# Supplementary for

# Sea Breeze-Driven Daytime Vertical Distributions of Air Pollutants and Photochemical Implications in an Island Environment

Bohai Li et al.

Correspondence to: Shanshan Wang (shanshanwang@fudan.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article license

## S1. Calculation of detection limits for differential slant range column concentrations of species with different pitch angles

DSCD Detection Limit (DDL) of Differential Slant Column Density (DSCD) is the minimum concentration or column concentration value that can be reliably detected when measuring a specific gas by differential slant column concentration (DSCD). The following equation was used to estimate the DSCD DDL for  $NO_2$ , HCHO and CHOCHO at  $\alpha$  elevation angle (Peters et al., 2012):

$$DDL(\alpha) = \frac{2RMS_{mean}(\alpha)}{\sigma_{max}}$$

where DDL is the minimum DSCD that can be obtained from MAX-DOAS measurements; RMS<sub>mean</sub> is the mean RMS, and  $\sigma_{max}$  is the maximum value of the corresponding species transect. Also, we calculated the minimum value of DSCD at different elevation angles (DSCD<sub>min</sub>) and the residual percentage (RP) of values above the DDL, which are listed along with the DDL in Table S2.

# S2. Detailed process and function explanation of Sea Breeze's objective recognition algorithm

According to Figure S4, the specific functions and meanings of the filters in each module are as follows:

**Module 1:** In the absence of geostrophic wind, the onshore flow in a pure sea breeze (SB) circulation is easily identifiable. However, when the SB interacts with synoptic-scale circulation, its velocity and direction are significantly influenced by the background wind. Therefore, identifying SB days requires first screening for large-scale background circulation patterns conducive to SB formation. To mitigate the impact of rapidly changing background fields, the following criteria are applied: (1) the 700 hPa wind direction (WD) must vary by less than 90° within 12 hours, and the wind speed (WS) must vary by less than 6 m s<sup>-1</sup> within the same period (Filter 1); (2) the WS at 700 hPa at 08:00 must be less than 11 m s<sup>-1</sup> to eliminate the influence of strong background fields (Filter 2).

**Module 2:** The three channels of Module 2 have different functions. Channel 1 selects a special SBDs where the SB is coming in almost all day, so the time window defined for it is from local sunrise (LSR, 06:00) to midnight (24:00) (Filter 3-1). In Channel 1, the following criteria are applied: (1) the WD must consistently fall within 213°–74° for at least 14 consecutive hours (Filter 4-1), and (2) The WS at a given moment t (WS<sub>t</sub>) must increase by more than 15% compared to the previous hour ( $\Delta$ WS<sub>t</sub>  $\geq$  15% WS<sub>t-1</sub>), followed by a sustained upward trend for at least 3 hours (Filter 5-1). The time satisfying these conditions is recorded as the SB onset time (O<sub>time</sub>). During the period from the moment before local sunset (LSS, 19:00) to the next sunrise, which is prone to SB front dissipation (Filter 6), the SB cessation time (C<sub>time</sub>) is identified when the WD at a given moment t (WD<sub>t</sub>) shifts to 74°–213° or the WS decreases by more than 15% (Filter 7-1).

If Channel 1 criteria are not met, the algorithm proceeds to Channel 2. Here, to ensure the selection of SB events within the complete diurnal cycle, the period between local sunrise (LSR, 06:00) and the moment before local sunset (LSS, 18:00) is defined as the window of potential SB occurrence (Filter 3-2). A sudden WD shift ( $\Delta$ WD<sub>t</sub>  $\geq$  30°) followed by at least 3 hours of onshore wind (WD  $\geq$  213° or  $\leq$  74°) is considered a key feature of SB establishment (Filter 4-2). The time of this shift is recorded as  $O_{time}$ . After onset, WS typically exhibits a sustained increase. The maximum WS (WS<sub>max</sub>) between  $O_{time}$  and LSS is identified, and the acceleration (a) must be  $\geq$  0.1 m (s h)<sup>-1</sup> during this period (Filter 5-2). Similar to Channel 1, cessation is constrained to the sunset-to-sunrise period (Filter 6) and is identified when WS<sub>max</sub>  $\leq$  1.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> or WD<sub>t</sub> shifts to 74°-213° (Filter 7-2). WS<sub>max</sub> is used instead of WS<sub>t</sub> because it more reliably represents SB cessation, as WS<sub>t</sub> rarely drops below 1.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, which could lead to premature detection of cessation. WD<sub>t</sub> serves as a secondary criterion since the reversal from onshore to offshore flow does not always occur at the end of the diurnal cycle.

If Channel 2 criteria are not met, the algorithm proceeds to Channel 3. A time window from 10:00 to 19:00 is defined (Filter 3-3). Typically, relative humidity (RH) increases significantly with the onset of a marine air mass. To distinguish non-sea breeze days (NSBDs) from sea breeze days (SBDs) filtered by Channels 1 and 2, abnormal RH fluctuations are rejected. An abnormal fluctuation is identified when the rate of change of RH at a certain moment t ( $R_{\Delta RH(t+n+1)}$ ) is greater than 0, and the rate of change of RH at the previous moment of t ( $R_{\Delta RH(t+1)}$ ) and at least one subsequent moment ( $R_{\Delta RH(t+n+1)}$ ),  $n = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$ ) are both less than 0

(Filter 8). If maximum value of the rate of change ( $R_{max}$ ) is less than or equal to 8% from the t to t+n (n = 1, 2, 3·····), the fluctuation is considered minor and ignored (Filter 9), and NSBDs are successfully identified.

**Module 3:** The pressure field is crucial as it provides the driving force for the development of low-level SBs. This thermal wave is induced by temperature variations within the atmospheric boundary layer. Figure S5 illustrates the average atmospheric tide during the study period, revealing a regular diurnal cycle. The maximum surface pressure (SP) at night occurred at 23:00 (998.54 mb) and the minimum at 05:00 (996.85 mb), while the maximum SP during the day occurred at 10:00 (998.35 mb) and the minimum at 17:00 (995.79 mb). The semi-diurnal pressure wave is calculated as: Atide = (P10+P23) – (P5-P17) (Azorin-Molina et al., 2011). The following criteria were adopted for screening the barometric field:

- 1.  $C_{pres} Min_{pres} \ge 0 \text{ mb}$ , where  $C_{pres}$  is the SP at SB cessation and  $Min_{pres}$  is the minimum SP measured between onset ( $O_{time}$ ) and cessation ( $C_{time}$ ) (Filter 10).
- 2.  $Atide_{daily} Atide_{general} \ge -5 mb$ , where Atide<sub>daily</sub> and Atide<sub>general</sub> represent the semi-daily baroclinic wave for each SB day selected in the first two modules and for the entire 3-month study period, respectively. Dates with maximum negative values (less than -5) will be excluded as they indicate a significant change in weather (Filter 11)

**Module 4:**  $\Delta T$  provides local forcing for the development of SBs. It is therefore important to ensure that the local daily maximum temperature (AirT2m) exceeds the average daily temperature (SST) of the surrounding sea area (Filter 12).

## S3. k-means clustering

K-means clustering, an unsupervised machine learning algorithm, effectively groups similar data points without prior labels. To investigate the influence of different summertime meteorological patterns on  $O_3$  concentration and formation from a diurnal perspective, we applied this method to cluster the 24-h  $O_3$  concentration time series from nearby DongPo School monitoring station (DPS) on NSBDs and SBDs (including those selected by Channel 1). The optimal number of clusters (k) was determined using the Davies-Bouldin Index (DBI), which evaluates clustering performance by assigning lower values to well-separated clusters (Davies and Bouldin, 1979). Among k values ranging from 2 to 8, k = 5 was selected as it yielded the lowest DBI (Fig. S10).

Table S1. Spectral analysis configurations for inversion of O4, NO2, HCHO and CHOCHO slant column concentrations in QDOAS and the absorption cross sections considered.

Parameter	Cross section	UV		VIS		
		O <sub>4</sub>	НСНО	$NO_2$	СНОСНО	
Fitting spectral range		338-370nm	324.5-359nm	415-450nm	420-460nm	
Wavelength calibration		Based on a high-res	olution solar reference	spectrum		
Fraunhofer reference		Sequential zenith spectrum				
$NO_2$	Vandaele et al. (1998), 220, 298 K	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
O <sub>3</sub>	Serdyuchenko et al. (2014), 223 K, 243K	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	√ (only 223 K)	√ (only 223 K)	
O <sub>4</sub>	Thalman and Volkamer (2013), 293 K	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
НСНО	Meller and Moortgat (2000), 298 K	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	×	×	
СНОСНО	Volkamer et al. (2005), 296 K	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
SO <sub>2</sub>	Bogumil et al. (2003), 293 K	×	$\sqrt{}$	×	×	
H <sub>2</sub> O	Rothman et al. (2010), 296 K	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	
BrO	Fleischmann et al. (2004), 223 K	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	×	×	
Ring	Ring spectrum calculated from DOASIS (Kraus, 2006) and additional ring multiplied by $\lambda^4$ (Wagner et al., 2009)	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	
Polynomial degree		Order 3	Order 5	Order 3	Order 5	
Intensity offset		Constant	Order1	Constant	Constant	

Table S2. Summary of DSCD DDLs, DSCD minima (DSCD $_{min}$ ), and residual percentages (RP) after filtering results below NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, and CHOCHO DDLs.

E	$NO_2$			НСНО			СНОСНО		
Eva	DDL	$DSCD_{min} \\$	RP	DDL	$DSCD_{min} \\$	RP	DDL	$DSCD_{min} \\$	RP
(°)	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(%)	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(%)	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(molec cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(%)
2	9.80E+14	3.40E+15	100	7.21E+15	3.15E+15	99.1	7.82E+14	4.58E+14	99.5
3	9.50E+14	1.74E+15	100	7.15E+15	2.65E+15	98.9	7.47E+14	3.59E+14	99.2
4	9.14E+14	2.64E+15	100	7.09E+15	3.01E+15	99.1	7.17E+14	3.22E+14	99.0
6	8.36E+14	1.95E+15	100	6.97E+15	2.93E+15	99.4	6.60E+14	2.80E+14	98.7
8	7.73E+14	1.93E+15	100	6.88E+15	3.00E+15	99.0	6.13E+14	3.12E+14	98.6
10	7.17E+14	1.73E+15	100	6.79E+15	3.63E+15	99.2	5.77E+14	2.60E+14	98.2
15	6.09E+14	1.33E+15	100	6.57E+15	3.08E+15	98.4	5.13E+14	2.59E+14	96.9
20	5.33E+14	1.16E+15	100	6.07E+15	2.89E+15	99.1	4.70E+14	2.07E+14	94.5
30	4.39E+14	9.47E+14	100	5.33E+15	2.92E+15	99.0	4.18E+14	1.74E+14	87.7

Table S3. Summary of meteorological variables and their applications in the three datasets used in this study.

Type	Time scale	coordinate range		Meteorological variables	
EDAS Harriby Data		18.04-20.18°N,	108.28-	C	
ERA5 Hourly Data	June 1, 2024 to August 31, 2024	111.2°E		Sea surface temperature	
on Single Levels				Downward UV radiation at the surface	
ERA5 Hourly Data				700hpa to 1000hpa Temperature	
on Pressure Levels				700hpa to 1000hpa Relative humidity	
ERA5-Land Hourly Data		19.53°N, 109.14°E		2m temperature	
				2m dew temperature	
				10m u-component of wind	
				10m v-component of wind	
				Surface pressure	
				Total precipitation	

Table S4. Frequency and specific dates of non-sea breeze days (NSBDs), sea breeze days (SBDs) and typhoon days (TDs) selected by the identification algorithm for the summer of 2024 at Fuke village (FK).

Weather	frequency	Channel	The time of occurrence	note
pattern				
NSBDs	32	Channel 3	2024.06.02-2024.06.04; 2024.06.11-2024.06.13; 2024.06.15-	Instruments were not
			$2024.06.20;\ 2024.06.29\hbox{-}2024.06.30;\ 2024.07.01\hbox{-}2024.07.02;$	observed on some dates
			$2024.07.09 \hbox{-} 2024.07.10; \ 2024.07.12; \ 2024.08.06 \hbox{-} 2024.08.11;$	due to power outages,
			2024.08.18; 2024.08.202024.08.23; 2024.08.27	maintenance, etc.
SBDs	31	Channel 1	2024.06.01; 2024.06.06; 2024.08.15-2024.08.16	Includes 2024.07.09-
		Channel 2	$2024.06.05; 2024.06.08\hbox{-}2024.06.10; 2024.06.21; 2024.06.23;$	2024.07.13;2024.07.22;
			$2024.06.25\text{-}2024.06.27;\ 2024.07.03\text{-}2024.07.08;\ 2024.07.13;$	2024.08.30-2024.08.31
			$2024.07.17 - 2024.07.20;\ 2024.08.04 - 2024.08.05;\ 2024.08.24 -$	
			2024. 08.26; 2024.08.31	
TDs	7		2024.07.21-2024.07.27	

Table S5. After dividing the daily ozone changes into five clusters based on the K-means method, the number of days, the ozone background level, the net ozone increment ( $\Delta O_3$ ), and the number of NSBDs and SBDs for each cluster are as follows.

Cluster	days	background level	$\Delta O_3$	NSBDs	SBDs
Cluster1	17	15.31 ppbv	9.50 ppbv	6	11
Cluster2	12	9.04 ppbv	23.43 ppbv	6	6
Cluster3	7	9.31 ppbv	35.29 ppbv	5	2
Cluster4	15	22.23 ppbv	10.00 ppbv	13	2
Cluster5	12	7.36 ppbv	15.81 ppbv	2	10




Figure S1. Examples of typical differential optical absorption spectra (DOAS) spectral fits for (a) O<sub>4</sub>, (b) NO<sub>2</sub>, (c) HCHO, and (d) CHOCHO. Taken on June 15, 2024 at 11:21 local time with an elevation angle of 4°. The fitted differential slant column densities (DSCDs) are given in the individual subpanels.




Figure S2. Examples of a priori profile and vertical profile inversions for aerosol extinction, NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, and CHOCHO on June 15, 2024, at about 11:45 local time, along with degree-of-freedom information on the profile inversion signals. The upper panel shows (a) aerosol extinction, (b) NO<sub>2</sub> and (c) HCHO and (d) CHOCHO profiles. The lower panel shows the averaging kernel with information about the degrees of freedom of the signals retrieved by the (e) aerosol extinction, (f) NO<sub>2</sub>, (g) HCHO, and (h) CHOCHO contours.




Figure S3. Scatter plots of measured DSCDs (ODs) against modelled DSCDs (ODs) during the whole measurement period for retrievals of (a) aerosols, (b) NO<sub>2</sub>, (c) HCHO, and (d) CHOCHO. The squares of the correlation coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>) and the slopes derived from the linear regressions (red lines) are given in each subplot.




Figure S4. Flowchart of the objective recognition algorithm (ORA) for sea breeze days. The pink squares represent eliminate background fields, referred to as Module 1; the blue squares represent identification of sea breeze and non-sea breeze, referred to as Module 2; the purple squares represent semi-diurnal pressure wave, referred to as Module 3; the green squares represent land and sea temperature diff, or Module 4 for short.




Figure S5. Daily cycle of local hourly mean surface pressure (SP) perturbations over the 3-month study period (2024.0601-2024.08.31).




Figure S6. Time series of (a) aerosol, (b) NO<sub>2</sub>, (c) HCHO, and (d) CHOCHO vertical profiles derived from MAX-DOAS measurements, along with vertical profiles of (e) temperature and (f) relative humidity obtained from ERA5 data. Data gaps occurred due to power outages, instrument malfunctions, quality control exclusions, and short-term maintenance.




Figure S7. Mean daily cycles of WS, WD, temperature, RH, BLH, and UV radiation flux (UVF) for the SB selected for channel 1.




Figure S8. Wind rose diagram for NO<sub>2</sub> on SBDs. Blue areas represent onshore winds and yellow areas represent offshore winds.




Figure S9. Wind profiles for different air current patterns. The lengths of the arrows indicate the magnitude of the wind speeds and they are subjected to the same scaling factor on the three air current patterns (ACPs). The gray dotted line refers to the  $O_{time}$  of the SB.




Figure S10. Characterization of the variation of the Davies-Bouldin Index (DBI) with the number of clusters (k).




Figure S11. Correlation of net ozone production ( $\Delta O_3$ ) with the change of (a) temperature ( $\Delta T$ ) and (b) RH ( $\Delta RH$ ) for each day of the NSBDs and SBDs, respectively.




Figure S12. Daily cycling of cumulative ratios ( $R_{SN}$ ) of (a)  $NO_2$ , (b) HCHO and (c) CHOCHO surface VMR between SBDs and NSBDs in five clusters. The gray dotted line refers to the  $O_{time}$  of the SB. Cluster 4 has no data for some moments of the SBDs.

#### **References:**

- Azorin-Molina, C., Tijm, S., and Chen, D.: Development of selection algorithms and databases for sea breeze studies, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 106, 531-546, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-011-0454-4, 2011.
- Bogumil, K., Orphal, J., Homann, T., Voigt, S., Spietz, P., Fleischmann, O. C., Vogel, A., Hartmann, M., Kromminga, H., Bovensmann, H., Frerick, J., and Burrows, J. P.: Measurements of molecular absorption spectra with the SCIAMACHY preflight model: instrument characterization and reference data for atmospheric remote-sensing in the 230–2380 nm region, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 157, 167-184, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1010-6030(03)00062-5, 2003.
- Davies, D. L. and Bouldin, D. W.: A Cluster Separation Measure, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., PAMI-1, 224-227, https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1979.4766909, 1979.
- Fleischmann, O. C., Hartmann, M., Burrows, J. P., and Orphal, J.: New ultraviolet absorption cross-sections of BrO at atmospheric temperatures measured by time-windowing Fourier transform spectroscopy, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 168, 117-132, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2004.03.026">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2004.03.026</a>, 2004.
- Kraus, S.: DOASIS: a framework design for DOAS, 182, 2006.
- Meller, R. and Moortgat, G. K.: Temperature dependence of the absorption cross sections of formaldehyde between 223 and 323 K in the wavelength range 225–375 nm, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 105, 7089-7101, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074">https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074</a>, 2000.
- Peters, E., Wittrock, F., Großmann, K., Frieß, U., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide over the remote western Pacific Ocean: SCIAMACHY and GOME-2 validation using ship-based MAX-DOAS observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11179-11197, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11179-2012, 2012.
- Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barber, R. J., Dothe, H., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Perevalov, V. I., Tashkun, S. A., and Tennyson, J.: HITEMP, the high-temperature molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 111, 2139-2150, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.05.001, 2010.
- Serdyuchenko, A., Gorshelev, V., Weber, M., Chehade, W., and Burrows, J. P.: High spectral resolution ozone absorption cross-sections Part 2: Temperature dependence, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 625-636, <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-625-2014">https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-625-2014</a>, 2014.
- Thalman, R. and Volkamer, R.: Temperature dependent absorption cross-sections of O<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>2</sub> collision pairs between 340 and 630 nm and at atmospherically relevant pressure, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 15, 15371-15381, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP50968K">https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP50968K</a>, 2013.

- Vandaele, A. C., Hermans, C., Simon, P. C., Carleer, M., Colin, R., Fally, S., Mérienne, M. F., Jenouvrier, A., and Coquart, B.:

  Measurements of the NO<sub>2</sub> absorption cross-section from 42000 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 10000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (238–1000 nm) at 220 K and 294 K, J.

  Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 59, 171-184, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(97)00168-4">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(97)00168-4</a>, 1998.
- Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Shirley, T., and Brune, W. H.: DOAS measurement of glyoxal as an indicator for fast VOC chemistry in urban air, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022616">https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022616</a>, 2005.
- Wagner, T., Beirle, S., and Deutschmann, T.: Three-dimensional simulation of the Ring effect in observations of scattered sun light using Monte Carlo radiative transfer models, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 113-124, <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-113-2009">https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-113-2009</a>, 2009.