

The Destination Earth digital twin for climate change adaptation

Francisco J. Doblas-Reyes^{1,2}, Jenni Kontkanen³, Irina Sandu¹³, Mario Acosta², Mohammed Hussam Al Turjman⁴, Ivan Alsina-Ferrer², Miguel Andrés-Martínez⁴, [Costanza Anerdi⁹](#), Leo Arriola², Marvin Axness², Marc Batlle Martín², Peter Bauer⁵, Tobias Becker⁶, Daniel Beltrán², Sebastian Beyer⁴, Hendryk Bockelmann⁷, Pierre-Antoine Bretonnière², Sebastien Cabaniols⁸, Silvia Caprioli⁹, Miguel Castrillo², Aparna Chandrasekar^{10,g}, Suvarchal Cheedela⁴, Victor Correal², Emanuele Danovaro⁶, Paolo Davini¹¹, Jussi Enkovaara³, Claudia Frauen⁷, Barbara Früh¹², Aina Gaya Àvila², Paolo Ghinassi¹¹, Rohit Ghosh⁴, Supriyo Ghosh², Iker González², Katherine Grayson², Matthew Griffith¹³, Ioan Hadade⁶, Christopher Haine⁸, Carl Hartick¹², Utz-Uwe Haus⁸, Shane Hearne², Heikki Järvinen¹⁴, Bernat Jiménez^{2,a}, Amal John⁴, Marlin Juchem^{12,b}, Thomas Jung⁴, Jessica Kegel⁴, Matthias Kelbling¹⁰, Kai Keller², Bruno Kinoshita², Theresa Kiszler³, Daniel Klocke⁵, Lukas Kluft⁵, Nikolay Koldunov⁴, Tobias Kölling⁵, Joonas Kolstela¹⁵, Luis Kornbluh⁵, Sergey Kosukhin⁵, Aleksander Lacima-Nadolnik², Jeisson Javier Leal Rojas¹⁰, Jonni Lehtiranta¹⁵, Tuomas Lunttila³, Anna Luoma³, Pekka Manninen³, Alexey Medvedev², Sebastian Milinski⁶, Ali Omar Abdelazim Mohammed⁸, Sebastian Müller¹⁰, Devaraju Naryanappa³, Natalia Nazarova⁹, Sami Niemelä¹⁵, Bimochan Niraula^{4,c}, Henrik Nortamo³, Aleksi Nummelin¹⁵, Matteo Nurisso¹¹, Pablo Ortega², Stella Paronuzzi^{2,f}, Xabier Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia⁶, Charles Pelletier⁶, Carlos Peña², Suraj Polade¹⁵, Himansu Pradhan^{4,c}, Rommel Quintanilla², Tiago Quintino¹³, Thomas Rackow⁶, Jouni Räisänen¹⁴, Maqsood Mubarak Rajput⁴, René Redler⁵, Balthasar Reuter⁶, Nuno Rocha Monteiro², Francesc Roura-Adserias², Silva Ruppert⁴, Susan Sayed¹², Reiner Schnur⁵, Tanvi Sharma^{4,d}, Dmitry Sidorenko⁴, Outi Sievi-Korte³, Albert Soret², Christian Steger¹², Bjorn Stevens⁵, Jan Streffing⁴, Jaleena Sunny^{4,e}, Luigi Tenorio², Stephan Thober¹⁰, Ulf Tigerstedt³, Oriol Tinto², Juha Tonttila³, Heikki Tuomenvirta¹⁵, Lauri Tuppi¹⁴, Ginka Van Thielen², Emanuele Vitali³, Jost von Hardenberg⁹, Ingo Wagner², Nils Wedi¹³, Jan Wehner⁴, Sven Willner⁵, Xavier Yepes-Arbós², Florian Ziemen⁷, and Janos Zimmermann⁷

¹ ICREA, Barcelona, Spain

² Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona, Spain

³ CSC – IT Center for Science, Espoo, Finland

28 4 Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (AWI), Bremerhaven, Germany
29 5 Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
30 6 European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Bonn, Germany
31 7 German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ), Hamburg, Germany
32 8 Hewlett Packard France SAS, Puteaux, France
33 9 Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy
34 10 Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig, Germany
35 11 Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Scienze dell'Atmosfera e del Clima (CNR-ISAC), Torino, Italy
36 12 Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany
37 13 European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Reading, UK
38 14 Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research, University of Helsinki, Finland
39 15 Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

40
41 a now at: Instituto de Geociencias (IGEO), CSIC-UCM, Madrid, Spain
42 b now at: German Aerospace Center (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
43 c now at: German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ), Hamburg, Germany
44 d now at: Siemens AG, Berlin, Germany
45 e now at: Swiss Seismological Service (SED) – ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
46 f now at: Mercator Ocean International (MOi), Toulouse, France
47 [g now at: Bundesamt für Gewässerkunde, Koblenz, Germany](#)

48 *Correspondence to:* Francisco J. Doblas-Reyes (francisco.doblas-reyes@bsc.es)

49 **Abstract.** The Climate Change Adaptation Digital Twin (Climate DT), developed as part of the European Commission's
50 Destination Earth (DestinE) initiative, [sets up an operational system for producing multi-decadal, multi-model global climate](#)
51 [projections and translating climate data into climate impact information to support adaptation efforts](#) ~~is a pioneering effort to~~
52 ~~build an operational climate information system in~~ [in support of adaptation](#). This system ~~delivers data~~ [produces global multi-](#)
53 ~~decadal climate simulations~~ with local granularity [at spatial resolutions of 5–10 km and hourly outputs, leading to](#) ~~providing~~
54 [globally consistent](#) information at scales that matter for decision-making. ~~The Climate DT delivers multi-decadal climate~~
55 ~~simulations at spatial resolutions of 5–10 km, with hourly outputs, offering globally consistent, frequently updated data. It also~~
56 [enables the testing of what-if scenarios such as high-resolution storylines, which are physically consistent global simulations](#)
57 [of extreme events under different climate conditions and provide contextual insights to support concrete adaptation decisions.](#)
58 ~~The km-scale simulations address some limitations of current climate models, improving local granularity and reducing some~~
59 ~~longstanding biases. They,~~ [supporting the generation of](#) more equitable (understood as accessible and relevant across regions)
60 ~~and credible~~ climate information. The Climate DT is built on cutting-edge infrastructure, expert collaboration, and digital
61 innovation. It [is designed to support real-time](#), on-demand responses to policy questions, with quantified uncertainty. It [will](#)
62 ~~fosters~~ interactivity by allowing users to influence simulation design, model output [portfolios](#), and application [integrations](#)
63 through co-design. AI-based tools, including emulators and chatbots, are being developed [in parallel](#) to enhance [flexible](#)
64 ~~scenario exploration and ease~~ climate information access. Sector-specific applications are embedded in the system to
65 [synchronously translate climate data into](#) ~~generate~~ tailored climate-impact indicators, with examples [provided](#) for energy, water,
66 and forest management. The applications have been co-designed with informed users. ~~An important innovation is the use of~~

high-resolution storylines. These are physically consistent simulations of extreme events under different climate conditions that provide contextual insights to support concrete adaptation decisions. A unified, cross-platform workflow ~~across platforms~~ defines the orchestration of all components, which is handled by a single workflow manager and relies on containerised components, facilitating ~~ensuring~~ automation, ~~containerisation for~~ portability, maintainability, and traceability. ~~The unified~~ data management is unified through the use of standard grids (HEALPix), ~~with data delivered at high temporal frequency (hourly)~~, ensuring consistency and easing ~~data~~ the usability under a strict governance policy of the data. ~~Data is delivered using standard grids (HEALPix) at high frequency (hourly) and follows a strict governance policy.~~ Streaming enables real-time ~~data use by of the data consumers use~~ and unlocks access to the unprecedented data wealth produced by the high-resolution simulations. Monitoring tools provide real-time quality control of data and model outputs and enable continuous assessment of the realism of the climate simulations during Climate DT operation ~~Monitoring tools provide real-time quality control of both data and models and climate provide diagnostics during the Climate DT operation.~~ The compute-intensive system is powered by world-class supercomputing capabilities through a strategic partnership with the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (EuroHPC). Despite high computational demands, the Climate DT sets a new benchmark for delivering equitable, credible, and actionable climate information. ~~In this way it~~ complements existing initiatives like CMIP, CORDEX, and national and European climate services, and aligns with global climate science goals to support ~~for~~ climate adaptation.

1 Introduction

Providing reliable climate information is essential for enabling effective climate change adaptation (Orlove, 2022). The latest report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Group I (IPCC, 2021) highlights major gaps in our ~~understanding and~~ ability to simulate regional and local climate change and to deliver climate information that supports decision-making (Collins et al., 2024; Shaw et al., 2024). It also emphasises the challenge of ensuring that the information is salient¹, equitable, and credible for diverse audiences (Doblas-Reyes et al., 2021).

With globally ~~annually~~-averaged annual-mean temperatures, for the first time, exceeding 1.5 °C global warming level threshold^{2,3} set in the Paris Agreement (Betts et al., 2023) and with the profound relevance of climate change impacts on both the economy and society (Kotz et al., 2022), ~~new challenges emerge for the sources of~~ future climate information at ~~all the~~ scales where ~~the impacts of climate change~~ are already observed ~~and adaptation~~ is needed. ~~This~~ Solutions to these challenges ~~are~~ required to move from plausibility assessments of changes in local and regional climate (e.g., Collins et al., 2024) to comprehensive climate adaptation plans and targeted measures at both large (e.g., EUCRA, 2024) and small scales (e.g., Schubert et al., 2024). The urgency for ~~a new approaches~~ to ~~deliver~~ provide climate information is further motivated by evolving

¹ Meaning the quality of being particularly noticeable or important.

² https://climate.metoffice.cloud/current_warming.html

³ <https://climate.copernicus.eu/june-2024-marks-12th-month-global-temperatures-15degc-above-pre-industrial-levels>

policy, among others, policy frameworks like the European Green Deal⁴, which aims to guide Europe toward a carbon-neutral, resilient economy. For instance, a cornerstone of the Green Deal is the creation of accessible and interoperable infrastructures that facilitate climate-related decision-making for adaptation challenges.

For climate information sources ~~to estimate future climate~~ to be salient, equitable, and credible, they ~~needse sources have~~ to consider a wide range of spatial scales, be timely and innovative, and be co-produced with decision-makers to adequately address societally-relevant questions (e.g., Pitman et al., 2022; Doblas-Reyes et al., 2024). These requirements have unveiled an important climate-change information gap for effective climate adaptation strategies to be designed and implemented successfully:

- Equitability: Climate information for adaptation must be provided at ~~various spatial scales, and particularly at the scales~~ where the impacts of climate change are observed and expected, and are needed globally to support decision making across a broad range of climate-sensitive sectors, whenever this is technically possible (Schubert et al., 2024); to align with the specific decision making needs. Given the broad range of climate sensitive sectors, climate information is required globally, although it is expected toolways matching the spatial scale of the decision whenever this is technically possible (Schubert et al., 2024). Ensuring equitable access to climate information ~~by all decision-makers~~ (Hazeleger et al., 2024) therefore requires globally-consistent climate information sources with the highest possible resolution. ~~CurrentBecause~~ global climate simulations typically do not provide information at spatial resolutions tailored to support adaptation and mitigation decisions ~~and at local or regional scale, they~~ are usually complemented with local and regional simulations efforts (e.g., Soares et al., 2024). Ensembles of regional simulations often require investment and usually take time to cover every region, resulting in infrequent updates ~~leave some regions out, and are~~ must be continuously updated in a laborious process, and illustrating the ~~dependenciees and structural imbalances and~~ power relations that affect ~~between developed countries and~~ regions that do not have the resources to produce simulations for their own area. This limits the equitable access to decision-relevant climate information. The interest in more frequent updates has been formulated by some existing initiatives (EUCRA, 2024).
- Timeliness and innovation: Climate projections are currently updated in multi-year cycles as part of cycles spanning several yearsthrough coordinated community exercises during which the research community undertakes model developments and coordinates climate experiments, to align withaligned with the preparation of IPCC assessment reports (e.g., Eyring et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2024). While these efforts feed in highly policy-relevant. The research community regularly contributes to highly policy relevant reports⁵ as well.-, they are not generally structured to provide continuous, on-demand climate information However, The data production cycles take between five and seven years to complete because the community that has been made responsible for these projections is often not structured or funded to address such requirements on a continuous and on-demand basis (Stevens, 2024), with many

⁴ https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en

⁵ <https://10insightsclimate.science/>

of those playing a role in the research domain. Moreover, ~~there is a need to~~ ore directly address the closer dialogue with dialogue towards policy needs and integration of is required to by exploiting innovative technologies innovations in digital solutions and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies (Bauer et al., 2023) are required and to make climate information more engaging, accessible, interpretable, understandable, and ultimately actionable (Jones et al., 2024), complementing established initiatives such as. ~~Given the rapid innovations the climate information sector is experiencing, for example in digital solutions and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies (Bauer et al., 2023), climate projections could consider, in addition to the updates rovided by ththe Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP⁶) and the Coordinated Regional Climate Downscaling Experiment (CORDEX⁷), strategies and infrastructures to quickly and efficiently integrate these innovations.~~

- Co-production: Climate-related decisions increasingly rely on ~~are expected to be~~ are based on all available evidence from multiple disciplines, yet decision-makers may require climate information with features that cannot be anticipated by physical climate scientists. However ~~As a result, decision makers at times encounter challenges requiring climate information with features that cannot be foreseen a priori by physical climate scientists. Hence,~~ the absence of regular channels for climate-sensitive sectors to ~~both request timely data sources and~~ influence the design of climate information hinders some effective adaptation efforts. For instance, participatory processes that involve selected decision makers (Baulenas et al., 2023) ~~in discussions about~~ the transformation of climate data into ~~decision-ready~~ climate information can uncover user needs requiring modifications in how climate data is generated and delivered. ~~Climate adaptation decision makers continuously formulate “what if” questions that require timely answers developed with the participation of a heterogeneous set of actors.~~ The fact that this dialogue does not ~~systematically~~ happen ~~more often~~ can be explained by ~~tends to be the result of~~ the relationships established between the research and service communities (Rodrigues and Shepherd, 2022). The need for systematic co-production mechanisms (Bojovic et al., 2021) and the limited ability of ~~difficulties experienced by~~ the physical climate science community to react ~~on time~~ to a variety of decision-making requirements, ~~in spite of the acknowledgement of this need~~ (Jones et al., 2024), ~~can weaken the effective provision of future climate information and limit the diversity of user contexts and values included~~ (Kruk et al., 2017; Fiedler et al., 2021).

Current practice, represented by the ~~international modelling exercises of~~ CMIP and CORDEX international modelling exercises, has demonstrated to be both useful and relevant ~~for the above mentioned purposes~~. However, some of the aspects above have not yet been fully addressed fulfilled (Jakob et al., 2023; Stevens, 2024). While efforts are underway to improve timeliness and co-production in the forthcoming CMIP phase ~~The CMIP community has recently undertaken efforts to improve its timeliness and the use of co-production consider some of them in their next phase~~ (Dunne et al., 2025; Naik et al., 2025),

⁶ <https://wcrp-cmip.org/>

⁷ <https://cordex.org/>

important challenges remain to respond to non-research and operational decision-making needs, although ~~many~~ some challenges remain to adequately respond to non-research needs.

In this paper we present a ~~complementary~~ approach to existing practices for the generation and delivery of future climate projection data ~~that to generate climate projections data for the future, that is complementary to complements current practice of climate projection practices~~ production and delivery and that aims to address challenges identified the aspects listed above.

This approach aims to ~~address the challenges identified above and~~ bridge the gap between ~~the provision of~~ timely, decision-relevant climate information ~~and current climate modelling practices~~ (Hewitt and Stone, 2021). It ~~established an~~ involves, among other things, operationalising⁸ framework for producing multi-decadal climate ~~production and associated impact-sector information, while enabling the exploration of what-if scenarios relevant to climate adaptation across different application domains. This is achieved~~ and delivery within a framework that fosters ~~continuous~~ interactions between data producers and “data consumers” ~~through following~~ an inclusive pathway that ~~fosters~~ includes mutual recognition. A data consumer is any “application” using the climate model data, be it for scientific evaluation and understanding, climate impact and risk assessment, or for policy-making purposes.

A promising way to design and implement the interactions between data producers and data consumers is to co-develop the required systems using the digital twin concept (Wright and Davidson, 2020). Digital twins are replicas of physical assets, processes, and systems using a highly interconnected workflow, ~~enabling including the possibility for~~ interaction, ~~exploration of the ability to address~~ “what-if” questions, and, ~~where when~~ relevant, ~~links to a link to the~~ physical reality. This paper describes the characteristics and novelties of a digital twin for climate change adaptation (Climate DT henceforth) implemented in the framework of the Destination Earth⁹ initiative of the European Union (DestinE henceforth; Sandu, 2024; Hoffmann et al., 2023; Wedi et al., 2025). The paper summarises the ~~achievements of the~~ Climate DT ~~characteristics so far~~ and describes ~~how it aims to support the its capabilities to address the~~ salience, credibility, and equity principles of climate information for adaptation.

2 The Climate DT concept

A digital twin of the climate system targeting adaptation is expected to make use of observations, integrate several climate models to consider uncertainty sources, include applications for climate-sensitive sectors directly connected to the climate models, and provide ~~adequate~~ interfaces to configure the simulations, ~~and their~~ output, ~~and~~ ~~and make the timely interaction with~~ data consumers ~~possible~~. The climate models embedded in the digital twin could be either process-based, ~~to explicitly represent the essential physical processes~~, or ~~based on~~ AI-based, with the ~~requirement of being~~ ~~capacity to be~~ explainable. For the digital twin to be usable and useful, its components, including the climate models and decision-oriented applications,

⁸ Operational practice is understood as ~~the~~ set of processes delivering timely and application-ready data, following quick updating cycles, continuous quality monitoring, using a DevOps methodology, and generating machine learning ready datasets.

⁹ <https://destination-earth.eu/>

should be co-designed and offer full traceability in terms of both documentation and operation. The resulting output must be of sufficient quality (Dee et al., 2024) to support well-informed decisions while the results should be available fast enough for the decisions to be made within acceptable time scales (Wright and Davidson, 2020). To build trust in the digital twin, documentation, verification (~~full~~ traceability of both operation and testing protocols), and validation (comparison with reality whenever possible) procedures must be included. ~~VThe existence of uncertainty means that~~ validation needs to be treated as a statistical process, which in climate is traditionally addressed using multi-model and multi-member ensembles of solutions (Bauer et al., 2021b; Jones et al., 2024) for a number of emission scenarios to address uncertainty. Additionally, a climate digital twin should make use, in a structured, interactive, and iterative manner, of the instruments developed by social sciences for climate adaptation (Tao and Qi, 2019).

This is the concept that inspires the Climate DT (Figure 1). DestinE is a European Union funded initiative launched in 2022, with the aim to build digital replicas of the Earth system by 2030. The initiative is being jointly implemented, under the lead of the European Commission Directorate General CNECT, by three entrusted entities: the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), the European Space Agency (ESA), and the European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT) together with over 100 partner organisations in Europe. The Climate DT ~~hasis~~ beenbeing developed since September 2022 by a ~~strong~~ partnership led by the CSC-IT Center for Science bringing togetherincluding leading climate, weather and supercomputing centres, as well as ~~and~~ academic institutions from six European countries¹⁰. This is done in close collaboration with ECMWF, who is responsible for the implementation of the digital twins. The necessary computational resources are provided through a special access call by the European High-Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (EuroHPC JU¹¹), ~~which oversees the implementation of the network of European pre and exascale computing infrastructures~~.

The Climate DT delivers an operational framework for the regular and on-demand production of multi-decadal global climate simulations and their translation into impact-sector information at high spatial resolution. It is designed to support climate adaptation by enabling the exploration of “what-if” scenarios relevant to decision-making across a range of climate-sensitive sectors. The Climate DT builds on decades of experience from numerical weather prediction and Earth system modelling, extending established operational practices to the climate adaptation timescale. It ~~The Climate DT~~ consists of a number of components integrated into an end-to-end workflow and uses ~~using~~ a co-design approach to deliver climate and impact-sector information. This end-to-end workflow includes ~~It relies on~~ ~~uses~~ three global kilometre-scale (km-scale henceforth) climate models (ICON, Hohenegger et al., 2022; IFS-NEMO and IFS-FESOM, Rackow et al., 2025) that explicitly represent essential physical processes that critically influence the evolution of the climate system. ~~These global models are used to produce~~

¹⁰ The Climate DT team includes personnel from Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (MPI-M), Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (CNR-ISAC), German Climate Computing Centre (DKRZ), National Meteorological Service of Germany (DWD), Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI), Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE), Polytechnic University of Turin (POLITO), Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), and University of Helsinki (UH)

¹¹ https://eurohpc-ju.europa.eu/index_en

multidecadal simulations (from 1990 to 20540 currently) at 5 to 10 km resolutions, as well as storyline simulations that allow exploring how recent extreme events would unfold in different climate conditions (John et al., 2025). This leads to the production of global information with local granularity.

The climate models and impact-sector applications are embedded in an infrastructure built following practices recommended by both the climate services and digital technology communities to ensure the ~~delivery~~provision of operational (understood as timely and routine production) climate ~~information~~projection. The backbone of the infrastructure is ~~thea~~ unified ~~end-to-end~~ workflow that offers full traceability and permits a ~~consistent~~unified data handling procedure ~~based on~~with common variables, grids, and formats, ~~whilebut~~ continuously responding to ~~evolving~~continuously ~~collected~~ user requirements. The data handling ~~must cope~~deals efficiently with unprecedented data volumes ~~associated with the~~due to the choice of high spatial resolution ~~to provide climate information at scales required for adaptation decision making. This challenge is addressed Efficiently through data handling is supported by~~ the introduction of a data streaming strategy ~~for the data consumers embedded in the workflow into the climate information production process.~~ Both the unified workflow and ~~efficient~~ data handling ~~practices,~~ which work ~~seamlessly~~ across multiple high-performance computing (HPC) environments ~~and do not require additional development efforts by both climate modellers and impact sector d climate applications,~~ are fundamental Climate DT innovations.

~~The Climate DT sets up an operational framework for the production and tailoring of climate information sources for both recent and future climate according to scenarios and priorities set by data consumers, who develop information to be used in the design of adaptation strategies. Current climate information sources for future climate projections cannot be considered operational, although some important evolution is taking place (Dunne et al., 2024). For instance, current efforts have emerged mainly, but not only, from the research community, with its own international governance, and often relying on research funding to address policy driven requirements¹².~~ A protocol ~~has been~~was developed for the Climate DT operationalisation that considers different workflow definitions and actions for the production cycle:

- development, where new capabilities of the Climate DT components are implemented,
- experimental, where the end-to-end workflow and dataflow are tested and fixes implemented,
- and operational, where a scheduled and robust production is ensured,

~~phases of the production cycle.~~ These different workflow “suites” are inspired by common practice in operational weather and ocean prediction (e.g., Alvarez Fanjul et al., 2024).

~~An i~~Important characteristics of the Climate DT infrastructure is its flexibility and resilience (Wedi et al., 2022). This solution enables performing bespoke simulations to address targeted “what-if” questions. ~~It also allows tailoring information to specific user needs, including a service that allows on-demand production in situations where more detailed and targeted climate information sources are required. A through the number of impact sector applications that for sectors sensitive to climate variability and change have been embedded in the production workflow, and which allow computing climate relevant~~

¹² ~~Note that some national meteorological services have operational initiatives for the production of climate projections.~~

indicators ~~synchronously with the~~ simultaneously with the climate model simulations. The capability of this infrastructure to include new applications in the workflow makes the Climate DT able to support fast testing of climate adaptation options. The Climate DT simulations, and the resulting impact indicators, are monitored in real time by dedicated operators and regularly evaluated ~~with a specially designed software framework~~. The results are ~~regularly~~ published in an internal dashboard. ~~These~~ are ~~is an~~ essential requirements for a system that aspires to offer the possibility to determine its added value for users as soon as the data is produced, with the aim of better informing decision-making (Hallegatte, 2009).

Given the computational cost associated with the high spatial resolution of the simulations, The global climate models used are the core, although not the only important element, of the Climate DT. Given their computational cost, the efficient use of HPC resources is another defining aspect for the Climate DT ~~aspect~~. The climate simulations performed leverage strategic access to the most powerful European supercomputers with dedicated cloud storage and delivery systems. Additional capabilities using AI are under development to ease the exploitation of the climate information generated, enhancing the Climate DT equitability and timeliness. It is important to note that the Climate DT concept and infrastructure do not restrict themselves to the use of km-scale simulations nor of pre-exascale HPC platforms.





Figure 1: Conceptual diagram illustrating the main Climate DT components. The Climate DT uses data streams from the global high-resolution climate models to feed a range ~~satisfy the requirements of a number~~ of data consumers embedded in the workflow. The data consumers include climate-sensitive applications and a comprehensive monitoring and quality assessment procedure. User feedbacks are regularly incorporated in the Climate DT design (hence the thick arrows pointing in both directions) so that the global information with local granularity ~~produced~~ adequately supports climate adaptation decision-making.

3 End-to-end work and data flow

Digital twins require a multi-layered flexible and interoperable software infrastructure that allows data consumers to easily access the data and interact with the system. The infrastructure should be designed to handle ~~deal with~~ models that aim for ~~to~~ achieve the highest possible computing ~~throughput possible~~, and applications that consume data online, either in situ or

remotely, while taking into account end-to-end dataflows with concurrency in the execution of applications. For this approach to work, the Climate DT relies on an orchestrator that executes tasks in a traceable manner (Bauer et al., 2021b) and makes use of a unified interface where developers and operators can configure the whole digital twin production. Domain-oriented workflow managers (e.g., Uruchi et al., 2021; Leo et al., 2024) have proven very useful in the past for similar duties and are used on a regular basis in operational numerical weather prediction and climate simulations. The Climate DT uses a unified workflow approach that seamlessly integrates the three climate models and all data consumers, with the execution coordinated by a single and is controlled seamlessly with a workflow manager. The workflow solution incorporates the necessary elements to configure the Climate DT. The same software solution is employed on the various EuroHPC computing platforms used by the Climate DT. This is an improvement over the current practice of engineering different solutions for each climate model and platform. Data consumers use Singularity¹³ containers to reduce simplify their portability challenges with their deployment inside.

As a result, the Climate DT workflow (Figure 2) comprises the full production chain, from setting up and running global climate simulations to serving seamlessly their output to a range of data consumers, including tasks to monitor and quality control, both scientifically and in terms of data integrity, and to transfer and remove data. It also incorporates the elements required to configure the Climate DT suites, both for in development and operations, supporting different levels of configurability parametrization for developers and operators. New data consumers can be included in the workflow and profit from the One-pass algorithms (OPA) and bias-adjustment methods (BA) methods to perform time aggregations and bias adjustment reduction statistical removal of biases, respectively, as they are considered ready to join; this happens as part of thorough and regular testing, which is a principle of the Climate DT implementation. These data consumers can be executed directly within the end-to-end workflow encompassing all models, data consumers and infrastructure components. Alternatively, they can run as standalone workflows using the same workflow software, benefiting from which facilitates the streaming mechanism if required. In this case, they can read the data either directly from the HPC disk or from the long-term repository (the dData bBridges) after while data it has been is being transferred. This may happen concurrently during the climate with simulation model execution in both cases. This approach gives data consumers additional flexibility for prototyping and integrating their applications into the system while enabling operators to handle different operational scenarios effectively. The unified integration approach represents a of all the Climate DT elements in a single workflow is a fundamental shift novelty from with respect to the traditional current paradigm in of climate modellings, where fixed and static flows of components, experimental setups, model outputs, and a variety of software solutions are managed independently by layers of experts, often isolated working independently from each other and in isolation from the climate-vulnerable communities. This new way approach of developing climate modelling workflows and performing climate simulations significantly improves workflow maintainability and portability, reduces the cost of maintaining a specific environment on different HPC platforms, and facilitates the introduction of user-relevant Climate DT modifications. This choice, together with regular end-to-end testing

¹³ <https://syllabs.io/docs/>

of the unified workflow, ensures that updates to any workflow component, model, or data processing step are consistently applied across the whole system and that the system is resilient.

The Climate DT workflow (Figure 2) comprises the full production chain, from setting up and running global climate simulations to serving seamlessly their output to a range of data consumers. Such a groundbreaking holistic approach to deliver climate information includes tasks to monitor and quality control, both scientifically and in terms of data integrity, the climate simulations, and a range of selected applications that estimate user relevant indicators for climate sensitive sectors like renewable energy, and forest and water resources management. New data consumers can be included in the workflow as they are considered ready to join; this happens as part of thorough and regular testing, which is a principle of the Climate DT implementation. Given the complexity of the task, the development, deployment, testing, and operation of the end-to-end workflow plays a central role. The development and deployment of this end-to-end workflow on the LUMI and MareNostrum5 (MN5) pre-exascale supercomputers (both part of the EuroHPC network) ~~w~~^{as} constituted one of the main priorities during the first phase of Climate DT development ~~DestinE~~ (2022-2024). ~~I,~~ while in the second phase (2024-2026) the main focus has shifted ~~towards~~ its transitioning towards an prototype operational status and the demonstration of a routine delivery of climate information.

The Climate DT workflow requires ~~on~~ an orchestrator that executes tasks in a traceable manner (Bauer et al., 2021b) and makes use of a unified interface where developers and operators can configure the whole digital twin production. The interface configures in a set up file the workflow definition, from the experiment creation to the features required by the data consumers. Domain-oriented workflow managers (e.g., Uruchi et al., 2021; Leo et al., 2024) have proven very useful in the past for similar duties and are used on a regular basis in operational numerical weather prediction and climate simulations.

The workflow software is part of the so-called Digital Twin Engine (DTE)¹⁴. The Climate DT requires a flexible underlying software infrastructure to efficiently orchestrate and run it, as well as to access, handle, and interact with the vast amounts of data they produce. As Consequently, as part of the Digital Twin Engine (DTE)^{15DTE}, ~~T~~ the backend of the Climate DT workflow uses the workflow manager Autosubmit (Manubens-Gil et al., 2016) as part of the Digital Twin Engine (DTE)¹⁶. Autosubmit is a lightweight workflow manager designed to meet climate research needs. It integrates the capabilities of both ~~a workflow~~ manager and ~~a workflow~~ orchestrator, ~~all~~ in a self-contained application. Autosubmit stands out for its portability and resilience across different scenarios, from research to operations, and across diverse computing environments, from traditional HPC infrastructure to Vvirtual rResearch Eenvironments based on cloud resources, such as the European Digital Twin OceanEDITO Virtual Ocean Model Lab¹⁷ from the European Digital Twin Ocean. Autosubmit allows workflow suites to be centrally configured and executed, communicates and leverages resources seamlessly in a range of computing platforms, and monitors the execution of the tasks. It offers full traceability (Leo et al., 2024), ~~utilising well established solutions~~ to capture and manage

¹⁴ <https://stories.ecmwf.int/the-digital-twin-engine/>

¹⁵ <https://stories.ecmwf.int/the-digital-twin-engine/>


¹⁶ <https://stories.ecmwf.int/the-digital-twin-engine/>

¹⁷ <https://edito-modellab.eu/news/what-is-the-virtual-ocean-model-lab>

333 prospective and retrospective provenance, in addition to a notification system that informs users of productionthe progress-of
334 ~~climate information production~~.

CLIMATE ADAPTATION DIGITAL TWIN WORKFLOW

Legend



Workflow configuration, control, and prospective provenance

Autosubmit
Workflow
configuration, control,
and monitoring

Workflow monitoring, traceability and retrospective provenance

Multio - C++ libraries for data routing from distributed meteorological and Earth-system models.

FDB - Fields DataBase. Domain-specific object store for meteorological objects. Used to store and share all the results of the simulations.

YAC-Output - A tool that receives data from the YAC coupler and assigns the correct metadata and writes in different output format, used to write from ICON in HEALPix to FDB.

GSV - Generic State Vector. Minimum set of information to describe the Earth system, transformed into homogenous spatial grid and meta-data.

GSV Interface - Software library to retrieve any of the climate model data.

Data Quality Checker - Validates the data portfolio by ensuring simulations produce necessary variables with correct metadata.

Data Notifier - Workflow task that checks and notifies for the presence of specific data fields in the FDB.

OPA - One pass algorithms. A unique request configured by a data consumer to compute a temporal statistic on the climate model data, required for their impact application. e.g. monthly p90 (the 90th percentile) of precipitation.

BA - Bias Adjustment. As part of the one-pass algorithms, users can request bias-adjusted data based on their reference data.

Autosubmit - Automated workflow manager that configures and runs the Climate DT simulations.




Figure 2: Climate DT workflow and dataflow. The end-to-end workflow tasks (blue colour) are executed on a HPC, supported by the digital twin engine software infrastructure (yellow colour). The data bridge allows the relocatable tasks (which run using containers) to be executed also out of the main workflow suite (green colour) for additional flexibility and data reuse. The Autosubmit workflow manager is used to configure, control, and orchestrate the end-to-end workflow, as well as tasks that are not part of the critical production path. The workflow manager allows the execution of all tasks to be monitored and traced.

The Climate DT infrastructure is has been developed with a generalised use of continuous integration and delivery, agile software development methodologies, documentation of best practices, performance analysis, and portability. Continuous integration provides measurable indicators about reproducibility, replicability, and efficiency, and supports ensures code quality. Autosubmit handles an automatic testing framework to validate any changes in any Climate DT component, which is an essential aspect for and helps with the continuity of operational production.

The unified workflow facilitates a homogeneous and fast data treatment with traceability of its availability through the data notifier tasks, which are used along the production chain. The details are presented in the next section.

4 Seamless and homogeneous data access

To ease the use of climate data by all data consumers, the output of the different climate models is homogenised by introducing a “generic state vector” (GSV). In the GSV, the output of all climate models is unified in terms of parameters¹⁸ and units (similarly to what CMIP does), as well as in spatial and temporal resolution, following a strict data governance that regularly

¹⁸ Ocean vertical levels are specific to each of the three global ocean models included in the Climate DT, while atmospheric data are output using a common set of pressure levels.

accommodates new user requirements. The GSV ~~concept~~ facilitates consistency across models and enables a step change in the interoperability and usability of climate model output ~~for climate sensitive sectoral applications~~. This solution allows data consumers to seamlessly access the output ~~climate model data~~ from any of the Climate DT models ~~in the same way~~ as the simulations progress.

Climate model data is individually encoded in a message format. This choice was made to align with the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) member states' national authorities represented through the WMO Integrated Processing and Prediction System (WIPPS¹⁹) distribution system. The GRIB format has been chosen as it conveniently aligns with these standards and is proven to mitigate the risks of data loss and recovery since each data message is self-contained with its metadata, ~~in contrast to newly evolving formats designed for speed of access in a distributed computational environment~~. The data under this format is written in the HPC storage using the Field DataBase (FDB²⁰) technology, to provide standardised access. The metadata governance solution follows WMO standards²¹. However, it is recognised that other formats ~~and standards~~ combined with suitable conventions (e.g., CF convention²²) are used in the climate community, ~~and often~~ with a ~~substantial~~ shift away from a focus on long-term storage ~~of global fields~~ to a focus on scalable cloud-based access of vast volumes of both area-specific and global data, ~~new access patterns are emerging~~. ~~For this reason~~ Particular features of the data management includes are the possibility to lazily loaded xarray data structures, the use of compressed representations, and the inclusion of zarr as an interface and/or storage format with specific choices for chunking to support its increased and structuring the data that is gaining acceptance among the a new community standard. This is recognised in DestinE so far with interoperable interfaces being developed to ~~access read~~ and process ~~native global~~ GRIB fields, ~~thus mitigating storage and compatibility risks, but enabling similar access patterns to native zarr stores~~. Additionally, compliance with existing metadata conventions that ~~maps~~ synchronise both ~~GRIB~~ definitions and established CF conventions is ongoing work. Notwithstanding this, the models underpinning the Climate DT may choose additional output streams in native zarr stores, which some recent European research projects such as nextGEMS²³ and EERIE²⁴ have shown to provide performant data access for users across a wide range of applications. Computational grids used internally by the Climate DT model components are different from one another and from the common output grid used in the GSV. The common grid of choice for the GSV is the Hierarchical Equal Area isoLatitude Pixelation (HEALPix; Górski et al. 2005). While this deviates from common practice in weather and climate, where historically data sharing in common grids has used a regular latitude-longitude grid, managing unprecedented output volumes at high temporal frequency and fine spatial resolution required rethinking the approach. The advantages of the HEALPix grid are its equal-area uniformity, reducing over-~~resolution~~ precision near the poles and hence minimizing the storage requirement for any given resolution. HEALPix also simplifies hierarchical access patterns, AI training and data-driven workflows. It is also well

¹⁹ <https://community.wmo.int/en/wipps-web-portal>

²⁰ <https://github.com/ecmwf/fdb>

²¹ <http://codes.wmo.int/grib2>

²² <https://cfconventions.org/>

²³ <https://nextgems-h2020.eu/>

²⁴ <https://eerie-project.eu/>

suited to the aforementioned data access capabilities (e.g., zarr with specific chunking) ~~allowing to minimise~~ data movement, and facilitating subsetting and nesting efforts when ~~requesting~~ local information from global fields is requested. The integration into end-to-end workflows and data delivery services benefits from quick data transformations (e.g., interpolating to coarser grids) to suit consumer needs.

Climate models use their input/output (I/O) software to interpolate and write the output fields in the HEALPix grid. For IFS, FESOM and NEMO ~~IFS, FESOM, and NEMO~~ the efficiency of the process is ensured by the use of the parallelised I/O software MultIO (Sarmany et al., 2024). ICON handles the parallel output using external processes coupled with YAC, as in Hanke et al. (2016), ~~although~~ with using version 2.6.1. An experimental alternative to further improve efficiency of model output is ~~currently~~ now being explored with the use of the Maestro middleware (Haine et al., 2021).

The list of climate variables produced by the Climate DT simulations is defined in the data portfolio²⁵. The data portfolio is flexible between production cycles to serve any new requirements from the data consumers. The output is offered with a daily frequency for the (two- and three-dimensional) ocean and sea ice variables, and hourly for the (two- and three-dimensional in pressure levels) atmosphere and land. HY ~~et~~ higher frequency output, ~~requested by which is desirable for~~ some applications, is not yet possible, ~~but is a focus of future model developments~~. The data is available in the HPC file systems to be used by the data consumers included in the workflow as soon as it is produced and gone through a quality-assurance process. The HEALPix resolution closest to the Climate DT climate model components is H1024 nested²⁶ (where 1024 corresponds to the *Nside* parameter described in the documentation²⁷) for simulations of 5 km nominal horizontal resolution and H512 for those of 10 km resolution. As an example, each climate model produces around 100 GB of output per simulated day at H1024 resolution. With the target throughput of one+ simulated year per day (SYPD) at 5 km, each of the Climate DT models thus generates more than 35 TB per wallelock day in routine production mode. These production estimates could be at times higher when climate models perform more than one simulation simultaneously, ~~should if~~ a large enough HPC share ~~be of the HPC is~~ available. The Climate DT is inspired by the global weather forecasting experience where similar data volumese ~~challenges~~ are regularly dealt with.

To handle these large data volumes that are continuously produced, both in terms of storage and efficient consumption, the Climate DT employs the streaming concept. In the Climate DT streaming is offered to the data consumers embedded in the workflow. It involves making, data ~~is made~~ available to the data consumers ~~embedded in the workflow~~ as soon as a set of automatic checks²⁸ to detect missing fields, flawed metadata, and other potential errors such as unrealistic physical values,

²⁵ <https://destine-data-lake-docs.data.destination-earth.eu/en/latest/dedl-discovery-and-data-access/DestinE-Data-Portfolio/DestinE-Data-Portfolio.html>

²⁶ Descriptions of the specific HEALPix grids can be found in <https://easy.gems.dkrz.de/Processing/healpix/index.html#healpix-spatial-resolution>, where the grid resolution is expressed by the parameter *Nside*, which defines the number of divisions along the side of a base-resolution pixel that is needed to reach a desired high-resolution partition; see also https://healpix.sourceforge.io/html/intro_Geometric_Algebraic_Propert.htm#SECTION420.

²⁷ https://healpix.sourceforge.io/html/intro_Geometric_Algebraic_Propert.htm#SECTION420

²⁸ <https://pypi.org/project/gsv-interface/> and <https://github.com/DestinE-Climate-DT/GSV-Interface>

have been passed. If any of the critical checks fails, the workflow manager stops the production and warns the operators, who follow agreed procedures to ~~continueproceed~~ after diagnosing ~~and solving~~ the problem. During ~~the climate~~-data production the workflow regularly notifies the data consumers about ~~both the simulation progress and~~ the availability of new data. The Climate DT continuity is controlled by the data notifier task, which ~~is can be~~ called by the consumer to ~~trigger its task~~~~maximise the computational efficiency of each application software~~. In this way, the streaming ~~to the data consumers in is ensured by~~ the workflow ~~isbut decided~~~~configured~~ by the consumer, ~~facilitating the inclusion of new data consumers in the production workflow~~.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that such a detailed simulated multi-model climate state is offered to data consumers as the climate simulations progresses ~~offeringwith both~~ a homogeneous data model (~~whoseto which definition consumers they~~ can contribute ~~to~~) and automated quality control. ~~Additionally, in an alternative experimental setup, relying on the Maestro middleware for data streaming, data will be in the future directly accessible for consumers over the high speed network while the model is running, bypassing and hence also alleviating the disk intermediary.~~

As the Climate DT produces regularly multi-decadal simulations from several models, accumulating high-frequency, high-resolution data soon becomes prohibitive for any HPC ~~storagedisk~~ system. This has been solved by addressing both data storage and access with a tiering system. Data (so far all of ~~data producedit~~ in phases 1 and 2 of DestinE) is transferred soon after it is produced to a managed storage cloud system ~~attached to the HPC~~. These “data bridges”, ~~of which there is one attached to each HPC used~~, are implemented by EUMETSAT, as part of the DestinE “data lake”, and managed jointly together with ECMWF (Fig. 2). The residence time of the data in the HPC, which is the time data consumers with HPC access have to exploit the full GSV, is known as the streaming window. The streaming window has been so far of up to one year, but as the simulation production ramps up it is expected to be reduced to several weeks, striking a balance between the limited data storage in the HPC and the access patterns ~~by data consumers~~ in both the HPC and the cloud. The streaming approach offers a unique opportunity, without precedents in climate adaptation, for data consumers to efficiently exploit the full model state at native resolution ~~while it still resides in the HPCwith highly efficient data management~~. Once the data has been transferred to the data bridges, it is gradually erased from the HPC filesystem to make space for new climate simulation output. The transfer to the data bridge is another task managed by the Climate DT workflow.

The approach used by the Climate DT connects the HPC platforms (which have ~~user~~ access restrictions) to infrastructures with cloud-like data access and services. This spares data consumers not embedded in the production workflow the complexities of the HPC data access and operational procedures, offering user-oriented access to federated, very large data sources through DestinE’s data lake services implemented by EUMETSAT and the DestinE ~~Service Pplatform (DESP) managedimplemented~~ by ESA, always applying DestinE’s data access policy. ~~The first set of the Climate DT datasets (DestinE, 2025) have been used in this manuscript and guidance. The description of these services, their objectives, strategy, and guidance offered²⁹ is beyond the scope of this paper.~~

²⁹ <https://platform.destine.eu/support-pages/data/>

A challenge of ~~the~~ data streaming is that ~~its a straight~~ implementation only allows ~~an~~ instantaneous view~~s~~ of the data. To address this limitation a variety of ~~one pass algorithms~~ (OPAs ~~(;~~ Grayson et al., 2025; Figure 3) have been developed to estimate statistics, such as time averages, variances, threshold exceedances, percentiles or histograms, and offer temporal buffering ~~of~~ the streamed variables. The OPAs can handle the output from any of the climate models seamlessly thanks to the homogeneity of the GSV and can be linked to the data notifiers. The OPAs can be called by the applications embedded in the workflow to access ~~seamlessly~~ the data available either in the HPC or the data bridge, and deliver user-relevant processed variables and indicators. The functions have been optimised to deal with the high-resolution, high-frequency fields, ~~making requests to the GSV interface prompted by data notifiers~~. They provide full traceability of the data processing. The OPAs are another Climate DT novelty to deliver ~~datauser oriented sources of climate information~~ in different formats, including NetCDF on disk and Python's ~~x~~Xarray in memory. When they are integrated as a task in the production workflow, they make use of a local buffer to restart the data consumer operations in case ~~of~~ a climate model failure ~~that~~ forces the climate simulation to restart from the last checkpoint file available.





Figure 3: Schematic showing how the climate model output stored as the GSV in the FDB is retrieved via the GSV interface, including data interpolation if requested, and passed into the one-pass layer. The one-pass algorithms (OPAs) provide temporal reduction by computing a statistic ~~from native data as requested by a data consumer~~ from native data. The figure illustrates ~~a, in this case of~~ percentiles from weekly histograms of a climatespecific variable. The statistic is continuously updated, controlled by data notifiers tasks, as new data from the climate simulation becomes available, always within the streaming window allowed by the data management process. ~~The statistic is regularly exposed to the data consumers and feedback is sought within a co-production approach.~~

With its data streaming capability, providing the full access to the climate model state as the models perform their simulations and data processing enabled by the combination of GSV, data notifier and OPA capabilities, the Climate DT functions as a virtual instance of the simulatedhistorical and future climate. It can be considered a metaphor in the climate modelling realm of an observing instrument, which samples reality with the highest frequency possible relevant to the process under study, while in parallel the data is thinned from the high-frequency sample to make the data stream manageable. In this metaphor, if a data consumer cannot make use of the data available in the streaming window (i.e., before the HPC storage is flushed to make space for more model output), they will have to either 1) use model output from the data bridge as described previously, if the necessary variables are available there, or 2) wait some time for a new climate simulation, either with a new model version or asfrom a new member of an ensemble.

5 Production of the first set of global climate projections and storyline simulations with local granularity

The Climate DT exploits and co-develops a new generation of global storm-resolving ~~and~~ eddy-rich models (Moreton et al., 2020; Beech et al., 2024; Segura et al., 2025), often referred to as km-scale models, and a set of HPC advances. A handful of these models have been built, among which those participating in the Climate DT (Bauer et al., 2021a; Rackow et al., 2022; Segura et al., 2022; Streffing et al., 2022; Rackow et al., 2025; Wedi et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2023; Donahue et al., 2024).

The three global climate models used, ICON, IFS-NEMO, and IFS-FESOM, have been adapted to perform km-scale simulations through a cooperative development approach supported by the European-funded research projects nextGEMS³⁰ and EERIE³¹, as well as national initiatives such as WarmWorld³² in Germany and Gloria³³ in Spain, involving ~~many~~ climate, weather, and supercomputing centres, and academic partners throughout Europe. In the Climate DT, these models are used to perform climate simulations at nominal resolutions ranging from 5 and 10 km for the different components of the Earth system, exploiting the supercomputing facilities of the EuroHPC JU. The current throughput is approximately 0.5 simulated years per wall clock day (SYPD) at 5 km resolution, and 2–3 SYPD at 10 km, using around 200 and 100 computing nodes, respectively. Efforts are underway to improve the HPC adaptation of the models to enhance their energy efficiency, while aiming to reach a throughput of about 1 SYPD at 5 km through various optimizations such as reduced precision andor efficient GPU porting.


³⁰ <https://nextgems-h2020.eu/>

³¹ <https://eerie-project.eu/>

³² <https://www.warmworld.de/>

³³ <https://www.bsc.es/research-and-development/projects/gloria-global-digital-twin-regional-and-local-climate-adaptation>

Linear trend in 2m air temperature (2020–2039) in ICON (SSP3-7.0)



485




Figure 4: Example of AQUA-OBSALL diagnostics output. (Left column) Bias of annual mean 2-metre temperature (°C) at synoptic surface stations in (a) ICON, (b) IFS-NEMO and (c) IFS-FESOM. Stations where the simulated values differ at the 5% significance level from the observations are represented with closed circles and the rest of the stations with triangles. Values in the headings stand for average bias, average absolute bias, and the fraction of stations with positive bias, respectively. (Right column) 850 hPa zonal mean wind (contour, m/s) and bias against ERA5 (shading, m/s) in (d) ICON, (e) IFS-NEMO and (f) IFS-FESOM. For the three models, climate simulations considered are operational/historical simulations (O25.1). For both simulations and references, the period considered

~~is 1990-2014. Projected trend (in K/decade) for the annual mean surface air temperature for the period 2020-2039 in a SSP3-7.0 scenario simulation performed with the ICON model.~~

The Climate DT infrastructure is not limited to deterministic km-scale simulations but also allows the production of climate simulations following standard CMIP protocols, including ensembles, ~~following production cycles of less than a year~~. It can also be used to perform bespoke simulations to assess the impacts of different scenarios and policy decisions and to address “what-if” questions.

The simulations performed by the Climate DT ~~during Phase 1 of DestinE~~ have followed a streamlined version of the HighResMIP protocol (Haarsma et al., 2016; [Roberts et al., 2025](#)) on both the LUMI and MN5 EuroHPC pre-exascale supercomputers. The protocol consists of 1) 30-year long control simulations with constant 1990 forcing to evaluate model drift, 2) historical simulations starting in 1990 and ending in 20~~1420~~, and 3) projections for the period 20~~1521~~–2040 following the SSP3-7.0 scenario defined in the sixth phase of CMIP (CMIP6; Eyring et al., 2016). The projection simulations with IFS-NEMO were carried out at a horizontal resolution of 4.5 km for the atmosphere and land and 1/12° (around 8 km at the Equator) for the ocean and sea-ice, with IFS-FESOM using the same atmospheric and land resolution of 4.5 km and about 5 km over most of the globe for the ocean and sea ice, while the ICON simulations were performed at 5 km resolution for all Earth system components. ~~The historical and control runs were carried out at about 10 km for the atmosphere and land, and about 10 km for both ocean and sea ice.~~ In all simulations, the ocean/sea-ice models were spun up for five years using stand-alone ocean runs forced with the Copernicus Climate Change Service ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020) for the corresponding initial date (1990 for the historical and control and 2020 for the projection) that were started from EN4.2 ocean estimates interpolated to the corresponding ocean grid (Good et al., 2013). The ocean spin up was followed by a two-year coupled ocean-atmosphere spin up with constant [atmospheric](#) forcing in the IFS-NEMO and IFS-FESOM cases.

~~This experimental configuration allows for some comparisons with the simulations produced by CMIP and CORDEX, always taking into account the important differences in the experimental setups, while allowing the development and testing of the Climate DT prototype.~~ Figure 4 shows an example of evaluation against synoptic surface observations (the bias of annual-mean 2-metre temperature) and against reanalysis (the bias of the mean zonal wind at 850 hPa) for the three Climate DT models using the historical simulations. The evaluation results are continuously fed to the model development team. ~~In an fully operational context, additional simulations are performed on a regular basis as part of the production cycle, either with the same versions to increase the ensemble size or with new ones as part of a new operational cycle to take advantage of improvements in the Climate DT system. New operational cycles typically occur once a year. Figure 4 shows a simple example of the future near surface annual mean air temperature trend calculated over 2020-2039. In a fully operational context, additional simulations will be performed on a regular basis as part of the production cycle, either with the same versions to increase the ensemble size or with new ones, as part of a new operational cycle to take advantage of recent improvements in the Climate DT workflow elements. New operational cycles typically occur at least once every nine months to a year.~~ The simulations performed after the initial set described above use homogeneous resolutions for the control, historical, and

projection and include simulations at ~~both~~ about 5 ~~and about 10~~ km horizontal resolution, with some additional simulations performed at 10 kms.

In addition, the Climate DT ~~produces features a class of~~ high-resolution global storyline simulations that allow to “rewind and replay” recent extreme weather events, such as heat waves or floods, and explore how they would unfold under different climate conditions, from pre-industrial to warmer futures (John et al., 2025). These physically consistent “what-if” experiments link directly to observed events through spectral nudging, anchoring the simulations to the observations while exploring alternative climate trajectories (e.g., Athanase et al., 2024; Sánchez-Benítez et al., 2022).




Figure 5: Snapshots of 850-hPa wind speed and precipitation associated with hurricane Helene just after landfall on the 27th of September 2024 at 04:00 UTC simulated using IFS-FESOM in which large-scale tropospheric winds nudged toward ERA5. The three panels represent different climate scenarios: PAST (IFS-FESOM Control, ~1950s climate), PRESENT (IFS-FESOM Historical, current climate), and FUTURE (IFS-FESOM +2K global warming level). The lower panels show accumulated precipitation (mm) in the highlighted-region with in the a white box in each map from the 24th to the 29th of September, with totals increasing from 247 mm (~~PAST~~~~Past~~) to 264 mm (~~PRESENT~~~~Present~~) and 271 mm (~~FUTURE~~~~Future~~). Simulation details are described in John et al. (2025).

The storyline simulations are performed with IFS-FESOM (Sánchez-Benítez et al., 2022; John et al., 2025) at resolutions of about 10 km for the atmosphere and land and 5 km for the ocean and sea ice. They represent an important application of the Climate DT’s capabilities because they provide concrete, location-specific insights into how climate change is reshaping extremes, making risks more tangible and adaptation planning more actionable. These simulations reconstruct the evolution

of the climate system, including extreme events such as heatwaves, floods, storms, and drought, from 2017 to the present, with continuous updates close to real time, under three distinct climate conditions: a past climate resembling the 1950s, the present-day climate, and a future scenario with 2 °C warming above pre-industrial levels (assumed to be reached around the 2050s). To ensure realism, the large-scale atmospheric circulation of IFS-FESOM is nudged to ERA5 reanalysis data above the boundary layer, while other components evolve freely under the prescribed climate forcing. This approach allows the same physical event to be simulated across different climate states, offering insights into how thermodynamic changes modulate its intensity, duration, and impact. For example, Figure 5 shows how the simulations reproduce essential features of hurricane Helene, including mesoscale structures, and how climate change may have influenced its characteristics, supporting both event attribution and forward-looking scenario analysis. Because the simulations are global and consistently high-resolution, they enable the examination of multiple, concurrent extremes worldwide, providing locally relevant insights into compound climate risks.


The Climate DT simulations require the extreme computing power and data handling capacities provided by the EuroHPC JU machines. A substantial investment has been made in adapting the models to hybrid CPU-GPU architectures. Currently, a 20-year simulation at 5-km resolution typically requires around 0.6 million GPU-hours in the case of ICON or 23 million CPU core hours in the IFS-NEMO case on the LUMI HPC. With these figures, the computational resources available allow performing a [small](#) multi-model ensemble in each production cycle. Adaptation efforts have been accompanied by a systematic performance analysis of all the steps necessary to complete a climate simulation and bottlenecks are continuously addressed. In addition, automated performance information collection (Acosta et al., 2024) has been implemented in the workflow to monitor the progress of all models and detect anomalous behaviours. The analysis identified issues that limited performance and suggested code and runtime modifications. It inspired work leading to code refactoring, transfer of code sections to accelerators, pipeline restructuring, ~~I/O input/output~~ choices, and runtime optimisation for the different computing platforms.

5.1 Real-time scientific quality assessment

The simulations ~~produced with an~~ operational system require a monitoring service that evaluates the scientific performance and allows detecting unexpected climate model behaviour. The ~~Climate DT~~ scientific evaluation of the ~~Celimate DT~~ simulations is carried out by two ~~different tasks (Fig. 6)~~ [specific applications developed for the Climate DT](#).

The Application for Quality Assessment (AQUA; Nurisso et al., 2025) is an open-source Python package built upon a core engine ~~using facilitating data access based on~~ catalog access and designed to process high-resolution global data in an efficient and scalable way ~~(Fig. 6)~~. AQUA has similar properties to other climate diagnostic packages (e.g., ESMValTool; Lauer et al., 2024) ~~that also use. It uses~~ a series of modular and independent diagnostics. It has been designed to analyse the simulations from all models ~~in a homogeneous way~~ and benefits from the data checks performed upstream in the workflow to detect corrupted data. It is another data consumer that can be used either embedded in the workflow (to monitor the simulation progress) or as a stand-alone tool ~~for e.g. to address specific questions and~~ comparisons with either other simulations or observational references. The climate model output is compared [by AQUA](#), as the climate simulations progress, with either

580 reanalyses or benchmark simulations ~~by AQUA~~ to evaluate and monitor basic aspects of their quality. This innovative
581 capability helps to quickly identify potential problems by benchmarking the climate simulations against existing experiments
582 and allows continuous monitoring of the simulation progress ~~and quality of the simulations~~.
583 The assessment focuses on several state-of-the-art diagnostics and metrics targeting the mean state model biases over the
584 historical period for selected regions (e.g., performance indices from Reichler and Kim, 2008) and variables or basic metrics
585 (global mean air temperature, top-of-the-atmosphere energy balance, atmospheric teleconnections, metrics of ocean
586 circulation, etc.) that are essential to understand the evolution of the climate system ~~and its internal modes of variability~~. This
587 information contributes to the quality assurance of the climate simulations and builds trust into its use for any adaptation
588 decision. AQUA also includes a reduced set of frontier diagnostics that exploit the native spatial resolution and high frequency
589 of the data. They aim at providing statistics on physical processes that could not be systematically investigated in km-scale
590 climate simulations due to the huge data management challenge they pose. These diagnostics include statistical properties of
591 the tropical rainfall or tropical cyclone characteristics. The AQUA diagnostics are designed to deal with ~~account for~~ multi-
592 model ensembles to provide a measure of uncertainty for both historical simulations and climate projections.






Figure 6: Scientific model evaluation strategy of the Climate DT. The AQUA processing (blue boxes) enables ~~two-way~~ model evaluation through automatically-generated catalogue entries. High-resolution data supports high-granularity frontier diagnostics,

such as the precipitation-based simple daily intensity index (SDII) from the ETCCDI³⁴ collection, estimated for the year 2000 from an IFS-NEMO 10-km historical simulation (~~centre-top~~ right panel). Simultaneously, data is aggregated into a low-resolution archive (monthly averages on a one-degree regular grid) for continuous monitoring via a large set of state-of-the-art diagnostics: ~~Another~~ An example ~~can example~~ diagnostic shows globally monthly and yearly averaged 2-metre ~~averaged temperature and one-year moving average of surface~~ the surface temperature from an ~~the~~ ICON 10-km historical simulation and ERA5 reanalysis (~~bottom~~ middle-right panel). ~~Yet another example shows the mean bias of 850 hPa zonal wind in the IFS NEMO historical simulation with respect to ERA5 (lower-right panel).~~ The OBSALL processing (orange boxes) involves time-critical GSV access, model profile retrieval, application of observation operators, and comparison with observed climatologies. ~~The left panels show~~ Results for 12 UTC 2-metre air temperature from an IFS-NEMO 10-km historical simulation are shown; ~~Temperatures for the year 2000 at Arad, Romania, are shown against observed quantiles in the (centre-top-left panel) while;~~ the histogram (middle-left panel) illustrates the probability of differences over the whole year arising by chance, with a p-value set to 0.1 (bottom-left panel). ~~The p-values for other stations are shown in the bottom-left panel.~~

The Climate DT also includes a bespoke observation-based quality assessment system, named OBSALL (~~Fig. 6~~). It generates an image of the full-resolution climate simulation in the observation space. The image is a trace of the simulation as if recorded by an observing system. In stand-alone mode, OBSALL allows the quality monitoring to focus on, e.g., the formation of surface temperature inversions in the polar night as viewed by the synoptic surface network. When embedded in the workflow ~~In on-line mode~~, OBSALL makes a statistical assessment of whether the simulation stays within the envelope formed by the quantiles of the observed daily climatology and if not, it raises flags. Technically, OBSALL can be interpreted as an OPA that can access the full-resolution, high-frequency GSV data. It extracts model profiles at observation locations with the Polytope tool (Leuridan et al., 2023) and applies standard observation operators (ECMWF, 2015) to compute the model counterparts for observations. Both are archived into an instance of the ~~O~~ bservation ~~D~~ ata ~~B~~ ase (ODB; ECMWF, 2010) using the ECMWF Python package pyodc (ECMWF, 2025). High-quality and stable observational platforms and networks are selected so that informative observation-based climate statistics can be precomputed and stored in the ODB and used in the quality assessment. The ODB input archive for Climate DT simulations contains observations from synoptic surface stations (Dunn et al., 2014), upper-air soundings (Madonna et al., 2022), and AMSU-A radiances (NOAA, 2025) as a remote sensing data demonstrator. The ODB archive and the observation projection in general are designed to be easily extendable. Results of both AQUA and OBSALL are regularly displayed on a Climate DT internal dashboard.

6 Prototype climate-change impact applications

A characteristic of the Climate DT is its ability to generate tailored and timely information for climate-sensitive sectors. The end-to-end production of user-relevant climate information is illustrated with a selected number of sectoral climate applications that have been embedded in the workflow as data consumers and can compute relevant indicators as the climate simulations are performed. At the same time, these applications play an important role in shaping the Climate DT operation. By being an ~~integral part of the Climate DT~~, they provide continuous feedback about the digital twin development like the data portfolio, the experimental setup, the software to maximise the application throughput, the data strategy, the OPA capabilities, etc., all

³⁴ <https://www.wcrp-climate.org/etccdi>


through a co-production process. Every embedded application has been ~~co-produced~~^{implemented} with selected users, who participated in the process through regular interactions. The co-production allows to illustrate the relevance for the climate adaptation community. ~~New pieces of innovative~~ climate information can be generated by making use of the full climate model state described above ~~as the simulations are performed~~, while more traditional asynchronous access to the resulting data included in the DestinE portfolio is still possible for those applications that are not embedded in the workflow by accessing data in the data bridge. These applications can also be included in the production workflow in later production cycles.

The climate-adaptation applications integrated in the Climate DT so far are:

- Wind-energy management and energy demand: This application illustrates the value of the Climate DT for informing the near- to mid-term investment and management strategies of the wind energy sector (Lacima-Nadolnik et al., 2026). ~~Wind resources are per se highly heterogeneous and intermittent.~~ Wind-energy generation is particularly sensitive to sudden variations in resource availability, ~~which are typically not captured in current climate simulations.~~ ~~To address this need,~~ this application provides global indicators, such as high- and low-wind event frequency (Rapella et al., 2023), capacity factors, and annual energy production estimates, among others, for a range of turbine types (Lledó et al., 2019), at global scale ~~with~~ high resolution from high-frequency wind data (Fig. 7). These indicators have been selected in collaboration with a company that provides estimates of the wind resource to energy producers. In addition, for specific areas with offshore installations, the application provides indicators relevant to the construction and maintenance that require high-frequency data, such as sea-ice occurrence, ice-related stress to structures, and expected navigability conditions. ~~Beyond these indicators, robust statistics for extreme clustering events will require the accumulation of several decades of data (Priestley et al., 2018).~~
- Freshwater availability and flood occurrence: This application, named HydroLand, provides global estimates of river runoff at the same spatial scale as the climate simulations. This is an improvement over current hydrology information systems for climate time scales. The HydroLand application is based on the mesoscale Hydrologic Model (mHM; Samaniego et al., 2010) and the multiscale Routing Model (mRM; Thober et al., 2019). mHM uses Climate DT data at the highest spatial and temporal resolution available and mRM provides a wide range of hydrological variables and indicators depicting global freshwater availability to support insights about the requirements for climate adaptation ~~anywhere from global to local level~~ in areas of particular interest (Fig. 7). The application can also take advantage of the simultaneous bias-adjustment of the climate simulations. Cumulative distribution functions used for the statistical adjustment can be ~~are~~ estimated on-the-fly using the same method availables in the OPA package (Grayson et al., 2025). ~~where bias adjustment parameters are estimated using the model output of existing members using the OPA.~~
- Characteristics of hydrometeorological extreme events: The HydroMet application summarises user-selected statistics of hydrological extremes by identifying extreme rainfall events over Germany. This leads to information about the spatial and temporal extent, precipitation amounts, return time, and the frequency of extreme events. The application is based on KOSTRA-DWD-2020 (Jungbänel et al., 2022), a solution for the evaluation of precipitation levels, duration, and the annual return interval, and on the Catalogue of Radar-based heavy Rainfall Events (CatRaRE;

Lengfeld et al., 2021) software package that detects spatially and temporally independent heavy precipitation events leading to flash floods. High global spatial and temporal data frequency ~~over what is currently available as climate sources~~ is required to estimate these indicators ~~with~~ the level of detail required by the range of users that collaborate with the developers.

- Fire weather and wildfire spread: This application focuses on wildfire management strategies. The high-resolution Fire Weather Index (FWI) identifies where meteorological drivers of fire weather conditions are conducive to the occurrence and persistence of fires (Abatzoglou et al., 2019). Additionally, the fire spread model Wildfire Safety Evaluator (WISE) simulates critical fire parameters (e.g., burnt area and fire intensity) at high spatial resolution, utilizing input data co-developed with users, including land-use and ignition information (Touma et al., 2021). By leveraging the high-frequency, high-resolution Climate DT data along with user-defined local land-use scenarios, WISE is used to assess wildfire management options such as fuel and firebreaks management. This supports the user-driven planning and implementation of adaptation strategies to increasing fire risk (Hetzer et al., 2024).






Figure 7: (Top panel) Global map with a snapshot of wind speed at 100 metres from the 10-km IFS-NEMO historical simulation. The regional zoom, highlighted with a red rectangle, shows the capacity factor averaged over a week for a class S Vestas V164 wind turbine over the North Sea computed from 1-hourly wind components (zonal and meridional 100-metre wind). The black square marks the location of the Moray East wind farm, off the coast of Scotland, which operates this specific type of turbine. The curve represents the distribution of the hourly capacity factor for Moray East during the week. (Bottom panel) The HydroLand application provides global estimates of terrestrial hydrological processes like river discharge over small areas (~80 km²), soil moisture deficits, and extreme flooding events. The time series represent the river discharge values over the Jucar River in the Valencia region (Spain) during the period of the storm event at the end of October 2024 using climate data from the 1950s, present-day, and future climate (+2K global warming level) IFS-FESOM storyline simulations.

Commonalities among the applications have been identified to ~~implement~~develop a protocol that reuses as much of the technical ~~solutions that offer~~developments as possible to offer a timely access to the climate data to all of them. The combination of GSV, through its unified access and formats, and OPA offers a flexible and responsive environment to address the emerging requirements, while reducing computational and data handling overheads. It also hides some of the complexity of the climate data from the application developers.

The experience gained with these applications underpins ~~additional~~ efforts to implement applications that address other user requirements. The continuous Climate DT production, generating new ensemble members in successive ~~cycles~~cycles, can leverage newly acquired knowledge about the digital twin performance from previous simulations ~~that can~~and be used to ~~optimize~~modify any element of the production workflow. For instance, in each new production cycle the list of output variables can be modified to allow the creation of new user indicators or climate model diagnostics missing in previous simulations.

7 ~~Consolidating~~ Looking forward in the Climate DT

~~While previous multi-decadal climate projections have been performed every five to seven years,~~ the Climate DT aims at producing operational, quality-assured simulations with frequent updates (at least yearly) and rapid ~~real-time~~ access to the data generated, ~~either in raw format or processed according to the requirements as collected by climate services~~. The frequent updates allow the integration of both the latest advances in science and technology and the new requirements from users to support decision-making ~~supported by the best climate science~~. ~~The Climate DT also aims at producing climate simulations~~

on demand, to answer what-if questions from relevant actors like climate services providers, public administrations, and the European Commission.

The previous sections describe the prototype Climate DT developed in the ~~initial~~^{first} phases of DestinE (2022-2025⁴). ~~Currently, in the second phase (2024–2026), the focus has been put~~^{is} on consolidating, operationalising, and further evolving the Climate DT system, aiming to gradually enhance its capabilities and response to user requirements for efficient climate adaptation. This section briefly summarises some of the developments, ~~undertaken and some of limitations, and plans for the system improvement or planned.~~

7.1 Operational framework

The Climate DT ~~is lay~~^{ing} out the basis for the operationalisation of user-oriented, multi-decadal, multi-model global climate projections. This is an initiative complementary to the existing CMIP and CORDEX international efforts and to the activities of national climate services and the Copernicus Climate Change Service in the provision of future climate information sources. ~~Previous sections have described the Climate DT concept and its goal to ensure the robustness and traceability of the whole production chain.~~ The regular production of climate simulations at this scale can only be maintained by ensuring that the computational performance of all elements is monitored, analysed, and optimised as the underlying HPC platforms evolve. Moreover, a protocol will be developed to decide how other climate projection models may be incorporated and may benefit from the operational framework.

~~The Climate DT simulations are not time-critical, compared to weather forecasts, but the operational context requires that they are regularly performed to address continuously emerging data consumer requirements. The simulations are long, relatively slow, and produce enormous amounts of data that need to be exposed, served and handled. A break of a few days in the production due to the unavailability of the HPC system is not a problem, but discontinuities should be appropriately disseminated to the data consumers, especially to those not embedded in the workflow. At the same time, the production should be flexible enough to continue on alternative HPC systems whenever needed. This requires data consumers embedded in the workflow to use containers and integrate and schedule their own restarting mechanisms. This, an aspect on which the development team supports data consumers to develop these capabilities is already supporting the selected applications.~~

The plans to update the Climate DT ~~include~~^{is updated with} improved climate models (whenever significant developments are available and have been thoroughly tested), more adequate workflow management, additional~~more relevant~~ data checks, faster and more user-driven data transfers to the data bridge, richer monitoring and evaluation, and a broader range of impact-sector adaptation indicators. ~~For instance, climate model releases follow developments that are both scientific, where the diagnostic capabilities play a central role, and technical, linked to aspects like data governance or code scalability.~~ Most of these developments are included in development suites. The experimental suite gathers all these developments and applies a thorough testing procedure with stringent acceptance criteria before they can be ~~to the proposed developments to be~~ included (or not) in the operational suite ~~with stringent acceptance criteria. This,~~ ensur^{ing} that transitions ~~from development to experimental and, later, operational configurations~~^{phases} are robust. This makes the operational production increasingly stable,

traceable, resilient, and fit-for-purpose (Dee et al., 2024) for both data consumers and users accessing products from the data bridges.

~~A fundamental objective of the Climate DT in the second phase of DestinE is to consolidate the prototype system developed in the first phase and transition it toward operational use. This includes planning and executing a wide range of climate simulations with different objectives. These include increasing the ensemble size for uncertainty quantification (in historical, projection, and storyline simulations), generating projections for new scenarios or updated model versions, and conducting bespoke simulations tailored to specific policy or user needs, such as exploring “what if” questions or extreme event storylines. The scheduling of such simulations is guided by a dedicated committee and is subject to the availability of computing resources.~~

An efficient operationalisation requires ~~that~~ computing resources to be readily available, not just for the operational suite but also for scheduling all the development and experimental suites that a flexible, well-tested, regular production requires. ~~Given the current computational cost of the Climate DT operation, ensuring the resource availability is a continuous challenge despite the strategic EuroHPC allocation that makes possible the current production.~~

There are many other aspects under discussion relevant to the operationalisation of decision-oriented climate projections. A ~~close~~ collaboration strategy with the CMIP and CORDEX communities, as well as with those developing emission scenarios, climate-impact models, and climate services is being developed~~has been undertaken~~ is necessary to ensure that efforts remain aligned~~all the components of the system remain state of the art and current practices are taken into account. This collaboration is required for an efficient transfer between research, development and decision user oriented operations.~~

7.2 Increasingly flexible data management

The Climate DT data is a combination of a moderate volume set located in the data bridges for asynchronous~~traditional~~ climate applications and research, and an agile, rich, short-lived set in the HPCs for simulation evaluation, embedded~~embedded in the workflow~~, and production of AI-ready dataset~~online machine learning training. The data needs~~ All of it to be accessed and consumed using the same tools. The data management strategy ~~The challenge is even more ambitious because it needs to strike a~~ requires to balance~~balance needs to be found between~~ storage constraints (both in the HPCs and the data bridge), the ability to recompute specific parts of the climate trajectories (using stored checkpoint files) with enhanced data output, and the commitment to serve global, high-resolution data to a wide range of users. This balance will benefit from~~needs~~ input from as many stakeholders as possible. For instance, the selection of the data transferred to the data bridge, such as relevant vertical levels to retain, the possibility of reducing the numerical precision or resolution for some variables or the adequate data frequency for each variable, is currently discussed with ~~the~~ data consumers with experience in the Climate DT production. ~~T~~However, this interaction will~~should~~ be widened to a broader range of DestinE users~~with support from the DESP~~. The data stored and made available in the data bridges are not necessarily homogeneous ~~across all the members of a given production cycle, as~~ and there might ~~also~~ be differences in the data portfolio across ensemble members and model versions. This happens because the archive is enriched over time with simulations that respond to emerging data consumer needs that

lead to additions to and/or modifications of the data portfolio. ~~For this reason, a solution that fully describes the data exposed from the bridge needs to be developed to ensure an efficient use of the datasets.~~

7.3 Penetration of artificial intelligence solutions

~~Another important aspect for the future development of the Climate DT is t~~The integration of the current fast-evolving AI and machine learning breakthroughs is an important aspect for the future development of the Climate DT. The Climate DT has started to exploit these recent advances, acknowledging that they require good, the best input data and traceable sources to build trust (Bracco et al., 2025; Eyring et al., 2024). Ongoing efforts in this regard focus on:

- enhancing the user experience through the development of chatbots that make the Climate DT data more accessible to decision-makers by including it into context-relevant reports (Koldunov and Jung, 2024; Kuznetsov et al., 2025) using fine-tuned large language models with the help of a climate-adaptation specific corpus;
- exploiting the wealth of the unprecedented high-resolution, high-frequency global data produced by the Climate DT models for AI model training to build emulators³⁵ of the physical climate models; the emulators will be used for uncertainty quantification of the simulated trajectories, for the fast reconstruction (Kadow et al., 2020) of the simulated trajectories as reruns for selected time slices (Rackow et al., 2024) that can provide high-frequency data on demand as described in the “AI on top” concept (Bauer et al., 2023), and for the exploration of the climate evolution for a range of emission scenarios not sampled by the physical models.

Results from these efforts will be reported ~~in separately papers~~ in the near future coming months.

7.4 Interactivity to address user needs

One area of increased focus ~~in future phases~~ is the interactivity of the Climate DT system. The operationalisation leads to significantly shortened production cycles compared to existing climate projection sources, enabling the possibility of enhancing the interactivity in the access to climate projection sources. As a result, some users will at some point be able to explore different scenarios and address their what-if climate-related questions with the Climate DT. The options could include requesting new projections, ~~lices of existing projections~~, or increased ensembles of storyline simulations. Impact ~~sector~~ applications ~~are can~~ considering what-if analyses specific to their domains in separate workflows supported by the Climate DT infrastructure. An example of this option consists in assessing how changes in land cover modify flood impacts using HydroLand. In addition, AI solutions introduce new possibilities for interactivity, such as fast, on-demand data generation through emulators supported by chatbot-based interfaces, allowing users to formulate requirements using natural language. Until now, stakeholder engagement has mainly taken place through intensive discussions with key users in order to gather their feedback. BroadeningAs implementing this feedback often requires an extensive development process that will involve; it is taken into account in the strategy for future developments and simulations. With this continuous development, the circle

³⁵ <https://destine.ecmwf.int/news/spains-predictia-to-build-a-climate-emulator-for-destine/>

~~of stakeholders will be broadened in the future. Further feedback is gathered via the DestinE website and the DESPDestinE portal.~~

8 Summary and conclusions

The Climate DT is a pioneering effort to build an operational climate information system based on a digital infrastructure to support climate change adaptation ~~decisions~~. It provides globally consistent multi-decadal climate simulation data with very high temporal (hourly) and spatial resolution (between 5 and 10 km), ~~delivering updated climate simulations frequently,~~ and considering user needs in terms of the output variables, access patterns, data processing, and simulation design. It benefits from ~~the~~ convergence of hardware and software infrastructure, dedicated resources, digital innovation, and domain experts with the adequate knowledge, perspectives, and experience, in a transdisciplinary endeavour. The Climate DT supports ~~illustrates how to~~ tackling some of the existing challenges (Stevens et al., 2024) in providing climate-vulnerable communities with timely, decision-oriented global climate information. It complements existing sources of climate information for adaptation focusing on the need for salience, equitability, and credibility. Besides, the Climate DT, while computationally more expensive than existing climate simulation approaches, is a trailblazer for the use of computational resources so far largely unused by the climate community, such as GPU-based platforms.

The features introduced by the Climate DT can be summarised as follows:

- Operational multi-decadal projections: The operational nature of the Climate DT ~~enables~~ensures the continuous production and delivery of climate simulations ~~providing that support the availability of up-to-date~~ information for climate up to 2050. This ~~capability relies on~~ is made possible by leveraging EuroHPC ~~resource capabilities, using~~ operational end-to-end workflows, ~~performing~~ real-time monitoring ~~to ensure the accuracy, fitness for purpose, and credibility of the climate data, quantifying uncertainty~~ quantification to offer reliable information, and involving professional ~~workforce and practices that lead to regular tests and~~ software development, and continuous with optimisation of all system components ~~practices for a range of computing platforms. The experimental setup is inspired by CMIP (more specifically by HighResMIP). The Climate DT simulation protocol ensures consistency with~~ illustrating the alignment between existing climate sources ~~CMIP while and the Climate DT. This set of capabilities enables the production of opening opportunities for on-demand climate projections to address emerging new policy-relevant questions. This helps closing filling a gap an important gap in concerning the timeliness of the actionable climate information requested by a range of stakeholders.~~
- Global km-scale climate modelling: The Climate DT ~~exploits~~benefits from a global km-scale climate modelling ~~capabilities~~ through the integration of ~~through~~ with three km-scale climate models. ~~By substantially increasing spatial resolution, relative to~~ The current generation of global climate models, often use spatial resolutions that are ~~insufficiently fine to accurately represent important regional aspects and extreme events (Slingo et al., 2022; Collins et al., 2024), these models systems can improve the.~~ The improved representation of critical processes, ~~associated~~

with a substantial increase in spatial resolution with respect to the state-of-the-art models, has been shown to reduce some long-standing biases (Moreno-Chamarro et al., 2021; Moreno-Chamarro et al., 2022; Rackow et al., 2022). The simulation of these processes and their feedbacks on the global climate circulation require eddy-rich, storm-resolving simulations. Climate models with a grid-spacing of around or less than 10 km (Moreton et al., 2020; Beech et al., 2024; Roberts et al., 2025; Segura et al., 2025). This category of models can be also seen to provide global downscaling capability, where the simulations provide information with local granularity globally, supporting the need for equitable access to climate information worldwide. These advances have been enabled by major code refactoring efforts (including code porting to use GPUs) and to adaptation to modern HPC architectures. A handful of these models have been built by several global actors, among which those participating in the Climate DT (Bauer et al., 2021a; Rackow et al., 2022; Segura et al., 2022; Streffing et al., 2022; Rackow et al., 2025; Wedi et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2023; Donahue et al., 2024).

- High-resolution climate storylines: The Climate DT includes high-resolution global a type of simulation named storylines, simulations in which where the atmospheric circulation model follows the reanalysis while thermodynamic observed atmospheric circulation but with conditions are compatible with corresponding to a specific global warming level (John et al., 2025). Three simulations for 1950, present-day current, and two-degree warming levels are performed in parallel. Produced continuously starting These simulations start in 2017, these simulations deliver and are produced continuously close to real time. They lead to tangible and relatable estimates of the effect of climate change impact on emerging extreme events, as they occur, supporting contextualisation of adaptation decisions where the future information is linked to personal experiences of climate change and enhancing the salience of the system climate change information. This approach offers the separation of the extreme event drivers, because the storyline simulations split the dynamical and the thermodynamic components of observed events. It can support near real time attribution efforts that will soon be offered by the Copernicus Climate Change Service.
- Unified workflow: The infrastructure is designed to handle deal with climate models that aim for to achieve the highest possible computing throughput possible and impact-sector applications that consume climate model data online, either in situ or remotely, thanks to the streaming concept, while handling end-to-end dataflows where the streaming allows concurrency and efficiency in the data consumer execution. The end-to-end nature of the workflow suites, as well as and its the unified approach to integrating different models, data consumers, and to facilitate the use of any and HPCs is a unique Climate DT feature. The climate digital twin relies on Autosubmit (Manubens-Gil et al., 2016), an orchestrator that executes tasks with full traceability (Leo et al., 2024), includes a notification system of the production progress, and makes use of a unified interface to configure the digital twin production. The workflow software seamlessly integrates all the climate models and data consumers, with the same solution applied in all the computing platforms available, avoiding the current practice of engineering different solutions for each model, application, and platform. The modular end-to-end workflow, along with a generalised use of containers for the portability of

embedded data consumers, significantly improves workflow maintainability and resilience, the extension to other climate models and data consumers, and the operational practice ~~at large~~. External users do not have access to run the system because HPC platforms apply strict access rules and it has been designed to be run by dedicated operators, but are able to use the workflow and the system software in their own environments. The climate digital twin relies on Autosubmit (Manubens Gil et al., 2016), an orchestrator that executes tasks with full traceability (Leo et al., 2024), includes a notification system of the production progress, and makes use of a unified interface to configure the digital twin production.

- Unified data handling: The Climate DT ~~has introduced~~ a harmonised data framework, including several data-related innovations to enhance the efficient production, exploitation, and handling of climate-relevant data for the future such as the GSV concept and the use of. The GSV provides a standardised representation of the climate data, facilitating homogeneity, consistency, and interoperability. All climate models deliver their output using the HEALPix grid for all climate outputs. This ensures homogeneity, consistency, and interoperability, which offers numerous advantages for the analysis of high-resolution data, optimising the handling of spherical variables. A common list of variables is delivered by all models at high frequency and ~~near~~ close to-native resolution under with a strict data governance that follows FAIR data principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016)-policy. Data ~~are~~ is made available using ~~either the~~ streaming concept, allowing where data consumers embedded in the workflow to exploit ~~an access to the~~ quality-controlled full climate model output as the models run, or long-term storage in the data bridges. In the former option ~~At this point~~ data and workflow become closely linked together. ~~The unified end-to-end workflow facilitates a homogeneous data treatment with full traceability of its availability through the generalised access to the data-notifier tasks. To cope with the large volume of data generated, the OPAs offer efficient data processing, supporting the translation of climate data into impact-sector information, as the climate models perform the simulations, reducing computational overhead and improving responsiveness to the data consumer requirements. FAIR data principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016) are at the core of the data governance and management to facilitate data dissemination and analysis.~~
- AI integration: AI technologies are an emerging component activity of the Climate DT. Their use ~~It aims at~~ improving to both enhance its interactivity ~~capabilities and improve the~~ user experience, in a similar way inspired by as it is suggested in the Earth Virtualization Engines initiative (Stevens et al., 2024) ideas. AI-powered chatbots are being developed to ease the ~~interactive engagement and exploitation of the~~ Climate DT data ~~(Koldunov and Jung, 2024). The chatbots ease access to climate insights based on the Climate DT climate data and indicators, addressing the requirements of equity and salience. In addition, the DTE supports~~ enables the creation of AI-ready datasets, enabling to also support the development of data-driven climate emulators.
- Real-time monitoring and model evaluation: The Climate DT workflow integrates ~~includes~~ online model evaluation through the AQUA and OBSALL frameworks ~~two different tools to provide an online model assessment.~~ AQUA provides real-time diagnostics of key ~~is a software framework that delivers a continuous quality assessment of the most-relevant~~ climate aspects, including by leveraging state-of-the-art technologies to ingest and process high-

resolution climate data and to analyse them with multiple model evaluation diagnostics addressing, for example, model biases, performance ~~metrics, indices and measures of variability such as teleconnection~~ indices. OBSALL ~~delivers~~ provides a full-resolution ~~comparison~~ trace of the climate simulation in observation space using multiple observational networks (- This is currently done for data from the networks, allowing thus on-line monitoring of the simulation quality as well as posterior diagnosis with respect to observations. The monitoring results from both AQUA and OBSALL are summarised in via a dashboard used by operators and climate model developers to assess the quality of the simulation qualitys of the simulations as they are running on the fly as simulations are while it is they are still running.

- Action-oriented climate information: ~~C~~The Climate DT has embedded climate-impact applications are embedded within the workflow to generate. They act as data consumers that produce climate-related both global and regional indicators relevant for climate adaptation, such as. Current examples generate indicators for renewable energy, and water, and forest management. ~~C~~They have been co-designed with selected users, twith the aim of generating salient climate information. The applications exploituse the high frequency, high spatial and temporal resolution of the Climate DT data and ittaking advantage of the streaming capabilities to support the system salience to provide indicators with unprecedented spatial resolution. FurtherThe tiered data protocol that makes available either part or all of the simulation results through the DestinE platform allows other applications to use the Climate DT data offline. In every case, the information sources are accompanied by quality (scientific and technical) estimates, an essential element to build trust to generate credibility (Dee et al., 2024), while the uncertainty component requires additional work is required to advancemove uncertainty quantification beyond the current multi-model estimates ~~currently available~~.

The Climate DT ambitions respond to many of the objectives of the World Climate Research Programme and WMO's Scientific Advisory Panel³⁶ strategic vision. The Climate DT is an effort complementary to the sources offered by initiatives like CMIP and CORDEX, among others. These initiatives, ~~which are evolving to work with faster cycles (e.g., Dunne et al., 2025),~~ have different business models and governance, while theybut provide invaluable opportunities to exchange experiences and solutions with. The exchanges can follow the WMO concept of research to operations and operations to research transfers. ~~Synergies of the Climate DT with those initiatives require mutual recognition, identification of strengths and synergies, and continuous efforts to develop salient, equitable, and credible operational solutions for climate sensitive sectors.~~ The Climate DT, while computationally more expensive than existing climate simulation approaches, is a trailblazer for the use of computational resources so far largely unused by part of the climate community, such as GPU based platforms. It provides a necessary step for the delivery of equitable and timely climate information for climate adaptation anywhere on the globe. The flexibility in the simulation definition and data availability are highly innovative aspects of the Climate DT

³⁶ <https://community.wmo.int/en/activity-areas/scientific-advisory-panel>

operational ambition. The climate information is co-designed and co-produced with its users, while the interactivity uncovers new capabilities for users of this new climate information source.

9 Acronyms and project names

Table 1. Acronyms employed in the Climate DT description.

<u>Acronym</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>AI</u>	<u>Artificial Intelligence</u>
<u>AMSU-A</u>	<u>NASA's Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit</u>
<u>AQUA</u>	<u>Application for QUality Assessment</u>
<u>BA</u>	<u>Bias Adjustment</u>
<u>CatRaRE</u>	<u>Catalogue of Radar-based heavy Rainfall Events</u>
<u>CF</u>	<u>Climate and Forecast conventions</u>
<u>Climate DT</u>	<u>Destination Earth’s Climate Change Adaptation Digital Twin</u>
<u>CPU</u>	<u>Central Processing Unit</u>
<u>DESP</u>	<u>Destination Earth’s Service Platform</u>
<u>DTE</u>	<u>Digital Twin Engine</u>
<u>ECMWF</u>	<u>European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts</u>
<u>ERA5</u>	<u>Fifth generation of ECMWF’s atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate</u>
<u>EN4.2</u>	<u>Met Office’s subsurface temperature and salinity dataset for the global oceans v4.2</u>
<u>EUMETSAT</u>	<u>European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites</u>
<u>ESA</u>	<u>European Space Agency</u>
<u>ESMValTool</u>	<u>A community diagnostic and performance metrics tool for evaluation and analysis of Earth system models</u>
<u>ETCCDI</u>	<u>Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices</u>
<u>FDB</u>	<u>Field DataBase object store</u>
<u>FESOM</u>	<u>Finite-Element/volumE Sea ice-Ocean Model</u>

<u>FWI</u>	<u>Fire Weather Index</u>
<u>GPU</u>	<u>Graphical Processing Unit</u>
<u>GSV</u>	<u>Generic State Vector</u>
<u>HEALPix</u>	<u>Hierarchical Equal Area isoLatitude Pixelation grid</u>
<u>HPC</u>	<u>High-Performance Computing</u>
<u>ICON</u>	<u>A flexible, scalable, high-performance modelling framework for weather, climate and environmental modelling and prediction developed by the ICON partnership</u>
<u>IFS</u>	<u>Integrated Forecasting System</u>
<u>I/O</u>	<u>Input/Output</u>
<u>IPCC</u>	<u>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</u>
<u>LUMI</u>	<u>Large Unified Modern Infrastructure supercomputer</u>
<u>mHM</u>	<u>mesoscale Hydrologic Model</u>
<u>MN5</u>	<u>MareNostrum5 supercomputer</u>
<u>mRM</u>	<u>multiscale Routing Model</u>
<u>MultiIO</u>	<u>I/O server and post-processing pipelines</u>
<u>NEMO</u>	<u>Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean</u>
<u>ODB</u>	<u>Observation DataBase</u>
<u>OPA</u>	<u>One-pass algorithms</u>
<u>OBSALL</u>	<u>Observation-based quality assessment system</u>
<u>SDII</u>	<u>Simple Daily Intensity Index</u>
<u>WIPPS</u>	<u>WMO's Integrated Processing and Prediction System</u>
<u>WISE</u>	<u>Wildfire Safety Evaluator</u>
<u>WMO</u>	<u>World Meteorological Organisation</u>
<u>YAC</u>	<u>Coupling library for Earth system models</u>

936

937

<u>Project/initiative</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>CMIP</u>	<u>Coupled Model Intercomparison Project</u>
<u>CORDEX</u>	<u>COordinated Regional Climate Downscaling Experiment</u>
<u>DestinE</u>	<u>European Union's Destination Earth Initiative</u>
<u>EERIE</u>	<u>European Eddy-rich Earth System Models Horizon Europe project</u>
<u>EuroHPC/EuroHPC JU</u>	<u>European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking</u>
<u>Gloria</u>	<u>Research project funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science</u>
<u>nextGEMS</u>	<u>Next Generation Earth Modelling Systems Horizon Europe project</u>
<u>WarmWorld</u>	<u>Research project funded by the German Federal Ministry of Research Technology and Space</u>

Code and data availability

The Climate DT dataset is accessible via <https://doi.org/10.21957/d3f982672e>. There is a unique semantic data access for the data that allows users to clearly delineate the contributing models, experiment, dates, and variables, among other parameters. The availability policy of datasets in the data lake is defined in <https://destine-data-lake-docs.data.destination-earth.eu/en/latest/dedl-discovery-and-data-access/DestinE-Data-Policy-for-DestinE-Digital-Twin-Outputs/DestinE-Data-Policy-for-DestinE-Digital-Twin-Outputs.html>.

The ICON source code is available under <https://gitlab.dkrz.de/icon/icon-model> with doi:10.35089/wdcc/iconrelease2025.04, including all modifications used for this study. It can be used without any restrictions. The version of FESOM2.5 used in the Climate DT simulations is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10225420>. The FESOM2.5 model is also available from GitHub <https://github.com/FESOM/fesom2>. The IFS source code is available subject to a licence agreement with ECMWF. ECMWF member-state weather services and approved partners have granted access. The IFS code without modules for data assimilation is also available. IFS used version cycle 48r1, available under an openIFS licence (<http://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/projects/openifs>, OpenIFS licence, 2024) for educational and academic purposes, with corresponding modifications available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10223577>. The NEMO4.0 source code version used in the Climate-DT is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5566313>. The interfaces to NEMO can be obtained from ECMWF on request under the licence described above. Autosubmit is available at <https://github.com/BSC-ES/autosubmit> and the version used in the manuscript is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1559052>. The Climate DT workflow is available in <https://github.com/DestinE-Climate-DT/Workflow>, and the version used is archived available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15607598>. The AQUA code is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14906075>. The

OBSALL and OPA versions used are available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15628903> and <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14591827>, respectively. The code for the mHM model version used in the HydroLand application is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8279545>, while the code for the energy application is at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15609171>. The code developed for the wildfire FWI application is available from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15610494>.
Scripts and data to reproduce the figures of this manuscript can be found at <https://zenodo.org/records/15680547>.

Author contributions

AM, AS, BF, BS, CH, CS, DK, FD, FZ, HJ, IS, JH, JKon, [KK](#), MAc, MC, NK, NW, PB, PBr, PD, PM, PO, PP, RR, SCa, SMi, ST and UH contributed to conceptualization; AC, ALu, AS, BF, CH, CS, DK, FD, FR, HJ, HT, IS, JH, JKon, [KK](#), MAc, MC, NK, NW, PBr, PM, PO, PP, RR, SCa, SMi, SN, SP and UH contributed to project administration; AA, AC, AJ, AL, AMe, AN, BJ, BR, [CA](#), CF, CH, CHa, CPe, DB, DN, DS, ED, EV, FD, FR, HJ, HT, IA, IH, IG, IS, IW, JE, JJ, JKo, JKon, JRa, [KK](#), KG, LK, LKo, LT, MAc, MC, MG, MK, MM, MN, MR, NK, NN, PBr, PD, PG, PO, PR, PS, RR, SCa, SG, SMi, SMu, SN, SP, ST, SW, TC, UB, UH, UTi, VW and VG contributed to the software; AC, AJ, AL, AMe, AN, BJ, BR, CF, CPe, DS, EV, FR, HP, IA, IH, IW, JE, JKo, [KK](#), -KG, LK, LKo, LT, MAc, MC, MG, MN, NK, PBr, PR, SCa, SMi, ST, SW and VG contributed to investigation; AA, BJ, BN, [CA](#), FR, HJ, IG, JH, JRa, JSu, KG, KK, MN, MR, NK, NN, PD, PG, PO, SCa, SG, SMi and SS contributed to validation; AJ, AL, FD, HJ, JW, JKon, JRa, KG, LT, MC, MM, MN, OS, PD, PO and ST contributed to visualization; AA, AM, BN, CH, DN, ED, FD, HJ, IA, JH, JT, LK, LKo, LT, MAc, MC, MG, MN, NW, PBr, SMi and SW contributed to data curation; AN, FD, HJ, HT, JKon, KG, SN and SP contributed to writing – original draft; AL, AN, BF, CS, FD, FR, HJ, HT, IG, JKon, JRa, KG, LT, MAc, MC, NK, NW, PB, PBr, PD, PO, SMi, SN and ST contributed to writing – review & editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all their colleagues of the different participating institutes listed at <https://destine.ecmwf.int/provider> and from ECMWF for their collaboration to make this ambitious endeavour a reality. We also acknowledge the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking (JU) for awarding this project access to the EuroHPC supercomputer LUMI and MareNostrum5 through a EuroHPC JU Special Access call. The work presented in this paper has been produced in the context of the European Union's Destination Earth Initiative and relates to tasks entrusted by the European Union to the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts implementing part of this Initiative with funding by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European

Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them. [Ehsan Sharifi is acknowledged for his contribution to the initial development and delivery of the streaming bias-adjustment component, including methodological evaluation, workflow integration, and validation. Juniper Tyree \(University of Helsinki\) is acknowledged for her contribution to Figure 4.](#) Some of the authors have received support from the EERIE project (Grant Agreement No 101081383) funded by the European Union and some through WarmWorld (Better – 01LK2202A and Faster – 01LK2203A) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research. Some of the authors have received support of the GLORIA project (TED2021-129543B-I00) funded by the Spanish MICIN/AEI. This work was also supported by the S1 project: “Diagnosis and Metrics in Climate Models” of the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 181 “Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean”, funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation, project no. 274762653).

References

- Abatzoglou, J. T., Williams, A. P., and Barbero, R.: Global Emergence of Anthropogenic Climate Change in Fire Weather Indices, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 326–336, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080959>, 2019.
- Acosta, M. C., Palomas, S., Paronuzzi Ticco, S. V., Utrera, G., Biercamp, J., Bretonniere, P.-A., Budich, R., Castrillo, M., Caubel, A., Doblas-Reyes, F., Epicoco, I., Fladrich, U., Joussaume, S., Kumar Gupta, A., Lawrence, B., Le Sager, P., Lister, G., Moine, M.-P., Rioual, J.-C., Valcke, S., Zadeh, N., and Balaji, V.: The computational and energy cost of simulation and storage for climate science: lessons from CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 17, 3081–3098, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-3081-2024>, 2024.
- Alvarez Fanjul, E., Ciliberti, S., Pearlman, J., Wilmer-Becker, K., Bahurel, P., Ardhuin, F., Arnaud, A., Azizzadenesheli, K., Aznar, R., Bell, M., Bertino, L., Behera, S., Brassington, G., Calewaert, J. B., Capet, A., Chassignet, E., Ciavatta, S., Cirano, M., Clementi, E., Cornacchia, L., Cossarini, G., Coro, G., Corney, S., Davidson, F., Drevillon, M., Drillet, Y., Dussurget, R., El Serafy, G., Fearon, G., Fennel, K., Ford, D., Le Galloudec, O., Huang, X., Lellouche, J. M., Heimbach, P., Hernandez, F., Hogan, P., Hoteit, I., Joseph, S., Josey, S., Le Traon, P.-Y., Libralato, S., Mancini, M., Martin, M., Matte, P., McConnell, T., Melet, A., Miyazawa, Y., Moore, A. M., Novellino, A., O’Donncha, F., Porter, A., Qiao, F., Regan, H., Robert-Jones, J., Sanikommu, S., Schiller, A., Siddorn, J., Sotillo, M. G., Staneva, J., Thomas-Courcoux, C., Thupaki, P., Tonani, M., Garcia Valdecasas, J. M., Veitch, J., Von Schuckmann, K., Wan, L., Wilkin, J., Zhong, A., and Zufic, R.: Promoting best practices in ocean forecasting through an Operational Readiness Level, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 11, 1443284, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2024.1443284>, 2024.
- Argyroudis, S. A., Mitoulis, S. A., Chatzi, E., Baker, J. W., Brilakis, I., Gkoumas, K., Vousdoukas, M., Hynes, W., Carluccio, S., Keou, O., Frangopol, D. M., and Linkov, I.: Digital technologies can enhance climate resilience of critical infrastructure, *Climate Risk Management*, 35, 100387, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2021.100387>, 2022.

1019 Athanase, M., Sánchez-Benítez, A., Goessling, H. F., Pithan, F., and Jung, T.: Projected amplification of summer marine
1020 heatwaves in a warming Northeast Pacific Ocean, *Commun Earth Environ*, 5, 53, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01212-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01212-1)
1021 1, 2024.

1022 Bauer, P., Stevens, B., and Hazeleger, W.: A digital twin of Earth for the green transition, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 11, 80–83,
1023 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-00986-y>, 2021a.

1024 Bauer, P., Dueben, P. D., Hoefler, T., Quintino, T., Schulthess, T. C., and Wedi, N. P.: The digital revolution of Earth-system
1025 science, *Nat Comput Sci*, 1, 104–113, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00023-0>, 2021b.

1026 Bauer, P., Dueben, P., Chantry, M., Doblas-Reyes, F., Hoefler, T., McGovern, A., and Stevens, B.: Deep learning and a
1027 changing economy in weather and climate prediction, *Nat Rev Earth Environ*, 4, 507–509, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00468-z)
1028 023-00468-z, 2023.

1029 Baulenas, E., Bojovic, D., Urquiza, D., Terrado, M., Pickard, S., González, N., and Clair, A. L. St.: User Selection and
1030 Engagement for Climate Services Coproduction, *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 15, 381–392, [https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-](https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-22-0112.1)
1031 D-22-0112.1, 2023.

1032 Beech, N., Rackow, T., Semmler, T., and Jung, T.: Exploring the ocean mesoscale at reduced computational cost with FESOM
1033 2.5: efficient modeling strategies applied to the Southern Ocean, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 17, 529–543,
1034 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-529-2024>, 2024.

1035 Betts, R. A., Belcher, S. E., Hermanson, L., Klein Tank, A., Lowe, J. A., Jones, C. D., Morice, C. P., Rayner, N. A., Scaife,
1036 A. A., and Stott, P. A.: Approaching 1.5 °C: how will we know we’ve reached this crucial warming mark?, *Nature*, 624, 33–
1037 35, <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-03775-z>, 2023.

1038 Bojovic, D., St. Clair, A. L., Christel, I., Terrado, M., Stanzel, P., Gonzalez, P., and Palin, E. J.: Engagement, involvement and
1039 empowerment: Three realms of a coproduction framework for climate services, *Global Environmental Change*, 68, 102271,
1040 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102271>, 2021.

1041 Bracco, A., Brajard, J., Dijkstra, H. A., Hassanzadeh, P., Lessig, C., and Monteleoni, C.: Machine learning for the physics of
1042 climate, *Nature Reviews Physics*, 7, 6–20, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-024-00776-3>, 2025.

1043 Collins, M., Beverley, J. D., Bracegirdle, T. J., Catto, J., McCrystall, M., Dittus, A., Freychet, N., Grist, J., Hegerl, G. C.,
1044 Holland, P. R., Holmes, C., Josey, S. A., Joshi, M., Hawkins, E., Lo, E., Lord, N., Mitchell, D., Monerie, P.-A., Priestley, M.
1045 D. K., Scaife, A., Screen, J., Senior, N., Sexton, D., Shuckburgh, E., Siegert, S., Simpson, C., Stephenson, D. B., Sutton, R.,
1046 Thompson, V., Wilcox, L. J., and Woollings, T.: Emerging signals of climate change from the equator to the poles: new
1047 insights into a warming world, *Front. Sci.*, 2, 1340323, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsci.2024.1340323>, 2024.

1048 Dee, D., Obregon, A., and Buontempo, C.: Are Our Climate Data Fit for Your Purpose?, *Bulletin of the American*
1049 *Meteorological Society*, 105, E1723–E1733, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0295.1>, 2024.

1050 DestinE: Destination Earth Climate DT dataset (Version 1), Destination Earth Data Lake (ECMWF/EUMETSAT),
1051 <https://doi.org/10.21957/d3f982672e>, 2025.

1052 Doblas-Reyes, F. J. and others: Linking global to regional climate change, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science*
 1053 *Basis*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., and others, Cambridge
 1054 University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1363–1512, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.012>, 2021.

1055 Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Lera St Clair, A., Baldissera Pacchetti, M., Checchia, P., Cortekar, J., Klostermann, J. E. M., Krauß, W.,
 1056 Muñoz, Á. G., Mysiak, J., Paz, J., Terrado, M., Villwock, A., Volarev, M., and Zorita, S.: Standardisation of equitable climate
 1057 services by supporting a community of practice, *Climate Services*, 36, 100520, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2024.100520>,
 1058 2024.

1059 Donahue, A. S., Caldwell, P. M., Bertagna, L., Beydoun, H., Bogenschutz, P. A., Bradley, A. M., Clevenger, T. C., Foucar, J.,
 1060 Golaz, C., Guba, O., Hannah, W., Hillman, B. R., Johnson, J. N., Keen, N., Lin, W., Singh, B., Sreepathi, S., Taylor, M. A.,
 1061 Tian, J., Terai, C. R., Ullrich, P. A., Yuan, X., and Zhang, Y.: To exascale and beyond—The Simple Cloud-Resolving E3SM
 1062 Atmosphere Model (SCREAM), a performance portable global atmosphere model for cloud-resolving scales, *J Adv Model*
 1063 *Earth Syst*, 16, e2024MS004314, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024MS004314>, 2024.

1064 Döscher, R., Acosta, M., Alessandri, A., Anthoni, P., Arsouze, T., Bergman, T., Bernardello, R., Boussetta, S., Caron, L.-P.,
 1065 Carver, G., Castrillo, M., Catalano, F., Cvijanovic, I., Davini, P., Dekker, E., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Docquier, D., Echevarria,
 1066 P., Fladrich, U., Fuentes-Franco, R., Gröger, M., v. Hardenberg, J., Hieronymus, J., Karami, M. P., Keskinen, J.-P., Koenigk,
 1067 T., Makkonen, R., Massonnet, F., Ménégos, M., Miller, P. A., Moreno-Chamarro, E., Nieradzic, L., van Noije, T., Nolan, P.,
 1068 O'Donnell, D., Ollinaho, P., van den Oord, G., Ortega, P., Prims, O. T., Ramos, A., Reerink, T., Rousset, C., Ruprich-Robert,
 1069 Y., Le Sager, P., Schmith, T., Schrödner, R., Serva, F., Sicardi, V., Sloth Madsen, M., Smith, B., Tian, T., Tourigny, E., Uotila,
 1070 P., Vancoppenolle, M., Wang, S., Wårlind, D., Willén, U., Wyser, K., Yang, S., Yepes-Arbós, X., and Zhang, Q.: The EC-
 1071 Earth3 Earth system model for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 6, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 15, 2973–
 1072 3020, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-2973-2022>, 2022.

1073 Dunn, R. J. H., Willett, K. M., Morice, C. P., and Parker, D. E.: Pairwise homogeneity assessment of HadISD, *Clim. Past*, 10,
 1074 1501–1522, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-1501-2014>, 2014.

1075 Dunne, J. P., Hewitt, H. T., Arblaster, J., Bonou, F., Boucher, O., Cavazos, T., Durack, P. J., Hassler, B., Juckes, M., Miyakawa,
 1076 T., Mizielinski, M., Naik, V., Nicholls, Z., O'Rourke, E., Pincus, R., Sanderson, B. M., Simpson, I. R., and Taylor, K. E.: An
 1077 evolving Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 7 (CMIP7) and Fast Track in support of future climate assessment,
 1078 <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-3874>, 2025.

1079 ECMWF: ODB Overview, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), 2010.

1080 ECMWF: IFS documentation – CY41r1, Part I: Observations, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
 1081 (ECMWF), 2015.

1082 ECMWF: Pyodc documentation, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), 2025.

1083 EUCRA: European Climate Risk Assessment Report, EEA Report 01/2024, 2024.

1084 Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled
 1085 Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 1937–1958,
 1086 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016>, 2016.

1087 Eyring, V., Gentine, P., Camps-Valls, G., Lawrence, D. M., and Reichstein, M.: AI-empowered next-generation multiscale
 1088 climate modelling for mitigation and adaptation, *Nat. Geosci.*, 17, 963–971, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01527-w>,
 1089 2024.

1090 Fiedler, T., Pitman, A. J., Mackenzie, K., Wood, N., Jakob, C., and Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E.: Business risk and the emergence
 1091 of climate analytics, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 11, 87–94, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00984-6>, 2021.

1092 Good, S. A., Martin, M. J., and Rayner, N. A.: EN4: Quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly
 1093 objective analyses with uncertainty estimates, *JGR Oceans*, 118, 6704–6716, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009067>, 2013.

1094 Gorski, K. M., Hivon, E., Banday, A. J., Wandelt, B. D., Hansen, F. K., Reinecke, M., and Bartelmann, M.: HEALPix: A
 1095 Framework for High-Resolution Discretization and Fast Analysis of Data Distributed on the Sphere, *ApJ*, 622, 759–771,
 1096 <https://doi.org/10.1086/427976>, 2005.

1097 Grayson, K., Thober, S., Lacima-Nadolnik, A., Sharifi, E., Lledó, L., and Doblas-Reyes, F. J.: Statistical summaries for
 1098 streamed data from climate simulations: One-pass algorithms (v0.6.2), <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2025-28>, 2025.

1099 Haarsma, R. J., Roberts, M. J., Vidale, P. L., Senior, C. A., Bellucci, A., Bao, Q., Chang, P., Corti, S., Fučkar, N. S., Guemas,
 1100 V., Von Hardenberg, J., Hazeleger, W., Kodama, C., Koenigk, T., Leung, L. R., Lu, J., Luo, J.-J., Mao, J., Mizielinski, M. S.,
 1101 Mizuta, R., Nobre, P., Satoh, M., Scoccimarro, E., Semmler, T., Small, J., and Von Storch, J.-S.: High Resolution Model
 1102 Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP v1.0) for CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 4185–4208, [https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-4185-2016)
 1103 4185-2016, 2016.

1104 Haine, C., Haus, U.-U., Martinasso, M., Pleiter, D., Tessier, F., Sarmany, D., Smart, S., Quintino, T., and Tate, A.: A
 1105 Middleware Supporting Data Movement in Complex and Software-Defined Storage and Memory Architectures, in: *High*
 1106 *Performance Computing*, Cham, 346–357, 2021.

1107 Hallegatte, S.: Strategies to adapt to an uncertain climate change, *Global Environmental Change*, 19, 240–247,
 1108 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.12.003>, 2009.

1109 Hanke, M., Redler, R., Holfeld, T., and Yastremsky, M.: YAC 1.2.0: new aspects for coupling software in Earth system
 1110 modelling, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 2755–2769, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-2755-2016>, 2016.

1111 Hazeleger, W., Aerts, J. P. M., Bauer, P., Bierkens, M. F. P., Camps-Valls, G., Dekker, M. M., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Eyring,
 1112 V., Finkenaier, C., Grundner, A., Hachinger, S., Hall, D. M., Hartmann, T., Iglesias-Suarez, F., Janssens, M., Jones, E. R.,
 1113 Kölling, T., Lees, M., Lhermitte, S., Van Nieuwpoort, R. V., Pahker, A.-K., Pellicer-Valero, O. J., Pijpers, F. P., Siibak, A.,
 1114 Spitzer, J., Stevens, B., Vasconcelos, V. V., and Vossepoel, F. C.: Digital twins of the Earth with and for humans, *Commun*
 1115 *Earth Environ*, 5, 463, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01626-x>, 2024.

1116 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers,
 1117 D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara,

1118 G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L.,
 1119 Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P.,
 1120 Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal*
 1121 *Meteorological Society*, 146, 1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, 2020.
 1122 Hetzer, J., Forrest, M., Ribalaygua, J., Prado-López, C., and Hickler, T.: The fire weather in Europe: large-scale trends towards
 1123 higher danger, *Environmental Research Letters*, 19, 084017, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad5b09>, 2024.
 1124 Hewitt, C. D. and Stone, R.: Climate services for managing societal risks and opportunities, *Climate Services*, 23, 100240,
 1125 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2021.100240>, 2021.
 1126 Hoffmann, J., Bauer, P., Sandu, I., Wedi, N., Geenen, T., and Thiemert, D.: Destination Earth – A digital twin in support of
 1127 climate services, *Climate Services*, 30, 100394, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2023.100394>, 2023.
 1128 Hohenegger, C., Korn, P., Linardakis, L., Redler, R., Schnur, R., Adamidis, P., Bao, J., Bastin, S., Behraves, M., Bergemann,
 1129 M., Biercamp, J., Bockelmann, H., Brokopf, R., Brüggemann, N., Casaroli, L., Chegini, F., Datseris, G., Esch, M., George,
 1130 G., Giorgetta, M., Gutjahr, O., Haak, H., Hanke, M., Ilyina, T., Jahns, T., Jungclaus, J., Kern, M., Klocke, D., Kluft, L., Kölling,
 1131 T., Kornbluh, L., Kosukhin, S., Kroll, C., Lee, J., Mauritsen, T., Mehlmann, C., Mieslinger, T., Naumann, A. K., Paccini, L.,
 1132 Peinado, A., Praturi, D. S., Putrasahan, D., Rast, S., Riddick, T., Roeber, N., Schmidt, H., Schulzweida, U., Schütte, F., Segura,
 1133 H., Shevchenko, R., Singh, V., Specht, M., Stephan, C. C., von Storch, J.-S., Vogel, R., Wengel, C., Winkler, M., Ziemann, F.,
 1134 Marotzke, J., and Stevens, B.: ICON-Sapphire: simulating the components of the Earth system and their interactions at
 1135 kilometer and subkilometer scales, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 16, 779–811, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-779-2023>, 2023.
 1136 Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change (IPCC): Climate Change 2021 – The Physical Science Basis: Working Group
 1137 I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1st ed., Cambridge
 1138 University Press, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896>, 2023.
 1139 Jakob, C., Gettelman, A., and Pitman, A.: The need to operationalize climate modelling, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 13, 1158–1160,
 1140 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01849-4>, 2023.
 1141 John, A., Beyer, S., Athanase, M., Benítez, A. S., Goessling, H., Hossain, A., Nurisso, M., Aguridan, R., Andrés-Martínez,
 1142 M., Gaya-Àvila, A., Cheedela, S. K., Geier, P., Ghosh, R., Hadade, I., Koldunov, N. V., Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, X., Rackow,
 1143 T., Sandu, I., Sidorenko, D., Streffing, J., Vitali, E., and Jung, T.: Global Storyline Simulations at the Kilometre-scale,
 1144 <https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.173160166.64258929/v1>, 2025.
 1145 Jones, C. G., Adloff, F., Booth, B. B. B., Cox, P. M., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Frieler, K., Hewitt, H. T., Jeffery, H. A.,
 1146 Joussaume, S., Koenigk, T., Lawrence, B. N., O'Rourke, E., Roberts, M. J., Sanderson, B. M., Séférian, R., Somot, S., Vidale,
 1147 P. L., van Vuuren, D., Acosta, M., Bentsen, M., Bernardello, R., Betts, R., Blockley, E., Boé, J., Bracegirdle, T., Braconnot,
 1148 P., Brovkin, V., Buontempo, C., Doblas-Reyes, F., Donat, M., Epicoco, I., Falloon, P., Fiore, S., Frölicher, T., Fučkar, N. S.,
 1149 Gidden, M. J., Goessling, H. F., Graversen, R. G., Gualdi, S., Gutiérrez, J. M., Ilyina, T., Jacob, D., Jones, C. D., Jukes, M.,
 1150 Kendon, E., Kjellström, E., Knutti, R., Lowe, J., Mizielinski, M., Nassisi, P., Obersteiner, M., Regnier, P., Roebrig, R., Salas
 1151 y Méliá, D., Schleussner, C.-F., Schulz, M., Scoccimarro, E., Terray, L., Thiemann, H., Wood, R. A., Yang, S., and Zaehle,

1152 S.: Bringing it all together: science priorities for improved understanding of Earth system change and to support international
 1153 climate policy, *Earth System Dynamics*, 15, 1319–1351, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-1319-2024>, 2024.

1154 Junghänel, T., Bär, F., Deutschländer, T., Haberlandt, U., Otte, I., Shehu, B., Stöckel, H., Stricker, K., Thiele, L.-B., and
 1155 Willems, W.: Methodische Untersuchungen zur Novellierung der Starkregenstatistik für Deutschland (MUNSTAR).
 1156 Synthesebericht, German Weather Service (DWD), Germany, 2022.

1157 Kadow, C., Hall, D. M., and Ulbrich, U.: Artificial intelligence reconstructs missing climate information, *Nature Geoscience*,
 1158 13, 408–413, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0582-5>, 2020.

1159 Koldunov, N. and Jung, T.: Local climate services for all, courtesy of large language models, *Commun Earth Environ*, 5, 13,
 1160 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01199-1>, 2024.

1161 Kotz, M., Levermann, A., and Wenz, L.: The effect of rainfall changes on economic production, *Nature*, 601, 223–227,
 1162 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04283-8>, 2022.

1163 Kruk, M. C., Parker, B., Marra, J. J., Werner, K., Heim, R., Vose, R., and Malsale, P.: Engaging with Users of Climate
 1164 Information and the Coproduction of Knowledge, *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 9, 839–849, <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS->
 1165 [D-16-0127.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-16-0127.1), 2017.

1166 [Kuznetsov, I., Jost, A.A., Pantiukhin, D., Shapkin, B., Jung, T., and Koldunov, N.: Transforming climate services with LLMs](#)
 1167 [and multi-source data integration, npj Climate Action, 4, 97, https://doi.org/10.1038/s44168-025-00300-y, 2025.](#)

1168 [Lacima-Nadolnik, A., Grayson, K., Roura-Adserias, F., Ghosh, S., Keller, K., Batlle, M., Gonzalez-Yeregi, I., Samsó-Cabré,](#)
 1169 [M., Soret, A., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Near-term streamed climate information from kilometre-scale global climate models for](#)
 1170 [the wind energy sector, Energy and Climate Change, submitted, http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5509245, 2026.](#)

1171 Lauer, A., Bock, L., Hassler, B., Jöckel, P., Ruhe, L., and Schlund, M.: Monitoring and benchmarking Earth system model
 1172 simulations with ESMValTool v2.12.0, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 18, 1169–1188, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-18-1169-2025>,
 1173 2025.

1174 Lean, P., Hólm, E. V., Bonavita, M., Bormann, N., McNally, A. P., and Järvinen, H.: Continuous data assimilation for global
 1175 numerical weather prediction, *Quart. J. Royal Meteor. Soc.*, 147, 273–288, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3917>, 2021.

1176 Lengfeld, K., Walawender, E., Winterrath, T., and Becker, A.: CatRaRE: A Catalogue of radar-based heavy rainfall events in
 1177 Germany derived from 20 years of data, *Meteor. Zeitschrift*, 30, 469–487, <https://doi.org/10.1127/metz/2021/1088>, 2021.

1178 Leo, S., Crusoe, M. R., Rodríguez-Navas, L., Sirvent, R., Kanitz, A., De Geest, P., Wittner, R., Pireddu, L., Garijo, D.,
 1179 Fernández, J. M., Colonnelli, I., Gallo, M., Ohta, T., Suetake, H., Capella-Gutierrez, S., De Wit, R., Kinoshita, B. P., and
 1180 Soiland-Reyes, S.: Recording provenance of workflow runs with RO-Crate, *PLoS ONE*, 19, e0309210,
 1181 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309210>, 2024.

1182 Leuridan, M., Hawkes, J., Smart, S., Danovaro, E., and Quintino, T.: Polytope: An Algorithm for Efficient Feature Extraction
 1183 on Hypercubes, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.11553>, 2023.

1184 Lledó, L., Torralba, V., Soret, A., Ramon, J., and Doblas-Reyes, F. J.: Seasonal forecasts of wind power generation, *Renewable*
 1185 *Energy*, 143, 91–100, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.04.135>, 2019.

Madonna, F., Tramutola, E., Sy, S., Serva, F., Proto, M., Rosoldi, M., Gagliardi, S., Amato, F., Marra, F., Fassò, A., Gardiner, T., and Thorne, P. W.: The New Radiosounding HARMonization (RHARM) Data Set of Homogenized Radiosounding Temperature, Humidity, and Wind Profiles With Uncertainties, *JGR Atmospheres*, 127, e2021JD035220, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035220>, 2022.

Manubens-Gil, D., Vegas-Regidor, J., Prodhomme, C., Mula-Valls, O., and Doblas-Reyes, F. J.: Seamless management of ensemble climate prediction experiments on HPC platforms, in: 2016 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS), 2016 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS), Innsbruck, Austria, 895–900, <https://doi.org/10.1109/HPCSim.2016.7568429>, 2016.

~~Moreno-Chamarro, E., Caron, L. P., Ortega, P., Loosveldt Tomas, S., and Roberts, M. J.: Can we trust CMIP5/6 future projections of European winter precipitation?, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 16, 054063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abf28a>, 2021.~~

~~Moreno-Chamarro, E., Caron, L. P., Loosveldt Tomas, S., Vegas-Regidor, J., Gutjahr, O., Moine, M. P., Putrasahan, D., Roberts, C. D., Roberts, M. J., Senan, R., Terray, L., Tourigny, E., and Vidale, P. L.: Impact of increased resolution on long-standing biases in HighResMIP PRIMAVERA climate models, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 269–289, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-269-2022>, 2022.~~

Moreton, S. M., Ferreira, D., Roberts, M. J., and Hewitt, H. T.: Evaluating surface eddy properties in coupled climate simulations with ‘eddy-present’ and ‘eddy-rich’ ocean resolution, *Ocean Modelling*, 147, 101567, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2020.101567>, 2020.

~~Naik, V., Durack, P.J., Nicholls, Z., Buontempo, C., Dunne, J.P., Hewitt, H.T., Macintosh, C., and O’Rourke, E.: Climate models need more frequent releases of input data — here’s how to do it, *Nature*, 644, 874-875, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-025-02642-3>, 2025.~~

NOAA: Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-A, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/metadata/landing-page/bin/iso?id=gov.noaa.ncdc:C01681>, 2025

Nurisso, M., Caprioli, S., Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Nazarova, N., Ghosh, S., Ghinassi, P., Cadau, M., Tovazzi, E., Koldunov, N., Massonnet, F., Rajput, M. M., Sayed, S., Sharma, T., Sunny, J., Kluft, L., Kinoshita, B., and Ortega, P.: AQUA, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15044749>, 2025.

Orlove, B.: The concept of adaptation, *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 47, 535–581, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-112320-095719>, 2022.

Palmer, T. N.: A personal perspective on modelling the climate system, *Proc. R. Soc. A.*, 472, 20150772, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2015.0772>, 2016.

Pitman, A. J., Fiedler, T., Ranger, N., Jakob, C., Ridder, N., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S., Wood, N., and Abramowitz, G.: Acute climate risks in the financial system: examining the utility of climate model projections, *Environ. Res.: Climate*, 1, 025002, <https://doi.org/10.1088/2752-5295/ac856f>, 2022.

1219 Priestley, M. D. K., Dacre, H. F., Shaffrey, L. C., Hodges, K. I., and Pinto, J. G.: The role of serial European windstorm
1220 clustering for extreme seasonal losses as determined from multi-centennial simulations of high-resolution global climate model
1221 data, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 2991–3006, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-2991-2018>, 2018.

1222 Rackow, T., Danilov, S., Goessling, H. F., Hellmer, H. H., Sein, D. V., Semmler, T., Sidorenko, D., and Jung, T.: Delayed
1223 Antarctic sea-ice decline in high-resolution climate change simulations, *Nat Commun*, 13, 637,
1224 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28259-y>, 2022.

1225 Rackow, T., Koldunov, N., Lessig, C., Sandu, I., Alexe, M., Chantry, M., Clare, M., Dramsch, J., Pappenberger, F., Pedruzo-
1226 Bagazgoitia, X., Tietsche, S., and Jung, T.: Robustness of AI-based weather forecasts in a changing climate,
1227 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.18529>, 2024.

1228 Rackow, T., Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, X., Becker, T., Milinski, S., Sandu, I., Aguridan, R., Bechtold, P., Beyer, S., Bidlot, J.,
1229 Boussetta, S., Deconinck, W., Diamantakis, M., Dueben, P., Dutra, E., Forbes, R., Ghosh, R., Goessling, H. F., Hadade, I.,
1230 Hegewald, J., Jung, T., Keeley, S., Kluft, L., Koldunov, N., Koldunov, A., Kölling, T., Kousal, J., Kühnlein, C., Maciel, P.,
1231 Mogensen, K., Quintino, T., Polichtchouk, I., Reuter, B., Sármány, D., Scholz, P., Sidorenko, D., Streffing, J., Sützl, B.,
1232 Takasuka, D., Tietsche, S., Valentini, M., Vannière, B., Wedi, N., Zampieri, L., and Ziemer, F.: Multi-year simulations at
1233 kilometre scale with the Integrated Forecasting System coupled to FESOM2.5 and NEMOv3.4, *Geoscientific Model*
1234 *Development*, 18, 33–69, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-18-33-2025>, 2025.

1235 Rapella, L., Faranda, D., Gaetani, M., Drobinski, P., and Ginesta, M.: Climate change on extreme winds already affects off-
1236 shore wind power availability in Europe, *Environmental Research Letters*, 18, 034040, [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acbdb2)
1237 [9326/acbdb2](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acbdb2), 2023.

1238 Reichler, T. and Kim, J.: How Well Do Coupled Models Simulate Today’s Climate?, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological*
1239 *Society*, 89, 303–312, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-89-3-303>, 2008.

1240 Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., and Prabhat: Deep learning and process
1241 understanding for data-driven Earth system science, *Nature*, 566, 195–204, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1>, 2019.

1242 Roberts, M. J., Reed, K. A., Bao, Q., Barsugli, J. J., Camargo, S. J., Caron, L.-P., Chang, P., Chen, C.-T., Christensen, H. M.,
1243 Danabasoglu, G., Frenger, I., Fučkar, N. S., ul Hasson, S., Hewitt, H. T., Huang, H., Kim, D., Kodama, C., Lai, M., Leung,
1244 L.-Y. R., Mizuta, R., Nobre, P., Ortega, P., Paquin, D., Roberts, C. D., Scoccimarro, E., Seddon, J., Treguier, A. M., Tu, C.-
1245 Y., Ullrich, P. A., Vidale, P. L., Wehner, M. F., Zarzycki, C. M., Zhang, B., Zhang, W., and Zhao, M.: High-Resolution Model
1246 Intercomparison Project phase 2 (HighResMIP2) towards CMIP7, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 18, 1307–1332,
1247 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-18-1307-2025>, 2025.

1248 Rodrigues, R. R. and Shepherd, T. G.: Small is beautiful: climate-change science as if people mattered, *PNAS Nexus*, 1,
1249 [pgac009](https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac009), <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac009>, 2022.

1250 Samaniego, L., Kumar, R., and Attinger, S.: Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-based hydrologic model at the
1251 mesoscale, *Water Resources Research*, 46, 2008WR007327, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007327>, 2010.

1252 Sánchez-Benítez, A., Goessling, H., Pithan, F., Semmler, T., and Jung, T.: The July 2019 European Heat Wave in a Warmer
1253 Climate: Storyline Scenarios with a Coupled Model Using Spectral Nudging, *Journal of Climate*, 35, 2373–2390,
1254 <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0573.1>, 2022.

1255 Sandu, I.: Destination Earth’s digital twins and Digital Twin Engine – state of play, *ECMWF Newsletter*, 14–23,
1256 <https://doi.org/10.21957/is1fc736jx>, 2024.

1257 Sarmany, D., Valentini, M., Maciel, P., Geier, P., Smart, S., Aguridan, R., Hawkes, J., and Quintino, T.: MultiIO: A Framework
1258 for Message-Driven Data Routing For Weather and Climate Simulations, in: *Proceedings of the Platform for Advanced
1259 Scientific Computing Conference, PASC ’24: Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing Conference, Zurich Switzerland*,
1260 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3659914.3659938>, 2024.

1261 Schubert, J. E., Mach, K. J., and Sanders, B. F.: National-Scale Flood Hazard Data Unfit for Urban Risk Management, *Earth’s
1262 Future*, 12, e2024EF004549, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024EF004549>, 2024.

1263 Segura, H., Hohenegger, C., Wengel, C., and Stevens, B.: Learning by Doing: Seasonal and Diurnal Features of Tropical
1264 Precipitation in a Global-Coupled Storm-Resolving Model, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022GL101796,
1265 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101796>, 2022.

1266 Segura, H., Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, X., Weiss, P., Müller, S. K., Rackow, T., Lee, J., Dolores-Tesillos, E., Benedict, I.,
1267 Aengenheyster, M., Aguridan, R., Arduini, G., Baker, A. J., Bao, J., Bastin, S., Baulenas, E., Becker, T., Beyer, S.,
1268 Bockelmann, H., Brüggemann, N., Brunner, L., Cheedela, S. K., Das, S., Denissen, J., Dragaud, I., Dziekan, P., Ekblom, M.,
1269 Engels, J. F., Esch, M., Forbes, R., Frauen, C., Freischem, L., García-Maroto, D., Geier, P., Gierz, P., González-Cervera, Á.,
1270 Grayson, K., Griffith, M., Gutjahr, O., Haak, H., Hadade, I., Haslehner, K., ul Hasson, S., Hegewald, J., Kluft, L., Koldunov,
1271 A., Koldunov, N., Kölling, T., Koseki, S., Kosukhin, S., Kousal, J., Kuma, P., Kumar, A. U., Li, R., Maury, N., Meindl, M.,
1272 Milinski, S., Mogensen, K., Niraula, B., Nowak, J., Praturi, D. S., Proske, U., Putrasahan, D., Redler, R., Santuy, D., Sármány,
1273 D., Schnur, R., Scholz, P., Sidorenko, D., Spät, D., Sützl, B., Takasuka, D., Tompkins, A., Uribe, A., Valentini, M., Veerman,
1274 M., Voigt, A., Warnau, S., Wachsmann, F., Waclawczyk, M., Wedi, N., Wieners, K.-H., Wille, J., Winkler, M., Wu, Y.,
1275 Ziemer, F., Zimmermann, J., Bender, F. A.-M., Bojovic, D., Bony, S., Bordoni, S., Brehmer, P., Dengler, M., Dutra, E., Faye,
1276 S., Fischer, E., van Heerwaarden, C., Hohenegger, C., Järvinen, H., Jochum, M., et al.: nextGEMS: entering the era of
1277 kilometer-scale Earth system modeling, *EGUsphere*, 2025, 1–39, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2025-509>, 2025.

1278 Shaw, T. A., Arias, P. A., Collins, M., Coumou, D., Diedhiou, A., Garfinkel, C. I., Jain, S., Roxy, M. K., Kretschmer, M.,
1279 Leung, L. R., Narsey, S., Martius, O., Seager, R., Shepherd, T. G., Sörensson, A. A., Stephenson, T., Taylor, M., and Wang,
1280 L.: Regional climate change: consensus, discrepancies, and ways forward, *Front. Clim.*, 6, 1391634,
1281 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2024.1391634>, 2024.

1282 ~~Slingo, J., Bates, P., Bauer, P., Belcher, S., Palmer, T., Stephens, G., Stevens, B., Stocker, T., and Teutsch, G.: Ambitious
1283 partnership needed for reliable climate prediction, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 12, 499–503, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01384-8>, 2022.~~

1285 Soares, P. M. M., Careto, J. A. M., Cardoso, R. M., Goergen, K., Katragkou, E., Sobolowski, S., Coppola, E., Ban, N., Belušić,
 1286 D., Berthou, S., Caillaud, C., Dobler, A., Hodnebrog, Ø., Kartsios, S., Lenderink, G., Lorenz, T., Milovac, J., Feldmann, H.,
 1287 Pichelli, E., Truhetz, H., Demory, M. E., De Vries, H., Warrach-Sagi, K., Keuler, K., Raffa, M., Tölle, M., Sieck, K., and
 1288 Bastin, S.: The added value of km-scale simulations to describe temperature over complex orography: the CORDEX FPS-
 1289 Convection multi-model ensemble runs over the Alps, *Clim Dyn*, 62, 4491–4514, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06593-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06593-7)
 1290 7, 2024.
 1291 Stevens, B.: A perspective on the future of CMIP, *AGU Advances*, 5, e2023AV001086,
 1292 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023AV001086>, 2024.
 1293 Stevens, B., Adami, S., Ali, T., Anzt, H., Aslan, Z., Attinger, S., Bäck, J., Baehr, J., Bauer, P., Bernier, N., Bishop, B.,
 1294 Bockelmann, H., Bony, S., Brasseur, G., Bresch, D. N., Breyer, S., Brunet, G., Buttigieg, P. L., Cao, J., Castet, C., Cheng, Y.,
 1295 Dey Choudhury, A., Coen, D., Crewell, S., Dabholkar, A., Dai, Q., Doblas-Reyes, F., Durran, D., El Gaidi, A., Ewen, C.,
 1296 Exarchou, E., Eyring, V., Falkinhoff, F., Farrell, D., Forster, P. M., Frassoni, A., Frauen, C., Fuhrer, O., Gani, S., Gerber, E.,
 1297 Goldfarb, D., Grieger, J., Gruber, N., Hazeleger, W., Herken, R., Hewitt, C., Hoefler, T., Hsu, H.-H., Jacob, D., Jahn, A.,
 1298 Jakob, C., Jung, T., Kadow, C., Kang, I.-S., Kang, S., Kashinath, K., Kleinen-von Königslöw, K., Klocke, D., Kloenne, U.,
 1299 Klöwer, M., Kodama, C., Kollet, S., Kölling, T., Kontkanen, J., Kopp, S., Koran, M., Kulmala, M., Lappalainen, H., Latifi,
 1300 F., Lawrence, B., Lee, J. Y., Lejeun, Q., Lessig, C., Li, C., Lippert, T., Luterbacher, J., Manninen, P., Marotzke, J., Matsouoka,
 1301 S., Merchant, C., Messmer, P., Michel, G., Michielsen, K., Miyakawa, T., Müller, J., Munir, R., Narayanasetti, S., Ndiaye, O.,
 1302 Nobre, C., Oberg, A., Oki, R., Özkan-Haller, T., Palmer, T., Posey, S., Prein, A., Primus, O., Pritchard, M., Pullen, J.,
 1303 Putrasahan, D., et al.: Earth Virtualization Engines (EVE), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 16, 2113–2122, [https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-2113-2024)
 1304 16-2113-2024, 2024.
 1305 Streffing, J., Sidorenko, D., Semmler, T., Zampieri, L., Scholz, P., Andrés-Martínez, M., Koldunov, N., Rackow, T., Kjellsson,
 1306 J., Goessling, H., Athanase, M., Wang, Q., Hegewald, J., Sein, D. V., Mu, L., Fladrich, U., Barbi, D., Gierz, P., Danilov, S.,
 1307 Juricke, S., Lohmann, G., and Jung, T.: AWI-CM3 coupled climate model: description and evaluation experiments for a
 1308 prototype post-CMIP6 model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 6399–6427, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-6399-2022>, 2022.
 1309 Tao, F. and Qi, Q.: Make more digital twins, *Nature*, 573, 490–491, <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-02849-1>, 2019.
 1310 Taylor, M., Caldwell, P. M., Bertagna, L., Clevenger, C., Donahue, A., Foucar, J., Guba, O., Hillman, B., Keen, N., Krishna,
 1311 J., Norman, M., Sreepathi, S., Terai, C., White, J. B., Salinger, A. G., McCoy, R. B., Ruby Leung, L., Bader, D. C., Wu, D.:
 1312 The simple cloud-resolving E3SM atmosphere model running on the Frontier exascale system, *Proceedings of the International*
 1313 *Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, 7, 1-11,
 1314 <https://doi.org/10.1145/3581784.3627044>, 2023.
 1315 Thober, S., Cuntz, M., Kelbling, M., Kumar, R., Mai, J., and Samaniego, L.: The multiscale routing model mRM v1.0: simple
 1316 river routing at resolutions from 1 to 50 km, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 12, 2501–2521, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2501-2019>,
 1317 2019.

1318 Touma, D., Stevenson, S., Lehner, F., and Coats, S.: Human-driven greenhouse gas and aerosol emissions cause distinct
 1319 regional impacts on extreme fire weather, *Nature Communications*, 12, 212, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20570-w>,
 1320 2021.

1321 Uruchi, W., Castrillo, M., and Beltrán, D.: Autosubmit GUI: A Javascript-based Graphical User Interface to Monitor
 1322 Experiments Workflow Execution, *JOSS*, 6, 3049, <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03049>, 2021.

1323 Virman, M., Bister, M., Sinclair, V. A., Järvinen, H., and Räisänen, J.: A New Mechanism for the Dependence of Tropical
 1324 Convection on Free-Tropospheric Humidity, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 2516–2523,
 1325 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018GL077032>, 2018.

1326 Virman, M., Bister, M., Räisänen, J., Sinclair, V. A., and Järvinen, H.: Radiosonde comparison of ERA5 and ERA-Interim
 1327 reanalysis datasets over tropical oceans, *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 73, 1929752,
 1328 <https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2021.1929752>, 2021.

1329 Wedi, N., Bauer, P., Sandu, I., Hoffmann, J., Sheridan, S., Cereceda, R., Quintino, T., Thiemert, D., and Geenen, T.:
 1330 Destination Earth: High-performance computing for weather and climate, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, 24, 29–37,
 1331 <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2023.3260519>, 2022.

1332 Wedi, N., Sandu, I., Bauer, P., Acosta, M., Andersen, R. C., Andrae, U., Auger, L., Balsamo, G., Baousis, V., Bennett, V.,
 1333 Bennett, A., Buontempo, C., Bretonnière, P.-A., Capell, R., Castrillo, M., Chantry, M., Chevallier, M., Correa, R., Davini, P.,
 1334 Denby, L., Doblas-Reyes, F.J., Dueben, P., Fischer, C., Frauen, C., Frogner, I.-L., Früh, B., Gascón, E., Gérard, E., Gorwits,
 1335 O., Geenen, T., Grayson, K., Guenova-Rubio, N., Hadade, I., von Hardenberg, J., Haus, U.-U., Hawkes, J., Hirtl, M.,
 1336 Hoffmann, J., Horvath, K., Järvinen, H., Jung, T., Kann, A., Klocke, D., Koldunov, N., Kontkanen, J., Sievi-Korte, O.,
 1337 Kristiansen, J., Kuwertz, E., Mäkelä, J., Maljutenko, I., Manninen, P., McKnight, U. S., Milinski, S., Mueller, A., McNally,
 1338 A., Modigliani, U., Narayanappa, D., Nielsen, K. P., Nipen, T., Nortamo, H., Peuch, V.-H., Polade, S., Quintino, T., Schicker,
 1339 I., Reuter, B., Smart, S., Sleigh, M., Suttie, M., Termonia, P., Thober, S., Randriamampianina, R., Theeuwes, N., Thiemert,
 1340 D., Vannière, B., Vannitsem, S., Wittmann, C., Yang, X., Pontaud, M., Stevens, B., Pappenberger, and F.: Implementing digital
 1341 twin technology of the earth system in Destination Earth, *Journal of the European Meteorological Society*, 3, 100015,
 1342 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemets.2025.100015>, 2025.

1343 Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, Ij. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., Da
 1344 Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A. J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S.,
 1345 Evelo, C. T., Finkers, R., Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Gray, A. J. G., Groth, P., Goble, C., Grethe, J. S., Heringa, J., 'T Hoen, P. A.
 1346 C., Hooft, R., Kuhn, T., Kok, R., Kok, J., Lusher, S. J., Martone, M. E., Mons, A., Packer, A. L., Persson, B., Rocca-Serra, P.,
 1347 Roos, M., Van Schaik, R., Sansone, S.-A., Schultes, E., Sengstag, T., Slater, T., Strawn, G., Swertz, M. A., Thompson, M.,
 1348 Van Der Lei, J., Van Mulligen, E., Velterop, J., Waagmeester, A., Wittenburg, P., Wolstencroft, K., Zhao, J., and Mons, B.:
 1349 The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship, *Sci Data*, 3, 160018,
 1350 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18>, 2016.

1351 Wright, L. and Davidson, S.: How to tell the difference between a model and a digital twin, Adv. Model. and Simul. in Eng.
1352 Sci., 7, 13, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40323-020-00147-4>, 2020.