

Dear editor and referees,

We appreciate all your detailed and valuable comments on our manuscript (egusphere-2025-2154). We have carefully considered the comments and revised the manuscript accordingly. Please see the point-by-point response below and changes are marked blue in the revised manuscript.

Thank you very much!

Most sincerely,

Yujue Wang and Min Hu

## Point-by-point response to review comments

**Note:** Review comments are in 12 point italicized font. Our responses are indented and in 12 point normal font. The revised text is in quotes and in 10 point blue font.

### Referee #2

*In this manuscript, the authors present a thorough study on atmospheric organosulfates (OSs) in the East Asian marginal seas, focusing on seasonal variability, chemical composition, and the impact of biogenic marine emissions and transported anthropogenic pollutants. The study utilizes observational cruises across three seasons (spring, summer, and autumn) and integrates high-resolution chemical analysis, principal component analysis (PCA), and back-trajectory assessments to attribute sources and mechanisms of OSs formation. The authors highlight the underestimated importance of OSs in the oceanic sulfur cycle and their possible role in the climate system alongside traditional species like methanesulfonic acid (MSA). The study is well-structured and results are well discussed. Below are the comments for consideration:*

#### General Comments:

*The manuscript has a focus comparing OSs levels to MSA, implying both as sulfur-containing organic species and potential CCN. However, they may not necessarily have similar hygroscopicity and CCN activity and therefore climate impact. It is recommended to clarify distinction between MSA and OSs first (regarding precursor, formation pathways and chemical structure), improve transitions between discussing secondary OSs vs MSA, and later on discuss with literature supporting the physicochemical properties of the identified OSs.*

**Response:** Thanks very much for the suggestions. We have added the descriptions on the distinction between MSA and OSs, including precursors, formation pathways, hygroscopicity and CCN activity, in the introduction section (lines 32–35, 43–53 and 61–67) and section 3.2 (lines 258–264).

#### Lines 32–35:

Marine phytoplankton could generate abundant dimethylsulfide (DMS), which further be oxidized in the atmosphere, forms methane sulphonic acid (MSA) or sulfate aerosols and then regulates the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) formation and climate in the marine boundary layer (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Kettle and Andreae, 2000; Kloster et al., 2006).

#### Lines 43–53:

Another important SOA formation pathway from isoprene and monoterpene oxidation is facilitated by acidic sulfate particles under high humidity conditions, resulting in the formation of organosulfates (OSs) (Brüggemann et al., 2020). In the marine boundary layer, sulfate aerosols could largely be formed

via the oxidation of DMS emitted by marine phytoplankton (Andreae, 1990; Li et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2024). Sulfate aerosols are generally abundant over various marine environments (Li et al., 2018; Shank et al., 2012), which serve as a key precursor for the OSs formation and provide an ideal condition for the reactive uptake of VOCs oxidation products. Laboratory studies suggested that the reactive uptake of isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) or monoterpene oxides onto aerosol particles and ring-opening epoxide reactions could be catalyzed by acidic sulfate aerosols, resulting in the formation of IEPOX-OS and monoterpene-derived OSs (Surratt et al., 2010; Schindelka et al., 2013; Riva et al., 2016). Biogenic organosulfur formation via the acidic sulfate-catalyzed aqueous reactions with VOCs has been proved to represent an important source of atmospheric organic aerosols (Riva et al., 2019).

**Lines 61–67:**

Different from MSA, organosulfates are generally with larger molecular weights and weakly hygroscopic taking up water even at subsaturated humidity conditions (Brüggemann et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2015). The OSs molecules have the hydrophilic sulfate group and the hydrophobic organic group, making them surface-active compounds. It has been suggested that OSs could lower the surface tension of particles, affect the particles' ability to absorb water, and to act as CCN (Brüggemann et al., 2020; Hansen et al., 2015). The roles of OSs in regulating the CCN formation and climate may be different from MSA, which needs further elaboration via field observations and laboratory studies.

**Lines 258–264:**

Previous studies have suggested that atmospheric MSA formed via the oxidation of DMS contributes to the CCN formation in the marine boundary layer, which is a vital species relevant to the CLAW hypothesis of oceanic phytoplankton-controlled climate regulation (Ayers et al., 1997; Charlson et al., 1987; Quinn and Bates, 2011). Atmospheric OSs could modify the aerosol morphology, suppress the surface tension and might play roles in altering the cloud formation (Estillore et al., 2016; Riva et al., 2019). The relevance of MSA and OSs in climate regulation and the CLAW hypothesis should be assessed considering their abundance in the atmosphere as well as their distinct physicochemical properties.

*The terms “OS” vs “OSs”, “NOS” vs “NOSs”, and “VOC” vs “VOCs” should be used more consistently, e.g., Line 250: “OSs concentrations” vs Line 255: “OS concentrations” vs Line 211: “organosulfate”.*

**Response:** Thanks for the kind reminding. To be consistent, we now use the terms “OSs”, “NOSs”, “VOCs”, and “organosulfates” throughout the main text.

*Language editing is needed for some sentences starting with “While...” (Line 35-37, Line 54-57, Line 125-126, Line 236-238).*

**Response:** We have now revised these sentences to be clear.

**Lines 35–37:**

However, the following observational evidence and modelling studies indicated that CCN formation in marine atmospheres is far more complex than had been recognized by the CLAW hypothesis (Quinn and Bates, 2011).

**Lines 67–69:**

We noted that the atmospheric OSs derived from isoprene or monoterpenes were rarely detected in the marine aerosols collected at a remote island site located in the Southern Ocean or the southern Indian Ocean (Claeys et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2019).

**Lines 166–167:**

The OSs formation may be limited by the low biogenic VOCs emissions or ambient RH in the wintertime inland environments (Wang et al., 2020).

**Lines 297–298:**

However, during autumn and spring, we observed higher mass ratios of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs versus isoprene-OSs due to the additional sources of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs contributed by anthropogenic sources.

**Lines 302–304:**

The low-NO conditions in summer favored the IEPOX formation from isoprene oxidation via HO<sub>2</sub> pathway, and the formation of MAE via NO/NO<sub>2</sub> pathway increased under the influence of continental pollutants in autumn and spring.

**Lines 318–319:**

However, we cannot exclude the potential influence of transported continental air masses, especially over the nYBS.

**Specific Comments:**

*Line 43-46: “Another important SOA formation pathway from isoprene and monoterpene oxidation is facilitated by acidic sulfate particles under high humidity conditions, with organosulfates (OSs) as the products (Brüggemann et al., 2020). Sulfate aerosols could be readily formed via DMS oxidation and are generally abundant over various marine environments (Andreae, 1990; Li et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2024).” More story-telling is needed to clarify why DMS-derived sulfate is discussed here with other biogenic OSs. Also consider citing some mechanism-focused studies here to highlight how aqueous-phase chemistry drives reactive uptake of epoxydiols by sulfate aerosols.*

**Response:** The DMS-derived sulfate is discussed here as sulfate aerosols are an important precursor for the OSs formation via the interactions between acidic sulfate and VOCs precursors. Related explanations have been added in lines 43–48.

As suggested, laboratory studies are now cited to highlight the mechanisms of aqueous-phase chemistry driving the reactive uptake of epoxydiols by the sulfate aerosols (lines 48–53).

**Lines 43–53:**

Another important SOA formation pathway from isoprene and monoterpene oxidation is facilitated by acidic sulfate particles under high humidity conditions, resulting in the formation of organosulfates (OSs) (Brüggemann et al., 2020). In the marine boundary layer, sulfate aerosols could largely be formed via the oxidation of DMS emitted by marine phytoplankton (Andreae, 1990; Li et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2024). Sulfate aerosols are generally abundant over various marine environments (Li et al., 2018; Shank et al., 2012), which serve as a key precursor for the OSs formation and provide an ideal condition for the reactive uptake of VOCs oxidation products. Laboratory studies suggested that the reactive

uptake of isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) or monoterpene oxides onto aerosol particles and ring-opening epoxide reactions could be catalyzed by acidic sulfate aerosols, resulting in the formation of IEPOX-OS and monoterpene-derived OSs (Surratt et al., 2010; Schindelka et al., 2013; Riva et al., 2016). Biogenic organosulfur formation via the acidic sulfate-catalyzed aqueous reactions with VOCs has been proved to represent an important source of atmospheric organic aerosols (Riva et al., 2019).

*Section 2.1: Give a brief introduction about the geographical and climate characteristics of Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea (YBS), and state how the measurement here would address current research gap. It will be good to also mention the time resolutions of filter sampling and other measurements including WS, RH, EC, and Chl-a.*

**Response:** As suggested, we have added the descriptions on the geographical and climate characteristics of the YBS (lines 83–87) as well as the time resolutions of filter sampling and other measurements in section 2.1 (lines 90–91, 93–97 and 144–145).

**Lines 83–87:**

The YBS are marginal seas surrounded by the East Asian continents (Figure 1), where there are active interactions between transported continent outflows (e.g. Asian dust, anthropogenic pollutants) and marine emissions. The atmosphere over the YBS is dominantly influenced by the marine emissions or the continental outflows in different seasons, making it an ideal region to understand the roles of marine emissions and continental pollutants in the marine aerosol formation.

**Lines 90–91:**

Each aerosol sample was collected for 10–24 hrs, and a field blank sample was collected during each cruise.

**Lines 93–97:**

During the observation, wind speed (WS), air temperature, and relative humidity (RH) were simultaneously measured by a shipboard meteorological observatory, with a time resolution of 10s. The surface seawater (2–5 m) samples were collected by a conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) assembly (Seabird 9/11), and the chlorophyll-a (*Chl-a*) concentration in surface seawater was measured using a CE Turner Designs fluorometer. There were 2–12 CTD sites during the sampling period of each filter sample.

**Lines 144–145:**

The filter samples, with a time resolution of 10–24 hrs, were used for the analysis of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), water-soluble ions, and MSA in the marine aerosols.

*Line 78: The short form for chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) should be denoted here as the first appearance in the manuscript.*

**Response:** Revised accordingly.

*Section 2.2: Do the authors also correct for extraction efficiencies and quantification uncertainties? Some quantitative descriptors (e.g.  $R^2$ , S.D., uncertainty range, n-values) can be reported consistently throughout the manuscript.*

**Response:** The quantitative descriptors of OSs quantification, including extraction efficiencies, quantification uncertainties,  $R^2$ , S.D., n-values, have been described in [lines 126–132](#), and details are listed in [Table S1](#).

**[Lines 126–132:](#)**

As shown in Table S1, the UHPLC and MS/MS conditions produce highly linear calibration curves for the quantified OSs compounds ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ). The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs range 0.07–0.79  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  and 0.24–2.62  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ . The LOD and LOQ of monoterpene-OSs range 0.73–2.65  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  and 2.42–8.85  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ . The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the quantified OSs is <12.1% based on ten replicate injections of standards. Spike recoveries of the OSs standards on the blank filter are 94%–105%. The measurement uncertainty of OSs concentrations is 5.5–13.2% considering the relative errors in air volume (5%), extraction efficiency (recovery), and instrumental analysis (Hettiyadura et al., 2017).

**[Newly added Table S1:](#)**

**Table S1** Synthesized OSs standards used in the HPLC-MS analysis, linearity, coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), relative standard deviation (RSD) and measurement uncertainty of ten replicate standard injections.

Common name <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	Formula	[M-H] <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	Linear range ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	$R^2$ <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	LOD <sup>Ⓢ</sup> ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	LOQ <sup>Ⓢ</sup> ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	RSD <sup>Ⓢ</sup> (%) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	Measurement uncertainty (%) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
Hydroxyacetone sulfate (HAS) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	152.99 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.995 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.79 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.62 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.79 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	7.6 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
Glycolic acid sulfate (GAS) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	154.97 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.13 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.43 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	12.08 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	13.2 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
Lactic acid sulfate (LAS) <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>6</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	168.98 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.995 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.07 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.24 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	5.37 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	7.9 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
$\alpha$ -Pinene OS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	249.08 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.998 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.78 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.59 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.34 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	5.5 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
$\beta$ -Pinene OS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>			10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.76 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.53 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	4.16 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	6.3 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
limonene OS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>			10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	1.33 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	4.45 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.77 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	6.1 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
limonaketone OS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>15</sub> O <sub>6</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	251.06 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.997 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.73 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.42 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	3.41 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	8.5 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
$\alpha$ -Pinene NOS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> NO <sub>7</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	294.06 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.98 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	3.27 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	3.10 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	8.5 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
limonene NOS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>			10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.26 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	7.53 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	4.04 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	8.1 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>
limonaketone NOS <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>14</sub> NO <sub>6</sub> S <sup>-Ⓢ</sup>	296.04 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	10-1000 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	0.999 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.65 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	8.85 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	2.85 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>	5.8 <sup>Ⓢ</sup>

*Line 111: “The OA concentration was then calculated by multiplying OC by 1.6.” Cite the reference for the estimation.*

**Response:** Related reference Wang et al., *ES&T*, 2023 has been cited in line 147. The selection of the OM/OC conversion factor (1.6) is detailed in the Appendix of Wang et al., (2023).

*Line 114: “The concentrations of non-sea-salt potassium ion (nss-K<sup>+</sup>) and non-sea-salt sulfate (nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were calculated by  $[K^+] - 0.037 \times [Na^+]$  and  $[SO_4^{2-}] - 0.2516 \times [Na^+]$ .” Cite the reference for the estimation.*

**Response:** Related reference has been cited in line 150.

**[Lines 148–150:](#)**

The concentrations of non-sea-salt potassium ion (nss-K<sup>+</sup>) and non-sea-salt sulfate (nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were respectively calculated by  $[K^+] - 0.037 \times [Na^+]$  and  $[SO_4^{2-}] - 0.2516 \times [Na^+]$  (Millero and Sohn, 1992; Jung et al., 2020; Balasubramanian et al., 2003; Behera et al., 2013).

Line 124: “...under high RH conditions in coastal areas, which favored the aqueous-phase formation of OSs in the atmosphere.” What are the chemical pathways? Cite the references to support the argument.

**Response:** The chemical pathways and related references are now added in the revised version (lines 163–166).

**Lines 163–166:**

Acid sulfate-catalyzed reactions with isoprene-derived epoxide are widely adopted as the most important pathway for atmospheric OSs formation (Liao et al., 2015; Surratt et al., 2008; Schindelka et al., 2013; Brüggemann et al., 2020). Under the high-humidity conditions, OSs could also be formed via the heterogeneous reactions between SO<sub>2</sub> and monoterpene ozonolysis intermediates or organic peroxides (Ye et al., 2018).

Line 166: “The IEPOX-OS, a typical low-NO oxidation product of isoprene, was one of the dominant compounds during the summer cruise...” Critical citations on mechanistic and observational studies are needed to support further discussion in this paragraph.

**Response:** The critical references on mechanistic and observational studies have been cited in lines 205–209 to support further discussion.

**Lines 205–209:**

The IEPOX-OS was one of the dominant OSs compounds during the summer cruise (Fig. 1), which is a typical low-NO oxidation product of isoprene formed via the acid-catalyzed ring opening of isoprene epoxydiols and subsequent nucleophilic addition of inorganic sulfate (Surratt et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2012). The dominance of IEPOX-OS among the biogenic OSs observed here is consistent with previous field observations under low-NO and high-RH conditions (Cooke et al., 2022; Lam et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2015).

Line 190: “The higher seawater Chlorophyll-*a* (*Chl-a*) during summer indicated elevated isoprene production by phytoplankton, and higher temperature favored the sea-to-air transfer process of isoprene (Fig. S3).” It is noted from Fig S3 and S6 that the median do not differ much from other seasons. Please mention the number of measurements and comment on the range of *Chl-a* measured during summer and whether this elevation is significant.

**Response:** Thanks for reminding us that the median values in summer, shown in Figures S3 and S6, do not differ much from other seasons. Yes, the median values of *Chl-a* concentrations in summer did not show a significant difference from those in other seasons ( $p>0.1$ ). We revised the related explanations in lines 236–240. As suggested, the number of measurements and the range of seawater *Chl-a* are also added here in the revised version.

**Lines 236–240:**

The filter-sampling-averaged *Chl-a* concentrations were 0.6–5.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> ( $n=7$ ,  $2.1\pm1.7$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during summer, 1.0–2.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> ( $n=8$ ,  $1.7\pm0.5$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during spring and 0.5–2.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> ( $n=11$ ,  $1.4\pm0.6$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during autumn. High seawater *Chl-a* conditions (5.3 and 3.6

mg/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed during the summer cruise. In addition, the air temperature in summer was significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) higher than that in other seasons (Fig. S3), and the summertime high temperature favored the sea-to-air transfer process of isoprene.

*Line 209: “This suggested that the atmospheric OSs and MSA formation was limited by the same environmental factors in autumn,” Whereas MSA has often served as an indicator for marine biological activity, do the author also have plausible explanations for the weaker correlation during summer?*

**Response:** The possible reasons for the weaker correlation between OSs and MSA during summer have been explained in lines 267–273.

**Lines 267–273:**

The increase of marine phytoplankton emissions (e.g., DMS) may drive the formation and elevation of OSs during autumn over the YBS. Atmospheric OSs and MSA did not show an obvious correlation in summer. The seawater *Chl-a* and SST were higher during the summer cruise than during the other cruises (Fig. S3), indicating abundant marine biogenic emissions and sea-to-air exchange processes in summer. We proposed that the marine biogenic emitted precursors (e.g., DMS, isoprene) were abundant and in excess for the MSA and OSs formation in summer. The formation of MSA or OSs might be limited by different atmospheric oxidation or subsequent reaction processes of these precursors, and the environmental conditions driving their formation in summer need further investigation.

*Section 3.5: Consider reporting how many samples and variables were input into PCA in the maintext.*

**Response:** A total of 26 aerosol samples and 18 variables were input into the PCA analysis. Related information has been added in lines 347–349.

**Lines 347–349:**

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using 26 aerosol samples to further understand the sources of atmospheric OSs over the YBS (Fig. 6, Table S3). A total of 18 particulate components, including OSs, water-soluble ions, EC, and MSA, were chosen to carry out the statistics.

*Line 307: “Elevated OS concentrations were observed as the increasing of air temperature in summer or as the increasing of wind speed in spring (Fig. 7).” Some language editing may benefit, e.g., OSs concentrations elevated with increasing air temperature in summer and increasing wind speed in spring.*

**Response:** Revised accordingly.

*Line 327-329: “The results highlight the abundant formation of airborne OSs in summer, which is promoted by the elevated biogenic VOC emissions from surface ocean. During high biological activity periods, atmospheric OS levels could surpass the MSA concentrations in marine aerosols, which is a vital species in the well-known climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions (CLAW hypothesis).” For broader implication, the authors may also discuss the potential of different organic sulfur species (particularly MSA vs biogenic like isoprene-derived OSs) as alternative molecular tracers for phytoplankton-*



*derived aerosol, in which the inclusion of these tracers may offer greater sensitivity under certain seasons or atmospheric regimes.*

**Response:** Thanks for the suggestion on the broad implications of our study. We have now added in lines 396–398.

**Lines 396–398:**

In the future studies, isoprene-derived OSs are suggested to be included as the molecular tracers of marine SOA related to phytoplankton emissions, especially during summer or over oceanic regions with high phytoplankton activities and high SST.

# Atmospheric Organosulfate Formation Regulated by Continental Outflows and Marine Emissions over East Asian Marginal Seas

Shubin Li<sup>1</sup>, Yujue Wang<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Yiwen Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yizhe Yi<sup>1</sup>, Yuchen Wang<sup>4</sup>, Yuqi Guo<sup>1</sup>, Chao Yu<sup>1</sup>, Yue Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Jinhui Shi<sup>1,2</sup>, Chao Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jialei Zhu<sup>5</sup>, Wei Hu<sup>5</sup>, Jianzhen Yu<sup>6,7</sup>, Xiaohong Yao<sup>1,2</sup>, Huiwang Gao<sup>1,2</sup>, Min Hu<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Frontiers Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System, Key Laboratory of Marine Environment and Ecology, Ministry of Education of China, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao Marine Science and Technology Center, Qingdao, China

<sup>3</sup>State Key Joint Laboratory of Regional Environment and Sustainability, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

<sup>4</sup>College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan, 410082, China

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Surface-Earth System Science, School of Earth System Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

<sup>6</sup>Division of Environment & Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Hong Kong, China

<sup>7</sup>Department of Chemistry, Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Hong Kong, China

\*Correspondence to: Yujue Wang (wangyujue@ouc.edu.cn); Min Hu ([minhu@pku.edu.cn](mailto:minhu@pku.edu.cn))

**Abstract.** Organosulfates (OSs) represent an unrecognized fraction and a potentially important source of marine organic aerosols. Based on shipboard observations over East Asian marginal seas, we characterized OSs in marine aerosols during spring, summer, and autumn. The C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene-/monoterpenes-derived OSs were quantified using synthesized standards. The total quantified OSs concentrations ranged from 4.5 to 109.1 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, contributing 0.1%–3.2% of the mass concentration of marine organic aerosols. The highest OSs concentrations, dominated by C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene-OSs, were observed in summer, which surpassed the abundance of methane sulfonic acid, a key component in climate regulation by oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions. Abundant OSs formation in summer was mainly attributed to the increased isoprene emissions from the ocean. During the spring and autumn cruises, transported continental pollutants resulted in the higher fraction of monoterpene-derived (nitrooxy-)OSs, as well as the elevated OSs concentrations over regions surrounded by the continent. This work highlights the joint effects of marine emissions and continental outflows on the formation and distribution of atmospheric OSs over marginal seas.

## 1 Introduction

Marine atmospheric aerosols play a vital role in climate change through influencing cloud formation and solar radiative balance (Li et al., 2022). Marine phytoplankton could generate abundant dimethylsulfide (DMS), which further be oxidized in

33 the atmosphere, forms methane sulphonic acid (MSA) or sulfate aerosols and then regulates the cloud condensation nuclei  
34 (CCN) formation and climate in the marine boundary layer (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Kettle and Andreae, 2000; Kloster  
35 et al., 2006). This is named the CLAW hypothesis, proposed by Charlson et al. (1987) and Ayers et al. (1991). However, the  
36 following observational evidence and modelling studies indicated that CCN formation in marine atmospheres is far more  
37 complex than had been recognized by the CLAW hypothesis (Quinn and Bates, 2011). This is mainly attributed to the unknown  
38 organic fractions in marine aerosols, including those primarily emitted by sea spray and secondarily formed organic aerosols  
39 (SOA) via the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

40 Traditional SOA tracers, including those from the oxidation of isoprene and monoterpene, etc., could explain only <10% of  
41 marine organic aerosols (Fu et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2020). The majority of the marine SOA components remain unknown till  
42 now. Abundant isoprene could be emitted from the ocean, and isoprene SOA has been proved to be one of the most important  
43 fractions in marine organic aerosols (Hu et al., 2013). Another important SOA formation pathway from isoprene and  
44 monoterpene oxidation is facilitated by acidic sulfate particles under high humidity conditions, resulting in the formation of  
45 organosulfates (OSs) (Brüggemann et al., 2020). In the marine boundary layer, sulfate aerosols could largely be formed via  
46 the oxidation of DMS emitted by marine phytoplankton (Andreae, 1990; Li et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2024). Sulfate aerosols are  
47 generally abundant over various marine environments (Li et al., 2018; Shank et al., 2012), which serve as a key precursor for  
48 the OSs formation and provide an ideal condition for the reactive uptake of VOCs oxidation products. Laboratory studies  
49 suggested that the reactive uptake of isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) or monoterpene oxides onto aerosol particles and ring-  
50 opening epoxide reactions could be catalyzed by acidic sulfate aerosols, resulting in the formation of IEPOX-OS and  
51 monoterpene-derived OSs (Surratt et al., 2010; Schindelka et al., 2013; Riva et al., 2016). Biogenic organosulfur formation  
52 via the acidic sulfate-catalyzed aqueous reactions with VOCs has been proved to represent an important source of atmospheric  
53 organic aerosols (Riva et al., 2019). Recent studies also indicated the existence and importance of organic sulfur compounds,  
54 including OSs, in marine aerosols (Bao et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2021).

55 Atmospheric OSs constitute a large portion of organic aerosols (OA) in the environments with substantial interactions of  
56 biogenic and anthropogenic emissions (Hettiyadura et al., 2019; Meade et al., 2016; Surratt et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2018). A  
57 recent cruise observation over Asian marginal seas suggested that OSs derived from isoprene and monoterpenes could  
58 contribute about 7% of the OA mass concentration (Wang et al., 2023b). Wang et al (2023) also indicated that  
59 isoprene/monoterpene-derived OSs could surpass the traditionally identified SOA tracers generated from isoprene or  
60 monoterpene oxidation (e.g., methylglyceric acid, alkene triols, hydroxyglutaric acid, pinic acid etc.). Besides sulfate and MSA,  
61 atmospheric OSs could be a potential key species in the sulfur cycle in the marine boundary layer. Different from MSA,  
62 organosulfates are generally with larger molecular weights and weakly hygroscopic taking up water even at subsaturated

humidity conditions (Brüggemann et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2015). The OSs molecules have the hydrophilic sulfate group and the hydrophobic organic group, making them surface-active compounds. It has been suggested that OSs could lower the surface tension of particles, affect the particles' ability to absorb water, and to act as CCN (Brüggemann et al., 2020; Hansen et al., 2015). The roles of OSs in regulating the CCN formation and climate may be different from MSA, which needs further elaboration via field observations and laboratory studies. We noted that the atmospheric OSs derived from isoprene or monoterpenes were rarely detected in the marine aerosols collected at a remote island site located in the Southern Ocean or the southern Indian Ocean (Claeys et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2019). These could be attributed to the low biogenic VOCs emission/flux or the degradation of OSs over long-term storage (Claeys et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2019).

The existence or abundance of atmospheric OSs in marine aerosols have not been well evaluated or quantified till now (Hawkins et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2023b; Ye et al., 2021), which limited the understanding on their formation processes or their roles in the sulfur cycle and aerosol climate effects in marine atmospheres. In this study, atmospheric OSs over East Asian marginal seas were quantified using synthesized OSs standards. We characterized the particulate OSs derived from isoprene and monoterpenes, and investigated their spatial distributions, seasonal variations, as well as the dominant environmental factors of OSs formation. Our results suggested that, over marginal seas, the spatiotemporal distribution of OSs abundance and composition was dependent on the relative importance of marine emissions and continental outflows. This work highlights the vital roles of OSs in altering the sulfur cycle in marine boundary layer, and further studies in open ocean are needed to understand the influence of OSs on the climate effects of marine aerosols.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Cruise observation and sample collection

Marine aerosol samples were collected during summer (16 July–26 July) and autumn (21 October–2 November) in 2021, and during spring (14 April–25 April) in 2022 over the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea (YBS). The YBS are marginal seas surrounded by the East Asian continents (Figure 1), where there are active interactions between transported continent outflows (e.g. Asian dust, anthropogenic pollutants) and marine emissions. The atmosphere over the YBS is dominantly influenced by the marine emissions or the continental outflows in different seasons, making it an ideal region to understand the roles of marine emissions and continental pollutants in the marine aerosol formation. The fine particle ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) and total suspended particulate (TSP) samples were collected using high-volume aerosol samplers (KB-1000, Qingdao Genstar Electronic Technology, China). The quartz fiber filters were pre-baked at 500 °C for 4.5 hours and wrapped in pre-baked aluminum foil after sampling. Aerosol samplers were placed on the top deck of the vessel “Lanhai 101”, approximately 8 m above the sea surface. Each aerosol sample was collected for 10–24 hrs, and a field blank sample was collected during each cruise. The field blank sample was

used to correct the potential sampling artifacts for the quantified OSs and other species in the marine aerosol samples. During the observation, wind speed (WS), air temperature, and relative humidity (RH) were simultaneously measured by a shipboard meteorological observatory, with a time resolution of 10s. The surface seawater (2–5 m) samples were collected by a conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) assembly (Seabird 9/11), and the chlorophyll-a (*Chl-a*) concentration in surface seawater was measured using a CE Turner Designs fluorometer. There were 2–12 CTD sites during the sampling period of each filter sample. Concentrations of seawater isoprene were then estimated by empirical formulas based on previous studies (Ooki et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2023b). The 72 h backward trajectories of air masses from an altitude of 500 m above ground level were calculated using the HYSPLIT model (Version 5.2.1, NOAA), starting every 6 h (Fig. S1). Trajectories at the center site of the observation region were calculated to represent the air masses during each cruise over the YBS.

## 2.2 HPLC-MS analysis and OSs quantification

An aliquot of each filter sample was extracted by methanol. The solutions were filtered using PTFE syringe filter (0.22  $\mu$ m), and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of N<sub>2</sub> gas. The dried residues were redissolved in methanol containing 0.1% formic acid (100  $\mu$ L). Organosulfates compounds were quantified using a QTRAP 4500 mass spectrometer (AB Sciex) coupled with an UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000, Thermo Scientific, DE) for the low-molecular-weight OSs, and an Exactive Plus-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Inc.) with an UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000) for monoterpene-derived compounds. Mass spectrometry was operated using a negative-mode electrospray ionization. The monoterpene NOSs (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>S<sup>−</sup> and C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>S<sup>−</sup>) were identified in the extracted ion chromatogram mode, and other OSs compounds were quantified in multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. In this work, C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs (HAS, GAS, and LAS), isoprene-OSs (IEPOX-OS, MAE-OS, and C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>−</sup>), monoterpene-OSs, and nitrooxy-OSs (NOSs) were quantified using synthesized OSs standards (Tables S1, S2) (Wang et al., 2018).

Chromatographic separation of the low-molecular-weight OSs, including C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene-OSs/NOSs, was optimized using an ethylene bridged hybrid (BEH) Amide column (2.1 mm×100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m, Waters, USA) equipped with a pre-column. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) separation is an accurate analytical method for quantifying the low-molecular-weight OSs (Hettiyadura et al., 2015). The injection volume was 2.0  $\mu$ L. The column was maintained at 35°C. Mobile eluents were solvent A: ammonium acetate buffer (10 mM, pH 9) in ultrapure water and solvent B: 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer (10 mM, pH 9) in acetonitrile/water (95:5). The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min at 0–2.5 min, then decreased to 0.35 mL/min from 2.5 to 11.5 min, and increased back to 0.4 mL/min from 11.5 to 18 min. The gradient elution was set as follows: 100% B at 0–0.4 min; reduced to 88% B at 0.4–2.4 min and maintained until 11 min; increased to 100% B at 11–11.5 min, and maintained at 100% B until 18 min to re-equilibrate the column. Monoterpene OSs/NOSs were analyzed using an Acquity UPLC HSS T3 column (2.1 mm×100 mm, 1.8  $\mu$ m, Waters, USA) with a pre-column. The mobile eluents were solvent A (0.1%

acetic acid in ultrapure water) and solvent B (0.1% acetic acid in methanol) at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The gradient elution procedure was performed as follows: 5% B at 0–1.5 min; increased to 54% B over 13.7 min and held for 1.0 min; then increased to 90% B over 1.8 min and held for 5 min; decreased to 5% B over 0.5 min and held for 1.5 min to re-equilibrate the column for next injection. The column temperature was maintained at 45°C, and the injection volume was 5.0 µL.

As shown in Table S1, the UHPLC and MS/MS conditions produce highly linear calibration curves for the quantified OSs compounds ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ). The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs range 0.07–0.79 µg L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.24–2.62 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. The LOD and LOQ of monoterpene-OSs range 0.73–2.65 µg L<sup>-1</sup> and 2.42–8.85 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the quantified OSs is <12.1% based on ten replicate injections of standards. Spike recoveries of the OSs standards on the blank filter are 94%–105%. The measurement uncertainty of OSs concentrations is 5.5–13.2% considering the relative errors in air volume (5%), extraction efficiency (recovery), and instrumental analysis (Hettiyadura et al., 2017). Organosulfates are primarily present in the particle phase under ambient conditions due to their low volatilities. Laboratory studies suggested that hydrolysis could be an atmospheric removal process for some OSs (Chen et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2011; Lam et al., 2019). The quantified OSs in this study are likely to be chemically stable over the atmospheric time scales (Chen et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2011; Lam et al., 2019). A previous study showed a potential positive bias of atmospheric OSs during the filter sampling and subsequent offline analysis (Kristensen et al., 2016). This sampling artifact is because that the gas-phase epoxides or SO<sub>2</sub> might absorb onto the filter substrates during the sampling. Subsequent on-filter oxidation and sulfation of the absorbed epoxides may form OSs, leading to a positive bias in the sampling and quantification of OSs (Kristensen et al., 2016; Brüggemann et al., 2020). In the present study, the field blanks were analyzed following the same procedures and used to correct the potential sampling artifacts. The mass loadings of the quantified OSs compounds in the field blank samples were < 0.1% of those in the marine aerosol samples. All the reported OSs concentrations have been corrected by subtracting the background values in the corresponding field blank sample.

### 2.3 Measurements of aerosol chemical composition


The filter samples, with a time resolution of 10–24 hrs, were used for the analysis of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), water-soluble ions, and MSA in the marine aerosols. The concentrations of OC and EC were measured using a carbon analyzer (Model RT-3131, Sunset Laboratory, OR). The OA concentration was then calculated by multiplying OC by 1.6 (Wang et al., 2023b). Water-soluble cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>), anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), and MSA were measured using ion chromatography systems (ICS-2100 and ICS-Aquion RFIC, Thermo Scientific). The concentrations of non-sea-salt potassium ion (nss-K<sup>+</sup>) and non-sea-salt sulfate (nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were respectively calculated by  $[K^+] - 0.037 \times [Na^+]$  and  $[SO_4^{2-}] - 0.2516 \times [Na^+]$  (Millero and Sohn, 1992; Jung et al., 2020; Balasubramanian et al., 2003; Behera et al., 2013). The mass loadings of OC and sulfate in the field blank samples were < 8% and < 1.3% of those in the collected marine aerosol

152 samples. All the reported concentrations of the aerosol compounds have been corrected by subtracting the background values  
153 measured in the corresponding field blank sample. The concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or TSP were reconstructed by summing the  
154 concentrations of inorganic ions, OA, and EC in each aerosol sample.

## 155 3 Results and discussion

### 156 3.1 Concentration and composition of marine atmospheric OSs


157 The total quantified OSs and nitrooxy-OSs ranged from 4.5 to 109.1 ng/m<sup>3</sup> in marine aerosols during the shipboard  
158 observations over the YBS (Fig. 1, Table S2). The eleven quantified OSs and NOSs compounds contributed 0.1%–3.2% of the  
159 OA mass concentrations over the YBS. The observed OSs concentrations here were generally higher than the wintertime  
160 concentrations at inland sites, and lower than those in coastal regions (Kanellopoulos et al., 2022; Meade et al., 2016; Nguyen  
161 et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020, 2021, 2022b) (Fig. 2). This was due to the active interactions between biogenic VOCs and  
162 sulfate aerosols under high RH conditions in coastal areas, which favored the aqueous-phase formation of OSs in the  
163 atmosphere. Acid sulfate-catalyzed reactions with isoprene-derived epoxide are widely adopted as the most important pathway  
164 for atmospheric OSs formation (Liao et al., 2015; Surratt et al., 2008; Schindelka et al., 2013; Brüggemann et al., 2020). Under  
165 the high-humidity conditions, OSs could also be formed via the heterogeneous reactions between SO<sub>2</sub> and monoterpene  
166 ozonolysis intermediates or organic peroxides (Ye et al., 2018). The OSs formation may be limited by the low biogenic  
167 VOCs emissions or ambient RH in the wintertime inland environments (Wang et al., 2020). It is noted that, taking the autumn  
168 observation as an example, we compared the OSs concentrations in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> and the TSP samples simultaneously collected  
169 during the cruise (Fig. S2). The majority of the data points fall along the 1:1 line (Fig. S2). The presence of OSs is dominant  
170 in fine particles, and thus our further discussion is focused on the results of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples.



**Figure 1.** Spatial distributions of OSs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> over the YBS during (a) summer, (b) autumn in 2021, and (c) spring in 2022. The inserted charts in panels (a, b, c) are the contribution of different OSs compounds. The dotted lines in the panels are the dividing line of the North Yellow Sea (nYS) and the South Yellow Sea (sYS).

The C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs, including glycolic acid sulfate (GAS), hydroxyacetone sulfate (HAS), and lactic acid sulfate (LAS), were the most abundant compound group across the observed seasons (Fig. 1, 2). The C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs concentrations were respectively 7.2±3.1, 24.2±12.4, and 12.8±14.4 ng/m<sup>3</sup> in spring, summer, and autumn, comparable to the concentration levels at inland sites and lower than those in coastal areas (Fig. 2). In autumn and spring, the fraction of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs, especially GAS, was much higher than other compound groups. The highest GAS concentration (47.8 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) over YBS was observed on 30 October during the autumn cruise. We noted that, in marine atmospheres, the contribution of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs among the quantified OSs was much higher than those observed in various continental environments (Fig. 2). These low-molecular-weight OSs could be formed via the oxidation of VOCs precursors from both biogenic and anthropogenic origins (Wang et al., 2023a), and have been frequently observed as one of the most abundant OSs groups in previous studies (Wang et al., 2018, 2020; Cai et al., 2020).





**Figure 2.** Atmospheric OSs concentrations and mass ratios of (OSs+NOSs)/OA over the YBS in this study and in inland and coastal atmospheres reported in previous studies (Kanellopoulos et al., 2022; Meade et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020, 2021, 2022b). The data labels in this work are denoted in blue, and those from previous studies are in black. The pie charts represent the average contribution of OSs compound groups in each season. It is noted that the OSs abundance over the YBS and at coastal or inland sites are represented by different y-axes concentration ranges.

The total concentration of quantified isoprene-OSs and NOSs ranged from 1.3 to 56.9 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, which were the most abundant group in summer over the YBS (Fig. 1). The predominance of isoprene OSs has been well documented at both coastal and inland sites (Fig. 2), which is attributed to the substantial biogenic isoprene emissions, especially during warmer seasons. Wu et al. (2021) reported abundant emission of isoprene from coastal and shelf seas (Wu et al., 2021), and isoprene OSs would then form via the interaction between sulfate aerosols and isoprene oxidation products (Surratt et al., 2010; Cooke et al., 2022). In the marine atmosphere over YBS, isoprene-derived OSs displayed a dominance by IEPOX-OS and C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup> during summer, and by C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup> during spring and autumn (Fig. 1). The C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup> compound has been suggested as a further oxidized or aged form of IEPOX-OS (Armstrong et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2020). The abundant presence of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup> in marine aerosols across seasons indicated the rapid oxidation and aging processes of isoprene SOA in marine atmospheres. The high contribution of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup> molecule among isoprene-derived OSs has been reported in marine aerosols, as well as in coastal and inland atmospheres (Hettiyadura et al., 2015; Kanellopoulos et al., 2022; Surratt et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2018, 2022b, 2023b).

The IEPOX-OS was one of the dominant OSs compounds during the summer cruise (Fig. 1), which is a typical low-NO oxidation product of isoprene formed via the acid-catalyzed ring opening of isoprene epoxydiols and subsequent nucleophilic addition of inorganic sulfate (Surratt et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2012). The dominance of IEPOX-OS among the biogenic OSs observed here is consistent with previous field observations under low-NO and high-RH conditions (Cooke et al., 2022; Lam et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2015). For the summertime samples, the contribution of IEPOX-OS among isoprene-OSs here is higher than that in a previous study conducted in 2019 over the YBS (Wang et al., 2023b). This could be due to the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub>

emissions in the North China Plain (Li et al., 2024), resulting in a lower NO condition in 2022 than in 2019. It is also noted that a BEH Amide column and synthesized OSs standards were employed to separate and quantify the C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene OSs in this study. The HILIC provides better separation and retention for the low-molecular-weight C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene OSs, reflected by the retention time listed in Table S2. The OSs quantification here was more accurate than our previous study in 2019, in which a reversed-phase column and surrogate standard were used to separate and quantified the low-molecular-weight and highly polar OSs (Wang et al., 2023b). Separation of polar C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene OSs using the reversed-phase chromatography could result in measurement bias due to the coelution and matrix effects (Hettiyadura et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2025). This could be an additional reason for the different OSs proportions between the two studies. The concentrations of methacrylic acid epoxide (MAE)-OS and isoprene-NOS, usually originated via NO/NO<sub>2</sub> pathway or under high-NO conditions (Worton et al., 2013), were much lower than those of IEPOX-OS and its aged product (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>) in the marine atmospheres (Fig. 1, Table S2).


The mass concentration and contribution of monoterpene-derived (nitrooxy-)OSs were lower than those of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene-derived OSs over the YBS (Fig. 1, 2). This compound group was dominated by monoterpene NOSs (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>), which were formed via the oxidation of monoterpenes in the presence of anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> (Surratt et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2018). The formation of monoterpene OSs/NOSs in marine atmospheres was driven by the transported continental pollutants. The concentration levels of monoterpene OSs/NOSs over the YBS were generally lower than those observed in continental atmospheres (Fig. 2) (He et al., 2014; Meade et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020, 2021, 2022b).

### 3.2 Importance of OSs in marine atmospheres

The OSs concentrations and mass contribution among marine OA were the highest in summer, followed by those in autumn and spring (Fig. 1, 2). The average OSs concentration was 57.8±38.9, 20.4±19.7, and 13.3±8.3 ng/m<sup>3</sup> in summer, autumn, and spring, respectively. During the summer cruise, OSs occupied 1.6%–3.2% (2.5% on average) of the marine OA mass concentrations, which were comparable to those observed in coastal regions and higher than those at the inland sites (Fig. 2). The elevated concentration levels and contributions of biogenic OSs, especially isoprene OSs and C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs, in summer were attributed to the increased biogenic VOCs emissions from marine phytoplankton or photochemical reactions in surface microlayer (Conte et al., 2020). The filter-sampling-averaged *Chl-a* concentrations were 0.6–5.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (n=7, 2.1±1.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during summer, 1.0–2.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (n=8, 1.7±0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during spring and 0.5–2.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (n=11, 1.4±0.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> on average) during autumn. High seawater *Chl-a* conditions (5.3 and 3.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed during the summer cruise. In addition, the air temperature in summer was significantly (p< 0.001) higher than that in other seasons (Fig. S3), and the summertime high temperature favored the sea-to-air transfer process of isoprene. The vital importance of biogenic OSs to

241 OA formation in summer has been highlighted in previous observations at both marine and continental sites (Hettiyadura et al., 2017; Kanellopoulos et al., 2022; Meade et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020, 2021, 2022b). We cannot  
 242 exclude the potential influence of terrestrial biogenic VOCs emissions based on the observational evidence. The air masses  
 243 were dominantly from the open ocean in summer (Fig. S1), indicating limited impacts from the continental outflows. During  
 244 spring or autumn, the lower *Chl-a* and air temperature resulted in the decrease of biogenic OSs formation (Fig. 2). Though the  
 245 seawater *Chl-a* was at similar concentration levels in spring and autumn (Fig. S3), the OSs abundance was lower in spring.  
 246 The ambient temperature was lower in spring, and the oceanic phytoplankton had not revived from the low temperature  
 247 conditions throughout winter. Thus, the biological activity and biogenic VOCs production were likely at low levels during the  
 248 spring cruise.

250



251

252 **Figure 3.** The OSs, MSA concentrations and OSs/MSA mass ratios in atmospheric aerosols over the YBS.

253

254 During summer, the active interactions between biogenic VOCs, especially isoprene, and acidic sulfate converted notable  
 255 fractions of inorganic sulfate aerosols to OSs in marine atmospheres. The abundance of OSs was comparable to that of MSA  
 256 in summer, and their mass ratios were higher than those in autumn and spring (Fig. 3). During the summer cruise, the ratio of  
 257 OSs-S/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-S and MSA-S/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-S were respectively 0.2%-1.9% (1.1% on average) and 0.9%-2.5% (1.6% on average) in  
 258 terms of the molar mass of sulfur. Previous studies have suggested that atmospheric MSA formed via the oxidation of DMS  
 259 contributes to the CCN formation in the marine boundary layer, which is a vital species relevant to the CLAW hypothesis of  
 260 oceanic phytoplankton-controlled climate regulation (Ayers et al., 1997; Charlson et al., 1987; Quinn and Bates, 2011).  
 261 Atmospheric OSs could modify the aerosol morphology, suppress the surface tension, and might play roles in altering the  
 262 cloud formation (Estillore et al., 2016; Riva et al., 2019). The relevance of MSA and OSs in climate regulation and the CLAW


263 hypothesis should be assessed considering their abundance in the atmosphere as well as their distinct physicochemical  
264 properties.

265 We noted that the OSs and MSA displayed strong correlations ( $r = 0.86$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) in autumn (Fig. S4). This suggested that the  
266 atmospheric OSs and MSA formation were limited by the same environmental factors in autumn, which could be the lower  
267 marine biological activities indicated by the seawater *Chl-a* and temperatures (Fig. S3). The increase of marine phytoplankton  
268 emissions (e.g., DMS) may drive the formation and elevation of OSs during autumn over the YBS. Atmospheric OSs and MSA  
269 did not show an obvious correlation in summer. The seawater *Chl-a* and SST were higher during the summer cruise than during  
270 the other cruises (Fig. S3), indicating abundant marine biogenic emissions and sea-to-air exchange processes in summer. We  
271 proposed that the marine biogenic emitted precursors (e.g., DMS, isoprene) were abundant and in excess for the MSA and OSs  
272 formation in summer. The formation of MSA or OSs might be limited by different atmospheric oxidation or subsequent reaction  
273 processes of these precursors, and the environmental conditions driving their formation in summer need further investigation.  
274 The cruise observations indicated that organosulfates, besides MSA, should be taken into consideration when studying the  
275 sulfur cycle in marine atmospheres. The roles of atmospheric OSs in altering cloud formation need further investigation  
276 through shipboard observations, especially over oceanic regions with high phytoplankton biomass and high temperature.

### 277 3.3 Seasonal variation of atmospheric OSs composition

278 For the seasonal variations of OSs composition, the chemical spaces of the autumn and spring samples are highly overlapped,  
279 which are different from that of the summer samples (Fig. 4a). The fraction of isoprene-derived (nitrooxy-)OSs was higher  
280 during the summer cruise than those observed during the other two seasons. The autumn and spring samples generally showed  
281 a higher contribution by monoterpene-derived OSs compounds. The seasonal variation was attributed to the relatively lower  
282 isoprene emissions, indicated by the lower seawater *Chl-a* (Fig. S3), and the more severe influence of anthropogenic pollutants  
283 transported from the continent in spring and autumn (Fig. S1). In addition to the air mass back trajectories, the more severe  
284 impacts of continental outflows in spring and autumn were also indicated by elevated elemental carbon (EC) concentrations  
285 ( $0.5 \mu\text{gC}/\text{m}^3$  and  $0.4 \mu\text{gC}/\text{m}^3$  compared to  $0.2 \mu\text{gC}/\text{m}^3$  in summer). In marine atmospheres over the YBS, the relative  
286 contribution of monoterpene-derived (nitrooxy-)OSs was lower than that in continental atmospheres under more severe  
287 impacts of anthropogenic pollutants (Fig. 4a).

288



289

290 **Figure 4.** (a) Relative abundance of isoprene OSs, monoterpene OSs, and C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs, and (b) composition of isoprene OSs  
 291 over the YBS in summer (red), autumn (orange) and spring (green). The results previously reported at the inland urban site  
 292 (Wang et al., 2020) are colored gray.

293 In marine atmospheres over the YBS, different influences of marine emissions versus continental outflows across seasons  
 294 resulted in the variation of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs/isoprene-OSs mass ratios (Fig. S5). Strong correlations ( $r=0.79-0.97$ ,  $p\leq 0.05$ ) between  
 295 isoprene-OSs and C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs suggested their consistent biogenic sources dominated by isoprene oxidation, which has been  
 296 reported in previous studies (Schindelka et al., 2013; Surratt et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2020). In summer, the abundance of  
 297 C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs was comparable to that of isoprene OSs. However, during autumn and spring, we observed higher mass ratios of  
 298 C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs versus isoprene-OSs due to the additional sources of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> OSs contributed by anthropogenic sources (Fu, 2008;  
 299 Huang et al., 2018; Liao et al., 2015).


300 The chemical space distributions of isoprene OSs also displayed obvious seasonal variations. The fraction of IEPOX-OS  
 301 among the isoprene-derived OSs was substantially higher, and that of MAE-OS was relatively lower in summer compared  
 302 with those in spring and autumn (Fig. 4b). The low-NO conditions in summer favored the IEPOX formation from isoprene  
 303 oxidation via HO<sub>2</sub> pathway, and the formation of MAE via NO/NO<sub>2</sub> pathway increased under the influence of continental  
 304 pollutants in autumn and spring (Wang et al., 2020; Worton et al., 2013). The average mass ratio of IEPOX-OS/MAE-OS was  
 305 4.7 during the summer cruise, much higher than those observed during spring (1.53) or autumn (0.49). The seasonal variations  
 306 of IEPOX-OS/MAE-OS mass ratios indicated that the isoprene oxidation pathways were dominated by the HO<sub>2</sub> pathway in  
 307 summer, and the importance of NO/NO<sub>2</sub> pathway elevated during the other seasons over the YBS. During summer, the relative  
 308 contribution of MAE-OS among isoprene-OSs in marine aerosols over the YBS was lower than those observed in continental  
 309 atmospheres, indicated by the gray markers in Fig. 4b. This was due to the lower anthropogenic pollutants and NO conditions  
 310 in marine atmospheres than in continental atmospheres. The proportions of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>, a further oxidation or aged forms of  
 311 IEPOX-OS (Armstrong et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2020), were also higher in autumn and spring than in summer. The dominant

312 presence of  $C_5H_7O_7S^-$  compared to IEPOX-OS indicated a highly oxidized state of marine SOA in spring and autumn.

313 **3.4 Spatial distribution of OSs regulated by continental outflows**

314 As shown in Fig. 5, atmospheric OSs concentrations over the Bohai Sea and the North Yellow Sea (nYBS,  $51.3 \pm 37.4 \text{ ng/m}^3$ )  
315 were notably higher than those over the South Yellow Sea (sYS,  $16.1 \pm 11.9 \text{ ng/m}^3$ ). Surrounded by the continent, the nYBS  
316 region was under more severe impacts of transported anthropogenic pollutants compared with the relatively open sYS. This is  
317 also indicated by the variation of EC concentrations in atmospheric aerosols over nYBS and sYS areas (Fig. S6). Marine  
318 emissions dominated the biogenic OSs formation over the YBS in summer. However, we cannot exclude the potential influence  
319 of transported continental air masses, especially over the nYBS. This could be a reason for the higher OSs concentrations over  
320 the nYBS than those over the sYS.

321



322

323 **Figure 5.** Concentration ratios of atmospheric OSs over the nYBS versus those over the sYS. The pie charts show the relative  
324 contribution of OSs compound groups over the nYBS and the sYS during each season.

325

326 The concentration levels and compositions of OSs in atmospheric aerosols over the nYBS and the sYS are compared in Fig.  
327 5. Among the quantified OSs derived from different VOCs precursors, monoterpene-NOSs displayed the most obvious  
328 enhancement ratios over the nYBS compared to those over the sYS (Fig. 5). During the summer cruise, monoterpene-NOSs  
329 over the nYBS elevated to nearly two orders of magnitude higher than those over the sYS. The mass contributions of  
330 monoterpene-NOSs among the total OSs over nYBS were higher than those over the sYS, as shown in the pie charts of Fig. 5.  
331 Monoterpene-NOSs are usually formed via the interactions between anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub>, sulfate, and monoterpenes (Bryant et  
332 al., 2021, 2023; Wang et al., 2018). A recent study also suggested monoterpenes could be generated by biomass burning,


besides the biogenic emissions (Wang et al., 2022a). The spatial difference of monoterpene-NOSs further indicated the more severe influence of anthropogenic pollutants over the nYBS.

The OSs abundance displayed the most obvious enhancement over the nYBS in the summer samples, in which the concentrations of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs and isoprene-OSs/NOSs over the nYBS elevated to 2.4 and 3.9 times of those over the sYS. The biogenic emissions from marine phytoplankton were more abundant in summer than in the other seasons. Transported anthropogenic pollutants over the nYBS would promote the formation of biogenic OSs via anthropogenic-biogenic interactions in marine atmospheres. Previous observation has suggested that the formation of biogenic SOA, including isoprene OSs, could be obviously mediated by anthropogenic sulfate and NO<sub>x</sub> in regions with substantial anthropogenic-biogenic interactions (Xu et al., 2015). We noted that isoprene-OSs were not observed in remote marine aerosols over the Southern Ocean or the southern Indian Ocean, where the influence of transported anthropogenic pollutants was likely limited (Claeys et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2019). Our results suggested the universal existence of biogenic OSs in marine aerosols over regions with anthropogenic-marine interactions. Further observation evidences are needed to understand the presence of OSs in different marine environments.

### 3.5 Origins and influence factors of atmospheric OSs

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using 26 aerosol samples to further understand the sources of atmospheric OSs over the YBS (Fig. 6, Table S3). A total of 18 particulate components, including OSs, water-soluble ions, EC, and MSA, were chosen to carry out the statistics. Three factors could explain 83% of the measurements. Majority of the OSs and NOSs compounds showed high loadings in Factor 1, which explained 52% of the measurements. Characterized by high loadings of nss-sulfate, Cl<sup>-</sup>, and low loadings of anthropogenic species (e.g., EC, nss-K<sup>+</sup>), Factor 1 represented the sulfate-catalyzed reactions with VOCs dominated by marine emissions. Factor 2 shows high loadings of EC, nss-K<sup>+</sup>, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, suggesting the transported anthropogenic origins dominated by combustion emissions, which explained 21% of the measurements (Table S3). Factor 3, dominated by MSA, EC, and sea salts, was a mixed source of marine-anthropogenic interaction, which explained 10% of the variance (Table S3). In this work, each aerosol sample was collected for 10–24 h, and the time-averaged aerosol component concentrations were used for the PCA analysis. The PCA factors reflected the overall variations of the atmospheric OSs sources across seasons over the YBS. The diurnal patterns of atmospheric OSs or their variation during some short-term episodes cannot be captured based on the filter-based analysis in this study. For example, the diurnal variations of marine boundary layer heights or atmospheric oxidation conditions may influence the OSs concentrations or formation pathways. Marine aerosol sampling and analysis with high time resolution are needed to gain insight into the day-night variations of OSs in marine aerosols in the future studies.






362

363 **Figure 6.** PCA statistics of the measured OSs and NOSs during the cruise observations. PC1, PC2, and PC3 represent the  
 364 source of sulfate-catalyzed reactions with biogenic VOCs, transported anthropogenic origin, and a mixed source of marine-  
 365 anthropogenic interaction, respectively.

366

367 The majority of quantified **OSs** compounds, especially the isoprene-derived ones (IEPOX-OS, MAE-OS,  $C_5H_7O_7S^-$ , isoprene-  
 368 NOS, GAS, and HAS), were dominated by the source of sulfate-catalyzed reactions with biogenic VOCs (Factor 1), as  
 369 displayed in Fig. 6 and Table S3. The homogeneous origin of  $C_2$ – $C_3$  OSs and isoprene-OSs/NOSs from the oxidation of  
 370 isoprene has been approved in this work and previous observations (Surratt et al., 2008; Riva et al., 2016). This source factor  
 371 was more related to the marine emissions, rather than anthropogenic pollutants, indicated by the low loadings of anthropogenic  
 372 EC or  $nss-K^+$ . Isoprene could be largely emitted by phytoplankton and from photochemical processes in surface seawater, and  
 373 then released into marine atmospheres (Brüggemann et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2023). The reactive uptake of isoprene by sulfate  
 374 aerosols could be a vital reaction pathway for **OSs** formation in marine aerosols (Wang et al., 2023b). **OSs concentrations**  
 375 **elevated with increasing air temperature in summer and increasing wind speed in spring** (Fig. 7). Higher temperature or wind  
 376 speed would promote the sea-to-air exchange of isoprene and favored the **OSs** formation in marine atmospheres.

377



378



379 **Figure 7.** Variations of OSs concentrations as a function of chlorophyll-a (*Chl-a*) in (a) summer, (b) autumn, and (c) spring.  
380 The markers are colored by air temperature, EC, and wind speed, respectively.

381

382 The loadings of monoterpene-OSs/NOSs in anthropogenic-related sources (Factor 2 and Factor 3) cannot be neglected, which  
383 was different from the main source of isoprene OSs from marine-dominated sulfate-biogenic VOCs interaction (Factor 1).  
384 Lactic acid sulfate over the YBS showed comparable loadings in the transported anthropogenic origin (Factor 2, 0.70) and the  
385 marine-dominated sulfate-biogenic VOCs interaction source (Factor 1, 0.59). The loadings of LAS in the mixed source of  
386 marine-anthropogenic interaction (Factor 3) were higher than other identified OSs species (Fig. 6). A relatively high loading  
387 of GAS (0.48) was also observed in Factor 2 (Table S3). The PCA result provided observational evidence on the additional  
388 sources of monoterpene-OSs/NOSs and C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> OSs from transported anthropogenic pollutants over marginal seas. During the  
389 autumn cruise, higher OSs concentration levels were observed when higher EC concentrations occurred, which also indicated  
390 the additional contribution of OSs by anthropogenic sources (Fig. 7b).

391 This work quantified and characterized the atmospheric OSs derived from isoprene and monoterpenes over the Asia marginal  
392 seas. The chemical nature and distribution of OSs were modified by the joint influence of oceanic biological emissions and  
393 transported continental pollutants. The results highlight the abundant formation of airborne OSs in summer, which is promoted  
394 by the elevated biogenic VOCs emissions from the surface ocean. During high biological activity periods, atmospheric OSs  
395 levels could surpass the MSA concentrations in marine aerosols, which is a vital species in the well-known climate regulation  
396 via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions (CLAW hypothesis). In the future studies, isoprene-derived OSs are suggested  
397 to be included as the molecular tracers of marine SOA related to phytoplankton emissions, especially during summer or over  
398 oceanic regions with high phytoplankton activities and high SST. Shipboard observations over open ocean areas are needed to  
399 gain further understanding on the roles of OSs in modifying the sulfur cycle, biogenic VOCs oxidation and regulating climate  
400 in marine boundary layer.

401

#### 402 **Author contribution**

403 Y.W. designed and supervised the research. M.H. supervised and provided the instrumentations. S.L., Y.Z., Y.Y., Y.G., C.Y.  
404 and Y.J. conducted the measurements. S.L. analyzed the data. Y.C.W synthesized the standards. S.L. and Y. W. wrote the  
405 manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

#### 406 **Declaration of competing interest**

407 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 408 **Data availability**

409 The dataset is available upon request from the corresponding author.

## 410 **Acknowledgments**

411 This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFF0803000;  
412 2024YFC2815800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42205103; 42411540229; 22306059), the Taishan  
413 Scholars of Shandong Province, China (tsqn202306101), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation  
414 (ZR2022QD105), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (202441011), special fund of State Key Joint  
415 Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control (22K01ESPCP), and Science and Technology Planning Project  
416 of Hunan Province (2023JJ40128).

417 Data acquisition and filter sample collection were performed onboard Lanhai No. 101, implementing the open research cruise  
418 NORC2021-01 and NORC2022-01 supported by the NSFC Shiptime Sharing Project (Project Number: 42049901 and  
419 42149901).

420

## 421 **References**

422 Andreae, M. O.: Ocean-atmosphere interactions in the global biogeochemical sulfur cycle, *Mar. Chem.*, 30, 1–29,  
423 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(90\)90059-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(90)90059-L), 1990.

424 Andreae, M. O. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol–cloud–precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of cloud-active  
425 aerosols, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 89, 13–41, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.03.001>, 2008.

426 Armstrong, N. C., Chen, Y., Cui, T., Zhang, Y., Christensen, C., Zhang, Z., Turpin, B. J., Chan, M. N., Gold, A., Ault, A. P.,  
427 and Surratt, J. D.: Isoprene epoxydiol-derived sulfated and nonsulfated oligomers suppress particulate mass loss during  
428 oxidative aging of secondary organic aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 16611–16620,  
429 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c03200>, 2022.

430 Ayers, G. P. and Gras, J. L.: Seasonal relationship between cloud condensation nuclei and aerosol methanesulphonate in marine  
431 air, *Nature*, 353, 834–835, <https://doi.org/10.1038/353834a0>, 1991.

432 Ayers, G. P., Caine, J. M., Gillett, R. W., and Ivey, J. P.: Atmospheric sulphur and cloud condensation nuclei in marine air in  
433 the Southern Hemisphere, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 352, 203–211, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1997.0015>, 1997.

434 Balasubramanian, R., Qian, W.-B., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., and Fuzzi, S.: Comprehensive characterization of PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
435 aerosols in Singapore, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D16, 4523, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002517>, 2003.

436 Bao, H., Niggemann, J., Luo, L., Dittmar, T., and Kao, S.-J.: Molecular composition and origin of water-soluble organic matter  
437 in marine aerosols in the Pacific off China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 191, 27–35, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.059>, 2018.

438 Behera, S. N., Betha, R., and Balasubramanian, R.: Insights into chemical coupling among acidic gases, ammonia and  
439 secondary inorganic aerosols, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 13, 1282–1296, <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2012.11.0328>, 2013.

440 Brüggemann, M., Hayeck, N., and George, C.: Interfacial photochemistry at the ocean surface is a global source of organic  
441 vapors and aerosols, *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 2101, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04528-7>, 2018.

Brüggemann, M., Xu, R., Tilgner, A., Kwong, K. C., Mutzel, A., Poon, H. Y., Otto, T., Schaefer, T., Poulain, L., Chan, M. N., and Herrmann, H.: Organosulfates in ambient aerosol: State of knowledge and future research directions on formation, abundance, fate, and importance, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 54, 3767–3782, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06751>, 2020.

Bryant, D. J., Elzein, A., Newland, M., White, E., Swift, S., Watkins, A., Deng, W., Song, W., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Rickard, A. R., and Hamilton, J. F.: Importance of oxidants and temperature in the formation of biogenic organosulfates and nitrooxy organosulfates, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 5, 2291–2306, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00204>, 2021.

Bryant, D. J., Nelson, B. S., Swift, S. J., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Drysdale, W. S., Vaughan, A. R., Newland, M. J., Hopkins, J. R., Cash, J. M., Langford, B., Nemitz, E., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Mandal, T., Gurjar, B. R., Shivani, Gadi, R., Lee, J. D., Rickard, A. R., and Hamilton, J. F.: Biogenic and anthropogenic sources of isoprene and monoterpenes and their secondary organic aerosol in Delhi, India, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 61–83, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-61-2023>, 2023.

Cai, D., Wang, X., Chen, J., and Li, X.: Molecular characterization of organosulfates in highly polluted atmosphere using ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 125, e2019JD032253, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032253>, 2020.

Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate, *Nature*, 326, 655–661, <https://doi.org/10.1038/326655a0>, 1987.

Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Lambe, A. T., Xu, R., Lei, Z., Olson, N. E., Zhang, Z., Szalkowski, T., Cui, T., Vizuete, W., Gold, A., Turpin, B. J., Ault, A. P., Chan, M. N., and Surratt, J. D.: Heterogeneous hydroxyl radical oxidation of isoprene-epoxydiol-derived methyltetrol sulfates: Plausible formation mechanisms of previously unexplained organosulfates in ambient fine aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 7, 460–468, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00276>, 2020.

Claeys, M., Wang, W., Vermeylen, R., Kourchev, I., Chi, X., Farhat, Y., Surratt, J. D., Gómez-González, Y., Sciare, J., and Maenhaut, W.: Chemical characterisation of marine aerosol at Amsterdam Island during the austral summer of 2006–2007, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 41, 13–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2009.08.003>, 2010.

Conte, L., Szopa, S., Aumont, O., Gros, V., and Bopp, L.: Sources and sinks of isoprene in the global open ocean: Simulated patterns and emissions to the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 125, e2019JC015946, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015946>, 2020.

Cooke, M. E., Armstrong, N. C., Lei, Z., Chen, Y., Waters, C. M., Zhang, Y., Buchenau, N. A., Dibley, M. Q., Ledsy, I. R., Szalkowski, T., Lee, J. Y., Baumann, K., Zhang, Z., Vizuete, W., Gold, A., Surratt, J. D., and Ault, A. P.: Organosulfate formation in proxies for aged sea spray aerosol: Reactive uptake of isoprene epoxydiols to acidic sodium sulfate, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 6, 2790–2800, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.2c00156>, 2022.

Cui, L., Xiao, Y., Hu, W., Song, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, C., Fu, P., and Zhu, J.: Enhanced dataset of global marine isoprene emissions from biogenic and photochemical processes for the period 2001–2020, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15, 5403–5425, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-5403-2023>, 2023.

Cui, T., Green, H. S., Selleck, P. W., Zhang, Z., O’Brien, R. E., Gold, A., Keywood, M., Kroll, J. H., and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical characterization of isoprene- and monoterpene-derived secondary organic aerosol tracers in remote marine aerosols over a quarter century, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 3, 935–946, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00061>, 2019.

Estillore, A. D., Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Qin, Z., Leckrone, E., Wombacher, B., Humphry, T., Stone, E. A., and Grassian, V. H.: Water uptake and hygroscopic growth of organosulfate aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 8, 4259–4268, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05014>, 2016.

479 Fu, T.-M., Jacob, D. J., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Vrekoussis, M., and Henze, D. K.: Global budgets of atmospheric glyoxal  
 480 and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D15303,  
 481 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009505>, 2008.

482 Fu, P., Kawamura, K., and Miura, K.: Molecular characterization of marine organic aerosols collected during a round-the-  
 483 world cruise, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D13302, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015604>, 2011.

484 Guo, T., Guo, Z., Wang, J., Feng, J., Gao, H., and Yao, X.: Tracer-based investigation of organic aerosols in marine atmospheres  
 485 from marginal seas of China to the northwest Pacific Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 5055–5070, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5055-2020)  
 486 20-5055-2020, 2020.

487 Hansen, A. M. K., Hong, J., Raatikainen, T., Kristensen, K., Ylisirniö, A., Virtanen, A., Petäjä, T., Glasius, M., and Prisle, N.  
 488 L.: Hygroscopic properties and cloud condensation nuclei activation of limonene-derived organosulfates and their mixtures  
 489 with ammonium sulfate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 14071–14089, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-14071-2015>, 2015.

490 Hawkins, L. N., Russell, L. M., Covert, D. S., Quinn, P. K., and Bates, T. S.: Carboxylic acids, sulfates, and organosulfates in  
 491 processed continental organic aerosol over the southeast Pacific Ocean during VOCALS-REx 2008, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115,  
 492 D13201, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013276>, 2010.

493 He, Q.-F., Ding, X., Wang, X.-M., Yu, J.-Z., Fu, X.-X., Liu, T.-Y., Zhang, Z., Xue, J., Chen, D.-H., Zhong, L.-J., and Donahue,  
 494 N. M.: Organosulfates from pinene and isoprene over the Pearl River Delta, South China: Seasonal variation and implication  
 495 in formation mechanisms, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 9236–9245, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es501299v>, 2014.

496 Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Stone, E. A., Kundu, S., Baker, Z., Geddes, E., Richards, K., and Humphry, T.: Determination of  
 497 atmospheric organosulfates using HILIC chromatography with MS detection, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 2347–2358,  
 498 <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-2347-2015>, 2015.

499 Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Jayarathne, T., Baumann, K., Goldstein, A. H., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Keutsch, F. N., Skog, K., and  
 500 Stone, E. A.: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of atmospheric organosulfates in Centreville, Alabama, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,  
 501 17, 1343–1359, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1343-2017>, 2017.

502 Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Al-Naiema, I. M., Hughes, D. D., Fang, T., and Stone, E. A.: Organosulfates in Atlanta, Georgia:  
 503 anthropogenic influences on biogenic secondary organic aerosol formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3191–3206,  
 504 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3191-2019>, 2019.

505 Hodshire, A. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Kodros, J. K., Croft, B., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C., Jimenez, J. L., and Pierce, J. R.:  
 506 The potential role of methanesulfonic acid (MSA) in aerosol formation and growth and the associated radiative forcings, *Atmos.*  
 507 *Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3137–3160, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3137-2019>, 2019.

508 Hu, K. S., Darer, A. I., and Elrod, M. J.: Thermodynamics and kinetics of the hydrolysis of atmospherically relevant  
 509 organonitrates and organosulfates, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 8307–8320, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8307-2011>, 2011.

510 Hu, Q.-H., Xie, Z.-Q., Wang, X.-M., Kang, H., He, Q.-F., and Zhang, P.: Secondary organic aerosols over oceans via oxidation  
 511 of isoprene and monoterpenes from Arctic to Antarctic, *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 2280, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02280>, 2013.

512 Huang, R.-J., Cao, J., Chen, Y., Yang, L., Shen, J., You, Q., Wang, K., Lin, C., Xu, W., Gao, B., Li, Y., Chen, Q., Hoffmann,  
 513 T., O'Dowd, C. D., Bilde, M., and Glasius, M.: Organosulfates in atmospheric aerosol: synthesis and quantitative analysis of  
 514 PM<sub>2.5</sub> from Xi'an, northwestern China, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 3447–3456, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-3447-2018>, 2018.

515 Jung, J., Hong, S.-B., Chen, M., Hur, J., Jiao, L., Lee, Y., Park, K., Hahm, D., Choi, J.-O., Yang, E. J., Park, J., Kim, T.-W.,  
 516 and Lee, S.: Characteristics of methanesulfonic acid, non-sea-salt sulfate and organic carbon aerosols over the Amundsen Sea,  
 517 *Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **20**, 5405–5424, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5405-2020>, 2020.

518 Kanellopoulos, P. G., Kotsaki, S. P., Chrysoschou, E., Koukoulakis, K., Zacharopoulos, N., Philippopoulos, A., and Bakeas, E.:  
 519 PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound organosulfates in two Eastern Mediterranean cities: The dominance of isoprene organosulfates, *Chemosphere*,  
 520 **297**, 134103, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134103>, 2022.

521 Kettle, A. J. and Andreae, M. O.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans: A comparison of updated data sets and flux models,  
 522 *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105**, 26793–26808, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900252>, 2000.

523 Kloster, S., Feichter, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Six, K. D., Stier, P., and Wetzel, P.: DMS cycle in the marine ocean-atmosphere  
 524 system – a global model study, *Biogeosciences*, **3**, 29–51, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-29-2006>, 2006.

525 Kristensen, K., Bilde, M., Aalto, P. P., Petäjä, T., and Glasius, M.: Denuder/filter sampling of organic acids and organosulfates  
 526 at urban and boreal forest sites: Gas/particle distribution and possible sampling artifacts, *Atmos. Environ.*, **130**, 36–53,  
 527 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.046>, 2016.

528 Lam, H. K., Kwong, K. C., Poon, H. Y., Davies, J. F., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Surratt, J. D., and Chan, M. N.: Heterogeneous OH  
 529 oxidation of isoprene-epoxydiol-derived organosulfates: kinetics, chemistry and formation of inorganic sulfate, *Atmos. Chem.*  
 530 *Phys.*, **19**, 2433–2440, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2433-2019>, 2019.

531 Li, H., Zheng, B., Lei, Y., Hauglustaine, D., Chen, C., Lin, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Trends and drivers of  
 532 anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in China since 2020, *Environ. Sci. Ecotechnology*, **21**, 100425,  
 533 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2024.100425>, 2024.

534 Li, J., Michalski, G., Davy, P., Harvey, M., Katzman, T., and Wilkins, B.: Investigating source contributions of size-aggregated  
 535 aerosols collected in Southern Ocean and Baring Head, New Zealand using sulfur isotopes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **45**, 3717–  
 536 3727, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018GL077353>, 2018.

537 Li, J., Carlson, B. E., Yung, Y. L., Lv, D., Hansen, J., Penner, J. E., Liao, H., Ramaswamy, V., Kahn, R. A., Zhang, P., Dubovik,  
 538 O., Ding, A., Lacis, A. A., Zhang, L., and Dong, Y.: Scattering and absorbing aerosols in the climate system, *Nat. Rev. Earth*  
 539 *Environ.*, **3**, 363–379, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00296-7>, 2022.

540 Liao, J., Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Keutsch, F. N., Yu, G., Wennberg, P. O., St. Clair, J. M., Crounse, J. D., Wisthaler, A.,  
 541 Mikoviny, T., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Ryerson, T. B., Pollack, I. B., Peischl, J., Anderson, B.  
 542 E., Ziemba, L. D., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., and Diskin, G.: Airborne measurements of organosulfates over the continental  
 543 U.S., *J. Geophys. Res.*, **120**, 2990–3005, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022378>, 2015.

544 Liang, S., Wang, Y., Chen, H., Chan, W., and Yu, J. Z.: Accurate quantification of multifunctional C<sub>2–3</sub> organosulfates in  
 545 atmospheric aerosols using liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry: Overcoming matrix effects and  
 546 underestimation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5c01846>, 2025.

547 Lin, Y.-H., Zhang, Z., Docherty, K. S., Zhang, H., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Rubitschun, C. L., Shaw, S. L., Knipping, E. M.,  
 548 Edgerton, E. S., Kleindienst, T. E., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Isoprene epoxydiols as precursors to secondary organic aerosol  
 549 formation: Acid-catalyzed reactive uptake studies with authentic compounds, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **46**, 250–258,  
 550 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es202554c>, 2012.

551 Meade, L. E., Riva, M., Blomberg, M. Z., Brock, A. K., Qualters, E. M., Siejack, R. A., Ramakrishnan, K., Surratt, J. D., and  
 552 Kautzman, K. E.: Seasonal variations of fine particulate organosulfates derived from biogenic and anthropogenic hydrocarbons  
 553 in the mid-Atlantic United States, *Atmos. Environ.*, 145, 405–414, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.028>, 2016.

554 [Millero, F. J. and Sohn, M. L.: Chemical Oceanography, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 521 pp., 1992.](#)

555 Nguyen, Q. T., Christensen, M. K., Cozzi, F., Zare, A., Hansen, A. M. K., Kristensen, K., Tulinius, T. E., Madsen, H. H.,  
 556 Christensen, J. H., Brandt, J., Massling, A., Nøjgaard, J. K., and Glasius, M.: Understanding the anthropogenic influence on  
 557 formation of biogenic secondary organic aerosols in Denmark via analysis of organosulfates and related oxidation products,  
 558 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 8961–8981, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8961-2014>, 2014.

559 Ooki, A., Nomura, D., Nishino, S., Kikuchi, T., and Yokouchi, Y.: A global-scale map of isoprene and volatile organic iodine  
 560 in surface seawater of the Arctic, Northwest Pacific, Indian, and Southern Oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 4108–4128,  
 561 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010519>, 2015.

562 Peng, C., Razafindrambinina, P. N., Malek, K. A., Chen, L., Wang, W., Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Ding, X., Ge, M., Wang, X.,  
 563 Asa-Awuku, A. A., and Tang, M.: Interactions of organosulfates with water vapor under sub- and supersaturated conditions,  
 564 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 7135–7148, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7135-2021>, 2021.

565 Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions, *Nature*, 480,  
 566 51–56, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10580>, 2011.

567 Riva, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical characterization of secondary organic aerosol  
 568 constituents from isoprene ozonolysis in the presence of acidic aerosol, *Atmos. Environ.*, 130, 5–13,  
 569 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.027>, 2016.

570 Riva, M., Da Silva Barbosa, T., Lin, Y.-H., Stone, E. A., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical characterization of  
 571 organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol derived from the photooxidation of alkanes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 11001–  
 572 11018, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11001-2016>, 2016.

573 [Riva, M., Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Lei, Z., Olson, N. E., Boyer, H. C., Narayan, S., Yee, L. D., Green, H. S., Cui, T., Zhang, Z.,  
 574 Baumann, K., Fort, M., Edgerton, E., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Rose, C. A., Ribeiro, I. O., de Oliveira, R. L., dos Santos, E. O.,  
 575 Machado, C. M. D., Szopa, S., Zhao, Y., Alves, E. G., de Sá, S. Z., Hu, W., Knipping, E. M., Shaw, S. L., Junior, S. D., de  
 576 Souza, R. A. F., Palm, B. B., Jimenez, J. L., Glasius, M., Goldstein, A. H., Pye, H. O. T., Gold, A., Turpin, B. J., Vizuet, W.,  
 577 Martin, S. T., Thornton, J. A., Dutcher, C. S., Ault, A. P., and Surratt, J. D.: Increasing isoprene epoxydiol-to-inorganic sulfate  
 578 aerosol ratio results in extensive conversion of inorganic sulfate to organosulfur forms: implications for aerosol  
 579 physicochemical properties, \*Environ. Sci. Technol.\*, 53, 15, 8682–8694, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01019>, 2019.](#)

580 Schindelka, J., Iinuma, Y., Hoffmann, D., and Herrmann, H.: Sulfate radical-initiated formation of isoprene-derived  
 581 organosulfates in atmospheric aerosols, *Faraday Discuss.*, 165, 237–259, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3FD00042G>, 2013.

582 [Shank, L. M., Howell, S., Clarke, A. D., Freitag, S., Brekhovskikh, V., Kapustin, V., McNaughton, C., Campos, T., and Wood,  
 583 R.: Organic matter and non-refractory aerosol over the remote Southeast Pacific: oceanic and combustion sources, \*Atmos.  
 584 Chem. Phys.\*, 12, 557–576, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-557-2012>, 2012.](#)

585 Surratt, J. D., Gómez-González, Y., Chan, A. W. H., Vermeylen, R., Shahgholi, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Offenberg,  
 586 J. H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organosulfate formation in  
 587 biogenic secondary organic aerosol, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 112, 8345–8378, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802310p>, 2008.

588 Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Eddingsaas, N. C., Chan, M., Loza, C. L., Kwan, A. J., Hersey, S. P., Flagan, R. C., Wennberg,  
589 P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Reactive intermediates revealed in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, *Proc. Natl.*  
590 *Acad. Sci.*, 107, 6640–6645, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911114107>, 2010.

591 Wang, H., Ma, X., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Chen, X., Chen, S., Gao, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, X., Yuan, B., Zeng, L., Huang, C.,  
592 Lu, K., and Zhang, Y.: Anthropogenic monoterpenes aggravating ozone pollution, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 9, nwac103,  
593 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac103>, 2022a.

594 Wang, Y., Hu, M., Guo, S., Wang, Y., Zheng, J., Yang, Y., Zhu, W., Tang, R., Li, X., Liu, Y., Breton, M. L., Du, Z., Shang, D.,  
595 Wu, Y., Wu, Z., Song, Y., Lou, S., Hallquist, M., and Yu, J.: The secondary formation of organosulfates under interactions  
596 between biogenic emissions and anthropogenic pollutants in summer in Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 10693–10713,  
597 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-10693-2018>, 2018.

598 Wang, Y., Hu, M., Wang, Y.-C., Li, X., Fang, X., Tang, R., Lu, S., Wu, Y., Guo, S., Wu, Z., Hallquist, M., and Yu, J. Z.:  
599 Comparative study of particulate organosulfates in contrasting atmospheric environments: Field evidence for the significant  
600 influence of anthropogenic sulfate and NO<sub>x</sub>, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 7, 787–794,  
601 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00550>, 2020.

602 Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Yu, J.-Z., Shao, J., Liu, P., Zhu, W., Cheng, Z., Li, Z., Yan, N., and Xiao, H.: Organosulfates in  
603 atmospheric aerosols in Shanghai, China: seasonal and interannual variability, origin, and formation mechanisms, *Atmos.*  
604 *Chem. Phys.*, 21, 2959–2980, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2959-2021>, 2021.

605 Wang, Y., Ma, Y., Kuang, B., Lin, P., Liang, Y., Huang, C., and Yu, J. Z.: Abundance of organosulfates derived from biogenic  
606 volatile organic compounds: Seasonal and spatial contrasts at four sites in China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 806, 151275,  
607 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151275>, 2022b.

608 Wang, Y., Liang, S., Le Breton, M., Wang, Q. Q., Liu, Q., Ho, C. H., Kuang, B. Y., Wu, C., Hallquist, M., Tong, R., and Yu, J.  
609 Z.: Field observations of C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> organosulfates and insights into their formation mechanisms at a suburban site in Hong  
610 Kong, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 904, 166851, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166851>, 2023a.

611 Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, W., Wu, G., Qi, Y., Li, S., Zhu, W., Yu, J. Z., Yu, X., Zhang, H.-H., Sun, J., Wang, W., Sheng, L., Yao,  
612 X., Gao, H., Huang, C., Ma, Y., and Zhou, Y.: Important roles and formation of atmospheric organosulfates in marine organic  
613 aerosols: Influence of Phytoplankton Emissions and Anthropogenic Pollutants, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 57, 10284–10294,  
614 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c01422>, 2023b.

615 Worton, D. R., Surratt, J. D., LaFranchi, B. W., Chan, A. W. H., Zhao, Y., Weber, R. J., Park, J.-H., Gilman, J. B., de Gouw, J.,  
616 Park, C., Schade, G., Beaver, M., Clair, J. M. St., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P., Wolfe, G. M., Harrold, S., Thornton, J. A., Farmer,  
617 D. K., Docherty, K. S., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J.-L., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Kristensen, K., Glasius, M., Mao, J.,  
618 Ren, X., Brune, W., Browne, E. C., Pusede, S. E., Cohen, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., and Goldstein, A. H.: Observational insights  
619 into aerosol formation from isoprene, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 11403–11413, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es4011064>, 2013.

620 Wu, Y.-C., Li, J.-L., Wang, J., Zhuang, G.-C., Liu, X.-T., Zhang, H.-H., and Yang, G.-P.: Occurance, emission and  
621 environmental effects of non-methane hydrocarbons in the Yellow Sea and the East China Sea, *Environ. Pollut.*, 270, 116305,  
622 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116305>, 2021.

623 Xu, L., Guo, H., Boyd, C. M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Hite, J. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N.  
624 M., Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A. H., Hering, S. V., de Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S.-H., Nenes, A., Weber,



625 R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the  
 626 southeastern United States, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 112, 37–42, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1417609112>, 2015.

627 Yan, S.-B., Xu, G.-B., Zhang, H.-H., Wang, J., Xu, F., Gao, X.-X., Zhang, J.-W., Wu, J.-W., and Yang, G.-P.: Factors controlling  
 628 DMS emission and atmospheric sulfate aerosols in the western Pacific continental sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 129, e2024JC020886,  
 629 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024JC020886>, 2024.

630 Ye, J., Abbatt, J. P. D., and Chan, A. W. H.: Novel pathway of SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation in the atmosphere: reactions with monoterpene  
 631 ozonolysis intermediates and secondary organic aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 5549–5565, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5549-2018)  
 632 [5549-2018](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5549-2018), 2018.

633 Ye, Y., Zhan, H., Yu, X., Li, J., Wang, X., and Xie, Z.: Detection of organosulfates and nitrooxy-organosulfates in Arctic and  
 634 Antarctic atmospheric aerosols, using ultra-high resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometry, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 767, 144339,  
 635 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144339>, 2021.