Dear editor and reviewers,

We would like to thank you for your detailed and constructive comments that helped to improve the manuscript. We provide detailed point by point responses to your comments below, as well as version of our revised manuscript with changes made highlighted in yellow for reviewer 1 and in green for reviewer 2.

Best Regards,

Maxence Guillermic on behalf of all co-authors.

Reviewer 1

Dear Dr. de Winter,

I was pleased to review manuscript # 2025-2626 “The influence of irradiance and interspecific differences on δ11B, δ13C and elemental ratios in four coralline algae complexes” by Guillermic and colleagues. The manuscript represents a significant contribution to the understandings of coralline algal geochemistry and calcification mechanisms, which are still poorly understood, especially given the growing number of identified species and the small research group that studies them. I agree with the authors that this study represents part of the groundwork required to validate the use of certain paleoenvironmental proxies. The major findings of insignificant effects of irradiance on δ11B, δ13C and elemental ratios in four coralline algae species, but also notable differences in DIC modulations between geniculate and non-geniculate species represents an important step towards understanding calcification mechanisms and biological processes among diverse coralline algal morphologies and species. The manuscript is well presented, clear, and data support the findings. Except for a few technical corrections, figures clearly demonstrate findings and support interpretations. It is rare that a paper includes this abundance of data collected and from multiple species. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted subject to minor corrections.

I remain available if you have any questions.

Regards

--

Scientific signifiance: Excellent

Scientific quality: Excellent

Presentation quality: Good

Reviewer Recommendation: Accepted subject to minor revisions

* I would not be willing to review the revised manuscript.

--

General Comments:

- I think the manuscript would benefit with a higher impact statement in the introduction and abstract on what the potentially risks are of not understanding

irradiance impacts on calcification and geochemistry (e.g., erroneous paleoenvironmental reconstructions, linking the wrong parameter to calcification rates, providing conflicting data between different archives and creating doubt in paleo-environmental timeseries, and potentially even more importantly, environmental forecasts which allow us to put in place the proper environmental policies and protections, etc.). This would better elucidate the importance of the study.

Response: We added in the abstract "In this context, evaluating the effect of oceanic change and photo-physiological parameters on geochemical proxies is critical, as such gaps may lead to erroneous paleoenvironmental reconstructions, misattributed drivers of calcification responses, and ultimately compromise conservation strategies." And in the introduction: "To increase the reliability of coralline algae for paleoclimate reconstruction " and "This is critical as erroneous interpretation of proxies can undermine confidence in long-term environmental records, drivers of calcification and compromise forecasts that inform marine policy and conservation strategies."

Specific Comments:

- The point made on line 456 is very interesting: "These differences could be explained by the competition experienced by non-geniculate species to not be overgrown (e.g. turf algae) which must also rely on fast calcification while geniculate species must compensate for a more dynamic environment and prioritize other needs (e.g. grazing, repairs)".
    - A short description on morphologies and "behaviour" (e.g., rolling / mobile vs. encrusting / immobile) could be highlighted somewhere in the introduction to "foreshadow" this point.

Response: We added at the beginning of the introduction: "Coralline red algae show two main morpho-functional groups, geniculate and non-geniculate. Geniculate corallines have non calcified joints that connect the calcified intergenicula to allow for higher thallus flexibility, distinct morphological traits allow them to grow in various habitats and to cope with a wide range of environments (Noisette et al., 2013; McCoy and Kamenos, 2015).".

- In the methods section 2.3., I was unclear about the temporal span represented by these algae samples that were powdered. Were spores deposited in the water column to cultivate the algae from scratch or were small crusts/branches collected and placed in tanks experiments? If the latter, provide growth rates of species and the time represented by each species / samples. Clarifying which growth layers are powdered for analysis would also be important to know (specific language on whole samples including epithallus and perithallus). Could different temporalities represented by the different species be responsible for some of the geochemical differences reported here?

Response: After collection, samples were stained with alizarin red and only material above the stain line was sampled. Additionally, we sampled material (e.g., growth margins from crustose corallines) that we knew grew in the lab as they were growing on the epoxy that we used initially to form bases for the articulates and cover up "unwanted" crusts growing on the pebbles together with the target species. The whole thallus was sampled and no specific tissue layer was targeted. We added line 132: "Samples were stained with alizarin red and only material above the stain line was sampled to ensure sampling the new growth."

From Krieger et al. (2023): "For collection, geniculate corallines were chiseled from the rock, retaining the attached crust to avoid damaging them. Cobbles or rocks covered with thick or smooth crusts were collected directly from the seafloor. […] After collection, organisms were transported to the laboratory facilities within 20 min in cooler bins filled with ice and cool packs to further minimize thermal and light stress. At the laboratory, organisms were kept under low light levels (daily dose 0.06–0.23 mol photons m_2 d_1) for 2 d to allow for slow acclimation to laboratory conditions. Subsequently, organisms were carefully physically cleaned of epibionts and labeled according to the morpho-anatomic classification. Epoxy (Z-Spar A-788 Splash Zone) was used to form a base for geniculate coralline algae and to cover crusts of other species on cobbles/rhodoliths. Specimens were then distributed into the experimental tanks."

- The introduction would benefit from a short theoretical explanation on boron, carbon, Li and Mg fractionation and how it is affected by seawater and calcification etc. Some of this information is found in the discussion and methods, but should be discussed in the introduction.

Response: For the purpose of this study, we chose to keep the introduction concise and focused on the broader context and objectives as further information can be found in the Methods and Discussion. However, we added in the introduction one sentence: "Boron isotopes have been developed in carbonate as a proxy of pH in the fluid that it is precipitated within. The sensitivity of the δ11B proxy to pH is based on the predominant incorporation of borate ion in the carbonate structure (Hemming and Hanson, 1992).

Line 114: Ref (Gaillardet et al., 2001, Wang et al., 2008). Indicate if the more specific procedure is found in these papers. If not, add the time(s) of dissolution etc.

Response: References from this paragraph give all the information for sample preparation.

- In the introduction and discussion (maybe section 4.6), there is a missing statement on why this specific study was conducted. Something like, "In other words, previous studies such as Anagnostou et al. found […] but lacked understandings about […]. This understanding is critical because without it we risk […]." Or focus on Comeau et al. 2019's findings and state something like "To further test Comeau et al's

hypothesis we investigated calcification differences between faster and slower growing coralline algae species using geochemical tracers.

- We need something clear that states how this paper builds on what is already known.

Response: We took your suggestion and added at the end of the introduction: "To further test Krieger et al's and Comeau et al's hypothesis we investigated calcification differences between faster and slower growing coralline algae complexes using geochemical tracers".

- In the discussion: a comment can be added about how internal pH has been studied here and additional studies on how coralline algae modulate pH CF, DIC CF calcification would be helpful to capture the limits of plasticity of photosynthesis and calcification modulation with increasing ocean acidification as to provide limits or warnings for policy application?

Response: We added at the end of section 4.6: "The coralline red algae do present a certain plasticity in their carbon sources for DIC (Bergstrom et al., 2020) and regulation of pHCF, which can provide some resilience to changing environmental conditions. Additional studies on how coralline algae modulate DICCF and pHCF would be helpful to capture the limits of plasticity of photosynthesis and calcification modulation under stressors such as ocean acidification or warming temperature. This understanding will be critical for assessing the impact of global changes on those foundational species."

- Section 4.7: could you add that this study also supports that well defined DNA work might be required to calibrate geochemical data to the species for paleoenvironmental reconstructions?

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We added: "Nevertheless, with the increasing availability in species-specific geochemical data, a rigorous approach may involve using DNA-based identification within the core to calibrate geochemical records."

- Figure 4: Provide a more elaborate description especially for panels A-D. Consider also adding a label to colour bar (axis)

Response: We made a mistake here, we are presenting a correlation matrix not a Mantel test. Mantel tests compare two distance matrices and output Mantel's R, which is a bit different from pairwise correlations between variables. Those correlation matrices are now presented in the Supplemental information.

- Figure 7: Explanation for larger symbols and error bars.

Response: Figure caption now reads: "Multi-panel plots showing crossplots of pH_{CF}, A. net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), B. gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$), C. residual full-width-half-maximum, FWHM, D. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and E. Mg/Ca (mmol/mol). Large symbols show averages derived from full dataset from Krieger et al. (2023) while small colored symbols

show individual paired data and irradiance level to display maximum information. Error bars are shown as 2 SD.".

Technical Corrections:

- Line 70: "CCA" This is the only place where this acronym is used. It is also not explained anywhere

Response: We changed for "low-light coralline algae complexes" and do not use CCA within the manuscript.

- Line 162: "However, Krieger et al. (2023) presented two significant relationships, one non-linear for Corallina and one non-linear for Spongites when the full dataset was taken into account". ◊ Clarify if this refers to a relationship between net calcification and irradiance. If so, consider inversing the order of the two last sentences of the paragraph.

Response: We changed the order.

- Line 180 to 181, when possible, always add geniculate or non-geniculate adjectives before species to orient readers. You do this most of the time, but check throughout

Response: noted, we added when clarification was needed.

- The two back-to-back sentences "There are no significant linear relationships between δ11B and irradiance (Tables S3 and S4). No significant linear or non-linear regression was observed between δ11B and irradiance" are a bit redundant

Response: This has been reduced to "No significant linear or non-linear regression was observed between $\delta^{11}B$ and irradiance (Tables S3 and S4)".

- Sometimes e.g., is used instead of the correct i.e., (e.g., lines 369 and 371 .

Response: We changed for "i.e." when needed throughout the text.

- Line 412: make sure to define OA (ocean acidification). I think this is the only place the acronym is used

Response: We removed the acronym throughout the text.

- Figure 3: correct the alignment of B)
    - I think the font of the axes and axes titles might also be smaller

Response: We corrected the alignment of panel (b), the font 13 is however the same as the other figures, but this is due to the distortion to fit Biogeosciences standards over the submission, we will make sure that figures have the same format.

Reviewer 2

Guillermic et al. (2025) seek to address a crucial literature gap by studying experimental evidence of the effect of varying levels of irradiance on growth in geniculate versus non-geniculate species complexes of algae. They use data from tank studies conducted by Krieger et al. (2023) where two geniculate complexes and two non-geniculate complexes of coralline algae were collected from two sites in Te Moana-o-Raukawa Cook Strait, Te Whanganui a Tara Wellington, Aotearoa New Zealand. All complexes were subjected to four different irradiance treatments representing naturally occurring levels at the site (0.6, 1.2, 1.8 and 2.3 mol photons/m^2/day) with corresponding fluctuations in irradiance to account for the diurnal insolation pattern. Various isotope measurements and elemental ratios were calculated including $d^{13}C$, $d^{18}O$, $d^{11}B$, Mg/Ca, Li/Ca, Sr/Ca, U/Ca and Li/Mg. Additionally, gross photosynthesis along with other parameters of photosynthetic efficiency, net calcification and $d^{11}B$-derived pH_{CF} were measured and/or calculated.

All complexes, except the non-geniculate Phymatolithopsis showed a significant positive correlation between irradiance and parameters measuring photosynthetic activity. Significant positive correlations were also observed between $d^{13}C_{mineral}$ and irradiance, except in one geniculate complex, Corallina/Arthrocardia robust whose $d^{13}C_{mineral}$ remained stable across all treatments. $d^{11}B$-derived pH_{CF} generally stayed constant across all treatments. No significant differences in net calcification were observed across irradiance treatments. However, the most pertinent results contributing to addressing gaps in literature were the different calcification regimes observed between morphologies, where non-geniculate complexes showed higher net calcification and lower pH_{CF} than geniculate complexes despite the latter having higher gross photosynthesis. Further, the authors speculate that the differences in $d^{13}C_{mineral}$ based on morphology may be due to differences in DIC pools available to the geniculate versus non-geniculate morphologies and possibly individual complexes or differential uptake of CO_2 passively or through internal recycling as supported by B/Ca and U/Ca results. Overall, the authors have collected and analyzed a robust set of isotope and elemental data in addition to calculating various parameters for photosynthetic efficiency and calcification to effectively support their conclusions. The sample size and methods used are appropriate for the analyses being conducted and interpretations being made. I would suggest minor edits and request clarification to the text prior to publication to contextualize the study within broader algal literature and ensure balanced communication of the study's results.

Title: The title is accurate, concise and descriptive.

- Lines 1 & 2: I would either add that specimens are from a mid-latitude location and temperate climate or indicate the study site/country (i.e., Aotearoa New Zealand)

Response: The title now reads: "The influence of irradiance and interspecific differences on $\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C$ and elemental ratios in four coralline algae complexes from Aotearoa, New Zealand

Abstract: Abstract offers an effective and concise summary of the results and discussion.

- Line 13: Change the Arabic numeral "4" to the written "four" following writing conventions (i.e., numbers below nine that are not statistics are spelled out whereas numbers >10 are written as numerals).

Response: This is changed. Thank you for the explanation.

Introduction:

General comments: The introduction is generally well-written and offers a logical progression discussing the ecosystem-level importance of coralline algae then further expanding on the proxy measurements of pH_{CF}, followed by discussion on the regulation of biogenic calcification by pH, light and photosynthesis. A main point for revision in the introduction would be to adjust the paragraph at lines 48-55 to summarize and reflect more on coralline algae literature and associated knowledge gaps, following which the summary of coral literature could be brought forward (i.e., indicating that the current gap in literature being addressed on the impact of light on coralline algal calcite formation has been explored more extensively in coral literature).

Response: We added at the end of this paragraph: " Limited research has been carried out on coralline algae, and although irradiance can impact pH_{CF} of coralline algae (Comeau et al., 2019), much more research is required."

Specific Recommendations:

- Lines 37 & 38: Awkward phrasing here with the use of the word "some" twice. I would remove the phrase "but with some evidence" and simply write "evidence suggests".

Response: We changed it.

- Line 41: Coralline algae have already been used for paleoclimate reconstruction. It may be more accurate to write something to the effect of "To increase the reliability of coralline algae paleoclimate reconstructions, a good understanding…"

Response: We changed it.

- Line 43: As pH_{CF} refers to pH of calcifying fluid, explicitly define the acronym as "pH of calcifying fluid (pH_{CF})" in accordance with writing conventions.

Response: We changed it.

- Lines 46-47: More recent reference to include would be Cornwall et al. (2020): A coralline alga gains tolerance to ocean acidification over multiple generations of exposure.

Response: We added it thank you.

- Lines 48-55: This is a good summary of existing coral research, however we are missing the direct connection to coralline algae in this paragraph. I would suggest a more concise explanation of coral research in favour of additional background on pH geochemical tracers in coralline algae including studies cited in the introduction already (e.g., Donald et al., 2017) or linking existing coral research to emerging coralline algae research.

Response: We added at the end of the paragraph to make the link with coralline algae: "Limited research has been carried out on coralline algae, and although irradiance can impact pH_{CF} of coralline algae (Comeau et al., 2019), much more research is required."

- Lines 56-62: I would also add more explicitly here that there may be differences in light adaptation and calcification mechanisms for species in tropical vs temperate vs polar environments (i.e., latitude and climate play a role) explaining some of this variability, possibly before the sentence on line 58. Gould et al. (2022) and Williams et al. (2018) are some examples for Arctic studies examining the relationship between light and calcification that have not been cited and show that calcification is reduced during periods of low irradiance but still occurs at decreased rates.
    - Williams et al., 2018: Effects of light and temperature on Mg uptake, growth, and calcification in the proxy climate archive Clathromorphum compactum;
    - Gould et al., 2022: Growth as a function of sea ice cover, light and temperature in the arctic/subarctic coralline C. compactum: A year-long in situ experiment in the high arctic).

Response: Thank you for suggesting those references. We added at the end of this section: "In contrast, coralline algae in polar regions can continue calcifying at reduced rates even under prolonged low-light conditions associated with seasonal cycles or sea ice cover (Williams et al., 2018; Gould et al., 2022). These latitudinal (e.g. tropical, temperate or polar environments) and climate-driven differences in light adaptation and calcification mechanisms can contribute to the variability reported across studies. Although light clearly affects calcification, the mechanistic links between irradiance, photophysiology, and calcification is not fully understood."

- Line 70: Ensure the acronym, "CCA" is defined prior to using it. There do not appear to be other instances where "CCA" is used in the text, therefore the term can be written in its full form.

Response: Acronym was removed throughout the text.

- Line 75: $\delta^{18}O$ is not discussed in the body of the paper, so should either be excluded here or if there are any relevant results they may be briefly discussed.

Response: We removed it.

Methods:

General comments: The methods section is clear and concise. Appropriate methods are used to address the defined research questions with redundancies built into the methodology for robust interpretation. Most concerns here are related to clarity of writing. I would recommend minor changes listed below to follow formal/academic writing conventions. The only concern of significance here is the inclusion of the Mantel test methodology which does not seem to be well explained. While the figure itself offers a useful summary, there is little reference to it in the text. I would recommend elaborating on its purpose in the context of interpretation of results or see further recommendations in the comments on the results section.

Specific recommendations:

- Line 84: "Latter" is used incorrectly here. This typically refers to the second of two items in a list (i.e., latter vs former) or the last item in a list. The phrase prior to the comma can be removed and Krieger et al. (2023) can be cited at the end of the sentence in parentheses.

Response: We changed the sentence as suggested.

- Lines 86-87: The list of species complexes reads awkwardly here as the conjunction "and" is incorrectly placed. These lines could be rephrased as follows: "For clarity, non-geniculate species will be referred to as Phymatolithopsis and Pneophyllum, while geniculate species will be referred to as Corallina/Arthrocardia fine and Corallina/Arthrocardia robust."

Response: We changed the sentence as suggested.

- Line 96: Were the irradiances related to minimum and maximum values at the site, why were these specific intervals chosen? This does not seem to be detailed in Krieger et al. (2023) beyond indicating that these levels were observed at the site.

Response: The chosen values approximate minimum summer irradiances, which are ecologically relevant as such low-light conditions often dominate under the canopy. Interval selection was partly arbitrary but guided by logistical feasibility, ensuring non-overlapping treatments that were expected to elicit measurable physiological responses.

We added to the text: "The chosen values approximate minimum summer irradiances, which are ecologically relevant as such low-light conditions often dominate under the canopy"

- Lines 98-99: It would be clearer to simply write that "eight header tanks each supplied six different experimental tanks…" The way it is currently written, on first read, the sentence suggests that header tanks only supplied six tanks in total.

Response: We changed the sentence as suggested.

- Lines 130-135: Based on the way line 134 defines $\delta^{11}B_{sw}$, presumably the equation should show $\delta^{11}B_{sw}$ instead of $\delta^{11}B_{seawater}$. "a" is also not defined as the equilibrium isotopic fractionation factor.

Response: We modified $\delta^{11}B_{sw}$ to $\delta^{11}B_{seawater}$ within the text to match the equation. We added "[…] and α representing the fractionation factor and ε representing the boron isotopic fractionation between boric acid and borate ion (27.2 ‰, Klochko et al., 2006)."

- Line 140: Phrasing reads awkwardly, may want to change to "best described the data".

Response: We changed the sentence as suggested.

- Lines 149-150: What was the purpose of the Mantel test, did it assist in interpreting results or was it simply for data presentation? This section does not discuss how it was used, only describes the general definition of Mantel tests.

Response: We are not actually presenting Mantel test but correlation matrices, this has been changed within the text. The idea behind those correlations was mainly to present the data and visually see the significant relationship we also observed based on the different models used to fit the data. We removed the figures from the main text. The paragraph now reads: "Correlation matrices are a statistical method that evaluates the correlation between multiple parameters and allows representation of complex datasets. Correlation matrices were performed using R for each complex and are presented in Figs. S4 and S5. These correlation matrices were used to visually present the data and support interpretation from regression models and other statistical methods used in this paper."

- Lines 150-154: Keep to a single convention when referring to figures, either Fig./Figs. or Figure/Figures. Variation occurs throughout the text when referencing figures.

Response: We checked and changed through the text to meet Biogeosciences format.

Results:

General comments: The results are overall well-communicated with specific differences between morphologies highlighted as well as across irradiance treatments. The major concern in this section is apparent contradictions in Section 3.7 to other sections in the results and the PCA figure. I recommend reviewing this section for accuracy and adjusting to align with the other results sections.

Specific recommendations:

- Lines 173 & 211: Ensure consistency with how all complexes are referred to (i.e., Corallina/Arthrocardia fine is repeatedly referred to as Corallina which can be confusing to the reader and require re-referencing figures/tables multiple times).

Response*:* We used *Corallina for Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Arthrocardia for Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust in the first draft of the manuscript.

We now changed for *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine.

- Line 230: Mantel test results are not discussed at all, are they relevant to include? If the test was conducted for data presentation alone, a short summary of relevant correlations could be included at the end of the section (i.e., switch 3.6 and 3.7) or it could be incorporated into the PCA section of the results. If the the authors agree that this would be a redundancy, the section could be removed altogether, and the Mantel test figures could be moved to the supplemental materials or to the discussion as a summary figure.

Response: The correlation matrices were provided to have a visual representation of the data because the dataset is complex. However, we agree that they do not serve as the main interpretation in our paper but they allow complex-specific comparison of the different parameters. We fused sections 3.6 and 3.7 and transferred the correlation matrices to the supplemental information.

- Lines 234-238: If interpretations of relationship in the PCA are made based solely on angles of vectors as indicated by the reference to Figure 4 at the end of the sentence, irradiance and net calcification show an obtuse angle, indicating a minor negative correlation between irradiance and net calcification contrary to previous interpretations of results and what has been written here. $\delta^{11}B$ and F_v/F_m seem to show a similar correlation in magnitude and direction to $\delta^{13}C$ and net calcification (i.e., indicating that $\delta^{11}B$ and F_v/F_m correlation may not be minor if that is the case for net calcification and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$). Either specific references to correlation coefficients should be made to address the mismatch between the biplot and section 3.7 or the sentence in Lines 237-238 needs to be amended.

Response: Thank you for pointing this out. The minor correlation between irradiance and calcification was removed from the text. The paragraph now reads:" Vectors present a positive relationship between ETRmax and irradiance, a negative relationship between net calcification and $\delta^{11}B$, positive relationships between net calcification and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and between $\delta^{11}B$ and Fv/Fm. (Fig. 4 and S3)."

- Lines 239-241: These results appear to relay the exact opposite of what is indicated in section 3.2 (Lines 182-186) and section 3.3 (Lines 197-200). I would assume that this is an error, please amend to reflect the correct results (i.e., geniculate and non-geniculate should be switched to indicate that non-geniculate show higher net

calcification, higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, and lower $\delta^{11}B$, while geniculate coralline algae show lower net calcification, lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, and higher $\delta^{11}B$.

Response: Thank you for noticing, this was a syntax error, we addressed the issue now.

Discussion:

General comments: The discussion is generally well-written, particularly sections 4.5 and 4.6. The main recommendations are to provide some clarification on certain claims and references to ensure that they are applicable. Otherwise, comments include minor corrections for grammar, flow and accuracy of statements.

Specific recommendations:

- Line 245: Section title should likely be Carbon isotopes ($\delta^{13}C$) as trace element discussion occurs towards the end of the discussion section.

Response: The title originally was: "Impact of irradiance is observed on $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$", we changed it back.

- Line 247: Is this meant to say $\delta^{13}C$ in both instances rather than ^{13}C?

Response: We changed it.

- Lines 252-255: Based on the results section and relationships shown in figure fS1 (i.e., $\delta^{13}C_{organic}$ results) for geniculate coralline algae, $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ and irradiance do not show a positive relationship. Only non-geniculate complexes show significant relationships, one of which is a positive, linear correlation. Therefore, it is unclear whether the second point in this paragraph can be inferred or supported by the given results. Please review and adjust section for accuracy or clarity of communication.

Response: We also added result from ANOVA, the paragraph now reads "The positive relationships between δ13Cmineral and irradiance in three out of four complexes and the significant effect of irradiance on δ13Cmineral (i.e. Corallina/Arthrocardia fine and Phymatolithopsis complex) and δ13Ctissue (i.e. Pneophyllum complex and Phymatolithopsis complex) ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA), highlights: […]"

- Line 263: Authors may consider rephrasing here to indicate that photosynthesis impacts the $\delta^{13}C$ of the available DIC for calcification. "Enhancing" suggests that photosynthesis increases rate of net calcification (e.g., through increase of pH).

Response: The paragraph now reads "There are clear differences in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ signatures between non-geniculate and geniculate complexes. Non-geniculate complexes *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex are fast calcifiers that have enriched $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and a strong response to increased irradiance. Geniculate complexes *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust present lower net calcification and lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. Photosynthesis can increase the $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC pool available for

calcification, the differences observed between morphotypes in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and net calcification are then in line with a positive effect of photosynthesis on net calcification (Fig. 5C). "

- Lines 273-276: Please review if Mao et al. (2024) is relevant to this case. The reference seems to indicate that CO_2 produced from calcification is recycled for photosynthesis and not vice versa. However, as it is written here, the explanation suggests that carbon used for photosynthesis is recycled internally for calcification thereby affecting $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. HCO_3^- is actively pumped into cells for calcification and photosynthesis. CO_2 produced by calcification or respiration may be recycled for photosynthesis, and products of photosynthesis like ATP are used for calcification, however it would not follow that carbon from photosynthesis would be directly recycled for calcification. Re-wording of this section or clarification may be necessary. It may be possible for HCO_3^- released from respiration to be recycled for calcification, thereby reducing $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, and as inputs for respiration are derived from photosynthesis, $\delta^{13}C$ of DIC available for calcification could be indirectly affected by photosynthesis.

Response: Thank you for noticing, this now reads: ". Mao et al. (2024) established a carbon budget based on radiogenic-isotopes and highlighted that up to 40% of the carbon released during calcification was recycled internally. While carbon fixed during photosynthesis is not directly recycled into calcification, CO_2 released during respiration may contribute to calcification, potentially lowering $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. Because respiratory inputs are derived from photosynthetically fixed carbon, $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC pool available for calcification could be indirectly influenced by photosynthesis. We anticipate […]"

- Lines 279-280: Rather than "allows us", it may be more accurate to write something to the effect of: "Our results show that the geochemical signatures of the mineral are impacted by changing irradiances indicating potential changes in pH_{CF}, which we analyzed by boron isotope proxy."

Response: The proposed sentence slightly changed the original sense, we revised: "Our results show that the geochemical signatures of the mineral are impacted by changing irradiances thereby enabling the investigation of potential changes in pH_{CF} constrained by boron isotopes.".

- Line 376: "Few differences", should likely be changed to "a few differences," or simply "differences between morphologies…".

Response: We changed for simply "differences".

- Line 415: I would restructure this section as encrusting species are much more commonly and successfully used for paleoenvironmental reconstructions than rhodoliths in coralline algae literature. Records produced from encrusting individuals are less impacted by differential light exposure since they are anchored to an

unmoving substrate unlike free-living rhodoliths where a face of the organism is always buried in sediment.

Response: Thank you for this comment, this section now reads: "Carbonate structures produced by coralline algae (e.g., rhodoliths, crusts) can be used as archives for paleoreconstruction (MacDonald et al., 2024). The main geochemical differences in our study are observed between the different morphologies of coralline red algae. Nevertheless, non-geniculate (i.e., encrusting) species are much more commonly used for paleoenvironmental reconstructions, we will then focus on the non-geniculate complexes for the rest of this section.

As we observed, $\delta^{11}B$-derived pH_{CF} is not impacted by light at the complex levels which does not produce additional complexity for the use of the proxy. Anagnostou et al. (2019) presented a robust calibration of the $\delta^{11}B$ proxy based on culture experiments on a high-latitude crustose coralline red algae *Clathromorphum compactum*. As the carbonate archives usually are produced by a mix of species, a complex-specific response to ocean acidification and the strong control they exert on their calcification fluid could be a limitation of the proxy, but our findings suggest $\delta^{11}B$ should be at least insensitive to light levels. This is especially true because encrusting species being anchored to the substrate should be less impacted by differential light exposure. Nevertheless, with the increasing availability in species-specific geochemical data, a rigorous approach may involve using DNA-based identification within the core to calibrate geochemical records."

- Line 420: Adjust this section of the sentence to make grammatical sense: "which does not produce additional complexity the use of the proxy."

Response: We changed for "for the use of the proxy".

- Line 425: It may also be relevant to include that a multi-proxy approach could be applied to proxies like Mg/Ca that are affected by multiple variables. Additionally, light availability would likely affect species adapted to different latitudes and depths uniquely in addition to differences in effects by morphology, so it would be beneficial to indicate that the results could possibly apply to other mid-latitude species but not all coralline algae (e.g., Arctic species are adapted to much lower light conditions where it has been suggested that stored photosynthates can be used to support calcification during winter months as indicated by Adey et al. (2013) and Gould et al. (2022)).

Response: This section now reads: "Our results on mid-latitude low-light adapted species show that light does not impair the application of the $\delta^{11}B$ and Li/Mg proxies."

Coralline red algae species are adapted to environments where light availability can vary (e.g. latitude, depth). While the results of this study may be applicable to mid-latitude species, it might not be transferable to coralline algae from other latitudes, for example, it has been shown that Arctic species rely on stored photosynthates to support winter

calcification (Adey et al., 2019; Gould et al., 2022) which could influence the geochemical parameters."

Conclusion:

General comments: The conclusion provides an excellent summary of the research and pertinent results as well as interpretations. The only recommendation would be to acknowledge that as study results may be species-specific and morphology specific, they could be cautiously generalized to mid-latitude species but additional replication of the study is necessary for species adapted to different light regimes.

Specific recommendations:

- Line 471: Additional studies should also be repeated with different coralline algal species that experience different irradiance regimes and environments (i.e., are there differences between algal species that are adapted to living at greater depths and higher/lower latitudes with lower access to light).

Response: The end of the conclusion now reads: "This study demonstrates variability in responses of coralline red algae under irradiance and highlights distinct biomineralization mechanisms between branching (geniculate) and encrusting (non-geniculate) mid-latitude low-light adapted complexes. Photosynthesis impacts the availability and source of DIC_{CF} which has implications on calcification. In the perspective of calcification, plasticity on DIC sources is determinant for acclimation of coralline red algae. Further research should be done on coralline algal species that experience different irradiance regimes and environments (e.g. latitude, depth). Additional study on the joint effect of ocean acidification and changing irradiance might provide some interesting dynamics and will be needed to understand the full implications of future global changes and associated perturbations on the coralline algae communities and dependent ecosystems. "

Figures:

- Figures 4, 6, 7, 8: Figures require more detailed figure captions, including drawing reader attention to pertinent results accompanied by applicable statistics. All figures should follow the same format in describing sub-figures in the caption.

Response: Figure 4 now only includes the PCA. We added a more detailed caption to the figures.

- Figures 5, 7, S3 & S5: Color schemes should be accessible and consistent across figures (e.g., Figure 8 is not accessible to those with blue-yellow color blindness, Figures 5, 7, and S5 are not accessible to those with red-green color blindness).

Response: Originally the color scheme was checked but we see it did not fit all color blindness, color scheme has been changed to #E69F00,#56B4E9, #009E73,#CC79A7.

- Figures 5 & 7: The changes between irradiance are quite difficult to distinguish with the size of the data points. Either the size must be increased, or figures should be separated. Alternatively, the four average data points could be colored to represent irradiances and individual data points in the background could be eliminated if sample size was indicated in the legend or figure caption.

Response: Individual datapoints were increased. We left the other averages in black to avoid making the figure overwhelming.

- Figure 5: Are each of the four black-filled and black-outlined geometric shapes representing individual coralline algae averages per species, morphology type and irradiance as shown in previous figures while the smaller data points are all individual measurements taken at each irradiance as in Figure 3? Please include this in the figure caption to clarify in more detail. Observing trends based on irradiance as described in body of paper is difficult in these figures, for example in Figure 5A the highest irradiance for Pneophyllum showes lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ than at the second highest irradiance. Does this indicate that at 2.3 mol photon/m^2/day the point at which photochemical quenching is at its maximum has been exceeded? If so, this should be noted, as it appears to be inconsistent with the claim in the discussion.

Response: Figure 5 presents crossplots of the geochemical analyses with physiological data. "Averages are calculated based on this study for geochemical parameters and from the full dataset in Krieger et al. (2023). Individual paired data are also shown to maximize the information displayed, color scheme corresponds to the different irradiances. ". These figures aim to evaluate the relationship between the geochemical parameters and other key physiological parameters (e.g. gross photosynthesis and net calcification). The effect of irradiance is studied through the statistical test and the parameters vs irradiance. Those figures highlight the different clusters between morphotypes.

- Figures S1& S2: Y-axis labels are missing for these figures. Ensure to be consistent with the inclusion of R^2 and p-values across the figures. At minimum, both should be included for significant results if not all.

Response: We added the X-axis labels to those figures. Only R^2 is provided for non-linear regressions. We added the R^2 to the linear regressions.

The influence of irradiance and interspecific differences on $\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C$ and elemental ratios in four coralline algae complexes from Aotearoa, New Zealand

Maxence Guillermic[1], Erik C. Krieger[2,3], Joyce Goh[1], Christopher E. Cornwall[2], Robert A. Eagle[1]

[1]Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Institute of the Environment and Sustainability, Center for Diverse Leadership in Science, University of California - Los Angeles, CA 90095

[2]School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

[3]Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence to: Maxence Guillermic (maxence.guillermic@gmail.com) and Robert Eagle (robeagle@g.ucla.edu)

Abstract. Coralline algae are a cosmopolitan group of important foundational species. The calcium carbonate they produce is increasingly being used as paleoenvironmental archives, as well as used to trace physiological responses of these important macroalgae to environmental change. In this context, evaluating the effect of oceanic change and photo-physiological parameters on geochemical proxies is critical, as such gaps may lead to erroneous paleoenvironmental reconstructions, misattributed drivers of calcification responses, and ultimately compromise conservation strategies. Here we address the impact of light (irradiance) on four species complexes of coralline red algae including two morphologies; geniculate (branching) and non-geniculate (encrusting). The four complexes up-regulated their $\delta^{11}B$ derived pH_{CF} relative to seawater by 0.6 to 0.8 pH unit. $\delta^{11}B$ was not measurably affected by varying irradiance despite evidence of increasing photosynthesis. All complexes were able to maintain and elevate their pH_{CF} relative to seawater for all treatments. Non-geniculate and geniculate complexes had distinct geochemical signatures of $\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and trace elements. These differences in geochemical signatures indicate a variety of calcification mechanisms exist within coralline algae. We propose that different sources of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) are necessary to explain the observed $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. As geniculate species have higher photosynthetic activity (i.e. gross photosynthesis), the DIC sources allocated to calcification might be limited due to greater CO_2 drawdown. This is supported by B/Ca and U/Ca ratios suggesting modulation of carbonate chemistry and especially lower DIC_{CF} in geniculate relative to non-geniculate complexes. DIC sources might come from direct CO_2 diffusion or better recycling of metabolic CO_2 which would explain the depleted $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. This strategy likely arises from the different energy

needs of the organisms, with non-geniculate using relatively more energy to support calcification. We suggest the different calcification mechanisms between morphologies are linked to different interactions between photosynthesis and carbon allocation. While photosynthesis can provide energy to geniculate complexes to maintain their metabolic needs, their calcification may be limited by DIC. In contrast, non-geniculate forms may benefit from more limited DIC drawdown due to lower photosynthetic activity, therefore maintaining higher internal DIC concentrations ultimately supporting faster calcification.

1 Introduction

Coralline algae are widespread foundational species found around the globe, and in some locations their calcium carbonate forms maerl or rhodolith beds which are the dominant benthic substrate of the area (Steneck et al., 1986). In other cases they can form ecologically and structurally significant contributions to other benthic environments, for example in tropical coral reefs (Cornwall et al., 2023) and within kelp forests (Connell, 2003b, Irving et al., 2004). Coralline red algae show two main morpho-functional groups, geniculate and non-geniculate. Geniculate corallines have non calcified joints that connect the calcified intergenicula to allow for higher thallus flexibility, distinct morphological traits allow them to grow in various habitats and to cope with a wide range of environments (Noisette et al., 2013; McCoy and Kamenos, 2015). As with other marine calcifiers, they are potentially threatened by ocean warming and acidification, evidence suggests they have plasticity and resilience to some of these climate change stressors (Anthony et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2013a; Cornwall et al., 2019).

Coralline algae are important in the field of paleoenvironmental reconstruction, particularly as they may grow in cooler regions such as the Arctic, where other commonly used archives such as mounding corals or foraminifera are not available (e.g., Halfar et al., 2000; Kamenos et al., 2008; Anagnostou et al., 2019). To increase the reliability of coralline algae for paleoclimate reconstruction, a better understanding of biomineralization mechanisms and how those mechanisms are impacted by environmental drivers is needed. This is critical as erroneous interpretation of proxies can undermine confidence in long-term environmental records, drivers of calcification and compromise forecasts that inform marine policy and conservation strategies.

Boron isotopes have been developed in carbonate as a proxy of pH in the fluid that it is precipitated within. The sensitivity of the $\delta^{11}B$ proxy to pH is based on the predominant incorporation of borate ion in the carbonate structure (Hemming and Hanson, 1992). Carbonate skeletal $\delta^{11}B$ has been used to explore pH of the calcifying fluid (pH_{CF}) and carbonate chemistry regulation in coralline algae in response to environmental change such as ocean acidification (Cornwall et al., 2017, 2020; Donald et al., 2017; Sutton et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020), with evidence suggesting that the calcifying environment of coralline algae have pH elevated with respect to seawater (Cornwall et al., 2017; Donald et al., 2017; Sutton et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020) as has been observed in scleractinian corals (McCulloch et al., 2017; Eagle et al., 2022).

The most significant body of work on geochemical tracers of internal pH and carbonate chemistry regulation has primarily focused on symbiont bearing surface corals indicating that the photophysiology of the symbiont may influence the chemical regulation of calcification. For example, regulation of the pH of the calcifying medium within the calicoblastic epithelium is known to show day-night cycles (Al-Horani et al., 2003; Guillermic et al., 2021; Cameron et al., 2022). Corals that lose symbionts during temperature stress, may also exhibit a deregulation of the calcification fluid chemistry and anomalous skeletal geochemical signatures (e.g., D'Olivio et al., 2017; Guillermic et al., 2021; Cameron et al., 2022). Conversely, heat resilient corals may not undergo this process (Eagle et al., 2022). Varying light levels can also influence coral skeletal geochemistry in controlled culture experiments (Dissard et al., 2012; Juillet-Leclerc et al., 2014). Limited research has been carried out on coralline algae, and although irradiance can impact pH_{CF} of coralline algae (Comeau et al., 2019), much more research is required.

Carbon isotopes of the mineral ($\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$) and the tissues ($\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$) can reflect photosynthesis and respiration (McConnaughey et al., 1997), where direct HCO_3^- uptake from seawater enriches $\delta^{13}C$ while recycling of respired CO_2 can decrease $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC pool. Additionally, increased uptake of diffusive CO_2 (from seawater or metabolic) can result in depletion in ^{13}C. Ultimately, the $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ reflects the relative abundance of photosynthetic HCO_3^- uptake relative to respiration processes or passive CO_2 diffusion from seawater. The $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ represents the source of DIC and kinetic fractionation by RUBISCO during photosynthesis, RUBISCO enzyme preferentially fixing ^{12}C leading to $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ being depleted relative to $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$.

Coralline algae are photosynthetic organisms that inhabit various habitats where light fluctuates greatly. Increasing irradiance generally enhances calcification of coralline red algae (Goreau, 1963; Borowitzka 1981; Borowitzka and Larkum 1987; Martin et al. 2013a; Korbee et al., 2014; Egilsdottir et al., 2016; Krieger et al. 2023). Increasing irradiance on low-light adapted species can result in photoinhibition (Kain, 1987; Sagert et al., 1997; Kühl et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2013b). In contrast, coralline algae in polar regions can continue calcifying at reduced rates even under prolonged low-light conditions associated with seasonal cycles or sea ice cover (Williams et al., 2018; Gould et al., 2022). These latitudinal (e.g. tropical, temperate or polar environments) and climate-driven differences in light adaptation and calcification mechanisms can contribute to the variability reported across studies. Although light clearly affects calcification, the mechanistic links between irradiance, photophysiology, and calcification is not fully understood.

A direct link between photosynthesis and the calcification space is hypothesised, as calcification is active in the meristematic region where there is a high concentration of chloroplasts. Photosynthesis has multiple ways in which it could promote calcification: 1) increase pH within the diffusive boundary layer surrounding the cells during the day via CO_2 removal, 2) provide the cell wall polysaccharides and proteins, and 3) provide energy to the cell formation and calcifying medium carbonate chemistry regulation (McCoy et al. 2023). Environmental parameters influencing irradiance in natural settings can change population communities and functionality of the ecosystem thus a good understanding of the mechanisms influencing calcification (including light) is needed to foresee changes due to future environmental challenges.

Krieger et al. (2023) explored the physiology and photophysiology of low-light coralline algae complexes *Phymatolithopsis repanda, Pneophyllum* spp. *Corallina* spp., and *Arthrocardia* spp. cultured under different irradiances and proposed that light-enhanced calcification is the result of an elevated diffusion boundary layer pH which raises calcifying fluid pH_{CF} and that $[Ca]_{CF}$ could be the limiting parameters for fast growing species as also observed in Comeau et al. (2019). To further test Krieger et al's and Comeau et al's hypothesis we investigated calcification differences between faster and slower growing coralline algae complexes using geochemical tracers. Here we explore the underlying mechanisms behind interspecific differences and the effect of changing irradiance on coralline red algae complex calcification using geochemical tracers, namely the boron, carbon and oxygen isotopic compositions ($\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C$) as well as minor elemental compositions (Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca, Li/Ca, B/Ca, Ba/Ca).

2 Materials and Method

2.1 Specimens and culture experiment

Culturing experiments on non-geniculate coralline algae of different morphology ("thick" = *Phymatolithopsis repanda*; "smooth" = *Pneophyllum* spp.) as well as two groups of geniculate corallines ("fine" = *Corallina* spp.; and "robust" = *Arthrocardia* spp.) were described in a previous study (Krieger et al., 2023) an shown in Fig. 1. To briefly summarize this work, specimens were collected by scuba divers at depths between 1 and 2 m from two field sites located in Te Moana-o-Raukawa Cook Strait, Te Whanganui a Tara Wellington, Aotearoa New Zealand. Taxonomic and DNA-based identifications are described in Krieger et al. (2023). Samples can form a complex containing multiple species with a dominant presence of one species (Krieger et al., 2023). Those complexes present characteristic physiological and geochemical responses. For clarity, non-geniculate complexes will be referred to as *Phymatolithopsis* complex, *Pneophyllum* complex while geniculate complexes will be referred to as *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine, *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust. Specifically, *Phymatolithopsis* complex consists of *Phymatolithopsis repanda* (*Hapalidiales* ZT 75% and *Hapalidiales* sp. D 25%). *Pneophyllum* complex consists of *75% Pneophyllum* sp. F and 25% *Corallinales* sp. E. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* morphologies fine and robust consists of 75% *Corallina* sp. and 25% *Arthrocardia* sp.

The original culture experiment was conducted over the 2019 summer and autumn (17th February to 19th May) in the facilities of the Victoria University of Wellington Coastal Ecology Laboratory. A detailed description of the original tank experiment can be found in Krieger et al. (2023) but we will briefly outline the most important information relevant for the present study here. The study organisms were exposed for 85 days to four different light levels (daily doses 0.6, 1.2, 1.8, 2.3 mol photons m^{-2} d^{-1}; noon peak irradiance 20, 40, 60, 80 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) that represent naturally occurring subcanopy irradiances at the collection sites. The chosen values approximate minimum summer irradiances, which are ecologically relevant as such low-light conditions often dominate under the canopy. Each irradiance level (i.e., treatment) was replicated twelve times on the tank level. The twelve tanks from each treatment were distributed over eight water baths with

each bath housing between one to two tanks from each treatment. Eight header tanks each supplied six different experimental tanks which were equally distributed between two neighboring water baths with 150 mL min^{-1} of fresh filtered (10 µm) seawater each. Water bath and header tank identity of each experimental tank was later used during the statistical analysis to remove sample interdependence. Light was provided by LED panels which simulated a natural diel light cycle and mimicked a typical temperate coastal underwater light spectrum. Temperature control was achieved by using submersible heaters and aquarium chillers with the difference in mean treatment temperature between treatments was not higher than 0.1 °C (highest 16.45 ± 0.1 SE and lowest 16.36 ± 0.1 SE). Seawater carbonate chemistry was monitored frequently through the measurement of tank pH_T and total alkalinity. Mean treatment total alkalinity was within 4 µmol kg^{-1} (highest 2279.77 ± 3.41 SE and lowest 2275.11 ± 4.88SE) while pH_T was within 0.1 units (highest 8.02 ± 0.01 SE and lowest 8.01 ± 0.01 SE). Samples were stained with alizarin red and only material above the stain line was sampled to ensure sampling the new growth.

2.2 Specimens and culture experiment

Photosynthetic (Chl *a* content, Fv/Fm, ETRmax, gross photosynthesis) and physiological (net calcification) parameters as well as tissue $\delta^{13}C$ were originally published in Krieger et al. (2023) and are also presented in Table S1. Physiological data against irradiance are also presented in Fig. 2.

2.3 Carbonate geochemistry

Methods used in this study were previously described in Guillermic et al. (2020, 2021, 2022) and Eagle et al. (2022). Briefly, powdered calcium carbonate samples were organically cleaned using a solution of 0.2 % hydrogen peroxide. Samples were dissolved in 1 N HCl and purified for boron isotopes through microdistillation (Gaillardet et al., 2001, Wang et al., 2008). Boron isotopic measurements were carried out on a Thermo Scientific® Neptune MC-ICP-MS at the Pôle Spectrométrie Océan (PSO), Plouzané and at the Dornsife PLASMA Facility of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles.

Elemental ratios were measured on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Element XR HR-ICP-MS at the PSO, Ifremer (Plouzané, France) after [Ca] analyses on an ICP-AES Ultima 2 HORIBA at the PSO (Plouzané, France). Data quality and external reproducibility were monitored by repeated measurement of JCp-1 (Gutjarh et al., 2021), NIST RM 8301 (Stewart et al., 2020) and filtered seawater for both boron isotopes measurements and trace elements. $\delta^{11}B$ measured for NIST 8301 coral was 24.26 ± 0.22 ‰, 2 SE, n=19 (published value is 24.17 ± 0.07 ‰, 2 SE, n=7, Stewart et al., 2020), $\delta^{11}B$ of JCp-1 was 24.51± 0.14 ‰, 2 SE, n=12 (published value is 24.36 ± 0.14 ‰, 2 SE, n=10, Gutjarh et al., 2021) and $\delta^{11}B$ measured for a filtered seawater was 39.53 ± 0.12 ‰, 2 SE, n=2 (published value is 39.61 ± 0.04 ‰, 2 SE, n=28, Foster et al., 2010).

Analyses of carbonate skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ were carried out on a Matt 253 (Kiel IV carbonates, dual Inlet) mass spectrometer at the stable isotope facility of Pôle spectrométrie Océan (PSO, Plouzané, France). Results were calibrated to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) scale and referenced to the international standard NBS19.

Geochemical data analyzed in this study are presented in Table S1 and Fig. 3.

2.4 pH_{CF} calculations

The pH_{CF} was calculated from measurements of coral skeletal $\delta^{11}B$ following Hemming and Hanson (1992) and equation from Zeebe and Galdrow, (2001):

$$pH_{CF} = pK_B^* - \log\left(-\frac{\delta^{11}B_{seawater} - \delta^{11}B_c}{\delta^{11}B_{seawater} - \alpha * \delta^{11}B_c - \varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

with $pK_B^*(T,S)$ representing the dissociation constant, temperature of 16.4 °C and salinity of 35 psu. $\delta^{11}B_{seawater}$ is representing the boron isotopic composition of seawater (Foster et al., 2010), $\delta^{11}B_c$ representing the boron isotopic composition of the mineral (e.g. high-Mg calcite of coralline red algae), and α representing the fractionation factor and ε representing the boron isotopic fractionation between boric acid and borate ion (27.2 ‰, Klochko et al., 2006).

2.5 Statistical analyses

Linear and quadratic models were compared using Akaike information criterion (AIC) to determine which model best described the data (Figs. S1, S2, Tables S2, S3). Only significant lines were plotted for the regressions that had a significant p-value (for linear fit) or R^2 (for quadratic fit) (Figs. S1, S2). Statistical tests were performed between the geochemical data and matching photophysiological data from Krieger et al. (2023).

Normality of the data was assessed and data transformed using R to normalize the entire dataset (by variable) using Box-Cox transformation and then subsequently tested the normality of the data set using the Shapiro Normality Test and Q-Q plot.

ANOVA tests in R were used to evaluate the effect of irradiance and test differences between species. ANOVA tests that had a significant p-value were then further analyzed using the TukeyHSD Multiple Comparisons of Means test at a family-wise confidence level of 95%. Results are presented in Tables S4, S5, S6 and S7.

Correlation matrices are a statistical method that evaluates the correlation between multiple parameters and allows representation of complex datasets. Correlation matrices were performed using R for each complex and are presented in Figs. S4 and S5. These correlation matrices were used to visually present the data and support interpretation from regression models and other statistical methods used in this paper.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was made using Graphpad Prism (version 10.2.3 for Windows GraphPad Software, Boston, Massachusetts USA, “www.graphpad.com”) for all trace elements and physiological parameters. Relevant physiological parameters were selected, ETRmax and $\delta^{13}C_{organic}$ given the reduced amount of data (Fig. 4).

The averages of photophysiological parameters presented in Figs. 2, 4, and 6 are derived from the full dataset provided in the supplemental information of Krieger et al. (2023). Regression analyses and other statistical tests were conducted on a subset of photophysiological samples for which geochemical analyses were available (Table S1). Individual paired data and averages are shown in the cross-plots in Figs. 5 and 7 in order to display maximum information on the data.

3 Results

3.1 Net calcification and changing irradiance

No significant relationship was observed between net calcification and irradiance ($p > 0.05$, ANOVA) in our subset of data. Differences in net calcification were only significant between complexes ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA for irradiance 0.6, 1.8, 2.3). However, Krieger et al. (2023) presented two significant relationships, one non-linear for *Corallina* and one non-linear for *Spongites* when the full dataset was taken into account.

3.2 $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$

The geniculate and non-geniculate complexes present different absolute values of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and responses with increasing irradiance. Relatively lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ values (~ -5.5 ‰) are observed for geniculate *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust; non-geniculate *Phymatolithopsis* complex and *Pneophyllum* complex have relatively enriched $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ signatures (~ -2.5 ‰). Significant differences in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ between species were observed for all irradiances (Table S6).

ANOVA results indicate a significant effect of irradiance on $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ of the non-geniculate species (*Phymatolithopsis complex* and *Pneophyllum complex*) ($p=0.01$ and $p=0.009$, Table S4). These two complexes exhibit a significant linear increase in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ with increasing irradiance levels ($p=0.010$ and $p=0.003$, respectively, Table S2). The geniculate *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine is showing a non-linear ($R^2=0.45$) significant increase in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ while *Corallina/Arthrocardia robust* is having a relatively stable $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ signature for the different treatments ($p=0.948$).

$\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ data were already presented in Krieger et al. (2023). In our subset of samples, ANOVA supports a significant effect of irradiances for non-geniculate *Phymatolithopsis* complex and *Pneophyllum* complex ($p=0.009$, $p=0.011$). Values of $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ are linearly increasing with higher irradiances for *Phymatolithopsis* complex ($p=0.001$), and a significant non-linear relationship is observed for *Pneophyllum* complex ($R^2=0.58$). ANOVA also supports significant differences between species (Table S6).

$\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ are enriched in comparison to $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ by 9 to 22 ‰. Significant positive linear relationships between $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ were observed for the non-geniculate *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex ($p=0.025$, $p=0.003$), but not for the geniculate *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust, Fig. 5A.

There is an increase in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ with increasing net calcification across all complexes ($p<0.001$; Fig. 5C). Some differences to note are that the geniculate *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine have the lightest $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ in line with observed lower net calcification. The non-geniculate complexes have higher net calcification and higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, implying different sensitivities of net calcification to irradiance between complexes and difference between non-geniculate and geniculate complexes.

3.3 $\delta^{11}B$

Enriched $\delta^{11}B$ values are observed for the geniculate *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust (~26.4 ‰) and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine (~27.4 ‰), compared to the non-geniculate *Pneophyllum* complex (~24.5‰) and *Phymatolithopsis* complex (~25.4 ‰). The differences between complexes are significant at irradiance 0.6, 1.8 and 2.3 (ANOVA p=0.008, p=0.001, p=0.006, respectively, Table S6).

No significant linear or non-linear regression was observed between $\delta^{11}B$ and irradiance (Tables S3 and S4). $\delta^{11}B$ differences were observed between species (ANOVA significant for most irradiances, Tables S3 and S4). T-tests show no significant differences between *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust (geniculate) or *Phymatolithopsis* complex and *Pneophyllum* complex (non-geniculate) but do show significant differences between geniculate and non-geniculate species.

Crossplot of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{11}B$ does show significant negative linear relationships across all complexes ($p<0.0001$), not significant at the complex level (Fig. 5B). There is a clear distinction between non-geniculate and geniculate species. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine show depleted $\delta^{13}C$ and high $\delta^{11}B$ while *Pneophyllum* complex show enriched $\delta^{13}C$ and lower $\delta^{11}B$ (significant ANOVA).

$\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{11}B$ compared to net calcification and gross photosynthesis (Figs. 5C, 5D, 5E and 5F) do not present any significant relationships. We note that higher $\delta^{11}B$ and lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ coincides with higher gross photosynthesis and lower net calcification in the geniculate species while the opposite is true for non-geniculate species (Fig. 5).

3.4 Trace elements

Li/Ca, B/Ca, Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca, Ba/Ca, U/Ca were analyzed in this study. Mg/Ca was the most impacted by irradiance between complexes, while Li/Ca was significantly impacted in *Pneophyllum* complex ($p<0.001$, ANOVA, Table S4) and Ba/Ca in *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust ($p<0.04$, ANOVA). Most elements presented significant differences between complexes, including B/Ca, Li/Ca, Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca (ANOVA, Table S6).

Mg/Ca observed are significantly different between species at irradiance 0.6, 1.8 and 2.4 ($p=0.047$, $p=0.03$ and $p<0.001$, ANOVA). Significant quadratic relationships between Mg/Ca and irradiance are observed for *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex ($R^2=0.51$, $R^2=0.48$) while a positive linear relationship is observed for *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine ($p=0.002$) are best fit according to AIC analyses (Table S2, Fig. S1). There is a significant impact of irradiance on Mg/Ca for *Corallina*, *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex ($p=0.03$, $p=0.003$ and $p=0.04$, ANOVA, Fig. S1, Table S2, S4).

Significant positive relationships are observed between B/Ca and irradiance, quadratic for *Pneophyllum* complex and linear for *Phymatolithopsis* complex ($R^2=0.40$, $p=0.02$ respectively) but not for other complexes. Based on TukeyHSD Multiple Comparisons of Means (see method section) B/Ca was significantly different for the species for the three irradiance treatments, 0.6, 1.2 and 1.8 ($p=0.006$, $p=0.02$ and $p=0.0003$ respectively, Fig. S1, Tables S2, S6).

3.5 Other physiological parameters

Maximum electron transport rate (ETR max) is an important photophysiological parameter indicative of photosynthetic capacity. ETR max is directly correlated to gross photosynthesis (µg O_2.cm^{-2}.h^{-1}) making it a key parameter to study the impact of changing irradiance in coralline red algae. In our subset of samples ETR max had significant positive linear relationships with irradiance for *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust, *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Pneophyllum* complex (p= 0.035, p=0.0023, p=0.0238 respectively), Table S3, Fig. S2. Chl *a*, ETRmax and Fv/Fm were significantly different between species at different irradiance levels based on ANOVA (Table S6).

Significant differences between non-geniculate and geniculate complexes were observed in the photophysiological parameters. Net calcification was lower in geniculate complexes than in non-geniculate complexes (t-test, $p<0.001$). Gross photosynthesis was higher in geniculate complexes than in the non-geniculate ones (t-test, $p<0.001$).

3.6 Principal component analysis (PCA) and correlation matrices.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed for the geochemical and physiological data. The isotopic and trace element measurements were dissociated for better clarity of the figures. Vectors present a positive relationship between ETRmax and irradiance, a negative relationship between net calcification and $\delta^{11}B$, positive relationships between net calcification and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and between $\delta^{11}B$ and Fv/Fm. (Fig. 4 and S3).

In both cases, geniculate and non-geniculate species cluster together. Non-geniculate complexes (*Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex) show higher net calcification, higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and lower $\delta^{11}B$. Geniculate complexes *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine on the contrary show lower net calcification, lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and higher $\delta^{11}B$. The clustering is also observed with the trace elements. Geniculate complexes showing higher Li/Ca, Sr/Ca, Ba/Ca and U/Ca ratios than non-geniculate complexes (Fig. S3).

Complex-specific relationships between geochemical and physiological parameters are presented in the correlation matrices in Fig. 4.

4 Discussion

4.1 Impact of irradiance is observed on $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$

The positive relationships between $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and irradiance in three out of four complexes and the significant effect of irradiance on $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (i.e. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Phymatolithopsis* complex) and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ (i.e. *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex) ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA), highlights: 1) that irradiance impacts the geochemical signatures of the mineral, 2) photosynthetically driven isotope fractionation increases with increasing irradiance based on $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. Those results are in line with photophysiological parameters measured (i.e. gross photosynthesis, ETRmax) showing increased

photosynthesis with irradiance at the complex level and supported by previous study that indicate $\delta^{13}C$ changes with photosynthesis and respiration (McConnaughey et al., 1997).

Difference in sensitivities between $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and irradiance is observed between *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex indicating complex-specific responses to light. In the range of irradiances tested in this study, geniculate complexes are less sensitive to changes in irradiance ($p=0.975$) than the non-geniculate ones ($p=0.0001$), Fig 5A.

There are clear differences in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ signatures between non-geniculate and geniculate complexes. Non-geniculate complexes *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex are fast calcifiers that have enriched $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and a strong response to increased irradiance. Geniculate complexes *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust present lower net calcification and lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. Photosynthesis can increase the $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC pool available for calcification, the differences observed between morphotypes in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and net calcification are then in line with a positive effect of photosynthesis on net calcification (Fig. 5C).

The geniculate complexes have higher gross photosynthesis here than the non-geniculate complexes, they also have lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (Fig. 5E). The higher photosynthesis rate in geniculate versus non-geniculate has also been observed in the field (Nguyen et al., 2022). The discrepancy with $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (e.g. high photosynthesis/low c $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$) could be that the source of DIC used by geniculate species is depleted in ^{13}C. i.e., a greater use of recycled respiratory CO_2 and/or use of CO_2 via diffusion. The morphology of the geniculate algae represents a higher surface area-to-volume ratio and a thinner wall thickness; this might lead to greater passive transport of DIC to the site of calcification. On the contrary, the thick crust, and lower surface area to volume ratio of the non-geniculate species could lead to less passive diffusion as a source of DIC. Mao et al. (2024) established a carbon budget based on radiogenic-isotopes and highlighted that up to 40% of the carbon released during calcification was recycled internally. While carbon fixed during photosynthesis is not directly recycled into calcification, CO_2 released during respiration may contribute to calcification, potentially lowering $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. Because respiratory inputs are derived from photosynthetically fixed carbon, $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC pool available for calcification could be indirectly influenced by photosynthesis. We anticipate that this recycling will vary depending on morphologies and taxa and then impact $\delta^{13}C$. DIC uptake strategies can vary by coralline taxa (Bergstrom et al. 2020), especially CO_2 diffusion being more prevalent in basal taxa which highlight the diversity of carbon concentrating mechanisms in coralline algae. Our results show that the geochemical signatures of the mineral are impacted by changing irradiances thereby enabling the investigation of potential changes in pH_{CF} constrained by boron isotopes.

4.2 Boron isotopes ($\delta^{11}B$)

There were significant differences between the $\delta^{11}B$ of our four species. The range of $\delta^{11}B$ seems consistent with sole incorporation of $B(OH)_4^-$ and realistic physiological modulation of pH_{CF}. However, we note that NMR study from Cusack et al. (2015) observed the presence of trigonal boron (BO_3) accounting for up to 30% of the total boron in *Lithothamnion glaciale.* The presence of BO_3 can also be due to the recoordination of BO_4 during the incorporation of boron within the crystal lattice (Klochko et al., 2009; Branson et al., 2015) which in that case would not impact the $\delta^{11}B$ proxy. NMR studies on other species

of coralline red algae along with boron isotopic measurements are lacking to affirm that BO_3 does not contribute to a part of the signal measured. For example, more extreme $\delta^{11}B$ data for *Neogoniolithon* were reported at (31-40) ‰ (Donald et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020), even if BO_3 incorporation might not be the dominant driver, it could still contribute to the high values in that particular species/experiment (Donald et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). In our study, the range of $\delta^{11}B$ reported (26 ± 3 ‰, 2 SD, n = 76, Fig. 3B) is consistent with the pH at the site of calcification (pH_{CF}) and without further evidence of BO_3 incorporation and impact on the $\delta^{11}B$, the $\delta^{11}B$ will be interpreted as a physiological signal in the following discussion.

4.3 pH_{CF} is up-regulated relative to seawater

The primary calcification happens in the interfilament space in coralline red algae, secondary calcification occurs within the cell walls (McCoy et al., 2023). It is thought that coralline red algae elevate their internal pH and modulate carbonate chemistry to promote calcification (Cornwall et al., 2017). $\delta^{11}B$ is thought to record the pH at the site of calcification (pH_{CF}). Boron based studies suggest that pH_{CF} is upregulated relative to seawater supporting favorable saturation state and calcium carbonate precipitation, as observed in corals (McCulloch et al., 2017; Cornwall et al., 2017; Anagnostou et al., 2019; Comeau et al., 2019) and other marine organisms (Sutton et al., 2018; Liu et al. 2020). The capacity of coralline algae to maintain its pH_{CF} has also been shown to be impacted by ocean acidification, as recorded by the boron isotope proxy of pH at the site of calcification (Cornwall et al., 2017; Comeau et al., 2019) and indirectly seawater pH (Anagnostou et al. 2019).

Upregulation of pH_{CF} relative to seawater occurred here in the four complexes studied here with average values for *Corallina/Arthrocardia robust* and *Corallina/Arthrocardia fine* of 8.75 ± 0.21 (2 SD, n=19) and 8.81 ± 0.12 (2 SD, n=20), respectively and for *Pneophyllum complex* and *Phymatolithopsis complex* of 8.63 ± 0.20 (2 SD, n=18) and 8.68 ± 0.15 (2 SD, n=19), respectively (Fig. 6). The seawater pH (total scale) during the experiment was maintained to 8.02, meaning that internal pH for the four complexes was elevated relative to seawater by 0.6 to 0.8 pH unit. Complex-specific pH_{CF} dynamics are observed: the geniculate species (*Arthrocardia/Corallina* fine and robust) show higher pH_{CF} in comparison to the non-geniculate complexes (*Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex). All pH_{CF} values are in the range to sustain the saturation state based on boron-based study in other marine organisms (McCulloch et al., 2017; Sutton et al., 2018; Comeau et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Guillermic et al., 2021 and others).

4.4 pH_{CF} is not affected by changing irradiance at the complex level

There was no effect of irradiance on pH_{CF} for any of our species across all levels of irradiance. All complexes presented pH homeostasis responses at different irradiance levels and despite evidence of increased photosynthetic rates (Fig. 6). These results highlight complex-specific pH_{CF}, the species are able to maintain an optimal pH_{CF} demonstrating a good acclimation in the range of irradiance tested (0.6 to 2.3 mol photons m^{-2} day^{-1}). This is also in line with the complexes not showing significant changes in calcification with changing irradiances in our subset of samples (Table S3, Figs. 2, S2). For comparison, those $\delta^{11}B$-derived pH_{CF} are higher than those measured via microelectrode in the light (8.15 - 8.30) in Arctic

corallines (Hoffman et al. 2018). This lack of response to changing irradiance may also result from photosynthesis-independent mechanisms (de Beer and Larkum, 2001; Hofmann et al., 2016, 2018) helping to maintain favorable proton gradients.

4.5 Calcification space chemistry under changing irradiance

The relationship between calcification to photosynthesis is not fully understood in coralline red algae. While some studies report a positive effect of photosynthesis on calcification (Goreau 1963; Pentecost 1978; Comeau et al. 2014) others show non-linear responses to increase irradiance (Martin et al. 2013b; Egilsdottir et al. 2016) or photoinhibition that may affect calcification (Kain, 1987; Sagert et al., 1997; Kühl et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2013b). The subset of data we used for this study did not show significant changes in net calcification which could result from a decoupling between photosynthesis and net calcification at specific irradiance conditions. Net calcification was maintained over the different treatments despite evidence of increasing photosynthesis. In other words, this suggests photosynthetic activity was sufficient even at the lowest irradiance to 1) provide a substantial provision of energy to the organism that can be allocated to active transports of ions and subsequent modulation of the calcification space chemistry, 2) sustain a proton gradient between the calcifying space and seawater. This gradient is maintained from elevation of pH surrounding the cells as result of photosynthetic rate and CO_2 drawdown (Hoffman et al., 2016; Cornwall et al., 2013, 2014, 2017) and by the presence of light-mediated proton pump that is independent from photosynthesis (Hoffman et al., 2016, 2018).

Increasing photosynthesis, however, can have other positive effects on the organism and calcification. For example photosynthesis may sustain calcification by providing the key constituents of organic molecules needed for cell wall formation which act as a template for mineral precipitation. Those organic molecules (like polysaccharides) can also have affinities with Ca which can increase locally the saturation state and promote precipitation of $CaCO_3$. Overall, all complexes in this study acclimatized well to the different levels of irradiance, calcification was maintained but not improved. This can also result from other limiting parameters involved in the modulation of the saturation state at the site of calcification like DIC concentrating mechanisms and $[Ca]_{CF}$.

Krieger et al. (2023) presented the full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) parameter which has been calibrated in aragonite as a proxy for saturation state (DeCarlo et al. 2017), no quantitative but qualitative analyses can be done when applied to calcite which is the case here. In our subset of data there was no significant change in FWHM in either of the complexes with increasing irradiances again highlighting a relatively stable saturation state across treatments, in line with pH_{CF} and calcification data.

B/Ca has been used as a proxy for $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$, however this proxy has only been derived for aragonite so no quantitative estimate can be made here but can be used as a potential indication of changes in the carbonate parameters in the calcification space (McCulloch et al. 2017; DeCarlo et al. 2018b). No relationship is observed for the geniculate complexes of B/Ca with irradiance. Nevertheless, non-geniculate complexes present significant increase in B/Ca with increasing irradiances (parabolic for *Pneophyllum* complex, positive for *Phymatolithopsis* complex), which could highlight changes in the DIC pool (i.e., decreasing $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ with increasing irradiance). Differences within the non-geniculate complexes are also observed with B/Ca

Phymatolithopsis complex < $B/Ca_{geniculate}$ < B/Ca *Pneophyllum* complex (i.e., $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ *Phymatolithopsis* complex > $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF\ geniculate}$ > $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ *Pneophyllum* complex). In a similar way, U/Ca in mineral is dependent on solution $[CO_3^{2-}]$ (DeCarlo et al., 2015), no significant change is observed with irradiance but significant changes are observed between morphologies, $U/Ca_{geniculate} > U/Ca_{non\text{-}geniculate}$ implying different modulation of $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$, $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF\ geniculate} < [CO_3^{2-}]_{CF\ non\text{-}geniculate}$. This overall highlights a lower DIC_{CF} in geniculate relative to the non-geniculate complexes, as for similar DIC_{CF}, higher pH_{CF} should increase $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ but this is not observed here. If pH_{CF} is maintained but DIC_{CF} is modulated then compensatory mechanisms would be needed to sustain a stable saturation state in those two complexes at the complex level and with changing irradiances, this could be achieved through $[Ca]_{CF}$ modulations.

Mg/Ca is another parameter that could be used to infer the $[Ca]_{CF}$ following the approach of Krieger et al. (2023) with their %Mg. The rationale is that the Mg/Ca ratio of the mineral reflects the Mg/Ca ratio of the precipitating fluid, and that only [Ca] modulates this ratio due to its incorporation within the mineral. However, the presence of organics also influences [Ca] and [Mg], and there are additional controls on Mg incorporation like temperature (Williams et al., 2014) or change in precipitation rate (Gabitov et al., 2014) so a direct translation of Mg/Ca to $[Ca]_{CF}$ can be too simplistic. Nevertheless, a significant effect of irradiance on Mg/Ca is observed in three out of the four complexes. Different Mg/Ca responses can be observed, positive for *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine, parabolic for *Pneophyllum* complex and threshold positive for *Phymatolithopsis* complex. Those responses are similar to the B/Ca responses for the non-geniculate complexes. This implies that when $[Ca]_{CF}$ decreases (i.e., Mg/Ca increases), $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ also decreases (B/Ca increases) and that there is no compensation of changes in $[Ca]_{CF}$ by changing $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$. The fact that variations have similar responses can also highlight the changes in $[Ca]_{CF}$ (i.e., driving changes in both Mg/Ca and B/Ca ratios).

4.6 Differences of calcification space chemistry between geniculate and non-geniculate complexes

It is clear that the two morphologies have characteristic geochemical parameters and physiological responses (PCA and box plots, Figs. 4 and 8). We have shown that non-geniculate complexes have higher calcification (Krieger et al., 2023), higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, lower gross photosynthesis and lower pH_{CF} compared to geniculate species. From those results, differences between morphologies can be highlighted, 1) there is a decoupling between net calcification and gross photosynthesis, higher gross photosynthesis in the geniculate complexes does not translate in higher calcification relative to the non-geniculate complexes, 2) $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ reflects different DIC source between the two morphologies, $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ is not positively correlated with gross photosynthesis when comparing between morphotypes but it is at the complex level across experimental treatments, 3) despite a lack of relationships between pH_{CF} and changing irradiance at the complex level, non-geniculate and geniculate complexes have two different photosynthetic regimes that could correlate with the pH_{CF} observed, higher pH_{CF} is observed along higher gross photosynthesis in geniculate complexes (Figs. 7, 8), 4) there is a decoupling between pH_{CF} and net calcification, higher pH_{CF} does not translate to higher net calcification (Figs. 7, 8). Net calcification reflects gross calcification and gross dissolution, so it is not abnormal to see net calcification decoupled from physiological or geochemical data. However, from our data it seems that pH_{CF} is not the limiting parameter of calcification.

If the Mg/Ca ratio reflects the $[Ca]_{CF}$, then the higher Mg/Ca ratio observed in the geniculate complexes suggests a lower $[Ca]_{CF}$. Then this lower calcium concentration appears to be compensated by an increase in the pH_{CF} of the calcification fluid (Fig. 8). In contrast, the non-geniculate forms show lower Mg/Ca ratios, implying a higher $[Ca]_{CF}$ and, correspondingly, a lower pH_{CF}. This could imply a coupling between $[Ca]_{CF}$ and pH_{CF}, potentially through proton exchangers like Ca^{2+}-ATPase or other Ca concentrating mechanisms.

Building on previous studies on $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$, we interpret the changes in $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ to reflect changes in the source of DIC (Bergstrom et al., 2020). We suggest that higher photosynthetic activity (i.e. gross photosynthesis) observed for the geniculate species implies higher need for DIC to support both photosynthesis and calcification. To compensate for the higher CO_2 drawdown of photosynthesis and support calcification other sources of DIC like CO_2 diffusion or a better recycling of metabolic CO_2 may be involved. Those sources would explain the lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ in geniculate complexes compared to non-geniculate. Higher photosynthetic activity in the geniculate complexes would supply energy to the metabolism, the trade off potentially being DIC limited calcification.

On the other hand, non-geniculate complexes are relying on fast calcification, the lower photosynthesis activity might limit CO_2 drawdown which will allow higher internal DIC availability and sustain higher calcification. The other argument for DIC being the limiting parameter is the non-variation of pH_{CF} with changing irradiance. While higher pH_{CF} can be achieved for the geniculate through higher photosynthesis activity, the pH_{CF} of non-geniculate complexes are also elevated relative to seawater despite lower photosynthesis activity.

Future research will benefit from indirect (e.g., proxies) and direct constraint (e.g., microelectrode) on DIC_{CF} to test those hypotheses. The geochemical differences between morphologies we observed during this study reflect different photosynthetic strategies and metabolic needs of the organisms. Here we tried to draw some mechanistic explanation to the observed changes in calcification based on the geochemical differences between non-geniculate and geniculate complexes. We show that DIC_{CF} is a limiting parameter to calcification, we hypothesized that geniculate species have greater passive CO_2 diffusion/recycling, while DIC is not as limiting for the non-geniculate due to better carbon concentration mechanisms and lower photosynthetic CO_2 drawdown which supports higher rates of calcification. The coralline red algae do present a certain plasticity in their carbon sources for DIC (Bergstrom et al., 2020) and regulation of pH_{CF}, which can provide some resilience to changing environmental conditions. Additional studies on how coralline algae modulate DIC_{CF} and pH_{CF} would be helpful to capture the limits of plasticity of photosynthesis and calcification modulation under stressors such as ocean acidification or warming temperature. This understanding will be critical for assessing the impact of global changes on those foundational species.

4.7 Does light impact proxies for paleoreconstruction?

Carbonate structures produced by coralline algae (e.g., rhodoliths, crusts) can be used as archives for paleoreconstruction (MacDonald et al., 2024). The main geochemical differences in our study are observed between the

different morphologies of coralline red algae. Nevertheless, non-geniculate (i.e., encrusting) species are much more commonly used for paleoenvironmental reconstructions, we will then focus on the non-geniculate complexes for the rest of this section.

As we observed, $\delta^{11}B$-derived pH_{CF} is not impacted by light at the complex levels which does not produce additional complexity for the use of the proxy. Anagnostou et al. (2019) presented a robust calibration of the $\delta^{11}B$ proxy based on culture experiments on a high-latitude crustose coralline red algae *Clathromorphum compactum*. As the carbonate archives usually are produced by a mix of species, a complex-specific response to ocean acidification and the strong control they exert on their calcification fluid could be a limitation of the proxy, but our findings suggest $\delta^{11}B$ should be at least insensitive to light levels. This is especially true because encrusting species being anchored to the substrate should be less impacted by differential light exposure. Nevertheless, with the increasing availability in species-specific geochemical data, a rigorous approach may involve using DNA-based identification within the core to calibrate geochemical records.

Despite significant relationships for Mg/Ca (*Pneophyllum complex* and *Phymatolithopsis complex*) and Li/Ca (*Pneophyllum complex*), Li/Mg ratios did not show any significant effect of changing irradiance, which does not impair the applicability of the temperature proxy for both species. Also, no significant differences were observed for the Li/Ca of the two non-geniculate species. Our results on mid-latitude low-light adapted species show that light does not impair the application of the $\delta^{11}B$ and Li/Mg proxies.

Coralline red algae species are adapted to environments where light availability can vary (e.g. latitude, depth). While the results of this study may be applicable to mid-latitude species, it might not be transferable to coralline algae from other latitudes, for example, it has been shown that Arctic species rely on stored photosynthates to support winter calcification (Adey et al., 2019; Gould et al., 2022) which could influence the geochemical parameters.

5 Conclusions

The geochemistry ($\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and trace elements) of four low-light adapted complexes of coralline red algae cultured under different irradiances was investigated in this study following prior work by Krieger et al. (2023). Two morphologies were investigated: geniculate (branching) complexes, *Corallina/Arthrocardia robust* and *Corallina/Arthrocardia fine* and non-geniculate (encrusting/mounding) complexes, *Pneophyllum complex* and *Phymatolithopsis complex*.

The first purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of light (changing irradiance) on the pH of calcification for the different complexes. Based on photophysiological parameters (i.e. gross photosynthesis, ETR max) and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, we show that at the complex levels photosynthesis activity has an impact on the geochemical signature of the mineral. However, despite increasing photosynthetic activity with irradiance, $\delta^{11}B$ or pH_{CF} was maintained constant for all treatments. pH_{CF} was upregulated relative to seawater in all complexes with complex-specific pH_{CF}. No significant effect of light was observed at the complex level in the range of irradiance (0.6-2.3) photons m^{-2} d^{-1}.

The main differences in physiological and geochemical parameters are observed between morphologies. Those results demonstrate two calcification regimes. We show that non-geniculate complexes have higher net calcification, higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, lower gross photosynthesis, lower pH_{CF}, lower Mg/Ca while geniculate have lower net calcification, lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$, higher gross photosynthesis, higher pH_{CF}, higher Mg/Ca.

We highlight that pH_{CF} can be positively influenced via photosynthetic regimes inherent to morphologies. We show that net calcification is decoupled from pH_{CF} and that based on Mg/Ca, changes in pH_{CF} are compensated by changes in $[Ca]_{CF}$. The main differences between calcification modes is likely due to DIC and carbon concentrating mechanisms reflected in our data by $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$. The lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ of geniculate species can indicate a relatively more important contribution of passive CO_2 diffusion and/or higher recycling of CO_2 to the DIC pool.

Higher calcification in non-geniculate complexes is supported by higher DIC_{CF} due to lower CO_2 drawdown from photosynthesis and efficient carbon-concentrating mechanisms. Additionally, despite lower photosynthetic activity compared to geniculate complexes, photosynthesis-independent processes may help maintain elevated pH_{CF} reducing the energetic cost of pH regulation. In contrast, geniculate complexes experience greater CO_2 drawdown limiting DIC_{CF} use for calcification. Although CO_2 recycling or passive diffusion may partly offset this limitation, the energy obtained from photosynthesis in geniculate complexes is likely prioritized to other metabolic needs at the expense of calcification. These differences could be explained by the competition experienced by non-geniculate species to not be overgrown (e.g. turf algae) which must also rely on fast calcification while geniculate species must compensate for a more dynamic environment and prioritize other needs (e.g. grazing, repairs) (Stenneck et al., 1986; Connell, 2003b; Edwards and Connell, 2012).

No effect of irradiance is observed on the temperature proxy Li/Mg for the different complexes in the range of irradiances tested in this study. Light should not add additional complexity to the interpretation of the Li/Mg and $\delta^{11}B$ proxies when applied to paleoreconstruction studies from rhodolith beds.

Development of proxies to derive a second carbonate parameter in high Mg calcite such as the $[CO_3^{2-}]_{CF}$ proxies (e.g. B/Ca, U/Ca) developed in the aragonitic corals as well as direct microelectrode measurements of the calcifying parameters (e.g. pH_{CF}, DIC_{CF}) will be relevant to study the dynamics of the calcification space in coralline red algae.

This study demonstrates variability in responses of coralline red algae under irradiance and highlights distinct biomineralization mechanisms between branching (geniculate) and encrusting (non-geniculate) mid-latitude low-light adapted complexes. Photosynthesis impacts the availability and source of DIC_{CF} which has implications on calcification. In the perspective of calcification, plasticity on DIC sources is determinant for acclimation of coralline red algae. Further research should be done on coralline algal species that experience different irradiance regimes and environments (e.g. latitude, depth). Additional study on the joint effect of ocean acidification and changing irradiance might provide some interesting dynamics and will be needed to understand the full implications of future global changes and associated perturbations on the coralline algae communities and dependent ecosystems.

Acknowledgement: We want to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on this manuscript. We thank Seth John, Josh West, Shun-Chun Yang for technical support and use of the Neptune at the Dornsife Plasma facility at University of Southern California. We thank Céline Liorzou, Marie-Laure Rouget, Bleuenn Guéguen, Oanez Lebeau, Fabien Dewilde for technical support and use of the instruments at the Pôle Spectrométrie Océan at the Institut Européen de la Mer (Plouzané, France).

Funding sources: This work was funded by a grant from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation (grant no. 85180), National Science Foundation grant no. NSF-RISE-2024426, and by gifts from Ocean kind and Dalio Philanthropies. The Center for Diverse Leadership in Science is also supported by grant no. NSF-RISE-2228198, the Waverley Street Foundation, and the Sloan Foundation.

Author contributions: C.C. and R.A.E. conceived the project. C.C and R.A.E directed the research. E. K. and C.C. performed culturing experiments, specimen characterization. M.G. performed isotope and trace element analyses at USC and IUEM. M.G and J.G. performed statistical analyses and figures. M.G., R.A.E., C.C., and E.K. interpreted the geochemical data. M.G. wrote the manuscript with input from R.A.E, C.C and E.K. All authors read and edited the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

Adey, H., W., Halfar, J., & Williams, B.: *The Coralline Genus Clathromorphum Foslie emend. Adey, Biological, Physiological, and Ecological Factors Controlling Carbonate Production in an Arctic-Subarctic Climate Archive*, Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press, 2019.

Al-Horani, F. A., Al-Moghrabi, S. M., and De Beer, D.: The mechanism of calcification and its relation to photosynthesis and respiration in the scleractinian coral Galaxea fascicularis, Mar Biol, 142, 419–426, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-002-0981-8, 2003.

Anagnostou, E., Williams, B., Westfield, I., Foster, G. L., and Ries, J. B.: Calibration of the pH-δ^{11}B and temperature-Mg/Li proxies in the long-lived high-latitude crustose coralline red alga Clathromorphum compactum via controlled laboratory experiments, Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 254, 142–155, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.03.015, 2019.

Anthony, K. R. N., Kline, D. I., Diaz-Pulido, G., Dove, S., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Designed, -G, and Performed, -P: Ocean acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders, PNAS, 105, 17442–17446, 2008.

Bergstrom, E., Ordoñez, A., Ho, M., Hurd, C., Fry, B., and Diaz-Pulido, G.: Inorganic carbon uptake strategies in coralline algae: Plasticity across evolutionary lineages under ocean acidification and warming, Mar Environ Res, 161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105107, 2020.

Bessell-Browne, P., Negri, A. P., Fisher, R., Clode, P. L., and Jones, R.: Impacts of light limitation on corals and crustose coralline algae, Sci Rep, 7, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11783-z, 2017.

Borowitzka, M. A., & Larkum, A. W. D.: Calcification in algae: Mechanisms and the role of metabolism, Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 6(1), 1–45, https://doi.org/10.1080/07352688709382246, 1987.

Cameron, L. P., Reymond, C. E., Bijma, J., Büscher, J. V., De Beer, D., Guillermic, M., Eagle, R. A., Gunnell, J., Müller-Lundin, F., Schmidt-Grieb, G. M., Westfield, I., Westphal, H., and Ries, J. B.: Impacts of Warming and Acidification on Coral Calcification Linked to Photosymbiont Loss and Deregulation of Calcifying Fluid pH, J Mar Sci Eng, 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10081106, 2022.

Comeau, S., Carpenter, R. C., and Edmunds, P. J.: Effects of irradiance on the response of the coral Acropora pulchra and the calcifying alga Hydrolithon reinboldii to temperature elevation and ocean acidification, J Exp Mar Biol Ecol, 453, 28–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.12.013, 2014.

Comeau, S., Cornwall, C. E., Pupier, C. A., DeCarlo, T. M., Alessi, C., Trehern, R., and McCulloch, M. T.: Flow-driven micro-scale pH variability affects the physiology of corals and coralline algae under ocean acidification, Sci Rep, 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49044-w, 2019.

Connell, S. D.: The monopolization of understorey habitat by subtidal encrusting coralline algae: A test of the combined effects of canopy-mediated light and sedimentation, Mar Biol, 142, 1065–1071, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1021-z, 2003b.

Cornwall, C. E., Hepburn, C. D., Pilditch, C. A., and Hurd, C. L.: Concentration boundary layers around complex assemblages of macroalgae: Implications for the effects of ocean acidification on understory coralline algae, Limnol Oceanogr, 58, 121–130, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2013.58.1.0121, 2013.

Cornwall, C. E., Boyd, P. W., McGraw, C. M., Hepburn, C. D., Pilditch, C. A., Morris, J. N., Smith, A. M., and Hurd, C. L.: Diffusion boundary layers ameliorate the negative effects of ocean acidification on the temperate coralline macroalga Arthrocardia corymbosa, PLoS One, 9, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097235, 2014.

Cornwall, C. E., Comeau, S., and McCulloch, M. T.: Coralline algae elevate pH at the site of calcification under ocean acidification, Glob Chang Biol, 23, 4245–4256, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13673, 2017.

Cornwall, C. E., Comeau, S., DeCarlo, T. M., Moore, B., D'Alexis, Q., and McCulloch, M. T.: Resistance of corals and coralline algae to ocean acidification: Physiological control of calcification under natural pH variability, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1168, 2018.

Cornwall, C. E., Diaz-Pulido, G., and Comeau, S.: Impacts of ocean warming on coralline algal calcification: Meta-analysis, knowledge gaps, and key recommendations for future research, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00186, 2019.

Cornwall, C. E., Carlot, J., Branson, O., Courtney, T. A., Harvey, B. P., Perry, C. T., Andersson, A. J., Diaz-Pulido, G., Johnson, M. D., Kennedy, E., Krieger, E. C., Mallela, J., McCoy, S. J., Nugues, M. M., Quinter, E., Ross, C. L., Ryan, E., Saderne, V., and Comeau, S.: Crustose coralline algae can contribute more than corals to coral reef carbonate production, Commun Earth Environ, 4, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00766-w, 2023.

DeCarlo, T. M., Holcomb, M., & McCulloch, M. T.: Reviews and syntheses: Revisiting the boron systematics of aragonite and their application to coral calcification, *Biogeosciences*, *15*(9), 2819–2834, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2819-2018, 2018b.

Dissard, D., Douville, E., Reynaud, S., Juillet-Leclerc, A., Montagna, P., Louvat, P., and McCulloch, M.: Light and temperature effects on δ11B and B / Ca ratios of the zooxanthellate coral Acropora sp.: Results from culturing experiments, Biogeosciences, 9, 4589–4605, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-4589-2012, 2012.

D'Olivo, J. P. and McCulloch, M. T.: Response of coral calcification and calcifying fluid composition to thermally induced bleaching stress, Sci Rep, 7, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02306-x, 2017.

Donald, H. K., Ries, J. B., Stewart, J. A., Fowell, S. E., and Foster, G. L.: Boron isotope sensitivity to seawater pH change in a species of Neogoniolithon coralline red alga, Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 217, 240–253, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.08.021, 2017.

Eagle, R. A., Guillermic, M., De Corte, I., Alvarez Caraveo, B., Bove, C. B., Misra, S., Cameron, L. P., Castillo, K. D., and Ries, J. B.: Physicochemical Control of Caribbean Coral Calcification Linked to Host and Symbiont Responses to Varying pCO2 and Temperature, J Mar Sci Eng, 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10081075, 2022.

Edwards, M.S., Connell, S.D.: Competition, a Major Factor Structuring Seaweed Communities. In: Wiencke, C., Bischof, K. (eds) Seaweed Biology. Ecological Studies, vol 219. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28451-9_7, 2012.

Egilsdottir, H., Olafsson, J., and Martin, S.: Photosynthesis and calcification in the articulated coralline alga Ellisolandia elongata (Corallinales, Rhodophyta) from intertidal rock pools, Eur J Phycol, 51, 59–70, https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2015.1101165, 2016.

Gabitov, R. I., Sadekov, A., & Leinweber, A.: Crystal growth rate effect on Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca partitioning between calcite and fluid: An in situ approach, Chemical Geology, 367, 70-82, 2014.

Gaillardet, J., Lemarchand, D., Göpel, C., and Manhès, G.: Evaporation and Sublimation of Boric Acid: Application for Boron Purification from Organic Rich Solutions, The Journal of Geostandards and Geoanalysis, 2001.

Goreau, T. F.: Calcium carbonate deposition by coralline algae and corals in relation to their roles as reef-builders, Annals New York Academy of Sciences, 109, 127–167, 1963.

Gould, J., Halfar, J., Adey, W., & Ries, J. B.: Growth as a function of sea ice cover, light and temperature in the arctic/subarctic coralline C. compactum: A year-long in situ experiment in the high arctic, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *9*, 2022, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.900033

GraphPad Prism version 10.2.3 for Windows, GraphPad Software, Boston, Massachusetts USA, www.graphpad.com

Guillermic, M., Misra, S., Eagle, R., Villa, A., Chang, F., and Tripati, A.: Seawater pH reconstruction using boron isotopes in multiple planktonic foraminifera species with different depth habitats and their potential to constrain pH and pCO_2 gradients, Biogeosciences, 17, 3487–3510, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3487-2020, 2020.

Guillermic, M., Cameron, L. P., De Corte, I., Misra, S., Bijma, J., De Beer, D., Reymond, C. E., Westphal, H., Ries, J. B., and Eagle, R. A.: Thermal stress reduces pocilloporid coral resilience to ocean acidification by impairing control over calcifying fluid chemistry, Sci. Adv, 2021.

Gutjahr, M., Bordier, L., Douville, E., Farmer, J., Foster, G. L., Hathorne, E. C., Hönisch, B., Lemarchand, D., Louvat, P., McCulloch, M., Noireaux, J., Pallavicini, N., Rae, J. W. B., Rodushkin, I., Roux, P., Stewart, J. A., Thil, F., and You, C. F.: Sub-Permil Interlaboratory Consistency for Solution-Based Boron Isotope Analyses on Marine Carbonates, Geostand Geoanal Res, 45, 59–75, https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12364, 2021.

Halfar, J., Zack, T., Kronz, A., and Zachos, J. C.: Growth and high-resolution paleoenvironmental signals of rhodoliths (coralline red algae): A new biogenic archive, J Geophys Res Oceans, 105, 22107–22116, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999jc000128, 2000.

Hemming, N. G. and Hanson, G. N.: Boron isotopic composition and concentration in modern marine carbonates, Geochrmca el Cosmochimica Ada, 537–543 pp., 1992.

Hofmann, L. C., Koch, M., and De Beer, D.: Biotic control of surface pH and evidence of light-induced H+ pumping and Ca2+-H+ exchange in a tropical crustose coralline alga, PLoS One, 11, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159057, 2016.

Hofmann, L. C., Schoenrock, K., and de Beer, D.: Arctic coralline algae elevate surface pH and carbonate in the dark, Front Plant Sci, 9, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01416, 2018.

Irving, A. D., Connell, S. D., and Elsdon, T. S.: Effects of kelp canopies on bleaching and photosynthetic activity of encrusting coralline algae, J Exp Mar Biol Ecol, 310, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2004.03.020, 2004.

Juillet-Leclerc, A., Reynaud, S., Dissard, D., Tisserand, G., and Ferrier-Pagès, C.: Light is an active contributor to the vital effects of coral skeleton proxies, Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 140, 671–690, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.05.042, 2014.

Kain, J. M.: Seasonal growth and photoinhibition in Plocamium cartilagineum (Rhodophyta) off the Isle of Man, Phycologia, 26(1), 88–99, https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-26-1-88.1, 1987.

Kamenos, N. A., Cusack, M., and Moore, P. G.: Coralline algae are global palaeothermometers with bi-weekly resolution, Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 72, 771–779, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.019, 2008.

Klochko, K., Cody, G. D., Tossell, J. A., Dera, P., and Kaufman, A. J.: Re-evaluating boron speciation in biogenic calcite and aragonite using ^{11}B MAS NMR, Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 73, 1890–1900, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.01.002, 2009.

Korbee, N., Navarro, N. P., García-Sánchez, M., Celis-Plá, P. S., Quintano, E., Copertino, M. S., Pedersen, A., Mariath, R., Mangaiyarkarasi, N., Pérez-Ruzafa, Figueroa, F. L., and Martínez, B.: A novel in situ system to evaluate the effect of high CO2 on photosynthesis and biochemistry of seaweeds, Aquat Biol, 22, 245–259, https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00594, 2014.

Krieger, E. C., Nelson, W. A., Grand, J., Le Ru, E. C., Bury, S. J., Cossais, A., Davy, S. K., and Cornwall, C. E.: The role of irradiance in controlling coralline algal calcification, Limnol Oceanogr, 68, 1269–1284, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12345, 2023.

Liu, Y.-W., Sutton, J. N., Ries, J. B., and Eagle, R. A.: Regulation of calcification site pH is a polyphyletic but not always governing response to ocean acidification, Sci Adv, 6, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax1314, 2020.

MacDonald, E., Foster, G. L., Standish, C. D., Trend, J., Page, T. M., and Kamenos, N. A.: Historic ocean acidification of Loch Sween revealed by correlative geochemical imaging and high-resolution boron isotope analysis of Boreolithothamniom cf. soriferum, Earth Planet Sci Lett, 646, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2024.118976, 2024.

Mao, J., Burdett, H. L., and Kamenos, N. A.: Efficient carbon recycling between calcification and photosynthesis in red coralline algae, Biol Lett, 20, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2023.0598, 2024.

Martin, S., Cohu, S., Vignot, C., Zimmerman, G., and Gattuso, J. P.: One-year experiment on the physiological response of the Mediterranean crustose coralline alga, Lithophyllum cabiochae, to elevated pCO2 and temperature, Ecol Evol, 3, 676–693, https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.475, 2013a.

Martin, S., Charnoz, A., and Gattuso, J. P.: Photosynthesis, respiration and calcification in the Mediterranean crustose coralline alga Lithophyllum cabiochae (Corallinales, Rhodophyta), Eur J Phycol, 48, 163–172, https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2013.786790, 2013b.

McConnaughey, T. A., Bljrdeti-, J., Whelan, J. F., and Paull~, C. K.: Carbon isotopes in biological carbonates: Respiration and photosynthesis, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 1997.

McCoy, S. J., & Kamenos, N. A.: Coralline algae (Rhodophyta) in a changing world: Integrating ecological, physiological, and geochemical responses to global change, *Journal of Phycology*, *51*(1), 6–24, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12262

McCoy, S. J., Pueschel, C. M., Cornwall, C. E., Comeau, S., Kranz, S. A., Spindel, N. B., and Borowitzka, M. A.: Calcification in the coralline red algae: a synthesis, https://doi.org/10.1080/00318884.2023.2285673, 2023.

McCulloch, M. T., D'Olivo, J. P., Falter, J., Holcomb, M., and Trotter, J. A.: Coral calcification in a changing World and the interactive dynamics of pH and DIC upregulation, Nat Commun, 8, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15686, 2017.

Michael, K., Ronnie, N. G., Jens, B., Rodney, R., and Søren, R.: Photosynthetic performance of surface-associated algae below sea ice as measured with a pulse-amplitude-modulated (PAM) fluorometer and O2 microsensors, Mar Ecol Prog Ser, 223, 1–14, 2001.

Nguyen, H. T. T., Pritchard, D. W., Desmond, M. J., and Hepburn, C. D.: Coralline photosynthetic physiology across a steep light gradient, Photosynth Res, 153, 43–57, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-022-00899-7, 2022.

Noisette, F., Egilsdottir, H., Davoult, D., & Martin, S.: Physiological responses of three temperate coralline algae from contrasting habitats to near-future ocean acidification, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *448*, 179–187, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.07.006

664 Pentecost, A.: Calcification and photosynthesis in corallina officinalis l. Using the 14co2 method, British Phycological Journal,
665 13, 383–390, https://doi.org/10.1080/00071617800650431, 1978.

666 R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
667 Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

668 Roberts, R. D., Kühl, M., Glud, R. N., & Rysgaard, S.: Primary production of crustose coralline red algae in a high arctic
669 Fjord. Journal of Phycology, 38(2), 273-283, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2002.01104.x, 2002.

670 Sagert, S., Forster, R. M., Feuerpfeil, P., and Schubert, H.: Daily course of photosynthesis and photoinhibition in chondrus
671 crispus (rhodophyta) from different shore levels, Eur J Phycol, 32, 363–371, https://doi.org/10.1080/09670269710001737299,
672 1997.

673 Steneck, R. S.: The Ecology of Coralline Algal Crusts: Convergent Patterns and Adaptative Strategies, Source: Annual Review
674 of Ecology and Systematics, 273–303 pp., 1986.

675 Stewart, J. A., Christopher, S. J., Kucklick, J. R., Bordier, L., Chalk, T. B., Dapoigny, A., Douville, E., Foster, G. L., Gray,
676 W. R., Greenop, R., Gutjahr, M., Hemsing, F., Henehan, M. J., Holdship, P., Hsieh, Y. Te, Kolevica, A., Lin, Y. P., Mawbey,
677 E. M., Rae, J. W. B., Robinson, L. F., Shuttleworth, R., You, C. F., Zhang, S., and Day, R. D.: NIST RM 8301 Boron Isotopes
678 in Marine Carbonate (Simulated Coral and Foraminifera Solutions): Inter-laboratory δ11B and Trace Element Ratio Value
679 Assignment, Geostand Geoanal Res, 45, 77–96, https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12363, 2021.

680 Sutton, J. N., Liu, Y. W., Ries, J. B., Guillermic, M., Ponzevera, E., and Eagle, R. A.: δ11B as monitor of calcification site pH
681 in divergent marine calcifying organisms, Biogeosciences, 15, 1447–1467, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-1447-2018, 2018.

682 Wang, G., Cao, W., Yang, D., and Xu, D.: Variation in downwelling diffuse attenuation coefficient in the northern South
683 China Sea, Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, 26, 323–333, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-008-0323-x, 2008.

684 Williams, B., Halfar, J., DeLong, K. L., Hetzinger, S., Steneck, R. S., & Jacob, D. E.: Multi-specimen and multi-site calibration
685 of Aleutian coralline algal Mg/Ca to sea surface temperature, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 139, 190-204, 2014.

686 Williams, S., Halfar, J., Zack, T., Hetzinger, S., Blicher, M., & Juul-Pedersen, T.: Comparison of climate signals obtained
687 from encrusting and free-living rhodolith coralline algae, *Chemical Geology*, *476*, 418–428, 2018,
688 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.11.038

689 Zeebe, R. E. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: CO_2 in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes Elsevier Oceanography Series, No. 65,
690 Gulf Profe

Figure Caption

Figure 1: Pictures of the four coralline red algae complexes used in this study (already presented in Krieger et al., 2023) and showing the different morphologies: non-geniculate (e.g. crustose) and geniculate (e.g. branching). Geniculate complexes: *Corallina/Arthrocardia* "robust" and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* "fine", non-geniculate complexes: *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex.

Figure 2: Averages of photophysiological parameters of the four complexes from Krieger et al. (2023) against irradiances. A. Net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), B. Gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$), C. Maximum electron transport rate, ETRmax, D. Photosynthetic efficiency measured by the "variable fluorescence" normalized to maximum fluorescence, Fv/Fm, E. Chlorophyll a, Chl a (mg/g). Averages are calculated from the full dataset from Krieger et al. (2023), error bars are based on 2 SD. Regressions are shown in Fig. S2.

Figure 3: Averages of geochemical data measured in this study against irradiances. A. Net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), B. boron isotopes of the mineral, $\delta^{11}B$ (‰), C. carbon isotopes of the mineral $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰), D. carbon isotopes of the tissue $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ (‰) from Krieger et al. (2023), E. B/Ca of the mineral (µmol/mol) and F. Mg/Ca of the mineral mmol/mol). Error bars are based on 2 SD. Regressions are shown in Fig. S1.

Figure 4: Principal component analysis (PCA) of the geochemical and photo physiological data used in this study (a) loadings and (b) biplot. Vectors present a positive relationship between ETRmax and irradiance, a negative relationship between net calcification and $\delta^{11}B$, positive relationships between net calcification and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and between $\delta^{11}B$ and Fv/Fm. Geniculate and non-geniculate species cluster together. Non-geniculate complexes (*Pneophyllum complex* and *Phymatolithopsis complex*) show higher net calcification, higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and lower $\delta^{11}B$. Geniculate complexes *Corallina/Arthrocardia robust* and *Corallina/Arthrocardia fine* on the contrary show lower net calcification, lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and higher $\delta^{11}B$.

Figure 5: Multi-panel plots showing crossplots of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and $\delta^{11}B$ (‰). Averages are calculated based on this study for geochemical parameters and from the full dataset in Krieger et al. (2023). Individual paired data are also shown to maximize the information displayed, color scheme corresponds to the different irradiances. A. crossplot of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ (‰), linear significant relationships are shown with black lines, B. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰), C. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and Net Calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), D. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and Net Calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), E. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$) and F. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$).

Figure 6: pH_{CF} calculated from $\delta^{11}B$ against irradiance for the four complexes, A. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust, B. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine, C. *Pneophyllum* complex, D. *Phymatolithopsis* complex. Average values per treatment are presented with 2 SD error bars. Individual datapoints are also presented to assess variability within treatment.

Figure 7: Multi-panel plots showing crossplots of pH_{CF}, A. net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), B. gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$), C. residual full-width-half-maximum, FWHM, D. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and E. Mg/Ca (mmol/mol). Large symbols show averages derived from full dataset from Krieger et al. (2023) while small colored symbols show individual paired data and irradiance level to display maximum information. Error bars are shown as 2 SD.

Figure 8: Box plots comparing geniculate complexes (blue) and non-geniculate (green). Box plots show the median,10, 90 percentiles as well as the individual data points.



Figure 1: Pictures of the four coralline red algae complexes used in this study (already presented in Krieger et al., 2023) and showing the different morphologies: non-geniculate (e.g. crustose) and geniculate (e.g. branching). Geniculate complexes: *Corallina/Arthrocardia* "robust" and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* "fine", non-geniculate complexes: *Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex.



Figure 2: Averages of photophysiological parameters of the four complexes from Krieger et al. (2023) against irradiances. A. Net calcification (mg$_{CaCO3}$/cm^2/day), B. Gross photosynthesis (µgO$_2$/cm/h), C. Maximum electron transport rate, ETRmax, D. Photosynthetic efficiency measured by the "variable fluorescence" normalized to maximum fluorescence, Fv/Fm, E. Chlorophyll a, Chl a (mg/g). Averages are calculated from the full dataset from Krieger et al. (2023), error bars are based on 2 SD. Regressions are shown in Figure S2.



Figure 3: Averages of geochemical data measured in this study against irradiances. A. Net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), B. boron isotopes of the mineral, $\delta^{11}B$ (‰), C. carbon isotopes of the mineral $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰), D. carbon isotopes of the tissue $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ (‰) from Krieger et al. (2023), E. B/Ca of the mineral (µmol/mol) and F. Mg/Ca of the mineral mmol/mol). Error bars are based on 2 SD. Regressions are shown in Figure S1.



Figure 4: Principal component analysis (PCA) of the geochemical and photo physiological data used in this study A. loadings and B. biplot. Vectors present a positive relationship between ETRmax and irradiance, a negative relationship between net calcification and $\delta^{11}B$, positive relationships between net calcification and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and between $\delta^{11}B$ and Fv/Fm. Geniculate and non-geniculate species cluster together. Non-geniculate complexes (*Pneophyllum* complex and *Phymatolithopsis* complex) show higher net calcification, higher $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and lower $\delta^{11}B$. Geniculate complexes *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust and *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine on the contrary show lower net calcification, lower $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and higher $\delta^{11}B$.



Figure 5: Multi-panel plots showing crossplots of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and $\delta^{11}B$ (‰). Averages are calculated based on this study for geochemical parameters and from the full dataset in Krieger et al. (2023). Individual paired data are also shown to maximize the information displayed, color scheme corresponds to the different irradiances. A. crossplot of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and $\delta^{13}C_{tissue}$ (‰), linear significant relationships are shown with black lines, B. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰), C. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and Net Calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), D. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and Net Calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm^2/day$), E. $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$) and F. $\delta^{11}B$ (‰) and gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$).



Figure 6: pH_{CF} calculated from $\delta^{11}B$ against irradiance for the four complexes, A. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* robust, B. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* fine, C. *Pneophyllum* complex, D. *Phymatolithopsis* complex. Average values per treatment are presented with 2 SD error bars. Individual datapoints are also presented to assess variability within treatment.



Figure 7: Multi-panel plots showing crossplots of pH_{CF}, A. net calcification ($mg_{CaCO3}/cm_2/day$), B. gross photosynthesis ($\mu gO_2/cm/h$), C. residual full-width-half-maximum, FWHM, D. $\delta_{13}C_{mineral}$ (‰) and E. Mg/Ca (mmol/mol). Large symbols show averages derived from full dataset from Krieger et al. (2023) while small colored symbols show individual paired data and irradiance level to display maximum information. Error bars are shown as 2 SD.



Figure 8: Box plots comparing geniculate complexes (blue) and non-geniculate (green). Box plots show the median,10, 90 percentiles and as well as the individual data points.

Supplement of

The influence of irradiance and interspecific differences on $\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C$ and elemental ratios in four coralline algae complexes

Maxence Guillermic et al.

Correspondence to: Maxence Guillermic (maxence.guillermic@gmail.com) and Robert Eagle (robeagle@g.ucla.edu)

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1: Data and significant models (black line) for the geochemical parameters measured and used in this study.

Figure S2: Data and significant models (black line) for the physiological parameters from Krieger et al. (2023) and used in this study.

Figure S3: Principal component analyses for (a) the relevant geochemical and physiological parameters used in this study and (b) elemental ratios and physiological parameters.

Figure S4: (a-d) Correlation matrices providing pairwise correlations between geochemical, and photo physiological data for a. *Corallina/Arthrocardia* "robust", b. C*orallina/Arthrocardia* "fine", c. *Pneophyllum* complex and d. *Phymatolithopsis* complex.

Figure S5: Correlation matrices for (a) the geniculate complexes and (b) the non-geniculate complexes.

Figure S6: Cross-plots of $\delta^{13}C_{mineral}$ and $\delta^{11}B$ for other photo-physiological parameters, (a) and (b) Gross photosynthesis, (c) and (d) for ETRmax, (e) and (f) for Chl a.

Figure S7: Cross-plots of B/Ca with (a) $\delta^{11}B$ and (b) Chl a.

Supplemental Tables

Table S1: Geochemical and physiological data.

Table S2: Comparison of linear and quadratic models based on AIC for the geochemical parameters measured in this study.

Table S3: Comparison of linear and quadratic models based on AIC for the physiological parameters published in Krieger et al., (2023).

Table S4: ANOVA testing geochemical and physiological data against changing irradiance.

Table S5: T-test for parameters presenting significant ANOVA with changing irradiance (from Table S4).

Table S6: ANOVA testing geochemical and physiological data between complexes.

Table S7: T-test for parameters presenting significant ANOVA when testing for differences between complexes.

Table S8: $\delta^{11}B$ of NIST 8301, JCp-1 and seawater measured in this study.


C/A "robust"
C/A "fine"
Pneophyllum cx
Phymatolithopsis cx
δ13Corganic (‰)
R2 = 0.4469
p = 0.0244
R2 = 0.29
p = 0.0025
R2 = 0.45
R2 = 0.5762
p = 0.0012
R2 = 0.59
δ18O (‰)
δ11B (‰)
Li/Ca (µmol/mol)
R2 = 0.5204
B/Ca (µmol/mol)
R2 = 0.4083
p = 0.0249
R2 = 0.31
Mg/Ca (mmol/mol)
p = 0.0023
R2 = 0.45
R2 = 0.5175
R2 = 0.4816
Sr/Ca (mmol/mol)
Ba/Ca (µmol/mol)
U/Ca (nmol/mol)
Mn/Ca (µmol/mol)
Fe/Ca (mmol/mol)
Li/Mg (µmol/mmol)
Irradiance (mol/photon/m2/day)

fS1


C/A "robust"
C/A "fine"
Pneophyllum cx
Phymatolithopsis cx
Calcification mgCaCO3 / cm2-d
Net PS ugO2 / cm2-h
p = 0.0197
R² = 0.47
Fv Fm
Dark respiration ugO2 / cm2-h
Dark Calcification ugCaCO3 / cm2-h
Light Calc ugCaCO3 / cm2-h
Chl a mg / g
PC ug / g
PE ug / g
p = 0.0350
R² = 0.55
p = 0.0023
R² = 0.66
p = 0.0238
R² = 0.41
ETRmax
FWHM
Irradiance (mol/photon/m2/day)

fS2

Physiological Data PCA





(a)

Trace Element Data PCA





(b)

fS3

Correlation matrices



fS4

Correlation matrices

Geniculate complexes



(a)

Non-geniculate complexes



(b)

fS5


Non-geniculate complexes
Pneophyllum complex
Phymatolithopsis complex
Geniculate complexes
Corallina/Arthrocardia "robust"
Corallina/Arthrocardia "fine"
Irradiance 0.6
Irradiance 1.2
Irradiance 1.8
Irradiance 2.3
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
δ13Cmineral (‰)
δ11B (‰)
Gross photosynhtesis (μgO2/cm/h)
Gross photosynthesis (μgO2/cm/h)
ETRmax
Chl a (mg/g)

fS6


30
28
26
24
22
20
δ11B (‰)
(a)
300
400
500
600
700
800
B/Ca (µmol/mol)
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
Chl a (mg/g)
(b)
300
400
500
600
700
800
B/Ca (µmol/mol)
Non-geniculate complexes
Pneophyllum complex
Phymatolithopsis complex
Geniculate complexes
Corallina/Arthrocardia "robust"
Corallina/Arthrocardia "fine"
Irradiance 0.6
Irradiance 1.2
Irradiance 1.8
Irradiance 2.3

fS7