

# A multimillennial Alpine ice core chronology synchronized with an accurately dated Arctic Pb record

Paolo Gabrielli<sup>1,\*</sup>, Theo M. Jenk<sup>2,3,\*</sup>, Michele Bertó<sup>4</sup>, Giuliano Dreossi<sup>4</sup>, Daniela Festi<sup>5</sup>, Werner Kofler<sup>5</sup>,  
5 Mai Winstrup<sup>6</sup>, Klaus Oegg<sup>5</sup>, Margit Schwikowski<sup>2,3,7</sup>, Barbara Stenni<sup>4</sup> and Carlo Barbante<sup>4,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Italian Glaciological Committee c/o University of Turin, Turin, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Center for Energy and Environmental Sciences, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, Informatics and Statistics, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Venice-Mestre, 30170,  
10 Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Botany, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 6020, Austria

<sup>6</sup>DTU Space, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, 2800, Denmark

<sup>7</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>8</sup>Institute of Polar Sciences-CNR, Venice-Mestre, 30170, Italy

15 \*Equally contributed to this manuscript

*Correspondence to:* Paolo Gabrielli ([paologabrielli@hotmail.com](mailto:paologabrielli@hotmail.com)), Theo Jenk ([theo.jenk@psi.ch](mailto:theo.jenk@psi.ch)) and Carlo Barbante ([barbante@unive.it](mailto:barbante@unive.it))

**Abstract.** A low-~~latitude~~, ~~high~~-altitude Alpine ice core record was obtained in 2011 from the glacier Alto dell'Ortles (3859 m, Eastern Alps, Italy). A preliminary ~~absolute~~-timescale (TC2016) based on absolute time markers such as a peak in <sup>3</sup>H activity, ~~from~~ and <sup>210</sup>Pb dating, and <sup>14</sup>C dating of carbonaceous particles and organic remains provided evidence of one of the oldest Alpine ice core records, extending back to the last Northern Hemisphere Climatic Optimum and spanning the last ~7000 years, ~~back to the last Northern Hemisphere Climatic Optimum~~. Here we ~~provide~~ present an additional ~~number of~~ time markers that corroborate the multimillennial nature of the Alto dell'Ortles ice cores ~~while~~ and significantly ~~decreasing~~ reduce the uncertainty of the chronology. First, <sup>14</sup>C dating of an additional organic fragment (a charred spruce needle) discovered next to  
25 the basal ice provided an age (232 ± 126 BCE) which agrees with previous <sup>14</sup>C dates in the oldest part of the record. Second, novel seasonally resolved pollen records from the upper firn/ice portion of the Alto dell'Ortles cores were combined with δ<sup>18</sup>O and dust annual variations to refine the dating for the 20<sup>th</sup> century by means of an automatic algorithm (Straticounter; between

1927 and 2011 CE) and visual counting (from 1900 to 1926 CE). The new and previous time markers were combined into a revised intermediate timescale (CP2025/1) by fitting ~~using-with~~ Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation (COPRA model). CP2025/1 ~~was then used-served as the basis~~ for ~~temporal synchronizing-synchronization of~~ a novel Pb concentration record obtained from the Alto dell'Ortles cores ~~with-to~~ a ~~well-dated ( $\pm 5$  years)~~ Pb record from an array of Arctic ice cores (AN), ~~with~~  
5 ~~well-dated ( $\pm 5$  years)-for synchronisation performed for the period~~ ~~the period from -200175 BCE to -19001755 CE-period.~~ ~~The-Possible~~ ties ~~used~~ for matching the two Pb records were ~~thereby constrained to-by the requirement that resulting age shifts~~ ~~remained within~~~~shift the age scale only within-~~ the ~~range of overlap between the 1-2 $\sigma$  dating~~ uncertainty of CP2025/1 and ~~of~~ the ~~uncertainty estimates of the~~ selected tie-points (1-~~to~~ 2 ~~$\sigma$ -sigma~~, in the ancient part; 1 ~~$\sigma$ -sigma~~, in the recent part). The correlation obtained after synchronization is 0.44 (Pearson's  $r$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), demonstrating that these two distant atmospheric  
10 Pb records share a large portion of their variability back to 200 BCE. ~~Most importantly for this study, The-the~~ synchronization ~~of CP2025/1 with AN~~ resulted in a; further refined, final timescale ~~with a strongly reduced dating uncertainty~~ (CP2025/2). ~~An~~ ~~Investigation of CP2025/1 and CP2025/2 by means of using~~ a simple 1-D ~~ice~~ flow model suggests that non-steady-state conditions, ~~in-particular~~ly changes in ~~past-net~~ accumulation rates, ~~need-to-must~~ be considered to ~~provide-a-fully physical~~ explanation of the ~~obtained~~ age-depth relationship-~~obtained~~. -The new Alto dell'Ortles CP2025/2 chronology of improved  
15 accuracy ~~and precision~~ will allow to constrain ~~Central European~~ Holocene climatic and environmental histories emerging from this high-altitude glacial archive-~~of Central Europe~~. The novel combination of methodologies used may also be adopted to build, or improve, the chronologies of other ice cores extracted from-~~low-~~latitude/high-altitude glaciers ~~that-which~~ typically suffer from larger dating uncertainties ~~when~~ compared ~~to-with~~ well-~~-~~dated polar records.

## 20 1 Introduction

Ice cores extracted from polar regions and high-altitude/low-latitudes glaciers are archives of past climatic-environmental histories as they record physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the past atmosphere. To interpretate this information, it is of fundamental importance to link the englacial depth of the various ice sections to the timing of the original snow deposition. This provides a function that is commonly known as chronology.

25 Counting annual layers, establishing time markers (e.g. volcanic horizons,  $^{10}\text{Be}$ ,  $^3\text{H}$  peaks etc.), synchronizing with other dated paleo-records, and developing ice flow models are widely used methods to precisely and accurately date ice cores from polar regions (Parrenin et al., 2007; Svensson et al., 2020), where the negligible/slow horizontal flow at the drilling sites and the largely below freezing englacial temperatures allow a full physical-chemical preservation of the ice stratigraphy and the time markers embedded within the accumulated snow layers. In contrast, dating ice layers from high-altitude/low-latitude  
30 glaciers is more challenging because of: i) their smaller ice thicknesses, implying that counting annual layers is usually limited to the upper 50 to 100 meters (typically covering only a few centuries) (Schwikowski et al., 2014); ii) a larger horizontal flow at the drilling sites that can quickly alter/disrupt the original ice stratigraphy (Thompson et al., 2000), and; iii) increasingly widespread post depositional processes that are linked to modern warmer air temperature and summer meltwater percolation which can overprint (or prevent the full conservation of) the time markers embedded in the glaciers (Gabrielli et al., 2010).

In 2011 we extracted four 60-75 meter long ice cores located within 10 m from each other from the Alto dell'Ortles glacier (3859 m), near the summit of Mt. Ortles (3905 m) in the Eastern Alps, Italy. By using the three ~75 m longest cores (from now on cores #1, #2 and #3; with core #4 of only ~60 m being archived for future analyses) we demonstrated that the upper firn portion of the Alto dell'Ortles glacier was temperate, with intense summer meltwater percolation that possibly affected this drilling site since the 1980s. However, the underlying ice was cold (-2.8 °C near the bedrock) (Gabrielli et al., 2012) which preserved stratigraphy that was thousands of years old (Gabrielli et al., 2016). Our discovery of millennial-age ice in the Eastern Alps was confirmed by another ice core record extracted from the nearby drilling site of Weißseespitze (3500 m, Austria) (Bohleber et al., 2020).

In order to develop an initial chronology, we previously identified well-defined  $^3\text{H}$  and beta activity peaks at 41 m depth from atmospheric thermonuclear testing attributed to 1963 and used the activity of  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  to date the Alto dell'Ortles cores to 59 m depth (~1930 CE) (Gabrielli et al., 2016). In addition, determination of a  $^{14}\text{C}$  age of a larch needle embedded near the basal ice, along with  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating of several ice samples (using the water insoluble organic carbon fraction entrapped; WIOC-14; Uglietti et al., 2016; Jenk et al., 2009; Hoffmann et al., 2018; [Legrand et al., 2025](#)) allowed us to obtain absolute time markers from the deepest ice, the age of which was calculated to date back to ~7000 years. All the age constraints obtained were used to build an initial chronology (TC2016 from now on) by means of Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation (COPRA model; Breitenbach et al., 2012), which also provided time uncertainty as a function of depth from a few years in the upper modern firn layers to  $\pm 500$  years in the deepest portion of this ice core (Gabrielli et al., 2016).

A large uncertainty in TC2016 ~~occurred~~ not only exists for the basal layers of the ice core but also ~~in the~~ intermediate depths (60-72 m), dated between 1900 and 200 CE (e.g.,  $\sim \pm 50$  years at 1850 CE,  $\sim \pm 100$  at 1650 CE and  $\sim \pm 400$  at 500 CE). The relative time uncertainty (~~50-70%~~) of the intermediate portion of the record (50-70%) was higher than both for the modern (1900 -2011 CE, 5-25% uncertainty) and the oldest (200 CE – 5000 BCE; 10-30% uncertainty) sections. ~~Altogether, this large absolute and relative uncertainty posed questions on the ability of TC2016 to reconstruct precise climatic and environmental histories of the Alps and Central Europe from these cores. In particular, the large uncertainty in of the this intermediate portion of the cores section is essentially due to arises from i) the a lack of time markers such as volcanic horizons; and ii) the use-availability of only two WIOC-14  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages (1355  $\pm$  205 CE and 429  $\pm$  286 CE) above 72 m depth (after 200 CE), with These ages were also the younger of them is characterized by a relatively large uncertainty due to the intrinsic limits of the applied  $^{14}\text{C}$  technique-method to date organics that were centuries to for ages of less than 500 years millennia old (combination of increased analytical uncertainty for small samples and the relative flatness of the atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration curve during this period; (Uglietti et al., 2016). Altogether, the is large absolute and relative uncertainty posed questions on the suitabilityability of TC2016 to for the reconstruction of precise meaningful climatic and environmental histories of the Alps and Central Europe from these cores.~~

Here we report additional stratigraphic/time markers, ~~the use of which, while confirmings~~ TC2016 within ~~the time its~~ uncertainty, allow its improvement-presented. Therefore, Using-using the same approach as used for TC2016, an updated version of TC2016 was first ~~build as an~~ intermediate timescale was built, in the following named CP2025/1, which already

gaining from an improved depth alignment of the three Alto dell'Ortles cores ~~used used, for which a greatly (by an~~ increased number of tie points obtained from the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records ~~was utilized~~, and newly available ~~time markers~~ Pb records). ~~In particular, the as well as from~~ new, additional age constraints ~~were obtained~~ from: 1)  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating of an additional organic fragment (a charred spruce needle;  $232 \pm 126$  BCE) discovered near the bottom ice (72.82 m) in core #1; and 2) the automated counting of annual layers with Straticounter (Winstrup et al., 2012; Winstrup, 2016) based on pollen,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and dust records from core #1 from 2011 to 1927 CE (51 m depth in core #1) and visual counting from 1926 to 1900 CE (57 m depth in core #1). To further refine the chronology, We used the CP2025/1 timescale then served as the basis for to perform ~~synchronizing synchronization between~~ the high resolution non-crustal Pb ~~crustal excess Pb~~ concentration (ncPb) ~~record in~~ from core #3 ~~(which was substantiated by a second, less resolved Pb record from core #1) to with~~ a corresponding well-dated ( $\pm 5$  years) Arctic record (McConnell et al., 2019) from for the period from -1900-1755 CE back to -200-175 BCE for further refinement of the chronology. Thereby, allowed shifts in age were constrained by the requirement to be consistent within the CP2025/1 dating uncertainty, largely defined by the absolute dating (i.e., the calibrated  $^{14}\text{C}$  age probability range). Last, for a model based assessment and discussion, the finally derived, empirical chronology CP2025/2, of improved accuracy and precision, was finally compared, we applied to results from the Dansgaard-Johnsen ~~glaciological~~ ice flow model (Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969) applied to the Alto dell'Ortles drilling site ~~to investigate the physical nature of the therefrom empirically derived revised empirical chronologies CP2025/1 and CP2025/2.~~

## 2 Alto dell'Ortles ice cores: re-alignment of depth scales

The first step to develop a more accurate chronology was to revise the previously published (Gabielli et al., 2016) common depth scale for the three Alto dell'Ortles cores, using core #2 for depth reference as we did for TC2016 (see Supplementary Text 1). Depth realignments of core #2 with cores #1 and #3 were performed in two steps using the Analyseries 2.0.8 software (Paillard et al., 1996). First, the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records were more finely matched using additional tie points between cores #1 and #2 (for a total of 122 points) and between cores #3 and #2 (87 points). In this case the 122 tie points between cores #2 and #1 provide a Pearson correlation  $r = 0.78$  (significant at  $p < 0.01$ ) between all the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values aligned on core #2 depth while 87 tie points between cores #2 and #3 give  $r = 0.79$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). For comparison, in TC2016 the 17 tie points between cores #2 and #1 provided  $r = 0.72$  ( $p < 0.01$ ) while 14 tie points in cores #2 and #3 gave  $r = 0.67$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). ~~Overall, the increase in r for CP2023 suggests a refinement of~~ underlines the improvement in the depth alignments compared to the one performed previously for TC2016 as also illustrated in Fig 1.

While a more detailed alignment was obtained (Fig. 1, blue vertical lines), a lack of tie points persisted within the 60-73 m depth interval between cores #2 and #3. In TC2016 this was an unresolved issue ~~problem because, as we only realized later after publication, this resulted in~~ a depth misalignment of a few tens of cm, ~~which resulted in and consequentially in~~ a significant time lag (up to  $\sim 300$  years) between the three cores (see Supplementary Text 1). To also refine the depth alignment of cores #2 and #3 in this interval, To better align the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  #2 and #3 records in this interval, 31 additional

tie points were ~~considered in this study~~obtained (Fig. 1, red vertical lines). ~~Those were determined from~~by matching the main features in the ~~two~~ high resolution Pb concentration records ~~from~~ independently ~~determined~~ analysis in cores #1 and #3; by discrete Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and continuous flow analysis (CFA) ICP-Sector Field MS (ICP-SFMS) at the University of Venice and at the Ohio State University, respectively (Gabrieli, 2008; Gabrieli and Barbante, 2014) (Fig. 2). ~~Details on the Pb concentration analysis are provided in Supplementary Text 2, which also includes a brief discussion of the method induced offset in absolute concentrations observed between cores #1 and #3. We note that, since the Pb records are used exclusively for depth alignment in this section and for synchronization in Sect. 5 – with the primary goal of improving the chronology, particularly in terms of uncertainty – a detailed environmental, geochemical, or historical interpretation lies beyond the scope of this manuscript.~~

With Pb ~~was~~ not determined in core #2 due to insufficient ice volume. ~~Also note that T~~the environmental and detailed geochemical interpretation of the Pb record, here used for depth alignment and synchronisation only, is not within the scope of this paper and will be discussed in detail in a separate manuscript.

~~———— We note that the observed differences in Pb concentrations between cores #1 and #3 are probably due to the different acid leaching time between continuous flow analysis (CFA; using online acidification; core #3) and discrete analysis (adopting pre-acidification of aliquots during sample preparation; core #1). In any case, different acidification methods do not affect Pb trends and features (maxima, minima, Pb variations) used for wiggle matching. The different spatial resolution obtained by using either Pb determination method (—0.2 cm and—4 cm in the cores #3 and #1, respectively) potentially causes still slight depth misalignments which are nevertheless negligible with respect to the final temporal uncertainty within this depth interval (a few years compared to around 10% of the age at this depth, see below). Thus dD~~depth alignment based on the Pb records

between 60 and 73 m was performed in three steps: i) by matching the Pb concentration records in cores #1 and #3 (31 tie points obtained) using Analyseries 2.0.8 software (Paillard et al., 1996) (Fig. 2); ii) by ~~then~~ transferring the depths of the 31 Pb points in core #1 to core #2 using the depth map obtained by matching cores #1 and #2 using  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , see above; and iii) by linking the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  stable isotope records in cores #2 and #3 over this interval using as a guide the depth of the 31 supplemental Pb tie points. The final correlation obtained within this depth interval between the two Pb records is  $r = 0.91$  ( $p < 0.01$ ).

~~In conclusion, we~~ We note that the significant correlations between the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and Pb records from ~~the different~~ Altdell'Ortles cores ~~provide further evidence of their~~indicates a high degree of reproducibility, ~~which~~. This reproducibility also confirms the ~~lack~~ absence of ~~any observed~~ stratigraphic disturbance ~~due to subsurface ice flow~~ at this drilling site (Gabrielli et al., 2016).




Figure 1: Revised depth alignments using the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records from the Alto dell'Ortles cores #1 (in black, continuous and dotted lines), #2 (in blue, continuous and dotted lines) and #3 (in red, continuous and dotted lines) over the entire lengths (upper panel) and the bottom portions (lower panel) of the cores. A common core #2 depth (X axis) is used in the mid panel for displaying of the three matched records, while the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records in the top and bottom panels are shown on their original depth scales. Blue vertical lines indicate the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  tie points while red lines indicate tie points obtained through the Pb records of cores #1 and #3 (see Fig. 2). Adapted from Fig. 10 in Gabrielli et al., (2016).




Figure 2: Pb concentrations in the deep portion of cores #3 (red; Continuous Flow Analysis ICP-SFMS, the Ohio State University) and #1 (blue; discrete ICP-MS analysis, University of Venice) at their respective #1 and #3 depth scales (upper two panels) and matched to core #3 depth (lower panel).

5

### 3 Time markers

10 ~~With~~By use of the improved~~ment of the~~ depth alignment of the three Alto dell'Ortles cores, ~~we combined~~the ~~original~~ already existing time markers from TC2016 and new markers, ~~(Table 1)~~obtained from the respective analyses in the three cores (Table 1), could be combined on a revised common depth scale (Supplementary Tables 1-2; subsequently used to

15 ~~construct the revised time scale, see sect. 4 and 6)~~to construct CP2025/1, a first revised version of the previously published ~~TC2016 timescale~~. A new time marker ~~in~~ in the deepest portion ~~was obtained from an additional new~~  $^{14}\text{C}$  age ( $232 \pm 126$  BCE) ~~was included (see paragraph~~sect 3.1; Table 2). ~~and~~to refine the chronology ~~of the younger part~~ from 1900 to 2011 CE, ~~we retained the beta and  $^3\text{H}$  activity peaks of 1955 and 1963 CE from TC2016,~~ added three additional time constraints (2006, 1995, 1986 CE; Table 3) and 113 new annual time markers obtained by instrumental and visual annual layer counting (ALC)

of pollen, dust and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  ~~(Paragraph 3.2; Supplementary Tables 1-2)~~were considered ~~were added~~, while also still relying ~~while~~on the beta and  $^3\text{H}$  activity peaks related to 1955 and 1963 CE, respectively, previously used also ~~and used in~~from in the construction of TC2016 (sect. 3.2) ~~were retained~~.

Parameter	Use	Core #1 (73.53 m)	Core #2 (74.88 m)	Core #3 (74.83 m)
Stable isotopes	Depth alignment; annual layers	✓	✓	✓
Pollen concentration	Annual layers; events	✓		
Pollen day of the year, 32 types (DOY 32)	Annual layers	✓		
Pollen Day of the Year, 46 types (DOY 46)	Annual layers	✓		
Pollen depth-to-day matching (DOY match)	Annual layers	✓		
Dust	Annual layers	✓		
<sup>210</sup> Pb	20 <sup>th</sup> century dating		✓	
<sup>3</sup> H	Event	✓	✓	
Beta activity	Events		✓	✓
Pb	Depth alignment	✓		✓
<sup>14</sup> C in water insoluble C organic fraction (WIOC-14)	Preindustrial dating	✓		✓
<sup>14</sup> C in organic macrofragments	Preindustrial dating	✓		

Table 1: Empirical parameters used to date the Alto dell'Ortles ice cores.

### 3.1 ~~The n~~New <sup>14</sup>C time marker near the bottom ice (232 BCE)

5 An intact larch needle at 73.25 m in core #1 provided a <sup>14</sup>C calibrated age of 659 ± 102 BCE (Gabrielli et al., 2016), which was useful for dating the older part of TC2016. More recently we extracted a charred spruce needle from core #1 at 72.82 m (Fig. 3). Spruce are very common conifers in the Alps, including the Mt. Ortles area. Atmospheric vertical convection most likely transported the two needles from a lower elevation to the Alto dell'Ortles glacier. The charring of the needle likely resulted from a local forest fire, the heat from which may have produced or intensified atmospheric convection. This fragment, which contained 57 µg of carbon, was radiocarbon dated at the Paul Scherrer Institute using the Bern AMS facility (LARA Laboratory, University of Bern, Switzerland) (Szidat et al., 2014) and provided a conventional <sup>14</sup>C calibrated age of 232 ± 126 BCE (Bern AMS sample number BE-12451.1.1). This date is stratigraphically consistent with the <sup>14</sup>C date (659 ± 102 BCE) of the first larch needle found at 73.25 m in core #1 and with the shallower/younger and deeper/older WIOC-<sup>14</sup>C ages (see Table 2). Within the range of uncertainty, the <sup>14</sup>C calibrated age (232 ± 126 BCE) of the charred needle found at 72.82 m is consistent with the TC2016 dating (146 ± 370 BCE) at the same depth. Thus, these new <sup>14</sup>C data fits ~~well~~ within the existing sequence, confirming the continuous increase of age with depth that was observed previously (Gabrielli et al., 2016). In addition, we can exclude the possibility of stratigraphic disruption due to ice flow at this depth, at least within the <sup>14</sup>C age uncertainty. All <sup>14</sup>C ages were calibrated using the OxCal program v4.3.2 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009) with the IntCal20 radiocarbon calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2020) and reported uncertainties indicate the 1σ range.



Figure 3: The charred spruce needle fragment found in core #1 at 72.82 m depth and  $^{14}\text{C}$  dated to  $232 \pm 126$  ( $1\sigma$ ) BCE. The image was produced by using a Kayence VHX 2000 digital microscope at the University of Innsbruck.

Core #	Tube #	Measure	Top depth (m)	Bottom depth (m)	Ice mass (kg)	C mass* ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	$\text{F}^{14}\text{C}$	$^{14}\text{C}$ age (yrs BP)	Cal age** (yrs cal BP)- $1\sigma$ range	$\mu$ cal age (yrs cal BP)	$\mu$ cal age (yrs cal b2012)	$\mu$ cal age (CE)	$\sigma$ (yrs)	TC2016	CP2023
1	98b	WIOC-14***	68.26	68.96	0.31-0.34	15.1-17.9	$0.927 \pm 0.025$	$609 \pm 217$	(330 - 784)	589	651	1361	204	✓	✓
3	102	WIOC-14***	70.87	71.57	0.26-0.28	7.2-13.3	$0.823 \pm 0.027$	$1565 \pm 264$	(1178 - 1781)	1508	1570	442	288	✓	✓
1	103b	WIOC-14****	71.77	72.48	0.93	10.37	$0.932 \pm 0.037$	$569 \pm 320$	(155 - 903)	566	628	1384	289		
1	104b	Charred spruce needle	72.78	72.82	-	57	$0.761 \pm 0.009$	$2193 \pm 98$	(2333-2070)	2182	2244	-232	126		✓
1	105b	Larch needle	73.25	73.25	-	68	$0.728 \pm 0.006$	$2550 \pm 65$	(2499 - 2751)	2609	2671	-659	102	✓	✓
3	106	WIOC-14	73.73	74.02	0.30	10.91	$0.628 \pm 0.031$	$3737 \pm 397$	(3578 - 4786)	4171	4233	-2221	524	✓	✓
3	106	WIOC-14	74.02	74.24	0.29	11.50	$0.568 \pm 0.030$	$4544 \pm 424$	(4620 - 5718)	5176	5238	-3226	531	✓	✓
3	106	WIOC-14	74.24	74.47	0.31	18.47	$0.481 \pm 0.020$	$5879 \pm 334$	(6321 - 7156)	6739	6801	-4789	364	✓	✓

\* Pure C extracted and available for AMS analysis after combustion.

\*\*  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration was performed in OxCal v4.4.4 (C.B. Ramsey, 2021) with IntCal20, the Northern Hemisphere atmospheric calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2020).

\*\*\* Provided ice sample and C mass indicates the range for the three subsamples of 98b and 102, and  $\text{F}^{14}\text{C}$  (and resulting ages) indicates their combined result (Gabrielli et al., 2016; Uglietti et al., 2016).

\*\*\*\* Outlier (values in brackets), explained by an exceptionally large ice sample volume processed, and a resulting small C mass available for a single AMS measurement (Gabrielli et al., 2016).

5

Table 2: Information on  $^{14}\text{C}$  analyses of organic material from the Alto dell'Ortles cores (adapted from Table 2 in Gabrielli et al., 2016). Tubes #104b and #105b in core #1 refer to the respective sections in which the two conifer needles were found. All other  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages were obtained from analysis of the water insoluble organic carbon fraction (WIOC-14) extracted from the corresponding ice sections of cores #1 and #3. All radiocarbon dates were (re)calibrated using the IntCal20 calibration curve (OxCal v4.3.2). The reported uncertainties (i.e. the age range for calibrated age) indicate the  $1\sigma$  range with  $\mu$ -age denoting the age of highest probability density. For the selection of data points used for the revised timescale refer to text and to Gabrielli et al., (2016).

10

### 3.2 Annual layer counting (1900-2011 CE)

15

A clear seasonal pollen signal was reported from the shallow firm temperate layers (10 m depth) in the Alto dell'Ortles glacier (Festi et al., 2015; Festi et al., 2017). New pollen records of relative and total concentration values were obtained from core #1. Despite recent intense summer meltwater percolation, the seasonality of the pollen record appears to be conserved in the firm and in the lower ice portion. This is demonstrated by pollen concentration,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and dust concentration identified

within the well-dated 1955-1963 CE interval which was constrained by beta and  $^3\text{H}$  activity peaks. These three parameters consistently show high values during the warm seasons (late spring to late summer) and low values during the cold seasons (Fig. 4). In particular, pollen age-markers correspond to winter/spring of each given year as pollen concentration in the atmosphere is high during spring/summer, while little or no pollen is present in winter. This situation is reflected in the corresponding seasonal ice core layers.

To produce an annual-layer-counted timescale from the 2011 glacier surface (below the last winter snow) to 53 m (41 m water equivalent; m w.e.) depth, We we applied the StratiCounter algorithm (Winstrup et al., 2012; Winstrup, 2016) to a combination of pollen concentrations,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and dust concentration, and three ~~other-derived~~additional pollen records (~~see described in detail~~ below); ~~to produce an annual-layer-counted timescale from the 2011 glacier surface to 53 m (41 m water equivalent; m w.e.) depth;~~ See Fig. 4 and Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Information. Note that StratiCounter also produces an age uncertainty interval for the resulting timescale. Below 53 m (41 m w.e.) depth, where the StratiCounter analysis became more uncertain, we attempted visual counting down to 57 m (45 m w.e.), where the combined annual signals ~~seemed become~~ distinguishable (see Fig. S4-62).

The additional three pollen records used were: i) Day Of the Year, which considers 32 pollen types (DOY32) or; ii) 46 types (DOY46) with known blooming time and; iii) a depth-to-day-match of the pollen compositional record obtained using 10 years of data collected at the nearby Bolzano airborne pollen monitoring station (~70 km from the drilling site; <https://ean.polleninfo.eu/Ean/>). The three pollen records were weighted as a single line of information by StratiCounter as they are not independent. Briefly, each of these three records was derived from the different classified pollen types and provided an estimate of the pollen ensemble depositional day-of-year (DOY; values between 1 and 365) based on the observed pollen type spectra composition in the ice core (for details please see Festi et al., 2017).




Figure 4: Annual layers between 28 and 34 m ~~water equivalent~~(w.e.) in core #1 shown in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , dust and pollen concentrations, DOY 32 and 46 and DOY depth-to-day match variations (see text). Red vertical lines indicate time markers from the  $^3\text{H}$  and beta activity peaks (Gabrielli et al., 2016). See also ~~supplementary Figs. S4-S5 and S6~~[S4-S5 and S6](#) [in the Supplementary Information](#)  
 5 which display annual layers in previous periods ~~during of~~[during](#) of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Core #	Depth #1 (m w.e.)	StratiCounter original timescale (CE)	Adjusted age (CE)	Time marker age (CE)	Time marker
1	5.98	2005.9	2006.1	Spring 2006	Spruce extreme
1	13.84	1994.9	1995.1	Spring 1995	Spruce extreme
1	18.27	1986.6	1986.8	1986	Beta activity (Chernobyl)
2	29.9	1960.3	1962.5	1963	Beta activity, <sup>3</sup> H
2	33.89*	1952.7	1954.9	1955	Beta activity

\*Tranferred from core #2

Table 3: Time markers in the upper portion of the Alto dell'Ortles cores, which were ~~also used as fixed horizons, to and required a small adjustment of~~ the StratiCounter annual layer counting by two years to match.

5 The derived annual layers were compared and subsequently adjusted within the derived timescale uncertainty to independently fixed time markers that were identified in core #1 (Table 3). These include three known horizons with high levels of radioactivity: the beta activity peak from the Chernobyl accident in 1986; beta and <sup>3</sup>H peaks from atmospheric nuclear tests attributed to 1963; and a first beta intensity peak from detectable radioactive fallout in 1955 (Gabrielli et al., 2016; Gabrieli et al., 2011). Two additional time markers were assigned by comparing spruce (*Picea abies*) pollen concentrations with  
10 observations from the nearby pollen measuring stations at Innsbruck and Obergurgl (Bortenschlager and Bortenschlager, 2003). The records from these stations are the longest (44 and 41 years, respectively) in this area and contain evidence of three exceptional blooming years in 2006, 1995 and 1992. While the strongest episode in 1992 does not correspond to large spruce concentrations in the Alto dell'Ortles core, the 1995 and 2006 events are characterized by high and broad pollen peaks in the firn portion of the core. The ages of all the marker horizons fall within the derived uncertainty of the StratiCounter timescale.  
15 By using these five time markers as guides for adjustments, we removed 2 years between 18.2 and 33.89 m w.e. thereby producing the final annual-layer-counted timescale.

We compared the new annual counted timescale obtained by StratiCounter with the <sup>210</sup>Pb ages derived from the upper part of core #2 that were used to constrain TC2016, ~~which was not based on annual layer counting~~ (Fig. ~~5b and S6S73~~). The two independent time scales are in good agreement down to 53 m (41 m w.e.; ~1940 CE), while they diverge below. This  
20 offset can partly be explained by the fact that the initial dating by <sup>210</sup>Pb did not account for any layer thinning, (not applied because statistically not evident ~~from for~~ the measured activity concentrations). Additionally, a slight offset in the <sup>210</sup>Pb ages due to imprecise determination of the background ~~of <sup>210</sup>Pb from supported lead - cannot be excluded no-~~ A plateau in activity concentration ~~below a certain depth, for~~ clearly indicating determination of the <sup>210</sup>Pb background level (Gäggeler et al., 2020);  
was reached for the sampled sections, not observed and its determination ~~thus was~~ based on one single data point only ~~- cannot~~  
25 be excluded. In ~~contrast any case,~~ the ~~extended visual~~ annual layer counting down from 53 m (41 m w.e.) to 57 m (45 m w.e.) results in a dating which ~~connects well with the most recent is supported synchronization ties of~~ by the good match between the Alto dell'Ortles and AN Pb records (see ~~below and Fig. 7e, S6sect. 5 and 6~~). In conclusion, ~~because of the much higher resolution, the counted~~ annual layers were adopted to replace the <sup>210</sup>Pb time markers initially used in TC2016.

#### 4 COPRA fitting of the dating horizons Construction of an intermediate timescale (CP2025/1) from the dating horizons

By combining the time markers established in TC2016 with the new, additional markers presented in sect. 3 on the revised common depth scale (sect. 2), a preliminary revised version of the previously published TC2016 timescale was constructed; in the following denoted as CP2025/1. In line with the development of TC2016, ~~we built a revised~~ the continuous depth-age relationship of the Alto dell'Ortles cores (Fig. 5) was built by fitting all the empirical time markers (defined in m w.e. depth and years before 2012; Supplementary Table S1) ~~within their linked uncertainty ranges~~ by means of Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation (COPRA model, 2000 simulation runs) ~~thereby accounting for their linked uncertainty ranges~~. This provides a depth-age relationship with an linked-associated depth-time dependent uncertainty (Breitenbach et al., 2012). For the most recent portion of the chronology (2011-1927), the original uncertainty of the single annual layers provided by StratiCounter (1–10 years) was retained. Overall, 113 annual time markers provided by StratiCounter from 2012 to 1900, anchored by five distinct time horizons (Table 3) were combined with seven  $^{14}\text{C}$  dated layers in the deep part of the record (651 CE back to around 7000 years before present) (Fig. 5).




Figure 5: Comparison of the TC2016 and the updated, intermediate Alto dell'Ortles (CP2025/1) timescales obtained by using COPRA. The different time markers for construction (see Supplementary Table S1) are indicated by symbols with error bars representing their uncertainty by the linked dating methods ( $1\sigma$  range for  $^{14}\text{C}$  cal ages; see text for others). Shown in panel (a) for the entire length of the ice core from surface, indicated by the uppermost point, to bedrock covering the entire period of the Alto dell'Ortles ice archive, in (b) for the last 100 years, and in (c) for the older part of the record (>100 years) on a logarithmic age scale. [The core #2 reference depth \(sect. 2\) is here expressed as thickness, with the surface of depth zero corresponding to the total of 61.15 m w.e. \(74.88 m\).](#)

Comparison of this updated Alto dell'Ortles timescale (CP2025/1) with the previously published TC2016 chronology is displayed in Fig. 5. ~~The two timescales differ significantly during the most recent 20 years, as a~~ result of replacing the ~~dating by  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  with the new~~ time markers ~~by from~~ the annual layers ~~counting (also taking into account~~ constrained by the three additional time markers of 2006, 1995, 1986; [Table 3; Figures 5b and S6](#) [sect. 3.2](#)), ~~The two timescales differ significantly during the most recent 20 years. Also, CP2025/1 is around 20-100 years older between 1920 and 1600 CE. Other than the most recent time interval~~ while elsewhere, CP2025/1 and ~~the~~ TC2016 ~~chronologies essentially~~ agree within their uncertainties (Fig. 5). ~~However, CP2025/1 is 20-100 years older between 1920 and 1600 CE.~~

### **[5 CP2025/1 Improving the intermediate timescale \(CP2025/1\) by synchronization with a well-dated millennial an -Arctic Pb record \(171 BCE –1900 CE\)](#)**

~~Using Pb records for synchronizing alpine and Arctic ice core chronologies has previously been applied (Preunkert et al., 2019; Osman et al., 2021) that we adopt in this study to further refine CP2025/1. The Six~~ In general, well-dated ~~ncPb~~ records from ~~six~~ Arctic ~~ice~~ cores ~~(McConnell et al., 2019) reaching back to 200 BCE~~ were ~~shown to document the spatial and temporal changes in Arctic lead pollution from 200 BCE to 2010 CE, before the 19<sup>th</sup>-century Industrial Revolution, primarily caused by European emissions related to past mining operation in Central Europe and the Mediterranean region (McConnell et al., 2019). They show similarities with the high-resolution Pb record from the Alto dell'Ortles ice core (Fig. 6), display periods of increase in atmospheric ncPb from the Roman period (see also McConnell et al., 2025), through the Early Middle Ages to the 1970s industrial peak. Superimposed on these major variations, distinct multiannual to multidecadal variations exist, marked by increases coincident with the exploitation of mining regions for Pb and Ag metallurgical production, decreases coincident with climate disruptions, famines, major wars, and plagues occurring in Europe and the Mediterranean region during the last two millennia (McConnell et al., 2019).~~

Using Pb records for synchronizing alpine and Arctic ice core chronologies has previously been applied (Preunkert et al., 2019; Osman et al., 2021). The underlying geochemical assumption is that an anthropogenic Pb signal from emission sources located in the European region and recorded even in Arctic ice, will most likely be imprinted, at essentially the same time, also in ice archives located much closer to these sources. Because the Alps - with Alto dell'Ortles being part of them -

represent a central archive of past atmospheric pollution in Europe (e.g., Preunkert et al., 2019; Eichler et al., 2023), we adopted this synchronisation approach, choosing one of the above mentioned Arctic records characterized by their imprinted signal of European Pb emission.

5 -Among the six Arctic ~~Pb~~ records, the one from the Akademii Nauk AN ice cap in Severnaya Zemlya, Russian Arctic  
(AN) was selected ~~for synchronization with the Alto dell'Ortles cores~~ for the following reasons (Fig. 6). While, like the other  
Arctic records, it shows similarities with the high-resolution ncPb record from the Alto dell'Ortles ice core (Fig. 6), ~~because:~~  
i) ~~it~~ (i) it contains one of the longest continuous chronologies from 200 BCE to 1999 CE; ii) ~~it~~ ~~this record is characterized~~  
by ~~provides~~ the largest Pb amplitude signal; and, iii) it is suggested by atmospheric modelling to be the record most strongly  
influenced by Pb emissions from silver mining and metallurgical activities in central Europe, the region close to Alto  
10 dell'Ortles, Mt. Ortles during the past millennia (McConnell et al., 2019), ~~making it more likely that the Alto dell'Ortles and~~  
~~AN drilling sites share similar variability in atmospheric Pb deposition. Also, T~~ the uncertainty of the AN chronology was  
estimated to be low; up to a maximum of  $\pm$ less than 5 years ~~between 500 and 1999 CE~~, with less than 2 years uncertainty at  
the known volcanic time horizons within the Greenland reference record (McConnell et al., 2019). Considering the relatively  
15 small dating uncertainty over the entire time covered by the AN record, when compared to the typical dating uncertainty for  
high altitude ice cores, the potential benefit of synchronisation becomes evident.

In detail, the synchronisation of ncPb from AN was performed with T the continuous flow analysis Pb record from  
Alto dell'Ortles core #3 ~~was selected for synchronization with AN because of~~ because of its higher time-resolution when  
compared to the record from core #1. Alto dell'Ortles In this case ncPb the non-crustal Pb concentration was obtained from  
Pb concentrations corrected for mineral dust contribution by using ~~the a~~ Pb/Rb ratio of 0.51 (value obtained for from a deep  
20 core #3 a section between 69.55 and 69.94 m depth, characterized by the lowest Pb concentrations ~~between 69.55 and 69.94~~  
m depth). Synchronisation was performed with the records on their respective time scales, i.e., ~~To match the ncPb non-crustal~~  
~~Pb concentration between AN and Alto dell'Ortles on the~~ the intermediate CP2025/1 timescale for -Alto dell'Ortles (see Sect.  
4), synchronization was performed using the Analyseries 2.0.8 software (Paillard et al., 1996). Thereby, to avoid over-  
interpretation of individual data points and to account for the reported dating uncertainty of AN ( $\leq$ up to  $\pm$ 5 years) ~~(see below),~~  
25 ~~with the records being were~~ averaged to a 10-year time resolution ~~which was used for to avoid over-interpretation of individual~~  
~~data points and to account for the dating uncertainty of the AN dating reported (up to  $\pm$ 5 years).~~ For subsequent matching of  
the midpoints of the 10-year averages, only the most prominent features (obvious peak maxima and minima) were matched  
(see below). In addition, multiple constraints were applied: (i) final shifts in age should not exceed the initial CP2025/1 dating  
uncertainty range (i.e., overlap at least within  $2\sigma$  uncertainties of CP2025/1 and the final uncertainty estimate), and also remain  
30 within  $2\sigma$  of the calibrated  $^{14}\text{C}$  age probability range at the respective sampling horizons; (ii) compression or expansion of time  
intervals between tie-points should not produce larger/smaller amplitudes in layer-thickness variations than those derived from  
the initial CP2025/1 age scale (feature implemented for control in Analyseries). Age uncertainty estimates for the tie points  
were derived by combining the reported dating uncertainty of AN with a visual, best-possible assessment of how well the  
assigned peak maxima and minima are represented in either record. For example, a broad minimum, or several nearly equally

low values occurring within close (i.e., decadal) proximity, would result in a larger uncertainty, estimated as approximately the half-width of the feature(s).

Following the above methodology, 11 prominent features between 175 BCE and 1755 CE were selected for synchronizing (Fig. 6). ~~Note that, as a consequence the final Alto dell'Ortles records remained on their independent timescales for the 20<sup>th</sup> century, since~~ Under these conditions, we remark that the <sup>14</sup>C age (659 ± 102 BCE) of the larch needle (Table 2) was not shifted in the revised timescale that remains unchanged before 175 BCE, no synchronization tie points were selected for this most recent period where the ice was dated based on the annual layer counting and the time horizons described in Sect. 3 (showing already high correlation with AN; Pearson's r of 0.61 for annual values, p<0.001). For the selected tie-points, the shift in age (CP2025/1 - AN age) was ~10-20 years or less back to 1600 CE; around 40 years back to 1550 CE, between 120 and 270 years from 1250 to 250 CE; and between -280 to -240 years between 0 and 200 BCE. Importantly, 7 out of the 11 tie points were within the 1-sigma uncertainty of the initial CP2025/1 timescale ~~built~~ based on the absolute ages from <sup>14</sup>C, with the other 4 being within the 2-sigma range (see Figs. 6 and 7). ~~Further we note~~ Under these conditions, we remark that that: (a) the <sup>14</sup>C age (659 ± 102 BCE) of the larch needle (Table 2) was not shifted in the revised timescale that which remains unchanged before 175 BCE, and (b) the final Alto dell'Ortles timescales for the 20<sup>th</sup> century is fully independent, since no synchronization tie-points were selected for this most recent period where the ice was dated based on the annual layer counting and the time horizons described in sect. 3 (showing already high correlation with AN as indicated by a Pearson's r of 0.61 for annual values, p<0.001). A brief discussion of the industrial-period section of the ncPb record from Alto dell'Ortles record - particularly regarding the relatively low absolute concentrations, which are somewhat unexpected for this period compared to AN and other records from the Alps (Gabrieli et al., 2014; Preunkert et al., 2019) - is provided in the Supplementary Information (Supplementary Text 3). Additionally, an illustrative example of the applied tie-point selection used for synchronization is described and visualized in (Fig. S4). In sect. 6, an assessment of the synchronisation using the final Alto dell'Ortles timescale is provided.

Finally, we emphasize that incorporating the applied constraints in the synchronization procedure was a crucial part to exclude subjective or arbitrary age shifts during wiggle matching. We therefore recommend adopting such a quantitatively controlled, absolute-dating-based approach as a standard for future synchronization (wiggle matching) of ice cores from high-altitude (mountain) glaciers, whether aimed at establishing chronologies or supporting the interpretation of paleo-records.





Figure 6: Synchronization of non-crustal lead (the Pb record of ncPb; dark red record) non-crustal concentrations from the Alto dell'Ortles core #3 (in dark red) (dark red record) on the CP2025/1 timescale with the corresponding record from Akademii Nauk (AN; in blue record) on its well-dated ( $\leq \pm 5$  years) chronology used as reference (McConnell et al., 2019).

5 Thin and thick lines show annual and 10-year averages, respectively. The tie points selected for synchronization by wiggle-matching are connected by the thin dashed lines and are indicated by red diamonds (AN; error bars indicating the age uncertainty estimates of the selected tie points) and black circles (Alto dell'Ortles; error bars indicating the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty linked to CP2025/1). To facilitate visual assessment of the resulting age shifts, the red diamonds are also shown next to the corresponding black-circle tie points from Alto dell'Ortles. Absolute ages from  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating (or annual layer counting) are shown at the bottom (black dots indicate the  $\mu$ -age and error bars in the  $1\sigma$  range), with the thick black dashed line connecting to the record for visualizing the time horizons used to construct CP2025/1. Same for both panels, except for Pb concentrations being plotted on a logarithmic scale on the right panel.

## 15 **6 Revised, Final Alto dell'Ortles Age Scale CP2025/2**

The 113 annual time markers provided by from the counting of annual layers from 2012 to 1900 (sect. 3.2), the 11 tie points obtained from the match of the Alto dell'Ortles core #3 with the AN Arctic Pb record (1755 CE-175 BCE; sect. 5), and the five-four  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages from the deepest part below (659 BCE back to  $\approx 7000$  years; sect. 3.1) were finally used to develop the final Alto dell'Ortles time scale CP2025/2. Using these data points (with depths in m w.e. and the age as years before 2012; see summary in Supplementary Table S2), the exact same methodology as applied to construct TC2016 and CP2025/1 was applied (Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation, 2000 simulation runs, using COPRA, Breitenbach et al., 2012). Again, fitting the time markers with their given  $1\sigma$  uncertainty, allowed to propagate the dating uncertainty to any depth/age. The resulting final Alto dell'Ortles depth-age relationship, COPRA25/2CP2025/2 with its linked uncertainty is shown in Fig. 7. Note that although the

uncertainty of this revised chronology was substantially reduced compared with the initial chronology TC2016, the actual age shift is relatively small – on the order of only a few decades – and remains within the previous uncertainty estimates (see Fig. 5).



5

Figure 7: Comparison of the intermediate (CP2025/1, before synchronisation) and the final Alto dell'Ortles (CP2025/2) timescales. The different time markers used for construction (see listed in Supplementary Table S2) are indicated by symbols with error bars illustrating their uncertainty by the linked dating methods (1σ range for <sup>14</sup>C cal ages; see text for others). The ~~two-three~~ <sup>14</sup>C data points that were not used for model input are indicated just by their 1σ range (grey bars). Shown in panel (a) for the entire length of the ice core from surface, indicated by the uppermost point, to bedrock covering the entire time period of the Alto dell'Ortles ice archive, in (b) for the last 100 years, and in (c) for the older part of the record (>100 years) on a logarithmic age scale. [The core #2 reference depth \(sect. 2\) is here expressed as thickness, with the surface of depth zero corresponding to the total of 61.15 m w.e. \(74.88 m\).](#)

10

With the final time scale at hand ([CP2025/2](#)), ~~we can assess~~ the [performed](#) synchronisation [with the AN ncPb record](#) [was assessed](#), comparing it to the Alto dell'Ortles ~~non- crustal Pb concentration~~ records, [now on the CP2025/2 timescale](#) (Fig. 8). ~~and~~ [As a sort of reference](#), the independently dated, slightly shorter Pb record from Colle Gnifetti in the Western European Alps (CG03B, Switzerland; ~~Supplementary Text 2 and figs. S1-S3~~) [was similarly compared to both the AN and Alto dell'Ortles record \(see Supplementary Text 3 and figs. S2-S4\)](#). In general, the match between Alto dell'Ortles and AN is remarkable and provides additional evidence of the stratigraphic and temporal continuity of this ice core record (i.e., no evidence of age reversals or ice flow disturbance). By applying the synchronization, the correlation over the entire record increased from 0.26 to a significant value of 0.44 ( $r, p < 0.001$ ), for the record before 1750 CE from 0.09 to 0.46 ( $r, p < 0.001$ ). Also, the agreement between Alto dell'Ortles on CP2025/2 and the fully independently dated CG03B ice core is ~~good~~ [remarkable](#) back to ~1500 CE [until when the dating of CG03B is reasonably precise](#) ( $r=0.41, p<0.001$ ; ~~)~~ (Fig. [S2S6](#)). We also note that ~~while~~ CG03B agrees well with AN back to ~~at least 1400-1500 CE~~ (Fig. S7), [confirming the underlying assumption of a contemporary pre-industrial atmospheric Pb signal in the Arctic and the Alps form common European emission sources \(sect. 5\)](#). ~~the~~ [The records/chronologies of CG03B and AN start to diverge further back in time, which may not be too surprising \(considering the rapid increase in dating uncertainty of the CG03B dating increases \(from 7 years at 1763 CE to around 300 years for the year at ~0 CE/BCE; see Supplementary Fig. S1S5\). This comparison between CG03B and AN further corroborates the idea of a common Pb atmospheric signal in Central Europe and the Arctic in the past when emission sources were sparse \(also see e.g., McConnell et al., 2025\).](#)




Figure 8: Comparison of the [ncPb non-crustal Pb concentrations](#) from Alto dell'Ortles #3 (Ortles; dark red) after synchronization with Akademii Nauk (AN) used for age reference ([McConnell et al., 2019](#)) between 175 BCE and 1750 CE. Thin and thick lines show annual and 10-year averages, respectively. Same for both panels, except for Pb concentrations being plotted on a logarithmic scale on the right panel.

## 7 Investigation of the empirical timescale CP2025/2 by glaciological ice flow modelling

[Finally](#), ~~W~~we applied the Dansgaard-Johnsen (DJ) glaciological ice flow model (Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969) to investigate the revised age-depth relationship CP2025/2 at the Alto dell'Ortles drilling site (see also Supplementary Text [35](#)).

The DJ model (one-dimension; 1D), uses an approximation of the ice flow to calculate the vertical strain rate (thinning of annual ice layers with depth). It results from assuming the horizontal velocity ( $v_x$ ) described by Glen's law to be constant from the glacier surface down to a given height above bedrock ( $h$ ; shear zone thickness), where it decreases linearly with depth to zero at the bed (no-basal ice flow, i.e., ice frozen to bedrock; blue curve in Fig. 9). It should be noted that the term  $h$  is the result of the DJ model-approximation for  $v_x$  and subsequent mathematical reformulations, rather than being descriptive of a distinct separation between two zones existing in reality.

A general limitation of modelling the age-depth relationship, independent on the complexity of the applied ice flow model, arises for the assumption of steady-state conditions. Unless additional glacier mass balance related information far into the past were available, this assumption is unavoidable. However, information about the age of a layer at a certain depth can provide invaluable constraints for model parameters that are not accessible otherwise (equally for models of lower or higher complexity). For reasonable and meaningful model output, the choice of parameter values is crucial. Here, we used two independent approaches (A1 and A2) for the determination of annual net accumulation rate ( $b$ ) and  $h$ . A1 is based on present-day glaciological observations (section 7.1), and (A2) is based on the time information provided by the empirical dating described in this study (section 7.2). We further used parameters from A1 in a mixed approach (B) with A2 (section 7.3 and Table 4). In all cases steady-state conditions were assumed, which for the applied model (DJ) can be defined as: i) constant glacier thickness ( $H$ ), ii) no-basal ice flow, iii) constant annual net accumulation rates ( $b$ ), and consequently, iv) a constant shear zone thickness ( $h$ ). For the glacier thickness  $H$  and the condition of non-basal flow, we relied on present-day observations. For  $H$ , we adopted the present value of 74.88 m (61.15 m w.e.) (Gabrielli et al., 2016), implying that the ice thickness had not changed significantly since glacier build-up at the drilling site  $\sim 7$  kyrs BP. The "no-basal ice flow" assumption seems reasonable considering the ice temperature at bedrock of  $-2.8$  °C in 2011 (Gabrielli et al., 2012), despite the recent warming.

#### 7.1 Approach A1: determination of $b$ and $h$ based on present-day glaciological observations

For  $b$ , the value of  $1.0$  m w.e.  $y^{-1}$  as derived from field observations was considered as a best available estimate (Gabrielli et al., 2016; Festi et al., 2015). For the determination of  $h$ , we took advantage of the available data from englacial cumulative displacement measurements obtained with an inclinometer in fall 2011 (borehole #2; see Fig. 4 in Gabrielli et al., 2016). The vector sum of the annual displacement along the two spatial axis ( $X$ ,  $Y$ ) yields a direct measure of  $v_x$  for each depth (relative to the lowermost layer measured). Because the DJ model requires units to be in m w.e. (see Supplementary Text 3), we transformed the original displacement data (in m) accordingly, using the measured smoothed density profile derived from the ice core (Fig. 9). The smoothing thereby accounts for spatial inhomogeneities in firnification (with relevant space, due to ice flow, assumed to exceed the ice core cross section). The profile indicates a clear imprint/variation related to the transition between the characteristic Alto dell'Ortles zones (Fig. 9): (i) the active layer, with a displacement signal observed elevation above the bedrock of  $\sim 52$  m w.e., which is in agreement with determination based on englacial temperature measurements (personal communication Roberto Seppi), and (ii) the temperate zone, within 0.5 m of the depth previously reported (Gabrielli et al., 2016). Notably, the profile of horizontal displacement per year (i.e.,  $v_x$ ) allows determination of a best estimate value for

the shear zone height  $h$  of 37.3 m w.e. (obtained by the method of least residual sum of squares between measured and approximated  $v_x$ ). The degree of consistency between the DJ model approximation of the  $v_x$  vertical profile and the actual measurements is remarkable (see Fig. 9). We consider the observed consistency as a strong argument for the applicability of the DJ ice flow model for the Alto dell'Ortles drilling site and likely also for other alpine glacier settings characterized by strong layer thinning near bedrock.




Figure 9: Vertical profiles of density and horizontal displacement (i.e.,  $v_x$ ) for the Alto dell'Ortles drilling site. Also indicated is the ~~elevation above bedrock defined as~~ shear zone thickness  $h$  in the (DJ model (parameter  $h$ ), which was [here derived](#) ~~determined empirically from based on~~ the displacement measurements (see main text). The firn-ice transition zone, typically with density of 0.830 - 0.917 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, (Cuffey and Paterson, 2006) is indicated by the grey shading while the grey and red lines mark the bottom of the active layer and temperate zone, respectively (see main text). [The core #2 reference depth \(sect. 2\) is here expressed as thickness, with the surface of depth zero corresponding to the total of 61.15 m w.e. \(74.88 m\).](#)

## 7.2 Approach A2: determination of $b$ and $h$ based on time information provided by the empirical dating

In this case, both  $b$  and  $h$  were treated as free model parameters. The best set of values was then derived from minimization of the misfit between the DJ modelled ages and those of the empirically dated layers ~~provided-listed~~ in Supplementary Table S2 (least sum of time-weighted squares of residuals; Fahnenstock et al., 2001). This approach results in values of 1.09 m w.e.  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  and 33.8 m w.e. for  $b$  and  $h$ , respectively.

## 7.3 Approach B: combination of approaches A1 and A2

Either  $b$  or  $h$  was pre-set as derived in A1 while the other parameter was kept free, with its value then derived following the minimization methodology described for A2. By prescribing  $b = 1.0$  m w.e.  $\text{yr}^{-1}$ , the value of  $h$  with the least misfit is 27.7 m w.e. (approach Bb). By prescribing  $h = 37.3$  m w.e., the resulting value for  $b$  is 1.13 m w.e.  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  (approach Bh).

## 7.4 Ice flow model results, interpretations and limitations

The model parameter values, either inferred from present-day observations (A1) or based on information related to time (ice core) (A2), lay within a relatively narrow range (1.0 - 1.13 m w.e.  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  and 27.7 - 37.3 m w.e. for  $b$  and  $h$ , respectively). This is remarkable considering that:

- i. for A1,  $b$  is based on 8 years of observations while  $h$  presumably reflects flow conditions of a significantly longer time span due to delayed glacier response to changing conditions, see e.g. Cuffey and Paterson, 2006.
- ii. for A2,  $b$  and  $h$  reflect their corresponding averages for a time-period of  $\sim 7000$  years.

While ~~parameters  $b$  and  $h$ , as determined by in approach A1,~~ are ~~due to glacier response times~~ likely not directly related ~~due to glacier response times,~~ approach A2 highlights-demonstrates how empirically derived time markers ~~allow-enable~~ reasonable-and-related consistent and realistic estimates of model parameters, ~~(even if in the absence of~~ recent glaciological observations ~~are lacking)~~. By constraining ~~parameters  $b$  and  $h$~~  with time information (approaches A2 and B), ~~model results,~~ that fulfillfulfilling the equation of continuity and respecting mass conservation, ~~can be brought into-an~~ agreement with empirical dating ~~can be achieved~~ (see section 7.1). This is illustrated by ~~the fact that~~ the time span between the lowermost layer dated ~~layer~~ by  $^{14}\text{C}$  and the surface (6383-7227 years b2012,  $1\sigma$  uncertainty range), ~~is largely consistent~~ which agrees reasonably with the ~~model modelled~~ results obtained using ~~the sets of~~ parameter sets from A2 (6192 years b2012) ~~-years~~ and B (5933 and 6340 years b2012 for Bb and Bh, respectively). ~~Here,~~ Approach B further provides insights into the robustness and sensitivity of A2, ~~demonstrating how a difference~~ showing that a change in the estimate of a single model input ~~value parameter requires-necessitates~~ adjustment of at least one of the other model parameters, if the time span contained in the ice column is to remain fixed.

The modelled timescales obtained by using with the different ~~sets of~~ parameter sets are displayed in Fig. 10 (A1, A2 and Bb; Bh not shown as it is visually indistinguishable from A2 and Bb). The relatively ~~close~~ narrow range of parameter values ~~derived for the parameters ( $b$ ,  $h$ ),~~ and the agreement ~~of among~~ the modelled timescales ~~obtained~~ from A1, A2, and B, suggest

that, over the entire period covered by the ice core,  $b$  (and ~~accordingly consequently~~  $h$ ); ~~must~~ on average, ~~must~~ have been close to their modern observed values. While for A1 the modelled ~~total~~ time span ~~contained in the ice column~~ (7184 years) ~~is in good agreement well~~ with the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -based absolute dating ~~by~~  $^{14}\text{C}$ , this is not the case for the ~~last-most recent~~ ~100 years (~~age determined by annual layer counting~~), where the model results ~~for the from~~ scenarios A2, Bb and Bh show better agreement (see Fig. 10b and Table 4).

Scenario	$h$ (m w.e. above bed / depth)	$h$ determination	$b$ (m w.e.)	$b$ determination	Age at 45.02* m w.e. (yrs b2012)	Age at 60.71** m w.e. (yrs b2012)
A1	37.3 / 23.8	present day measurement	1.00	present day measurement	147	7184
A2	33.8 / 27.3	tuned to fit dated layers	1.09	tuned to fit dated layers	127	6192
Bb	27.7 / 33.5	tuned to fit dated layers	1.00	present day measurement	126	5933
Bh	37.3 / 23.8	present day measurement	1.13	tuned to fit dated layers	129	6340
C	35.0 / 26.2	picked for illustration	0.60	picked for illustration	237	11534

\*Depth to where annual layer counting could be performed, resulting with an age of  $112 \pm 10$  years before 2012.

\*\*Mid-depth of the lowermost dated layer with a calibrated 1 sigma  $^{14}\text{C}$  age range of 6383-7227 years before 2012.

Table 4: Summary of parameters and results for the different ice flow modelling scenarios. See main text, and figs. 9 and 10 for additional details. The present-day determination for total thickness (H, 61.15 m w.e.) was used, and kept constant across all scenarios.

~~Reasonable~~ Reasonably good agreement is obtained for all ~~of them~~ approaches between around 7000-4000 years b2012 (see Fig. 10). However, ~~for in~~ the intermediate ~~part~~ interval (4000-100 years ~~b2102~~ b2012), ~~all none of the~~ approaches ~~fail to~~ reproduce the observations, underestimating the ice age ~~of the ice~~ by several decades to about one millennium in the upper and lower portions of this section, respectively (Fig. 10). This significant discrepancy suggests that conditions ~~were~~ differed notably from the ~~middle~~ mid-Holocene until the end of the Little Ice Age (LIA; 1250-1850 CE) (Pages AGES K-2k Consortium, 2013). The most likely-plausible explanation is a period of lower-reduced annual net accumulation ~~occurred~~ during that time (~~also~~ see also below).




Figure 10: Comparison of the revised, final empirical timescale for the Alto dell'Ortles ice cores (CP2025/2) with several runs of the Dansgaard-Johnsen glaciological ice flow model for the indicated sets of parameter values (see text for details). Shown in panel (a) for the entire length of the ice core from surface, indicated by the uppermost point, to bedrock covering the entire period covered by the Alto dell'Ortles ice archive, in (b) for the last 100 years, and in (c) for the older part of the record (>100 years) on a logarithmic age scale. [Depth, here expressed as thickness \(with the surface corresponding to a total thickness of 74.88 m or 61.15 m w.e., respectively\), refers to the core #2 reference \(sect. 2\).](#)

To ~~investigate-test~~ the hypothesis of ~~changes-changing in~~ net annual accumulations rates ~~over-during~~ that period, we used pre-set, hand-picked values for  $b$  (0.6 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) and  $h$  (35 m w.e.) ~~for-the-as~~ model input (approach C, Fig. 10, Table 4). ~~For  $b$  (0.6 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) and  $h$  (35 m w.e.)~~ With these values, (35 m w.e.) the DJ model produces at least a partial match ~~of-the-DJ-model~~ with ~~our-the~~ revised empirical CP2025/2 timescale ~~was-obtained~~ for the intermediate section of the core (Fig. 10). Thus, while the steady-state assumption ~~for-modelling~~ can be valuable ~~in-order-to-for~~ ~~estimate-estimating~~ the total time span of the ice archive (e.g. Lüthi and Funk, 2000), ~~it-our results seems-show that it is~~ invalid (insufficient) to derive an accurate age-depth relationship ~~based-on-our results~~, at least for the Alto dell'Ortles drill site. Here we note that the selected value of  $b = 0.6$  m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> is ~~not-un~~constrained ~~in-any-way~~, and ~~can-thus~~ should therefore be considered qualitative-only, serving only to illustrate

a possible change in net accumulation for the discussed period. To derive a quantitative estimate of  $b$ , a non-steady-state approach ~~under consideration of~~ that explicitly considers the equation of continuity would be required (inverse modelling; see below).

Our ~~interpretation of the~~ ice flow modelling results suggests ~~lower-reduced~~ snow accumulation during the central period of the Holocene (100-4000 years b2012), particularly during the LIA. A change in conditions for the depth interval corresponding the LIA, recorded ~~right-just~~ below ~57 m depth (or ~16 m w.e. above bedrock; Fig. 9), is consistent with intensification in visible ice layer thinning in the Alto dell'Ortles ice core cores (see Fig. 7b in Gabrielli et al. 2016) which is concomitant with a sudden increase in the frequency of the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  signal (Fig. 1). Although multiple ~~As different~~ paleoclimate archives indicate ~~higher-increased~~ precipitation in the Alps during the LIA (Magny et al. 2011 and references therein), a lower snow accumulation on Alto dell'Ortles may be explained by a more efficient wind erosion of colder, ~~and light~~ (low density) surface snow due to lower air temperatures, particularly during the warm seasons from late spring through fall. If modern temperatures are indeed the ~~warmest-highest~~ since the Northern Hemisphere Climate Optimum, ~~it is possible that~~ this mechanism hypothesis could plausibly hold ~~have operated~~ from the beginning-onset of the ~~middle-mid~~-Holocene (~4000 years ago) until the end of LIA (~19<sup>th</sup> century).

~~Our~~ The here suggestion-suggested occurrence of variations in snow accumulation over time is not unprecedented and consistent with conclusions for high altitude glaciers. ~~In-At a~~ few low-latitude drilling sites ~~where~~ it was possible to ~~measure-identify~~ changes in past snow accumulation by-based on the dating by layer counting (Thompson et al., 2000; Winski et al., 2017) or ~~based on~~  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages (Herren et al., 2013); ~~these~~ The results of observations-these studies implied non-steady-state conditions ~~which-that~~ impacted the timescale. While inverse modelling approaches that consider-account for non-steady-state conditions and ~~take advantage of~~ incorporate empirically ~~derived~~ time markers are well established for polar drilling sites (e.g. Buiron et al., 2011; Buchardt and Dahl-Jensen, 2008), similar efforts ~~in this direction~~ are ~~also~~ clearly needed for glaciers from high altitude/~~low latitude~~ sites in mid- and low-latitude regions.

## 8 Conclusions

An intermediate revised chronology (CP2025/1) was obtained for the Alto dell'Ortles ice cores by an improved realigning depth realignment ~~the depths~~ of cores #1, #2, #3, ~~and~~ incorporating an absolute time marker from a newly discovered  $^{14}\text{C}$  dated macro-organic fragment ( $232 \pm 126$  BCE); and new data points from counting annual layers (from pollen, dust and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records) visually and by means of an automatic algorithm (StratiCounter) between 1900 and 2011. To derive CP2025/1, ~~A~~ all the time markers were combined and fitted for a continuous depth-age scale by Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation. For the youngest section of the core, to-obtain ~~all of this already resulted in~~ an improved and more accurate chronology when compared to the previously published version (TC2016), which only relayed on absolute dates from  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  in the youngest part (1900-2012 CE), ~~resulting in more accurate chronology particularly for this section.~~ The intermediate age scale was then used as the basis By-for subsequent synchronization of ~~the-a new, highly-resolved~~  $\text{ncPb}$  concentration records from the Alto dell'Ortles Pb ice core ~~records (adopting CP2025/1)~~ with a well-dated polar ice core record from Akademii Nauk (AN, Russian

Arctic) used as the age reference from ~~1750-1755~~ CE back to 175 BCE, 11 additional tie points for model input were selected, thereby applying constraining criteria based on age and associated uncertainty of absolute  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates. By ~~and finally combined combining with the already all~~ available time markers (~~outside only tie-points the for the synchronization timeed~~ range)-  
Therefore, with this iterative approach, the, the subsequent age model output yielded ~~the the final revised final, and~~ fine-tuned  
5 new Alto dell'Ortles chronology CP2025/2. The absolute-dating-based and thus quantitatively controlled synchronization procedure described is recommended as a standard for future applications to ice cores from high-altitude (mountain) glaciers, whether aimed at establishing chronologies or supporting the interpretation of paleo-records.

The new  $^{14}\text{C}$  age (232 BCE; stratigraphically consistent with the previous  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates), and the correlation between the ~~ncPb non-crustal Pb~~ CP2025/2 and AN records ~~further indicates~~ confirmed previous findings that stratigraphic disruption  
10 caused by disturbance in ice flow can be ruled out, at least for age inversions exceeding the current dating uncertainty and the resolvable spatial sampling resolution.

Finally, an ice flow model investigation of CP2025/2 was performed by adopting a simple 1D Dansgaard-Johnsen model, the results of which suggest that non-steady state conditions (e.g. changes in snow accumulation rate over time) need to be considered to explain the resulting CP2025/2 age-depth relationship. This particularly concerns the Little Ice Age (1250-  
15 1850 CE) when a decrease in snow accumulation rate was inferred, perhaps because of an increase of wind erosion efficiency. Clearly, non-steady state approaches that are currently used in polar regions should be considered and applied to model the ice flow at high altitude-low latitude ice core drilling sites.

In conclusion, this revised more accurate time scale (CP2025/2) will allow to provide additional~~new~~ detailed climatic environmental histories of Central Europe during the Holocene. In addition, CP2025/2 has the potential to become a reference  
20 chronology for multiple paleoclimate archives in Europe.

**Data availability:** The data presented in this work are archived at <https://zenodo.org> (link available after the publication).

**Author contribution:** PG and TJ designed the structure of the revised chronology and wrote the paper; MB, PG and CB  
25 performed the continuous flow analyses of Pb; DF, WK and KO performed the pollen analyses and their interpretation; MW and DF adopted the StratiCounter algorithm to count annual layers; GD and BS studied the stable isotopes data set; PG, TJ and MB performed the matching of the ice core records; TJ designed/run the ice flow model experiments with initial input from MW and evaluated the results with PG and MS. All the authors discussed and reviewed the manuscript.

30 **Competing interests:** Barbara Stenni and Carlo Barbante are members of the editorial board of Climate of the Past.

## Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to the Ortles project, a program supported by NSF awards #1060115 and #1461422 to The Ohio State University (OSU) and by the Fire Protection and Civil Division; Südtirol, Abteilung Bildungsförderung,

Universität und Forschung of the Autonomous Province of Bolzano - Südtirol in collaboration with the Forest Division of the Autonomous Province of Bolzano - Südtirol and the National Park of Stelvio. We are grateful to: Giuliano Bertagna and Ping Nan Lin for performing Pb and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  analyses at OSU; and John Bolzan, Ian Howat, Luca Carturan and Roberto Seppi for useful discussions linked to the modelling section. We are also thankful to Joe McConnell and an anonymous reviewer for providing constructive suggestions that allowed to improve an earlier version of our manuscript. This is Ortles project publication 10 ([www.ortles.org](http://www.ortles.org)).

## References

- 10
- Bohleber, P., Schwikowski, M., Stocker-Waldhuber, M., Fang, L., and Fischer, A.: New glacier evidence for ice-free summits during the life of the Tyrolean Iceman, *Sci. Rep.*, 10, 20513, [10.1038/s41598-020-77518-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77518-9), 2020.
  - Bortenschlager, S. and Bortenschlager, I.: Änderung des Pollenflugs durch die Klimaerwärmung – Vergleichende Untersuchung Innsbruck/Obergurgl über den Zeitraum 1980 – 2001, *Ber. nat.-med. Ver. Innsbruck*, 90, 41-61, 2003.
  - Breitenbach, S. F. M., Rehfeld, K., Goswami, B., Baldini, J. U. L., Ridley, H. E., Kennett, D. J., Prufer, K. M., Aquino, V. V., Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V. J., Cheng, H., Kurths, J., and Marwan, N.: COConstructing Proxy Records from Age models (COPRA), *Clim. Past*, 8, 1765-1779, [10.5194/cp-8-1765-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-1765-2012), 2012.
  - Bronk Ramsey, C.: Bayesian Analysis of Radiocarbon Dates, *Radiocarbon*, 51, 337-360, [10.1017/S0033822200033865](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200033865), 2009.
  - Buchardt, S. L. and Dahl-Jensen, D.: At what depth is the Eemian layer expected to be found at NEEM?, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 48, 100-102, [10.3189/172756408784700617](https://doi.org/10.3189/172756408784700617), 2008.
  - Buiron, D., Chappellaz, J., Stenni, B., Frezzotti, M., Baumgartner, M., Capron, E., Landais, A., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Masson-Delmotte, V., Montagnat, M., Parrenin, F., and Schilt, A.: TALDICE-1 age scale of the Talos Dome deep ice core, East Antarctica, *Clim. Past*, 7, 1-16, [10.5194/cp-7-1-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-1-2011), 2011.
  - Cogley, J. G., Hock, R., Rasmussen, L. A., Arendt, A. A., Bauder, A., Braithwaite, R. J., Jansson, P., Kaser, G., Möller, M., Nicholson, L., and Zemp, M.: Glossary of glacier mass balance and related term, UNESCO/IHP, Paris 2011.
  - Cuffey, K. and Paterson, W. S. B.: *The Physics of Glaciers*, 4th Academic Press, Amsterdam 2006.
  - Dansgaard, W. and Johnsen, S. J.: A Flow Model and a Time Scale for the Ice Core from Camp Century, Greenland, *J. Glaciol.*, 8, 215-223, [10.3189/S0022143000031208](https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000031208), 1969.
- 15
- 20
- 25
- 30
- [Eichler, A., Legrand, M., Jenk, T. M., Preunkert, S., Andersson, C., Eckhardt, S., Engardt, M., Plach, A., and Schwikowski, M.: Consistent histories of anthropogenic western European air pollution preserved in different Alpine ice cores, \*The Cryosphere\*, 17, 2119–2137, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-2119-2023>, 2023](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-2119-2023)
  - Festi, D., Kofler, W., Bucher, E., Mair, V., Gabrielli, P., Carturan, L., and Oeggel, K.: A novel pollen-based method to detect seasonality in ice cores: a case study from the Ortles Glacier (South Tyrol, Italy), *J. Glaciol.*, 61, 815-824, 2015.
  - Festi, D., Carturan, L., Kofler, W., dalla Fontana, G., de Blasi, F., Cazorzi, F., Bucher, E., Mair, V., Gabrielli, P., and Oeggel, K.: Linking pollen deposition, snow accumulation on the Alto dell'Ortles glacier (South Tyrol, Italy) for sub-seasonal dating of a firn temperate core, *The Cryosphere*, 11, 937-948, 2017.
  - Gabrieli, J.: Trace elements and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in snow and ice sampled at Colle Gnifetti, Monte Rosa (4450 m), during the last 10,000 years: environmental and climatic implication, PhD thesis, Department of Environmental Science, University of Venice, Venice, 178 pp., 2008.
- 35
- 40

- Gabrieli, J. and Barbante, C.: The Alps in the age of the Anthropocene: the impact of human activities on the cryosphere recorded in the Colle Gnifetti glacier, *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei*, 25, 71-83, 10.1007/s12210-014-0292-2, 2014.
- 5 • Gabrieli, J., Cozzi, G., Vallelonga, P., Schwikowski, M., Sigl, M., Eickenberg, J., Wacker, L., Boutron, C., Gäggeler, H., Cescon, P., and Barbante, C.: Contamination of Alpine snow and ice at Colle Gnifetti, Swiss/Italian Alps, from nuclear weapons tests, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 587-593, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.039, 2011.
- Gabrieli, P., Carturan, L., Gabrieli, J., Dinale, R., Krainer, K., Hausmann, H., Davis, M., Zagorodnov, V. S., Seppi, R., Barbante, C., Dalla Fontana, G., and Thompson, L. G.: Atmospheric warming threatens the untapped glacial archive of Ortles mountain, South Tyrol, *J. Glaciol.*, 56, 843-853, doi:10.4461/GFDQ.2012.35.10, 2010.
- 10 • Gabrieli, P., Barbante, C., Carturan, L., Cozzi, G., Dalla Fontana, G., Dinale, R., Draga, G., Gabrieli, J., Kehrwald, N., Mair, V., Mikhalenko, V. N., Piffer, G., Rinaldi, M., Seppi, R., Spolaor, A., Thompson, L. G., and Tonidandel, D.: Discovery of cold ice in a new drilling site in the Eastern European Alps, *Geogr. Fis. Dinam. Quat.*, 35, 101-105, 2012.
- Gabrieli, P., Barbante, C., Bertagna, G., Bertó, M., Binder, D., Carton, A., Carturan, L., Cazorzi, F., Cozzi, G., Dalla Fontana, G., Davis, M., De Blasi, F., Dinale, R., Dragà, G., Dreossi, G., Festi, D., Frezzotti, M., Gabrieli, J., Galos, S., Ginot, P., Heidenwolf, P., Jenk, T. M., Kehrwald, N., Kenny, D., Magand, O., Mair, V., Mikhalenko, V. N., Lin, P. N., Oeggli, K., Piffer, G., Rinaldi, M., Schotterer, U., Schwikowski, M., Seppi, R., Spolaor, A., Stenni, B., Tonidandel, D., Uglietti, C., Zagorodnov, V. S., Zanoner, T., and Zennaro, P.: Age of the Mt. Ortles ice cores, the Tyrolean Iceman and glaciation of the highest summit of South Tyrol since the Northern Hemisphere Climatic Optimum, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 2779–2797, 10.5194/tc-10-2779-2016, 2016.
- 15 • Gäggeler, H. W., Tobler, L., Schwikowski, M., and Jenk, T. M.: Application of the radionuclide <sup>210</sup>Pb in glaciology – an overview, *J. Glaciol.*, 66, 447-456, 10.1017/jog.2020.19, 2020.
- Herren, P.-A., Eichler, A., Machguth, H., Papina, T., Tobler, L., Zapf, A., and Schwikowski, M.: The onset of Neoglaciation 6000 years ago in western Mongolia revealed by an ice core from the Tsambagarav mountain range, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 69, 59-68, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.02.025>, 2013.
- 25 • Hoffmann, H., Preunkert, S., Legrand, M., Leinfelder, D., Bohleber, P., Friedrich, R., and Wagenbach, D.: A New Sample Preparation System for Micro-14C Dating of Glacier Ice with a First Application to a High Alpine Ice Core from Colle Gnifetti (Switzerland), *Radiocarbon*, 60, 517-533, 10.1017/RDC.2017.99, 2018.
- Jenk, T. M., Szidat, S., Boliuss, D., Sigl, M., Gäggeler, H. W., Wacker, L., Ruff, M., Barbante, C., Boutron, C. F., and Schwikowski, M.: A novel radiocarbon dating technique applied to an ice core from the Alps indicating late Pleistocene ages *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 114, 10.1029/2009JD011860, 2009.
- 30 • [Legrand, M., McConnell, J.R., Preunkert, S., Wachs, D., Chellman, N.J., Rehfeld, K., Bergametti, G., Wensman, S. M., Oberthaler, M. K., Friedrich, N.: Alpine ice core record of large changes in dust, sea-salt, and biogenic aerosol over Europe during deglaciation, \*PNAS Nexus\*, 4, 186, <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgaf186>, 2025.](https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgaf186)
- 35 • Lüthi, M. and Funk, M.: Dating ice cores from a high Alpine glacier with a flow model for cold firn, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 31, 69-79, 10.3189/172756400781820381, 2000.
- Magny, M., Peyron, O., Gauthier, E., Vannièrè, B., Millet, L., and Vermot-Desroches, B.: Quantitative estimates of temperature and precipitation changes over the last millennium from pollen and lake-level data at Lake Joux, Swiss Jura Mountains, *Quat. Res.*, 75, 45-54, 10.1016/j.yqres.2010.11.001, 2011.
- 40 • McConnell, J. R., Chellman, N. J., Wilson, A. I., Stohl, A., Arienzo, M. M., Eckhardt, S., Fritzsche, D., Kipfstuhl, S., Opel, T., Place Philip, F., and Steffensen Jørgen, P.: Pervasive Arctic lead pollution suggests substantial growth in medieval silver production modulated by plague, climate, and conflict, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 116, 14910-14915, 10.1073/pnas.1904515116, 2019.
- 45 • ~~McConnell, J.R., N.J.-Chellman, N.J., A.-Plach, A., S.M.-Wensman, S.M., G.-Plunkett, G., A.-Stohl, A., N.-Smith, N., B.-Møllersøe Vinther, B., D.-Dahl-Jensen, D., J.P.-Steffensen, J.P., D.-Fritzsche, D., S.O.-Camara-Brugger, S.O., B.T.-McDonald, B.T., A.I.-Wilson, A.I.~~ Pan-European atmospheric lead pollution, enhanced blood lead levels, and cognitive decline from Roman-era mining and smelting, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 122 (3) e2419630121, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2419630121> (2025).

- Osman, M. B., Smith, B. E., Trusel, L. D., Das, S. B., McConnell, J. R., Chellman, N., Arienzo, M., and Sodemann, H.: Abrupt Common Era hydroclimate shifts drive west Greenland ice cap change, *Nature Geo.*, 14, 756-761, 10.1038/s41561-021-00818-w, 2021.
- 5 • Pages\_k Consortium: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, *Nature Geo.*, 6, 339-346, 10.1038/ngeo1797, 2013.
- Paillard, D., Labeyrie, L., and Yiou, P.: Macintosh Program performs time-series analysis, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 77, 379-379, <https://doi.org/10.1029/96EO00259>, 1996.
- 10 • Parrenin, F., Barnola, J. M., Beer, J., Blunier, T., Castellano, E., Chappellaz, J., Dreyfus, G., Fischer, H., Fujita, S., Jouzel, J., Kawamura, K., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Loulergue, L., Masson-Delmotte, V., Narcisi, B., Petit, J. R., Raisbeck, G., Raynaud, D., Ruth, U., Schwander, J., Severi, M., Spahni, R., Steffensen, J. P., Svensson, A., Udisti, R., Waelbroeck, C., and Wolff, E.: The EDC3 chronology for the EPICA Dome C ice core, *Clim. Past*, 3, 485-497, 2007.
- 15 • Preunkert, S., McConnell, J. R., Hoffmann, H., Legrand, M., Wilson, A. I., Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Chellman, N. J., Arienzo, M. M., and Friedrich, R.: Lead and Antimony in Basal Ice From Col du Dome (French Alps) Dated With Radiocarbon: A Record of Pollution During Antiquity, *Geoph. Res. Let.*, 46, 4953-4961, 10.1029/2019GL082641, 2019.
- ~~C. B.~~ Ramsey, C.B.: OxCal 4.4.4 calibration program, <https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal/OxCal.html> (2021) (last access: December 2024).
- 20 • Reimer, P. J., Austin, W. E. N., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Blackwell, P. G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Butzin, M., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, T. J., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Muscheler, R., Palmer, J. G., Pearson, C., van der Plicht, J., Reimer, R. W., Richards, D. A., Scott, E. M., Southon, J. R., Turney, C. S. M., Wacker, L., Adolphi, F., Büntgen, U., Capano, M., Fahrni, S. M., Fogtman-Schulz, A., Friedrich, R., Köhler, P., Kudsk, S., Miyake, F., Olsen, J., Reinig, F., Sakamoto, M., Sookdeo, A., and Talamo, S.: The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0–55 cal kBP), *Radiocarbon*, 62, 725-757, 10.1017/RDC.2020.41, 2020.
- 25 • Schwikowski, M., Eichler, A., Jenk, T. M., and Mariani, I.: Annually resolved climate signals in high-alpine ice cores, *PAGES Mag.*, 22, 28-29, 2014.
- Svensson, A., Dahl-Jensen, D., Steffensen, J. P., Blunier, T., Rasmussen, S. O., Vinther, B. M., Vallelonga, P., Capron, E., Gkinis, V., Cook, E., Kjær, H. A., Muscheler, R., Kipfstuhl, S., Wilhelms, F., Stocker, T. F., Fischer, H., Adolphi, F., Erhardt, T., Sigl, M., Landais, A., Parrenin, F., Buizert, C., McConnell, J. R., Severi, M., Mulvaney, R., and Bigler, M.: Bipolar volcanic synchronization of abrupt climate change in Greenland and Antarctic ice cores during the last glacial period, *Clim. Past*, 16, 1565-1580, 10.5194/cp-16-1565-2020, 2020.
- 30 • Szidat, S., Salazar, G. A., Vogel, E., Battaglia, M., Wacker, L., Synal, H.-A., and Türler, A.: 14C Analysis and Sample Preparation at the New Bern Laboratory for the Analysis of Radiocarbon with AMS (LARA), *Radiocarbon*, 56, 561-566, 10.2458/56.17457, 2014.
- 35 • Thompson, L. G., Yao, T., Mosley-Thompson, E., Davis, M., Henderson, K. A., and Lin, P. N.: A high-resolution millennial record of the South Asian Monsoon from Himalayan ice cores, *Science*, 289, 1916-1919, 10.1126/science.289.5486.1916, 2000.
- 40 • Uglietti, C., Zapf, A., Jenk, T. M., Sigl, M., Szidat, S., Salazar, G., and Schwikowski, M.: Radiocarbon dating of glacier ice: overview, optimisation, validation and potential, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 3091-3105, 10.5194/tc-10-3091-2016, 2016.
- Wedepohl, K. H.: The composition of the continental crust, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 59, 1217-1232, 1995.
- Whillans, I. M.: The Equation of Continuity and its Application to the Ice Sheet Near “byrd” Station, *Antarctica, J. Glaciol.*, 18, 359-371, 10.3189/S0022143000021055, 1977.
- 45 • Winski, D., Osterberg, E., Ferris, D., Kreutz, K., Wake, C., Campbell, S., Hawley, R., Roy, S., Birkel, S., Introne, D., and Handley, M.: Industrial-age doubling of snow accumulation in the Alaska Range linked to tropical ocean warming, *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 17869, 10.1038/s41598-017-18022-5, 2017.

- Winstrup, M.: A Hidden Markov Model Approach to Infer Timescales for High-Resolution Climate Archives, 30th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and the 28th Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, Palo Alto, California, Phoenix, Arizona, USA, 4053–4061,
- Winstrup, M., Svensson, A. M., Rasmussen, S. O., Winther, O., Steig, E. J., and Axelrod, A. E.: An automated approach for annual layer counting in ice cores, *Clim. Past*, 8, 1881-1895, 10.5194/cp-8-1881-2012, 2012.