



# Freshly calved icebergs from Sermeq Kujalleq in Kangia, Greenland: is their blue ice temperate?

Antoine Zaninetti<sup>1</sup>, Martin P. Lüthi<sup>1</sup>, Adrien Wehrlé<sup>1</sup>, Janneke Van Ginkel<sup>2</sup>, and Ana Nap<sup>1</sup>

**Correspondence:** Antoine Zaninetti (a.zaninetti@laposte.net)

#### Abstract.

Blue ice on the freshly calved icebergs from Sermeq Kujalleq in Kangia, Greenland, is a striking feature which is currently barely understood. Its extent and properties provide important insights into the flow dynamics of this polar ice stream, since it occupies the bottom-most quarter of the total ice thickness, and it has been conjectured that the blue ice is temperate. Here, we document the phenomenon with ground-based time-lapse camera images, theodolite measurements, thermal imagery, and multi-spectral satellite imaging from Sentinel-2. The blue ice shows intriguing properties as its reflectance spectrum stands out from other types of ice and resembles that of water. The blue ice whitens under the action of solar radiation, potentially caused by the drainage of liquid water, which would indicate temperate conditions. Thermal imaging on the other hand refutes this interpretation, indicating that blue ice is colder than white ice when using a standard thermal emissivity. Freshly calved icebergs offer a unique opportunity to explore properties and structures (layering, folding) of ice which are formed at great depth within polar ice streams.

#### 1 Introduction

The huge, freshly calved icebergs from Sermeq Kujalleq in Kangia (SKK, West Greenland; also known as Jakobshavn Isbræ) often feature portions with strikingly blue and green-greyish ice. This phenomenon, although not unique and also observable at several other polar ice streams, is iconic of SKK due to recurrent calving of blue icebergs with volumes of the order 10<sup>7</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> m<sup>3</sup>.

Green and blue icebergs from beneath the Antarctic ice shelves have also been documented (Warren et al., 2019) but originate from marine ice formation, while at SKK these colors already exist within the ice stream. Except from the qualitative conclusion that this blue ice could be temperate (Lüthi et al., 2009), no quantitative investigations about the properties of this ice have been performed to date. If the blue ice were indeed temperate, such in-situ observations would offer an alternative to numerical models to constrain the polythermal structure of polar ice streams. Since the thickness of temperate ice within polar ice streams

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of Geography, University of Zurich, 8052 Zurich, Switzerland


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Swiss Seismological Service SED, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland





has only been modeled or extrapolated from boreholes measurements until now, this would form an important milestone in the understanding of the mechanisms of the fast flow of polar ice streams.

In this study, we investigate one of the most productive ice stream of the Greenland ice sheet in terms of calving flux (Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006) to catch a glimpse on englacial conditions to investigate properties otherwise observable only in deep boreholes and with considerable effort. As direct in-situ investigations of freshly calved icebergs would be logistically challenging and dangerous, our investigations rely on ground-based and satellite remote sensing. The characteristics of glacier ice were investigated with special focus on the possibility that the blue ice is temperate and contains liquid water.



**Figure 1.** Illustration of the study site. Sentinel-2 satellite image of SKK terminus area with calving front and freshly calved blue icebergs (red square). Velocity vectors (red) highlight the rapid and convergent flow in the ice stream (Joughin et al., 2011). Pink points with letters A, B, C indicate borehole locations. Inset shows the location of SKK on a map of GrIS surface velocities (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2020). Satellite image: Sentinel-2 L2A, 2021/05/14, modified Copernicus Sentinel data

## 2 Data & Methods

### 2.1 Study site description

The fast flow of SKK, one of the main ice streams draining 6.5% of the Greenland (Kalaallit Nunaat) ice sheet, has been thoroughly studied during the last decades (e.g. Rignot and Mouginot, 2012). The ice flows through a 1600 m deep trough,





reaching a thickness of 2500 m (Clarke and Echelmeyer, 1996) and a surface velocity of 7-8 km a<sup>-1</sup> in the through and up to 14 km a<sup>-1</sup> at the terminus (Joughin et al., 2011).

Deep boreholes were drilled through the ice stream to elucidate the mechanisms of fast flow (Iken et al., 1993). At the ice stream margins, temperate ice close to the bed was discovered at sites A and C (Fig. 1) but not at central site B where drilling stopped far above the bed. From extrapolation of measured temperature profiles it was concluded that the fast flow is caused by the enhanced deformation of a thick basal layer of temperate ice within the trough. These findings were supported by numerical models of increasing complexity (Funk et al., 1994; Bondzio et al., 2017; Poinar et al., 2017).

### 2.2 Satellite multispectral imaging

Multi-spectral satellite imagery from the Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-3 platforms were retrieved from Sentinel Hub (2017-2023). We used Level-2A processing, an atmospherically corrected ortho-image representing the bottom of atmosphere (BOA) reflectance. Sentinel-2 provides 12 bands and has a revisit time of 1 to 2 days at SKK. It was used to derive reflectance spectra in the visible (VIS, 4 bands), near infrared (NIR, 6 bands) and shortwave infrared (SWIR, 2 bands) with a spatial resolution of between 10 and 60 m. Sentinel-3 passes every day and was only used to determine the time of calving since its spatial resolution (300 m) was too coarse for the scope of this study.

Blue ice was identified on freshly calved icebergs using true-color Sentinel-2 images. To ensure the most uniform reflectance conditions, shaded icebergs and those exhibiting specular reflection (very bright icebergs) were excluded. A catalog of 24 icebergs (or iceberg ensembles) featuring blue ice between 2017 and 2022 was created. For comparison, 24 white icebergs were chosen from many that could be found in the fjord. Finally, we also extracted mean reflectance from 4 shallow melt water ponds at the surface of icebergs, and the reflectance of a lake on land with little suspended sediments in the surroundings of the area (50.87°W, 69.09°N) on 2023/08/17.

From the 24 blue icebergs, 9 were tracked and the evolution of the reflectance spectra and blue color index was studied over 13 days. These 9 icebergs were divided into two groups: 6 of them calved between May and mid-August, when solar radiation was at its peak. Three others calved on the same day on 2021/09/18, when solar radiation had already significantly decreased.

The blue color in the visible spectrum was quantified with an index (referenced as blue color index) comparing the magnitude of the blue channel to that of red, green and blue channels (Sentinel-2 bands 4, 3, and 2)

$$B_{index} = \frac{blue}{red + green + blue} = \frac{band 2}{band 4 + band 3 + band 2}.$$
 (1)

To quantify the similarity of the obtained reflectance spectra among each other, and with reference spectra, we quantify the magnitude differences between spectra using the root mean square difference (RMSD), the linear relationships using the Pearson correlation coefficient and the monotonic relationships using the Spearman's rank correlation.





#### 2.3 Field measurements

Field measurements during 16 days were conducted on the south shore of SKK near the calving front (green ellipse on Fig. 1) between 2023/07/03 and 2023/07/18. Numerous RGB pictures of freshly calved icebergs were taken from the camp and its vicinity, using digital cameras (Samsung NX300, Canon EOS 7D, Nikon D5200). Calving sequences were directly observed, and images from a stationary time-lapse sequence with 10 seconds interval were used (Canon EOS 70D).

The dimensions of freshly calved icebergs were measured by triangulation with a theodolite from two tripods. This method also allowed to locate the various ice layers, especially blue ice and sediments, with an accuracy of 15 m in the horizontal and 3 m in the vertical (Lüthi et al., 2009). The theodolite (Leica TCR1101) was mounted consecutively on two survey tripods spaced by 240 m in the vicinity of the camp. The distance to the target icebergs was between 2.5 and 6.0 km. Theodolite measurements were performed within 15 minutes after the ice mélange stopped moving after a calving event.

Thermal images were acquired to measure the temperature of freshly calved icebergs with a pixel resolution of 5.5 m at 4 km distance. The thermal camera was a FLIR T440bx, with an uncooled infrared detector sensing between 7.5-13  $\mu$ m, providing pictures of 320x240 pixels. Processing was performed with the open source software IRimage (Irujo, 2022). After alignment of thermal and RGB images, temperature and blue color index were extracted along a profile (presumably vertical before calving) on three icebergs with adjacent portions of blue and white ice.

### 3 Results

Six freshly calved icebergs with blue ice were analysed during the 2023 field campaign. A total of 48 icebergs with blue or white ice were identified from Sentinel-2 satellite imagery. Table 1 summarizes the different icebergs observed in the field or with satellite multispectral imaging, the investigation methods used and references to illustrations.

Iceberg	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	В6	Ensemble (n=48)
Observed	Field	Field	Field	Field	Field	Field	Sentinel-2 satellite
Date of calving	2023-07-05	2023-07-05	2023-07-14	2023-07-05	2023-07-10	2023-07-04	2017-2022
Time of calving UTC	19:50	02:40	19:45	00:10	21:00	13:00	
Temperature meas.			Yes	Yes	Yes		
Reflectance meas.							Yes
Special characteristics	layering	folding				whitening	
Displayed in figure	2	2	3, 7	3, 7	3, 7	5	4, 6

**Table 1.** Summary of investigation methods and figures of the icebergs observed in the field or from satellite multispectral imaging Sentinel-2.






## 3.1 Blue ice and bottom characteristics of the ice stream


Based on numerous images taken over the past 20 years (Lüthi et al., 2009) and from observations in the field, blue ice is visible during almost all major calving events and appears to be independent of the position of the iceberg along the calving front, indicating that it exists across the entire width of the ice stream. The blue ice rises to the surface and is located at the foot of icebergs after they have rotated backwards in one large piece. For icebergs that rise vertically out of the water, the upper part emerging out of the water disintegrates, leaving the lower portion presenting blue ice rising by buoyancy. Blue ice was only observed in the bottom half of the glacier thickness.

Figure 2 shows the bottom structure of two icebergs. B1 (Fig. 2, B1) exhibits a blue layer with a thickness of at least 200 m (the upper limit of blue ice cannot be deduced precisely due to white ice dust covering the iceberg). Immediately beneath the blue layer (left on the picture) lies a gray-greenish layer approximately 50 m thick, followed by a lighter layer of 120 m crossed by two thin layers of dark sediment or dust. The bottom consists of a 150 m thick layered structure, with sediment layers intertwined with blue or white ice. B2 (Fig. 2, B2) shows an extended area of blue ice. A folded sequence including green-greyish ice, white ice and sediment layers is clearly observable, with an amplitude of around 100 m.









**Figure 2.** Icebergs B1 and B2 show large portions of blue (B) and green-greyish (gg, delimited in red) ice, and contain dark banded structures (db) and folds (red arrows). The scale in B2 could not be measured, but the displayed width amounts to ca. 500 m

## 95 3.2 Blue ice temperature from field thermal imaging

100


Surface temperatures from thermal imagery were determined along profiles on three icebergs (Fig. 3). Temperature is not absolute but on all profiles, the blue ice is relatively colder than the white ice. On B3, a clear temperature drop of 4 °C occurs as the ice turns blue. This phenomenon is also visible on B4, with a temperature drop of 3 °C, but barely visible on B5, with a decrease of only 0.5 °C. Largest temperature variations are respectively of 0.7, 0.3 and 0.1 K/10m, all at the transition between blue and white ice.



105

110





**Figure 3.** Temperature profiles along icebergs. The top row (a, b, c) shows photographs of icebergs. The arrows point downwards in the original iceberg orientation (orientation of B4 is uncertain). The middle row (d, e, f) shows approximate temperature (red curve, left axis) and blue color index (blue curve, right axis) variations along the iceberg. Distance starts from the lower extremity of the iceberg and goes towards its top. The bottom row (g, h, i) displays thermal images. Parameters used for processing are displayed below.

## 3.3 Blue ice characteristics from multispectral satellite imaging


## 3.3.1 Spectral properties and color after calving

Mean reflectances were derived on the set of 24 blue icebergs and 24 white icebergs from Sentinel-2 multi-spectral satellite imagery. In the VIS and NIR, i.e. bands 1 to 9, blue icebergs have lower reflectances than white icebergs (Fig. 4a). Reflections on all bands are low except towards the blue/green bands. In the SWIR, on bands 11 and 12 (Fig. 4b), both blue and white icebergs show very low reflectances of the order 0.01. However, boxplot distributions show that blue iceberg reflectances are significantly smaller and distinct from that of white icebergs. Statistically, a Mann-Whitney U test returning p-values of 10<sup>-4</sup> for the bands 11 and 12 indicates that it is very unlikely that blue and white icebergs have the same reflectances in the SWIR.

These results are compared with the water reflectance from a lake on land and the meltwater ponds situated at the surface of four icebergs (an example of a pond is visible in Fig. 5). The reflectance of a lake shows low values throughout the spectra: 0.01 in the VIS, 0.001 in the NIR and SWIR. Except at band 1 and 9, freshwater ponds on icebergs show lower reflectances than the blue icebergs. The reflectance values and the spectra shapes in the VIS and NIR are very similar to those of the blue icebergs, confirmed by statistical analysis (Table 2). Significantly smaller values are only found in the SWIR, similar to those of the lake (0.001).







**Figure 4.** Sentinel-2 reflectances of different features in the VIS, NIR and SWIR domains. Mean blue ice reflectance (from 24 icebergs, in blue), white ice reflectance (from 24 icebergs, in grey) and supraglacial meltwater pond reflectance (from 4 icebergs, in orange) are displayed with standard deviation (colored area). Lake reflectance is also displayed in black line at the bottom, as well as references for BIA in turquoise and clean bare ice in red. The reflectance evolution of the set of 6 icebergs on days 2, 3 and 12 after calving is displayed with dash-dotted lines. In panel a, bands 1 to 9 are drawn as a continuous spectrum. In panel b, vertical axis is zoomed and SWIR bands 11 and 12 are displayed separately in boxplots.

These results are qualitatively compared in Figure 4 and statistically in Table 2 with literature references for clean algae-free bare ice (Stibal et al., 2017) and blue ice from Antarctica (BIA, Hui et al. (2014)). White ice has similar values and shape to reference clean bare ice in VIS and NIR, although values of the latter are slightly lower. It also shows very good agreement with the reflectance of BIA.






	Pond		Clean bare ice		BIA			White ice				
	1-RMSD	Pear.	Spear.	1-RMSD	Pear.	Spear.	1-RMSD	Pear.	Spear.	RMSD	Pear.	Spear.
Blue ice	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.44	0.62	0.72	0.59	0.83	0.83	0.53	0.76	0.83
White ice	0.58	0.49	0.71	0.84	0.96	0.99	0.92	0.99	0.99			

**Table 2.** Blue and white ice spectrum shapes (rows) are compared with different features (columns: freshwater ponds on icebergs, clean bare ice and BIA) to investigate the spectrum similarities. Values close to one indicate similar spectra. Best agreement between one feature among blue or white ice is shown in bold. Blue ice is also directly compared to white ice to show benchmark values before interpreting other statistics. See Section 2.2 for description of the statistics used.

## 3.3.2 Long-term evolution of iceberg color

In what follows, we investigate the long-term evolution of the reflectance spectra of six icebergs that calved between May and mid-August (blue dash-dotted lines in Fig. 4). In VIS and NIR, reflectances strongly increase and reach values of the white ice. The gain is significant during the first two days with 60% of the total change, after which they continue at a lower rate, reaching the range of white iceberg values 12 days after calving. In the SWIR, the reflectance first decreases around 30% between day 0 and day 3, before increasing to values in the range of white icebergs on day 12.




**Figure 5.** Evolution of the blue color of B6. Black crosses and dotted lines are used to show distances and orientation change of the iceberg. In panel a, a pond is similar to those studied earlier, except that water was trapped during calving (no melt water). In panel b, an area about to collapse is circled in red. In panel c, blue ice is revealed after the surface layer collapsed.

Focusing on the visible range, the bleaching of the blue color can be observed qualitatively in Figure 5. The ice color turns to grey, and then to white within 12 to 48 hours. The process seems to happen only at the surface: 12-24 hours after calving, a part of an iceberg that collapsed revealed blue ice underneath, which subsequently underwent the same bleaching process.







**Figure 6.** Evolution of the blue color index of initially blue icebergs in the cases of stronger and weaker solar radiation (solid and empty symbols), with exponential fits for each (solid and dashed lines). Comparison with index of white icebergs and references for BIA and clean bare ice are displayed as solid lines of various colors. Horizontal error bars indicate the uncertainty in the determination of the calving time ( $\pm$  0.5 day) due to the almost simultaneous passage of the different satellites (only shown on the first symbols for readability).

In a complementary fashion, we specifically quantified the blue color bleaching with satellite imagery in Figure 6. We determined the day of calving and computed the blue color index (Eq. 1). The icebergs were divided into groups with strong and weak solar radiation, corresponding to summer and autumn. For both groups, the blue channel dominates immediately after calving, before decreasing and approaching the blue color index of white icebergs. An exponential decay curve can be fitted to both high and low solar radiation groups with respective time scales of 0.4 and 1.0 day. The bleaching of the blue color occurred faster during conditions with high solar radiation.

#### 4 Discussion

130

135

140

## 4.1 Blue ice reflectance spectra and relation to temperate ice

While the reflectance spectra of white icebergs exhibit the classical shape for glacier ice found in the literature (Stibal et al., 2017), blue icebergs show markedly distinct reflectances. They are more attenuated than the white ice, and also differ markedly from the well studied BIA (Hui et al., 2014). Since no reference spectra were found for temperate ice, they could not be compared to our blue ice spectra. Instead, the reduced reflectances of water and water-related surfaces obtained with multispectral imaging were used.



145

150

165

170

175



Water, unless it contains large amounts of sediments, is known to be a strongly radiation-absorbing substance at all wave lengths, demonstrated by the very attenuated reflectance of a lake (Fig. 4). However, temperate ice contains only 0.1 to 4% of liquid water (Pettersson et al., 2004), such that its reflectance would not be as strongly attenuated as that of the lake. However, the presence of englacial liquid water in blue icebergs may explain their lower reflectance as compared to white icebergs.

Beyond liquid water content, other factors might contribute to the reduced reflectance of the blue ice. Larger grain size or lower air bubble content can significantly reduce reflectance and could make the ice appear blue, as water does (e.g. Bohren, 1983; Dadic et al., 2013). Bi-directional reflectance could also have an impact, especially on complex iceberg topographies (Winther, 1994). It however appears in the mentioned literature that the impact of larger grain size, lower porosity or lower viewing angle rather shifts the reflectance downwards, without altering the concave shape of the spectra. Moreover, BIA reflectance obtained with a on-site spectroradiometer is surprisingly more similar to SKK white ice than to its blue ice. Because the color of BIA is attributed to large grain size and low air bubble content (both achieved through the overlying ice pressure over thousands of years, similarly to SKK white ice), this suggests that a different mechanism is behind the intriguing spectral properties of SKK blue ice.

An alternative comparison can be made with shallow melt water ponds forming on iceberg surfaces. The reflectance of such lakes is particularly interesting as the top layer of water is not opaque. Thus, the reflectance over this surface is a combination of the water and underlying white ice reflectances, which are also the two constituents of temperate ice, and very similar to the blue ice reflectance. This resemblance in spectral characteristics supports the hypothesis that the blue ice reflectance is linked to the presence of englacial liquid water (Fig. 4).

The evolution of the spectra of blue icebergs seems directly linked to radiation, since icebergs calving at the time of the radiation maximum whiten faster than those calving mid-September. Moreover, blue ice is still found under the whitening surface layer. We interpret this as the formation of a weathering crust at their surface (Müller and Keeler, 1969). More radiation is absorbed along grain boundaries (Ambach, 1955), which opens the veins between ice grains. Englacial liquid water seems to be a plausible explanation of a highly absorbing substance that drains out of the ice by gravity when the veins are opened and is replaced by air, thus explaining the strong reflectance increase and whitening of the blue icebergs.

However, the quantification of the relation between the solar radiation and the blue color bleaching is only a crude approximate approach, because factors influencing the solar radiation (cloud cover, time of the day) are not taken into account here. The robustness of the method is also limited since the three icebergs from weaker radiation conditions calved on the same day. A larger iceberg ensemble is needed to assess the effect of weaker radiation. Further investigations should also involve determination of the time of calving using new seismic data, and a approximate surface energy budget using temperature and solar radiation data. These data were not available over the Sentinel-2 image period.

Finally, considering the similarity in shape and magnitude of spectra of ponds and blue ice, the clear distinction of blue ice spectra with the large-grained, low porosity BIA and the spectral evolution of the blue ice, we suggest that englacial liquid water is a plausible possible cause for interpretation of the color of the blue icebergs. Thus, blue ice would be temperate. However, beyond the similarity of the reflectance spectra, it is crucial to acknowledge that the physics behind the observations may be very different and the results only a coincidental outcome.





## 4.2 Thermal imaging insights

#### **4.2.1** Biases

180

185

190

195

Drawing conclusions from the FLIR thermal camera absolute temperature measurements is difficult. The algorithm converting the raw sensor values into temperature is very sensitive to the air temperature and can shift the measured object temperature by up to 1 K for a 1 K change in air temperature. Over distances of several kilometers, various surfaces (bare soil and ice) and changing altitudes, accurately estimating this parameter is impossible. However, relative temperature differences remain similar. On the other hand, the ice will warm up on contact with ambient air if the photo is taken a few hours after calving, reducing the temperature contrast (as is the case for B4), or if it was in contact with seawater before calving (a possible explanation for the almost uniform temperature profile of B5). Most importantly, there is no significant heat loss process; thus, the temperature of the ice observed can only be warmer than it was in the ice stream.

### 4.3 Comparison with the borehole temperature profiles


We tentatively explore the similarity of the temperature profiles measured on initially vertical icebergs and the borehole temperature profile measured by Iken et al. (1993). We scale the vertical axis of the icebergs assuming a thickness of 900 m at the calving front and 2500 m within the ice stream. This linear scaling might not correspond to reality, as inference from different studies have shown (Funk et al., 1994; Lüthi et al., 2002). Ice color and temperature of the three icebergs from Figure 3 are plotted against relative depth in Figure 7.

Icebergs B3 and B4 are arbitrarily situated in the ice column displayed in Figure 7. Since they rose from the water 30 to 60 seconds later in a second phase after the disintegration of the upper part, we only know that they stem from the bottom half of the ice column. They feature a cold blue zone, with temperatures rising below this to temperate conditions. The shape of their temperature profiles are similar to that from the borehole, although the magnitudes are not the same. Iceberg B5 calved as a nearly full-thickness iceberg where the top was preserved. Thus we use the top as a reference to scale its depth. It shows nearly constant temperatures, except for the slightly colder blue ice at the bottom (0.7 to 0.9 relative depth), striking with the curvature from the borehole profile.

Given the employed linear vertical scaling, B3 and B4 graphically show that their blue ice might correspond to the core of very cold ice (-22°C) measured in the borehole. In contrast, on B5, the cold core of blue ice is situated almost at the bottom of the ice stream, much deeper than the cold minimum at 0.5 in the borehole.







**Figure 7.** Temperature (T) and blue color index profiles scaled and located into the ice column. The icebergs B3, B4 and B5 from Fig. 3 are scaled and situated in the ice column to be compared with the temperature profile at drill site B (black line) and its extrapolation (dashed line, Funk et al. (1994)). Temperature is plotted on the horizontal axis as a function of relative depth. Ice color is represented by the color of the points (dark blue indicates blue ice). Vertical positions of B3 and B4 are uncertain.

#### 4.4 Contradictions about the blue ice temperature

205

The spectral and thermal characteristics of the blue ice are contradictory. Assuming that the blue ice is cold (i.e. contains no liquid water), the interpretation based on spectral measurements claiming that blue ice is temperate would be wrong. In contrast, assuming that blue ice is temperate, but appears cold through a thermal camera. One possible explanation is that the emissivity of the blue ice is different from the value used in our interpretation, leading to a lower inferred temperature of the blue ice than is actually the case: the literature value of 0.98 used above might be too high, and might be considerably different outside laboratory conditions. In the microwave range, for example, emissivities for different kinds of ice can reach values as low as 0.6 (Hewison and English, 1999). No literature values from observations could be found in the thermal infrared for the various types of ice, such as sedimentary ice with variable air bubble content, grain size, or containing liquid water.



215

220

225

230

235



Emissivity  $\epsilon$  is a crucial parameter in thermal imaging as it is used to account for the radiation from the surroundings reflected at the surface of the measured object (called reflectivity r)  $r=1-\epsilon$ . The lower the emissivity, the higher the reflectivity. In our case, decreasing the emissivity increases the computed object temperature. To investigate by how much this effect influences derived temperature, the equation converting raw sensor values into temperature was inverted using a fixed emissivity.

We initially computed the ice temperature by processing the raw sensor values assuming an emissivity of 0.98. In the following, we assume that the blue ice is temperate (or at least, at the temperature of the adjacent white ice). We revert the algorithm and we compute the ice emissivity by processing the raw sensor values, setting the temperature to 0°C. On B3, where the sensor values between the blue and white ice show the largest variation, the blue ice emissivity would drop to 0.70, if it were at the pressure melting temperature (or to 0.80 for the temperature of the adjacent white ice). Given these calculations, it is possible that blue ice is temperate if it has a very low emissivity that makes it appear colder. Under these assumptions, the increase of ice temperature within a few hours (observed with fixed emissivity) can be translated into an increase of the emissivity (if the ice remains temperate), e.g. due to the drainage of the water from the temperate ice.

#### 4.5 Significance

In this study the properties of the blue ice observed on the freshly calved icebergs at SKK were investigated. These icebergs are particularly interesting because they offer a direct glimpse of the ice that is located deep within the ice stream and would otherwise only be accessible in boreholes. The blue ice layer is 200-250 m thick which amounts to one quarter of the total thickness of the ice stream. The vertical position of the blue ice is not necessarily at the bottom of the ice stream (Lüthi et al., 2009), as the icebergs show a complicated layering structure of sediment-rich ice, white, green and blue ice.

The properties of the blue color and its time evolution after calving could not be unequivocally be determined. From multispectral satellite imaging, attenuated reflectances similar to water-related surfaces and different from large-grained blue ice from Antarctica with low air bubble content, hint at a different cause. Englacial liquid water between ice grains that drains after solar radiation opens the veins (or possibly dilated due to overburden pressure drop) between the ice grains, would be a valid explanation. contrast, in thermal camera measurements the blue ice appears colder than white ice and suggests that it corresponds to the core of cold ice situated around mid-depth in the ice column.

Conclusively answering the crucial question whether blue ice is temperate is not possible based on our measurements. If it were temperate, it should be at the pressure melting point temperature, which requires an implausibly low thermal emissivity of the blue ice. On the other hand, such a thick layer of cold ice located near the bottom of the ice stream is difficult to explain regarding the high ice deformation rates, unless complex flow patterns from convergent ice flows have completely rearranged the original thermal structure.

In addition to the search for temperate ice, freshly calved icebergs reveal numerous structures (layers of different colors, large-scale folds) that are directly linked to complex and variable flow dynamics. The tributaries merging into the main ice stream likely create these complex structures, certainly accentuated by flow variability. These observations may confirm the numerical modeling of the fold formation in a convergent flow with a bottom layer of temperate ice (Zhang et al., 2024).



250

255



Understanding these observations hinting to complex flow patterns requires comparison of detailed numerical modeling results
with complementary sets of field observations to better constrain the mechanisms of fast ice stream flow.

#### 4.6 Pathways for further research

The limitations of our methods are due to the relatively coarse spectral resolution of Sentinel-2 (10 to 60 meters depending on the bands), inaccurate processing parameters (air temperature, emissivity) and altered ice temperature (heat from the sea, air) in thermal imaging. To resolve the contradictions discovered in this study, and to better understand the fast flow mechanisms of SKK in relation to the blue ice layer, drilling a borehole down to the bedrock in the center of the ice stream is certainly the most desirable method. However, to remain consistent with the relatively simple methods used in this study, we recommend the following research. (1) Drill into freshly calved icebergs, measure temperature and take ice samples. Such work would be possible from helicopters, if readily available, and might soon be achievable with sophisticated UAVs. (2) Use hyperspectral satellites such as EnMAP or PRISMA to derive reflectance spectra with high spectral resolution and focus on liquid water absorption bands to infer temperate ice. Alternatively, fly a multispectral or hyperspectral drone to obtain better spatial resolution. The latter method would also be useful to study the properties of the thinner green-grayish layers. (3) Determine from which part of the calving front the various icebergs stem. Possibly, the layering structure is variable along the calving front, which might help to understand the confluent merging dynamics.

## 5 Conclusions

By providing a direct view of the ice deeply buried in the ice stream, the numerous freshly calved icebergs from SKK have a large potential to better understand the complex and fast flow dynamics of this ice stream. In this study, we used satellite multispectral and thermal imaging to better constrain the characteristics of the 200-250 m thick layer of blue ice, which is not always situated at the bottom of the ice stream. We are not able to decide whether blue ice is temperate or not since there are possible hints of liquid water content using satellite multispectral imaging, but thermal imaging shows that the ice is not at the pressure melting point. Further research could prove that the blue ice is not temperate, and the observed similarity of its reflectance spectra with water-related elements is due to some as yet unknown reason. Alternatively the ice is temperate, but its emissivity is lower than the values reported in the literature. Finally, results from the present study invite future SKK modeling studies to go beyond the purely viscous flow of the ice. Folding, faulting and stick-slip motion are consequences of complex merging processes in the convergent flow that should be modelled for accurate future predictions.

270 Author contributions. A. Z. and M. P. L. conducted the analysis and wrote the manuscript. A. W., J. v. G. and A. N. helped to perform the measurements and interpret the results.





Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. Financial support. This research was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF grant 200020\_197015).

Janneke van Ginkel is funded by an ETH Postdoctoral Fellowship (22-1 FEL-22) and the field project received funding from the Swiss Polar

Institute and BNP Paribas Swiss Foundation (grant number PAF-2023-003).





#### References

285

290

305

- Ambach, W.: Über die Strahlungsdurchlässigkeit des Gletschereises, Sitzungsberichte d. Österr. Akademie d. Wissenschaften. Math.-naturwiss. Klasse, pp. 483–494, 1955.
- Bohren, C. F.: Colors of snow, frozen waterfalls, and icebergs, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 73, 1646–1652, https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.73.001646, 1983.
  - Bondzio, J. H., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., Kleiner, T., Rückamp, M., Mouginot, J., Moon, T., Larour, E. Y., and Humbert, A.: The mechanisms behind Jakobshavn Isbræ's acceleration and mass loss: A 3-D thermomechanical model study, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 6252–6260, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073309, 2017.
  - Clarke, T. S. and Echelmeyer, K.: Seismic-reflection evidence for a deep subglacial trough beneath Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland, J. Glaciol., 42, 219–232, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000004081, 1996.
  - Copernicus Climate Change Service: Greenland ice sheet annual gridded velocity data from 2017 to present derived from satellite observation, Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.0b96b838, 2020.
  - Dadic, R., Mullen, P. C., Schneebeli, M., Brandt, R. E., and Warren, S. G.: Effects of bubbles, cracks, and volcanic tephra on the spectral albedo of bare ice near the Transantarctic Mountains: Implications for sea glaciers on Snowball Earth, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 118, 1658–1676, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20098, 2013.
  - Funk, M., Echelmeyer, K., and Iken, A.: Mechanisms of fast flow in Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland: Part II. Modeling of englacial temperatures, J. Glaciol., 40, 569–585, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000012466, 1994.
  - Hewison, T. and English, S.: Airborne retrievals of snow and ice surface emissivity at millimeter wavelengths, IEEE T Geosci Remote, 37, 1871–1879, https://doi.org/10.1109/36.774700, 1999.
- Hui, F., Ci, T., Cheng, X., Scambo, T. A., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Chi, Z., Huang, H., Wang, X., Wang, F., et al.: Mapping blue-ice areas in Antarctica using ETM+ and MODIS data, Ann. Glaciol., 55, 129–137, https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG66A069, 2014.
  - Iken, A., Echelmeyer, K., Harrison, W., and Funk, M.: Mechanisms of fast flow in Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland: Part I. Measurements of temperature and water level in deep boreholes, J. Glaciol., 39, 15–25, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000015689, 1993.
- Irujo, G. P.: IRimage: open source software for processing images from infrared thermal cameras, PeerJ Computer Science, 8, e977, https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.977, 2022.
  - Joughin, I., Howat, I., Smith, B., and Scambos, T.: MEaSUREs Greenland Ice Velocity: Selected Glacier Site Velocity Maps from InSAR, Version 1, https://doi.org/10.5067/MEASURES/CRYOSPHERE/NSIDC-0481.001, updated 2019, 2011.
  - Lüthi, M. P., Funk, M., Iken, A., Gogineni, S., and Truffer, M.: Mechanisms of fast flow in Jakobshavns Isbrae, Greenland; Part III: measurements of ice deformation, temperature and cross-borehole conductivity in boreholes to the bedrock, Journal of Glaciology, 48, 369–385, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756502781831322, 2002.
  - Lüthi, M. P., Fahnestock, M., and Truffer, M.: Calving icebergs indicate a thick layer of temperate ice at the base of Jakobshavn Isbræ, Greenland, J. Glaciol., 55, 563–566, https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309788816650, 2009.
  - Müller, F. and Keeler, C. M.: Errors in Short-Term Ablation Measurements on Melting Ice Surfaces, J. Glaciol., 8, 91–105, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000020785, 1969.
- Pettersson, R., Jansson, P., and Blatter, H.: Spatial variability in water content at the cold-temperate transition surface of the polythermal Storglaciären, Sweden, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, F02 009, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JF000110, 2004.





- Poinar, K., Joughin, I., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Englacial Latent-Heat Transfer Has Limited Influence on Seaward Ice Flux in Western Greenland, Journal of Glaciology, 63, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.103, 2017.
- Rignot, E. and Kanagaratnam, P.: Changes in the Velocity Structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Science, 311, 986–990, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121381, 2006.
  - Rignot, E. and Mouginot, J.: Ice flow in Greenland for the International Polar Year 2008–2009, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051634, 2012.
  - Sentinel Hub: Sentinel Hub EO Browser, https://apps.sentinel-hub.com/eo-browser/, 2017-2023.
- Stibal, M., Box, J. E., Cameron, K. A., Langen, P. L., Yallop, M. L., Mottram, R. H., Khan, A. L., Molotch, N. P., Chrismas, N. A. M., Calì Quaglia, F., Remias, D., Smeets, C. J. P. P., van den Broeke, M. R., Ryan, J. C., Hubbard, A., Tranter, M., van As, D., and Ahlstrøm, A. P.: Algae Drive Enhanced Darkening of Bare Ice on the Greenland Ice Sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 11,463–11,471, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075958, 2017.
  - Warren, S. G., Roesler, C. S., Brandt, R. E., and Curran, M.: Green Icebergs Revisited, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 925–938, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014479, 2019.
- Winther, J.-G.: Spectral bi-directional reflectance of snow and glacier ice measured in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, Ann. Glaciol., 20, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.3189/1994AoG20-1-1-5, 1994.
  - Zhang, Y., Sachau, T., Franke, S., Yang, H., Li, D., Weikusat, I., and Bons, P. D.: Formation mechanisms of large-scale folding in Greenland's ice sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 51, e2024GL109 492, https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GL109492, 2024.