

1 Seasonal Characteristics and Trends in Precipitation

2 Partitioning in the Arctic

3 Zaria Ireon Cast¹, Mark C. Serreze¹, Elizabeth N. Cassano¹, Andrew P. Barrett¹

4 ¹Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of
5 Colorado, Boulder CO, 80301, United States of America

6 *Correspondence to:* Elizabeth Cassano (Elizabeth.cassano@colorado.edu)

7

8 **Abstract.** Driven by growing impacts of changing precipitation amounts and phase on the Arctic's natural and built
9 environment, we examine seasonal patterns and trends in Arctic precipitation and partitioning between its liquid and
10 solid forms. Use is made of data from ERA5 reanalysis, Automated Surface Observing System stations over land,
11 and a climatology based on present weather reports over the Arctic Ocean. In the Atlantic sector of the Arctic, most
12 precipitation falls in liquid form in all seasons in its southern limits, but snowfall is high over its northern parts.
13 Precipitation over the dry central Arctic Ocean and terrestrial polar deserts almost always falls as snow. Even during
14 the summer, typically 50% of precipitation over the central Arctic Ocean falls as snow. Over land, nearly all summer
15 precipitation falls in liquid form, except in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago where summer snowfall is still
16 common. Annual precipitation has increased since 1979, primarily in the Barents Sea sector, accompanied by
17 generally downward trends in snowfall and, hence, upward trends in liquid precipitation. Across much of the Arctic,
18 the liquid to total precipitation ratio has increased only in summer and autumn, while in the Atlantic sector, the
19 liquid to total precipitation ratio has increased in fall and winter.

20

21 1 Introduction

22

23 Arctic surface air temperatures are increasing more rapidly than for the globe as a whole (Rantanen et al., 2022).
24 This Arctic Amplification of warming is associated with changing precipitation patterns. Historically, most of the
25 annual precipitation in the Arctic (apart from the warm Atlantic sector) has fallen as snow. However, as the Arctic
26 warms, one expects both an increase in total precipitation (due to more atmospheric water vapor and a stronger
27 poleward moisture flux convergence), a shift towards more rainfall, and larger precipitation events (e.g., Dou et al.,
28 2022; McCrystall et al., 2021). Indeed, annual precipitation for the Arctic viewed as a whole now appears to have a
29 detectable upward trend (Moon et al., 2024).

30

31 This paper focuses on the seasonal and spatial variability of Arctic precipitation, its phase (liquid versus snowfall)
32 and how precipitation totals and phase are changing. It makes primary use of ERA5 variables of total precipitation,
33 snowfall, and liquid precipitation (calculated as total precipitation minus snowfall) over the period 1979-2023.
34 Additional data sources, used primarily for validation of ERA5, include Automated Surface Observing System
35 (ASOS) reports of precipitation phase over land, an early climatological analysis of precipitation phase on the Arctic
36 Ocean from present weather reports (Clark et al., 1996; Serreze et al., 1996) and published studies of ERA5

Style Definition: Heading 1: Font: (Default) Play, Font color: Custom Color(15,71,97), , Ligatures: None

Style Definition: Heading 2: Font: (Default) Play, Font color: Custom Color(15,71,97), , Ligatures: None

Style Definition: Title: Font: (Default) Play, , Not Expanded by / Condensed by , Ligatures: None, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

Style Definition: Subtitle: Font: (Default) Aptos, Font color: Gray-65%, , Not Expanded by / Condensed by , Ligatures: None

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: its

Formatted: Font color: Auto

38 precipitation. This paper represents a contribution to the Arctic Rain on Snow (AROSS) study, part of the National
39 Science Foundation Navigating the New Arctic (NNA) Initiative. AROSS focuses on understanding impacts of rain
40 on snow (ROS) and extreme precipitation events on the Arctic natural and built environment, with a special focus on
41 reindeer herding practices (Serreze et al., 2021). A consequence of ROS events is that rainfall, when followed by a
42 temperature drop, can result in the formation of hard, icy crusts. Impacts can be immediate (such as on travel),
43 ~~evolving or cumulative~~. There have been recorded events of starvation-induced die-offs of tens of thousands of
44 reindeer, caribou, and musk oxen. Voveris and Serreze (2023) describe the meteorology behind some of these ROS
45 events and point out that even a small precipitation event can have large consequences. Thus, a greater
46 understanding of how Arctic precipitation is changing will inform how these events may also be changing, by either
47 a reduction in snowpack or increasing rain events.

48

49 The present study addresses the following question: What are the present-day seasonal and spatial patterns of
50 precipitation and partitioning (liquid versus snowfall) across the Arctic, and how have these patterns changed over
51 the study period in response to the warming Arctic climate?

52

53 2 Data Sources

54

55 2.1 ERA5 Reanalysis

56

57 Atmospheric reanalyses are widely used in the research community (Zhao et al. 2010). The European Centre for
58 Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) Reanalysis version 5 (ERA5) (Hersbach et al., 2020) employs four-
59 dimensional variational data assimilation (4D-Var) (Rabier, 2005). Observations assimilated into the ERA5 system
60 include surface data, radiosonde profiles, ship-based measurements, aircraft reports and satellite data. Precipitation
61 is generally not assimilated. While ERA5 extends back to 1950, we use data from 1979 to 2023. The availability of
62 satellite observations from 1979 onward significantly improves estimates of precipitation and atmospheric
63 conditions in the Arctic (Xiong et al., 2022). As with any reanalysis, one must be aware that the assimilation
64 database has changed over time (Hersbach et al., 2020) which can introduce biases, especially in earlier records with
65 fewer observational constraints (Simmons et al., 2021).

66

67 We use monthly data at 31 km horizontal resolution of total precipitation, snowfall and turbulent latent heat fluxes.
68 Total precipitation represents the accumulation of all forms of precipitation. Liquid precipitation is obtained by
69 subtracting snowfall from total precipitation. Along with rainfall, this may also include sleet and freezing rain. After
70 a forecast is generated, ERA5 applies a post-processing step to adjust precipitation fields based on available surface
71 observations. However, these observations are not incorporated into the model during the assimilation phase. As a
72 result, ERA5 precipitation estimates are primarily influenced by the model's internal physics and parameterizations
73 (Hersbach et al., 2020). Accuracy of precipitation fields in ERA5 hence depends largely on the model's ability to
74 simulate precipitation processes effectively (Bromwich et al., 2016). The determination of precipitation phase in

Deleted: evolve

Deleted: accumulate

Formatted: Font: Aptos, 12 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

77 ERA5 is based on a combination of near-surface air temperature, atmospheric moisture, and model-based
78 calculations within the forecast system. Wet bulb temperature is a key factor in the determination. In some cases,
79 mixed-phase precipitation may occur within a small temperature range with temperatures just above freezing
80 (Hersbach et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2022).

81
82 While ERA5 is known to have a warm bias over sea ice, ERA5 is considered one of the most reliable reanalysis
83 datasets for Arctic precipitation (Graham et al., 2019) and performs well in capturing precipitation phase (Xiong et
84 al. 2022). Loeb et al. (2022) concludes that ERA5 effectively captures the spatial distribution and frequency of
85 precipitation events in the eastern Canadian Arctic and Greenland. Serreze et al. (2022) show that ERA5
86 successfully represents broad precipitation trends and seasonal variations over the Canadian Arctic. Barrett et al.
87 (2020) compared precipitation estimates from six atmospheric reanalyses (NASA MERRA, NASA MERRA2,
88 NOAA CFSR/CFSv2, ECMWF ERA-Interim, ECMWF ERA5, and JMAO JRA55) against records from the
89 Russian North Pole series of drifting camps over the central Arctic Ocean. They find that the time series of annual
90 precipitation over the central Arctic Ocean correlates well between all reanalyses, and that all of the reanalyses
91 capture the basic spatial and seasonal patterns of Arctic precipitation. All reanalyses are prone to a problem of
92 spurious drizzle over the Arctic Ocean, with daily amounts less than 1 mm/day. However, Barrett et al. (2020), show
93 (from comparisons with data from the North Pole drifting stations) that a 1 mm cutoff used in many past studies
94 misses a significant amount of precipitation. As part of the present study, we compare ERA5 depictions of
95 precipitation partitioning against the ASOS database and an Arctic Ocean climatology described in more detail
96 below.

97

98 2.2 ASOS Database

99

100 As part of the AROSS project, a database of Arctic precipitation phase was compiled using reports from stations in
101 the Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS) networks of the United States, Canada, Greenland, Iceland,
102 Norway, Sweden, Finland and Russia. The database has records from 244 stations. Records used here span the
103 period 1979 to 2023.

104

105 Precipitation type codes in reports are used to identify rain, freezing rain and solid precipitation. These codes are
106 based on automated precipitation type sensors. Manual observations may be used to override automated reports
107 when human observers are on station and when precipitation type reports are deemed erroneous. Reports were
108 quality controlled to ensure logical consistency between near-surface air and dew point temperatures and reported
109 precipitation type. For example, records with freezing or solid rain reports but with air temperature of 20°C or
110 higher were discarded. At the finest temporal resolution reported (minutes to an hour), rain events were reported
111 765610 times. Freezing rain was reported 18174 times. For the present study, data were resampled to an hourly
112 frequency. If a given precipitation type was reported during an hour reporting period, that hour was assigned the
113 precipitation type. Hours could be assigned multiple precipitation types if different precipitation types occurred

Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto



15 within that hour. For example, if precipitation type transitioned from rain, to freezing rain, to snow in an hour, that
16 hour would be assigned rain, freezing rain and solid precipitation. **Figure 1** shows the number of complete years of
17 data for the period 1979 to 2023 for ASOS sites in the database. A complete year is defined as a year in which at
18 least one hour per month has precipitation of any phase. A difficulty with using the ASOS record is that stations
19 only report precipitation type if there is a precipitation event. We use 2 m air temperature to screen for months with
20 less than 90% of days reporting to identify when stations are off-line. Months that do not meet this criterion are set
21 to missing. We then count the number of precipitation events of all precipitation types in each month that pass the
22 screening. A year is considered to have a complete record if there is at least one hour in each of the twelve months.
23 The reason for this low threshold is that it is not unreasonable that a month can have just one day with precipitation,
24 where the rain event lasts only one hour. A heatmap of the number of hours with liquid precipitation in each month
25 for the 1979 to 2023 period organized by country is shown in **Figure 2**.

Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: has precipitation of any phase.

Formatted: Font color: Auto



Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto



128

129

130

Figure 1: Number of complete years with data shown as proportional circles for ASOS stations. A complete year is defined as having at least one precipitation event of any phase reported in all twelve months.

132



Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto

133

134 **Figure 2: Heatmap of liquid precipitation events by month for the 1979 to 2023 from the ASOS database.**
 135 Colors represent the hours in each month in the 1979 to 2023 period that a station reported liquid
 136 precipitation. Reports are organized vertically by country and latitude. Country codes are US United States,
 137 SE Sweden, RU Russia, NO Norway, IS Iceland, GL Greenland, FI Finland, CA Canada. Latitude is in
 138 ascending order for each country grouping.

139

140 **2.3 ICOADS Study**

141

143 Direct measurements of precipitation are particularly sparse over the Arctic Ocean. However, Serreze et al. (1996),
144 as part of a NSIDC Special Report, provide figures for each month of precipitation phase and intensity over the
145 Arctic Ocean based on present weather codes included within the Integrated Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere
146 Data Set (ICOADS). Clark et al. (1996) use these data in a study of Arctic Ocean climate characteristics. Over the
147 central Arctic Ocean, most of the observations are from the Russian North Pole series of camps. These camps were
148 deployed on ice floes or tabular icebergs to gather oceanographic and meteorological data. The record examined
149 spans the period of 1950-1995. For the NSIDC special report and Serreze and Barry (2014), observations were
150 interpolated into a coarse grid array covering the Arctic Ocean for January and July. Precipitation frequency is the
151 percent of all reports for which any precipitation was observed. Frequency is also given for reports of “medium” and
152 “heavy” precipitation, the designation being up to the observer and hence somewhat subjective. Phase is based on
153 the percentage of all ICOADS reports for which liquid or solid precipitation was observed. While these data are
154 from an old study and the climate has changed since then, they still provide valuable information on precipitation
155 characteristics that, along with the ASOS database, can be compared to ERA5. Because of past inattention to data
156 preservation we do not have the digital records - only the figures.

158 3 Climatological Patterns

160 3.1 Annual

161
162 We look first at long-term (1979-2023) mean annual totals of total precipitation, snowfall, liquid precipitation and
163 the liquid/total precipitation ratio from ERA5 (**Figure 3**). As is well known, total precipitation is highest in the
164 North Atlantic sector, particularly in the Greenland, Norwegian and Barents Seas (top left panel). This reflects the
165 frequent passage of extratropical cyclones and within-region cyclogenesis associated with the North Atlantic
166 cyclone track (e.g., Serreze et al., 1993). As discussed shortly, cold-season evaporation rates are also very high in
167 this region. Locally, orographic uplift, such as what occurs along the southeast coast of Greenland, enhances
168 precipitation (Serreze et al., 1997; Tsukernik et al., 2007). Precipitation is much lower over the central Arctic Ocean
169 and Canadian Arctic Archipelago, the latter region classified as polar desert (Serreze and Barry, 2014). Note the
170 especially low precipitation over the high elevation Greenland Ice Sheet.

171
172 Snowfall is low over the central Arctic Ocean and polar desert regions (**Figure 3**, upper right panel). Snowfall is in
173 turn greatest in the northern North Atlantic sector where total precipitation is high. While the Atlantic sector is the
174 warmest part of the Arctic, reflecting the lack of a sea ice cover and the influence of the warm North Atlantic Drift
175 current, temperatures over its northern portion are still generally cold enough in the lower troposphere (according to
176 ERA5) for most precipitation to fall as snow. There is much less snowfall in the southern part of this sector,
177 reflecting, of course, a higher incidence of liquid precipitation off the coast of northern Norway.

178 It follows that the highest liquid to total precipitation ratios are in the North Atlantic sector (**Figure 3**, lower right
179 panel). By contrast, the central Arctic Ocean and the Greenland Ice Sheet have the lowest liquid to total precipitation

Deleted: that

Formatted: Font color: Auto

181 ratios. Over most of the central Arctic Ocean, 20-25% of annual precipitation falls as rain (**Figure 3**, lower left
182 panel).



183
184 Over the central part of the Greenland ice sheet, liquid precipitation is extremely rare. However, in August 2021,
185 Summit Station, located at the highest point of the ice sheet (3,216 meters above sea level), experienced its first
186 recorded rainfall event (European Space Agency, 2021). According to the National Snow and Ice Data Center
187 (NSIDC), this was the first known instance of rainfall at Summit Station. Warm air intrusions in 2012 and 2019
188 resulted in brief surface melting, but temperatures were not high enough for rainfall to occur in those years
189 (Hermann et al., 2020; Tedesco and Fettweis, 2020).

190

Deleted: ,

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto



Deleted:
Formatted: Font color: Auto


192
193
194 **Figure 3: Spatial distribution of average annual totals (1973-2023) of total precipitation, snowfall, liquid**
195 **precipitation and the liquid/total precipitation ratio from ERA5. Black contours are drawn on the figures for**
196 **ease of viewing and occur every 200mm for the total precipitation, snowfall, and liquid precipitation and**
197 **every 0.1 for the liquid/total precipitation plot in the lower right panel.**

198
199 **3.2 Seasonality**


201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213

Attention now turns to seasonality, looking first at contrasts between winter (December, January, February (DJF)) and summer (June, July, and August (JJA)) of precipitation, snowfall and liquid precipitation (**Figure 4**). Winter precipitation is particularly low over the central Arctic Ocean and most land areas, reflecting low temperatures (hence little atmospheric moisture) and distance from Atlantic moisture sources. The much higher winter precipitation over the North Atlantic sector clearly stands out. The North Atlantic cyclone track is most active at this time of year. Cyclones are passing over open ocean and can pick up ample moisture. Average winter latent heat fluxes over the ice-free Atlantic sector as depicted in ERA5 are on the order of 80 Wm^{-2} or even higher, compared to much lower values over the ice-covered central Arctic Ocean and land areas (**Figure 5**). Olafsson and Okland (1994) describe how Arctic air masses moving over these warm Atlantic sector waters develop convective boundary layers that enhance precipitation.


Formatted: Font color: Auto



214




216 **Figure 4: Average seasonal totals of total precipitation, snowfall, and liquid precipitation for winter**
 217 **(December, January, February (DJF); top) and summer (June, July, August (JJA); bottom) from ERA5**
 218 **(1979–2023).**
 219



Formatted: Font color: Auto

220 **Figure 5: Average latent heat fluxes for winter (left) and summer (right), 1979-2023, from ERA5. These are**
 221 **created by using monthly averages of hourly data.**
 222



Deleted:
 Formatted: Font color: Auto


223
 224 Precipitation over the North Atlantic sector is much lower in the summer. **Figure 5** shows Atlantic sector latent heat
 225 fluxes are much lower, and the North Atlantic cyclone track and associated Icelandic Low are also much weaker at
 226 this time *of year* (Serreze et al., 1997). Summer precipitation is at its seasonal maximum over most land areas,
 227 reflecting the seasonal maximum atmospheric moisture due to higher temperatures, surface heating fostering strong
 228 latent heat fluxes and, in some areas, convective activity, along with increased cyclone activity. The summer
 229 precipitation peak over the central Arctic Ocean reflects the seasonal peak in atmospheric water vapor, and a
 230 summer/early autumn maximum in cyclone activity. These systems move into the area from the Atlantic and
 231 Eurasia, and some form over the Arctic Ocean itself (Serreze and Barrett, 2008). Note that summertime latent heat
 232 fluxes are small over the Arctic Ocean due to the melting sea ice cover, limiting saturation vapor pressure and the
 233 vertical vapor gradient (Serreze and Barry, 2014).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

234
 235 As is clear from Figure 4, there are prominent contrasts between winter and summer in snowfall and liquid
 236 precipitation. The amount of snowfall is a function of both precipitation amount and temperature, which bears on
 237 whether precipitation is in liquid or solid form. For example, over the central Arctic Ocean, the low winter snowfall
 238 is primarily a function of low precipitation, while over the land areas in summer, the low snowfall (close to zero in

240 some areas) is due to temperature. Over much of the North Atlantic sector, high winter precipitation leads to ample
241 snowfall.

242



243


244

245

246 **Figure 6: Average seasonal distribution of the liquid-to-total precipitation ratio from ERA5, 1979 to 2023.**

247

248 With such controls in mind, attention turns to **Figure 6**, the liquid to total precipitation ratio for each season. During
249 winter (upper left panel), liquid precipitation is a large fraction of total precipitation only in the very southernmost
250 regions of the North Atlantic. This is consistent with observations that even Bergen, Norway, lying at about 60°N



252 along the west coast of Norway, seldom sees snowfall in winter. This is due to the North Atlantic Drift Current,
253 which results in high air temperature relative to latitude. While winter ~~liquid precipitation~~ fractions are shown as
254 near zero over most land areas and the Arctic Ocean, it is known that occasional warm air intrusions can allow for
255 brief wintertime rain or freezing rain events over the central Arctic Ocean. In recent years, there have been extreme
256 cases of wintertime warming. ~~For example, on February 2, 2025, temperatures near the North Pole reached the~~
257 melting point, more than 20°C above average (The Guardian, 2025).

Deleted: rainfall

Formatted: Font color: Auto

258
259 During spring (Figure 6, upper right panel), the liquid precipitation fraction increases over land areas. However,
260 snowfall is still by far the dominant precipitation type over much of the Arctic Ocean away from land areas and the
261 Atlantic sector. The highest ratios of course occur during the summer (lower left panel). Over the Atlantic sector,
262 essentially all summer precipitation falls as liquid precipitation. Liquid precipitation is also dominant over land
263 areas, the obvious exception being the high, cold Greenland ice sheet. Note, however, that over the central Arctic
264 Ocean, about half of all summer precipitation still falls as snow according to ERA5.

Deleted: On

Formatted: Font color: Auto

265
266 As summer fades to autumn, the liquid precipitation fraction decreases as temperatures fall (Figure 6, lower right
267 panel). However, early autumn (September) still shows high liquid precipitation fractions over the northern North
268 Atlantic (not shown). This area remains ice-free year-round, and temperatures remain high for the latitude. By
269 contrast, the seasonal shift from liquid precipitation to snowfall is prominent over the ice-covered central Arctic
270 Ocean where autumn temperatures sharply drop.


271 272 3.3 Comparisons with ICOADS Records

273
274 The Serreze et al. (1996) study, based on the ICOADS data base over the period 1950-1995, while certainly dated,
275 provides valuable independent estimates of precipitation phase as well as intensity over the Arctic Ocean and North
276 Atlantic sector. Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the key results for January and July reproduced from that study. The
277 ICOADS data (as with the ASOS data described below) are expressed as the percent of all precipitation observations
278 in liquid or solid form, the percent of all observations in which precipitation occurred and (for ICOADS) when the
279 precipitation was coded as moderate to heavy. While this differs from the ERA5 analysis which shows the ratio
280 between liquid and total precipitation, results from the two studies are still comparable. As far as we are aware, there
281 is no specific threshold regarding what qualifies as moderate to heavy precipitation in the ICOADS reports - the
282 designation depends on the manual observer.


283
284 The ICOADS records from 1950-1995 show 95% - 99% of all January precipitation events over the central Arctic
285 Ocean falling as snow. This broadly corresponds to a liquid to total precipitation ratio of 0.01 to 0.05 in ERA5 data
286 which shows an overwhelming dominance of solid precipitation during winter, even in today's warmer climate. The
287 ICOADS maps for December and February are very similar to those for January. The January maps can hence be
288 viewed as representative for winter as a whole. As mentioned earlier, there have been some recent rainfall events

291 over the central Arctic Ocean in winter, associated with intrusions of warm moist air originating in the Atlantic. It is
 292 of interest, however, that even in the older ICOADS records, there were still occasional liquid precipitation events in
 293 winter. Consistent with the much higher precipitation totals over the northern North Atlantic shown in ERA5 and
 294 other studies, the ICOADS records show this region as having a much higher frequency of moderate to heavy
 295 precipitation as compared to the central Arctic Ocean, where most precipitation events are small. Hence, in
 296 summary, in at least a qualitative sense, winter results from ERA5 are consistent with the spatial patterns from the
 297 ICOADS record.
 298


Jan: precipitation freq.




Jan: freq. moderate to heavy precipitation




Jan: freq. solid precipitation




Jan: freq. liquid precipitation



Jan: precipitation freq.





Jan: freq. solid precipitation



Deleted:

301 **Figure 7: Precipitation frequency (top left panel), the frequency of moderate to heavy precipitation (top right**
 302 **panel), and the frequency of precipitation phase - solid (lower left panel) and liquid (lower right panel) over**
 303 **the Arctic Ocean for January, based on ICOADS data, reproduced from Serreze et al. (1996) (units %).**



304 **Figure 8: Precipitation frequency (top left panel), the frequency of moderate to heavy precipitation (top right**
 305 **panel), and the frequency of precipitation phase - solid (lower left panel) and liquid (lower right panel) over**
 306 **the Arctic Ocean for July, based on ICOADS data, reproduced from Serreze et al. (1996) (units %).**

307 For July (Figure 8), the ICOADS records depict approximately 40% - 60% of precipitation events over the central
 308 Arctic Ocean as snow, and only infrequent snowfall over the northern North Atlantic, in overall agreement with the
 309
 310

Deleted: Distribution of precipitation phase (liquid and solid), precipitation frequency and

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto


Deleted:).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

July: precipitation freq.



July: freq. solid precipitation



Deleted:

Deleted: Seasonal distribution of precipitation phase (liquid and solid), precipitation

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: moderate to heavy

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted

... [1]



Deleted:).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

327 ERA5 summer results. The August ICOADS map is similar to that for July, while the map for June shows a lower
 328 fraction of events as liquid (20-25%). All of the summer months show the seasonal reduction over the North Atlantic
 329 sector in the frequency of moderate to heavy precipitation, consistent with the lower amounts of summer
 330 precipitation shown in ERA5 due to the weaker north Atlantic cyclone track. An updated analysis of the ICOADS
 331 records would be highly valuable for better validating ERA5.

Deleted: here
 Formatted: Font color: Auto



Deleted:

332

335 **Figure 9: Number of hours with liquid precipitation expressed as a fraction of the total number of hours with**
336 **any type of precipitation for the climatological seasons from the ASOS database. Large symbols represent**
337 **stations with more than 20 years of data for the 1979 to 2023 period. Small symbols are for stations with**
338 **shorter records.**

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

340 3.4 Comparisons with ASOS Records

341
342 **Figure 9** shows the number of hours with a report of liquid precipitation expressed as a fraction of the total number
343 of hours with either liquid or solid precipitation reported by season for stations in the ASOS database. This is
344 essentially equivalent to the liquid to solid precipitation ratios just examined. The winter results are qualitatively
345 consistent with results from ERA5 liquid/total precipitation ratios. The ASOS database shows that few events are
346 liquid in winter, the exceptions being sites in extreme southern Alaska and along the Norwegian coast. The pattern
347 for spring is similar, except sites in southern Alaska and the Norwegian coast are more numerous and the liquid
348 fractions are higher. In the summer months, liquid precipitation is the majority almost everywhere, the exception
349 being over the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, where snow events can still be common. Autumn marks the transition
350 back to the winter pattern, as decreasing temperatures reduce the fraction of liquid precipitation.

351
352 From an analysis of Ku-Band Quikscat radar data over November through February from 2000-2009 (Bartsch et al.
353 2010, Bartsch 2010a;b), Scandinavia and northwestern Russia emerge as “hotspots” for rain on snow events (in
354 some places up to 12 events per year). This is consistent with the fairly high frequency of liquid precipitation events
355 in this area from the ASOS data for winter, spring and autumn, and is also clear when we look at the correspondence
356 between rain events in the ASOS database and the occurrence of snow on the ground (not shown). It is unfortunate
357 that few ASOS records are available over much of central and eastern Russia, but the few stations that do exist point
358 to a low frequency of rain events in the cold season, consistent with the QuikScat analysis indicating one or zero
359 ROS events per year in this area characterized by a highly continental climate.


360
361 An event of particular note occurred 8-10 November, 2013 over the Yamal Peninsula of Russia as well as
362 neighboring coastal regions east of the area of highest ROS frequency seen in the Quikscat analysis. This event is
363 described by Forbes et al. (2016), who note that several other Yamal events have been recorded in recent years.
364 After the 2016 event, ice covered an area of approximately 27,000 km² and completely blocked reindeer from
365 foraging, leading to the death of 61,000 animals between November 2013 and June 2014.

367 4 Trends

369 4.1 Precipitation


370

371 As the Arctic warms, one expects more rain events, and while this implies more ROS events, a caveat is that the
372 snow-covered season will shorten, therefore rain will increasingly fall on bare ground. Climate models are in near
373 universal agreement that Arctic precipitation will increase (McCrystall et al., 2021), driven by rising atmospheric
374 moisture availability, which enhances precipitation as warming temperatures allow for greater evaporation, a greater
375 moisture holding capacity of the atmosphere, and hence a poleward moisture transport. There is emerging evidence
376 that, at least assessed for the Arctic region as a whole, Arctic precipitation is indeed increasing (Walsh et al., 2023).



377 **Figure 10: Linear trends in annual total precipitation, snowfall, and liquid precipitation from 1979 to 2023**
378 **from ERA5. Only trends that are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level are shown by colors.**

380 **Figure 10** shows linear trends in annual precipitation, snowfall and liquid precipitation over the period 1979-2023.
381 Of particular note are the statistically significant increases over the Barents and Kara Seas Sea and around and north
382 of the Svalbard archipelago, locally 3-4 mm per year. It hence seems that the conclusion that increased Arctic
383 precipitation, considered for the region as a whole (Walsh et al., 2023), is largely due to increases in this area.
384 In explanation, this is the one area of the Arctic that has experienced substantial reductions in winter sea ice extent,
385 linked to a stronger inflow of warm and salty Atlantic water (Lien et al. 2017). This warm Atlantic water, underlying
386 very cold air, can result in large latent heat fluxes to the atmosphere and unstable low level boundary layers,
387 favoring increased precipitation. Digging into this further, the increase in winter precipitation as depicted by ERA5
388 in the Barents and Kara Seas appears to be in part convective precipitation. Analysis of the full-length ERA5 record
389 indicates, based on linear trends, statistically significant 4-5% increases over the entire time period since 1950 in the
390 ratio between convective and total precipitation in this area (not shown), with smaller increases in autumn and
391 spring. Convective-type precipitation is known to occur in winter in the Norwegian Sea where warm water underlies
392 cold air, fostering high evapotranspiration and unstable boundary layers (Olafsson and Okland, 1994), and it appears
393 that such wintertime convective precipitation is now moving into higher latitudes as winter sea ice retreats.
394
395




Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

397 Annual snowfall has fairly pronounced downward trends only over the warm Atlantic side of the Arctic, but largely
398 south of the area with the largest precipitation trends. Liquid precipitation by contrast has widespread positive
399 annual trends over most of the North Atlantic sector pointing to the warming conditions.




400
401 **Figure 11: The difference in annual total precipitation (left), snowfall (middle), and liquid precipitation**
402 **(right) between the recent period (2014–2023) and an earlier baseline period (1979–1988) from ERA5.**

403
404 As linear trends can sometimes be misleading, **Figure 11** shows the difference in annual total precipitation, snowfall
405 and liquid precipitation between the first (1979-1988) and last (2014-2023) decades of the study period. The overall
406 conclusion is that the decadal differences largely follow changes shown in the trends. The larger amount of liquid
407 precipitation over the north Atlantic sector is particularly striking. While the spatial pattern of trends in liquid
408 precipitation (Figure 10) is similar to the pattern of decadal differences shown in Figure 11, the latter suggests more
409 recent increases in liquid precipitation and decadal variability over land areas not captured in linear trends. Note in
410 turn the large differences in snowfall over the Atlantic sector, locally 100 mm lower in the most recent decade,
411 paired with more liquid precipitation.

412 4.2 Liquid to Total Precipitation Ratio



413
414 Seasonal trends in the liquid to total precipitation ratio follow in **Figure 12**. Statistically significant positive trends
415 are fairly widespread in summer and especially in autumn, but there are large areas over the Arctic Ocean where no
416 significant trends are present in the summer season. Even in summer, trends over the Greenland Ice Sheet are
417 essentially zero. Statistically significant positive trends in winter and spring are largely limited to the North Atlantic
418 sector. Phrased differently, away from the Atlantic sector, it has yet to warm sufficiently to see a change in the ratio
419 over much of the Arctic except during summer and autumn, and even in summer, ratios over large areas of the ocean
420 are essentially unchanged. Winter precipitation has increased over the Atlantic sector, but then the increase in the
421 liquid precipitation fraction dominates, yielding an upward trend in the winter liquid to total precipitation ratio.
422



Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto



Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Auto

424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432

433 **Figure 12: Seasonal trends in liquid to total precipitation ratios (1979–2023) from ERA5. Only trends that are**
434 **statistically significant at the 95% confidence level are shown by colors.**

436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472

5 Synthesis and Discussion

What are the present-day seasonal and spatial patterns of precipitation partitioning (liquid versus snowfall) across the Arctic, and how have these patterns changed through the warming Arctic climate?

To address this question, it was first necessary to ask: Is ERA5 up to the task of providing sufficiently reliable estimates of precipitation and its phase? Prior validation studies, as well as the work presented here based on the ASOS and ICOADS climatologies, argue that the answer is a qualified yes. While uncertainty remains, ERA5 has demonstrated consistency with the ICOADS records and the ASOS records (the AROSS database) in capturing precipitation phase. The study by Edel et al. (2020), based on CloudSat data, provides further supporting evidence, at least in a qualitative sense, showing that on an annual basis, the frequency of solid precipitation is greater than 70% over the Arctic Ocean, 95% over Greenland, with mixed precipitation (50% solid) over the North Atlantic. However, this study was limited to a four year period (January 2007 to December 2010) and CloudSat provides no coverage poleward of about ~~82°N~~.

Deleted: 80°N

Formatted: Font color: Auto

The general agreement between data sources is important given that the Arctic's surface station network is sparse and insufficient for capturing spatial precipitation patterns (Thoman et al. 2023). Therefore, reanalysis data remain the best tool for evaluating large-scale precipitation patterns (including phase) and trends across the region. However, this must be viewed with the caveats that the available observations for validation either cover a different time period than the reanalyses or do not provide full spatial coverage. Time coincident data is wanted. While further analysis of the AROSS database is warranted, this data set unfortunately provides no coverage over the Arctic Ocean for which to assess either seasonal patterns or trends in precipitation partitioning. Similarly, while for the Arctic as a whole, both the gauge network and ERA5 show that precipitation in the Arctic is increasing, the gauge network is insufficient to make meaningful comparisons with the spatial patterns of ERA5 trends. Yet another issue to be aware of is the known warm bias in surface air temperatures over the sea ice cover in ERA5 (Tian et al., 2024), which, especially if affecting a deeper part of the atmosphere, could influence precipitation phase.

This said, analysis of the ERA5 data records over the period 1979-2023 reveals a complex picture of a seasonally varying and evolving hydrological regime. Over most of the study region, annual precipitation falls mainly as snow, the clear exception being the Atlantic sector where temperatures are fairly high, reflecting the ice-free conditions. During summer, roughly half of precipitation still falls as snow, surprisingly similar to snowfall fractions based on ICOADS records for 1950-1999 when the Arctic was cooler. Analysis of the ERA5 data shows an increase in annual precipitation, primarily in the Norwegian, Barents and Kara Seas. Reports that precipitation increases for the Arctic viewed as a whole, in line with climate model predictions, must be tempered by recognition of these strong regional expressions of change. At least some of these regional increases likely have a convective component linked to winter sea ice loss. Apart from the north Atlantic sector, the liquid-to-total precipitation ratio has appreciably

Formatted: Font color: Auto

474 increased across much of the Arctic only during summer. Increases outside of the northern North Atlantic sector in
475 other seasons are much more limited.

476
477 With continuing warming, we can expect a more pronounced change in the **precipitation** ratios, which even in the
478 absence of changing precipitation amounts raises the concerns about cascading effects of rain on snow events on
479 Arctic ecology and the built environment. A key issue in this regard is that as the climate warms, the length of the
480 snow-covered season will decrease, implying an increase in rain on bare ground. A next step is to make further use
481 of the database compiled from the ASOS sites to provide comprehensive assessment of changes in rain on snow
482 events.

483
484 **Author Contributions:** ZIC and MCS planned the campaign; ZIC, APB and ENC created the figures; ZIC,
485 MCS, ENC, and APB analyzed the data; ZIC, ENC, and MCS wrote the manuscript draft; ZIC, MCS, ENC,
486 and APB reviewed and edited the manuscript.

487
488 **Data availability:** The ERA5 data were obtained from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (CDS):
489 <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/>. The data from the ASOS database are available here: [doi:10.18739/A2VT1GR83](https://doi.org/10.18739/A2VT1GR83).

490
491 **Acknowledgements:** This study was supported by NSF Grant NNA 1928230. The authors thank two anonymous
492 reviewers for their valuable insights and suggestions.

493 494 References

- 495
496 Barrett, A. P., Stroeve, J. C., and Serreze, M. C.: Arctic Ocean precipitation from atmospheric reanalyses and
497 comparisons with North Pole drifting station records, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 125, e2019JC015415,
498 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015415>, 2020.
- 499
500 Bartsch, A.: Spring snowmelt and midwinter thaw and refreeze north of 60°N based on SeaWinds QuikSCAT 2000–
501 2009, PANGAEA, <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.834198>, 2010a.
- 502
503 Bartsch, A.: Ten years of SeaWinds on QuikSCAT for snow applications, *Remote Sens.*, 2, 1142–1156,
504 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs2041142>, 2010b.
- 505
506 Bartsch, A., Kumpula, T., Forbes, B. C., and Stammler, F.: Detection of snow surface thawing and refreezing in the
507 Eurasian Arctic with QuikSCAT: implications for reindeer herding, *Ecol. Appl.*, 20, 2346–2358, 2010.
- 508
509 Bromwich, D. H., Wilson, A. B., Bai, L. S., Moore, J. A., Bauer, P., Brown, D., et al.: Arctic system reanalysis
510 version 2, *J. Climate*, 29(9), 3537–3560, 2016.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/>.

Deleted: [doi:10.18739/A2VT1GR83](https://doi.org/10.18739/A2VT1GR83)

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015415>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.834198>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs2041142>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550

Clark, M. P., Serreze, M. C., and Barry, R. G.: Characteristics of Arctic Ocean climate based on COADS data, 1980–1991, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 1953–1956, 1996.

Dou, T. F., Pan, S. F., Bintanja, R., and Xiao, C. D.: More frequent, intense, and extensive rainfall events in a strongly warming Arctic, *Earth's Future*, 10, e2021EF002378, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002378>, 2022.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002378>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Edel, L., Claud, C., Genthon, C., Palermé, C., Wood, N., L'Ecuyer, T., and Bromwich, D.: Arctic Snowfall from CloudSat Observations and Reanalyses, *J. Climate*, 33, 2093–2109, <https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-19-0105.1>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-19-0105.1>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

European Space Agency: Historic Greenland Ice Sheet rainfall unravelled, Retrieved from:

[https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/FutureEO/Historic Greenland ice sheet rainfall unravelled](https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/FutureEO/Historic_Greenland_ice_sheet_rainfall_unravelled), 2021.

Deleted: [https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/FutureEO/Historic Greenland ice sheet rainfall unravelled...](https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/FutureEO/Historic_Greenland_ice_sheet_rainfall_unravelled...)

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Forbes, B. C., Kumpula, T., Meschtyb, N., Laptander, R., Macias-Eauria, M., Zetterberg, P., Verdonen, M., Skarin, A., Kim, K.-Y., Boisvert, L.N., Stroeve, J.C., and Bartsch, A.: Sea ice, rain-on-snow and tundra reindeer nomadism in Arctic Russia, *Biol. Lett.*, 12, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0466>, 2016.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0466>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Graham, R. M., Rinke, A., and Maturilli, M.: Evaluation of Arctic precipitation from global atmospheric reanalyses, *J. Climate*, 32(20), 6945–6963, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0643.1>, 2019

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0643.1>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 146, 1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Hermann, M., Papritz, L., and Wernli, H.: A Lagrangian analysis of the dynamical and thermodynamic drivers of large-scale Greenland melt events during 1979–2017, *Wea. Clim. Dyn.*, 1, 497–518, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-1-497-2020>, 2020.

Lien, V. S., Schlichtholz, P., Skagseth, Ø., and Vikebø, F. B.: Wind-driven Atlantic water flow as a direct mode for reduced Barents Sea ice cover, *J. Climate*, 30, 803–812, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0025.1>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0025.1>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

560 Loeb, N. A., Crawford, A., Stroeve, J. C., and Hanesiak, J.: Extreme precipitation in the eastern Canadian Arctic and
561 Greenland: An evaluation of atmospheric reanalyses, *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 10,
562 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.866929>, 2022.

563
564 McCrystall, M. R., Stroeve, J. C., Serreze, M., Forbes, B. C., and Screen, J. A.: New climate models reveal faster
565 and larger increases in Arctic precipitation than previously projected, *Nat. Commun.*,
566 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27031-y>, 2021.

567
568 Moon, T.A., M.L. Druckenmiller, and R.L. Thoman, eds.; Arctic Report Card 2024, [https://doi.org/10.25923/b7c7-](https://doi.org/10.25923/b7c7-6431)
569 [6431](https://doi.org/10.25923/b7c7-6431), 2024.

570
571 Olafsson, H., and Okland, E.: Precipitation from convective boundary layers in Arctic air masses, *Tellus A*, 46(4),
572 4–13, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v46i1.15422>, 1994.

573
574 Rabier, F.: Overview of global data assimilation developments in numerical weather-prediction centres, *Q. J. R.*
575 *Meteorol. Soc.*, 131, 3215–3233, <https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.05.129>, 2005.

576
577 Rantanen, M., Karpechko, A. Y., Lipponen, A., Nordling, K., Hyvärinen, O., Ruosteenoja, K., Vihma, T., and
578 Laaksonen, A.: The Arctic has warmed nearly four times faster than the globe since 1979, *Commun. Earth Environ.*,
579 3, 168, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00498-3>, 2022.

580
581 Serreze, M.C., and Barrett, A.P.: The summer cyclone maximum over the central Arctic Ocean. *J. Clim.*, 21, 1048-
582 1065, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1810.1>, 2008.

583
584 Serreze, M. C., and Barry, R. G.: *The Arctic Climate System*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press., 2014.

585
586 Serreze, M.C., Box, J.E., Barry, R.G. and Walsh, J.E.: Characteristics of Arctic synoptic activity, 1952-1989, *Met.*
587 *Atmos. Phys.*, 1, 147-164, 1993.

588
589 Serreze, M.C., Maslanik, J.A., and Key, J.R.: Atmospheric and Sea Ice Characteristics of the Arctic Ocean and the
590 SHEBA Field Region in the Beaufort Sea, NSIDC Special Report -
591 4, https://nsidc.org/sites/default/files/nsidc_special_report_4.pdf, 1996.

592
593 Serreze, M. C., Carse, F., Barry, R. G., and Rogers, J. C.: Icelandic Low cyclone activity: Climatological features,
594 linkages with the NAO, and relationships with recent changes in the Northern Hemisphere circulation, *J. Climate*,
595 10, 453–464, 1997.

596

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.866929>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27031-y>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.25923/b7c7-6431>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v46i1.15422>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.05.129>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00498-3>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1810.1>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: https://nsidc.org/sites/default/files/nsidc_special_report_4.pdf

Formatted: Font color: Auto

606 Serreze, M. C., Gustavson, J., Barrett, A. P., Druckenmiller, M. L., Fox, S., Voveris, J., Stroeve, J., Sheffield, B.,
607 Forbes, B. C., Rasmus, S., Laptander, R., Brook, M., Brubaker, M., Temte, J., McCrystall, M. R., and Bartsch, A.:
608 Arctic rain-on-snow events: Bridging observations to understand environmental and livelihood impacts, *Environ.*
609 *Res. Lett.*, 16, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac269b>, 2021.

610
611 Serreze, M. C., Voveris, J., Barrett, A. P., Fox, S., Blanken, P. D., and Crawford, A.: Characteristics of extreme
612 daily precipitation events over the Canadian Arctic, *Int. J. Climatol.*, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7907>, 2022.

613
614 Simmons, A. J., Hersbach, H., Dee, D. P., Berrisford, P., and Poli, P.: Low-frequency variability and trends in
615 surface air temperature and precipitation analyses of the ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*,
616 147(739), 3076–3100, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4121>, 2021.

617
618 Tedesco, M., and Fettweis, X.: Unprecedented atmospheric conditions (1948-2019) drive the 2019 exceptional
619 melting season over the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 14, 1209-1223, [https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020)
620 [2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020), 2020.

621
622 The Guardian: Temperatures at North Pole 20 °C above average and beyond ice melting point, *The Guardian*,
623 [https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/feb/04/temperatures-at-north-pole-20c-above-average-and-beyond-](https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/feb/04/temperatures-at-north-pole-20c-above-average-and-beyond-ice-melting-point)
624 [ice-melting-point](https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/feb/04/temperatures-at-north-pole-20c-above-average-and-beyond-ice-melting-point), 2025.

625
626 Thoman, R.L., Moon, T.A., and Drukenmiller, M.L., Eds.: Arctic report card 2023, [https://doi.org/10.25923/5vfa-](https://doi.org/10.25923/5vfa-k694)
627 [k694](https://doi.org/10.25923/5vfa-k694), 2023.

628
629 Tian, T., Yang, S., Hoyer, J.L., Nielsen-Englyst, P., Singha, S.: Cooler Arctic surface temperatures simulated by
630 climate models are closer to satellite-based data than the ERA5 reanalysis, *Commun Earth Environ*, 5,
631 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01276-z>, 2024.

632
633 Tsukernik, M., Kindig, D.N. and Serreze M.C.: Characteristics of winter cyclone activity in the northern North
634 Atlantic: Insights from observations and regional modeling. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D03101,
635 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007184>, 2007.

636
637 Voveris, J., and M. Serreze: A tale of two events: Arctic rain-on-snow meteorological drivers. *Ann. Glaciol.*, 64,
638 194-205, <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2023.25>, 2023.

639
640 Walsh, J. E., Bigalke, S., McAfee, S. A., Lader, R., Serreze, M. C., and Ballinger, T. J.: Precipitation, in: NOAA
641 Arctic Report Card 2023, <https://doi.org/10.25923/hcm7-az41>, 2023.

642

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac269b>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7907>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4121>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020>

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Pattern: Clear, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/feb/04/temperatures-at-north-pole-20c-above-average-and-beyond-ice-melting-point>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.25923/5vfa-k694>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01276-z>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007184>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2023.25>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.25923/hcm7-az41>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

655 Xiong, W., Tang, G., Wang, T., Ma, Z., and Wan, W.: Evaluation of IMERG and ERA5 precipitation-phase
656 partitioning on the global scale, *Water*, 14(7), 1122, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071122>, 2022.
657
658 Zhao, T., Fu, C., Ke, Z., and Guo, W.: Global atmosphere reanalysis datasets: Current status and recent advances,
659 *Adv. Earth Sci.*, 25(3), 241, 2010.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071122>

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Page 15: [1] Formatted

Elizabeth Cassano

3/14/26 11:55:00 AM

Font color: Auto

Page 15: [1] Formatted

Elizabeth Cassano

3/14/26 11:55:00 AM

Font color: Auto