

Responds to the comments

Thank you to associate editor for your careful review again. The following is a point-to-point response to the comments. The original text was revised and marked it in red font.

The manuscript has been considerably improved after the revision work this time. Before publishing I request just a few minor modifications:

- L10: "An analysis was conducted on..." to be changed to "Statical analyses were conducted in sequence on..."

Response: Based on this recommendation, the author made revisions in the text.

- Table1: It is confusing to give "GNC" in the "Observatory Code" column for the reference instrument. Leaving it blank or with a horizontal line makes it clearer that No.0 is special.

Response: In Table 1, the "GNC" in the "Observatory Code" column for the reference instrument has been removed and replaced with a long dash.