- Braker, G., Zhou, J., Wu, L., Devol, A. H., and Tiedje, J. M.: Nitrite Reductase Genes (nirK and nirS) as Functional Markers
655 To Investigate Diversity of Denitrifying Bacteria in Pacific Northwest Marine Sediment Communities, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 66, 2096–2104, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.5.2096-2104.2000>, 2000.
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., Rauf, P., Huettel, B., Reinhardt, R., Schmelzer, E., Peplies, J., Gloeckner, F. O., Amann, R., Eickhorst, T., and Schulze-Lefert, P.: Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota, *Nature*, 488, 91–95,
660 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11336>, 2012.

- Burrows, S. M., Butler, T., Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Kerkweg, A., Pöschl, U., and Lawrence, M. G.: Bacteria in the global atmosphere – Part 2: Modeling of emissions and transport between different ecosystems, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 9, 9281–9297, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9281-2009>, 2009.
- 665 Calbó, J., González, J.-A., Jahani, B., Sola, Y., and Morales, J. R. de: How important is the transition zone between clouds and aerosol?, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2988, 070005, <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0182769>, 2024.
- Cantera, J. J. L. and Stein, L. Y.: Molecular diversity of nitrite reductase genes (*nirK*) in nitrifying bacteria, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 9, 765–776, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01198.x>, 2007.
- Cape, J. N., Hargreaves, K. J., Storeton-West, R., Fowler, D., Colville, R. N., Choularton, T. W., and Gallagher, M. W.: Nitrite in orographic cloud as an indicator of nitrous acid in rural air, *Atmospheric Environ. Part Gen. Top.*, 26, 2301–2307, 670 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(92\)90361-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(92)90361-N), 1992.
- Cape, J. N., Cornell, S. E., Jickells, T. D., and Nemitz, E.: Organic nitrogen in the atmosphere — Where does it come from? A review of sources and methods, *Atmospheric Res.*, 102, 30–48, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.07.009>, 2011.
- Chen, F., Xia, Q., and Ju, L.-K.: Competition between oxygen and nitrate respirations in continuous culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* performing aerobic denitrification, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 93, 1069–1078, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20812>, 2006.
- 675 Chen, Q. and Ni, J.: Ammonium removal by *Agrobacterium* sp. LAD9 capable of heterotrophic nitrification–aerobic denitrification, *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 113, 619–623, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2011.12.012>, 2012.
- Cowling, E. B., Erisman, J. W., Smeulders, S. M., Holman, S. C., and Nicholson, B. M.: Optimizing air quality management in Europe and North America: Justification for integrated management of both oxidized and reduced forms of nitrogen, *Environ. Pollut.*, 102, 599–608, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(98\)80088-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)80088-2), 1998.
- 680 Cox, C. S. and Goldberg, L. J.: Aerosol Survival of *Pasteurella tularensis* and the Influence of Relative Humidity, *Appl. Microbiol.*, 23, 1–3, 1972.
- Coyle, C. L., Zumft, W. G., Kroneck, P. M. H., Körner, H., and Jakob, W.: Nitrous oxide reductase from denitrifying, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 153, 459–467, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1985.tb09324.x>, 1985.
- Cruz, C. N. and Pandis, S. N.: Deliquescence and Hygroscopic Growth of Mixed Inorganic–Organic Atmospheric Aerosol, 685 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 34, 4313–4319, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9907109>, 2000.
- Decho, A. W. and Lopez, G. R.: Exopolymer microenvironments of microbial flora: Multiple and interactive effects on trophic relationships, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 38, 1633–1645, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1993.38.8.1633>, 1993.
- Deguillaume, L., Charbouillot, T., Joly, M., Vaïtilingom, M., Parazols, M., Marinoni, A., Amato, P., Delort, A.-M., Vinatier, V., Flossmann, A., Chaumerliac, N., Pichon, J. M., Houdier, S., Laj, P., Sellegri, K., Colomb, A., Brigante, M., and Mailhot,

- 690 G.: Classification of clouds sampled at the puy de Dôme (France) based on 10 yr of monitoring of their physicochemical properties, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 14, 1485–1506, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1485-2014>, 2014.
- Deng, D., Yang, Z., Yang, Y., Wan, W., Liu, W., and Xiong, X.: Metagenomic insights into nitrogen-cycling microbial communities and their relationships with nitrogen removal potential in the Yangtze River, *Water Res.*, 265, 122229, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2024.122229>, 2024.
- 695 Diaz, R. J. and Rosenberg, R.: Spreading Dead Zones and Consequences for Marine Ecosystems, *Science*, 321, 926–929, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1156401>, 2008.
- Duce, R. A., LaRoche, J., Altieri, K., Arrigo, K. R., Baker, A. R., Capone, D. G., Cornell, S., Dentener, F., Galloway, J., Ganeshram, R. S., Geider, R. J., Jickells, T., Kuypers, M. M., Langlois, R., Liss, P. S., Liu, S. M., Middelburg, J. J., Moore, C. M., Nickovic, S., Oschlies, A., Pedersen, T., Prospero, J., Schlitzer, R., Seitzinger, S., Sorensen, L. L., Uematsu, M., Ulloa, O., Voss, M., Ward, B., and Zamora, L.: Impacts of Atmospheric Anthropogenic Nitrogen on the Open Ocean, *Science*, 320, 893–897, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150369>, 2008.
- 700 Dworkin, M., Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E., Schleifer, K.-H., and Stackebrandt, E. (Eds.): *The Prokaryotes*, Springer New York, New York, NY, <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30742-7>, 2006.
- Ervens, B. and Amato, P.: The global impact of bacterial processes on carbon mass, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 20, 1777–1794, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1777-2020>, 2020.
- 705 Ervens, B., Amato, P., Aregahegn, K., Joly, M., Khaled, A., Labed-Veydert, T., Mathonat, F., Nuñez López, L., Péguilhan, R., and Zhang, M.: Ideas and perspectives: Microorganisms in the air through the lenses of atmospheric chemistry and microphysics, *EGU Sphere*, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-2377>, 2025.
- Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., Maman, S., Hernandez-Raquet, G., Combes, S., and Pascal, G.: FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution, *Bioinformatics*, 34, 1287–1294, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791>, 2018.
- 710 Espey, M. G., Miranda, K. M., Feelisch, M., Fukuto, J., Grisham, M. B., Vitek, M. P., and Wink, D. A.: Mechanisms of Cell Death Governed by the Balance between Nitrosative and Oxidative Stress, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 899, 209–221, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb06188.x>, 2000.
- 715 Fang, J., Liao, S., Zhang, S., Li, L., Tan, S., Li, W., Wang, A., and Ye, J.: Characteristics of a novel heterotrophic nitrification-aerobic denitrification yeast, *Barnettozyma californica* K1, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 339, 125665, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125665>, 2021.
- Fani, R., Gallo, R., and Liò, P.: Molecular Evolution of Nitrogen Fixation: The Evolutionary History of the *nifD*, *nifK*, *nifE*, and *nifN* Genes, *J. Mol. Evol.*, 51, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002390010061>, 2000.

- 720 Foster, R. A., Tienken, D., Littmann, S., Whitehouse, M. J., Kuypers, M. M. M., and White, A. E.: The rate and fate of N₂ and C fixation by marine diatom-diazotroph symbioses, *ISME J.*, 16, 477–487, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01086-7>, 2022.
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L. J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J. N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A. F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M., and Voss, M.: The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 725 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0164>, 2013.
- Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Kampf, C. J., Weber, B., Huffman, J. A., Pöhlker, C., Andreae, M. O., Lang-Yona, N., Burrows, S. M., Gunthe, S. S., Elbert, W., Su, H., Hoor, P., Thines, E., Hoffmann, T., Després, V. R., and Pöschl, U.: Bioaerosols in the Earth system: Climate, health, and ecosystem interactions, *Atmospheric Res.*, 182, 346–376, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.07.018>, 2016.
- 730 Galimand, M., Gamper, M., Zimmermann, A., and Haas, D.: Positive FNR-like control of anaerobic arginine degradation and nitrate respiration in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 173, 1598–1606, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.5.1598-1606.1991>, 1991.
- Galloway, J. N., Cowling, E. B., Seitzinger, S. P., and Socolow, R. H.: Reactive Nitrogen: Too Much of a Good Thing?, *Ambio*, 31, 60–63, 2002.
- 735 Gregory, L. G., Karakas-Sen, A., Richardson, D. J., and Spiro, S.: Detection of genes for membrane-bound nitrate reductase in nitrate-respiring bacteria and in community DNA, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 183, 275–279, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb08971.x>, 2000.
- Hammer, O., Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D.: PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis, 2001.
- 740 Hao, Z.-L., Ali, A., Ren, Y., Su, J.-F., and Wang, Z.: A mechanistic review on aerobic denitrification for nitrogen removal in water treatment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 847, 157452, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157452>, 2022.
- Hardy, R. W. F., Holsten, R. D., Jackson, E. K., and Burns, R. C.: The Acetylene-Ethylene Assay for N₂ Fixation: Laboratory and Field Evaluation 1, *Plant Physiol.*, 43, 1185–1207, <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.43.8.1185>, 1968.
- Hargreaves, K. J., Fowler, D., Storeton-West, R. L., and Duyzer, J. H.: The exchange of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide and ozone between pasture and the atmosphere, *Environ. Pollut.*, 75, 53–59, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491\(92\)90056-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(92)90056-G), 1992.
- 745 Heiss, B., Frunzke, K., and Zumft, W. G.: Formation of the N-N bond from nitric oxide by a membrane-bound cytochrome bc complex of nitrate-respiring (denitrifying) *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 171, 3288–3297, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.6.3288-3297.1989>, 1989.

- Herridge, D. F., Peoples, M. B., and Boddey, R. M.: Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems, *Plant Soil*, 311, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9668-3>, 2008.
- 750 Hill, K. A., Shepson, P. B., Galbavy, E. S., Anastasio, C., Kourtev, P. S., Konopka, A., and Stirm, B. H.: Processing of atmospheric nitrogen by clouds above a forest environment, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres*, 112, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008002>, 2007.
- Hollocher, T. C., Tate, M. E., and Nicholas, D. J.: Oxidation of ammonia by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Definitive ¹⁸O-tracer evidence that hydroxylamine formation involves a monooxygenase., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 256, 10834–10836, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(19\)68518-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)68518-2), 1981.
- 755 Hommes, N. G., Sayavedra-Soto, L. A., and Arp, D. J.: Transcript Analysis of Multiple Copies of *fmo* (Encoding Ammonia Monooxygenase) and *hao* (Encoding Hydroxylamine Oxidoreductase) in *Nitrosomonas europaea*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 183, 1096–1100, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.183.3.1096-1100.2001>, 2001.
- 760 Howarth, R. W.: Coastal nitrogen pollution: A review of sources and trends globally and regionally, *Harmful Algae*, 8, 14–20, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2008.08.015>, 2008.
- Imhoff, J. F., Rahn, T., Künzel, S., and Neulinger, S. C.: Phylogeny of Anoxygenic Photosynthesis Based on Sequences of Photosynthetic Reaction Center Proteins and a Key Enzyme in Bacteriochlorophyll Biosynthesis, the Chlorophyllide Reductase, *Microorganisms*, 7, 576, <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7110576>, 2019.
- 765 Jaber, S., Joly, M., Brissy, M., Lereboure, M., Khaled, A., Ervens, B., and Delort, A.-M.: Biotic and abiotic transformation of amino acids in cloudwater: Experimental studies and atmospheric implications, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-250>, 21 July 2020.
- Jaber, S., Joly, M., Brissy, M., Lereboure, M., Khaled, A., Ervens, B., and Delort, A.-M.: Biotic and abiotic transformation of amino acids in cloud water: experimental studies and atmospheric implications, *Biogeosciences*, 18, 1067–1080, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1067-2021>, 2021.
- 770 Jean, M., Holland-Moritz, H., Melvin, A. M., Johnstone, J. F., and Mack, M. C.: Experimental assessment of tree canopy and leaf litter controls on the microbiome and nitrogen fixation rates of two boreal mosses, *New Phytol.*, 227, 1335–1349, <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16611>, 2020.
- Ji, B., Wang, H., and Yang, K.: Tolerance of an aerobic denitrifier (*Pseudomonas stutzeri*) to high O₂ concentrations, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 36, 719–722, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-013-1417-x>, 2014.
- 775 Ji, B., Yang, K., Zhu, L., Jiang, Y., Wang, H., Zhou, J., and Zhang, H.: Aerobic denitrification: A review of important advances of the last 30 years, *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.*, 20, 643–651, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-015-0009-0>, 2015.

- 780 Joerger, R. D., Jacobson, M. R., Premakumar, R., Wolfinger, E. D., and Bishop, P. E.: Nucleotide sequence and mutational analysis of the structural genes (*anfHDGK*) for the second alternative nitrogenase from *Azotobacter vinelandii*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 171, 1075–1086, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.2.1075-1086.1989>, 1989.
- Joerger, R. D., Loveless, T. M., Pau, R. N., Mitchenall, L. A., Simon, B. H., and Bishop, P. E.: Nucleotide sequences and mutational analysis of the structural genes for nitrogenase 2 of *Azotobacter vinelandii*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 172, 3400–3408, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.6.3400-3408.1990>, 1990.
- 785 Kartal, B., Maalcke, W. J., de Almeida, N. M., Cirpus, I., Gloerich, J., Geerts, W., Op den Camp, H. J. M., Harhangi, H. R., Janssen-Megens, E. M., Francoijs, K.-J., Stunnenberg, H. G., Keltjens, J. T., Jetten, M. S. M., and Strous, M.: Molecular mechanism of anaerobic ammonium oxidation, *Nature*, 479, 127–130, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10453>, 2011.
- Khaled, A., Zhang, M., Amato, P., Delort, A.-M., and Ervens, B.: Biodegradation by bacteria in clouds: an underestimated sink for some organics in the atmospheric multiphase system, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 21, 3123–3141, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-3123-2021>, 2021.
- 790 Kim, J. and Rees, D. C.: Nitrogenase and biological nitrogen fixation, *Biochemistry*, 33, 389–397, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00168a001>, 1994.
- Klein, A. M., Bohannon, B. J. M., Jaffe, D. A., Levin, D. A., and Green, J. L.: Molecular Evidence for Metabolically Active Bacteria in the Atmosphere, *Front. Microbiol.*, 7, 2016.
- 795 Koirala, A. and Brözel, V. S.: Phylogeny of Nitrogenase Structural and Assembly Components Reveals New Insights into the Origin and Distribution of Nitrogen Fixation across Bacteria and Archaea, *Microorganisms*, 9, 1662, <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9081662>, 2021.
- Kong, Q.-X., Wang, X.-W., Jin, M., Shen, Z.-Q., and Li, J.-W.: Development and application of a novel and effective screening method for aerobic denitrifying bacteria, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 260, 150–155, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00306.x>, 2006.
- 800 Krey, V.: Global energy-climate scenarios and models: a review, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Energy Environ.*, 3, 363–383, 2014.
- Kumar, M. and Lin, J.-G.: Co-existence of anammox and denitrification for simultaneous nitrogen and carbon removal—Strategies and issues, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 178, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.01.077>, 2010.
- Lal, R.: Restoring Soil Quality to Mitigate Soil Degradation, *Sustainability*, 7, 5875–5895, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7055875>, 2015.
- 805 Leck, C. and Bigg, E. K.: Source and evolution of the marine aerosol—A new perspective, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023651>, 2005.

- Lelieveld, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Influences of cloud photochemical processes on tropospheric ozone, *Nature*, 343, 227–233, <https://doi.org/10.1038/343227a0>, 1990.
- Lide, D. R.: *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 85th Edition, CRC Press, 2900 pp., 2004.
- 810 Ma, B., Zhang, H., Ma, M., Huang, T., Guo, H., Yang, W., Huang, Y., Liu, X., and Li, H.: Nitrogen removal by two strains of aerobic denitrification actinomycetes: Denitrification capacity, carbon source metabolic ability, and raw water treatment, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 344, 126176, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126176>, 2022.
- Machida, T., Nakazawa, T., Fujii, Y., Aoki, S., and Watanabe, O.: Increase in the atmospheric nitrous oxide concentration during the last 250 years, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 2921–2924, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL02822>, 1995.
- 815 Maes, M., Galecki, P., Chang, Y. S., and Berk, M.: A review on the oxidative and nitrosative stress (O&NS) pathways in major depression and their possible contribution to the (neuro)degenerative processes in that illness, *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry*, 35, 676–692, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.05.004>, 2011.
- Maron, P.-A., Sarr, A., Kaisermann, A., Lévêque, J., Mathieu, O., Guigue, J., Karimi, B., Bernard, L., Dequiedt, S., Terrat, S., Chabbi, A., and Ranjard, L.: High Microbial Diversity Promotes Soil Ecosystem Functioning, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 84, e02738-17, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02738-17>, 2018.
- 820 Mathonat, F., Mazzei, F., Prévot, M., Vernocchi, V., Gatta, E., Joly, M., Theveniot, M., Lehours, A.-C., Enault, F., Ervens, B., and Amato, P.: Phototrophy improves the aerial fitness in a photoheterotrophic *Methylobacterium* isolated from clouds, 2025.
- Matson, P., Lohse, K. A., and Hall, S. J.: The Globalization of Nitrogen Deposition: Consequences for Terrestrial Ecosystems, *Ambio*, 31, 113–119, 2002.
- 825 McCarthy, J. J., Taylor, W. R., and Taft, J. L.: Nitrogenous nutrition of the plankton in the Chesapeake Bay. 1. Nutrient availability and phytoplankton preferences, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 22, 996–1011, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1977.22.6.0996>, 1977.
- McTavish, H., Fuchs, J. A., and Hooper, A. B.: Sequence of the gene coding for ammonia monooxygenase in *Nitrosomonas europaea*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 175, 2436–2444, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.175.8.2436-2444.1993>, 1993.
- 830 Merrick, M. J. and Edwards, R. A.: Nitrogen control in bacteria, *Microbiol. Rev.*, 59, 604–622, <https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.59.4.604-622.1995>, 1995.
- Müller, C., Elliott, J., Pugh, T. A. M., Ruane, A. C., Ciais, P., Balkovic, J., Deryng, D., Folberth, C., Izaurrealde, R. C., Jones, C. D., Khabarov, N., Lawrence, P., Liu, W., Reddy, A. D., Schmid, E., and Wang, X.: Global patterns of crop yield stability under additional nutrient and water inputs, *PLOS ONE*, 13, e0198748, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198748>, 2018.
- 835 Myhre, G., Myhre, C. E. L., Samset, B. H., and Storelvmo, T.: Aerosols and their relation to global climate and climate sensitivity, *Nat. Educ. Knowl.*, 4, 7, 2013.

- Nair, A. A. and Yu, F.: Quantification of Atmospheric Ammonia Concentrations: A Review of Its Measurement and Modeling, *Atmosphere*, 11, 1092, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11101092>, 2020.
- 840 Neff, J. C., Holland, E. A., Dentener, F. J., McDowell, W. H., and Russell, K. M.: The origin, composition and rates of organic nitrogen deposition: A missing piece of the nitrogen cycle?, *Biogeochemistry*, 57, 99–136, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015791622742>, 2002.
- Nie, S., Zhang, Z., Mo, S., Li, J., He, S., Kashif, M., Liang, Z., Shen, P., Yan, B., and Jiang, C.: Desulfobacterales stimulates nitrate reduction in the mangrove ecosystem of a subtropical gulf, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 769, 144562, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144562>, 2021.
- 845 Norton, J. M., Alzerreca, J. J., Suwa, Y., and Klotz, M. G.: Diversity of ammonia monooxygenase operon in autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 177, 139–149, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-001-0369-z>, 2002.
- Nuñez López, L., Amato, P., and Ervens, B.: Bacteria in clouds biodegrade atmospheric formic and acetic acids, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 24, 5181–5198, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-5181-2024>, 2024.
- Pandis, S. N. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Sensitivity analysis of a chemical mechanism for aqueous-phase atmospheric chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres*, 94, 1105–1126, <https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD01p01105>, 1989.
- 850 Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., and Fuhrman, J. A.: Every base matters: Assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 18, 1403–1414, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023>, 2016.
- Péguilhan, R., Besaury, L., Rossi, F., Enault, F., Baray, J.-L., Deguillaume, L., and Amato, P.: Rainfalls sprinkle cloud bacterial diversity while scavenging biomass, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 97, fiab144, <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiab144>, 2021.
- 855 Péguilhan, R., Rossi, F., Joly, M., Nasr, E., Batut, B., Enault, F., Ervens, B., and Amato, P.: Clouds influence the functioning of airborne microorganisms, *Biogeosciences*, 22, 1257–1275, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-22-1257-2025>, 2025.
- Pester, M., Maixner, F., Berry, D., Rattei, T., Koch, H., Lückner, S., Nowka, B., Richter, A., Spieck, E., Lebedeva, E., Loy, A., Wagner, M., and Daims, H.: NxrB encoding the beta subunit of nitrite oxidoreductase as functional and phylogenetic marker for nitrite-oxidizing *Nitrospira*, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 16, 3055–3071, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12300>, 2014.
- 860 Philippot, L. and Højberg, O.: Dissimilatory nitrate reductases in bacteria, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Gene Struct. Expr.*, 1446, 1–23, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4781\(99\)00072-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4781(99)00072-X), 1999.
- Pilegaard, K.: Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 368, 20130126, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0126>, 2013.
- 865 Poly, F., Monrozier, L. J., and Bally, R.: Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the diversity of nifH genes in communities of nitrogen fixers in soil, *Res. Microbiol.*, 152, 95–103, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0923-2508\(00\)01172-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0923-2508(00)01172-4), 2001.

- Pouzet, G., Peghaire, E., Aguès, M., Baray, J.-L., Conen, F., and Amato, P.: Atmospheric Processing and Variability of Biological Ice Nucleating Particles in Precipitation at Opme, France, *Atmosphere*, 8, 229, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos8110229>, 2017.
- 870 Renard, P., Canet, I., Sancelme, M., Wirgot, N., Deguillaume, L., and Delort, A.-M.: Screening of cloud microorganisms isolated at the Puy de Dôme (France) station for the production of biosurfactants, *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, 16, 12347–12358, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12347-2016>, 2016.
- Renard, P., Bianco, A., Baray, J.-L., Bridoux, M., Delort, A.-M., and Deguillaume, L.: Classification of Clouds Sampled at the Puy de Dôme Station (France) Based on Chemical Measurements and Air Mass History Matrices, *Atmosphere*, 11, 732, 875 <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11070732>, 2020.
- Renard, P., Brissy, M., Rossi, F., Lereboure, M., Jaber, S., Baray, J.-L., Bianco, A., Delort, A.-M., and Deguillaume, L.: Free amino acids quantification in cloud water at the puy de Dôme station (France), *Clouds and Precipitation/Field Measurements/Troposphere/Chemistry (chemical composition and reactions)*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-576>, 2021.
- Robertson, L. A. and Kuenen, J. G.: Aerobic denitrification — old wine in new bottles?, *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 50, 525–880 544, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02386224>, 1984.
- Rossi, F., Péguilhan, R., Turgeon, N., Veillette, M., Baray, J.-L., Deguillaume, L., Amato, P., and Duchaine, C.: Quantification of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in clouds at a mountain site (puy de Dôme, central France), *Sci. Total Environ.*, 865, 161264, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161264>, 2023.
- Rotthauwe, J. H., Witzel, K. P., and Liesack, W.: The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoA as a functional marker: 885 molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations., *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 63, 4704–4712, 1997.
- Ruiz de Morales, J., Calbó, J., González, J.-A., and Sola, Y.: A method to assess the cloud-aerosol transition zone from ceilometer measurements, *Atmospheric Res.*, 310, 107623, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2024.107623>, 2024.
- Rustad, T. R., Minch, K. J., Brabant, W., Winkler, J. K., Reiss, D. J., Baliga, N. S., and Sherman, D. R.: Global analysis of mRNA stability in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41, 509–517, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1019>, 2013.
- 890 Šantl-Temkiv, T., Amato, P., Gosewinkel, U., Thyraug, R., Charton, A., Chicot, B., Finster, K., Bratbak, G., and Löndahl, J.: High-Flow-Rate Impinger for the Study of Concentration, Viability, Metabolic Activity, and Ice-Nucleation Activity of Airborne Bacteria, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 11224–11234, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01480>, 2017.
- Šantl-Temkiv, T., Gosewinkel, U., Starnawski, P., Lever, M., and Finster, K.: Aeolian dispersal of bacteria in southwest Greenland: their sources, abundance, diversity and physiological states, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 94, fiy031, 895 <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy031>, 2018.

- Scala, D. J. and Kerkhof, L. J.: Diversity of Nitrous Oxide Reductase (nosZ) Genes in Continental Shelf Sediments, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 65, 1681–1687, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.4.1681-1687.1999>, 1999.
- Schostag, M. D., Albers, C. N., Jacobsen, C. S., and Priemé, A.: Low Turnover of Soil Bacterial rRNA at Low Temperatures, *Front. Microbiol.*, 11, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00962>, 2020.
- 900 Sohaskey, C. D. and Wayne, L. G.: Role of narK2X and narGHJ in Hypoxic Upregulation of Nitrate Reduction by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 185, 7247–7256, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.185.24.7247-7256.2003>, 2003.
- Song, W., Liu, J., Qin, W., Huang, J., Yu, X., Xu, M., Stahl, D., Jiao, N., Zhou, J., and Tu, Q.: Functional Traits Resolve Mechanisms Governing the Assembly and Distribution of Nitrogen-Cycling Microbial Communities in the Global Ocean, *mBio*, 13, e03832-21, <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03832-21>, 2022.
- 905 Sorai, M., Yoshida, N., and Ishikawa, M.: Biogeochemical simulation of nitrous oxide cycle based on the major nitrogen processes, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences*, 112, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000109>, 2007.
- Stolz, J. F. and Basu, P.: Evolution of Nitrate Reductase: Molecular and Structural Variations on a Common Function, *ChemBioChem*, 3, 198–206, [https://doi.org/10.1002/1439-7633\(20020301\)3:2/3%253C198::AID-CBIC198%253E3.0.CO;2-C](https://doi.org/10.1002/1439-7633(20020301)3:2/3%253C198::AID-CBIC198%253E3.0.CO;2-C), 2002.
- 910 Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., Riddick, S. N., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Tang, Y. S., Braban, C. F., Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Mitchell, R. F., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Fowler, D., Blackall, T. D., Milford, C., Flechard, C. R., Loubet, B., Massad, R., Cellier, P., Personne, E., Coheur, P. F., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Skjøth, C. A., Geels, C., Hertel, O., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., Simpson, D., Horváth, L., Misselbrook, T. H., Bleeker, A., Dentener, F., and de Vries, W.: Towards a climate-dependent paradigm of ammonia emission and
915 deposition, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 368, 20130166, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0166>, 2013.
- Suzek, B. E., Wang, Y., Huang, H., McGarvey, P. B., Wu, C. H., and the UniProt Consortium: UniRef clusters: a comprehensive and scalable alternative for improving sequence similarity searches, *Bioinformatics*, 31, 926–932, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu739>, 2015.
- Suzuki, M., ARAI, H., ISHII, M., and IGARASHI, Y.: Gene Structure and Expression Profile of Cytochrome bc Nitric Oxide
920 Reductase from *Hydrogenobacter thermophilus* TK-6, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 70, 1666–1671, <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.60018>, 2006.
- Syakila, A. and Kroeze, C.: The global nitrous oxide budget revisited, *Greenh. Gas Meas. Manag.*, 1, 17–26, <https://doi.org/10.3763/ghgmm.2010.0007>, 2011.
- Trüper, H. G. and Pfennig, N.: Characterization and Identification of the Anoxygenic Phototrophic Bacteria, in: *The
925 Prokaryotes: A Handbook on Habitats, Isolation, and Identification of Bacteria*, edited by: Starr, M. P., Stolp, H., Trüper, H.

- G., Balows, A., and Schlegel, H. G., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 299–312, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-13187-9_18, 1981.
- Tu, Q., He, Z., Wu, L., Xue, K., Xie, G., Chain, P., Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., and Zhou, J.: Metagenomic reconstruction of nitrogen cycling pathways in a CO₂-enriched grassland ecosystem, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 106, 99–108, 930 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.017>, 2017.
- Tu, Q., Lin, L., Cheng, L., Deng, Y., and He, Z.: NCycDB: a curated integrative database for fast and accurate metagenomic profiling of nitrogen cycling genes, *Bioinformatics*, 35, 1040–1048, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty741>, 2019.
- Vaïtilingom, M., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Laj, P., Leriche, M., and Delort, A.-M.: Contribution of Microbial Activity to Carbon Chemistry in Clouds, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 76, 23–29, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01127-09>, 2010.
- 935 Vaïtilingom, M., Attard, E., Gaiani, N., Sancelme, M., Deguillaume, L., Flossmann, A. I., Amato, P., and Delort, A.-M.: Long-term features of cloud microbiology at the puy de Dôme (France), *Atmos. Environ.*, 56, 88–100, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.072>, 2012.
- Vaïtilingom, M., Deguillaume, L., Vinatier, V., Sancelme, M., Amato, P., Chaumerliac, N., and Delort, A.-M.: Potential impact of microbial activity on the oxidant capacity and organic carbon budget in clouds, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110, 559–564, 940 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205743110>, 2013.
- Vergne, A., Darbot, V., Bardot, C., Enault, F., Le Jeune, A.-H., Carrias, J.-F., Corbara, B., Céréghino, R., Leroy, C., Jeanthon, C., Giraud, E., Mary, I., and Lehours, A.-C.: Assemblages of anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria in tank bromeliads exhibit a host-specific signature, *J. Ecol.*, 109, 2550–2565, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13657>, 2021.
- Vernocchi, V., Abd El, E., Brunoldi, M., Danelli, S. G., Gatta, E., Isolabella, T., Mazzei, F., Parodi, F., Prati, P., and Massabò, 945 D.: Airborne bacteria viability and air quality: a protocol to quantitatively investigate the possible correlation by an atmospheric simulation chamber, *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.*, 16, 5479–5493, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-5479-2023>, 2023.
- Vinatier, V., Wirgot, N., Joly, M., Sancelme, M., Abrantes, M., Deguillaume, L., and Delort, A.-M.: Siderophores in Cloud Waters and Potential Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry: Production by Microorganisms Isolated at the Puy de Dôme Station, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 9315–9323, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02335>, 2016.
- 950 Voss, M., Baker, A., Bange, H. W., Conley, D., Cornell, S., Deutsch, B., Engel, A., Ganeshram, R., Garnier, J., Heiskanen, A.-S., Jickells, T., Lancelot, C., McQuatters-Gollop, A., Middelburg, J., Schiedek, D., Slomp, C. P., and Conley, D. P.: Nitrogen processes in coastal and marine ecosystems, in: *The European Nitrogen Assessment*, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Howard, C. M., Erisman, J. W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P., Van Grinsven, H., and Grizzetti, B., Cambridge University Press, 147–176, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511976988.011>, 2011.

- 955 Voss, M., Bange, H. W., Dippner, J. W., Middelburg, J. J., Montoya, J. P., and Ward, B.: The marine nitrogen cycle: recent discoveries, uncertainties and the potential relevance of climate change, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 368, 20130121, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0121>, 2013.
- Walker, M. C. and van der Donk, W. A.: The many roles of glutamate in metabolism, *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 43, 419–430, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-015-1665-y>, 2016.
- 960 Wang, B., Zheng, X., Zhang, H., Yu, X., Lian, Y., Yang, X., Yu, H., Hu, R., He, Z., Xiao, F., and Yan, Q.: Metagenomic insights into the effects of submerged plants on functional potential of microbial communities in wetland sediments, *Mar. Life Sci. Technol.*, 3, 405–415, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-021-00100-3>, 2021.
- Whelan, J. A., Russell, N. B., and Whelan, M. A.: A method for the absolute quantification of cDNA using real-time PCR, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 278, 261–269, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759\(03\)00223-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759(03)00223-0), 2003.
- 965 Whitman, W. B., Coleman, D. C., and Wiebe, W. J.: Prokaryotes: The unseen majority, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 95, 6578–6583, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.6578>, 1998.
- Wilson, L. P. and Bouwer, E. J.: Biodegradation of aromatic compounds under mixed oxygen/denitrifying conditions: a review, *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 18, 116–130, <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900288>, 1997.
- Wright, D. N., Bailey, G. D., and Goldberg, L. J.: Effect of Temperature on Survival of Airborne *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*,
970 *J. Bacteriol.*, 99, 491–495, 1969.
- Xiang, S.-R., Doyle, A., Holden, P. A., and Schimel, J. P.: Drying and rewetting effects on C and N mineralization and microbial activity in surface and subsurface California grassland soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 40, 2281–2289, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.05.004>, 2008.
- Yang, J., Feng, L., Pi, S., Cui, D., Ma, F., Zhao, H., and Li, A.: A critical review of aerobic denitrification: Insights into the
975 intracellular electron transfer, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 731, 139080, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139080>, 2020.
- Zeng, J., Liao, S., Qiu, M., Chen, M., Ye, J., Zeng, J., and Wang, A.: Effects of carbon sources on the removal of ammonium, nitrite and nitrate nitrogen by the red yeast *Sporidiobolus pararoseus* Y1, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 312, 123593, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123593>, 2020.
- Zhang, J., Wu, P., Hao, B., and Yu, Z.: Heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification by the bacterium *Pseudomonas*
980 *stutzeri* YZN-001, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 102, 9866–9869, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.07.118>, 2011.
- Zhang, Q. and Anastasio, C.: Chemistry of fog waters in California’s Central Valley—Part 3: concentrations and speciation of organic and inorganic nitrogen, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 5629–5643, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(01\)00337-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00337-5), 2001.
- Zumft, W. G.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 61, 533–616, <https://doi.org/10.1128/membr.61.4.533-616.1997>, 1997.

985 Zumft, W. G. and Kroneck, P. M. H.: Respiratory Transformation of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) to Dinitrogen by *Bacteria* and *Archaea*, in: *Advances in Microbial Physiology*, vol. 52, edited by: Poole, R. K., Academic Press, 107–227, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911\(06\)52003-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911(06)52003-X), 2006.