

We thank both reviewers for their careful review of the manuscript. To address the concerns, an additional comparison to observational lightning data has been added. Additionally, tables containing quantitative regional differences are now included and discussed in the results. Moreover, all requested figures were moved from the appendix to the main manuscript. The discussion was modified to reflect the desired nuances on saturation in comparison to specific humidity. We thank the reviewers for their advice and support. Below are all point-by-point responses. Line numbers refer to the tracked-changes manuscript, which is included at the end of the document.

A pan-European analysis of large-scale drivers of severe convective outbreaks

Authors: Feldmann et al.

Severe convective outbreaks (SCO) are important drivers of weather-related damages in Europe. Hence, it is important to understand which large-scale drivers establish convectively favorable conditions to SCO in different regions, offering new opportunities in the applications of predictability and the understanding of climate processes since synoptic-scale patterns have much greater predictability than local convective precipitation. Although more work is required to establish a reliable synoptic proxy as an alternative to current CAPE-shear-based analysis, the scientific outlook is promising.

The paper nicely deals with this topic, identifying three macro-regions, affected in a different way by the large-scale patterns, and discussing land-surface conditions that are generally not considered in this kind of studies. The presentation is overall clear, and I like the concise and essential writing style. Thus, I think that the paper can be accepted after some relatively minor modifications, related both to improvements in the presentation and in the discussion of the results.

Major points:

1. L212-217: What I really miss in the article is a quantification of your claims. I think adding a table (even in the Appendix) to quantify the anomalies in Figures 3 and 4 and the trends in Figure B1 of the different variables in each identified region could help support your analysis. For example (L279), you mention “a pronounced drying” in the humidity-limited regions, but I do not see a more pronounced drying than in the temperature-limited regions: can you quantify the trends in a table? This would make the summary and discussion in Section 4 more robust.

In the revisions, the Figure numbers have been adapted. The anomaly patterns in the new figures 5, 6 and 10 (previous 3, 4 and B1) are quite large also outside of the

defined regions. E.g., the z500 anomalies are largest to both the east and west of each regional polygon, and the t2m anomaly is strongest quite far east of each region. Hence a simple magnitude of an anomaly over either the regional domain, or the whole European domain is not very meaningful, especially for gradients. Rather, it is important to look at the spatial distribution of each variable individually. All regions are shown with the same colorbars, so their panels are directly comparable. We instead opted to include the climatological average and trend for each region in additional tables (Tables 2 and 3).

Importantly, the saturation-limited regions do not necessarily have absolutely larger moisture-related anomalies, than e.g. the temperature- and dynamics-related anomalies. Given the climatologically drier and warmer climate, increasing saturation is relatively more important than increasing temperature to achieve instability. We have further clarified this point in the text throughout the manuscript.

2. L272: I think that here and in most of the paper there is an excessive emphasis on relative humidity rather than on specific humidity; however, RH is not a measure of humidity but rather of the proximity of the environment to saturation. So, why not adding specific humidity in Fig. 7?

We focus the discussion on relative humidity, as saturation is a key component in achieving instability. To avoid confusion, the second macro-region is now called saturation-limited. We further adapt the phrasing in the manuscript in various locations to reflect this focus on saturation, rather than absolute moisture content. We do include here the specific humidity climatology and trend at 900 hPa in Figure R1 below. Specific humidity is increasing throughout the regions analyzed (or stable) and for the evolution of CAPE, the evolution of saturation is more important. We therefore think that the figure does not add important material to the discussion and since the paper is already quite long, we would prefer not to include this figure in the paper.




Figure R1: Average 900 hPa specific humidity in Europe (left panel), and decadal trend of 900 hPa specific humidity (right panel) from 1980-2022.

3. I do not understand the need to put Figure B1 (and in minor way Fig. A1) in the Appendix, as it is an important part of the study.

Both of these figures have been moved to the main manuscript (Figs. 5 and 10)

Minor points:

- L11: delete “climate”
Removed
- L48: which is the average duration of a persistent event?
45% of persistent events last 2 days and an additional 35% up to 4 days, decaying with an approximately exponential distribution. This has been added to the text (line 115 ff).
- Table 1: why is the average and not the maximum 2m temperature considered?
We performed analyses with both and obtained very similar results. We opted to show the results of average 2m temperature, as this is more consistent with the processing of the other variables. For CAPE and the CIX/SCO definition it is necessary to use the daily maximum, as CAPE behaves very nonlinearly on a diurnal basis.
- Figure 1: I do not think the selected names are representative of the regions considered: what about BA (Balkan peninsula) or E-M (eastern Mediterranean) instead of HE, C-M (central Mediterranean) instead of AD, N-W (north-western region) instead of BE?
The regions have been renamed throughout the text, as well as the abbreviations in the figures and tables.
- Figure 1 caption: panels b) and c) are reversed between the figure and the caption
This has been corrected.
- L86: I think that “To calculate the anomalies, ...” should be put before “All descriptor variables”
This has been adapted accordingly (line 88).
- L106: Also, squall lines frequently cross the Po valley from west to east (e.g., De Martin et al. (2024))
De Martin F., Davolio S., Miglietta M. M., and Levizzani V., A conceptual model for the development of tornadoes in the complex orography of the Po valley, Mon. Wea. Rev., 152, 2024, 1357-1377, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-23-0222.1>
This has been added (line 109f).
- L108: does a high value of SCO necessarily mean that an outbreak occurs? Please shortly discuss this point
As reviewer 2 requested, we now include a composite of anomalous lightning activity for the time period, where lightning data is available (see Fig. R2, and manuscript Fig. 3). We added a short discussion of this qualitative validation in the

manuscript (line 146ff).




Fig. R2: Anomalous lightning occurrence during first day of SCO per region.

- L119: “when absolute temperatures are high” is redundant
This sentence has been rephrased (line 127).
- L124: “left column” instead of “top row”
This has been changed accordingly (line 133).
- L132: “climate average” instead of “baseline”
This has been rephrased (line 141).
- L132: please add “anomalies” after “much less temperature”
This sentence has been rephrased (line 141).
- L133: forced lifting depends also on CIN, which is not considered here
- L149-152: how do you explain the high variability in HE?
The regions with particularly low variability are the convectively favourable ones, not the saturation- or temperature-limited ones. We now clarify this in the text. The overall variability of geopotential decreases towards the south and east in Europe. With a similar geopotential anomaly as AL/AD, HE can still achieve higher relative variability.
- L157: really, only the positive temperature anomalies are mirrored in SST
This has been adapted accordingly (line 177).
- L159: the sentence is ambiguous: in my opinion, all regions lie downstream of positive SST anomalies but only of the Mediterranean Sea. This makes some confusion with the following sentences.
This has been further clarified to “Mediterranean and Black Seas”, as e.g. Eastern Europe is downstream of the Black Sea and affected by its positive SST anomaly (line 180).
- L166: add “anomalies” after sea-surface temperatures
This has been added (line 187).
- L206-208: although not necessary, it may be interesting to investigate the sea surface fluxes to support this hypothesis.

The Era5 dataset we currently have downloaded does not contain sea surface fluxes. In the interest of time, we refrain from this additional analysis.

- L237-249: all this part can be significantly reduced; there are some points repeated a few times.

This section has been shortened.

- L262: “Given the absolute thresholds required for convection, we refrain from removing long-term trends from the data.”: it is not clear to me what you mean here
This motivates, why the data was not detrended in the first place. We rephrased the sentence accordingly (line 286).

- L269: “or decreases only slightly (Alta-Italia, Central Europe)”: I would say it occurs in Slavic area rather than in Central Europe

Both the Slavic and Adria areas were now included (line 294f).

- L273: “upstream”: or downstream? Or both upstream and downstream?

This has been changed to “to the east of convection” to avoid confusion (line 301).

- L284-286: I think this is an important conclusion of the paper and deserved a bolded font

While we refrain from putting the whole sentence bold here, we add this in the conclusion, to highlight it as an important point (line 368).

- L314: remove “have”

This sentence has been rephrased (line 342f).

Review of: A pan-European analysis of large-scale drivers of severe convective outbreaks

This study investigates the large-scale drivers of severe convective outbreaks (SCO) in Europe. SCO events cause significant damage to infrastructure, property, and human health and life, and they occur regularly across Europe. However, while a well-defined model of severe convection exists for the US, other extratropical regions like Europe still lack a comprehensive framework. This paper therefore represents an important step toward improving SCO predictability, and even understanding how these events may evolve under global warming.

The authors detect convective events using a CAPE-shear threshold binary variable (CIX) and cluster them into regions where SCOs occur simultaneously. These regions are then grouped into three categories based on the dominant climatological perturbation driving SCO initiation during the extended summer. Using this framework, the paper examines the large-scale descriptors of convective outbreaks, differences between short-lived and persistent SCOs, and trends in these descriptors.

The paper is well-organized, clearly written, and methodologically sound. I particularly commend the authors for their rigorous use of statistical inference, including the application of False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction for gridded testing—a theoretically necessary but often overlooked practice in climate studies.

Overall, the manuscript requires only minor revisions. I have read the comments from Reviewer #1 and agree with their suggestions, particularly regarding the inclusion of Appendix figures (especially B1) in the main text. Below are my additional remarks:

- Line 70: The use of CIX alone is one of the relatively weaker aspects of the study. As the authors mention comparing CIX-based clustering with lightning data, I recommend including this comparison in the Appendix for transparency.
We now include an explicit composite of lightning-occurrence anomaly to highlight the appropriateness of our event definition (see Fig. R3 below and manuscript Fig. 3, line 146ff). The designated convective regions all show significant positive anomalies, stressing that there is in fact observed convective activity. The lightning data only covers half of the analysis period, so we refrain from pulling it through further analyses, as it is not directly comparable to the ERA5 data.




Fig. R3: Anomaly of lightning activity on first day of SCO per region.

Lightning anomalies fit better to the target regions than convective precipitation. Convective precipitation is also active in frontal regions, which generally lie to the west of the SCO – and in the convective precipitation composite, positive anomalies also lie to the west of the target region. This spill-over to other regions is more related to the ambiguous nature of the convective precipitation variable, which corresponds to the convective parametrization being active, rather than representing the desired SCO activity directly.

- Line 78: The authors note that they tested different CAPE and shear thresholds for defining CIX. It would be valuable to demonstrate the robustness of the results to these threshold choices, especially given the lack of validation for CIX as a convection proxy using observations.

Since we now provide an additional comparison to lightning data, we refrain from further elaborating on the sensitivity of the chosen thresholds in the manuscript.

- Line 138: The statement “*In each region, we find a maximum of convective precipitation on the day of the SCO*” is technically correct but initially confusing when examining Fig. 3. At first glance, one might expect the precipitation maxima in panels (first two rows) to align strictly with the detected SCO regions. Instead, the key finding is that each region experiences its peak convective precipitation during its respective SCO events. I suggest clarifying this point and briefly discussing overlaps between regions (e.g., W-M/AL SCOs sometimes coincide with convection in BE/CE or SL/AD).

Yes, the approach does not prohibit two regions from having an SCO on the same day. However, the lightning data shows that this is more owed to the ambiguity of convective precipitation, rather than actual convective activity. We include an additional clarifying paragraph in the manuscript (line 154ff).

Additional minor corrections:

- Line 193: 900 hPa → 800 hPa

Adapted (line 215)

- Line 163 & Fig. 7 caption: Replace “insignificant” with “non-significant” or “not statistically significant” for precision. “Insignificant” can be misleading, especially when used in a text with no reference to the result of a statistical test as at Line 163.

Adapted (line 184 and figure captions)

A pan-European analysis of large-scale drivers of severe convective outbreaks

Monika Feldmann¹, Daniela I.V. Domeisen^{2,3}, and Olivia Martius¹

¹Institute of Geography - Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Switzerland

²Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

³Institute of Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence: Monika Feldmann (monika.feldmann@unibe.ch)

Abstract. Severe convective outbreaks have been an important driver of weather-related damages in Europe in recent years. Regional convection affecting thousands of square kilometers is driven by large-scale conditions that establish convectively favourable conditions. Systematically analysing the large-scale drivers of severe convective outbreaks helps link synoptic-scale predictability to convective-scale hazards, addressing persistent challenges in forecasting and impact assessment. We analyse the continental-scale atmospheric and land-surface conditions in the days leading up to widespread severe convective outbreaks in Europe with reanalysis data. We split Europe into regions that often experience severe convective outbreaks on the same day. Each region shows distinct dynamical and thermodynamic patterns leading up to an outbreak. Colder regions north of the Alps are associated with synoptic-scale upper-level wave patterns, accompanied by strong temperature anomalies, as they can be considered to be temperature-limited. Severe convection in drier regions of eastern Europe is associated with greater moisture anomalies. Severe convection in regions bordering the Mediterranean are associated with weak upper-level flow anomalies. These regions have a climate ~~climate~~ that is favourable for convection and convection is more frequent. The required additional contribution from the upper-level is thus weaker.

1 Introduction

Severe convective storms are one of the most expensive weather hazards in recent years, even the most expensive in Europe (Bowen et al., 2024), and have been increasing in frequency and severity over time (Rädler et al., 2019) with related costs steadily increasing (Hoeppe, 2015). Especially widespread outbreaks that cover thousands of square kilometres are responsible for severe weather hazards such as widespread hail, flooding, and wind damage, causing serious societal impacts (Bowen et al., 2024). In this study, we analyse the synoptic conditions associated with widespread severe convective environments in different regions of Europe. Understanding the large-scale drivers is a key step toward learning the typical formation mechanisms of severe convective outbreaks and ultimately improving their predictability.

While previous studies have analysed the synoptic conditions tied to convection in single European regions (Wapler and James, 2014; Morris, 1986; Mohr et al., 2019; Piper et al., 2019; Feldmann et al., 2021; Barras et al., 2021; Nisi et al., 2018), there exists no systematic documentation of synoptic conditions across all of Europe, especially derived from a homogeneous dataset. Many studies use pre-classified weather regimes (Wapler and James, 2014; Feldmann et al., 2021; Barras et al., 2021; Nisi

25 et al., 2018; Augenstein, 2025), which are very specific to the targeted region.

For Western Europe, the Spanish plume is an established synoptic driver of severe convection (Morris, 1986). This pattern includes the approach of a cyclone, as well as the presence of an elevated dry layer stemming from either the Iberian peninsula or North Africa. This dry layer increases convective inhibition, preventing an early onset of convection during the day. This evolution allows the convective environment to become more severe through surface heating before convection ultimately sets in. For ~~central~~ Central Europe, southwesterly mid-level winds are commonly associated with severe convection (Wapler and James, 2014; Mohr et al., 2019; Feldmann et al., 2021). However, hailstorms and, in particular, multi-day hail clusters are associated with westerly winds (Nisi et al., 2018; Barras et al., 2021). In the Mediterranean, short-wave cutoffs are known to cause extreme precipitation events that are tied to stationary thunderstorms (Portal et al., 2024; Faranda et al., 2024). Mediterranean cyclones play a considerable role in the occurrence of convection, especially in autumn. Northern Italy, the most active convective region in Europe, has a climate favourable to convection (Taszarek et al., 2019; Kahraman et al., 2024; Cui et al., 2024). Severe hail is often associated with low pressure systems North of the Alps (?).

A typical model of severe convection, especially tornadoes, has been established for the USA, where the prefrontal zone of a cold front is particularly beneficial to severe convection and tornado formation, due to the enhancement of deep layer and low level shear, owed to the positioning of the low level, subtropical and polar jet streams, as well as moisture and warm air advection in the warm sector (Doswell, 2001; Doswell and Bosart, 2001; Barnes, 1978; Barnes and Newton, 1986). While the proposed framework is not necessarily specific to the USA, we lack quantitative analyses for Europe, discussing the regional applicability of this framework.

With these regional assessments in mind, we present a comprehensive analysis of conditions associated with widespread convection in different regions of Europe based on 43 years of ERA-5 reanalysis data from May to September (Hersbach et al., 2020). We focus on the extended summer months as the primary convective season in Europe (Taszarek et al., 2019; Giordani et al., 2024, autumn convection in the Mediterranean is mostly over the ocean). We split Europe into different sub-regions that experience convective conditions on the same day and compute composites of the atmospheric state on the first day of an event. Further analyses target differences between transient and persistent events. Finally, we inspect the influence of underlying trends.

50 2 Data and Methods

We use ERA-5 reanalysis data (Hersbach et al., 2020) from 1980-2023, aggregated daily and focusing on the extended summer months, May - September. The variables, their resolution and aggregation method are listed in Table 1. As ERA-5 parametrises convection and does not assimilate precipitation, convection itself is not well represented (Hersbach et al., 2020). We therefore define a convective proxy using maximum convective available potential energy (CAPE) and average 900 - 500 hPa bulk wind shear. We define a convective index (CIX) at 500 J kg⁻¹ CAPE and 10 m s⁻¹ bulk shear on the same day to indicate organised convection of at least multicellular mode (see Eq. 1; Taszarek et al., 2017; Brooks, 2009). We additionally use ATDnet lightning

data gridded to binary days with or without lightning per grid point (Enno et al., 2020) from 2001-2023 to verify the validity of the defined convective proxy.

$$CIX = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if CAPE} > 500 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ and bulk shear} > 10 \text{ m s}^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Table 1. Overview of variables

Variable	abbreviation	units	daily aggregation	resolution
Convective available potential energy	CAPE	J kg ^{−1}	maximum	0.25°
2m temperature	t2m	°C	average	0.25°
convective precipitation	cp	mm	sum	0.25°
0-7 cm soil moisture	swvl1	l m ^{−3}	average	0.25°
2m relative humidity	r2m	%	average	0.25°
500 hPa geopotential height	z500	m	average	0.5°
500 hPa horizontal wind	v500	m s ^{−1}	average	0.5°
900 hPa relative humidity	r900	%	average	0.5°
900 hPa specific humidity	q900	g kg ^{−1}	average	0.5°
900 hPa horizontal wind	v900	m s ^{−1}	average	0.5°
bulk shear	bs	m s ^{−1}	average	0.5°

60 **2.1 Convective Regions in Europe**

To obtain a regional division for convective events, we use K-means clustering (Hartigan and Wong, 1979). This approach differs from typical weather regimes, which are obtained by applying an aggregation method to the synoptic pressure field, specific to a particular region (Weusthoff, 2011; Hochman et al., 2021, here for Switzerland and Western Europe). We strive to obtain cohesive regions throughout Europe that experience convection on the same day, to subsequently identify their primary

65 large-scale drivers associated with severe convection. By focusing on different convective regions, we can focus the analysis of the synoptic scale on only convectively relevant events, rather than all occurring weather situations. We use the binary CIX in K-means clustering (Hartigan and Wong, 1979) to identify regions that experience considerable CAPE and shear on the same day. Sensitivity experiments with a range of different numbers of clusters showed the meteorologically most cohesive regions at

70 10 clusters (see Section 3.1 for discussion). One out of these 10 clusters depicts convectively relatively inactive areas, and one cluster covers southeastern Spain and Northern Africa. As we focus on Europe in this study, this cluster is not analysed further. The remaining 8 clusters that we will focus on here are shown in Fig. 1a. There are four regions bordering the Mediterranean and four regions located north of the Alps. We also experimented with rectangular regions based on lightning density data. These regions yielded similar results for synoptic atmospheric and land-surface anomalies, emphasising the robustness. We nonetheless preferred to use a meteorologically informed, objective method of region identification over rectangular boxes.

Within these regions, we define severe convective outbreaks (SCO) as a minimum area of 100 grid points with a positive CIX, indicating widespread convection. Additionally, convective precipitation must be non-zero somewhere in the CIX area to ensure the presence of a convective trigger. Due to uncertainties regarding the convective parametrization (Hersbach et al., 2020), we do not use convective precipitation as a direct proxy of convection; convective precipitation is only an indication of triggering (Taszarek et al., 2020). The results are not particularly sensitive to the chosen thresholds. We experimented with a range of CAPE (300-1000 J kg⁻¹) and bulk shear values (10-20 m s⁻¹), all yielded qualitatively the same conclusions. The values of the thresholds modulate the number of detected events, as well as the absolute magnitude of the anomalies.




Figure 1. Identified convective regions with K-means clustering and their convective activity. Panel b) shows the total number of annual SCO per regional-grid-point aggregated region, panel c) shows the total number of annual SCO per aggregated-region regional grid point.

The SCO classification provides a binary daily event time series per region, showing all days that meet the SCO definition. We trim this down to only contain the first days for those episodes that last multiple days. We additionally split the event dataset into single-day outbreaks and multi-day outbreaks to analyse the difference between persistent SCO and transient SCO. Transient is defined as a single SCO day followed by at least two non-SCO days. Persistence requires at least two consecutive SCO days. Events that meet neither criterion are classified as "rest". An overview of all identified SCO is provided in Fig. 1.

All To calculate the anomalies, all descriptor variables are deseasonalised using a 10-day rolling window. For each region, we then create time-lagged composites that show the mean atmospheric conditions leading up to the first day of all SCO for each region (see Section 3.2). We also derive the composites for transient and persistent SCO separately in the same manner and depict them as Hovmöller diagrams, averaging across the latitude band of each region (see Section 3.3).

Statistical testing of anomalies is performed with a Mann-Whitney-U test, comparing all non-SCO days to the first SCO-day.

Subsequently, false-discovery-rate (FDR) correction is applied to reduce artefacts introduced by repeating testing for every grid point (Ventura et al., 2004; Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). For the Hovmöller diagrams, the aggregation of p-values is performed with the Stouffer Z-score method, which accounts for spatial dependencies, after the FDR correction (Stouffer et al., 1949).

Lastly, climatological trend analyses are performed using a linear trend fit on annual averages (see Section 3.4). Significance testing of the linear trends is done with the Hamed-Rao modification of the Mann-Kendall trend test, which accounts for annual lag-1 temporal autocorrelation (Hamed and Ramachandra Rao, 1998; Hussain and Mahmud, 2019).

3 Results

3.1 Convective regions of Europe and their summer climate

Based on K-means clustering, we identify 8 distinct convective regions in Europe, as shown in Fig. 1a. They can be split into regions adjoining the Mediterranean, from the Western Mediterranean (~~W-M~~WM), over Alta-Italia (AL) and ~~Adria~~(~~AD~~Central Mediterranean (CM)) to the ~~Hellenic Peninsula~~(~~HE~~Balkan Peninsula (BA)), and regions north of the Alpine divide, with ~~the Benelux~~(~~BE~~area Western Europe (WE), Central Europe (CE), the Slavic area (SL), and Eastern Europe (~~EAE~~E). This split aligns well with observed convective outbreaks, e.g., thunderstorms initiating in western Switzerland, moving into Germany and experiencing upscale growth and finally decaying in Poland (e.g., Mohr et al., 2020; Wilhelm et al., 2021; Kopp et al., 2023), mesoscale convective systems in southern France (Arnould et al., 2025), derechos in northern France and Belgium (Fery and Faranda, 2024), or record-breaking hailstorms and squalllines in northern Italy (~~Eisenbach, 2023; ?; Manzato et al., 2022; Bagaglini et al., 2021~~).

The Mediterranean regions experience a higher frequency of SCO, as well as a higher fraction of multi-day SCO (Fig. 1b). Particularly Alta-Italia is notable, as it not only has the highest number of SCO but also the smallest area, resulting in an exceptionally high grid-point-normalised SCO frequency (Fig. 1c). It is almost twice as high as in the neighbouring ~~Adriatic~~Central Mediterranean. Contrastingly, the midlatitude regions experience much lower absolute and area-normalised frequencies of SCO. ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe show the lowest SCO frequency. Transient events are up to half of all detected SCO per region, whereas persistent events tend to make up the majority, especially in the Mediterranean regions. Persistent SCO have an approximately exponential distribution regarding the duration, with 45% of events lasting 2 days and another 35% lasting up to 4 days.

To better understand the differing magnitude of large-scale descriptors for SCO throughout Europe, we inspect the climatology of the CIX, CAPE, temperature, and relative humidity from May-September, 1980-2022 (see Fig. 2). Here, we focus on the average instability, as well as its prime ingredients, surface temperature, and ~~moisture~~relative humidity. Provided that sufficient moisture is available to reach saturation early in the parcel profile, CAPE is highly correlated with surface temperature (Emanuel, 2023). Table 2 further lists the average value per region.

There is a clear meridional gradient for the CIX, CAPE, and 2m temperature, increasing southwards, whereas 2m relative humidity is more heterogeneous, reflecting the topography (see Fig. 2). Western and Central Europe have a climate that is




Figure 2. Climatological mean convective activity, CAPE, 2m temperature, and 2m relative humidity

<u>Region</u>	<u>CIX</u> <u>% of days</u>	<u>CAPE</u> <u>J kg⁻¹</u>	<u>t2m</u> <u>°C</u>	<u>r2m</u> <u>%</u>
<u>BE</u>	<u>5.57</u>	<u>207</u>	<u>16.3</u>	<u>73.5</u>
<u>CE</u>	<u>7.51</u>	<u>254</u>	<u>15.6</u>	<u>71.4</u>
<u>SL</u>	<u>9.38</u>	<u>354</u>	<u>17.8</u>	<u>69.1</u>
<u>EE</u>	<u>7.94</u>	<u>346</u>	<u>17.3</u>	<u>69.9</u>
<u>WM</u>	<u>13.07</u>	<u>347</u>	<u>17.9</u>	<u>68.3</u>
<u>AL</u>	<u>17.89</u>	<u>495</u>	<u>16.3</u>	<u>72.1</u>
<u>CM</u>	<u>15.46</u>	<u>541</u>	<u>19.8</u>	<u>68.4</u>
<u>BA</u>	<u>11.61</u>	<u>392</u>	<u>19.7</u>	<u>66.1</u>

Table 2. Climatological average for the different regions

considerably cooler than the Mediterranean but also than eastern continental Europe in the summertime. Instability is related to temperature, so the likelihood of widespread instability ~~when absolute temperatures are high~~ is greater in warmer regions (Emanuel, 2023). Consequently, average CAPE values are smallest in Western and Central Europe and highest in Alta-Italia. The low instability indicates that Western Europe needs to depart far from its average conditions to support widespread convection. Surrounding the Mediterranean, unstable conditions are generally much more frequent, and the diurnal cycle alone can be a sufficient trigger for convection. The Slavic and Eastern European areas are exposed to a more continental climate,

with higher temperatures but less relative humidity. They have moderate amounts of convective activity and moderately warm temperatures (see Fig. 2, [top row left column](#)). While the Western Mediterranean adjoins the Mediterranean Sea, it lies in an area still strongly affected by the Atlantic storm tracks and is upstream of the Mediterranean. Under predominantly westerly conditions, it lies downstream of the Atlantic and the Iberian Peninsula.

As an example of the Mediterranean region, we take a closer look at Alta-Italia, which is Europe's most active convective region. We compare Alta-Italia to [Benelux Western Europe](#), which is the least active region. Alta-Italia has the highest climatological average temperature and instability, approximately 5°C warmer and 300 J kg^{-1} less stable than [Benelux Western Europe](#). At the same time, relative humidity is comparable at $\sim 70\%$. Given the much higher [baseline, much less temperature climatological average, much smaller temperature anomalies](#) and moisture advection, as well as forced lifting, are necessary to produce conditions suitable for widespread convection. In fact, the average climatological instability throughout the entire Po Valley exceeds the threshold of 500 J kg^{-1} CAPE. Point-wise, the CIX is met in Alta-Italia approximately 25% of the time, while in [Benelux Western Europe](#), this ranges from 5-10%.

3.2 Large-scale descriptors of convection

We first show composites of anomalous lightning occurrence on the first day of all SCO. This allows us to verify the assumptions made in the CIX and SCO definitions. As the lightning data is only available during half of the study period (2001-2023), this is not directly comparable to the following analyses. In Fig. 3, anomalously high lightning activity for each region is evident, highlighting that an SCO defined on CAPE, bulk shear, and convective precipitation does correspond to elevated lightning activity and hence active convection.




Figure 3. [Anomaly of lightning presence on first day of SCO. The number of SCO per region is depicted in Fig. 1b. Hatching is applied to non-significant areas. The convective region is outlined in black and labelled in the top-right of each panel.](#)

To get an overview of the large-scale flow, we first inspect composites of convective precipitation, 500 hPa geopotential height, 2m temperature, and sea surface temperature (SST) on the first day of all SCO (see Fig. 4). Convective precipitation confirms the effectiveness of the regional classification and the SCO definition with the CIX. In each region, we find a maximum in convective precipitation values on the day of an SCO (Fig. 4, top two rows). [However, convective precipitation is not](#)

155 exclusive to SCO. Frontal zones also tend to trigger the convective parametrization, while generally not fulfilling the SCO criterion. Thus, we also find positive convective precipitation anomalies upstream of most target regions.

The geopotential height is an effective descriptor of the synoptic weather pattern, allowing a comparison with e.g. Doswell and Bosart (2001). 2m temperature and SST strongly relate to instability in terms of surface heating, while SST also plays an important role as a possible moisture source.

160 The geopotential height anomaly reveals synoptic, dynamical differences between the mid-latitude and Mediterranean regions (Fig. 4, second two rows). Events in mid-latitude regions are associated with a strong anomaly in geopotential height, with a deep ridge situated slightly downstream of convection and a pronounced trough approaching (Fig. 4 regions ~~BE~~WE, CE, and SL). This dynamical driver is stronger the further West the region is located. To a lesser degree, this is also evident in the Mediterranean regions, especially the Western Mediterranean. The further East the region lies, the less pronounced the geopotential height anomalies are, indicating that convection is related more to local rather than synoptic conditions (Fig. 4 regions ~~EA, HE~~). EE, CM). To obtain the relative variability of geopotential height, we compute the standard deviation of geopotential height over the entire time period, as well as only during convective events. We then normalise the event variability with the reference variability and express the deviations in %. Positive anomalies experience more variability than normal, whereas negative areas have less variability than normal. Investigating the relative variability shows that the variability is elevated in all

165 regions where the ridge is located, while it is slightly reduced in the location of the trough (see Fig. 5). The relative variability is smallest for Alta-Italia and the ~~Adriatic~~Central Mediterranean, indicating that they are consistently associated with much weaker geopotential height anomalies than other regions.

We next inspect temperature anomalies (Fig. 4, third two rows). The patterns in temperature anomalies further emphasise the divide between the northern and Mediterranean regions. SCO in ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe, the regions with the

175 strongest geopotential anomaly, are also associated with the most substantial temperature anomalies under the ridge, reaching up to 3.8 °C. Likewise, the troughs in the upper-level wave pattern are accompanied by negative temperature anomalies, albeit less pronounced than the positive anomalies. ~~These~~The positive temperature anomalies are mirrored in SST (Fig. 4, bottom two rows), indicating that the anomalies have a certain persistence (see also Section 3.3). Based on the 800 hPa wind field (Fig. 6, wind field superposed on 800 hPa relative humidity), all regions lie downstream at the 800 hPa level of positive SST

180 anomalies in the Mediterranean and Black Seas. SCO in ~~Benelux and central~~Western and Central Europe are associated with substantial warming of the Baltic and North Seas and, to a lesser extent, the Mediterranean. For SCO in the Slavic region, this anomaly shifts further east, with greater anomalies over the Baltic and Black Seas, as well as the Adriatic Sea. For SCO in Eastern Europe, the Mediterranean SST anomaly is weaker, and positive anomalies are primarily located over the Baltic and Black Seas, with a weak (~~insignificant~~not statistically significant) negative anomaly over the Western Mediterranean. The

185 Mediterranean regions show much weaker anomalies but still generally above-average SST. SCO in the Western Mediterranean are associated with the largest SST anomaly located just off its coast. The consistently positive land-surface and sea-surface ~~temperatures~~temperature anomalies highlight the positive correlation between temperature and CAPE (Emanuel, 2023). The warm seas emphasise the persistence of the positive temperature anomalies, as SST anomalies changes are relatively slow and require greater forcing. Additionally, the warm seas can act as a moisture source, with evaporation increasing at higher




Figure 4. Synoptic anomalies on first day of SCO. The number of SCO per region is depicted in Fig. 1b. Hatching is applied to non-significant areas. The convective region is outlined in black and labelled in the top-right of each panel.

190 temperatures and correlating with convective activity (Morgenstern et al., 2023; Wapler and James, 2014).




Figure 5. Relative variability of z500 anomaly during SCO compared to the rest of the analyzed period in each region

As convection is driven by moisture, we next investigate 0-7cm soil moisture, 2m relative humidity, 800 hPa relative humidity, and 800 hPa specific humidity (see Fig. 6), providing information on evapotranspiration, and atmospheric moisture profiles relevant to convective inhibition and the elevated mixed layer. Low-level moisture and especially saturation is key to obtaining the necessary instability and precipitable water for deep moist convection. In contrast, the elevated mixed layer (Morris, 1986; Doswell and Bosart, 2001) is characterised as a relatively dry and warm air layer above the boundary layer that increases convective inhibition, allowing greater instability to build. The elevated mixed layer has low relative humidity, but as it is also very warm, it does not necessitate low specific humidity. In contrast, if specific humidity is low, deep convection may be completely inhibited.

At SCO onset, a strong soil moisture gradient is present in all regions, with a significant dry anomaly towards the East of each region, where the ridge is, and a moist anomaly approaching from the West with the beginning precipitation (Fig. 6, top two rows). The soil moisture deficits are co-located with the positive temperature anomalies (Fig. 4, third two rows). Larger temperature anomalies correspond to stronger soil dryness, indicating persistent warm and dry conditions downstream of convective outbreaks in large-scale dynamically driven regions, such as Benelux Western and Central Europe (for temporal evolution see Figs. 7 and 8). The soil moisture deficit also indicates a certain persistence of dry conditions downstream, as a deficit, even in the top soil layer, needs time to develop. Soil moisture gradients have been shown to play a role in convective initiation, as the moisture and temperature gradients favour a sea-breeze-like circulation, enhancing lift over the dry area (Froidevaux et al., 2014; Guillod et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2022) and the gradient (Barton et al., 2025).

2m relative humidity shows similar patterns to surface soil moisture (Fig. 6, second two rows). In comparison to soil moisture, 2m relative humidity has larger positive anomalies in the area of convection. The magnitude of both positive and negative moisture perturbations is strongest for the western and northern regions (BE, CE, W-MWM), moving towards weaker, but more widespread positive anomalies in the southeastern regions (EA, HEEE, BA). The southeastern regions (Fig. 6, EA and HEEE and BA) have the lowest 2m relative humidity in their climatology and are also associated with the largest 2m relative humidity perturbations during SCO.

The anomaly of the 900-800 hPa relative humidity, however, shows more pronounced dryness over the convective areas, indi-




Figure 6. Moisture anomalies on first day of SCO. The number of SCO per region is depicted in Fig. 1b. Hatching is applied to non-significant areas. The convective region is outlined in black and labelled in the top-right of each panel. Arrows over 800 hPa relative humidity indicate wind at 800 hPa.

cating the presence of an elevated mixed layer (Fig. 6, third two rows). The anomalies are strongest in ~~Benelux~~Western Europe, Central Europe, and the Western Mediterranean. For these regions, it is known that elevated dry, warm air from North Africa or the Iberian Peninsula plays a role in allowing greater build-up of CAPE (Morris, 1986; Schultz et al., 2025). The composites also show exceptionally dry air over the Mediterranean, likely originating from the North African coast, as indicated by the wind field. Moreover, for ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe, the wind field indicates dry airmasses may also come from regions further East, under the influence of the hot, dry ridge. Similar source regions of elevated mixed layers have also been found for the British Isles (Lewis and Gray, 2010). These anomalies weaken towards the southerly and easterly regions, skewing towards less pronounced dry anomalies, in line with the findings of the 2m relative humidity.

The specific humidity at 800 hPa is elevated for all regions, most notably for ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe (Fig. 6, bottom two rows). Contrasting the relative humidity pattern, this indicates that the dryness is primarily owed to the temperature anomaly and not an absolute lack of moisture, which still permits the formation of deep moist convection. Looking at the airstreams indicated by the wind field from Northern Africa, they begin as dry anomalies, moisten after crossing the Mediterranean, and finally contribute to positive moisture anomalies (Fig. 6, BE and ~~W-M~~WM). The southerly winds and the change from dry anomalies over the Mediterranean to moist anomalies over the continent suggest that moisture is picked up over the anomalously warm Mediterranean Sea. The relatively dry and hot but absolutely moist layer serves the purpose of creating convective inhibition and delaying the onset of convection, allowing for greater instability to build through surface heating while still allowing deep convection to take place, as sufficient moisture is available.

To briefly summarise, we thus far find three sub-categories of regions: **Temperature-limited regions**, such as ~~Central Europe~~ and ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe, where a trough-ridge-couplet induces winds advecting warm and moist air, resulting in instability. For ~~Humidity-limited~~saturation-limited regions such as Eastern Europe or ~~the Hellenic Peninsula~~are more dependent on, to a lesser extent, ~~the Balkan Peninsula~~a positive near-surface moisture anomaly relative humidity anomaly plays a comparatively more important role than a strong synoptic perturbation. Lastly, **convectively favourable regions**, such as Alta-Italia and the ~~Adriatic~~Central Mediterranean, show relatively small anomalies and low variability across events since their climatology already reaches favourable SCO conditions without additional perturbation, but generally slightly moister conditions than on average.

3.3 Transient and persistent outbreaks

Transient outbreaks are defined as single-day SCO with a gap of at least 3 days to the next SCO in the same target region. Conversely, persistent SCO are defined as at least two consecutive days of SCO. We investigate the temporal progression of the SCO with Hovmöller diagrams of the 5 days leading up to an SCO and the 5 days after the SCO started. A meridional average is computed across the latitudes corresponding to each region. The zonal limits of each region are indicated in the diagram.

Transient SCO (see Fig. 7) show a clear and regular zonal migration of convective precipitation, the related trough and ridge, the surface temperature anomaly, and the 2m relative humidity anomaly. Convective precipitation indicates that, in many cases, an SCO is not confined to one region but migrates from West to East over time, affecting several regions successively (Fig. 7,




Figure 7. Hovmöller diagram of anomalies in convective precipitation, geopotential height at 500 hPa, 2m temperature, and 2m relative humidity for transient events. The number of transient SCO per region is depicted in Fig. 1b. Time evolution from 5 days pre-SCO onset to 5 days post-SCO onset is portrayed. Dashed line indicates SCO onset. Solid vertical lines indicate lateral region boundaries, regions are labelled in top-right of each panel.

250 top two rows).

Temperature-limited regions are associated with particularly strong perturbations in geopotential height emerging just before the SCO, accompanied by positive temperature anomalies and a strong surface moisture gradient (Fig. 7, BE and CE). The presence of the ridge is longer-lasting and more pronounced than the trough. The persistence of the ridge emphasises the importance of the warm anomaly and the potential presence of a dry layer for widespread convection. The surface moistening after the SCO indicates the onset of precipitation and the arrival of the trough.

Contrastingly, the convectively favourable regions show a suppression of convection on the days following the SCO (Fig. 7, AL and to a lesser extent ~~ADCM~~). A trough resides over the region, leading to a negative temperature anomaly and a suppression of convection. In these regions, transient SCO are also the minority fraction, with most SCO lasting multiple days. The ~~humidity-limited~~ saturation-limited regions show a weak ~~moist~~ positive relative humidity anomaly, with no significant dry anomalies and hence no remarkable gradient (Fig. 7, ~~EA and HEEE~~ and BA).

Persistent SCO are characterised by more pronounced anomalies in all descriptor variables (see Fig. 8). For temperature-limited regions, persistent SCO are associated with large-scale features suggesting blocking, such as a spatial stalling of the pattern in the geopotential height anomaly after SCO onset (Fig. 8, BE and CE). This stalling can also be found in temperature, soil moisture (not shown), surface moisture, and convective precipitation anomaly as well. ~~Especially for Benelux, after the onset of convection the pronounced, positive geopotential height anomaly remains stationary and is accompanied by persistent warm and dry anomalies.~~ The presence of a wave train combined with blocking has also been shown for hail clusters north of the Alps (Barras et al., 2021), contrasting single-day events with smaller perturbations and no blocking anomalies. Convective precipitation persists for 2-3 days within the region, but only later affects downstream areas. This local persistence contrasts with the signal in transient events, where convective precipitation is elevated downstream one day post-event (Fig. 7, BE and CE). The persistent SCO are associated with a greater local persistence in convection as well as in the downstream moisture deficit and heat anomaly. ~~Transient outbreaks peak in temperature anomaly on the day of convection in the target region (Fig. 7, BE and CE).~~ Persistent outbreaks show hotter peaks and have stronger and longer-lasting anomalies in downstream areas than their transient counterparts (Fig. 8, BE and CE). This significant co-occurrence of persistent convection, heat, and dryness indicates a contrast of weather extremes, such as convectively-induced floods contrasting high temperatures and pronounced soil dryness on a continental scale (e.g., Tuel et al., 2022). The stalling of convection does not greatly affect its likelihood to travel from one region to the next, especially the Northern regions show a zonal migration signal, though the migration is delayed in comparison to the transient events.

The convectively favourable regions now show a more transient pattern in geopotential height, with a return to the mean state of geopotential height and temperature after the first day of convection (Fig. 8, AL and ~~ADCM~~). Given the convective favourability of the mean state (see Fig. 2a), it is the absence of explicit suppression, rather than the forcing of beneficial conditions, that produces the persistent event.

The ~~humidity-limited~~ saturation-limited regions show considerable positive ~~moisture~~ relative humidity anomalies for persistent events that extend far upstream, contrasting with the rather weak anomaly for transient events. Persistent events also make up the majority of events here (see Fig. 1).




Figure 8. Hovmöller diagram of anomalies in convective precipitation, geopotential height at 500 hPa, 2m temperature, and 2m relative humidity for persistent events. The number of persistent SCO per region is depicted in Fig. 1b. Time evolution from 5 days pre-SCO onset to 5 days post-SCO onset is portrayed. Dashed line indicates SCO onset. Solid vertical lines indicate lateral region boundaries, regions are labelled in top-right of each panel.

Given the absolute thresholds required for convection, we refrain from [a priori](#) removing long-term trends from the data. However, the clear correlation of CAPE and convection with temperature indicates that the warming trend of the past 40 years has an effect on convection in Europe (see also Battaglioli et al., 2023; Wilhelm et al., 2024). Fig. 9 shows the decadal, 1980-2022, linear trend of the convective proxy, CAPE, 2m temperature and relative humidity, [and Table 3 quantifies the trend for each region](#). While temperature has increased everywhere (see also Twardosz et al., 2021), CAPE trends vary regionally (see also Taszarek et al., 2021b). The regional heterogeneity of CAPE trends is primarily due to changing moisture availability, [due to CAPE's dependence on saturation](#). In areas where surface-level [moisture-relative humidity](#) is decreasing the most (France, Iberian Peninsula, Eastern Europe; see also Brogli et al., 2019, for a future climate analysis), CAPE is stable or decreasing. Where [moisture-availability-relative humidity](#) either increases (Dinaric Alps) or decreases only slightly (Alta-Italia, [Adria, Slavic](#), Central Europe), CAPE increases more robustly. Alta-Italia experiences the strongest positive trend, in agreement with studies highlighting the strongest observed convective trends in Northern Italy (Battaglioli et al., 2023; Taszarek et al., 2021a).




Figure 9. Decadal trends in CAPE, 2m temperature and 2m relative humidity, insignificant areas at $p \leq 0.05$ are hatched

Additionally, we calculate [linear](#) trends of temperature and geopotential height, 2m temperature, and 2m relative humidity during convective outbreaks (see Fig. 10). For temperature- and [humidity-limited-saturation-limited](#) regions, a strengthening of the upstream ridge relative to the convection is evident. There may also be a broadening of the ridge. The approaching trough either remains neutral or deepens slightly. This behaviour is in agreement with the corresponding temperature trends, which

<u>Region</u>	<u>CIX</u> <u>% of days decade⁻¹</u>	<u>CAPE</u> <u>J kg⁻¹ decade⁻¹</u>	<u>t2m</u> <u>°C decade⁻¹</u>	<u>r2m</u> <u>% decade⁻¹</u>
<u>BE</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>15.1</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>-1.24</u>
<u>CE</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>23.9</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>-0.99</u>
<u>SL</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>39.5</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>-0.79</u>
<u>EE</u>	<u>-0.24</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>-1.80</u>
<u>WM</u>	<u>0.46</u>	<u>21.3</u>	<u>0.46</u>	<u>-1.61</u>
<u>AL</u>	<u>1.69</u>	<u>83.1</u>	<u>0.54</u>	<u>-0.97</u>
<u>CM</u>	<u>0.66</u>	<u>71.3</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>-1.09</u>
<u>BA</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>31.5</u>	<u>0.43</u>	<u>-0.89</u>

Table 3. Climatological trends (1980-2023) in CIX, CAPE, t2m, and r2m for the different regions

are largely positive ~~downstream to the east~~ of convection and neutral in the area of convection, resulting in a stronger overall temperature gradient.

Temperature-limited regions have a neutral moisture trend and almost no warming signal in the area of convection, maintaining the limitations on climatologically typical instability. ~~Humidity-limited~~ ~~Saturation-limited~~ regions show a warming trend in addition to a ~~pronounced drying~~ ~~drying in the vicinity of the target region (especially Eastern Europe)~~, amplifying the ~~humidity saturation~~ limitation. Climatologically, the convective index slightly decreases in Eastern Europe, indicating the less-favourable convective conditions.

Convectively favourable regions have experienced only small changes to the synoptic situation, aside from a general increase in geopotential height related to the overall warming.

Overall, the changes in convective frequency are well-aligned with changes in the climatology of temperature, moisture, and instability. We conclude that the primary driver of the trend is not owed to changes in dynamical patterns, emphasised by the fact that the dynamical patterns do not change greatly over time, aligning with the results of Ghasemifard et al. (2024), who show European hail trends are largely independent of large-scale weather trends.

4 Summary and Discussion

In summary, our analyses show three different types of large-scale drivers for regional SCO (severe convective outbreaks): temperature-limited types, ~~humidity-limited~~ ~~saturation-limited~~ types, and convectively favourable types. The most archetypal region for each class are ~~the Benelux area~~ ~~Western Europe~~ for temperature-limitation, Eastern Europe for ~~humidity-limitation~~ ~~saturation-limitation~~ and Northern Italy for convectively favourable. Central Europe falls into the temperature limited group, while the Slavic area has both hallmarks of ~~humidity-saturation-~~ and temperature-limitation. The ~~Hellenic Peninsula mostly~~ ~~Balkan Peninsula is rather convectively favourable, but also~~ shows characteristics of ~~humidity-limitationsaturation-limitation~~, albeit not as strongly as Eastern Europe, which is further removed from maritime moisture sources. The ~~Adriatic-Central~~




Figure 10. Long-term trends (1980-2023) of geopotential height, 2m temperature, and 2m relative humidity during convective outbreaks. Hatching indicates non-significant areas.

Mediterranean is quite favourable for convection, though not quite as strongly as Alta-Italia. Finally, the Western Mediterranean exhibits characteristics of temperature-limitation, while being generally more favourable for convection.

Temperature-limited SCO are characterized by strong perturbations in the mid-level geopotential height, lying on the western flank a pronounced ridge and at the leading edge of an approaching trough. At the surface, they are accompanied by strong positive temperature anomalies, extending from the area of SCO into the downstream ridge. Driven by the large-scale weather situation, strong shallow soil moisture gradients are present. These gradients have been shown to locally increase shear, due to

amplified temperature gradients causing convergence in the area (Barton et al., 2025). While soil moisture is not the primary driver of shear here, the co-occurrence of strong gradients in soil moisture, 2m temperature, and strong mid-level flow indicates an amplification of convection-favouring factors. The presence of a hot and dry area upstream of low-level flow (generally to the east of the SCO) is also relevant for the formation of an elevated mixed layer (Schultz et al., 2025). While transient (50%) and persistent (50%) events are associated with the same synoptic patterns, the synoptic patterns are more pronounced for persistent events, and the propagation speed of patterns stalls around the onset of convection, indicating stationarity.

Humidity-limited Saturation-limited SCO are associated with similar characteristics as temperature-limited SCO, albeit with weaker amplitudes in the 2m temperature, geopotential height, and soil moisture anomalies. The anomaly in 800 hPa specific humidity, however, is of the same magnitude as in the temperature-limited regions, stressing the importance of sufficient moisture in a climatologically rather dry area. Moreover, 2m relative humidity is consistently positive in the area of convection, with transitions towards low relative humidity anomalies lying further eastward. The majority (~ 60%) of all events are persistent events. The 2m relative humidity anomaly is stronger for persistent events and extends further upstream in the days leading up to an SCO, and persisting in the region days after SCO onset. Transient events show a smaller positive 2m relative humidity anomaly and no persistence.

Convectively favourable SCO ~~overall have are tied to overall~~ weak synoptic anomalies, as the regions they occur in ~~climatologically have very favourable conditions are climatologically very favourable~~ for convection. Conditions tend to be warmer and moister than normal, but at smaller magnitudes than in the other regions. Transient SCO also constitute the minority here (~30%), they are associated with a cold anomaly following the SCO, preventing further widespread convection. Persistent SCO, however, show no particular sign of persisting anomalous conditions, rather a return to the already favourable mean state.

Figure 11 summarizes the identified features that are at play in all regions, but with differing magnitude.




Figure 11. Schematic of large-scale drivers of convection over Europe

Especially the processes relevant in temperature-limited regions show great similarity to the framework presented in Doswell and Bosart (2001), with severe convection being most likely in the prefrontal zone, in between an upper-level upstream trough and a downstream ridge. We highlight the importance of changes to the instability profile in these situations, where Doswell and Bosart (2001) focus on the amplification of deep-layer and low-level shear for tornado formation. These conceptual similarities ~~highlight~~emphasize that while we focus on regional differences in Europe, the identified processes are applicable beyond this regional limitation, and similar synoptic situations can be expected to enable SCO in appropriate regions globally.

Trend analyses show that the identified large-scale drivers do not change fundamentally in the 40-year period analysed here. While the ridge and warm anomaly downstream of an SCO tend to increase in temperature and area, the overall synoptic situation remains similar. The underlying warming and regional drying trends are consistent with trends in CAPE and frequency trends in CIX (convective index). As proposed by Ghasemifard et al. (2024), this indicates that convective trends are governed by the background climate, rather than changes in the synoptic drivers.

5 Conclusions

This study provides a European-wide perspective on the synoptic conditions associated with SCO, identifying three main regional patterns: temperature-limited (e.g., ~~Benelux~~Western and Central Europe), moisture-limited (e.g., Eastern Europe and the ~~Hellenic~~Balkan Peninsula), and convectively favourable regions (e.g., Alta-Italia and the ~~Adriatic~~Central Mediterranean). In temperature-limited regions, strong synoptic disturbances and elevated mixed layers support the build-up of instability. In contrast, in ~~moisture-limited~~saturation-limited regions weaker synoptic perturbations in tandem with anomalously moist low-level conditions are important. Convectively favourable regions experience more frequent SCO under near-climatological conditions with slight positive temperature and moisture anomalies. Across all regions, SCO often occur in the transition zone between a trough and a ridge, where positive temperature and moisture anomalies and moderate shear support convective organization. Trend analyses show no clear changes in synoptic drivers for the different regions, indicating that the trend in CIX is related to changes in background climate, rather than changing synoptic patterns.

This analysis of the prevalent synoptic situation during SCO in different regions of Europe provides much-needed context to better understand the regional processes leading to SCO. While previous studies have assessed regions individually, this comprehensive analysis of all regions within the same dataset allows for a quantitative comparison of regional SCO occurrence and the involved synoptic processes. The frequency of SCO differs considerably between the regions, owed to their differing climate regimes. The climatological conditions require different perturbations to reach sufficient temperature and humidity levels to obtain instability. Despite the regional differences, the qualitatively similar patterns, as well as similarities to conceptual frameworks from the USA (see Section 4), indicate that the fundamental processes driving SCO are applicable beyond the regions analysed here.

This type of general synoptic framework for convective-scale weather events offers new opportunities in the applications of predictability and the understanding of climate processes. In particular, predictability is strongly related to the spatial scale of

weather phenomena, with synoptic-scale patterns having much greater predictability than local convective precipitation. Leveraging systematic synoptic signatures as indicators of SCO offers promising avenues for future research dedicated to improving forecasts and warnings for severe convection. Likewise, synoptic-scale processes are resolved and represented in climate simulations, especially global ensemble simulations, which currently do not support km-scale, convection-permitting resolutions.

385 Establishing a reliable synoptic proxy can be an alternative to current analyses, which are typically based on CAPE-shear proxies and heavily depend on the available spatio-temporal resolution. While more work is required to fully establish such a synoptic proxy and test its robustness in different applications, the scientific outlook is promising on temporal scales of both weather forecasting and climate change analysis.

Code availability. The code is accessible via the github repository https://github.com/feldmann-m/EU_conv.

390 **6 Variability**

~~To obtain the relative variability of geopotential height, we compute the standard deviation of geopotential height over the entire time period, as well as only during convective events. We then normalise the event variability with the overall variability and express the deviations in %. Positive anomalies experience more variability than normal, whereas negative areas have less variability than normal.~~

395 ~~Relative variability of z500 anomaly in each region~~

6 Trends

~~To complement the climatological trends, we apply a linear trend fit during convective events. We here provide geopotential height, 2m temperature and 2m relative humidity, to provide the variables necessary to investigate instability and changes in large-scale dynamics.~~

400 ~~Trends of geopotential height, 2m temperature and 2m relative humidity during convective outbreaks, hatching indicates non-significant areas~~

Author contributions. M.F. and O.M. designed the study. M.F. conducted the analyses, produced the visualizations and wrote the manuscript. O.M. and D.D. advised M.F. during the project. All coauthors revised and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests. M.F. and O.M. are in positions financed by the Mobiliar Insurance Group. This played no role in any aspect of this study. D.D. is a member of the editorial board of Weather and Climate Dynamics.

405

Acknowledgements. We thank Shira Raveh-Rubin, Lechi Magaritz-Ronen, Edgar Dolores-Tesillos, and Duncan Pappert for the insightful discussions on large-scale dynamics of cyclones and blockings. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 847456).

We acknowledge the use of ChatGPT for code debugging and minor text revisions in the manuscript.

- Arnould, G., Montmerle, T., Rottner, L., and Moisselin, J.-M.: An object-based method to study the life cycle of mesoscale convective systems and their environment from cloud-resolving AROME-France simulations, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, n/a, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4919>, _eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.4919>, 2025.
- Augenstein, M.: Variabilität, Trends und serielles Clustering schwerer Gewitterereignisse im Bezug zu großräumigen atmosphärischen Bedingungen, <https://doi.org/10.5445/IR/1000179408>, 2025.
- Bagaglini, L., Ingrosso, R., and Miglietta, M. M.: Synoptic patterns and mesoscale precursors of Italian tornadoes, *Atmospheric Research*, 253, 105 503, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105503>, 2021.
- Barnes, S. and Newton, C.: Thunderstorms in the synoptic setting, *Thunderstorm morphology and dynamics*, 1, 1986.
- Barnes, S. L.: Oklahoma Thunderstorms on 29–30 April 1970. Part I: Morphology of a Tornadic Storm, *Monthly Weather Review*, 106, 673–684, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1978\)106<0673:OTOAPI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1978)106<0673:OTOAPI>2.0.CO;2), publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Monthly Weather Review, 1978.
- Barras, H., Martius, O., Nisi, L., Schroeer, K., Hering, A., and Germann, U.: Multi-day hail clusters and isolated hail days in Switzerland – large-scale flow conditions and precursors, *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 2, 1167–1185, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2-1167-2021>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2021.
- Barton, E. J., Klein, C., Taylor, C. M., Marsham, J., Parker, D. J., Maybee, B., Feng, Z., and Leung, L. R.: Soil moisture gradients strengthen mesoscale convective systems by increasing wind shear, *Nature Geoscience*, 18, 330–336, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-025-01666-8>, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2025.
- Battaglioli, F., Groenemeijer, P., Púčik, T., Taszarek, M., Ulbrich, U., and Rust, H.: Modeled Multidecadal Trends of Lightning and (Very) Large Hail in Europe and North America (1950–2021), *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 62, 1627–1653, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-22-0195.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 2023.
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y.: Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 57, 289–300, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x>, _eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x>, 1995.
- Bowen, S., Kerschner, B., and Zheng Ng, J.: Natural catastrophe and climate report 2023, Gallagher Re, https://www.ajg.com/gallagherre/-/media/files/gallagher/gallagherre/news-and-insights/2024/january/natural_catastrophe_and_climate_report_2023.pdf, 2024.
- Brogli, R., Sørland, S. L., Kröner, N., and Schär, C.: Causes of future Mediterranean precipitation decline depend on the season, *Environmental Research Letters*, 14, 114 017, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4438>, publisher: IOP Publishing, 2019.
- Brooks, H.: Proximity soundings for severe convection for Europe and the United States from reanalysis data, *Atmospheric Research*, 93, 546–553, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.10.005>, 2009.
- Cui, R., Thurnherr, I., Velasquez, P., Brennan, K., Leclair, M., Mazzoleni, A., Schmid, T., Wernli, H., and Schär, C.: A European Hail and Lightning Climatology From an 11-Year Kilometer-Scale Regional Climate Simulation, *Authorea Preprints*, <https://essopenarchive.org/doi/pdf/10.22541/essoar.173120326.69513564>, publisher: Authorea, 2024.
- De Martin, F., Davolio, S., Miglietta, M. M., and Levizzani, V.: A Conceptual Model for the Development of Tornadoes in the Complex Orography of the Po Valley, *Monthly Weather Review*, 152, 1357–1377, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-23-0222.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Monthly Weather Review, 2024.

- De Martin, F., Manzato, A., Carlon, N., Setvák, M., and Miglietta, M. M.: Dynamic and statistical analysis of giant hail environments in northeast Italy, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 151, e4945, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4945>, <https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.4945>, 2025.
- 450 Doswell, C. A.: Severe Convective Storms—An Overview, in: *Severe Convective Storms*, edited by Doswell, C. A., pp. 1–26, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, ISBN 978-1-935704-06-5, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-935704-06-5_1, 2001.
- Doswell, C. A. and Bosart, L. F.: Extratropical Synoptic-Scale Processes and Severe Convection, in: *Severe Convective Storms*, edited by Doswell, C. A., pp. 27–69, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, ISBN 978-1-935704-06-5, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-935704-06-5_2, 2001.
- 455 Eisenbach, S.: Hail record broken again – 19cm hailstone confirmed in Italy | European Severe Storms Laboratory, <https://www.essl.org/cms/hail-record-broken-again-19cm-hailstone-confirmed-in-italy/>, 2023.
- Emanuel, K.: On the Physics of High CAPE, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 80, 2669–2683, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-23-0060.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 2023.
- Enno, S.-E., Sugier, J., Alber, R., and Seltzer, M.: Lightning flash density in Europe based on 10 years of ATDnet data, *Atmospheric Research*, 235, 104 769, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.104769>, 2020.
- 460 Faranda, D., Alvarez-Castro, M. C., Ginesta, M., Coppola, E., and Pons, F. M. E.: Heavy precipitations in October 2024 South-Eastern Spain DANA mostly strengthened by human-driven climate change, Tech. rep., ClimaMeter, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, CNRS, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14052042>, 2024.
- Feldmann, M., Germann, U., Gabella, M., and Berne, A.: A characterisation of Alpine mesocyclone occurrence, *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 2, 1225–1244, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2-1225-2021>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2021.
- 465 Fery, L. and Faranda, D.: Analysing 23 years of warm-season derechos in France: a climatology and investigation of synoptic and environmental changes, *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 5, 439–461, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-5-439-2024>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2024.
- Froidevaux, P., Schlemmer, L., Schmidli, J., Langhans, W., and Schär, C.: Influence of the Background Wind on the Local Soil Moisture–Precipitation Feedback, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 71, 782–799, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0180.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 2014.
- 470 Ghasemifard, H., Groenemeijer, P., Battaglioli, F., and Púčik, T.: Do changing circulation types raise the frequency of summertime thunderstorms and large hail in Europe?, *Environmental Research: Climate*, 3, 015 008, <https://doi.org/10.1088/2752-5295/ad22ec>, publisher: IOP Publishing, 2024.
- 475 Giordani, A., Kunz, M., Bedka, K. M., Punge, H. J., Paccagnella, T., Pavan, V., Cerenzia, I. M. L., and Di Sabatino, S.: Characterizing hail-prone environments using convection-permitting reanalysis and overshooting top detections over south-central Europe, *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 24, 2331–2357, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-24-2331-2024>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2024.
- Guillod, B. P., Orlowsky, B., Miralles, D. G., Teuling, A. J., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Reconciling spatial and temporal soil moisture effects on afternoon rainfall, *Nature Communications*, 6, 6443, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7443>, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2015.
- 480 Hamed, K. H. and Ramachandra Rao, A.: A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data, *Journal of Hydrology*, 204, 182–196, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(97\)00125-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00125-X), 1998.
- Hartigan, J. A. and Wong, M. A.: Algorithm AS 136: A K-Means Clustering Algorithm, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 28, 100–108, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346830>, publisher: [Wiley, Royal Statistical Society], 1979.

- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146, 1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3803](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3803), 2020.
- Hochman, A., Messori, G., Quinting, J. F., Pinto, J. G., and Grams, C. M.: Do Atlantic-European Weather Regimes Physically Exist?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2021GL095574, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095574>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2021GL095574](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2021GL095574), 2021.
- Hoepe, P.: Trends in weather related disasters – Consequences for insurers and society, *Weather and Climate Extremes*, pp. 70–79, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.10.002>, 2015.
- Hussain, M. M. and Mahmud, I.: pyMannKendall: a python package for non parametric Mann Kendall family of trend tests., *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4, 1556, <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01556>, 2019.
- Kahraman, A., Kendon, E. J., and Fowler, H. J.: Climatology of severe hail potential in Europe based on a convection-permitting simulation, *Climate Dynamics*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-024-07227-w>, 2024.
- Kopp, J., Schröer, K., Schwierz, C., Hering, A., Germann, U., and Martius, O.: The summer 2021 Switzerland hailstorms: weather situation, major impacts and unique observational data, *Weather*, 78, 184–191, <https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.4306>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wea.4306](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wea.4306), 2023.
- Lewis, M. W. and Gray, S. L.: Categorisation of synoptic environments associated with mesoscale convective systems over the UK, *Atmospheric Research*, 97, 194–213, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.04.001>, 2010.
- Liu, W., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Xu, L., and Xiao, W.: The influence of soil moisture on convective activity: a review, *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 149, 221–232, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-022-04046-z>, 2022.
- Manzato, A., Serafin, S., Miglietta, M. M., Kirshbaum, D., and Schulz, W.: A pan-Alpine climatology of lightning and convective initiation, *Monthly Weather Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-21-0149.1>, place: Boston MA, USA Publisher: American Meteorological Society, 2022.
- Mohr, S., Wandel, J., Lenggenhager, S., and Martius, O.: Relationship between atmospheric blocking and warm-season thunderstorms over western and central Europe, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 145, 3040–3056, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3603>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3603](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3603), 2019.
- Mohr, S., Wilhelm, J., Wandel, J., Kunz, M., Portmann, R., Punge, H. J., Schmidberger, M., Quinting, J. F., and Grams, C. M.: The role of large-scale dynamics in an exceptional sequence of severe thunderstorms in Europe May–June 2018, *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 1, 325–348, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-1-325-2020>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2020.
- Morgenstern, D., Stucke, I., Mayr, G. J., Zeileis, A., and Simon, T.: Thunderstorm environments in Europe, *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 4, 489–509, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-4-489-2023>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2023.
- Morris, S. M.: The Spanish plume - testing the forecaster’s nerve, *Meteorological Magazine*, 115, 349 – 357, ISBN: 551.509.33:551.509.5, 1986.
- Nisi, L., Hering, A., Germann, U., and Martius, O.: A 15-year hail streak climatology for the Alpine region, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 144, 1429–1449, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3286>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3286](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3286), 2018.

- Piper, D. A., Kunz, M., Allen, J. T., and Mohr, S.: Investigation of the temporal variability of thunderstorms in central and western Europe and the relation to large-scale flow and teleconnection patterns, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 145, 3644–3666, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3647>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3647](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3647), 2019.
- 525 Portal, A., Angelidou, A., Rousseau-Rizzi, R., Raveh-Rubin, S., Givon, Y., Catto, J. L., Battaglioli, F., Taszarek, M., Flaounas, E., and Martius, O.: Convective environments within Mediterranean cyclones, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.02590>, arXiv:2412.02590 [physics], 2024.
- Rädler, A. T., Groenemeijer, P. H., Faust, E., Sausen, R., and Púčik, T.: Frequency of severe thunderstorms across Europe expected to increase in the 21st century due to rising instability, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 2, 1–5, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-019-0083-7>, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2019.
- 530 Schultz, D. M., Young, M. V., and Kirshbaum, D. J.: The “Spanish Plume” Elevated Mixed Layer: Review of its Use and Misuse within the Scientific Literature, *Monthly Weather Review*, -1, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-24-0139.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: *Monthly Weather Review*, 2025.
- Stouffer, S. A., Suchman, E. A., Devinney, L. C., Star, S. A., and Williams Jr., R. M.: The American soldier: Adjustment during army life. (Studies in social psychology in World War II), Vol. 1, The American soldier: Adjustment during army life. (Studies in social psychology in World War II), Vol. 1, Princeton Univ. Press, Oxford, England, pages: xii, 599, 1949.
- 535 Taszarek, M., Brooks, H. E., and Czernecki, B.: Sounding-Derived Parameters Associated with Convective Hazards in Europe, *Monthly Weather Review*, 145, 1511–1528, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-16-0384.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: *Monthly Weather Review*, 2017.
- 540 Taszarek, M., Allen, J., Púčik, T., Groenemeijer, P., Czernecki, B., Kolendowicz, L., Lagouvardos, K., Kotroni, V., and Schulz, W.: A Climatology of Thunderstorms across Europe from a Synthesis of Multiple Data Sources, *Journal of Climate*, 32, 1813–1837, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0372.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: *Journal of Climate*, 2019.
- Taszarek, M., Kendzierski, S., and Pilgaj, N.: Hazardous weather affecting European airports: Climatological estimates of situations with limited visibility, thunderstorm, low-level wind shear and snowfall from ERA5, *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 28, 100243, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100243>, 2020.
- 545 Taszarek, M., Allen, J. T., Brooks, H. E., Pilgaj, N., and Czernecki, B.: Differing Trends in United States and European Severe Thunderstorm Environments in a Warming Climate, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 102, E296–E322, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0004.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 2021a.
- Taszarek, M., Allen, J. T., Marchio, M., and Brooks, H. E.: Global climatology and trends in convective environments from ERA5 and raw-sonde data, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 4, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00190-x>, number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2021b.
- Tuel, A., Steinfeld, D., Ali, S. M., Sprenger, M., and Martius, O.: Large-Scale Drivers of Persistent Extreme Weather During Early Summer 2021 in Europe, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022GL099624, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099624>, [_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL099624](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL099624), 2022.
- 555 Twardosz, R., Walanus, A., and Guzik, I.: Warming in Europe: Recent Trends in Annual and Seasonal temperatures, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 178, 4021–4032, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-021-02860-6>, 2021.
- Ventura, V., Paciorek, C. J., and Risbey, J. S.: Controlling the Proportion of Falsely Rejected Hypotheses when Conducting Multiple Tests with Climatological Data, *Journal of Climate*, 17, 4343–4356, <https://doi.org/10.1175/3199.1>, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: *Journal of Climate*, 2004.

- 560 Wapler, K. and James, P.: Thunderstorm occurrence and characteristics in Central Europe under different synoptic conditions, *Atmospheric Research*, 158-159, 231–244, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.07.011>, publisher: Elsevier B.V., 2014.
- Weusthoff, T.: Weather Type Classification at MeteoSwiss, *Arbeitsberichte der MeteoSchweiz*, p. 46pp, 2011.
- Wilhelm, J., Mohr, S., Punge, H. J., Mühr, B., Schmidberger, M., Daniell, J. E., Bedka, K. M., and Kunz, M.: Severe thunderstorms with large hail across Germany in June 2019, *Weather*, 76, 228–237, <https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.3886>, _eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wea.3886>, 2021.
- 565 Wilhelm, L., Schwierz, C., Schröer, K., Taszarek, M., and Martius, O.: Reconstructing hail days in Switzerland with statistical models (1959–2022), *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 24, 3869–3894, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-24-3869-2024>, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2024.