



Laboratory characterization of furan, 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethyl furan, and maleic anhydride measured by PTR-ToF-MS

Wade Permar¹, Mercedes Tucker¹, and Lu Hu¹

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA

Correspondence to: Wade Permar (Wade.Permar@mso.umt.edu)

Abstract. Furanoids are significant contributors to volatile organic compound hydroxyl radical reactivity in biomass burning emissions, yet their accurate measurement using proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PTR-ToF-MS) remains challenging due to potential interferences and measurement uncertainties. In this study, we conduct detailed laboratory characterizations of furan (C_4H_4O , protonated m/z 69.033), 2(3H)-furanone ($C_4H_4O_2$, m/z 85.028), 2-furaldehyde ($C_5H_4O_2$, m/z 97.028), 2,5-dimethyl furan (C_6H_8O , m/z 97.065), and maleic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$, m/z 99.008). Sensitivities for these compounds were found to have minimal dependence (less than 15 %) on both sample humidity and drift tube electric field strength (E/N). Fragmentation was observed for 2-furaldehyde (~8 %) at m/z 69.033, creating interference with furan measurements, while hydrolysis products corresponding to $m/z+18$ ions were detected for 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, and maleic anhydride. The hydrolysis of maleic anhydride to maleic acid was found to be most significant, accounting for 7–31% of the parent ion signal across E/N conditions.

Gas standard recertification confirmed the long-term stability of furanoids, and 21 other VOCs, in compressed gas mixtures, with changes in mixing ratios of less than 5 % over seven years, although PTR-ToF-MS instrument sensitivities decreased by ~30 % during this time, likely due to aging of the microchannel plate (MCP). While the stability of gas standards and the minimal humidity and fragmentation effects support the accurate measurement of furanoids by PTR-ToF-MS, discrepancies with co-deployed gas chromatography-mass spectrometry highlight the need to further investigate potential isomeric and fragment interferences, particularly in aged BB smoke.

1 Introduction

Furanoids, a class of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) consisting of a five-member ring with four carbons and one oxygen, are primarily emitted to the atmosphere from biomass burning (BB) (Akagi et al., 2011; Andreae, 2019; Gkatzelis et al., 2024; Koss et al., 2018; Permar et al., 2021; Stockwell et al., 2015), with smaller contributions from volatile chemical products (McDonald et al., 2018), flavorings and food (Crews and Castle, 2007), vehicles (Wang et al., 2022), and biofuels (Román-Leshkov et al., 2007; Tuan Hoang and Viet Pham, 2021). Due to their high reactivity with OH and NO₃ radicals, furanoids can substantially contribute to the atmospheric oxidation capacity and influence the formation of ozone (O₃) and



secondary organic aerosol (SOA)(Coggon et al., 2019; Joo et al., 2019; Romanias et al., 2024). Most furanoids can be measured by proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometers (PTR-ToF-MS), with recent studies identifying tens of different species (Koss et al., 2018). However, beyond a few well characterized furanoids, their fragmentation patterns along with humidity and drift tube energy dependent sensitivities are not well described. In this work, we present detailed laboratory calibrations of furan (C_4H_4O , protonated m/z 69.033), 2(3H)-furanone ($C_4H_4O_2$, m/z 85.028), 2-furaldehyde ($C_5H_4O_2$, m/z 97.028), 2,5-dimethyl furan (C_6H_8O , m/z 97.065), and maleic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$, m/z 99.008) to better understand their measurement performance in PTR-ToF-MS. We focus on these species due to them being major contributors to the OH reactivity in fresh and aged BB smoke along with them being important compounds in recently developed chemical mechanisms (Coggon et al., 2019; Permar et al., 2023; Romanias et al., 2024).

Biomass burning is the largest emission source of furanoids to the atmosphere. In wildfire emissions, furanoids constitute a significant OH sink, accounting for ~20 % of a smoke plume's OH reactivity (Gilman et al., 2015; Hatch et al., 2017; Koss et al., 2018; Permar et al., 2023). Prominent contributors include C_2 substituted furans, 2-furalehdye, furan, methyl furfurals, and furanone (Koss et al., 2018; Permar et al., 2023). These species can account for up to 10 % of O_3 production in early smoke plume evolution (Coggon et al., 2019). Despite the atmospheric lifetime of many of these species being only a few hours, furanoids continue to be an important OH sink (~10 % of plume OH reactivity) in smoke aged beyond three days (Permar et al., 2023). This is largely due to the production of more oxygenated furanoid species, including 2-furoic acid/5-hydroxy-2-furfural ($C_5H_4O_3$), succinic anhydride ($C_4H_4O_3$), 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2- furfural ($C_6H_6O_3$), and maleic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$)(Permar et al., 2021).

As acetonitrile can be a less reliable tracer of BB in mixed urban/smoke environments (Bruns et al., 2017; Huangfu et al., 2021), furanoids are becoming increasingly important BB smoke tracers. Maleic anhydride is of particular interest as a BB tracer due to its relatively long atmospheric lifetime (more than 5 days) and that it is primarily formed from the oxidation of furan (Coggon et al., 2019; Romanias et al., 2024). Due to their high reactivity and lack of secondary formation, species like furan and methyl furan are also useful for both identifying long range vs local smoke and estimating photochemical chemical aging (Gkatzelis et al., 2024; Liang et al., 2022; O'Dell et al., 2020; Rickly et al., 2023; Robinson et al., 2021).

However, observed discrepancies between PTR-ToF-MS and gas chromatography-based techniques indicate that our understanding of their measurement is incomplete. For example, in fresh wildfire emissions, PTR-ToF-MS measured ~1.5–2 times more furan and ~11–15 times more methyl furans than the gas chromatography-based Trace Organic Gas Analyzer (TOGA) (Gkatzelis et al., 2024; Permar et al., 2021), despite being corrected for known interferences based on laboratory characterization (Koss et al., 2018). The cause of these discrepancies is currently unknown, though likely due to interference from fragmentation of higher masses and unidentified isomers.

Despite their critical role in plume OH chemistry, increased use as BB tracers, and photochemical age markers, detailed studies on furanoid characterization, including fragmentation, humidity dependence, and potential interfering isomers in PTR-ToF-MS, remain scarce. For example, studies reporting most recently identified furanoids rely on kinetically determined calibration factors which have up to 50 % uncertainty (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007; Sekimoto et al., 2017)



rather than direct calibrations. The lack of direct calibrations also means that much of the literature assumes little to no fragmentation of furanoids in PTR-ToF-MS, the validity of which has not been extensively tested for most species. A recent review by Romanias et al. (2024) has extensively described the current state of the science for many atmospheric reactions of furanoids, but notes that PTR-ToF-MS “*faces limitations in accurately quantifying furanoids, particularly in the presence of interfering compounds and under varying environmental conditions. Resolving these challenges requires comprehensive laboratory investigations to elucidate the fundamental properties of furanoids and develop robust analytical methods for their detection and quantification.*” (Romanias et al., 2024)

In this study, we present detailed laboratory characterizations of five furanoids: furan, 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethyl furan, and maleic anhydride. Our investigation encompasses the fragmentation, humidity dependence, and electric field-dependent sensitivities of these key VOCs in PTR-ToF-MS measurements. Additionally, we discuss the long-term stability of some furanoid gas standards and calibrations, along with highlighting the need for additional laboratory studies confirming their speciation in PTR-ToF-MS. Through this, we seek to further our understanding of furanoid measurements to better constrain their impacts on atmospheric composition and air quality.

2 Methods

Laboratory calibrations of furan (C_4H_4O , protonated m/z 69.033), 2(3H)-furanone ($C_4H_4O_2$, m/z 85.028), 2-furaldehyde ($C_5H_4O_2$, m/z 97.028), 2,5-dimethyl furan (C_6H_8O , m/z 97.065), and maleic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$, m/z 99.008) were carried out using a proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer previously described in detail by Permar et al. (2021). Briefly, in PTR-ToF-MS, VOCs with proton affinities greater than water (691 kJ mol^{-1}) are ionized via proton transfer reaction with H_3O^+ reagent ions in the instrument’s drift tube (Eq. 1). Most VOCs, including furanoids, have proton affinities greater than water, while the major constituents of air such as N_2 , O_2 , and CO_2 do not. Consequently, PTR-ToF-MS can measure hundreds to thousands of different VOCs in real time with no sample pretreatment at up to 10 Hz. The proton transfer reaction is also a soft ionization technique which typically results in little fragmentation of the analyte ions, allowing for them to be detected at their protonated mass ($m/z + 1$). However, fragmentation can still occur, particularly with compounds that readily dehydrate or form stable intermediate cations.



The efficiency of the proton transfer reaction is controlled by the drift tube energy, described as the E/N in Td. E/N is itself a product of drift tube temperature, pressure, and voltage, with higher E/N corresponding to increased collisional energy. Generally, higher E/N results in greater instrument sensitivity as more analyte is protonated and clustering with excess H_2O is decreased (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007; Yuan et al., 2017). However, higher collisional energy can also cause more compounds to fragment, increasing the difficulty to identify and quantify the full mass spectrum. Optimal drift tube conditions then are a balance between getting sufficient sensitivities for analytes of interests while minimizing their



95 fragmentation. As molecular structure, polarity, dipole, and functional groups all play a role in a compound's sensitivity and propensity to fragment, optimal E/N conditions can vary based on the analyte of interest.

In addition to sensitivity being dependent on instrument drift tube energy, the presence of excess water vapor in a sample can also impact the efficiency of the proton transfer reaction. Typically, the impact of humidity on instrument sensitivities is greatest for VOCs with proton affinities nearer to water, as the reverse reaction becomes more competitive (Eq. 1), leading to a decrease in measurement sensitivity. In PTR-MS, the ratio of water clusters to the primary ion ($[\text{H}_3\text{O}^{18}(\text{H}_2\text{O})^+]/[\text{H}_3\text{O}^{18+}]$ or m_{39}/m_{21}) is used as a proxy to estimate the humidity in the drift tube due to their linear relationship (Stönnner et al., 2016), and typically maintained at less than 5 %. Previous studies have shown the m_{39}/m_{21} ratio can be used to derive humidity dependent sensitivities for VOCs with lower proton affinities (Baasandorj et al., 2015; Warneke et al., 2011a).

To better understand the impacts of drift tube energy and sample humidity on the measurement of furanoids, we investigate the potential fragmentation patterns, humidity dependent sensitivities, and electric field (E/N) dependent sensitivities for five species that are key OH sinks in wildfire fire smoke. For this, calibrations were conducted using a syringe pump with liquid standard prepared from furanoid standards diluted to 100–300 ppm in analytical grade ethyl acetate. Ethyl acetate was chosen for use as a solvent due to maleic anhydride and 2(3H)-furanone being reactive with water making it so neither water nor alcohols (which typically absorb water) could be used. Similarly, dimethyl furan is not miscible in water, while typical non-polar solvents such as cyclohexane and n-hexanes were found to have strong interference at m/z 69 due to either uncharacterized fragments and/or contamination in the solvent, even for commercially available analytical grade products. Ethyl acetate has a proton affinity of $835.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and is therefore detectable by PTR-MS at m/z 89.060. When used as a solvent, ethyl acetate has high enough concentrations to saturate the instrument signal at m/z 89. However, we found no overlap with the ethyl acetate solvent peak and any of the furanoid compounds, nor any depletion of the primary ion. Additionally, the instrument background was corrected across the range of solvent flows to account for shifts in the baseline caused by high ethyl acetate signals.

Standard additions were conducted using a custom-built syringe pump based calibration system (Fig. 1). The system works by injecting $50\text{--}150 \text{ nl min}^{-1}$ of liquid standard into 500 sccm of catalyst generated zero via a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus PHD ULTRA) equipped with a 10 μl Hamilton gastight syringe. The standard is immediately evaporated into the zero air, and then further diluted and humidified in a heated chamber (100°C) by mixing with 1000 sccm of zero air and $0\text{--}50 \mu\text{l min}^{-1}$ of water, vaporized via a nebulizer. The PTR-ToF-MS inlet subsamples the humidified standard with excess flow going to the exhaust. Using this method, we can make sub-ppb standards, with most calibrations in this work carried out from 0.8–3 ppb.




Figure 1: Schematic of the syringe pump based calibration system used in this work.

The efficacy of the syringe pump system was validated by comparison to sensitivities determined from both compressed gas standards and a commercially available Liquid Calibration Unit (LCU, Ionicon Analytik). Additionally, all syringe pump calibrations were carried out using acetonitrile as an internal standard at approximately the same concentrations as the furanoid of interest. For each furanoid calibration, the sensitivity for acetonitrile was calculated and found to consistently be in good agreement with its sensitivity from compressed gas standard calibrations. Data when acetonitrile sensitivities varied by greater than 10 % were removed from further analysis, providing a per-sample check on the syringe pumps performance. For each furanoid, the extent of fragmentation was first determined by identifying the major ion peaks at ~10 ppb of standard generated by the syringe system described above. Fragmentation was then probed at E/N of 110 Td and 150 Td, set by changing the drift tube voltage from 660 to 900 V while maintaining a constant temperature and pressure (60 °C, 3.0 mbar). We limit our analysis to E/N 110–150 Td as we are no longer able to control the m39/m21 ratio within the desired 0–5 % outside this range. Instrument background was then determined using a constant injection of ethyl acetate followed by a constant injection of liquid standard. Humidity was controlled to maintain m39/m21 at 2.5–3.0 %. Fragments were then identified as masses with average normalized counts greater than three times the standard deviation of the background signal (3σ).

For all humidity dependent experiments, drift tube conditions were maintained at 810 V, 60 °C, and 3.00 mbar (E/N = 134 Td), which is the standard conditions used for our instrument during ambient sampling (Permar et al., 2021; Selimovic et al., 2022). Four-point calibrations were then conducted while adjusting the humidity of the carrier gas such that the m39/m21 ratio changed from 0.2 to 8 %, at ~0.5 % increments. Similarly, to determine how each species sensitivity depends on electric field strength, four-point calibrations were carried out at E/N 110–150 Td stepped at ~5 Td. This was done by varying the electric field strength of the drift tube from 660–900 V while maintaining constant pressure and temperature. As the m39/m21 ratio is also dependent on the electric field strength, the humidity of the carrier gas was increased in proportion to the E/N to maintain m39/m21 at 2.5–3.0 % across all calibrations.



150 3 Results

3.1 Fragmentation

Furan and dimethyl furan were both found to have minimal drift tube chemistry or fragmentation and are consequently detected at their parent ion masses across all E/N in this work (Table 1, Fig. 2). 2-furaldehyde, 2(3H)-furanone, and maleic anhydride were found to have notable fragmentation to ions other than the parent mass, especially at high E/N (Fig. 2). For 155 2-furaldehyde, at 110 Td only the parent ion is detected at m/z 97.028, while at 150 Td m/z 69.033 accounts for 17 % of the signal. This likely corresponds to a $C_4H_4OH^+$ ion forming from dehydration and subsequent fragmentation at the aldehyde group, similar to what has been observed in other long chain aldehydes (Coggon et al., 2024; Pagonis et al., 2019). This is of note as m/z 69.033 is commonly attributed to only furan (Koss et al., 2018; Pagonis et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2017), meaning the fragmentation of 2-furaldehyde could bias PTR-ToF-MS furan measurements high in BB smoke where 2-furaldehyde 160 and furan are emitted at similar rates (Koss et al., 2018; Permar et al., 2021). However, at our standard operating conditions (E/N 134, $m_{39}/m_{21} < 5$ %) this contribution is only ~8 % of the parent ion signal. As PTR-ToF-MS and GC based measurements of furan differ by nearly 60 % in recent aircraft field campaigns (Gkatzelis et al., 2024; Permar et al., 2021), the fragmentation of 2-furaldehyde alone does not fully explain the discrepancy.

Maleic anhydride does not show evidence of fragmentation but is instead hydrolyzed via reaction with H_2O and detected at 165 m/z 117.018. This reaction likely corresponds to maleic anhydride being converted to maleic acid. At 110 Td, the hydrolysis reaction is minor with maleic acid only being 7 % of the parent ion signal. This increases to 31 % at 150 Td as the increased ionization energy makes the reaction more favorable. This product does not interfere with any well described species as currently no atmospherically relevant VOCs have been identified at m/z 117.018.

In aged BB smoke, succinic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$) is also an important OH radical sink formed during the oxidation of 170 furanoids (Coggon et al., 2019; Permar et al., 2023). Succinic anhydride has a similar chemical structure to maleic anhydride, with both rapidly converting to dicarboxylic acids in the presence of H_2O . We do not calibrate succinic anhydride in this work but hypothesize that due to also being an anhydride it will have similar drift tube chemistry as malic anhydride. Succinic anhydride is detected at m/z 101.023 while its hydrolysis product, succinic acid ($C_4H_6O_4$), would be detected at m/z 119.034. No notable VOCs measured by PTR-ToF-MS have been described at this mass.

175 2(3H)-furanone and 2-furaldehyde also exhibit m/z +18 peaks at high E/N (m/z 103.039 and 115.039 respectively), though only accounting for 7 % and 5 % of their parent ion masses respectively. The m/z +18 product from 2(3H)-furanone may interfere with the measurement of acetic anhydride (m/z 103.039), while that from 2-furaldehyde corresponds to 5-hydroxymethyl-2(3H)-furanone (m/z 115.039) (Koss et al., 2018). The exact mechanism leading to these ions is currently unknown. Given that higher E/N generally results in less clustering and that the m/z +18 ion is not present in furan or 180 dimethyl furan samples, it is unlikely to be due to simple clustering with H_3O^+ alone. Instead, we hypothesize that the carbonyl groups follow the mechanism for nucleophilic addition of water (hydration) under acidic conditions to form a gem-



diol. It is also possible that 2(3H)-furanone follows similar hydrolysis chemistry as maleic anhydride, with the furan ring opening to form alcohol and carboxylic acid functional groups.




Figure 2: Major ion masses detected when measuring furan, 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethylfuran, and maleic anhydride at E/N of 110 Td (blue bars) and 150 Td (red bars). Each bar corresponds to measured ions with average normalized counts greater than 3σ the background signal.

Table 1. Summary of the humidity and electric field dependent sensitivity changes for the furanoids analyzed in this work, along with their major fragment ions as a proportion of their primary ion signal.



Sensitivity changes as a product of:							
Species	Formula	Parent ion mass m/z	m_{39}/m_{21} (0.2-8 %)	E/N (110- 150 Td)	Fragments	Fragment m/z	Percent of parent ion
furan	C ₄ H ₄ O	69.033	-14 %	-2 %	-	-	-
2-(3H)furanone	C ₄ H ₄ O ₂	85.028	-1 %	-7 %	C ₄ H ₆ O ₃ H ⁺	103.039	0-7 %
2-furaldehyde	C ₅ H ₄ O ₂	97.028	12 %	-6 %	C ₄ H ₄ OH ⁺	69.033	0-17 %
					C ₅ H ₆ O ₃ H ⁺	115.039	0-5 %
2,5-dimethyl furan	C ₆ H ₈ O	97.065	6 %	-7 %	-	-	-
maleic anhydride	C ₄ H ₂ O ₃	99.008	-9 %	-10 %	C ₄ H ₄ O ₄ H ⁺	117.018	7-31 %

3.2 Humidity and E/N dependent sensitivity

To investigate furanoid sensitivity dependence on humidity, calibrations were carried out with the m_{39}/m_{21} humidity proxy varied from 0.2 % to 8 % by vaporizing water into the calibration gas stream (Section 2). This range of the m_{39}/m_{21} spans most of our previous measurements reported in the literature, including aircraft-based measurements of western U.S. wildfire smoke and in Alaska (Permar et al., 2021; Selimovic et al., 2022). Figure 3 shows that sensitivities for all five furanoid are minimally impacted by humidity within this range, with the sensitivity change generally within the calibration uncertainty of 10 %. 2(3H)-furanone sensitivity was the least impacted by humidity, changing by less than 1 %. Furan, 2-furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethylfuran, and maleic anhydride sensitivities change by 6–15 %, with furan and maleic anhydride sensitivities being negatively correlated with humidity while 2-furaldehyde and 2,5-dimethylfuran are positively correlated.




Figure 3. PTR-MS instrument sensitivity (expressed as normalized counts per second per ppb) as a function of humidity in the drift tube (expressed as mass 39/mass 21 humidity proxy). When available, different calibration methods are colored corresponding to those done using compressed gas standard cylinders, Liquid Calibration Unit, or syringe pump.

Figure 4 shows how the sensitivities for the five furanoids are impacted by changing the instrument E/N from 110–150 Td at a narrow fixed m39/m21 range. Furan sensitivity is least dependent on E/N, decreasing by 2 % over the range investigated. This is consistent with it not having any major fragmentation (Fig. 2). Alternatively, 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethylfuran, and maleic anhydride sensitivities all decrease 5–10 % with higher E/N. For 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, and maleic anhydride this likely reflects the increased prevalence of the hydrated ion and fragmentation at higher E/N. Overall, the E/N has a minimal impact on the sensitivity of these five furanoids, with the variability again within the calibration uncertainty. However, it is notable that higher E/N may negatively affect the quantification of masses with interfering fragments from these species.




Figure 4: Instrument sensitivity for furan, 2(3H)-furanone, furaldehyde, 2,5-dimethylfuran, and maleic anhydride as a product of the drift tube electric field strength (E/N) from 110–150 Td.

225 3.3 Stability of gas standard concentrations and their instrument sensitivities'

In addition to the calibrations done in this work using liquid standards, we also had the opportunity to recertify two seven-year-old compressed gas cylinders (Apel-Riemer Environmental, Inc.) that contain ~1 ppm each of furan, 2-furaldehyde, 2-methylfuran, and 5-methylfurfural, along with 21 other VOCs. For most species, the mixing ratios in the tank changed by less than 1 % in the seven years since the standard was made (Furanoids summarized in Table 2 with the remaining VOCs in Table A1). For all VOCs, the change is well within the uncertainty of the recertification analysis (± 5 %) and demonstrates that these furanoids and other VOCs are remarkably stable in gas standards.

Table 2 also shows how the sensitivity of our PTR-ToF-MS has changed over those same seven years, with the sensitivities for the four furanoids in our gas standard decreasing from 32–60 %. This decrease in sensitivity is also present in the other species regularly calibrated using gas standards (Table A1). Given that mixing ratios for all species in the gas standards have change less than 5 % over this period, the decreased instrument sensitivity likely reflects a wearing of the instrument; with sensitivity being especially high in the new factory-tuned instrument then leveling out as the electronics aged. Importantly, this seven-year period also corresponds with the lifetime of the multichannel plate (MCP) detector in this particular instrument, which was replaced shortly after the calibrations in this study. Although the exact mechanisms leading to a



systematic drift in instrument sensitivity are beyond the scope of this work, it reiterates the importance of performing
240 calibrations during field deployments and revisiting them over an instrument's lifetime.

Table 2. Comparisons of long-term sensitivities and gas standard concentrations for the furanoids discussed in this work. Data from 2017 corresponds to when the PTR-ToF-MS and gas standard was new. Data from 2024 is after seven years of use and corresponds with the gas standard being recertified. Calculated sensitivities follow Sekimoto et al. (2017) using 2024 sensitivities, and are shown with their percent difference of 2024 calibrations factors. Note that empty values correspond to sensitivities for species that are not present in the gas standards.

Species	Gas standard concentration [ppb]			Sensitivity [NCPS/ppb]			Sensitivity calculated per Sekimoto et al. (2017) (% diff.)
	2017	2024	diff.	2017	2024	diff.	
furan	1022	1029	1 %	10.9	6.7	-39 %	5.8 (-13 %)
2-methylfuran	978	1009	3 %	10.9	7.4	-32 %	6.3 (-15 %)
2-(3H)furanone	-	-	-	-	13.1	-	7.5 (-42 %)
2-furaldehyde	1000	1003	0 %	16.2	9.5	-41 %	12.3 (30 %)
2,5-dimethyl furan	-	-	-	-	5.9	-	6.8 (16 %)
maleic anhydride	-	-	-	-	8.7	-	9.8 (13 %)
5-methylfurfural	977	999	2 %	17.2	6.9	-60 %	11.1 (61 %)

Detailed laboratory calibrations are often time-consuming and impractical to obtain for the hundreds of different VOCs measured by PTR-ToF-MS. However, one major benefit of PTR-MS is that sensitivities can be readily calculated using
250 known drift tube parameters (i.e., pressure, temperature, voltage, and length) and each VOC's proton transfer reaction rate with H_3O^+ (k_{ptr}) (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). A notable limitation of this approach is that well defined k_{ptr} values are needed for each VOC of interest, which is often not the case for newly identified species, including all furanoids in this work except furan and 2-furaldehyde.

In the absence of known k_{ptr} values, Sekimoto et al. (2017) showed that proton transfer reaction rates could be estimated
255 using molecular properties. As k_{ptr} has a linear relationship with instrument sensitivity (Cappellin et al., 2012; Sekimoto et al., 2017), the slope of the regression between k_{ptr} and sensitivities measured from direct calibration can then be used to calculate sensitivities for unknown VOCs. The accuracy of this approach is estimated to be less than 50 %, determined by the difference between the estimated sensitivities and the calibrated ones for selected VOCs (Permar et al., 2021; Sekimoto et al., 2017; Selimovic et al., 2022).

260 Table 2 shows how the furanoid sensitivities from calibrations in this work compare to those calculated based on the method described by Sekimoto et al. (2017). Table A1 shows the same for the other 21 VOCs in our gas standards. For the furanoids reported here, we find that direct calibrations for all furanoids except 5-methylfurfural agree within less than 42 % of those calculated from their molecular properties. This indicates that in the absence of direct furanoid calibrations, their kinetically



calculated sensitivities provide a good estimate of the instrument response. We also find that the correlation between k_{ptr} and the measured sensitivities for 25 directly calibrated VOCs decreased by 33 % in the seven years from 2017 to 2024, representative of the overall decrease in instrument sensitivity described above. Consequently, calibration factors calculated based on the correlation of k_{ptr} vs. sensitivity of directly calibrated species should also be revisited regularly to account for changes in instrument response.

3.3 Furanoid speciation and potential fragment interference in PTR-ToF-MS

The lack of major fragmentation and humidity dependence as described above demonstrates that PTR-ToF-MS furanoid measurements are robust under standard sampling conditions. Additionally, the long-term stability of key furanoids in calibration standards means that instrument sensitivities should be well quantified with regular calibrations. Consequently, the discrepancy between PTR-ToF-MS and GC-MS furanoid measurements in BB smoke cannot be explained by calibration error alone and is instead likely due to the presence of unidentified interfering fragments and/or isomers. Extensive work has been done to identify PTR-ToF-MS measured masses in BB smoke, relying on both direct speciated measurements and association with compounds known to be in smoke from literature review.

Table 3 shows potential interfering isomers in BB smoke for the furanoid masses calibrated here, as well as three others we regularly calibrate via their gas standards. Importantly, although each of these isomers have been reported to be present in BB smoke, little work has been done to determine their fractional contributions. Additionally, the extent of interference from fragments of high order masses is largely unknown. This is due to the difficulty in making such speciated measurements in complex airmasses, where PTR-ToF-MS measurements would need to be done in-line with a separation technique such as gas chromatography.

For fresh BB emissions, one of the most complete studies reporting speciated furanoid measurements from PTR-ToF-MS was done by Koss et al. (2018) using laboratory burning experiments and a combination of online GC-MS measurements, literature review, and correlation analysis. In Table 3 we report the fractional distribution for each of the masses calibrated in this work as reported by Koss et al., (2018). Additional fractional speciation is reported from Permar et al., (2021) and Gkatzelis et al., (2024) where available. We note that the fractional contributions from Koss et al., (2018) are based on very fresh smoke measured directly above a laboratory burn, while those from Permar et al., (2021) and Gkatzelis et al., (2024) represent wildfire emissions measured by aircraft 10-120 minutes downwind from the fire and calculated from co-deployed GC-MS measurements made by TOGA (Trace Organic Gas Analyzer) (Apel et al., 2003, 2010, 2015; Hornbrook et al., 2011).

As demonstrated in Table 3 and by measurement comparisons between PTR-ToF-MS and GC-MS, large discrepancies exist in the speciation of masses attributed to furan, methyl furans, and furaldehydes in BB smoke. For example, m/z 69.033 is attributed to just furan in very fresh laboratory BB emissions, while only 46-66 % is attributed as furan in wildfire plumes sampled 20-120 minutes down wind of their source. Similarly, methyl furans at m/z 83.049 and furaldehydes at m/z 97.065



also have a higher unknown fraction in the field measurements. This may be due to the rapid change in smoke composition as it ages post emission, with unidentified isomers or fragments being formed.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no detailed studies looking at the speciation and interferences of most masses attributed to furanoids in aged smoke, urban environments, and mixed smoke/urban air masses. Consequently, more detailed

300 studies utilizing co-deployed GC and PTR-MS are needed to better measure furanoids in real world conditions.

305 **Table 3. Potential interfering isomers in fresh BB smoke for furanoid masses discussed in this work. Known isomers that have been reported in BB smoke are listed, though most are from studies without co-deployed PTR-MS. Fractional contributions are for each isomer to the total PTR-ToF-MS signal at that mass where available. Values from Koss et al. (2018) are from laboratory burning experiments and a combination of online GC-MS measurements, literature review, and correlation analysis. Fractions for Permar et al., (2021) and Gkatzelis et al., (2024) represent wildfire emissions measured by aircraft 10-120 minutes downwind from the fire and are calculated from co-deployed GC-MS measurements made by TOGA.**

Ion mass m/z	Formula	Isomers known to be in BB smoke from largest to smallest contribution	Fractional contribution in smoke		
			Koss et al., (2017)	Gkatzelis et al., (2024)	Permar et al., (2021)
69.033	C ₄ H ₄ O	furan ^{2-4,6,11,12,19,21}	100 %*	46 %	66 %
		2-methylfuran ^{2-4,7,9,11,12,18,19,21,22}	51 %	15 %	16 %
		3-Methylfuran ^{4,7,11,12}	10 %	3 %	3 %
83.049	C ₅ H ₆ O	cyclopentenones ^{4,7}			
		Likely minor: Pent-2-ynal ⁷	37 % unknown	82 % unknown	81 % unknown
		1,4-Pentadien-3-one ⁷			
85.028	C ₄ H ₄ O ₂	2-(3H)furanone ^{1,2,8,12,14,17,19}			
97.028	C ₅ H ₄ O ₂	2-furaldehyde ^{1,4,7-9,12-14,18,19}	84 %	22 %	
		3-Furaldehyde ^{2,4,7,11,12,19,21}	4 %	2 %	
		cyclopentenediones ^{4,7,22}	11 % unknown	76 % unknown	
97.065	C ₆ H ₈ O	2,5-dimethylfuran ^{2-4,7,11,12,18,19,22}	44 %		
		2-Ethylfuran ^{2,4,7,11,19,22}	10 %		
		2-Methylcyclopentenone ^{6,13,14,17}	46 % unknown		
		2,4-dimethylfuran ⁷			
		2,3-dimethylfuran ⁷			
99.008	C ₄ H ₂ O ₃	maleic anhydride ^{3,15}			
111.044	C ₆ H ₆ O ₂	5-methylfurfural ^{3,7,10,12,14,17-19}			
		Catechol (Benzenediols) ^{3,4,8,12,13,17-20}			
		2-acetylfuran ⁷			

References: ¹Azeez et al., 2011; ²Brilli et al., 2014; ³Bruns et al., 2017; ⁴Gilman et al., 2015; ⁵Gkatzelis et al., 2024; ⁶Hatch et al., 2015; ⁶Hatch et al., 2019; ⁷Heigenmoser et al., 2013; ⁸Ingemarsson et al., 1998; ⁹Jordan and Seen, 2005; ¹⁰Karl et al., 2007; ¹¹Koss et al., 2017; ¹²Li et al., 2013; ¹³Liu et al., 2012; ¹⁴Müller et al., 2016; ¹⁵Permar et al., 2021; ¹⁶Pittman et al., 2012; ¹⁷Simmleit and Schulten, 1989; ¹⁸Stockwell et al., 2015; ¹⁹Veres et al., 2010; ²⁰Warneke et al., 2011b; ²¹Yokelson et al., 2013.



*From eight laboratory burns, four of which the GC shows many small peaks with furan being less than 3 % of the signal, while the other four attribute the full signal to furan.

4 Conclusion

Detailed laboratory characterization of furan (C_4H_4O , m/z 69.033), 2(3H)-furanone ($C_4H_4O_2$, m/z 85.028), 2-furaldehyde ($C_5H_4O_2$, m/z 97.028), 2,5-dimethyl furan (C_6H_8O , m/z 97.065), and maleic anhydride ($C_4H_2O_3$, m/z 99.008) demonstrates that their sensitivities in PTR-ToF-MS have minimal humidity and drift tube electric field strength (E/N) dependence (<15 %). Furan and 2,5-dimethylfuran were found to not fragment upon proton transfer reaction. However, 2-furaldehyde was found to fragment at ~8 %, under typical operation conditions (E/N 130 Td), to m/z 69.033, interfering with the measurement of furan. A hydrolysis product was also observed for 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde, and maleic anhydride, corresponding to their $m/z+18$ ion mass. For 2(3H)-furanone, 2-furaldehyde this product is minor, accounting for < 7 % of their parent mass at E/N 150 Td. The hydrolysis of maleic anhydride to maleic acid is more favorable, ranging from 7–31 % of the parent ion signal over the E/N range of 110–150 Td. Although we do not directly calibrate succinic anhydride in this work, we hypothesize that it will also undergo a similar hydration reaction as maleic anhydride in the PTR-MS.

Recertification of seven-year-old gas standard mixtures also showed that furanoids and other VOCs are very stable in compressed gas cylinders, with most mixing ratios changing by less than 1 % over the period. Over the same period average instrument sensitivities for the PTR-ToF-MS used in this work were found to decrease by ~33 %. This likely represents a settling in of the instrument electronics from its factory new condition, along with the aging of the MCP.

The stability of the furanoid gas standards, coupled with the minimal fragmentation and humidity dependence indicates that with regular calibrations PTR-ToF-MS measures furanoids accurately. Despite this, PTR-ToF-MS measurements have been found to be significantly higher than those made by co-deployed GC-MS, indicating the presence of interfering isomers and/or fragments in PTR-ToF-MS. The full extent of these interferences is currently difficult to quantify and has only begun to be investigated in fresh BB smoke. Consequently, to improve the accuracy of furanoid measurements made by PTR-MS, more work is needed with co-deployed GC-MS and/or GC-PTR-ToF-MS configurations to identify fragment and isomer interferences in complex air mixtures, including aged BB smoke and urban environments.

330 Appendices

Table A1. Comparisons of long-term sensitivities and gas standard concentrations for 21 additional VOCs discussed in this work. Data from 2017 corresponds to when the PTR-ToF-MS and gas standards were new. Data from 2024 is after seven years of use and corresponds with the gas standards being recertified. Calculated sensitivities follow Sekimoto et al. (2017) using 2024 sensitivities and are shown with their percent difference of 2024 calibrations factors.

335

Gas standard concentration [ppb]	Sensitivity [NCPS/ppb]	<u>Sensitivity calculated per Sekimoto et al.</u>
-------------------------------------	------------------------	---



Species	2017	2024	diff.	2017	2024	diff.	(2017) (% diff.)
Methanol	1022	1010	-1 %	16.3	10.6	-35 %	7.4 (-30 %)
Propyne	1007	993	-1 %	9.4	7.1	-24 %	5.4 (-25 %)
Acetonitrile	1016	1024	1 %	21.6	15.0	-30 %	12.8 (-15 %)
Acetaldehyde	1022	995	-3 %	18.9	12.5	-34 %	10.0 (-20 %)
Ethanol	1019	1032	1 %	0.9	0.7	-26 %	7.4 (999 %)
1-butene	1003	999	0 %	6.2	4.3	-30 %	5.8 (34 %)
Acetone	972	977	1 %	20.1	14.9	-26 %	10.4 (-30 %)
Dimethyl sulfide	1000	992	-1 %	12	9.2	-24 %	6.9 (-24 %)
Isoprene	989	982	-1 %	7.3	4.8	-34 %	6.3 (32 %)
Methyl vinyl ketone	971	993	2 %	15.9	10.1	-36 %	10.6 (5 %)
Methacrolein	1007	996	-1 %	11.1	7.3	-34 %	10.6 (45 %)
Methyl ethyl ketone	1011	1049	4 %	18.1	12.1	-33 %	10.3 (-15 %)
Benzene	999	1006	1 %	9.4	6.9	-26 %	6.4 (-8 %)
Toluene	994	1002	1 %	10.6	7.3	-31 %	6.8 (-7 %)
3-hexanone	943	941	0 %	13.5	7.6	-44 %	10.4 (36 %)
Ethylbenzene	1003	995	-1 %	5.3	3.4	-36 %	7.3 (115 %)
<i>m</i> -xylene	990	975	-2 %	9.5	6.7	-30 %	7.3 (10 %)
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	999	988	-1 %	11.3	6.4	-44 %	7.6 (19 %)
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	989	988	0 %	11.3	7.1	-37 %	7.6 (7 %)
1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene	997	997	0 %	11.5	6.9	-40 %	8.0 (16 %)
α -pinene	967	954	-1 %	5.1	2.8	-45 %	8.1 (186 %)

Code availability

The code related to this article is available upon request to the corresponding author.

Data availability

340 The data related to this article is available upon request to the corresponding author.

Author contribution

WP: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, and original draft preparation. MT: investigation, methodology, review and editing. LH: conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, review and editing.



Competing interests

345 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the US National Science Foundation (AGS-2144896 and EPSCoR-2242802). The authors acknowledge the use of OpenAI's ChatGPT for assistance with light editing, including writing clarity, grammar, and summarization during the preparation of this manuscript. All results, analysis, and interpretations are solely those of the
350 authors.

References

- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 4039–4072, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011>, 2011.
- 355 Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – an updated assessment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8523–8546, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019>, 2019.
- Apel, E. C., Hills, A. J., Lueb, R., Zindel, S., Eisele, S., and Riemer, D. D.: A fast-GC/MS system to measure C2 to C4 carbonyls and methanol aboard aircraft, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108, 8794, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003199>, 2003.
- 360 Apel, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Karl, T., Flocke, F., Hills, A. J., Madronich, S., Lee-Taylor, J., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J., Richter, D., Tie, X., Mauldin, L., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Sive, B., Kleinman, L., Springston, S., Zaveri, R., Ortega, J., Voss, P., Blake, D., Baker, A., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., de Gouw, J., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Rudolph, J., Junkermann, W., and Riemer, D. D.: Chemical evolution of volatile organic compounds in the outflow of the Mexico City Metropolitan area, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 2353–2375, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2353-2010>, 2010.
- 365 Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Blake, N. J., Barth, M. C., Weinheimer, A., Cantrell, C., Rutledge, S. A., Basarab, B., Crawford, J., Diskin, G., Homeyer, C. R., Campos, T., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Blake, D. R., Brune, W., Pollack, I., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Huey, G., Heikes, B., O'Sullivan, D., and Riemer, D. D.: Upper tropospheric ozone production from lightning NO_x-impacted convection: Smoke ingestion case study from the DC3 campaign, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 2505–2523, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022121>, 2015.
- 370 Azeez, A. M., Meier, D., and Odermatt, J.: Temperature dependence of fast pyrolysis volatile products from European and African biomasses, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 90, 81–92, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2010.11.005>, 2011.
- Baasandorj, M., Millet, D. B., Hu, L., Mitroo, D., and Williams, B. J.: Measuring acetic and formic acid by proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: sensitivity, humidity dependence, and quantifying interferences, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1303–1321, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1303-2015>, 2015.



- Brilli, F., Gioli, B., Ciccioli, P., Zona, D., Loreto, F., Janssens, I. A., and Ceulemans, R.: Proton Transfer Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometric (PTR-TOF-MS) determination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from a biomass fire developed under stable nocturnal conditions, *Atmospheric Environment*, 97, 54–67, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.007>, 2014.
- 380 Bruns, E. A., Slowik, J. G., El Haddad, I., Kilic, D., Klein, F., Dommen, J., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Characterization of gas-phase organics using proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry: fresh and aged residential wood combustion emissions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 705–720, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-705-2017>, 2017.
- 385 Cappellin, L., Karl, T., Probst, M., Ismailova, O., Winkler, P. M., Soukoulis, C., Aprea, E., Märk, T. D., Gasperi, F., and Biasoni, F.: On Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations Using Proton Transfer Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 2283–2290, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203985t>, 2012.
- Coggon, M. M., Lim, C. Y., Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Yuan, B., Gilman, J. B., Hagan, D. H., Selimovic, V., Zarzana, K. J., Brown, S. S., Roberts, J. M., Müller, M., Yokelson, R., Wisthaler, A., Krechmer, J. E., Jimenez, J. L., Cappa, C., Kroll, J. H., de Gouw, J., and Warneke, C.: OH chemistry of non-methane organic gases (NMOGs) emitted from laboratory and ambient biomass burning smoke: evaluating the influence of furans and oxygenated aromatics on ozone and secondary NMOG formation, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 14875–14899, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-14875-2019>, 2019.
- 390 Coggon, M. M., Stockwell, C. E., Claflin, M. S., Pfannerstill, E. Y., Xu, L., Gilman, J. B., Marcantonio, J., Cao, C., Bates, K., Gkatzelis, G. I., Lamplugh, A., Katz, E. F., Arata, C., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Piel, F., Majluf, F., Blake, D. R., Wisthaler, A., Canagaratna, M., Lerner, B. M., Goldstein, A. H., Mak, J. E., and Warneke, C.: Identifying and correcting interferences to PTR-ToF-MS measurements of isoprene and other urban volatile organic compounds, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 17, 801–825, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-801-2024>, 2024.
- 395 Crews, C. and Castle, L.: A review of the occurrence, formation and analysis of furan in heat-processed foods, *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18, 365–372, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2007.03.006>, 2007.
- Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Warneke, C., Veres, P. R., Roberts, J. M., de Gouw, J. A., Burling, I. R., and Yokelson, R. J.: Biomass burning emissions and potential air quality impacts of volatile organic compounds and other trace gases from fuels common in the US, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 13915–13938, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13915-2015>, 2015.
- 400 Gkatzelis, G. I., Coggon, M. M., Stockwell, C. E., Hornbrook, R. S., Allen, H., Apel, E. C., Bela, M. M., Blake, D. R., Bourgeois, I., Brown, S. S., Campuzano-Jost, P., St. Clair, J. M., Crawford, J. H., Crounse, J. D., Day, D. A., DiGangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Gilman, J. B., Guo, H., Hair, J. W., Halliday, H. S., Hanisco, T. F., Hannun, R., Hills, A., Huey, L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Katich, J. M., Lamplugh, A., Lee, Y. R., Liao, J., Lindaas, J., McKeen, S. A., Mikoviny, T., Nault, B. A., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Pagonis, D., Peischl, J., Perring, A. E., Piel, F., Rickly, P. S., Robinson, M. A., Rollins, A. W., Ryerson, T. B., Schueneman, M. K., Schwantes, R. H., Schwarz, J. P., Sekimoto, K., Selimovic, V., Shingler, T., Tanner, D. J., Tomsche, L., Vasquez, K. T., Veres, P. R., Washenfelder, R., Weibring, P., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., Wolfe, G. M., Womack, C. C., Xu, L., Ball, K., Yokelson, R. J., and Warneke, C.: Parameterizations of US wildfire and prescribed fire emission ratios and emission factors based on FIREX-AQ aircraft measurements, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 24, 929–956, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-929-2024>, 2024.
- 410 de Gouw, J. A. and Warneke, C.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds in the earth’s atmosphere using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 26, 223–257, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20119>, 2007.



- 415 Hatch, L. E., Luo, W., Pankow, J. F., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., and Barsanti, K. C.: Identification and quantification of gaseous organic compounds emitted from biomass burning using two-dimensional gas chromatography–time-of-flight mass spectrometry, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 1865–1899, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1865-2015>, 2015.
- Hatch, L. E., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Orlando, J. J., and Barsanti, K. C.: Multi-instrument comparison and compilation of non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning and implications for smoke-derived secondary organic aerosol precursors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1471–1489, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1471-2017>, 2017.
- 420 Hatch, L. E., Jen, C. N., Kreisberg, N. M., Selimovic, V., Yokelson, R. J., Stamatis, C., York, R. A., Foster, D., Stephens, S. L., Goldstein, A. H., and Barsanti, K. C.: Highly Speciated Measurements of Terpenoids Emitted from Laboratory and Mixed-Conifer Forest Prescribed Fires, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 9418–9428, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02612>, 2019.
- 425 Heigenmoser, A., Liebner, F., Windeisen, E., and Richter, K.: Investigation of thermally treated beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and spruce (*Picea abies*) by means of multifunctional analytical pyrolysis-GC/MS, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 100, 117–126, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2012.12.005>, 2013.
- Hornbrook, R. S., Blake, D. R., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Fuelberg, H. E., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Richter, D., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Wisthaler, A., Hills, A., Riemer, D. D., and Apel, E. C.: Observations of nonmethane organic compounds during ARCTAS; Part 1: Biomass burning emissions and plume enhancements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11103–11130, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11103-2011>, 2011.
- 430 Huangfu, Y., Yuan, B., Wang, S., Wu, C., He, X., Qi, J., de Gouw, J., Warneke, C., Gilman, J. B., Wisthaler, A., Karl, T., Graus, M., Jobson, B. T., and Shao, M.: Revisiting Acetonitrile as Tracer of Biomass Burning in Anthropogenic-Influenced Environments, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2020GL092322, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL092322>, 2021.
- 435 Ingemarsson, Å., Nilsson, U., Nilsson, M., Pedersen, J. R., and Olsson, J. O.: Slow pyrolysis of spruce and pine samples studied with GC/MS and GC/FTIR/FID, *Chemosphere*, 36, 2879–2889, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(97\)10245-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(97)10245-4), 1998.
- Joo, T., Rivera-Rios, J. C., Takeuchi, M., Alvarado, M. J., and Ng, N. L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Reaction of 3-Methylfuran with Nitrate Radicals, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 3, 922–934, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00068>, 2019.
- 440 Jordan, T. B. and Seen, A. J.: Effect of Airflow Setting on the Organic Composition of Woodheater Emissions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 3601–3610, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0487628>, 2005.
- Karl, T. G., Christian, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., Artaxo, P., Hao, W. M., and Guenther, A.: The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment: method evaluation of volatile organic compound emissions measured by PTR-MS, FTIR, and GC from tropical biomass burning, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 5883–5897, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5883-2007>, 2007.
- 445 Koss, A., Yuan, B., Warneke, C., Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Veres, P. R., Peischl, J., Eilerman, S., Wild, R., Brown, S. S., Thompson, C. R., Ryerson, T., Hanisco, T., Wolfe, G. M., Clair, J. M. St., Thayer, M., Keutsch, F. N., Murphy, S., and de Gouw, J.: Observations of VOC emissions and photochemical products over US oil- and gas-producing regions using high-resolution $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\text{CIMS}$ (PTR-ToF-MS), *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 10, 2941–2968, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-2941-2017>, 2017.



- 455 Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Gilman, J. B., Selimovic, V., Coggon, M. M., Zarzana, K. J., Yuan, B., Lerner, B. M., Brown, S. S., Jimenez, J. L., Krechmer, J., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Yokelson, R. J., and de Gouw, J.: Non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning: identification, quantification, and emission factors from PTR-ToF during the FIREX 2016 laboratory experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 3299–3319, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3299-2018>, 2018.
- Li, Q., Steele, P. H., Yu, F., Mitchell, B., and Hassan, E.-B. M.: Pyrolytic spray increases levoglucosan production during fast pyrolysis, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 100, 33–40, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2012.11.013>, 2013.
- 460 Liang, Y., Weber, R. J., Misztal, P. K., Jen, C. N., and Goldstein, A. H.: Aging of Volatile Organic Compounds in October 2017 Northern California Wildfire Plumes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 1557–1567, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c05684>, 2022.
- Liu, Y., Shi, Q., Zhang, Y., He, Y., Chung, K. H., Zhao, S., and Xu, C.: Characterization of Red Pine Pyrolysis Bio-oil by Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry and Negative-Ion Electrospray Ionization Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry, *Energy Fuels*, 26, 4532–4539, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ef300501t>, 2012.
- 465 McDonald, B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Jathar, S. H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez, J. L., Lee-Taylor, J., Hayes, P. L., McKeen, S. A., Cui, Y. Y., Kim, S.-W., Gentner, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Frost, G. J., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., and Trainer, M.: Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical source of urban organic emissions, *Science*, 359, 760–764, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0524>, 2018.
- 470 Müller, M., Anderson, B. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Crawford, J. H., Diskin, G. S., Eichler, P., Fried, A., Keutsch, F. N., Mikoviny, T., Thornhill, K. L., Walega, J. G., Weinheimer, A. J., Yang, M., Yokelson, R. J., and Wisthaler, A.: In situ measurements and modeling of reactive trace gases in a small biomass burning plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 3813–3824, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3813-2016>, 2016.
- O’Dell, K., Hornbrook, R. S., Permar, W., Levin, E. J. T., Garofalo, L. A., Apel, E. C., Blake, N. J., Jarnot, A., Pothier, M. A., Farmer, D. K., Hu, L., Campos, T., Ford, B., Pierce, J. R., and Fischer, E. V.: Hazardous Air Pollutants in Fresh and Aged Western US Wildfire Smoke and Implications for Long-Term Exposure, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 54, 11838–11847, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04497>, 2020.
- Pagonis, D., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J.: A Library of Proton-Transfer Reactions of H₃O⁺ Ions Used for Trace Gas Detection, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 30, 1330–1335, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-019-02209-3>, 2019.
- 480 Permar, W., Wang, Q., Selimovic, V., Wielgasz, C., Yokelson, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Apel, E. C., Ku, I.-T., Zhou, Y., Sive, B. C., Sullivan, A. P., Collett Jr, J. L., Campos, T. L., Palm, B. B., Peng, Q., Thornton, J. A., Garofalo, L. A., Farmer, D. K., Kreidenweis, S. M., Levin, E. J. T., DeMott, P. J., Flocke, F., Fischer, E. V., and Hu, L.: Emissions of Trace Organic Gases From Western U.S. Wildfires Based on WE-CAN Aircraft Measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 126, e2020JD033838, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033838>, 2021.
- 485 Permar, W., Jin, L., Peng, Q., O’Dell, K., Lill, E., Selimovic, V., Yokelson, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Apel, E. C., Ku, I.-T., Zhou, Y., Sive, B. C., Sullivan, A. P., Collett, J. L., Palm, B. B., Thornton, J. A., Flocke, F., Fischer, E. V., and Hu, L.: Atmospheric OH reactivity in the western United States determined from comprehensive gas-phase measurements during WE-CAN, *Environ. Sci.: Atmos.*, 3, 97–114, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EA00063F>, 2023.
- 490 Pittman, C. U. Jr., Mohan, D., Eseyin, A., Li, Q., Ingram, L., Hassan, E.-B. M., Mitchell, B., Guo, H., and Steele, P. H.: Characterization of Bio-oils Produced from Fast Pyrolysis of Corn Stalks in an Auger Reactor, *Energy Fuels*, 26, 3816–3825, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ef3003922>, 2012.



- 495 Rickly, P. S., Coggon, M. M., Aikin, K. C., Alvarez, R. J. I., Baidar, S., Gilman, J. B., Gkatzelis, G. I., Harkins, C., He, J.,
Lamplugh, A., Langford, A. O., McDonald, B. C., Peischl, J., Robinson, M. A., Rollins, A. W., Schwantes, R. H., Senff, C.
J., Warneke, C., and Brown, S. S.: Influence of Wildfire on Urban Ozone: An Observationally Constrained Box Modeling
Study at a Site in the Colorado Front Range, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 57, 1257–1267, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c06157>,
2023.
- 500 Robinson, M. A., Decker, Z. C. J., Barsanti, K. C., Coggon, M. M., Flocke, F. M., Franchin, A., Fredrickson, C. D., Gilman,
J. B., Gkatzelis, G. I., Holmes, C. D., Lamplugh, A., Lavi, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Montzka, D. M., Palm, B. B., Peischl, J.,
Pierce, B., Schwantes, R. H., Sekimoto, K., Selimovic, V., Tyndall, G. S., Thornton, J. A., Van Rooy, P., Warneke, C.,
Weinheimer, A. J., and Brown, S. S.: Variability and Time of Day Dependence of Ozone Photochemistry in Western
Wildfire Plumes, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 55, 10280–10290, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01963>, 2021.
- Romanias, M. N., Coggon, M. M., Al Ali, F., Burkholder, J. B., Dagaut, P., Decker, Z., Warneke, C., Stockwell, C. E.,
Roberts, J. M., Tomas, A., Houzel, N., Coeur, C., and Brown, S. S.: Emissions and Atmospheric Chemistry of Furanoids
from Biomass Burning: Insights from Laboratory to Atmospheric Observations, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 8, 857–899,
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.3c00226>, 2024.
- 505 Román-Leshkov, Y., Barrett, C. J., Liu, Z. Y., and Dumesic, J. A.: Production of dimethylfuran for liquid fuels from
biomass-derived carbohydrates, *Nature*, 447, 982–985, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05923>, 2007.
- Sekimoto, K., Li, S.-M., Yuan, B., Koss, A., Coggon, M., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J.: Calculation of the sensitivity of
proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) for organic trace gases using molecular properties, *International
Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 421, 71–94, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2017.04.006>, 2017.
- 510 Selimovic, V., Ketcherside, D., Chaliyakunnel, S., Wielgasz, C., Permar, W., Angot, H., Millet, D. B., Fried, A., Helmig, D.,
and Hu, L.: Atmospheric biogenic volatile organic compounds in the Alaskan Arctic tundra: constraints from measurements
at Toolik Field Station, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22, 14037–14058, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-14037-2022>,
2022.
- 515 Simmleit, N. and Schulten, H.-R.: Thermal degradation products of spruce needles, *Chemosphere*, 18, 1855–1869,
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535\(89\)90469-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(89)90469-4), 1989.
- Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Williams, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Characterization of biomass burning emissions from
cooking fires, peat, crop residue, and other fuels with high-resolution proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass
spectrometry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 845–865, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-845-2015>, 2015.
- 520 Stönnner, C., Derstroff, B., Klüpfel, T., Crowley, J. N., and Williams, J.: Glyoxal measurement with a proton transfer reaction
time of flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS): characterization and calibration, *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 52, 30,
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3893>, 2016.
- Tuan Hoang, A. and Viet Pham, V.: 2-Methylfuran (MF) as a potential biofuel: A thorough review on the production
pathway from biomass, combustion progress, and application in engines, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 148,
111265, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111265>, 2021.
- 525 Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Burling, I. R., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Measurements of gas-phase
inorganic and organic acids from biomass fires by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry,
Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014033>, 2010.
- Wang, S., Yuan, B., Wu, C., Wang, C., Li, T., He, X., Huangfu, Y., Qi, J., Li, X.-B., Sha, Q., Zhu, M., Lou, S., Wang, H.,
Karl, T., Graus, M., Yuan, Z., and Shao, M.: Oxygenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as significant but varied



- 530 contributors to VOC emissions from vehicles, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22, 9703–9720,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-9703-2022>, 2022.
- Warneke, C., Veres, P., Holloway, J. S., Stutz, J., Tsai, C., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Graus, M.,
Gilman, J. B., and de Gouw, J. A.: Airborne formaldehyde measurements using PTR-MS: calibration, humidity dependence,
inter-comparison and initial results, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 2345–2358, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-2345-2011>, 2011a.
- 535 Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Gilman, J., Kuster, W. C., Burling, I., Yokelson, R., and de Gouw, J. A.: VOC
identification and inter-comparison from laboratory biomass burning using PTR-MS and PIT-MS, *International Journal of
Mass Spectrometry*, 303, 6–14, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2010.12.002>, 2011b.
- Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Stockwell, C. E., de Gouw, J., Akagi, S. K., Urbanski, S. P.,
Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Kuster, W. C., Reardon, J., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J., Hosseini, S., Miller, J. W., Cocker
540 III, D. R., Jung, H., and Weise, D. R.: Coupling field and laboratory measurements to estimate the emission factors of
identified and unidentified trace gases for prescribed fires, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 89–116, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-89-2013>, 2013.
- Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass
Spectrometry: Applications in Atmospheric Sciences, *Chem. Rev.*, 117, 13187–13229,
545 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325>, 2017.